FORM ACF-202 - TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

St	ate: Michigan	Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021
	Overall Report _X_ Two-parent Report (check of	one) Apply the overall credit to the two-parentyesyes
		gibility Changes Made Since FY 2005 ete this section for EACH change)
1.	Name of eligibility change:	
	1 0	als applying for cash assistance be referred immediately to the Work y was suspended effective 6/1/2006. This policy was reinstated
2.	Implementation date of eligibility change	e:
	Individuals immediately referred to Wor and reinstated 5/2/2007.	k First program as a condition of eligibility was suspended 6/1/2006
3.	Description of policy, including the char	ige from prior policy:
		ation and Training (JET) clients must be referred to the WF/JET cy had temporarily eliminated this requirement as a condition of
4.	Description of the methodology used to (attach supporting materials to this form)	calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change
	There is no longer a caseload impact whi	ich can be attached to this policy.

A 19-year-old attending high school full-time is no longer considered a dependent child in the eligible FIP group.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

Previous to the policy change, a 19-year-old that attended high school full-time and that was expecting to graduate before the age of 20, was a mandatory group member in the eligible FIP group. This child was in the FIP group as a dependent child until the child turned 20 years old or graduated from high school, whichever occurred first.

State law, MCL 400.57(1)(c) changed the definition of a dependent child, eliminating any 19-year-olds active FIP.

If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 19-year-old, the FIP case closed. The cases reported as closed were due to the only child in the home that was 19 years old. Cases that had other children in the home or a pregnant grantee remained open, but the needs of the 19-year-old were removed.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

Please see the impact table titled, 19 Year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.

• The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor

A 16- or 17-year-old not attending high school full-time is disqualified from receiving FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, a 16- or 17-year-old that was not attending high school full- time was required to participate at Michigan's employment and training program.
 - Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status was changed and the 16- or 17-year-old will be disqualified from receiving FIP and will not be referred to Michigan's employment and training program.
 - Effective June 11, 2015, this policy was signed into State law, MCL 400.57b.
 - If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 16- or 17-year-old who was not attending high school full-time, then the FIP case closed. The cases closed were due to the only child in the home being the disqualified child. Cases that had other children or a pregnant grantee in the home remained open, but the needs of the 16- or 17-year-old were removed.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, 16/17 Year Olds Disqualified for not Attending School. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

1. Name of eligibility change:

Financial need exists for FIP if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted. If the deficit is less than \$10, the FIP case is closed.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Before the policy change, after budgeting any earned or unearned income, if a group's FIP grant was under \$10, the FIP case would remain open/approved, but the client would not receive the grant amount.
- Policy item BEM 518, FIP Income Budgeting, was updated stating financial need exists if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted.
- A deficit of at least \$10 is required to receive a cash benefit. If the deficit is less than \$10, financial need does not exist and the FIP group is not eligible to receive benefits. The FIP case will close.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *Less Than \$10 Deficit*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

Asset limit of \$500,000 for property assets.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Before the policy change, property assets were excluded from determining FIP eligibility.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec. 686(3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$500,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$500,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
 - This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2013 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

Asset limit of \$250,000 for property assets.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2013

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$500,000.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2013, 2013 P.A. 59 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$250,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$250,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
 - This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2014 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

Asset limit of \$200,000 for property assets.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2014

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$250,000.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2014, 2014 P.A. 252 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$200,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$200,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, \$200,000 Property Asset Limit. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

A person convicted two or more times for a drug related felony is disqualified from FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Before the policy change, the department only disqualified an individual receiving FIP if that person was in violation of the terms of their probation/parole and the conduct for which the person was convicted occurred after August 22, 1996. If the person was not in violation of the terms of probation or parole, the FIP benefits were paid in the form of restricted payments.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec 619(2), changed this policy to disqualify an individual if the individual was convicted in two or more separate cases of a felony that included the possession, use, or distribution of a controlled substance after August 22, 1996.
 - If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the individual who was disqualified due to a second drug related felony, then the FIP case closed. The cases that may close would be due to the disqualification of the only person in the group receiving FIP. Cases that had other individuals eligible to receive FIP remained open, but the needs of the disqualified individual were removed.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

The 60-month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of closing FIP cases that included individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Before the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
 - Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for individuals. Once an individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
 - Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
 - A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Federal Time Limit Closures. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

The 60-month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of *denying* FIP *applications* that included individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

- 2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Before the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
 - Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for individuals. Once an individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
 - Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
 - A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months. If a family applies for FIP with an individual in the FIP group that has received over 60 federally funded FIP months, the application is denied.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, Federal Time Limit Application Denials. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

An individual in a group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2007

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
 - From the policy start date of 10/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month a client could reach 48 months and have their FIP closed due to the state time limit.

- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, State Time Limit Closures. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

An individual in the FIP group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime which had the effect of *denying* FIP *applications* that included individuals that received over 48 months of FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2011

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
 - From the policy start date of 1/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month a client could have reached 48 months. If the family applies for FIP after 10/1/2011 with an individual in the FIP group that has received over 48 months of FIP, the *application* is *denied*.

- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, State Time Limit Denials. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

1.	Name	of	eligib	ility	change:
----	------	----	--------	-------	---------

If a dependent child in the FIP group age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2012

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to this policy change, the FIP case would remain open/approved if a family included a child 6-15 years old that was not attending school full-time.
- Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status was updated stating if a dependent child age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.
- If it is verified that a dependent child 6-15 years old in the FIP group is not attending school full-time, the FIP case will close for the entire group.
- 4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, 6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

A solely state-funded group of cases was created.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

10/1/2006

- 3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Effective 10/1/2006, a solely state-funded group of cases was created. This group is comprised of twoparent families and cases in which the adult is incapacitated greater than 90 days.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change: (attach supporting materials to this form)

• The solely state-funded program cases are tracked monthly via Michigan's Green Book monthly and annual reports. Used for this report was the Fiscal Year 2015 Annual Report: Table 11, to capture the actual number of solely state funded FIP cases. The actual monthly numbers were inputted into the attached *Solely State-Funded Cases* impact table. The impact table took the actual monthly numbers entered and calculated the monthly average for the fiscal year.

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion: 11/17/2020

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021

PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

(Complete Part 2 using Excel Workbook provided.)

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Overall Credit

	Α	8	C	D	E	F
1	Michigan			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:		. h t i i i
2	-			Date of Completion:		
3	PART 2 – Esti	mateijof Ca	seload Reduction Credi	t		
4				Question & Charles Man Onlandation		
5	Impact of All Changes			Caseload Reduction Calculation	80,595	
6	19 year olds Removed as a Dependent child	8		FY 2005 SSP Caseload	00,050	a
	16/17 year olds Disqualified for not Attending School	5		Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595	
	Less Than \$10 Deficit	134		FY TANE Caseload	13,585	<u> </u>
	\$200,000 Property Asset Limit	1		FY SSP Caseload	13,305	
	Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony	400		Total FY Caseload	13,585	
	Federal Time Limit Closures	409		Excess MOE Cases in FY	682	
	Federal Time Limit Application Denials	1,643		Adjusted FY Caseload	12,903	
	State Time Limit Closures	523 964		Caseload Decline	67,692	84.0%
	State Time Limit Denials	85		Decline – Net Impact	75,234	Q7,074
	6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Fuil-Time		andan akas 41	Decine - Her impact	10,204	
16	Solely State-Funded Cases	3,768		Caseload Reduc	tion Credit =	84.0%
17	그 말에는 관련을 물러 저는 것은 것은 물로 물을 얻는 것이다.		AL. ALE & AL	Children Alexandre	l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l l	
18				,		
19	그렇는 김 방법을 듣는 것을 잘 들었다. 방법을 감독하는 것 같은 것					
20	물건은 날 옷을 가 물었는 것은 것을 가 없는 것을 가 없다.					
21					· · ·	· · · · · · · ·
22					<u>├</u>	
23	방법 등 소전을 알았는 것을 가지 않는 것을 하는 것을 수가 있다. 이렇게 하는 것을 하는 것을 하는 것을 수가 있는 것을 수가 있는 것을 하는 것을 수가 있는 것을 수가 있다. 귀에서 가지 않는 것을 수가 있는 것을 수가 있다. 이 같이 같				<u>+</u>	
24 25	이 같은 그는 물건은 것을 것 같은 것 같이 가지 않을 것 같아.					
_	kiat impaat	7,542		a ann an an an an ann an ann an ann an a		
_	Net impact				· · · •	
27 28						
28				3	·	
			en			

FORM ACF-202 - TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion: 11/17/2020

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2021

PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.

Semena M. Gener (signature)

Terrence Beurer (name)

Director of Economic Stability Administration (title)

FORM ACF-202 - TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Overall Credit

Α	8	С	D	E	F
1 Michigan			Fiscal Year to which credit applie	5:	
2			Date of Completic	<u>m:</u>	
3	PART 2 – Estimate of Caselo	ad Reduction Cred	lit		
4					
5 Impact of All Changes			Caseload Reduction Calculation		
6 19 year olds Removed as a Dependent child	8		FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595	
7 16/17 year olds Disqualified for not Attending Se	chool 5		FY 2005 SSP Caseload	<u> </u>	
8 Less Than \$10 Deficit	134		Total FY 2005 Caselo		
9 \$200,000 Property Asset Limit	la el Calcul de Realt de la 1		FY TANF Caseload	13,585	
10 Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a	Drug Related Felony 2		FY SSP Caseload	0	
11 Federal Time Limit Closures	409		Total FY Caselo		
12 Federal Time Limit Application Denials	1,643		Excess MOE Cases in FY	682	
13 State Time Limit Closures	523		Adjusted FY Caselo		
14 State Time Limit Denials	964		Caseload Decline	67,692	84.0%
15 6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time	85		Decline - Net Impact	75,234	
16 Solely State-Funded Cases	3,768				
17			Caseload Red	uction Credit =	84.0%
18	소문은 호텔을 잘 가려한 것을 얻는				
19					
20	같은 일본 가방을 한 일 같은 것을 생긴 <u></u>				
21					
22	실험 · 가슴 가슴 분들을 알 가운 분 년				
23	, 같은 것은 사람은 것을 가 있는 것을 알 <u>다</u>				
24					
25 State R. Cherkel, St. Scherkeler abs (Scherkeler)	영양 학교 소설 영영한 별 사망가 한				
26 Net Impact	7,542				····
27					
28			<u> </u>		

.

			Policy	/ Name						-		d as a Deper pletion:	ANA WARDON LOUDED IN AND MICHAELEN CONTRACTION OF A	0
						lmp	act on Eac	n Month i	in FY ?					
		Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure														
Prior years carryover		11	10	10	9	9	9	8	8	7	7	7	6	
Oct		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov	<i>\/////</i>	////	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec	V////			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb	<i>\////</i>					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Var	<i>\////</i>						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr	<i>\////</i>							0	0	0	0	0	0	
May	V////								0	0	0	0	0	
Jun										0	0	0	0	
Jul										/////	0	0	0	
Aug	V////											0	0	
Sep	V////												0	Gra
•	1													T
Total		11	10	10	9	9	9	8	8	7	7	7	6	

Completed

Impact on Each Month in FY ? Jun Aug Sep Feb Mar Apr May Jul Oct Dec Jan Nov Time of Closure Prior years carryover Oct Ò Nov :0 Dec Jan Feb Mar Ó Apr 0: May Jun Jul Aug Grand Sep Total 3[Total FY ? monthly average

Policy Name

16/17 year olds Disqualified for not Attending School Date of Completion: 11/06/2020

Completed

Less Than \$10 Deficit Policy Name Date of Completion: 11/06/2020 Impact on Each Month in FY? Jul Sep Mar May Jun Aug Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Apr Time of Closure Prior years carryover Oct Nov Dec Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Grand Sep Total 1,608 Total FY ? monthly average

Completed

\$200,000 Property Asset Limit Date of Completion: 11/13/2020

					·····	Impact of	on Each M	onth in F	Y?	_				
		Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure														
Prior years carryover		1	1 1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Oct		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov	V////	/////	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec	<i>\////</i>			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan	<i>\////</i>			///////	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb	\////					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar							0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr								0	0	0	0	0	0	
May									0	0	0	0	0	
Jun										0	0	0	0	
Jul										///////	0	0	0	
Aug	<i>\////</i>											0	0	
Sep												///////	0	Grand
								<u>CILLICALLI</u>		<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u> ,	<u></u>	<u></u>		Tota
Total		1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	12
											EV 2	monthly	average	
											FY 7	? monthly a	average	

Policy Name

Policy Name

Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug-Related Felony Date of Completion: 11/13/2020

					Impact of	on Each M	onth in F	(?			ipieuon.	A POPULATION AND A POPULATION OF	
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Арг	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure										,			
Prior years carryover	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov	V///////	/ 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec	X///////		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan	\//////	///////////////////////////////////////	//////	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb	\///////		///////////////////////////////////////	//////	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar	\//////					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr	<i>\///////</i>					//////	0	0	0	0	0	0	
May	\///////						//////	0	0	0	0	0	
Jun	<i>\///////</i>							//////	0	0	0	0	
Jul	X////////									0	0	0	
Aug	<i>\///////</i>	///////////////////////////////////////					///////////////////////////////////////	///////////////////////////////////////		//////	0	0	
Sep	X/////////////////////////////////////									////////		0	Gra
1.													Тс
Total		2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	
										FY 1	? monthly a	average	

			Policy Nan	ne						ederal Tim Date of Con			2020
					Impact	on Each M	lonth in F	Y ?					
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Prior years carryover	470	447	424	403	383	364	345	328	312	296	281	267	
Oct	6.000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	
Dec	\//////		8	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	5	5	
Jan	\//////			11	10	10	9	9	9	8	8	7	
Feb	\///////			//////	12	11	11	10	10	9	9	8	
Mar	\/////////////////////////////////////					4	4	4	3	3	3	3	
Apr							11	10	10	9	9	9	
May	\//////						/////	. 11	10	10	9	9	
Jun								/////	. 24	23	22	21	
Jul	\//////									30	29	27	
Aug	<i>\////////////////////////////////////</i>									///////	15	14	
Sep	<u> </u>			///////////////////////////////////////								12	Grand
													Tota
Total	470) 449	434	424	414	398	389	379	385	395	391	383	4,911
											0		100
										FY	? monthly	average	409

Federal Time Limit Application Denials Date of Completion: 11/13/2020

						Impact	on Each N	/onth in F	Υ?			npiodott.		
		Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure														
Prior years carryover		,471	1,397	1,328	1,261	1,198	1,138	1,081	1,027	976	927	881	837	
Oct		94	89	85	81	77	73	69	66	62	59	56	53	
Nov	V////	1////	87	83	79	75	71	67	64	61	58	55	52	
Dec			///////////////////////////////////////	70	67	63	60	57	54	51	49	46	44	
Jan					53	50	48	45	43	41	39	37	35	
Feb						59	56	53	51	48	46	43	41	
Mar							35	33	32	30	29	27	26	
Apr	\////							179	170	162	153	146	139	
May									284	270	256	243	231	
Jun										122	116	110	105	
Jul	\////										52	59	47	
Aug												45	43	
Sep													85	Grand
														Total
Total	-	,565	1,573	1,566	1,541	1,522	1,481	1,584	1,791	1,823	1,784	1,748	1,738	19,716
											EV	? monthly	2007000	1,643
											<u> </u>	monuny	average	1,043

Policy Name

.

				Policy Na	me						State Time Date of Com	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	ures	
						Impac	t on Each	Month in	FY ?					
		Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure														
Prior years carryover		507	482	458	435	413	392	373	354	336	320	304	288	
Oct		2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Nov	\////		9	9	8	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	5	
Dec				24	23	22	21	20	19	18	17	16	15	
Jan	\////				33	31	30	28	27	26	24	23	22	
Feb						33	31	30	28	27	26	24	23	
Mar							34	32	31	29	28	26	25	
Apr	\////							21	20	19	18	17	16	
Мау	\////								28	27	25	24	23	
Jun										46	44	42	39	
Jul										///////////////////////////////////////	46	44	42	
Aug												35	33	
Sep													37	Grand
														Total
Total		509	493	493	501	509	517	512	515	535	555	562	569	6,270
											FY ?	monthly a	verage	523

Michigan			F	olicy Na	me						State Tim Date of Con		enials	
						Impact of	on Each Mo	onth in F	Υ?		• •••••	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		
		Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure														
Prior years carryover		762	724	688	653	621	590	560	532	506	480	456	433	
Oct		59	56	53	51	48	46	43	41	39	37	35	34	
Νον		1111	64	61	58	55	52	50	47	45	42	40	38	
Dec				56	53	51	48	46	43	41	39	37	35	
Jan				//////	52	49	47	45	42	40	38	36	34	
Feb						42	40	38	36	34	32	31	29	
Mar							36	34	32	31	29	28	26	
Арг							///////	102	97	92	87	83	79	
May									217	206	196	186	177	
Jun										87	83	79	75	
Jul											43	41	39	
Aug												52	49	
Sep													72	Grand
	1		<u> </u>											Tota
Total	1	821	844	858	867	866	859	918	1,087	1,121	1,106	1,104	1,120	11,571
	<u></u>													
											FY '	? monthly	/ average	964

Policy Name

6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time

	·									L	Date of Corr	pletion: 💓		
						Impact of	on Each M	onth in F	Y ?					
		Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure														
Prior years carryover		91	86	82	78	74	70	67	64	60	57	54	52	
Oct		1	1	1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	1	
Nov	\////		3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Dec				4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Jan				//////	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	2	
Feb						5	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	
Mar							4	4	4	3	3	3	3	
Apr								4	4	4	3	3	3	
May	\////							//////	1	1	1	1	1	
Jun										0	0	0	0	
Jul	\////									//////	2	2	2	
Aug	\////											1	1	
Sep	\////											//////	4	Gra
	1									-tentenkaskaskaskaska k. k. k. k. k.		had to to to the to		Τc
Total		92	90	90	89	90	88	89	85	80	78	76	77	1,0
						·								i
											FY ?	monthly a	average	

		Policy Name							Solely State-Funded Cases Date of Completion:					
		Impact on Each Month in FY ?												
		Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure														
Prior years carryover		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Oct	2	2,844	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		/////	2,899	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Õ	Ō	
Dec				2,905	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	
Jan					2,888	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb						2,857	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar							2,972	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	
Apr								4,463	0	0	0	0	0	
May									6,208	0	0	0	0	
Jun										5,639	0	0	0	
Jul										///////////////////////////////////////	4,516	0	0	
Aug	\////											3,799	0	
Sep													3,222	Gran
	1				<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>				<u></u>	-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h-h		6. <i>6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6</i> . 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6. 6.		Tota
Total	2	2,844	2,899	2,905	2,888	2,857	2,972	4,463	6,208	5,639	4,516	3,799	3,222	45,21
							•							·
											FY	? monthly	average	3,76

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT Excess MOE Worksheet

	A	В	С	D E	F
1	Michigan			Fiscal Year to which credit applies:	
2				Date of Completion:	
3		Excess N	<u>IOE</u>	Calculation Worksheet	• I • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
4					
5	Caseload Data			Expenditure Data	
6	FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595		Total Expenditures	
7	FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0		FY Total Federal Expenditures	\$693,006,776
8	Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595		FY Total MOE Expenditures	\$562,789,164
9	FY TANF Caseload	13,585		Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)	#######################################
10	FY SSP Caseload	0			
11	Total FY Caseload	13,585		Assistance Expenditures	
12				FY Federal Expenditures on Assistance	\$119,288,018
	2-Parent Caseload Data			FY MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$10,190,371
	FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0		Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)	\$129,478,389
	FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance	10.31%
16	Total FY 2005 Caseload	0			
17	FY 2-p TANF Caseload	0		Expenditures Per Case	
18	FY 2-p SSP Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case	\$92,440
19	Total FY Caseload	0		Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance	\$9,531
20					
21				MOE and Excess MOE	
22				Required MOE (80% or 75%)	\$499,752,934
23				Excess MOE Expenditures	\$63,036,230
24				Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$6,499,328
25	Adjusted Caseload Data				
26	Adjusted FY Overall Caseload	12,903		Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE	682
	Adjusted FY 2-parent Caseload	0		2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MC	E 0
28					
29					
30					
31					
32					Contraction of Decision