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Overview

- Currently, trends in preterm birth (PTB) rates and low birthweight (LBW) rates are not widely examined in Michigan and there may be spatial patterns that are not being detected.

- The objective of this analysis is to examine spatial trends in preterm birth rates and low birthweight rates in Michigan over a five year period from 2012-2016 in order to better inform public health resource allocation.

- Hot spot cluster analysis is a useful method for determining where geographic clusters of disease exist (Getis & Ord, 1992). These analyses have been conducted to find clusters of disease and mortality occurrence in a variety of settings (Burra, Jerrett, Burnett, & Anderson, 2002; Gundogdu, 2010).

- Stopka et. al. have developed a five-step process to detect valid clusters of disease (Stopka, Krawczyk, Gradziel, & Geraghty, 2014). This method was used to determine geographic patterns of preterm birth rates and low birthweight rates in this analysis.

Currently, trends in preterm birth rates and low birthweight rates are not widely examined in Michigan and there may be spatial patterns that are not being detected.

The objective of this analysis is to examine spatial trends in preterm birth rates and low birthweight rates in Michigan over a five year period from 2012-2016 in order to better inform public health resource allocation.

Hot spot cluster analysis is a useful method for determining where geographic clusters of disease exist (Getis & Ord, 1992). These analyses have been conducted to find clusters of disease and mortality occurrence in a variety of settings (Burra, Jerrett, Burnett, & Anderson, 2002; Gundogdu, 2010).

Stopka et. al. have developed a five-step process to detect valid clusters of disease (Stopka, Krawczyk, Gradziel, & Geraghty, 2014). This method was used to determine geographic patterns of preterm birth rates and low birthweight rates in this analysis.
Introduction --- Hot Spot Analysis

- Hot spot analysis is a statistically based method to assess geographic clustering.
- Specifically, hot spot analysis is used to pinpoint locations of statistically significant high-value and low-value clusters of an outcome of interest by evaluating each feature (e.g., census tract) within the context of neighboring features and against all features in the dataset (Ord & Getis, 1992).
- A feature with a high value may be a statistically significant hot spot if it is also surrounded by other features with high values, as opposed to simply being a data outlier.
- The local mean for a feature and its neighbors is compared proportionally with the global mean of all features (e.g., all census tracts in a state). When the observed local mean is much different than the global mean and that difference is too large to be the result of random chance, a statistically significant $z$ score results and a hot spot cluster is detected (Mitchell, 2005).

Hot spot analysis is a statistically based method to assess geographic clustering.

Specifically, hot spot analysis is used to pinpoint locations of statistically significant high-value and low-value clusters of an outcome of interest by evaluating each feature (e.g., census tract) within the context of neighboring features and against all features in the dataset (Ord & Getis, 1992).

A feature with a high value may be a statistically significant hot spot if it is also surrounded by other features with high values, as opposed to simply being a data outlier.

The local mean for a feature and its neighbors is compared proportionally with the global mean of all features (e.g., all census tracts in a state). When the observed local mean is much different than the global mean and that difference is too large to be the result of random chance, a statistically significant $z$ score results and a hot spot cluster is detected (Mitchell, 2005).
Preterm birth is defined as a birth of a baby less than 37 completed weeks of gestation. Gestational age is based on the obstetric estimate of gestation. The incidence of preterm birth is calculated as the number of preterm births divided by the number of live births multiplied by 100.

Low birthweight is defined as a birthweight of a baby less than 2,500 grams. The incidence of low birthweight is calculated as the number of low birthweight divided by the number of live births multiplied by 100.

This study used 2012-2016 Michigan live birth files, with geocoded maternal residential addresses (i.e. residence of mothers at child’s birth). The 2010 Michigan census tract ArcGIS shapefile was used for mapping.

Preterm birth rate and low birthweight rate over the five-year period were calculated and aggregated to the census tract level.

The average preterm birth rate over the five-year period (2012-2016) is 9.9 percent (56,169 preterm births and 567,485 live births).

The average low birthweight rate over the five-year period (2012-2016) is 8.4 percent (47,843 infants with low birthweight and 567,485 live births).
5-Step Geoprocessing Approach

- To examine potential hotspots or cold spots of PTB/LBW rates in Michigan census tract, Getis-Ord Gi* hotspot cluster analysis was used.

- However, before the analysis could be run, it was first necessary to select the analysis parameters in an empirical manner.

- A 5-Step Geoprocessing approach developed by Stopka et al. (2014) was implemented to maximize the granularity and validity of the results.

This slide gives an introduction to the 5-step geoprocessing approach.

For this study, to examine potential hotspots or cold spots of preterm birth or low birthweight in Michigan census tracts, Getis-Ord Gi* hotspot cluster analysis was used.

However, before the analysis could be run, it was first necessary to select the analysis parameters in an empirical manner.

A 5-Step Geoprocessing approach developed by Stopka et al. (2014) was implemented to maximize the granularity and validity of the results.
5-Step Geoprocessing Approach

Step 1—Analysis of Variation in Michigan Census Tract Areas

• In order to determine a proper spatial scale for running the hotspot analysis, all census tracts over 1.5 standard deviations of the Michigan census tract mean area were removed as they may distort the ideal sphere of influence in determining a cluster.

• Any tracts that did not share a border with at least two other tracts and tracts in which there were no live births were also removed.

This slide details step 1 of the 5-step geoprocessing approach: analysis of variation in Michigan census tract areas.

In order to determine a proper spatial scale for running the hotspot analysis, all census tracts over 1.5 standard deviations of the Michigan census tract mean area were removed as they may distort the ideal sphere of influence in determining a cluster.

Any tracts that did not share a border with at least two other tracts and tracts in which there were no live births were also removed.
Step 1—Analysis of Variation in Michigan Census Tract Areas

In Michigan, census tracts range in size from 0 to 753.18 square miles, with a mean area of 21.05 square miles (standard deviation = 56.13). This variation can cause analytic challenges in two ways. First, in descriptive mapping, one is visually biased by the larger-sized tracts even though the measured outcome may be just as pronounced (or more so) in smaller tracts (census tracts are relatively homogeneous in population size and demographic makeup). Second, it is difficult to develop an appropriate neighborhood (local mean) size (i.e., spatial scale) with large variation in areas.

To understand the degree of size variation in Michigan’s 2,773 census tracts, we used the square mile area of all census tracts in the state. We considered census tracts that possessed a square mile area greater than 1.5 standard deviations above the state mean census tract area as outliers (n = 129) and temporarily removed them from the dataset.

This slide continues to detail step 1 of the 5-step geoprocessing approach: analysis of variation in Michigan census tract areas.

In Michigan, census tracts range in size from 0 to 753.18 square miles, with a mean area of 21.05 square miles (standard deviation = 56.13). This variation can cause analytic challenges in two ways. First, in descriptive mapping, one is visually biased by the larger-sized tracts even though the measured outcome may be just as pronounced (or more so) in smaller tracts (census tracts are relatively homogeneous in population size and demographic makeup). Second, it is difficult to develop an appropriate neighborhood (local mean) size (i.e., spatial scale) with large variation in areas.

To understand the degree of size variation in Michigan’s 2,773 census tracts, we used the square mile area of all census tracts in the state. We considered census tracts that possessed a square mile area greater than 1.5 standard deviations above the state mean census tract area as outliers (n = 129) and temporarily removed them from the dataset.
Step 1—Analysis of Variation in Michigan Census Tract Areas

We also removed small census tracts that were adjacent to the previously removed ones (and appeared as “islands”; n = 18).

This slide continues to detail step 1 of the 5-step geoprocessing approach: analysis of variation in Michigan census tract areas.

We also removed small census tracts that had were adjacent to the previously removed ones (and appeared as “islands”; n = 18).
Step 1—Analysis of Variation in Michigan Census Tract Areas

In addition, we removed those census tracts in which there were no live births during the study period (n = 20). In the end, 2,606 census tracts were used in the next step of this analysis.

This slide continues to detail step 1 of the 5-step geoprocessing approach: analysis of variation in Michigan census tract areas.

In addition, we removed those census tracts in which there were no live births during the study period (n = 20). In the end, 2,606 census tracts were used in the next step of this analysis.
5-Step Geoprocessing Approach

Step 2: Determination of Spatial Scale, Part A

• In order to determine what distance should be used as a benchmark for cluster identification among the 2,606 Michigan census tracts, the average and maximum distances between each tract and its two closest neighbors were calculated.

• The average distance was 3,794 meters (2.4 miles) and the maximum distance was 50,019 meters (31.1 miles) between each tract.

This slide details step 2 of the 5-step geoprocessing approach: determination of spatial scale, part A.

In order to determine what distance should be used as a benchmark for cluster identification among the 2,606 Michigan census tracts, the average and maximum distances between each tract and its two closest neighbors were calculated.

The average distance was 3,794 meters (2.4 miles) and the maximum distance between each tract was 50,019 meters (31.1 miles).
This slide details step 3 of the 5-step geoprocessing approach: determination of spatial scale, part B.

For the greatest public health utility, it is necessary to calculate the smallest distance at which clustering of preterm birth or low birthweight is intense.

The Moran I spatial autocorrelation test is a statistical measure of the degree of clustering for a given condition. To find the most adequate distance at which clustering is significant, two thirds of the maximum distance calculated in step 2 (33,346 meters, 20.7 miles) was used as a baseline distance for the Moran I test.

30 tests were run at increasing increments of half of the average distance calculated in the last step (1,897 meters, 1.2 miles) to find the smallest distance at which clustering (the z-score) peaks.

For PTB rates, the smallest distance at which clustering peaks was at 6,691 meters (4.2 miles). For LBW rates, the smallest distance at which clustering peaks was at 8,588 meters (5.3 miles).
This slide details step 4 of the 5-step geoprocessing approach: accounting for the larger polygons.

All of the census tracts were reintroduced into the map to be used in the creation of a spatial weights matrix, which takes into account a selected distance and a minimum number of neighbors in order to weight the relationship between each feature in the map.
5-Step Geoprocessing Approach

Step 5: Hot Spot Analysis

- In the final step, a Getis-Ord Gi* Hot Spot Analysis was conducted for PTB/LBW rates using spatial relationships of the fixed distance band (6,691 meters for PTB; 8,588 meters for LBW) and the spatial weights matrix.
- The Getis-Ord Gi* test calculates a Z-score for each feature (i.e., census tract) indicating whether that feature exhibited clustering compared to the global mean.
- If there are enough high or low values in close vicinity to one another, then a hotspot or coldspot will be indicated.

This slide details the final step in the 5-step geoprocessing approach: hot spot analysis.

In the final step, a Getis-Ord Gi* Hot Spot Analysis was conducted for preterm birth rates or low birthweight rates using spatial relationships of the fixed distance band (6,691 meters for PTB; 8,588 meters for LBW) and the spatial weights matrix.

The Getis-Ord Gi* test calculates a Z-score for each feature (i.e., census tract) indicating whether that feature exhibited clustering compared to the global mean.

If there are enough high or low values in close vicinity to one another, then a hotspot or coldspot will be indicated.
Results---Descriptive Maps

• Descriptive thematic maps portray the number of live births, the number of PTB/LBW, and PTB/LBW rates across Michigan by census tract.

• These maps indicated that census tracts in certain counties possessed large counts and rates of PTB/LBW and provided initial information about the burden of PTB/LBW reduction needs.

The following three slides show the descriptive results within maps.

These descriptive thematic maps portray the number of live births, the number of preterm birth or low birthweight, and preterm birth rates and low birthweight rates across Michigan by census tract.

These maps indicated that census tracts in certain counties possessed large counts and rates of preterm birth or low birthweight and provided initial information about the burden of preterm birth or low birthweight reduction needs.
This map shows the number of live births by census tract for the State of Michigan, 2012-2016.

There were **203** births in the mean census tract in Michigan, ranging from **one** to **948** births and standard deviation was **114**.

From 2012 to 2016, **26** census tracts had no live births; **328** census tracts had over one and at most 89 (mean: 203 – standard deviation: 114 = 89) live births; **1,243** census tracts had over 90 and at most 203 (mean) live births; **795** census tracts had over 204 and at most 317 (mean: 203+ standard deviation: 114 = 317) live births; and **381** census tracts had over 318 and at most 948 live births.

From 2012 to 2016, among those **2,747** census tracts with live births in Michigan, **42.8** percent of census tracts (1176 out of 2,747) had more live births than the mean for the state of Michigan; **13.9** percent of census tracts (381 out of 2,747) had more live births than one standard deviation above the state average.
This map shows the number of preterm births by census tract for the State of Michigan, 2012-2016.

There were 19 preterm births in the mean census tract in Michigan, ranging from 0 to 113 preterm births and standard deviation was 13.

From 2012 to 2016, 48 census tracts had no preterm births; 246 census tracts had over 1 and at most 6 (mean: 19 – standard deviation: 13 = 6) preterm births; 1,260 census tracts had over 7 and at most 19 (mean) preterm births; 815 census tracts had over 20 and at most 32 (mean: 19+ standard deviation: 13 = 32) preterm births; and 404 census tracts had over 33 and at most 113 preterm births.

From 2012 to 2016, among those 2,725 census tracts with preterm births in Michigan, 44.7 percent of census tracts (1,219 out of 2,725) had more preterm births than the mean for the state of Michigan; 14.8 percent of census tracts (404 out of 2,725) had more preterm births than 1 standard deviation above the state average.
This map shows the average incidence of preterm births by census tract for the State of Michigan, 2012-2016.

From 2012 to 2016, **26** census tracts had no live births and **22** census tracts had no preterm births.

The preterm birth rate in the mean census tract was **9.9** percent, with a standard deviation of **3.7** percent.

From 2012 to 2016, the average preterm birth rate was between 0.1 percent and 9.9 percent (mean) in **1,546** census tracts; between 10.0 percent and 13.6 percent (mean: 9.9 + standard deviation: 3.7 = 13.6) in **807** census tracts; and over 13.6 percent in **372** census tracts.

From 2012 to 2016, among those **2,725** census tracts with live births and preterm births in Michigan, **43.3** percent of census tracts (1,179 out of 2,725) had preterm birth rate greater than the mean for the state of Michigan; **13.7** percent of census tracts (372 out of 2,725) had preterm birth rate greater than 1 standard deviation above the state average.
When considering the 2,606 Michigan census tracts included in this study, the smallest distance at which clustering z-score of PTB rates peaked was at 6,691 meters (4.2 miles; \( P < .001 \)).

This slide shows the results of the incremental spatial autocorrelation of preterm birth rates for the State of Michigan, 2012-2016.

**Incremental Spatial Autocorrelation** measures spatial autocorrelation for a series of distances and optionally creates a line graph of those distances and their corresponding z-scores. Z-scores reflect the intensity of spatial clustering, and statistically significant peak z-scores indicate distances where spatial processes promoting clustering are most pronounced.

When considering the 2,606 Michigan census tracts included in this study, the smallest distance at which clustering of preterm birth rates peaked was at 6,691 meters (4.2 miles; \( P < .001 \)).
Results from the hot spot analyses at the census tract level portray a detailed picture of the statistically significant clusters of PTB rates.

• Dark red census tracts denote hot spot clusters with significantly higher densities of PTB when compared to the mean density of PTB for all census tracts \((P < .01)\).
• Yellow tracts represent census tracts that had densities of PTB that were not statistically different from the mean density of PTB in the state as a whole.
• Dark blue census tracts denoted cold spots, or lower densities of PTB, that were significant at the \(P < .01\) level.

This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of preterm birth rates using fixed distance band (6,691 meters) method for the State of Michigan, 2012-2016.

Using fixed distance band method, each feature is analyzed within the context of neighboring features. Neighboring features inside the specified critical distance (Distance Band or Threshold Distance) receive a weight of one and exert influence on computations for the target feature. Neighboring features outside the critical distance receive a weight of zero and have no influence on a target feature's computations.

Results from the hot spot analyses at the census tract level portray a detailed picture of the statistically significant clusters of preterm birth rates.

• Dark red census tracts denote hot spot clusters with significantly higher densities of preterm birth when compared to the mean density of preterm birth for all census tracts \((P < .01)\).
• Yellow tracts represent census tracts that had densities of preterm birth that were not statistically different from the mean density of preterm birth in the state as a whole.
• Dark blue census tracts denoted cold spots, or lower densities of preterm birth, that were significant at the \(P < .01\) level.
PTB Results --- Hot Spot Cluster Analysis
(Fixed Distance Band Method)

Counties with significant clusters can be seen in the map to the right.

- In the statewide analyses, we found statistically significant PTB hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following counties: Genesee, Kent, Marquette, Oakland, Washtenaw, and Wayne counties.

- Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of PTB, existed within the following counties: Alger, Ingham, Kalkaska, Keweenaw, Lake, Lapeer, Wexford, and Washtenaw counties.

This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of preterm birth rates using fixed distance band (6,691 meters) method and includes the county names that have hot spots.

Using fixed distance band method, each feature is analyzed within the context of neighboring features. Neighboring features inside the specified critical distance (Distance Band or Threshold Distance) receive a weight of one and exert influence on computations for the target feature. Neighboring features outside the critical distance receive a weight of zero and have no influence on a target feature's computations.

Counties with significant clusters can be seen on the map.

- In the statewide analyses, we found statistically significant preterm birth hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following counties: Genesee, Kent, Marquette, Oakland, Washtenaw, and Wayne counties.

- Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of preterm birth, existed within the following counties: Alger, Ingham, Kalkaska, Keweenaw, Lake, Lapeer, Wexford, and Washtenaw counties.
PTB Results --- Hot Spot Cluster Analysis
(Fixed Distance Band Method)

Cities with significant clusters can be seen in the map to the right.

- In statewide analyses, we found statistically significant PTB hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following cities: Detroit, Flint, Marquette, Pontiac, and Ypsilanti.

- Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of PTB, existed within the following cities: Battle Creek, Big Rapids, Cadillac, East Lansing, Grayling, Kalamazoo, Lapeer, and Reed City.

This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of preterm birth rates using fixed distance band (6,691 meters) method and includes the city names that have hot spots.

Using fixed distance band method, each feature is analyzed within the context of neighboring features. Neighboring features inside the specified critical distance (Distance Band or Threshold Distance) receive a weight of one and exert influence on computations for the target feature. Neighboring features outside the critical distance receive a weight of zero and have no influence on a target feature's computations.

Cities with significant clusters can be seen on the map.

- In statewide analyses, we found statistically significant preterm birth hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following cities: Detroit, Flint, Marquette, Pontiac, and Ypsilanti.

- Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of preterm birth, existed within the following cities: Battle Creek, Big Rapids, Cadillac, East Lansing, Grayling, Kalamazoo, Lapeer, and Reed City.
This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of preterm birth rates using spatial weights matrix method for the State of Michigan, 2012-2016.

Using spatial weights matrix method, spatial relationships are defined by a specified spatial weights file and the path to the spatial weights file is specified by the Weights Matrix File parameter. Spatial weights are numbers that reflect the distance between each feature and every other feature in the dataset. Nearer features have a larger weight than features that are farther away.

Results from the hot spot analyses at the census tract level portray a detailed picture of the statistically significant clusters of preterm birth rates.

- Dark red census tracts denote hot spot clusters with significantly higher densities of preterm birth when compared to the mean density of preterm birth for all census tracts ($P < .01$).
- Yellow tracts represent census tracts that had densities of preterm birth that were not statistically different from the mean density of preterm birth in the state as a whole.
- Dark blue census tracts denoted cold spots, or lower densities of preterm birth, that were significant at the $P < .01$ level.
This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of preterm birth rates using spatial weights matrix method and includes the county names that have hot spots.

Using spatial weights matrix method, spatial relationships are defined by a specified spatial weights file and the path to the spatial weights file is specified by the Weights Matrix File parameter. Spatial weights are numbers that reflect the distance between each feature and every other feature in the dataset. Nearer features have a larger weight than features that are farther away.

Counties with significant clusters can be seen in the map.

• In the statewide analyses, we found statistically significant preterm birth rates hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following counties: Genesee, Kent, Oakland, Washtenaw, and Wayne counties.

• Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of preterm birth, existed within the following counties: Chippewa, Gladwin, Ingham, Kalamazoo, Kalkaska, Keweenaw, Lake, Lapeer, Manistee, Sanilac, Tuscola, Wexford, and Washtenaw counties.
This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of preterm birth rates using spatial weights matrix method and includes the city names that have hot spots.

Using spatial weights matrix method, spatial relationships are defined by a specified spatial weights file and the path to the spatial weights file is specified by the Weights Matrix File parameter. Spatial weights are numbers that reflect the distance between each feature and every other feature in the dataset. Nearer features have a larger weight than features that are farther away.

Cities with significant clusters can be seen in the map.

- In statewide analyses, we found statistically significant preterm birth hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following cities: Cedar Springs, Detroit, Flint, Pontiac, and Ypsilanti.

- Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of preterm birth, existed within the following cities: Battle Creek, Big Rapids, Cadillac, East Lansing, Gladwin, Grayling, Kalamazoo, Lapeer, Livonia, Mackinac Island, Manistee, Milan, Plymouth, and Reed City.
This map shows the number of infants with low birthweight by census tract for the State of Michigan, 2012-2016.

There were 16 births with low birthweight in the mean census tract in Michigan, ranging from 0 to 109 births and standard deviation was 11.

From 2012 to 2016, 51 census tracts had no birth with low birthweight; 290 census tracts had over 1 and at most 5 (mean: 16 – standard deviation: 11 = 5) births with low birthweight; 1,245 census tracts had over 6 and at most 16 (mean) births with low birthweight; 730 census tracts had over 17 and at most 27 (mean: 16+ standard deviation: 11 = 27) births with low birthweight; and 457 census tracts had over 28 and at most 109 births with low birthweight.

From 2012 to 2016, among those 2,722 census tracts with births with low birthweight in Michigan, 43.6 percent of census tracts (1,187 out of 2,722) had more births with low birthweight than the mean for the state of Michigan; 16.8 percent of census tracts (457 out of 2,722) had more births with low birthweight than one standard deviation above the state average.
This map shows the average incidence of low birthweight by census tract for the State of Michigan, 2012-2016.

From 2012 to 2016, 26 census tracts had no live births and 25 census tracts had no births with low birthweight.

The low birthweight rate in the mean census tract was 8.4 percent, with a standard deviation of 3.9 percent.

From 2012 to 2016, the average low birthweight rate was between 0.1 percent and 8.4 percent (mean) in 1,592 census tracts; between 8.5 percent and 12.3 percent (mean: 8.4 + standard deviation: 3.9 = 12.3) in 719 census tracts; and over 12.4 percent in 411 census tracts.

From 2012 to 2016, among those 2,722 census tracts with live births and low birthweights in Michigan, 41.5 percent of census tracts (1,130 out of 2,722) had low birthweight rate greater than the mean for the state of Michigan; 15.1 percent of census tracts (411 out of 2,722) had low birthweight rate greater than one standard deviation above the state average.
When considering the 2,606 Michigan census tracts included in this study, the smallest distance at which clustering z-score of LBW rates peaked was at 8,588 meters (5.3 miles; \( P < .001 \)).

This slide shows the results of the incremental spatial autocorrelation of low birthweight rates for the State of Michigan, 2012-2016.

**Incremental Spatial Autocorrelation** measures spatial autocorrelation for a series of distances and optionally creates a line graph of those distances and their corresponding z-scores. Z-scores reflect the intensity of spatial clustering, and statistically significant peak z-scores indicate distances where spatial processes promoting clustering are most pronounced.

When considering the 2,606 Michigan census tracts included in this study, the smallest distance at which clustering of low birthweight rates peaked was at 8,588 meters (5.3 miles; \( P < .001 \)).
LBW Results --- Hot Spot Cluster Analysis  
(Fixed Distance Band Method)

Results from the hot spot analyses at the census tract level portray a detailed picture of the statistically significant clusters of LBW rates.

- Dark red census tracts denote hot spot clusters with significantly higher densities of LBW when compared to the mean density of LBW for all census tracts ($P < .01$).
- Yellow tracts represent census tracts that had densities of LBW that were not statistically different from the mean density of LBW in the state as a whole.
- Dark blue census tracts denoted cold spots, or lower densities of LBW, that were significant at the $P < .01$ level.

This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of low birthweight rates using fixed distance band (8,588 meters) method.

Using fixed distance band method, each feature is analyzed within the context of neighboring features. Neighboring features inside the specified critical distance (Distance Band or Threshold Distance) receive a weight of one and exert influence on computations for the target feature. Neighboring features outside the critical distance receive a weight of zero and have no influence on a target feature's computations.

Results from the hot spot analyses at the census tract level portray a detailed picture of the statistically significant clusters of low birthweight rates.

- Dark red census tracts denote hot spot clusters with significantly higher densities of low birthweight when compared to the mean density of low birthweight for all census tracts ($P < .01$).
- Yellow tracts represent census tracts that had densities of low birthweight that were not statistically different from the mean density of low birthweight in the state as a whole.
- Dark blue census tracts denoted cold spots, or lower densities of low birthweight, that were significant at the $P < .01$ level.
LBW Results --- Hot Spot Cluster Analysis
(Fixed Distance Band Method)

Counties with significant clusters can be seen in the map to the right.

• In the statewide analyses, we found statistically significant LBW hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following counties: Genesee, Oakland, Washtenaw, and Wayne counties.

• Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of LBW, existed within the following counties: Chippewa, Delta, Ingham, Keweenaw, Lapeer, Livingston, Newaygo, Ottawa, Roscommon, Washtenaw, and Wexford counties.

This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of low birthweight rates using fixed distance band (8,588 meters) method and includes the county names that have hot spots.

Using fixed distance band method, each feature is analyzed within the context of neighboring features. Neighboring features inside the specified critical distance (Distance Band or Threshold Distance) receive a weight of one and exert influence on computations for the target feature. Neighboring features outside the critical distance receive a weight of zero and have no influence on a target feature's computations.

Counties with significant clusters can be seen on the map.

• In the statewide analyses, we found statistically significant low birthweight hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following counties: Genesee, Oakland, Washtenaw, and Wayne counties.

• Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of low birthweight, existed within the following counties: Chippewa, Delta, Ingham, Keweenaw, Lapeer, Livingston, Newaygo, Ottawa, Roscommon, Washtenaw, and Wexford counties.
LBW Results --- Hot Spot Cluster Analysis  
(Fixed Distance Band Method)

Cities with significant clusters can be seen in the map to the right.

- In statewide analyses, we found statistically significant LBW hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following cities: Detroit, Flint, Pontiac, and Ypsilanti.

- Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of LBW, existed within the following cities: Ann Arbor, Big Rapids, Cadillac, East Lansing, Escanaba, Holland, Hudsonville, Keego Harbor, Lapeer, Livonia, Sault Ste Marie, and White Cloud.

This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of low birthweight rates using fixed distance band (8,588 meters) method and includes the city names that have hot spots.

Using fixed distance band method, each feature is analyzed within the context of neighboring features. Neighboring features inside the specified critical distance (Distance Band or Threshold Distance) receive a weight of one and exert influence on computations for the target feature. Neighboring features outside the critical distance receive a weight of zero and have no influence on a target feature's computations.

Cities with significant clusters can be seen on the map.
- In statewide analyses, we found statistically significant low birthweight hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following cities: Detroit, Flint, Pontiac, and Ypsilanti.

- Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of low birthweight, existed within the following cities: Ann Arbor, Big Rapids, Cadillac, East Lansing, Escanaba, Holland, Hudsonville, Keego Harbor, Lapeer, Livonia, Sault Ste Marie, and White Cloud.
This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of low birthweight rates using spatial weights matrix method for the State of Michigan, 2012-2016.

Using spatial weights matrix method, spatial relationships are defined by a specified spatial weights file and the path to the spatial weights file is specified by the Weights Matrix File parameter. Spatial weights are numbers that reflect the distance between each feature and every other feature in the dataset. Nearer features have a larger weight than features that are farther away.

Results from the hot spot analyses at the census tract level portray a detailed picture of the statistically significant clusters of low birthweight rates.

- Dark red census tracts denote hot spot clusters with significantly higher densities of low birthweight when compared to the mean density of low birthweight for all census tracts ($P < .01$).
- Yellow tracts represent census tracts that had densities of low birthweight that were not statistically different from the mean density of low birthweight in the state as a whole.
- Dark blue census tracts denoted cold spots, or lower densities of low birthweight, that were significant at the $P < .01$ level.
This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of low birthweight rates using spatial weights matrix method and includes the county names that have hot spots.

Using spatial weights matrix method, spatial relationships are defined by a specified spatial weights file and the path to the spatial weights file is specified by the Weights Matrix File parameter. Spatial weights are numbers that reflect the distance between each feature and every other feature in the dataset. Nearer features have a larger weight than features that are farther away.

Counties with significant clusters can be seen in the map.

- In the statewide analyses, we found statistically significant low birthweight rates hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following counties: Genesee, Kent, Oakland, Washtenaw, and Wayne counties.

- Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of low birthweight, existed within the following counties: Chippewa, Eaton, Kent, Keweenaw, Lapeer, Mackinac, Newaygo, Oakland, Osceola, Ottawa, and Washtenaw counties.
This map shows the results of the hot spot cluster analysis of low birthweight rates using spatial weights matrix method and includes the city names that have hot spots.

Using spatial weights matrix method, spatial relationships are defined by a specified spatial weights file and the path to the spatial weights file is specified by the Weights Matrix File parameter. Spatial weights are numbers that reflect the distance between each feature and every other feature in the dataset. Nearer features have a larger weight than features that are farther away.

Cities with significant clusters can be seen in the map.

- In statewide analyses, we found statistically significant low birthweight rates hot spot clusters for census tracts within the following cities: Detroit, Flint, Pontiac, and Ypsilanti.

- Significant cold spot clusters (blue shading), with low densities of low birthweight, existed within the following cities: Battle Creek, Cadillac, East Lansing, Escanaba, Holland, Lapeer, Livonia, and Sault Ste Marie.
Conclusions

• After performing hot spot cluster analysis following the 5-step Geoprocessing approach, there were 653 census tracts out of 2,773 that were included in a statistically significant PTB cluster (p<.05). 543 of the PTB rate clustered census tracts were hotspots while 110 were coldspots; there were 799 census tracts out of 2,773 that were included in a statistically significant LBW cluster (p<.05). 604 of the LBW rate clustered census tracts were hotspots while 195 were coldspots.

• This analysis found several significant PTB/LBW hotspots and coldspots, which presents a valuable resource for public health practitioners in identifying locations of high priority.

After performing hot spot cluster analysis following the 5-step Geoprocessing approach, there were 653 census tracts out of 2,773 that were included in a statistically significant preterm birth cluster (p<.05). 543 of the preterm birth rate clustered census tracts were hotspots while 110 were coldspots; there were 799 census tracts out of 2,773 that were included in a statistically significant low birthweight cluster (p<.05). 604 of the low birthweight rate clustered census tracts were hotspots while 195 were coldspots.

This analysis found several significant preterm birth or low birthweight hotspots and coldspots, which presents a valuable resource for public health practitioners in identifying locations of high priority.
Discussions

• The 5-step geoprocessing analysis that culminated in these hot spot maps provided a rigorous and systematic method to determine the location of statistically significant PTB/LBW clusters.

• Use of this approach and the traditional data visualization techniques (e.g., thematic maps) provide policymakers and program managers with an evidence base for important public health program and funding decisions.

• Similar analyses can be conducted for other public health programs to help assess the coverage and breadth of services in specified catchment areas that can facilitate targeting of public health services (Stopka, Krawczyk, Gradziel, & Geraghty, 2014).
  • During good budgetary times, hot spot analyses can point to counties, cities, and local neighborhoods in which services can be enhanced.
  • During less favorable economic times, cold spot clusters can help inform policymakers and program directors to provide services in more efficient ways or relocate services to areas of higher need.

The 5-step geoprocessing analysis that culminated in these hot spot maps provided a rigorous and systematic method to determine the location of statistically significant preterm birth or low birthweight clusters.

Use of this approach and the traditional data visualization techniques (e.g., thematic maps) provide policymakers and program managers with an evidence base for important public health program and funding decisions.

Similar analyses can be conducted for other public health programs to help assess the coverage and breadth of services in specified catchment areas that can facilitate targeting of public health services (Stopka, Krawczyk, Gradziel, & Geraghty, 2014).

• During good budgetary times, hot spot analyses can point to counties, cities, and local neighborhoods in which services can be enhanced.
• During less favorable economic times, cold spot clusters can help inform policymakers and program directors to provide services in more efficient ways or relocate services to areas of higher need.
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