

Governance, Transparency and Accountability						
Policy #	Policy Recommendations	Current State	Barriers	Required Change/ Recommended Action	Due Date	Status
6.1	In light of the level of federal and state funding involved in the managed care arrangements that serve as the payment and risk management structures in Michigan's Medicaid system, the <u>Michigan Legislature should require all organizations that manage Michigan's Medicaid benefit to comply with Michigan's Freedom of Information Act and the Michigan Open Meetings Act.</u>	CMHSPs/PIHPs are currently required to comply with Michigan's Freedom of Information Act and the Michigan Open Meetings Act.	Requires legislative action	MDHHS provided the final 298 Workgroup Report to the Legislature. This policy recommendation is dependent on legislative action. No further action is required by MDHHS at this time.	NA	Not Planned
6.2	The Michigan <u>Legislature should require at least a third of all members of boards of directors for organizations managing Medicaid benefits to be primary consumers</u> (persons who have or currently receive services from providers managed by the organization) <u>or secondary consumers</u> (families of persons who have or currently do receive services from these providers). Among the primary and secondary consumers on these boards, at least half should be primary consumers.	<p>The group noted that Insurance Regulations require that MHPs are already required to have a governing body that meets quarterly and either (a) has a membership that is composed of a third of consumers or (b) has a consumer advisory council.</p> <p>The group noted that PIHPs are required under PA 500 to have substance use advisory boards county appointed designees</p> <p>MHPs are required to have consumer representation on their Board.</p> <p>The Michigan Mental Health Code stipulates the composition of the CMHSP Board. CMHSPs are periodically required to report Board member composition including primary/secondary consumer status.</p> <p>Chapter 2A requires defined BH representation on the CMHE Board, which is currently met by the PIHP.</p> <p>Requirements for PIHP boards are defined in the Application for Participation attachment to the MDHHS contract. Primary and secondary consumer composition status was required as part of the Application for Participation Assessment, but the AFP requirements did not specify a specific number of primary and secondary consumers.</p>		MDHHS provided the final 298 Workgroup Report to the Legislature. This policy recommendation is dependent on legislative action. No further action is required by MDHHS at this time.	NA	Not Planned
6.3	<u>MDHHS should host public forums annually to allow consumers to provide direct feedback</u> to the state on improving coordination of behavioral and physical health services for individuals who received Medicaid services. Public forums should be widely advertised using culturally and geographically appropriate means of distribution.	As part of the 298 pilot(s) and demonstration project, the pilot participants will be required to use public forums as a means of obtaining direct consumer input and feedback.		<p>1) Incorporate requirements for public forums into the contracts of the participants within the pilot sites.</p> <p>2) Work with the project facilitator and pilot site participants to schedule and host public forums within the pilot sites.</p> <p>3) Require pilot participants to host ongoing public forums throughout implementation in compliance with contractual requirements.</p>	<p>7.1.18</p> <p>7.1.18</p> <p>9.30.20</p>	In Process