D	ate of Completion 03-0	05-2018		
St	ate: Michigan		Fiscal Year to which credit ap	oplies: 2018
	Overall Report Two-parent Report	_X_ (check one)	Apply the overall credit to the two participation rate?	o-parent yes _X no
			Changes Made Since FY 2005 ection for EACH change)	
1.	Name of eligibility chang	<b>зе</b> :		
			ing for cash assistance be referred imme spended effective 6/1/2006. This policy	
2.	Implementation date of e	ligibility change:		
	Individuals immediately and reinstated 5/2/2007.	referred to Work First pr	rogram as a condition of eligibility was s	suspended 6/1/2006
3.	Description of policy, inc	luding the change from J	prior policy:	
			Training (JET) clients must be referred mporarily eliminated this requirement as	
4.	Description of the method (attach supporting material		the estimated impact of this eligibility c	hange
	There is no longer a casel	oad impact which can be	e attached to this policy.	
5.	Estimated average month	ly impact of this eligibili	ty change on caseload in comparison ye	ar: _N/A

1. Name of eligibility change:

A 19 year-old attending high school full-time is no longer considered a dependent child in the eligible FIP group.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Previous to the policy change, a 19 year-old that attended high school full-time and that was expecting to graduate before the age of 20, was a mandatory group member in the eligible FIP group. This child was in the FIP group as a dependent child until the child turned 20 years old or graduated from high school, whichever occurred first.

State law, MCL 400.57(1)(c) changed the definition of a dependent child, eliminating any 19 year-olds active FIP.

If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 19 year-old, the FIP case closed. The cases reported as closed were due to the only child in the home that was 19 years old. Cases that had other children in the home or a pregnant grantee remained open, but the needs of the 19 year-old were removed.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

Please see the impact table titled, 19 Year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.

• The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 26

1. Name of eligibility change:

A 16 or 17 year-old not attending high school full-time is disqualified from receiving FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, a 16 or 17 year-old that was not attending high school full-time was required to participate at Michigan's employment and training program.
- Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was changed and the 16 or 17 year-old will be disqualified from receiving FIP and will not be referred to Michigan's employment and training program.

• Effective June 11, 2015, this policy was signed into State law, MCL 400.57b.

- If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 16 or 17 year old who was not attending high school full-time, then the FIP case closed. The cases closed were due to the only child in the home being the disqualified child. Cases that had other children or a pregnant grantee in the home remained open, but the needs of the 16 or 17 year old were removed.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
  - Please see the impact table titled, 16/17 Year Olds Disqualified for not Attending School. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.

The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.

o The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 8

1. Name of eligibility change:

Financial need exists for FIP if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted. If the deficit is less than \$10, the FIP case is closed.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
  - Previous to the policy change, after budgeting any earned or unearned income, if a group's FIP grant
    was under \$10, the FIP case would remain open/approved, but the client would not receive the grant
    amount.
  - Policy item BEM 518, FIP Income Budgeting, was updated stating financial need exists if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted.
  - A deficit of at least \$10 is required to receive a cash benefit. If the deficit is less than \$10, financial need does not exist and the FIP group is not eligible to receive benefits. The FIP case will close.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
  - Please see the impact table titled, *Less Than \$10 Deficit*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
    - o The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
    - o The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 184

	FORM ACE-202 - TANE CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT
1.	Name of eligibility change:
	Asset limit of \$500,000 for property assets.
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change:
	10/1/2011
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
	<ul> <li>Previous to the policy change, property assets were excluded from determining FIP eligibility.</li> <li>The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec. 686(3), states "The department shall prohibition individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$500,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."</li> <li>If a FIP group has more than \$500,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.</li> <li>This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2013 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.</li> </ul>
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)  There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.
	•
 5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

	FORM ACF-202 – I ANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT
1.	Name of eligibility change:
	Asset limit of \$250,000 for property assets.
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change:
	10/1/2013
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
	<ul> <li>Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$500,000.</li> <li>The annual appropriations act of 2013, 2013 P.A. 59 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$250,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."</li> <li>If a FIP group has more than \$250,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.</li> <li>This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2014 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.</li> </ul>
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)  There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

Expiration Date: 08/31/2020 OMB Control No.: 0970-0338

1. Name of eligibility change:

Asset limit of \$200,000 for property assets.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2014

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
  - Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$250,000.
  - The annual appropriations act of 2014, 2014 P.A. 252 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$200,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
  - If a FIP group has more than \$200,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
  - Please see the impact table titled, \$200,000 Property Asset Limit. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
    - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
    - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1

1. Name of eligibility change:

A person convicted two or more times for a drug related felony is disqualified from FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
  - Previous to the policy change, the department only disqualified an individual receiving FIP if that person was in violation of the terms of their probation/parole and the conduct for which the person was convicted occurred after August 22, 1996. If the person was not in violation of the terms of probation or parole, the FIP benefits were paid in the form of restricted payments.
  - The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec 619(2), changed this policy to disqualify an individual if the individual was convicted in two or more separate cases of a felony that included the possession, use, or distribution of a controlled substance after August 22, 1996.
  - If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the individual who was disqualified due to a second drug related felony, then the FIP case closed. The cases that may close would be due to the disqualification of the only person in the group receiving FIP. Cases that had other individuals eligible to receive FIP remained open, but the needs of the disqualified individual were removed.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
  - Please see the impact table titled, Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
    - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
    - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0

1. Name of eligibility change:

The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of closing FIP cases that included individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
  - Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
  - Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for individuals. Once an individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
  - Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
    - O Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
  - A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
  - Please see the impact table titled, Federal Time Limit Closures. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
    - o The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
    - O The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1,147

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338 Expiration Date: 08/31/2020 Page 9 of 16

1. Name of eligibility change:

The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of *denying* FIP *applications* that included individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
  - Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months
    to individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
  - Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for individuals. Once an individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
  - Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
    - Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
  - A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months. If a family applies for FIP with an individual in the FIP group that has received over 60 federally funded FIP months, the application is denied.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
  - Please see the impact table titled, Federal Time Limit Application Denials. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
    - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
    - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1,783

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338

1. Name of eligibility change:

An individual in a group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2007

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
  - Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
  - From the policy start date of 10/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month a client could reach 48 months and have their FIP closed due to the state time limit.

- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
  - Please see the impact table titled, State Time Limit Closures. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
    - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
    - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 549

# 1. Name of eligibility change:

An individual in the FIP group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime which had the effect of *denying* FIP *applications* that included individuals that received over 48 months of FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

#### 10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
  - Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
  - From the policy start date of 1/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month a client could have reached 48 months. If the family applies for FIP after 10/1/2011 with an individual in the FIP group that has received over 48 months of FIP, the *application* is *denied*.

- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
  - Please see the impact table titled, State Time Limit Denials. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
    - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
    - o The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 266

1. Name of eligibility change:

If a dependent child in the FIP group age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2012

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to this policy change, the FIP case would remain open/approved if a family included a child 6-15 years old that was not attending school full-time.
- Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was updated stating if a dependent child age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.
- If it is verified that a dependent child 6-15 years old in the FIP group is not attending school full-time, the FIP case will close for the entire group.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
  - Please see the impact table titled, 6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
    - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
    - O The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 147

1.	Name of eligibility change:
	A solely state-funded group of cases was created.
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change:
	10/1/2006
3.	Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
	<ul> <li>Effective 10/1/2006, a solely state-funded group of cases was created. This group is comprised of two- parent families and cases in which the adult is incapacitated greater than 90 days.</li> </ul>
4.	Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)  • The solely state-funded program cases are tracked monthly via Michigan's Green Book monthly and annual reports. Used for this report was the Fiscal Year 2015 Annual Report: Table 11, to capture the actual number of solely state-funded FIP cases. The actual monthly numbers were inputted into the attached Solely State-Funded Cases impact table. The impact table took the actual monthly numbers entered and calculated the monthly average for the fiscal year.
 5.	Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 4,432

Date of Completion 03/05/2018

State: Michigan Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2018

# PART 2 - Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

Vichigan	1	Fiscal Year to which credit applies:	g A Mengerevenyanan	2018
THE THE THE TAX AND A STATE OF THE PARTY OF		Date of Completion:	02/27/2018	
PART 2 – Estin	rate of Caseload Red	uction Gredit		
	((s) 12 to 12 t	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		
mpact of All Changes		Caseload Reduction Calculation		
9 year olds Removed as a Dependent child	26	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595	
6/17 year olds Disqualified for not Attending School	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	# <b>0</b> ,	
ess Than \$10 Delicit	184	Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595	
200,000 Property Asset Limit		FY 2017 TANF Caseload	14,454	
ersons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony	0	FY 2017 SSP Caseload	10 Care 10	
ederal Time Limit Closures	1,147	Total FY 2017 Caseload	14,454	
ederal Time Limit Application Denials	1.789	Excess MOE Cases in FY 2017	405	
tate Time Limit Closures	549	Adjusted FY 2017 Caseload	14,049	
tate Time Limit Denials	266:	Caseload Decline	66,546	82.6%
-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time	147	Decline - Net Impact	75,095	
olely State-Funded Cases	4,432		I I	
olety otate i unica ocace		Caseload Reducti	on Credit =	82.6%
			1	
	19 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3			
	A SA			
				_11
	8,549			
let Impact	0 <sub>1</sub> 040†	<u> </u>		
}	<u>I</u>			
				*******

Date o	f Completion	03/05/2018	
State:	Michigan		Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2018

#### PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

M	WWW M. Jewel 03-	J9- <del>30</del> 18
	Terrence Beurer	
	(name)	
- desa	Director of Field Operations Administration (title)	

Policy Name

19 year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child Date of Completion:

		Impact on Each Month in FY 2017											
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Арг	May ·	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure								WIW.					
Prior years carryover	31	// <b>29</b> //	28	26	25	24	23	22	21	20 (	19	18	
Oct		. 1	13.54	3.3.4		1			and the				
Nov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O	
Dec			0	0	0	2 0 s	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr							0	0	0	0	0	0	
May								0	0	0	0	ol	
Jun									2	2	2	2	
Jul										0	0		
Aug											2	2	
Sep											///////	2 0	Gra
<del></del>		<del></del>	in in the first of		<u> </u>	······································	<u></u>	to the table of tabl			**************************************		То
Total	32	30	29	27	26	25	24	23	24	23	24	23	3
										FY 2017	monthly a	average	

Policy Name

16/17 year olds Disqualified for not Attending School Date of Completion: 02/27/2018

					Impact on	Each Mo	nth in FY	2017			· piotioiii		
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure	1												
Prior years carryover	9	9	8	3555558		1200713	\$1844 <b>7</b> 434	619	### <b>6</b> ##	6	- 5 m	4.5	
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov	<i>\\\\\\\</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan	<i>\\\\\\\</i>			0	0	0		0 mir	0	0	<b>6 (0</b> )	0	
Feb					0	0	.0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar					//////	1	1			1	1		
Apr						///////	0	0	0	0	0	0	
May	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>							1		1	D		
Jun										1.11		1	
Jul									//////	0	0	0 3	
Aug										///////	0	0	
Sep											///////		Gra
									······································				To
Total	9	9	8	8	7	8	8	8	9	9	8	9	1
													***************************************
										FY 2017	monthly a	average	

Policy Name

Less Than \$10 Deficit
Date of Completion:

skrijan an angleska kang kang kang kang kang kang kang ka		i Onoy	INGILIE							i i ii di i q i			
										Date of Com	pletion:	02/27/2	018
					Impact on	Each Mo	nth in FY	2017					
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	200	190	181	321712	163	155	147	140	133	126	120	114	
Oct		11	1	1	1		1	1		1			
Nov		5	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	
Dec	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>		7	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	4	
Jan			///////	8	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	5	
Feb					5	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	
Mar						6	6	5	5	5	5	4	
Apr							6	6	5	5	5	5	
May								7	7	6	6	6	
Jun									10	10	9	9	
Jul	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>									5	5	5	
Aug											3	3	
Sep											///////	5	Gran
	1	tententintentintentintent									<del></del>		Tota
Total	201	196	194	192	187	184	182	179	179	176	172	167	2,20
										FY 2017	monthly	average	18

Policy Name

\$200,000 Property Asset Limit
Date of Completion: 02/27/2018

			·		Impact on	Each Mo	nth in FY	2017					
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	Maria de O	0.44	0	0	<b>30</b>	0.0	0	# ( <b>0</b>	1120000		0	0	
Oct	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec			0	0	. 0	0 %	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr							110	### <b>1</b> 76		19 m <b>1</b> 9 9			
May								0	0	0	0	0	
Jun								///////	0	0	0	0	
Jul										0	0	0	
Aug											0	0	
Sep	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>									<u> </u>		0	(
				_	_	_				_			
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	
		1								EV 2047	monthly a	nverage.	
										F1 2017	monuny a	average	

Policy Name

Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug-Related Felony
Date of Completion: 02/27/2018

	1				Impact or	Fach Mo	nth in FY	2017	L	Jate of Cor	ipietion.	02/2/1/2	2010
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	- O	99493009 <b>0</b> 9392	ani 25 <b>0</b> 33		0-94 ( <b>0</b> -84	0.00 PO.00		Larger O	g:::::::::::0.2955	0×		64 (44 <b>0</b>	:
Oct	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0 4	0.4	0	0	0	0	
Nov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2000 (C	0.9	0	10 × 0	
Dec			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>		//////	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	,
Feb	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr	<i>\\\\\\\\</i>						0	0	0	0	0	0	
May	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>						///////	0	0	0	0	0	
Jun									0	0	0	0	
Jul										0	0	0	
Aug	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>										0	0	
Sep												0	Grand
		<u> </u>	······										Total
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0[	0
										FY 201	7 monthly	average	0

Policy Name

Federal Time Limit Closures
Date of Completion: 02/27/2018

					Impact or	i Each Mo	nth in FY	2017				
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Time of Closure												
Prior years carryover	1,408	1,338	1,271	1,207	1,147	1,089	1,035	983	934	887	843	801
Oct	0	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nov		3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Dec			29	28	26	25	24	22	21	20	19	2 18
Jan	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>			15	14	14	13	12	12	11	10	10
Feb					10	10	9	9	8	8	2 1 7 m	7
Mar						16.	15	14	14	13	12	12
Apr							16	15	14	14	13	12 12
May								8	8	7347	7	7
Jun									22	21	20	19
Jul										14	13	13
Aug											16	15
Sep												15 18
- 1				<del>Calculation of the Calculation </del>				·. ··				
Total	1,408	1,341	1,303	1,253	1,200	1,156	1,114	1,065	1,035	997	962	934
		***************************************										
										FY 2017	7 monthly a	average

Policy Name

Federal Time Limit Application Denials
Date of Completion: 02/27/2018

	Impact on Each Month in FY 2017												
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure	ł							-					
Prior years carryover	1;911	1,815	1,725	1,638	1,557	1,479	1,405	1,335	1,268	1,204	1.144	1,087	
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		52	49	47	45	42	40	38	36	34	33	31	
Dec			83	79	74	71	68	64	61	58	55	52	
Jan				80	76	72	69	65	62	59	56	52 53	
Feb					69	66	62	59	56	53	51	48	
Mar						48	46	43	41	39	37	35	
Apr							53	50	48	45	43	41	
May								73	69	66	63	41 59 69	
Jun	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>								80	76	72	69	
Jul										72	68	65	
Aug											80	76 98	
Sep												98	Gı
													, , , 7
Total	1,911	1,867	1,857	1,844	1,821	1,778	1,743	1,727	1,721	1,706	1,702	1,714	21
										E)( 204	7 41-1		
										FY 201	7 monthly	average	1

Policy Name

State Time Limit Closures

	i,		LOHON MON	I.C.						COLLO 1111/C			
, , , , , , ,									1	Date of Com	pletion:	02/27/2	.018
	Impact on Each Month in FY 2017												
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	540	513	487	463	440	418	397	<b>⁄377</b>	358	340	323	307	
Oct	0	O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		11	10	10	9	9	9	8	8	7	<b>10.7</b> (1)	7	
Dec			44	42	40	38	36	34	32	31	29	28	
Jan				22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	15	
Feb					41	39	37	35	33	32	30	29	
Mar						33	31	30	28	27	26	24	
Apr							25	24	23	21	20	24 19	
May								27	26	24	23	22 27	
Jun									31	29	28	27	
Jul										27	26	24 29 33	
Aug											30	29	
Sep											///////	33	Gran
												_	Tot
Total	540_	524	541	537	551	557	554	553	556	554	557	564	6,58
									<u></u>	FY 2017	monthly	average	54

Policy Name

State Time Limit Denials

	2°1		1 Olich Latel:								o Little Do	inaio	
									[	Date of Con	npletion:	02/27/2	2018
	Į.				impact or	Each Mo	nth in FY	2017					
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	135	128	122	<u> </u>	110	104	99	94	90	85	81	77	
Oct	0	Ő	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		19	18	17	16	15	15	14	13	13	12	11	
Dec			44	42	40	38	. 36	34	32	31	29	28	
Jan				38	36	34	33	31	29	28	27	28 25	
Feb					32	30	29	27	26	28 25	24	22	
Mar						38	36	34	33	31	29	28	
Apr							30	29	27	26	24	23	
May								36	34	32	31	29	
Jun	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>								37	35	33	32	
Jul										42	40	38	
Aug											45	43	
Sep												48	Grand
													Tota
Total	135	147	184	213	234	259	278	299	321	348	375	404	3,19
										FY 201	7 monthly	average	260

Policy Name

6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time

Date of Completion: 02/27/2018 Impact on Each Month in FY 2017 Oct Nov Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Dec Time of Closure 145 101 Prior years carryover 169 161 153 138 131 124 118 112 107 96 0 0 Oct 0 0 0 0 0 Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Grand Total 135 1,765 156 149 145 138 136 131 129 Total 169 162 157 158 FY 2017 monthly average 147

Policy Name

Solely State-Funded Cases
Date of Completion: 02/27/2018

	Impact on Each Month in FY 2017											
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May ·	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Time of Closure												i
Prior years carryover		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00	1 0 L	0	. 0
Oct	4,648	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0
Nov		4,663	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.7	0	0	0
Dec			4,661	0	Ö	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	0
Jan				4,690	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb					4,672	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar						4,600	0	0	0	0	0.0	0
Apr							4,451	0	0	0	0	O
May								4,357	0	0	0	0
Jun									4,169	0	0	0
Jul										4,126	0	. 0
Aug											4.079	0
Sep												4,062
Total	4,648	4,663	4,661	4,690	4,672	4,600	4,451	4,357	4,169	4,126	4,079	4,062
i										FY 201	7 monthly	average

# FORM ACF-202 - TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT **Excess MOE Worksheet**

Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies:

2018

**Date of Completion:** 

02/27/2018

	Excess MO	E Calculation Worksheet	
Caseload Data		Expenditure Data	
FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595	Total Expenditures	
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0	FY 2017 Total Federal Expenditures	\$663,740,389
Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595	FY 2017 Total MOE Expenditures	\$501,189,064
FY 2017 TANF Caseload	14,454	Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)	#########
FY 2017 SSP Caseload	0		
Total FY 2017 Caseload	14,454	Assistance Expenditures	
		FY 2017 Federal Expenditures on Assistance	\$117,105,347
2-Parent Caseload Data		FY 2017 MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$16,026,676
FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	#REF!	Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)	\$133,132,023
FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	#REF!	Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance	11.43%
Total FY 2005 Caseload	#REF!		
FY 2017 2-p TANF Caseload	#REF!	Expenditures Per Case	
FY 2017 2-p SSP Caseload	#REF!	Average Expenditures per Case	\$80,596
Total FY 2017 Caseload	#REF!	Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance	\$9,211
		MOE and Excess MOE	
		Required MOE (80% or 75%)	\$468,518,376
		Excess MOE Expenditures	\$32,670,688
		Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$3,733,715
Adjusted Caseload Data			
Adjusted FY 2017 Overall Caseload	14,049	Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE	405
Adjusted FY 2017 2-parent Caseload	#REF!	2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOI	#REF!

OMB Approval No.: 0970-0338 Expiration Date: 07/31/2014

# FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Overall Credit

<b>Michigan</b>	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: Date of Completion: 02/27/2018				
PART 2 – Estir	nate of Caseload Red	luction Credit			
In year olds Removed as a Dependent child 16/17 year olds Disqualified for not Attending School Less Than \$10 Deficit \$200,000 Property Asset Limit Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony Federal Time Limit Closures Federal Time Limit Application Denials State Time Limit Closures State Time Limit Denials 3-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time Solely State-Funded Cases	26 8 184 1 0 1,147 1,783 549 266 147 4,432	Caseload Reduction Calculation FY 2005 TANF Caseload FY 2005 SSP Caseload Total FY 2005 Caseload FY 2017 TANF Caseload FY 2017 SSP Caseload Total FY 2017 Caseload Excess MOE Cases in FY 2017 Adjusted FY 2017 Caseload Caseload Decline Decline — Net Impact  Caseload Reduction	80,595 80,595 14,454 0 14,454 405 14,049 66,546 75,089 on Credit =	82.69 <b>82.6</b> 9	
Net Impact	8,543				

OMB Control No.: 0970-0338

Expiration	Date:	
------------	-------	--