

CAPT Decision-Support Tools

PREVENTING PRESCRIPTION DRUG MISUSE: Understanding Who Is at Risk

Using Prevention Research to Guide Prevention Practice SAMHSA's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies Updated May 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	
RELATED TOOLS	
THE FINE PRINT: SEARCH METHODS AND INCLUSION CRITERIA	5
USING THIS RESOURCE TO GUIDE PREVENTION PRACTICE	6
A FEW CAUTIONARY NOTES REGARDING USE	
GLOSSARY OF TERMS	
SECTION 1. RISK AND PROTECTIVE FACTORS, ORGANIZED BY FACTOR	
INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS	
Mental Health	
Experiencing Pain	
Physical Health	
Genetic and Physiological Reactions	
Substance Use or Misuse	
Behavior	
Prescription Access	
Education	
Employment	
Health Insurance	
Religiosity	
Perception	
Negative Life Events	
RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS	
Intimate Partner Relationship	
Parents & Family	
Household Income	
Peers	
Social Networks	
COMMUNITY-LEVEL FACTORS	41
Living Arrangements	
Workplace	
School	
Community Norms	
SOCIETAL-LEVEL FACTORS	
Discrimination	
Social Perception & Media	
Socioeconomic Status	
SUCIOECONOMIC Status	

SECTION 2. RISK AND PROTECTIVE FACTORS, ORGANIZED BY STUDY	46
INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS	46
INTERPERSONAL/RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS	69
COMMUNITY-LEVEL FACTORS	75
SOCIETAL-LEVEL FACTORS	78
REFERENCES	79

INTRODUCTION

The nonmedical use of prescription drugs (NMUPD) has become an increasing public health concern in the United States, with abuse rates rising rapidly since the late 1990s. Yet preventing and reducing prescription drug misuse represents a major challenge for several reasons. First, we know less about the factors that contribute to NMUPD than about those that contribute to other drug use. Also, because of how prescription drugs are made available, these factors may differ from those that are associated with alcohol misuse and illicit drug use. This tool provides a starting point for understanding those factors that the research literature has identified as being associated with NMUPD and its consequences. Understanding these factors can help us assess, plan for, and select interventions designed to address them.

The factors included in this tool have been organized according to the **socio-ecological model**, a multi-level framework that allows us to consider the different contexts in which risk and protective factors exist. This model considers the different contexts and settings with which a person interacts and is based on the premise that we are influenced not only by traits specific to us or what we think and believe, but by our relationships with others, the institutions and communities to which we belong, and the broader society in which those institutions are embedded.



There are four levels to the socio-ecological model: ^{1, 2, 3}

- **Individual level**: Includes factors specific to the individual, such as age, education, income, health, and psychosocial problems, which may correspond with substance use and misuse.
- **Relationship level**: Includes an individual's closest social circle—family members, peers, teachers, and other close relationships—that contribute to his or her range of experiences and that may influence his or her behavior.
- **Community level**: Includes the settings in which social relationships occur, such as schools, workplaces, and neighborhoods. These factors can have both negative and positive associations with substance use and misuse.

¹ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2015

² World Health Organization, 2016

³ Domains and sub-domains of the socio-ecological model often overlap or are nested within each other. For example, bullying is an individual-level risk factor that falls under the interpersonal behavior sub-domain; however, bullying in a school setting could also be considered a community-level risk factor that falls under the school sub-domain.

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

• **Societal level**: Includes the broad societal factors, such as social and cultural norms. Other significant factors operating at this level include the health, economic, educational, and social policies that contribute to economic and/or social inequalities between populations.

Factors that influence future behavior are often categorized as either risk or protective factors. Here, a *protective factor* is a characteristic operating at the individual, relationship, community, or societal level that is associated with a lower likelihood of NMUPD or that reduces the negative impact of a risk factor on NMUPD. Conversly, a *risk factor* is a characteristic at each level of the socio-ecological model that precedes and is associated with a higher likelihood of NMUPD.⁴

Our search of the literature yielded many more risk than protective factors. In addition, the majority of factors are situated at the individual level of the socio-ecological model, which focuses on an individual's personal experience rather than contextual factors. We also found studies that included populations across the whole lifespan, ranging in age from 12 years old to over 70, and focused on special population groups or groups at increased risk for NMUPD and other types of substance abuse (such as homeless young adults, veterans, active duty service members, lesbian and gay youth, cancer patients, and Medicaid recipients). In addition, while our literature search included search terms that encompass various types of prescription drugs (see "<u>THE FINE PRINT: SEARCH METHODS AND</u> <u>INCLUSION CRITERIA</u>" section for search terms used), the class of drug that was represented most frequently in the identified studies was opioids.

RELATED TOOLS

Other CAPT tools that support the prevention of NMUPD include the following:

- <u>Preventing Prescription Drug Misuse: Programs and Strategies</u>: Presents detailed descriptions of
 prevention strategies and associated interventions that have been evaluated to determine their
 effects on prescription drug misuse
- Sources of Consumption Data Related to Non-Medical Use of Prescription Drugs 2012: Includes national and local sources of consumption data on prescription drug misuse
- Sources of Consequence Data Related to Non-Medical Use of Prescription Drugs 2012: Includes national and local sources of consequence data on prescription drug misuse
- Other Sources of Data Related to Non-Medical Use of Prescription Drugs 2012: Includes four data sources related to non-medical use of prescription drugs

⁴ O'Connell, Boat, & Warner, 2009

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

THE FINE PRINT: SEARCH METHODS AND INCLUSION CRITERIA

To populate this tool, we conducted a thorough search of the academic literature to identify those factors that protect against or increase the risk for NMUPD. Searches were conducted using the PSYCHINFO, MEDLINE, PSYCHARTICLES, and SOCINDEX databases. Search terms included the following:

- (Substance Key Words) Prescription drug* OR Opioid* OR Opiate* OR Tranquilizer* OR Sedative* OR Stimulant
- AND (Abuse Key Words) Abuse OR Misuse OR Overdose OR Addiction OR Depend*
- AND (Factors Key Words) Predictor* OR Risk factor* OR Protective factor* OR Contributing factor*

The result was 42 studies that met our criteria for inclusion. Specifically, these studies were:

- Published in a peer-reviewed journal between 2005 and 2015.
- Available in full text.
- Implemented with a U.S.-based sample.
- Published in English.

These studies also:

- Included clearly articulated methods for establishing associations between specific risk or protective factors and NMUPD.
- Assessed at least one main outcome (dependent variable) specifically related to NMUPD use or consequences.
- Established a direct (and statistically significant) link between risk or protective factors and NMUPD outcomes⁵ of interest.
- Used quantitative data analyses.
- Included human participants.

In addition, articles were excluded that:

- Focused on the evaluation of prevention or treatment strategies.
- Did not identify any risk or protective factors statistically linked (p < .05) to NMUPD outcomes.
- Were literature reviews, non-primary sources, commentaries, news report, or historical perspectives. Note, however, that studies meeting inclusion criteria were distilled from literature reviews produced in our search.
- Included a combined or composite outcome measure of multiple types of drug use.

⁵ Although some studies demonstrated links between risk or protective factors and multiple substances, we report NMUPD outcomes only in this document.

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

USING THIS RESOURCE TO GUIDE PREVENTION PRACTICE

This tool comprises two sections, each organized according to the socio-ecological levels of influence—individual, relationship, community, and societal:

- Section 1 provides at-a-glance information on which risk or protective factors are linked to
 which outcomes for specific population groups. When reading through the tables in this
 section, you should be able to say that a given protective factor is associated with a specific
 outcome among a given population (according to this study). For example, referencing an
 entry from the table highlighting individual-level factors, we can say that: having a current
 depression diagnosis is associated with greater likelihood of prescription opioid misuse among
 youth from ages 12 to 17.⁶
- Section 2 provides detailed information on the research studies that identify these factors. Within each level of influence, we list relevant articles, the factors they identify, and details on participant characteristics, analyses, and any outcomes significantly associated with the identified factors.

Although there are several ways to approach and use these tools, here are some suggested steps or guidelines:

- Conduct a needs assessment *before* looking at this tool. Conducting a thorough needs and resource assessment is an important first step for every effort to prevent substance misuse. However, this tool should not be the starting point for this assessment. Instead, you should begin by examining local data to identify the relevant risk and protective factors that are driving NMUPD in your community. You may discover that certain factors are unique to your community that were not relevant in the communities studied. For instance, not all communities have a large number of high school students with a low perception of the risks associated with NMUPD.
- Target factors addressed by available research literature. Targeting established factors, even those with weak evidence, is more likely to influence NMUPD than targeting factors that lack any research supporting an association between them and NMUPD. Review the "Risk Factor" and "Protective Factor" columns of the tables in either Section 1 or Section 2 to quickly identify research-supported factors. Note that the same factors may appear in multiple studies, but be associated with different outcomes. Also note that certain factors may be strongly related to other factors that also affect NMUPD, and that it may be possible to implement a single intervention that improves both factors. For instance, if lack of parental disapproval of NMUPD and increased availability of prescription drugs at home are both significant risk factors for a

⁶ Ford & Rigg, 2015

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

community, a single well-designed parental education strategy may be able to address both factors.

- Reconcile factors identified via community assessment with those included here. What if a risk or protective factor identified in your community's local needs assessment doesn't appear in this tool? First, determine whether this is simply because your assessment may have labeled the factor differently than the way the tool does. The factor labels in the tool reflect the language used in the articles, standardized as much as possible across the articles; as such, they can't capture all variations. To address this possibility, it's helpful to examine the original article (complete citations for all articles are provided below). If it is truly the case that the factor(s) identified in your assessment are not included in the tool, you may want to consider focusing on other factors that are supported by research literature, or attempt to identify and examine literature not included in this tool for research on your factor of interest.
- Focus on factors that you have the greatest likelihood of changing. There are some risk and protective factors that prevention strategies cannot modify (e.g., race, gender, or age) or are not easily modified (e.g., socio-economic status, geographic location, or level of educational attainment). However, these background or demographic factors may be useful in determining which high-risk populations a strategy should focus on or emphasize. Factors that are not easily addressed are included here; factors that cannot be addressed are not included.
- Determine the relevance of identified risk and protective factors. The "Population" column in Table 2 can help you determine the relevance of a factor to your community's local conditions. For instance, a study that focused on factors related to NMUPD among veterans may not be relevant to a community seeking to implement an intervention focusing on high school students. However, there are also common factors across populations, and a study focusing on a population not matching a community's needs may still provide valuable information. Similarly, the "Outcome(s)" column in either table can help you determine which studies provide the most direct support for the factors your community is interested in exploring. For instance, if "prescription opioid misuse" is your community's primary problem of interest, the tool can help you identify articles that list prescription opioid misuse as an outcome, as well as the factors significantly associated with that outcome.
- Don't be fooled by frequency. When trying to determine where to focus your prevention efforts, guard against selecting factors and assigning importance based on how often a specific factor appears in this tool. Although numerous studies may identify the same factor, the evidence in all the studies may be weak. Additionally, some study designs were created based on the availability of data, and some factors have more readily available data, and so appear more frequently. Comparing and weighing the evidence of the different studies is beyond the scope of this tool, although information is provided that allows you to determine whether study analyses established temporal relationships between risk/protective factors and

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

outcomes (i.e., that the risk factors precede substance-using behaviors rather than the other way around), and/or whether they controlled for other factors. In general, it is best to leave rigorous study comparisons to researchers, evaluators, or others with appropriate training and experience.

- Examine study details. For each study identified in our search, Section 2 provides detailed information on the study's research design, geographic location, time frame, sample size, sample gender composition, and sample age range. These details can help you determine whether a factor is relevant, given your chosen focus, and also whether that factor is more likely to co-occur with (cross-sectional design) or precede (longitudinal design) NMUPD. Any study where this information is not provided is the result of study authors not reporting it. For more detailed information on study methods consult the full text articles.
- Examine other literature about risk and protective factors. If you believe that specific risk or protective factors may be missing from this tool, then consider searching databases, such as those discussed earlier, to retrieve any additional articles of interest. This search might include articles published before or after this tool's time range, literature reviews, studies using non-U.S.-based samples, qualitative studies, or studies not published in peer-reviewed journals. Communities may use the search terms listed at the start of this tool, variations on them, or other relevant terms.

The next step. Once you and your community have settled on the risk or protective factors you hope to change to impact NMUPD, the next step is to select one or more strategies that address them. The companion CAPT tool, <u>Preventing Prescription Drug Misuse: Programs and Strategies</u>, may be useful in this process.

A FEW CAUTIONARY NOTES REGARDING USE

Please use prudence when interpreting information included in these tables. Here is why:

- The findings are limited to the time frame, libraries, and search parameters described above. Expanding the time frame or examining risk and protective factors associated with other substances may uncover additional or conflicting factors. However, scientifically rigorous study of risk and protective factors associated with NMUPD is a relatively recent development, and there is not yet a robust number of completed studies. As such, in some cases there may be only one study supporting the claim of an association between a specific risk or protective factor and NUMPD.
- 2. The methodological rigor of the studies in this tool varies widely, from longitudinal studies that followed individuals over time to retrospective studies using readily available administrative or clinical data collected for other purposes. The majority of studies are cross-sectional designs

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

that cannot categorically determine whether a temporal relationship exists between a risk or protective factor and NMUPD (i.e., cannot determine whether the risk or protective factor occurred prior to the NMUPD outcome).

3. We do not include studies demonstrating insignificant or negative findings related to the risk or protective factors featured here. It is possible that for every study demonstrating a positive finding on any given factor, there is a study showing no findings—suggesting that the relationship between the contributing or associated factor and the outcome is inconclusive. For this reason, you may want to consider only those risk or protective factors supported by two or more studies.

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

To keep the tool as concise and consistent as possible, many technical terms are used without explanation. While many of these terms may be familiar to prevention experts, such as the difference between *misuse* and *dependence*, others are terms more commonly used in other fields. The following is a list of terms used in this tool with which prevention experts might be less familiar, accompanied by short definitions:

Bivariate analysis: A type of analysis in which only two variables from the selected outcomes, risk and protective factors, and other relevant variables are studied at a time to determine whether they are significantly associated. Not as robust as a multivariate analysis because it does not account for other factors that might be associated with NMUPD outcomes.

Cluster sampling: A sampling method that divides a population into groups or clusters based on a set of characteristics, then selects, at random, a given number of clusters to include in the sample. Each member of the population can be assigned to only one cluster.

Convenience sample: A sample composed of readily available individuals who meet the sample's inclusion criteria.

Control group: A group of individuals in a sample who did not receive the intervention. Their postintervention data are compared to individuals in the sample who did receive the intervention to determine the effect of the intervention.

Cross-sectional study: Refers to a study for which data is collected from its sample members at only one point in time. The study may have taken place over a period of time to facilitate data collection, but each sample member's data is from a single point in time.

Descriptive analysis: A type of analysis that enables you to identify patterns in quantitative data. Types of statistics used to describe data include measures of central tendency (e.g., mode, median, mean), distribution (e.g., frequency distribution), and dispersion (e.g., standard deviation, range, variance).⁷

Drug dependence: A need for repeated doses of a drug to feel good or to avoid feeling bad.⁸

Drug misuse: The use of a substance for a purpose not consistent with legal or medical guidelines.⁹

ICD-10: Shorthand for the *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Edition* which is used to code and classify mortality data from death certificates.¹⁰

IMS® LRx: A longitudinal database that includes information on patient-level prescriptions based on pharmacy coding center data.

IMS[®] **Dx:** A database that includes information on patient diagnoses based on physician insurance claims. It can be linked to the *IMS*[®] *LRx*.

Longitudinal study: Refers to a study for which data is collected from its sample members at multiple points over a period of time. This type of study is considered more robust than a cross-sectional study due to its ability to compare data from before and after an intervention occurred.

Multivariate analysis: A type of analysis in which the selected outcomes, main risk and protective factors, and other relevant variables are all included in a single analysis to determine the statistically significant associations between main factors of interest, accounting for other factors.

Prospective study: A study that looks for the development of outcomes over the course of its time range. The study is seeking to determine what outcomes will derive from selected factors. Contrast with *retrospective study*.

Randomized sample: A sample composed of individuals selected at random. A study with a randomized sample is considered more robust due to its ability to eliminate threats to a study's validity, such as selection bias. Random sample studies are often not feasible to conduct.

Retrospective study: A study that looks at data where the outcome has already occurred. The study is seeking to determine what factors led to the outcome. Contrast with *prospective study*.

Sensitivity analyses: A technique used to determine how different risk or protective factor values will influence an outcome under different conditions.

⁷ Trochim, 2006

⁸ National Institute on Drug Abuse, 2007

⁹ World Health Organization, 2006

¹⁰ More information can be found here: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/icd/icd10cm.htm</u>

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

Stratified sampling: A type of sampling method in which the researcher divides the population in groups (e.g., by sex, race, age), called strata. Then, he or she will draw a random sample from each group. Researchers often stratify samples in order to make sure that different groups are represented in the sample.

Tapentadol: A type of opioid pain medication.

Test group: A group of individuals in a sample that receive the intervention. Their post-intervention data are compared to individuals in the sample who did not receive the intervention to determine the effect of the intervention.

SECTION 1. RISK AND PROTECTIVE FACTORS, ORGANIZED BY FACTOR

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS

At the individual level, individuals most at risk of NMUPD include those with a history of **mental illness** (i.e., history of depression,¹¹ posttraumatic stress disorder,¹² anxiety¹³), **acute and chronic pain** (i.e., having a current painful physical disorder,¹⁴ chronic pain,¹⁵ past-year back pain¹⁶), **physical health problems** (i.e., fatigue,¹⁷ headaches¹⁸), and **heightened physiological reactions** to certain types of drugs (i.e., having a greater subjective euphoric reaction¹⁹). The link between pain, physical health problems, and opioid use seems likely because these drugs (e.g., opioids) are often prescribed to lessen pain.²⁰ It may be that people start by using opioids as prescribed, develop dependence, have a difficult time tapering off and, ultimately, find a way to access and use against original doctor's orders.²¹ Having prescription drugs on hand may perpetuate NMUPD because evidence suggests that individuals who have access to prescription drugs²² and who are prescribed opioids at a large dosage²³ or have multiple prescriptions²⁴ are at greater risk of NMUPD.

Having a past **history of other substance use or misuse** is also linked to NMUPD. People who have a history of substance use disorder, who use alcohol heavily, or who use illicit drugs are more likely to engage in NMUPD. These individuals have already engaged in risky behaviors; they have initiated substance use and some are abusing other substances. This finding also suggests that those who misuse prescription drugs are more likely to also be polydrug users.²⁵ It may also suggest that these individuals may benefit from prevention messages and interventions other than those that specifically target NMUPD. A broader, more comprehensive approach to preventing substance misuse in general may be appropriate to those in this category exhibiting risk for NMUPD.

¹¹ Boscarino et al., 2010; Ford & Rigg, 2015; Grattan, Sullivan, Saunders, Campbell, & Von Korff, 2012; Koyyalagunta et al., 2013; Park & Lavin, 2010

¹² Mackesy-Amiti, Donenberg, & Ouellet, 2015

¹³ Koyyalagunta et al., 2013; Mowbray & Quinn, 2015

¹⁴ Edlund, Steffick, Hudson, Harris, & Sullivan, 2007; Sullivan et al., 2010

¹⁵ Rosenblum et al., 2007

¹⁶ Cepeda, Fife, Kihm, Mastrogiovanni, & Yuan, 2014; Edlund et al., 2010; Sullivan et al., 2010

¹⁷ Koyyalagunta et al., 2013

¹⁸ Edlund et al., 2010

¹⁹ Bieber et al., 2008

²⁰ Haddox et al., 1997; Joranson, Ryan, Gilson, & Dahl, 2000

²¹ Lankenau et al., 2012

²² Edlund et al., 2014; Jeffery, Babeu, Nelson, Kloc, & Klette, 2013; Silva, Schrager, Kecojevic, & Lankenau, 2013

²³ Bohnert et al., 2011; Edlund, Steffick, Hudson, Harris, & Sullivan, 2007; Koyyalagunta et al., 2013; Sullivan et al., 2010

²⁴ Peirce, Smith, Abate, & Halverson, 2012

²⁵ McCabe & Teter, 2007; Sung, Richter, Vaughan, Johnson, & Thom, 2005

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

Regarding protective factors, individuals who **commit to doing well**²⁶ and **finishing school**²⁷ are less likely to misuse prescription drugs. These include individuals who are current students,²⁸ have a high school diploma,²⁹ or have attended a prevention class.³⁰ Students who are committed to school and have a strong school bond are less likely to engage in risky behaviors. The Social Development Model³¹ suggests that this occurs because once a student has strong school bonds, they conform to the norms and values of the school; if the school norms discourage substance use or misuse, then a strongly bonded student will more likely remain faithful to the norms and not engage in substance use. It is worth noting, however, that one study found that having a college degree was associated with higher likelihood of unintentional fatal opioid overdose at home for New York City residents.³² This study differs from the other studies examining associations between academic achievement and NMUPD in that the sample studied included individuals who unintentionally overdosed on opioids at home or outside the home. It's possible that there is something about having a college education that propels opioid misuse at home rather than outside the home and requires further exploration.

Another common protective factor includes **perceptions about prescription drug misuse**. For example, if individuals don't think they are doing anything wrong when they take prescription drugs without a doctor's orders, then they are more likely to misuse them.³³ Conversely, those who are concerned about the dangers of prescription drugs are less likely to misuse them.³⁴ These findings mirror those from studies linking perception of harm and other kinds of substance abuse (e.g., alcohol).³⁵

²⁶ Collins, Abadi, Johnson, Shamblen, & Thompson, 2011

²⁷ Arkes & Iguchi, 2008

²⁸ Arkes & Iguchi, 2008

²⁹ Arkes & Iguchi, 2008

³⁰ Ford & Rigg, 2015

³¹ Catalano & Hawkins, 1996

³² Siegler, Tuazon, O'Brien, & Paone, 2014

³³ Collins, Abadi, Johnson, Shamblen, & Thompson, 2011

³⁴ Ford & Rigg, 2015

³⁵ Henry, Slater, & Oetting, 2005

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS

How to read this table: "Factor is associated with Outcome among Population(s) of Interest."

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Mental Health			·	
Ever being admitted to a psychiatric hospital		 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013
Having a history of depression		Opioid addiction	Individuals who received 4+ opioid prescriptions (age range = 18 or older)	Boscarino et al., 2010
Having a current anti-social personality disorder diagnosis		Past-year prescription opioid misuse	Individuals who inject drugs (age range = 18–25; average age = 22.2)	Mackesy-Amiti, Donenberg, & Ouellet, 2015
Having a current depression diagnosis		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH ³⁶ respondents (age range = 12–17)	Ford & Rigg, 2015
		Prescription opioid misuse	Individuals receiving chronic opioid therapy with no history of substance abuse (age range = 21–80)	<u>Grattan, Sullivan,</u> <u>Saunders,</u> <u>Campbell, & Von</u> <u>Korff, 2012</u>
		Prescription opioid misuse	Outpatient clinic patients (average age = 72.8)	Park & Lavin, 2010

³⁶ National Survey on Drug Use and Health

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Having a current depression diagnosis (cont.)		Risk for opioid misuse	Individuals with cancer receiving services from a pain center	Koyyalagunta et al., 2013
Having a current mental health disorder		Prescription opioid abuse	Veterans who were being prescribed 91-day or more supply of opioids, never had a cancer diagnosis, and have not had an opioid dependence diagnosis	Edlund, Steffick, Hudson, Harris, & Sullivan, 2007
Having a mental health disorder diagnosis		 Opioid abuse Opioid dependence	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who were prescribed at least 90 days of opioids	Edlund et al., 2010
		Opioid use disorder	Private insurance network enrollees with a cancer diagnosis	Edlund et al., 2014
		Prescription opioid misuse	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who used opioids for at least 90 days	Sullivan et al., 2010
Having ever been diagnosed with posttraumatic stress disorder		 Past-year prescription opioid misuse Past-year prescription opioid abuse Past-year prescription opioid dependence 	Men who inject drugs (age range = 18–25; average age = 22.2)	<u>Mackesy-Amiti,</u> <u>Donenberg, &</u> <u>Ouellet, 2015</u>
	Having positive well-being	Risk for opioid misuse	Individuals with cancer receiving services from a pain center	Koyyalagunta et al., 2013
Having high attentional impulsivity		Risk for prescription opioid misuse	Individuals receiving opioid therapy for chronic low-back pain (average age = 47.5)	Marino et al., 2013

Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Having suicidal ideation		 Prescription drug misuse 	Homeless youth (average age = 21.5)	Rhoades, Winetrobe, & Rice, 2014
Past 30-day anxiety		Risk for opioid misuse	Individuals with cancer receiving services from a pain center	<u>Koyyalagunta et</u> <u>al., 2013</u>
Past-year anxiety diagnosis		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 18– 25)	Mowbray & Quinn, 2015
Past-year posttraumatic stress disorder diagnosis		 Past-year prescription opioid abuse Past-year prescription opioid dependence 	Men who inject drugs (age range = 18–25; average age = 22.2)	<u>Mackesy-Amiti,</u> <u>Donenberg, &</u> <u>Ouellet, 2015</u>
Past-year substance-induced major depression diagnosis		 Past-year prescription opioid misuse 	Individuals who inject drugs (age range = 18–25; average age = 22.2)	<u>Mackesy-Amiti,</u> <u>Donenberg, &</u> <u>Ouellet, 2015</u>
Past-year mood disorders		 Prescription opioid abuse Doctor shopping 	Individuals in pharmaceutical coverage claims databases who were prescribed either tapentadol Immediate Release (IR) or oxycodone IR	<u>Cepeda, Fife, Kihm,</u> <u>Mastrogiovanni, &</u> <u>Yuan, 2014</u>
Experiencing Pain				
"Catastrophizing" current pain severity		Risk for prescription opioid misuse	Veterans who have a current or previous substance use disorder and received an opioid prescription in the last 90 days (average age = 55)	<u>Morasco, Turk,</u> <u>Donovan, &</u> <u>Dobscha, 2013</u>

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
"Catastrophizing" current pain severity (cont.)		Risk for prescription opioid misuse	Individuals being treated for chronic musculoskeletal pain who do not have a current substance use disorder (average age = 47.3)	<u>Martel, Wasan,</u> Jamison, & Edwards, 2013
Having a current painful physical disorder		Prescription opioid misuse	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who used opioids for at least 90 days	Sullivan et al., 2010
		 Prescription opioid abuse 	Veterans who were being prescribed a 91-day or more supply of opioids, never had a cancer diagnosis, and have not had an opioid dependence diagnosis	<u>Edlund, Steffick,</u> <u>Hudson, Harris, &</u> <u>Sullivan, 2007</u>
Having a high level of pain		Risk for prescription opioid misuse	Individuals being treated for chronic musculoskeletal pain who do not have a current substance use disorder (average age = 47.3)	<u>Martel, Wasan,</u> Jamison, & Edwards, 2013
		Risk for opioid misuse	Individuals with cancer receiving services from a pain center	<u>Koyyalagunta et</u> al., 2013
		Opioid addiction	Individuals who received 4+ opioid prescriptions (age range = 18 or older)	Boscarino et al., 2010
		Prescription opioid misuse	Outpatient clinic patients (average age = 72.8)	Park & Lavin, 2010
Having chronic pain		Prescription opioid abuse	Individuals with opioid dependence receiving methadone (average age = 35)	Rosenblum et al., 2007

Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Having an increased perception of pain negative effects (anxiety about pain)		Risk for prescription opioid misuse	Individuals being treated for chronic musculoskeletal pain who do not have a current substance use disorder (average age = 47.3)	<u>Martel, Wasan,</u> Jamison, <u>&</u> Edwards, 2013
Having four or more non- tracer pain diagnoses		Opioid use disorder	Private insurance network enrollees with a cancer diagnosis	Edlund et al., 2014
Having greater pain sensitivity		Opioid misuse	Individuals being treated for spinal pain	Edwards et al., 2011
		 Risk for prescription opioid misuse 	Individuals being treated for chronic musculoskeletal pain who do not have a current substance use disorder (average age = 47.3)	<u>Martel, Wasan,</u> Jamison, & Edwards, 2013
Having lower pain tolerance		Opioid misuse	Individuals being treated for spinal pain	Edwards et al., 2011
Having more painful withdrawal symptoms		Prescription opioid abuse	Individuals with opioid dependence receiving methadone (average age = 35)	Rosenblum et al., 2007
Back pain diagnosis		 Prescription opioid abuse Doctor shopping 	Individuals in pharmaceutical coverage claims databases who were prescribed either tapentadol Immediate Release (IR) or oxycodone IR	<u>Cepeda, Fife, Kihm</u> <u>Mastrogiovanni, &</u> <u>Yuan, 2014</u>
		Prescription opioid misuse	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who used opioids for at least 90 days	Sullivan et al., 201
		 Opioid abuse Opioid dependence	Private insurance network enrollees who were prescribed at least 90 days of opioids	Edlund et al., 2010

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Reporting chronic pain as reason for methadone treatment		Prescription opioid abuse	Individuals with opioid dependence receiving methadone (average age = 35)	Rosenblum et al., 2007
Physical Health				
Having ever been hospitalized for a medical issue		 Past-year prescription opioid misuse Past-year prescription opioid abuse Past-year prescription opioid dependence 	Individuals who inject drugs (age range = 18–25; average age = 22.2)	<u>Mackesy-Amiti,</u> <u>Donenberg, &</u> <u>Ouellet, 2015</u>
Having high levels of fatigue		Risk for opioid misuse	Individuals with cancer receiving services from a pain center	<u>Koyyalagunta et</u> <u>al., 2013</u>
Having 12 or more physical health care visits in one year		Prescription opioid abuse	Veterans who were being prescribed a 91-day or more supply of opioids, never had a cancer diagnosis, and have not had an opioid dependence diagnosis	Edlund, Steffick, Hudson, Harris, & Sullivan, 2007
Having a poor appetite		Risk for opioid misuse	Individuals with cancer receiving services from a pain center	<u>Koyyalagunta et</u> <u>al., 2013</u>
Having one or more limitations on activities of daily living		 Exposure to prescription drugs with abuse potential 	Medicare respondents (age range = 65 or older)	Simoni-Wastila, Zuckerman, Singhal, Briesacher, & Hsu, 2005
Having "poor" health		Opioid addiction	Individuals who received 4+ opioid prescriptions (age range = 18 or older)	Boscarino et al., 2010

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Having "poor" health (cont.)		 Prescription opioid abuse Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (12 or older)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
		 Exposure to prescription drugs with abuse potential 	Medicare respondents (age range = 65 or older)	<u>Simoni-Wastila,</u> <u>Zuckerman,</u> <u>Singhal,</u> <u>Briesacher, & Hsu,</u> <u>2005</u>
		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age ranges = 18–25 and 35–49)	Mowbray & Quinn, 2015
Headache diagnosis		 Opioid abuse Opioid dependence	Private insurance network enrollees who were prescribed at least 90 days of opioids	<u>Edlund et al., 2010</u>
		 Prescription pain reliever misuse Prescription tranquilizer misuse Prescription sedative misuse Prescription stimulant misuse 	Active duty service members (average age = 28.5)	<u>Jeffery, Babeu,</u> <u>Nelson, Kloc, &</u> <u>Klette, 2013</u>
Illness		 Prescription opioid overdose 	Individuals who use prescription drugs as prescribed and prescribed misusers (age range = 18–70; average age = 35.2)	<u>Green, Black,</u> <u>Serrano, Budman,</u> <u>& Butler, 2011</u>

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
	Increased severity of physical disability ³⁷	Prescription opioid misuse	Outpatient clinic patients (average age = 72.8)	Park & Lavin, 2010
Injury during deployment		 Prescription pain reliever misuse Prescription tranquilizer misuse 	Active duty service members (average age = 28.5)	<u>Jeffery, Babeu,</u> <u>Nelson, Kloc, &</u> <u>Klette, 2013</u>
Genetic and Physiological Re	eactions			
Change in tolerance related to incarceration history		Prescription opioid overdose	Medically healthy abusers and illicit users (age range = 18–70; average age = 35.2)	<u>Green, Black,</u> <u>Serrano, Budman,</u> <u>& Butler, 2011</u>
Genetic factors		Opioid dependence	Individuals recruited from other studies of opioid, cocaine, or alcohol dependence	<u>Gelernter et al.,</u> 2014
Having a greater subjective euphoric reaction to opioids		 Prescription opioid addiction 	Individuals who either had an opioid addiction diagnosis or were receiving long-term opioid therapy but did not have an addiction diagnosis	<u>Bieber et al., 2008</u>
Having reduced response inhibition		Prescription stimulant use	University students who were non- dependent cocaine users or prescription stimulant misusers	<u>Harlé et al., 2014</u>
Having stronger drug cravings		Prescription opioid abuse	Individuals with opioid dependence receiving methadone (average age = 35)	Rosenblum et al., 2007

³⁷ Authors suggest that physically disabled individuals may be less reliant on opioids for pain management because many consume multiple medications for conditions and are afraid of pharmacological adverse effects or because they are more open to considering nonpharmacological treatments than individuals who are less physically disabled (Park & Lavin, 2010).

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Substance Use or Misuse				·
Being a current cigarette smoker		 Prescription opioid abuse Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (12 and older)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
		Opioid misuse	Cancer treatment patients (average age = 54.8)	<u>Novy et al., 2012</u>
Co-occurring use of prescription opioids and benzodiazepines		Unintentional fatal opioid overdose in a home	New York City residents who died from an unintentional opioid overdose (age range = 15–84)	Siegler, Tuazon, O'Brien, & Paone, 2014
Ever injecting a tranquilizer		 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013
Ever non-orally ingesting a prescription drug		 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	Silva, Schrager, Kecojevic, & Lankenau, 2013
Having a current non-opioid substance use disorder		Prescription opioid abuse	Veterans who were being prescribed a 91-day or more supply of opioids, never had a cancer diagnosis, and have not had an opioid dependence diagnosis	Edlund, Steffick, Hudson, Harris, & Sullivan, 2007
Having a history of opioid abuse		Opioid addiction	Individuals who received 4+ opioid prescriptions (age range = 18 or older)	Boscarino et al., 2010

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Having a history of psychotropic drug use		Opioid addiction	Individuals who received 4+ opioid prescriptions (age range = 18 or older)	Boscarino et al., 2010
Having a history of severe opioid abuse		Opioid addiction	Individuals who received 4+ opioid prescriptions (age range = 18 or older)	Boscarino et al., 2010
Having a substance use disorder diagnosis		 Opioid abuse Opioid dependence	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who were prescribed at least 90 days of opioids	Edlund et al., 2010
		Opioid use disorder	Private insurance network enrollees with a cancer diagnosis	Edlund et al., 2014
Having baseline prescription drug misuse		 Prescription drug misuse 	Dependent or problem drinkers who entered a treatment program for any chemical dependency	<u>Matzger &</u> <u>Weisner, 2007</u>
Having no history of injection drug use		Prescription opioid abuse	Individuals with opioid dependence receiving methadone (average age = 35)	Rosenblum et al., 2007
Having no previous history of methadone treatment		 Prescription opioid abuse 	Individuals with opioid dependence receiving methadone (average age = 35)	Rosenblum et al., 2007
Heavy alcohol use		 Prescription opioid overdose 	Medically healthy prescription drug abusers (age range = 18–70; average age = 35.2)	<u>Green, Black,</u> <u>Serrano, Budman,</u> <u>& Butler, 2011</u>
History or recent initiation of drug use by injection		 Prescription opioid overdose 	Prescription drug misusers, medically healthy prescription drug abusers, illicit drug users (age range = 18–70; average age = 35.2)	<u>Green, Black,</u> <u>Serrano, Budman,</u> <u>& Butler, 2011</u>

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Initiation of prescription drug misuse before age 13 (vs. after age 21)		 Prescription drug abuse Prescription drug dependence 	National epidemiologic survey on alcohol and related conditions: respondents (age range = 18–98; modal age range = 25–44)	<u>McCabe, West,</u> <u>Morales, Cranford,</u> <u>& Boyd, 2007</u>
Lifetime heroin use		 Unintentional fatal opioid overdose in environments other than the home 	New York City residents who died from an unintentional opioid overdose (age range = 15–84)	<u>Siegler, Tuazon,</u> <u>O'Brien, & Paone,</u> <u>2014</u>
Lifetime illicit substance use		Prescription drug misuse	Dependent or problem drinkers who entered a treatment program for any chemical dependency	<u>Matzger &</u> <u>Weisner, 2007</u>
		 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013
Lifetime marijuana use		Prescription drug misuse	Dependent or problem drinkers who entered a treatment program for any chemical dependency	<u>Matzger &</u> <u>Weisner, 2007</u>
Lifetime previous misuse of prescription drugs		Prescription drug misuse	Dependent or problem drinkers who entered a treatment program for any chemical dependency	<u>Matzger &</u> <u>Weisner, 2007</u>

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Other illicit substance use		 Prescription pain reliever misuse Prescription tranquilizer misuse Prescription sedative misuse Prescription stimulant misuse 	Active duty service members (average age = 28.5)	<u>Jeffery, Babeu,</u> <u>Nelson, Kloc, &</u> <u>Klette, 2013</u>
		Prescription drug misuse	Homeless youth (average age = 21.5)	<u>Rhoades,</u> <u>Winetrobe, & Rice,</u> <u>2014</u>
Other substance use disorder diagnosis		 Prescription opioid addiction 	Individuals receiving opioid therapy for chronic non-cancer pain (average age = 46.5)	Huffman et al., 2015
Past 30-day alcohol misuse		Prescription opioid misuse	Private insurance network enrollees who used opioids for at least 90 days	Sullivan et al., 2010
Past 30-day alcohol use		 Prescription pain reliever misuse Prescription stimulant misuse 	Active duty service members (average age = 28.5)	<u>Jeffery, Babeu,</u> <u>Nelson, Kloc, &</u> <u>Klette, 2013</u>
Past 30-day drunkenness		 Prescription opioid abuse Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (12 years or older)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
Past 30-day other substance use		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12– 17)	Ford & Rigg, 2015
Past 30-day substance use disorder		Prescription opioid misuse	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who used opioids for at least 90 days	Sullivan et al., 2010

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Past 90-day benzodiazepine use		 Prescription opioid abuse Doctor shopping 	Individuals in pharmaceutical coverage claims databases who were prescribed either tapentadol Immediate Release (IR) or oxycodone IR	<u>Cepeda, Fife, Kihm,</u> <u>Mastrogiovanni, &</u> <u>Yuan, 2014</u>
Past 90-day injection drug use		Non-fatal prescription drug overdose	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013
Past 90-day prescription opioid misuse		 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013
Past 90-day prescription stimulant misuse		Non-fatal prescription drug overdose	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013
Past 90-day prescription tranquilizer misuse		 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013
Past-year alcohol misuse		 Prescription opioid abuse Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (12 and older)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age ranges: 12– 17, 18–25, 35–49)	Mowbray & Quinn, 2015

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Past-year marijuana use		 Prescription opioid abuse Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (12 and older)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
Past-year non-opioid prescription abuse		 Prescription opioid abuse Doctor shopping 	Individuals in pharmaceutical coverage claims databases who were prescribed either tapentadol Immediate Release (IR) or oxycodone IR	Cepeda, Fife, Kihm, Mastrogiovanni, & Yuan, 2014
Past-year other illicit substance use		 Prescription opioid abuse Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (12 and older)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
Past-year other substance use		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age ranges: 12– 17, 18–25, 26–34, 35–49, 50+)	<u>Mowbray & Quinn,</u> 2015
Younger age of first prescription		 Initiation into opioid, stimulant and tranquilizer misuse 	Prescription drug misusers (age range = 16–25; average age = 21)	Kecojevic et al., 2012
Younger age of substance use initiation		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age ranges: 12– 17 and 18–25)	Mowbray & Quinn, 2015
Behavior			·	
Ever being incarcerated		 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013
Having a history of doctor shopping behavior		Drug-related death	Residents dispensed at least one controlled prescription drug (average age = 49)	Peirce, Smith, Abate, & Halverson, 2012

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Having a history of pharmacy shopping behavior		 Drug-related death 	Residents dispensed at least one controlled prescription drug (average age = 49)	Peirce, Smith, Abate, & Halverson, 2012
Participation in organized sports		 Medical use of prescription opioids Accidental misuse of prescription opioids Intentional misuse of prescription opioids 	Male students living in Michigan (age range = 11–17; average age = 14)	<u>Veliz et al., 2014</u>
Paying for prescriptions with cash		 Prescription opioid abuse Doctor shopping 	Individuals in pharmaceutical coverage claims databases who were prescribed either tapentadol Immediate Release (IR) or oxycodone IR	<u>Cepeda, Fife, Kihm,</u> <u>Mastrogiovanni, &</u> <u>Yuan, 2014</u>
School delinquency		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12– 17)	Ford & Rigg, 2015
Prescription Access				
Concurrent use of multiple prescribed opioids		Prescription opioid misuse	Individuals being treated with opioid prescriptions for cancer or blood diseases (age range = 12–28)	Ehrentraut et al., 2014
Co-prescribed psychiatric sedative medications		 Prescription opioid overdose 	Prescription drug misusers, medically healthy prescription drug abusers, illicit drug users (age range = 18–70; average age = 35.2)	<u>Green, Black,</u> <u>Serrano, Budman,</u> <u>& Butler, 2011</u>
Excessive exposure to prescription opioids or benzodiazepines		 Drug-related death 	Residents dispensed at least one controlled prescription drug (average age = 49)	Peirce, Smith, Abate, & Halverson, 2012

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Having a larger prescribed dosage of opioids		Opioid use disorder	Private insurance network enrollees with a cancer diagnosis	Edlund et al., 2014
		 Prescription opioid addiction 	Individuals receiving opioid therapy for chronic non-cancer pain (average age = 46.5)	Huffman et al., 2015
	Having a long-acting opioid prescription only	Prescription opioid misuse	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who used opioids for at least 90 days	Sullivan et al., 2010
	Having a lower prescribed dosage of opioids	 Opioid abuse Opioid dependence	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who were prescribed at least 90 days of opioids	<u>Edlund et al., 2010</u>
	Having a lower prescribed supply of opioids	 Opioid abuse Opioid dependence	Private insurance network enrollees who were prescribed at least 90 days of opioids	<u>Edlund et al., 2010</u>
Having a maximum prescribed daily opioid dosage greater than 100 milligrams		Unintentional fatal prescription opioid overdose	Individuals being treated with opioid pain management therapy (age range = 18–70+ years; modal sample age 50–59)	<u>Bohnert et al.,</u> 2011
Having a prescription for anxiety/depression		 Prescription pain reliever misuse Prescription tranquilizer misuse Prescription sedative misuse 	Active duty service members (average age = 28.5)	<u>Jeffery, Babeu,</u> <u>Nelson, Kloc, &</u> <u>Klette, 2013</u>
Having a prescription for high- dosage morphine		Risk for opioid misuse	Individuals with cancer receiving services from a pain center	<u>Koyyalagunta et</u> al., 2013

Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Having a prescription for more than a 211-day supply		Prescription opioid abuse	Veterans who were being prescribed a 91-day or more supply of opioids,	Edlund, Steffick, Hudson, Harris, &
of opioid medication in one			never had a cancer diagnosis, and	Sullivan, 2007
year			have not had an opioid dependence diagnosis	
Having a prescription for opioids		 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013
		Opioid use disorder	Private insurance network enrollees with a cancer diagnosis	Edlund et al., 2014
Having a prescription for pain relievers		 Prescription pain reliever misuse Prescription tranquilizer misuse Prescription sedative misuse Prescription stimulant misuse 	Active duty service members (average age = 28.5)	<u>Jeffery, Babeu,</u> <u>Nelson, Kloc, &</u> <u>Klette, 2013</u>
	Having a prescription for Schedule III or IV opioids	 Opioid abuse Opioid dependence	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who were prescribed at least 90 days of opioids	Edlund et al., 2010
Having a prescription for sedatives or hypnotics		 Opioid abuse Opioid dependence	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who were	Edlund et al., 2010

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
	Having a prescription for stimulants ^{38,39}	 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013
		 Prescription stimulant misuse 	University students (average age = 19.6)	<u>Gallucci, Martin,</u> <u>Beaujean, &</u> <u>Usdan, 2015</u>
Having a prescription for tranquilizers		 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013
Having a short-acting opioid prescription only or in conjunction with a long-acting opioid prescription		Prescription opioid misuse	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who used opioids for at least 90 days	Sullivan et al., 2010
Having a prescription for a high-dosage opioid		Prescription opioid misuse	Medicaid and private insurance network enrollees who used opioids for at least 90 days	Sullivan et al., 2010
Having unmonitored access to tranquilizers		 Initiation of tranquilizer use 	Prescription drug misusers (age range = 16–25; average age = 21)	Kecojevic et al., 2012
Obtaining filled prescriptions for two or more types of controlled substances		 Drug-related death 	Residents dispensed at least one controlled prescription drug (average age = 49)	Peirce, Smith, Abate, & Halverson, 2012

³⁸ Individuals misusing stimulants may exhibit different drug use patterns than those misusing opioids. In this research sample, the majority of stimulants users had stable housing and did not have a history of injection drug use compared to opioid misusers, and may therefore experience less contextual stressors that many associate with an increase in overdose risk (Silva et al., 2013). ³⁹ Authors suggest that students with prescriptions may feel more in control of its use better than those without a prescription resulting in a reduction in misuse (Gallucci et al., 2015).

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Obtaining four or more filled prescriptions		Drug-related death	Residents dispensed at least one controlled prescription drug (average age = 49)	Peirce, Smith, Abate, & Halverson, 2012
	Prescribed tapentadol Immediate Release (IR; type of opioid drug) instead of oxycodone IR	 Prescription opioid abuse 	Individuals in pharmaceutical coverage claims databases who were prescribed either tapentadol IR or oxycodone IR	<u>Cepeda, Fife, Kihm,</u> <u>Mastrogiovanni, &</u> <u>Yuan, 2014</u>
		Prescription opioid abuse	Individuals in pharmaceutical coverage claims databases who were prescribed either tapentadol IR or oxycodone IR	<u>Cepeda, Fife, Ma,</u> <u>& Ryan, 2013</u>
Receiving opioid therapy through a specialty clinic		Opioid addiction	Individuals who received 4+ opioid prescriptions (age range = 18 or older)	Boscarino et al., 2010
Education				
	Attending a prevention class	Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12– 17)	Ford & Rigg, 2015
	Being a current student	 Prescription opioid abuse Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (12 and older)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
	Having a high school diploma	 Prescription opioid abuse Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (12 and older)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Having a college degree ⁴⁰		 Unintentional fatal opioid overdose in a home 	New York City residents who died from an unintentional opioid overdose (age range = 15–84)	<u>Siegler, Tuazon,</u> <u>O'Brien, & Paone,</u> <u>2014</u>
	Having a four-year college degree	 Prescription opioid abuse Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (12 and older)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
	Having a higher commitment to doing well in school	Prescription drug misuse	Students in grades 5, 7, 9, and 11 in one Tennessee county	<u>Collins, Abadi,</u> Johnson, Shamblen, & Thompson, 2011
Employment			·	
	Being employed	Prescription opioid abuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 35– 49)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
Being employed		Prescription opioid abuse	Individuals with opioid dependence receiving methadone (average age = 35)	Rosenblum et al., 2007
		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 18– 25)	Mowbray & Quinn, 2015
Being unemployed ⁴¹		 Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (age range = 18– 25)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008

⁴⁰ The focus of the study was on exploring the demographics of those who overdosed at home versus those outside the home. The rest of the studies listed in this document explore education factors with outcomes associated with misuse or abuse. There could be something about a college educated person which propels them to misuse opioids at home rather than outside the home. ⁴¹ 18 to 25 year olds who are unemployed have higher risk than those who are out of the workforce (Arkes & Iguchi, 2008). Those out of the workforce may be current students; thus protecting them from stimulant misuse.

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS				
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
	Being unemployed ⁴²	Prescription stimulant abuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 26– 34)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
Health Insurance				
Having health insurance ⁴³		Prescription stimulant abuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 18– 25)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
	Having health insurance	 Prescription opioid abuse Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (age range = 35 or older)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
Religiosity				
Having decreased religiosity		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12– 17)	Ford & Rigg, 2015
Spiritual beliefs influence decision-making		 Prescription pain reliever misuse Prescription stimulant misuse 	Active duty service members (average age = 28.5)	<u>Jeffery, Babeu,</u> <u>Nelson, Kloc, &</u> <u>Klette, 2013</u>
Perception				
	Having greater perception of substance abuse risks	Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12– 17)	Ford & Rigg, 2015
Having the perception that stimulant misuse is necessary to complete tasks		 Prescription stimulant misuse 	University students (average age = 19.6)	<u>Gallucci, Martin,</u> <u>Beaujean, &</u> <u>Usdan, 2015</u>

⁴² Being unemployed for 26 to 34 year olds is protective for stimulant abuse in comparison to those out of the workforce (Arkes & Iguchi, 2008). It could be that without employment, they have lack of health insurance and less access to prescriptions.

⁴³ Having health insurance may provide young adults (18-25 year olds) with an easier way to access a prescription for stimulants (Arkes & Iguchi, 2008).

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS					
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation	
Perceive drug test as easy to predict		Prescription pain reliever misuse	Active duty service members (average age = 28.5)	<u>Jeffery, Babeu,</u> <u>Nelson, Kloc, &</u> <u>Klette, 2013</u>	
Perception that prescription drug misuse is acceptable and safe		Prescription drug misuse	Students in grades 5, 7, 9, and 11 in one Tennessee county	<u>Collins, Abadi,</u> Johnson, Shamblen, & Thompson, 2011	
Perception that prescription drugs are readily available		 Prescription drug misuse 	Students in grades 5, 7, 9, and 11 in one Tennessee county	<u>Collins, Abadi,</u> Johnson, Shamblen, & Thompson, 2011	
Negative Life Events	Negative Life Events				
Past 90-day homelessness		 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013	

RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS

At the relationship level, our inner circle of family and friends, with whom we are in direct contact and communicate most frequently, influence our attitudes and behaviors. Family, especially parents, can influence NMUPD among children both positively and negatively. For example, adolescent children whose **parents express disapproval** of substance use⁴⁴ or who have a **strong bond with their parents**⁴⁵ are less likely to misuse prescription drugs; adolescent children whose parents express favorable attitudes toward substance use, however, are more likely to misuse prescription drugs.⁴⁶

Youth exposure to prescription drug use in the household environment can also influence their future drug use. For example, youth who have **witnessed a family member overdose** are more likely to overdose on prescription drugs themselves,⁴⁷ and youth who have accessed stimulants for the first time in their homes initiated NMUPD at a relatively early age.⁴⁸ Peer attitudes and behaviors also may be strongly associated with individuals' NMUPD. Young people who associate with a large number of friends that misuse prescription drugs are more likely to do the same compared to their counterparts.⁴⁹ Certain social networks and situations also trigger misuse. For example, compared to their counterparts, college students involved with fraternities and sororities were more likely to misuse stimulants,⁵⁰ and Filipino-American respondents who experienced discrimination in their routine interactions were more likely to misuse prescription drugs.⁵¹

⁴⁴ Collins, Abadi, Johnson, Shamblen, & Thompson, 2011

⁴⁵ Schroeder & Ford, 2012

⁴⁶ Ford & Rigg, 2015

⁴⁷ Silva, Schrager, Kecojevic, & Lankenau, 2013

⁴⁸ Kecojevic et al., 2012

⁴⁹ Collins, Abadi, Johnson, Shamblen, & Thompson, 2011; Ford & Rigg, 2015; Schroeder & Ford, 2012

⁵⁰ Gallucci, Martin, Beaujean, & Usdan, 2015

⁵¹ Gee, Delva, & Takeuchi, 2007

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS

How to read this table: "Factor is associated with Outcome among Population(s) of Interest."

Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Intimate Partner Relation	onship			
Being divorced		 Prescription opioid abuse Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12–50+; modal age range = 18–25)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
Being married ⁵²		 Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (age range = 26–34)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
Bei	Being married	 Prescription stimulant abuse 	NSDUH respondents (age range = 18–25)	Arkes & Iguchi, 2008
		 Exposure to prescription drugs with abuse potential 	Medicare respondents (age range = 65 or older)	Simoni-Wastila, Zuckerman, Singhal, Briesacher, & Hsu, 2005
		 Prescription sedative misuse Prescription stimulant misuse 	Active duty service members (average age = 28.5)	Jeffery, Babeu, Nelson, Kloc, & Klette, 2013
Having unprotected sex		Prescription drug misuse	Homeless youth (average age = 21.5)	Rhoades, Winetrobe, & Rice, 2014

⁵² Authors suggest that 26 to 34 year olds who are married may experience more stress related to family and children than those who have never been married; thus increasing risk for stimulant abuse (Arkes & Iguchi, 2008).

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS						
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation		
Not being married		Prescription opioid abuse	Military veterans who have been prescribed a 91-day or more supply of opioids	Edlund, Steffick, Hudson, Harris, & Sullivan, 2007		
Parents & Family						
Experienced childhood sexual abuse		Initiation of tranquilizer misuse	Prescription drug misusers (age range = 16–25; average age = 21)	Kecojevic et al., 2012		
Experiencing a larger number of negative life events ⁵³		Prescription drug misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12–17; average age = 14.6)	Schroeder & Ford, 2012		
Greater parental favorable attitudes towards substance abuse		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12–17)	Ford & Rigg, 2015		
	Greater parental disapproval towards prescription drug misuse	Prescription drug misuse	Students in grades 5, 7, 9, and 11 in one Tennessee county	Collins, Abadi, Johnson, Shamblen, & Thompson, 2011		
	Having a stronger parental bond	Prescription drug misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12–17; average age = 14.6)	Schroeder & Ford, 2012		
	Having previously been in foster care ⁵⁴	Prescription drug misuse	Homeless youth (average age = 21.5)	<u>Rhoades,</u> <u>Winetrobe, & Rice,</u> <u>2014</u>		
Household being the source of first misused stimulant		 Initiation of stimulant misuse 	Prescription drug misusers (age range = 16–25; average age = 21)	Kecojevic et al., 2012		

⁵³ Negative life events were measured through an index of answers to six major life events (1) fighting with parents, (2) low grades in school, (3) not residing with biological parents, (4) not having health insurance, (5) participating in a government-assistance program, and (6) having fair or overall poor health.

⁵⁴ The participants in this study were homeless youth and those who experienced foster care were less likely to misuse (Rhoades et al., 2014). It could be that these youth had access to resources or experiences that the other homeless youth did not; thus making them less likely to misuse.

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

Diele Franken	Ducto stine Foster	Outros ma (a)	Demulation	Citation
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Lifetime witnessing a family		 Non-fatal prescription drug 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who	Silva, Schrager,
member overdose		overdose	misused prescription drugs at least	<u>Kecojevic, &</u>
			three times in the past 90 days (age	Lankenau, 2013
			range = 16–25)	
Household Income				
	Having a higher household	Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range =	Ford & Rigg, 2015
	income		12–17)	
Having a lower household		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age ranges =	Mowbray & Quinn,
income (18–25; 50+)			18–25 and 50 or older)	<u>2015</u>
Peers				
Greater peer prescription		Prescription drug misuse	Students in grades 5, 7, 9, and 11 in	Collins, Abadi,
drug misuse			one Tennessee county	Johnson, Shamblen
				& Thompson, 2011
Greater peer favorable		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range =	Ford & Rigg, 2015
attitudes towards substance			12–17)	
abuse				
Greater peer substance abuse		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range =	Ford & Rigg, 2015
or use			12–17)	
		 Prescription drug misuse 	NSDUH respondents (age range =	Schroeder & Ford,
			12–17; average age = 14.6)	<u>2012</u>
Having a "Greek" organization		Prescription stimulant	University students (average age =	Gallucci, Martin,
affiliation		misuse	19.6)	Beaujean, & Usdan
				<u>2015</u>
Living with non-spousal		Exposure to prescription	Medicare respondents (age range =	Simoni-Wastila,
other(s)		drugs with abuse potential	65 or older)	Zuckerman, Singha
		•		Briesacher, & Hsu,
				2005

RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS						
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation		
Social Networks						
Alcohol misuse or illicit substance use among social networks		 Prescription drug misuse 	Dependent or problem drinkers who entered treatment for any chemical dependence	Matzger & Weisner, 2007		
Having weaker social bonds		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12–17)	Ford & Rigg, 2015		
Past 30-day experiences of discriminatory behavior in routine interactions		Prescription drug misuse	Filipino-American respondents (average age = 41.6)	<u>Gee, Delva, &</u> <u>Takeuchi, 2007</u>		

COMMUNITY-LEVEL FACTORS

At the community level, the settings where we live and work can influence the decisions we make and our subsequent behaviors. Research demonstrates that aspects of our neighborhoods where we live can influence misuse of prescription drugs. For youth ages 12 to 17, **moving three or more times in the past year** and/or living in an **urban environment** can increase the likelihood of misusing opioids.⁵⁵ In general, residential mobility or instability is associated with adverse childhood experiences⁵⁶ and can put a child at risk for developmental and social problems. Frequent mobility often disrupts routines and breaks social ties. When youth move, social capital shifts—they can lose social support and access to resources that can help them navigate developmental challenges.⁵⁷ In addition, research suggests that having a **Gay-Straight Alliance** (GSA) in schools is protective for sexual and gender minority students in high school, and helps protect against prescription opioid and ADHD medication misuse.⁵⁸ **Community norms** that disapprove of NMUPD also protect against NMUPD: if the community as a whole disapproves of use (or if young people think that their community frowns upon NMUPD), young people in that community will be less likely to misuse prescription drugs.⁵⁹

⁵⁵ Ford & Rigg, 2015

⁵⁶ Dong et al., 2005

⁵⁷ Anderson, Leventhal, Newman, & Dupéré, 2014

⁵⁸ Heck et al., 2014

⁵⁹ Collins, Abadi, Johnson, Shamblen, & Thompson, 2011

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

COMMUNITY-LEVEL FACTORS

How to read this table: "Factor is associated with Outcome among Population(s) of Interest."

COMMUNITY-LEVEL FACTORS

			1	1
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation
Living Arrangements				
Living in a rural area		Prescription opioid abuse	Individuals with opioid dependence receiving methadone (average age = 35)	Rosenblum et al., 2007
Living in an urban environment		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12–17)	Ford & Rigg, 2015
Moving three or more times in the past year		Prescription opioid misuse	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12–17)	Ford & Rigg, 2015
Residing in the borough of Manhattan		 Unintentional fatal opioid overdose in environments other than the home 	New York City residents who died from an unintentional opioid overdose (age range = 15–84)	<u>Siegler, Tuazon,</u> <u>O'Brien, & Paone,</u> <u>2014</u>
Residing in the borough of Staten Island		Unintentional fatal opioid overdose in a home	New York City residents who died from an unintentional opioid overdose (age range = 15–84)	<u>Siegler, Tuazon,</u> <u>O'Brien, & Paone,</u> <u>2014</u>

COMMUNITY-LEVEL FACTORS						
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome(s)	Population	Citation		
Workplace						
Absence of random drug testing program		 Prescription pain reliever misuse Prescription tranquilizer misuse Prescription sedative misuse Prescription stimulant misuse 	Active duty service members (average age = 28.5)	<u>Jeffery, Babeu,</u> <u>Nelson, Kloc, &</u> <u>Klette, 2013</u>		
School						
	Presence of Gay-Straight Alliance (GSA) in school	 Prescription opioid misuse Prescription ADHD medication misuse 	LGBT ⁶⁰ high school students (age range = 16 or older; average age = 17)	<u>Heck et al., 2014</u>		
Community Norms						
	Community norms against use	Prescription drug misuse	Students in grades 5, 7, 9, and 11 in one Tennessee county	Collins, Abadi, Johnson, Shamblen, & Thompson, 2011		

⁶⁰ Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

SOCIETAL-LEVEL FACTORS

Societal-level factors, at the outermost level of the socio-ecological model, encompass elements of the broader culture and policy context. Out of all the socio-ecological levels, we were able to find the fewest societal-level factors related to NMUPD, primarily because the research in this area is limited. The few factors we did find, however, reveal that some individuals may turn to substances to cope with environmental stressors. One stressor associated with increased likelihood of NMUPD is **discriminatory practices** directed toward individuals who are traditionally underrepresented or marginalized. Discriminatory events (i.e., "treated unfairly or badly" because of racial or ethnic status, speaking a different language, or speaking with an accent) and unfair treatment based on social status (i.e., being treated with less courtesy or respect than others; experiencing worse service at restaurants or stores; people acting "afraid of you," like "you are dishonest," or they are "better than you"; being called names or insulted; and being threatened or harassed) permeate society and can place individuals, relationships, social networks, and communities on the receiving end at risk for prescription drug misuse.⁶¹

⁶¹ Gee, Delva, & Takeuchi, 2007

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

SOCIETAL-LEVEL FACTORS

How to read this table: "Factor is associated with Outcome among Population(s) of Interest."

SOCIETAL-LEVEL FACTORS						
Risk Factor	Protective Factor	Outcome	Population	Citation		
Discrimination						
Past-year experiences of discriminatory events		Prescription drug misuse	Filipino-American respondents (average age = 41.6)	<u>Gee, Delva, &</u> <u>Takeuchi, 2007</u>		
Social Perception & Media						
Societally influenced perception of risks of substance use		 Prescription drug misuse 	NSDUH respondents (age range = 12–17; average age = 14.6)	Schroeder & Ford, 2012		
Socioeconomic Status						
Belonging to a lower social class during adolescence		 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	Individuals (mostly homeless) who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (age range = 16–25)	<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, &</u> Lankenau, 2013		

SECTION 2. RISK AND PROTECTIVE FACTORS, ORGANIZED BY STUDY

This section provides detailed information on the research studies that identified the risk and protective factors for NMUPD from Section 1 above. Within each level of influence, we list relevant articles, the factors they identify, and details on participant characteristics, analyses, and any outcomes significantly associated with the identified factors.

Please note: For studies with more than one outcome of interest, the outcomes are numbered, and the numbers are listed (in parentheses) next to the risk and/or protective factors with which they are significantly associated.

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
<u>Arkes & Iguchi, 2008</u>	 Past 30-day cigarette use (1,2)⁶² Past 30-day drunkenness (1,2) Past-year marijuana use (1,2) Past-year alcohol misuse (1,2) Past-year other illicit substance use (1,2) Having "poor" health (1,2) Having health insurance (for ages 18-25) (2) Being unemployed (for ages 18-25) (2) 	 Having a high school diploma (1,2) Having a four-year college degree (1,2) Being a current student (1,2) Being employed (for ages 35-49) (1) Being unemployed (for-ages 26-34) (2) Having health insurance (for ages 35 and over) (1,2) 	Random sample of National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) respondents nationwide (n = 164,870; 50.8% female) from 2001 to 2003 (age range = 12–over 50; modal age range = 18– 25)	Retrospective, pooled cross-sectional study using multivariate analysis of data from three survey years	 (1) Prescription opioid abuse (2) Prescription stimulant abuse 	

⁶² Risk factors may vary by age group depending on outcome (i.e., opioid or stimulant abuse).

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
<u>Bieber et al., 2008</u>	Having a greater subjective euphoric reaction to opioids		Convenience samples of individuals being treated at McLean Hospital in Belmont, MA, in 2008, who either had an opioid addiction diagnosis (n = 20; 50% female) or were receiving long-term opioid therapy but did not have an addiction diagnosis (n = 20; 45% female) (age range = 19–70; average age = 44)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using bivariate analysis	Prescription opioid addiction	
<u>Bohnert et al., 2011</u>	Having a maximum prescribed daily opioid dosage greater than 100 milligrams		Random sample of individuals being treated with opioid pain management therapy through the Veterans Health Administration (VHA) system (n = 154,684; 6.7% female) in FY2004 or FY2005 and a subgroup of that sample who had an unintentional fatal prescription opioid overdose (n = 740; 6.7%	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using bivariate analysis	Unintentional fatal prescription opioid overdose	

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL	INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)		
Bohnert et al., 2011 (cont.)			female) between FY2004 and FY2008 (age range = 18–over 70; modal sample age range = 50–59; modal population age range = 40–49)				
Boscarino et al., 2010	 Receiving opioid therapy through a specialty clinic Having "poor" health (self-reported) Having a high level of pain (self-reported) Having a history of opioid abuse Having a history of severe opioid abuse Having a history of depression Having a history of psychotropic drug use 		Random sample of individuals who received four or more opioid prescriptions from Geisinger Clinic prescribers serving northeastern Pennsylvania counties (n = 705) in 2006 or 2007 (age range = 18 or older)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate and bivariate analyses	Opioid addiction		
<u>Cepeda, Fife, Kihm,</u> <u>Mastrogiovanni, &</u> <u>Yuan, 2014</u>	 Paying for prescriptions with cash (1,2) 	 Prescribed tapentadol Immediate Release (IR; type of opioid 	National sample of individuals in the IMS LRx or the IMS Dx prescription claims databases who were	Retrospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis of data from baseline	(1) Prescription opioid abuse(2) Doctor shopping		

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
<u>Cepeda, Fife, Kihm,</u> <u>Mastrogiovanni, &</u> <u>Yuan, 2014 (cont.)</u>	 Past-year non-opioid prescription abuse (1, 2) Past-year mood disorders (1,2) Past-year back pain (1,2) Past 90-day benzodiazepine use (1,2) 	drug) instead of oxycodone IR (1)	prescribed either tapentadol IR or oxycodone IR (n = 277,401; 60.3% female) in 2010 or 2011 and followed for one year (average age = 53.1)	through the ensuing year		
<u>Cepeda, Fife, Ma, &</u> <u>Ryan, 2013</u>		 Prescribed tapentadol Immediate Release (IR; type of opioid drug) instead of oxycodone IR 	Random sample of individuals nationwide in the Optum or MarketScan pharmaceutical coverage claims databases who were prescribed either tapentadol IR or oxycodone IR (n = 39,367; 55.5% female) in 2010 and followed for one year. Individuals with any recent opioid exposure prior to this prescription were excluded. The average age of individuals in	Retrospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis of data from baseline through the ensuing year	Prescription opioid abuse	

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
Cration <u>Cepeda, Fife, Ma, &</u> <u>Ryan, 2013 (cont.)</u>		Protective Factor(s)	Optum was 43.8 for those prescribed oxycodone IR and 47.5 for those prescribed tapentadol IR. The average age of individuals in MarketScan was 42.1 for those prescribed oxycodone IR and 46.1	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
Collins, Abadi, Johnson, Shamblen, & Thompson, 2011	 Perception that prescription drugs are readily available Perception that prescription drug misuse is acceptable and safe 	 Having a higher commitment to doing well in school 	for those prescribed tapentadol. Convenience sample of students in grades 5, 7, 9, and 11 in one Tennessee county (n = 1,105; 57% female) in 2009. Most (53%) were over the age of 13.	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription drug misuse 	
<u>Edlund et al., 2010</u>	 Having a mental health disorder diagnosis Having another substance use disorder diagnosis Having a back pain diagnosis (private enrollees only) 	 Having a lower prescribed supply of opioids (private enrollees only) Having a lower prescribed dosage of opioids 	Populations of Arkansas Medicaid (n = 9,651; 71.6% female) and HealthCore private insurance network enrollees (n = 36,605; 59% female) who met study eligibility criteria:	Retrospective, longitudinal study using multivariate and time sensitivity analyses of data from 12 months pre-baseline to up to 54 months post-baseline	 Opioid abuse Opioid dependence 	

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL	INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS								
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)				
Edlund et al., 2010 (cont.)	 Having a headache diagnosis (private enrollees only) Having a prescription for sedatives or hypnotics 	 Having a prescription for Schedule III or IV opioids 	 Having at least 90 days of prescribed opioid use within a six-month period between January 2001 and December 2004 						
			 Being continuously enrolled in coverage for at least 12 months before and after that period 						
			Cancer-free						
			 Living in their communities 						
Edlund et al., 2014	 Having a prescription for opioids Having a larger prescribed dosage of opioids Having a mental health disorder diagnosis Having a substance use disorder diagnosis 		 Sample of HealthCore private insurance network enrollees (n = 568,640) who met study eligibility criteria: The development of at least one new chronic non-cancer pain diagnosis between 2000 and 2005 	Retrospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analyses of data from 12 months pre-baseline to 12 months post-baseline	• Opioid use disorder				

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL	INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS							
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)			
<u>Edlund et al., 2014</u> (cont.)	 Having four or more non-tracer pain diagnoses 		 No opioid use or diagnosis of opioid dependence in the six months prior to the new diagnosis 					
Edlund, Steffick, <u>Hudson, Harris, &</u> <u>Sullivan, 2007</u>	 Having a current non-opioid substance use disorder Having a current mental health disorder Having a current painful physical disorder Having a prescription for more than a 211-day supply of opioid medication in one year Having 12 or more physical health care visits in one year 		 Population of veterans with data in the South Central Veterans Affairs Health Care Network who met study criteria (n = 15,160; 5.1% female): Being prescribed a 91-day or more supply of opioids in 2002 Never having a cancer diagnosis Not having an opioid dependence diagnosis in 2000, 2001, or 2002 	Retrospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription opioid abuse 			
Edwards et al., 2011	 Having greater pain sensitivity (self- reported) Having lower pain tolerance (self- reported) 		Convenience sample of individuals being treated at the Pain Management Center at Brigham & Women's Hospital in Boston, MA	Prospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	Opioid misuse			

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS								
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)			
Edwards et al., 2011 (cont.)			for spinal pain (n = 276; 61.2% female) in 2011.					
<u>Ehrentraut et al., 2014</u>	Concurrent use of multiple prescribed opioids		Convenience sample of individuals being treated at St. Jude Children's Research Hospital in Tennessee for cancer or blood disease with opioid prescriptions (n = 94; 45.7% female) in 2012 and 2013 (age range = 12–28; average age = 16.3)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using bivariate analysis	Prescription opioid misuse			
<u>Ford & Rigg, 2015</u>	 Having a current depression diagnosis Having decreased religiosity School delinquency Past 30-day other substance use 	 Having greater perception of substance abuse risks Attending a prevention class 	Random sample of NSDUH respondents nationwide (n = 15,648; 49.6% female) in 2012 (age range = 12–17; average age = 14.7). 5.3% of sample members reported having a history of prescription opioid misuse.	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	Prescription opioid misuse			

Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)
<u>Gallucci, Martin,</u> <u>Beaujean, & Usdan,</u> 2015	 Having the perception that stimulant misuse is necessary to complete tasks 	Having a prescription for stimulants	Cluster sample of students at a large public university in the southeast United States (n = 978; 67.8% female) in the 2010 fall semester (average age = 19.6)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription stimulant misuse
<u>Gelernter et al., 2014</u>	• Genetic factors		Cluster sample of individuals recruited from other studies of opioid, cocaine, or alcohol dependence in Connecticut, Massachusetts, Pennsylvania, or South Carolina (n = 5,697). A secondary cross- sectional cluster sample of similar individuals (n = 2,549) was used for replication purposes.	Retrospective, cross- sectional case-control study	Opioid dependence
<u>Grattan, Sullivan,</u> Saunders, Campbell, <u>&</u> Von Korff, 2012	 Having a current depression diagnosis 		Stratified convenience sample of individuals receiving chronic opioid therapy from Group Health Cooperative or Kaiser Permanente in California (n = 1,334;	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis of survey data	 Prescription opioid misuse

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL	INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS								
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)				
-		Protective Factor(s)	Population69% female) in 2008and 2009 (age range =21-80)Convenience sample ofpopulation of AddictionSeverity Index-Multimedia Version(ASI-MV) respondents(n = 26,314; 43.6%female) between 2005and 2009 (age range =18-70; average age =35.2)	Design & Analysis Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	Outcome(s) • Prescription opioid overdose				
	by injection (among prescribed misusers, medically healthy abusers, illicit users)								

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS								
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)			
<u>Harlé et al., 2014</u>	Having reduced response inhibition		Convenience sample of individuals recruited from universities in California who were non-dependent cocaine users or prescription stimulant misusers (n = 158; 39.2% female) or control individuals (n = 47; 55.3% female) over	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	Prescription stimulant use			
Huffman et al., 2015	 Other substance use disorder diagnosis Having a larger prescribed opioid dosage (significance found for each 50- milligram increase) 		a five-year period Convenience sample of individuals receiving opioid therapy for chronic non-cancer pain in Ohio (n = 199; 60.8% female) in 2010–2011 (average age = 46.5). Individuals who had ever previously misused prescription opioids were ineligible for the study.	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using bivariate analysis	Prescription opioid addiction			
<u>Jeffery, Babeu, Nelson,</u> <u>Kloc, & Klette, 2013</u>	 Received prescription for pain relief in the past month (1,2,3,4) 		Population of all active duty service members (ADSM) who completed the U.S. Department of Defense's Health	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 (1) Prescription pain reliever misuse (2) Prescription tranquilizer misuse (3) Prescription sedative misuse 			

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL	INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS							
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)			
Jeffery, Babeu, Nelson,	Received prescription		Related Behaviors		(4) Prescription			
Kloc, & Klette, 2013	for pain relief in the		Survey of Active Duty		stimulant misuse			
<u>(cont.)</u>	past year (1,2,3,4)		Military Personal (n =					
	Received prescription		28,546; 14.2% female)					
	for		in 2008. The survey was					
	anxiety/depression in		voluntary and available					
	the past month or		to all current ADSM					
	more than a year ago		(average age = 28.5).					
	(1,2,3)							
	 Headache post- 							
	deployment (1,2,3,4)							
	 Spiritual beliefs 							
	influence decision-							
	making (1,4)							
	 History of illicit drug 							
	use (1,2,3,4)							
	 Past 30-day alcohol 							
	use (1,4)							
	 Perceive drug test as 							
	easy to predict (1)							
	 Injury during 							
	deployment (1,2)							
Kecojevic et al., 2012	Younger age of first		Random sample of New	Retrospective, cross-	(1) Initiation into opioid,			
	prescription (1)		York and Los Angeles	sectional study using	tranquilizer, and			
	 Having unmonitored 		residents who	multivariate analysis	stimulant misuse			
	access to		participated in a		(2) Initiation of			
	tranquilizers (2)		previous study on		tranquilizer use			
			prescription drug					

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL	INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS								
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)				
Kecojevic et al., 2012 (cont.)			misuse (n = 596; 31.9% female) that was published in 2012 (age range = 16–25; average age = 21)						
Koyyalagunta et al., 2013	 Having high pain status (self-reported) Having a prescription for high-dosage morphine Having high levels of fatigue Having a poor appetite Having current diagnosis of depression Past 30-day anxiety 	 Having positive well- being (self-reported) 	Convenience sample of individuals with cancer who received services from a Texas pain center (n = 522; 52.1% female) between January and June 2009	Prospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis of survey data and clinical records at baseline, one-month follow-up, and six-to-nine month follow-up	• Risk for opioid misuse				
<u>Mackesy-Amiti,</u> <u>Donenberg, & Ouellet,</u> <u>2015</u>	 Having ever been hospitalized for a medical issue (1, 2, 3) Having a current anti- social personality disorder diagnosis (1) Having ever been diagnosed with posttraumatic stress 		Convenience sample of individuals who inject drugs who were recruited from Chicago, IL neighborhoods (n = 570; 38.1% female) (age range = 18–25; average age = 22.2)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 (1) Past-year prescription opioid misuse (2) Past-year prescription opioid abuse (3) Past-year prescription opioid dependence 				

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS							
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)		
<u>Mackesy-Amiti,</u> <u>Donenberg, & Ouellet,</u> <u>2015 (cont.)</u>	 disorder (1, 2, 3; men only) Past-year posttraumatic stress disorder diagnosis (2, 3; men only) Past-year substance- induced major depression diagnosis 						
<u>Marino et al., 2013</u>	 (1) Having high attentional impulsivity 		Convenience sample of individuals receiving opioid therapy for chronic low-back pain in Texas who were already enrolled in a larger study about prescription opioid misuse (n = 42; 54.8% female). The study was published in 2013 (average age = 47.5).	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	Risk for prescription opioid misuse		
<u>Martel, Wasan,</u> Jamison, & Edwards, 2013	 "Catastrophizing" current pain status Having greater pain sensitivity (self- reported) 		Convenience sample of individuals being treated for chronic musculoskeletal pain at Brigham and Women's Hospital in	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	Risk for prescription opioid misuse		

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL	INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS								
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)				
<u>Martel, Wasan,</u> Jamison, & Edwards, 2013 (cont.)	 Having an increased perception of pain negative effects (anxiety about pain) Demonstrating increased pain sensitivity 		Massachusetts (n = 115; 58.3% female) (average age = 47.3)						
<u>Matzger & Weisner,</u> 2007	 Lifetime marijuana use Lifetime other illicit substance use Lifetime previous misuse of prescription drugs Having baseline prescription drug misuse 		Convenience sample (n = 926) and random sample (n = 672) from a northern California county contacted in 1995 or 1996. All members of both samples were dependent or problem drinkers. The convenience sample was of individuals who entered a treatment program for any chemical dependency.	Prospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis of data from baseline, and post-1, 3, 5, and 7 years	Prescription drug misuse				
<u>McCabe, West,</u> <u>Morales, Cranford, &</u> <u>Boyd, 2007</u>	 Initiation of prescription drug misuse before age 13 (vs. after age 21) 		Random sample of National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions (NESARC) respondents nationwide (n = 43,093;	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription drug abuse Prescription drug dependence 				

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS								
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)			
<u>McCabe, West,</u> <u>Morales, Cranford, &</u> <u>Boyd, 2007 (cont.)</u>			52% female) in 2001– 2002 (age range = 18– 98; modal age range = 25–44)					
<u>Morasco, Turk,</u> <u>Donovan, & Dobscha,</u> <u>2013</u>	"Catastrophizing" current pain severity		Convenience sample from a larger convenience sample study (n = 284) of chronic pain in individuals receiving service from a VA medical center (n = 80; 8.7% female) between March 2009 and August 2011 (average age = 55). Inclusion in this study required individuals to also have a current or previous substance use disorder and receipt of an opioid prescription in the past 90 days.	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis of survey data and clinical records	Risk for prescription opioid misuse			
Mowbray & Quinn, 2015	 Past-year other substance use (ages: 12–17; 18–25; 26–34; 35–49; 50+) 		Random sample of NSDUH respondents nationwide (n = 113,665) in 2011 and 2012 (modal age range = 18–25)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis of survey data	Prescription opioid misuse			

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL	INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS								
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)				
<u>Mowbray & Quinn,</u> <u>2015 (cont.)</u>	 Past-year alcohol misuse (ages: 12–17; 18–25; 35–49) Past-year anxiety diagnosis (ages: 18– 25) "Poor" health status (self-reported) (ages: 18–25; 35–49) Younger age of substance use initiation (ages: 12– 17; 18–25) Being employed (ages: 18–25) 								
<u>Novy et al., 2012</u>	Being a current cigarette smoker		Convenience sample of every consecutive new cancer treatment patient at the Pain Management Center of the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center (n = 486; 51.8% female) from January through June 2009 (average age = 54.8). 19.3% of sample	Retrospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis of data at baseline, 2–6 weeks post-baseline, and 6–9 months post- baseline	Opioid misuse				

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
Novy et al., 2012 (cont.)			members were current smokers.			
Park & Lavin, 2010	 Having a higher level of reported pain Having a current depression diagnosis 	 Increased severity of physical disability 	Cluster convenience sample of individuals who received care from outpatient clinics affiliated with the Baltimore Veterans Affairs Medical Center and the University of Maryland Medical System (n = 163; 31.3% female) in 2008–2009 (age range = 65–90; average age = 72.8)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis of survey data	Prescription opioid misuse	
<u>Peirce, Smith, Abate, &</u> <u>Halverson, 2012</u>	 Having a history of doctor shopping behavior Having a history of pharmacy shopping behavior Obtaining four or more filled prescriptions Obtaining filled prescriptions for two or more types of 		Sample of West Virginia residents dispensed at least one controlled prescription drug (n = 1,049,903) between July 2005 and December 2007, and a subset population of such residents deceased due to a drug-related overdose (n = 698) (mean population age =	Retrospective, longitudinal study using bivariate analyses of data looking backward from baseline through the previous six months	• Drug-related death	

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
Peirce, Smith, Abate, & Halverson, 2012 (cont.)	 controlled substances Excessive exposure to prescription opioids or benzodiazepines 		49; mean subset population age = 40.6)			
<u>Rhoades, Winetrobe, &</u> <u>Rice, 2014</u>	 Other illicit substance use Having suicidal ideation 		Convenience sample of homeless youth receiving services from Los Angeles drop-in centers (n = 435; 31% female) in 2012–2013 (average age = 21.5)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription drug misuse 	
<u>Rosenblum et al., 2007</u>	 Being employed Having chronic pain Reporting chronic pain as reason for methadone treatment Having no previous history of methadone treatment Having no history of injection drug use Having stronger drug cravings 		Cluster convenience sample of individuals with opioid dependence receiving methadone treatment at 72 clinics in 33 states (n = 5,663; 36.6% female) in 2005 (average age = 35 ⁶³)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	Prescription opioid abuse	

⁶³ The study compared individuals who abused prescription drugs to individuals who abused heroin only. As such, some of these risk factors may only be significant in that comparison and not when comparing to the general public.

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
Rosenblum et al., 2007 (cont.)	 Having more painful withdrawal 					
<u>Siegler, Tuazon,O'Brien,</u> <u>& Paone, 2014</u>	 symptoms Having a college degree (1) Co-occurring use of prescription opioids and benzodiazepines (1) Lifetime heroin use (2) 		Population of New York City residents who died from an unintentional opioid overdose as defined by the ICD-10 (n = 2,649; 26.2% female) between January 2005 and December 2010 (age range = 15–84)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate and bivariate analyses	 (1) Unintentional fatal opioid overdose in a home (2) Unintentional fatal opioid overdose in other environments 	
<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, & Lankenau,</u> <u>2013</u>	 Ever being admitted to a psychiatric hospital Ever being incarcerated Lifetime illicit substance use Ever ingesting a prescription drug non-orally Ever injecting a tranquilizer Past 90-day injection drug use 	• Having a prescription for stimulants	Stratified sample of individuals in New York City and Los Angeles who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (n = 596; 32.4% female) between October 2009 and March 2011 (age range = 16–25; average age = 21). Most (59.6%) of the participants were homeless.	Retrospective study using multivariate analysis	 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS					
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)
<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, & Lankenau,</u> <u>2013 (cont.)</u>	 Past 90-day prescription tranquilizer misuse Past 90-day prescription opioid misuse Past 90-day prescription stimulant misuse Past 90-day homelessness Having a prescription for tranquilizers Having a prescription for opioids 				
<u>Simoni-Wastila,</u> <u>Zuckerman, Singhal,</u> <u>Briesacher, & Hsu, 2005</u>	 Having "fair" or "poor" general health (self-reported) Having one or more limitations on activities of daily living 		Random sample of Medicare enrollee respondents nationwide to the Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey (n = over 12,000) in 1999 (age range = 65 or older)	Retrospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis of data collected at three time points	• Exposure to prescription drugs with abuse potential
<u>Sullivan et al., 2010</u>	 Past 30-day back pain Other pain conditions Past 30-day substance use 	 Having a long-acting opioid prescription only 	Population of Arkansas Medicaid and HealthCore private insurance network enrollees who met	Retrospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis of claims data from	 Prescription opioid misuse

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS							
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)		
Sullivan et al., 2010 (cont.)	 disorder (self-reported) Past 30-day mental health disorder Past 30-day alcohol misuse (for private insurance enrollees) Having a prescription for a high-dosage opioid Having a short-acting opioid prescription only or in conjunction with a long-acting opioid prescription 		 study eligibility criteria (n = 32,024) between 2000 and 2005. Eligibility criteria: At least 90 days of opioid use within a six-month period between 2000 and 2005, with no gap in use longer than 32 days Continuous enrollment in coverage plan from 12 months before any 90-day period of use to 12 months after any 90-day period use Cancer free Living in the community 	baseline through the ensuing 12 months			

INDIVIDUAL-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
<u>Veliz et al., 2014</u>	 Participation in organized sports (men only) 		Subsample (n = 1,540; 48.8% female) of larger random sample (n = 4,511) Secondary Student Life Survey respondents in southeast Michigan from the 2009–10 through 2011–12 school years (age range at baseline = 11–17; average age = 14)	Prospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis of data at baseline and one- and two-years post-baseline	 Medical use of prescription opioids Accidental misuse of prescription opioids Intentional misuse of prescription opioids 	

RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS					
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)
<u>Arkes & Iguchi, 2008</u>	 Being married (for ages 26-34) (2) Being divorced (1,2) 	 Being married (for ages 18-25) (2) 	Random sample of NSDUH respondents nationwide (n = 164,870; 50.8% female) from 2001 to 2003 (age range = 12–over 50; modal age range = 18– 25)	Retrospective, pooled cross-sectional study using multivariate analysis	 (1) Prescription opioid abuse (2) Prescription stimulant abuse
<u>Collins, Abadi, Johnson,</u> <u>Shamblen, &</u> <u>Thompson, 2011</u>	 Greater peer prescription drug misuse 	 Greater parental disapproval towards prescription drug misuse 	Convenience sample of students in grades 5, 7, 9, and 11 in one Tennessee county (n = 1,105; 57% female) in 2009. Most (53%) were over age 13.	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription drug misuse
<u>Edlund, Steffick,</u> <u>Hudson, Harris, &</u> <u>Sullivan, 2007</u>	 Not being married 		 Population of veterans with data in the South Central Veterans Affairs Health Care Network who met study criteria (n = 15,160; 5.1% female) in 2002. Study eligibility criteria included: Being prescribed a 91- day or more supply of opioids in 2002 	Retrospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis of data from 2003 through 2005; descriptive analysis of 2002 pharmacy records and 2000–2002 clinical records were used to determine population size	 Prescription opioid abuse

RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
Edlund, Steffick, Hudson, Harris, & Sullivan, 2007 (cont.)			 Never having a cancer diagnosis Not having an opioid dependence diagnosis in 2000, 2001, or 2002 			
Ford & Rigg, 2015	 Having weaker social bonds Greater peer substance abuse Greater peer favorable attitudes towards substance abuse Greater parental favorable attitudes towards substance abuse 	Having a higher household income	Random sample of NSDUH respondents nationwide (n = 15,648; 49.6% female) in 2012 (age range = 12–17; average age = 14.7). 5.3% of sample members reported having a history of prescription opioid misuse.	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	Prescription opioid misuse	
<u>Gallucci, Martin,</u> <u>Beaujean, & Usdan,</u> <u>2015</u>	Having a "Greek" organization affiliation		Cluster sample of students at a large public university in the southeast United States (n = 978; 67.8% female) in the 2010 fall semester (average age = 19.6)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	Prescription stimulant misuse	

RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
<u>Gee, Delva, & Takeuchi,</u> 2007	 Past 30-day experiences of discriminatory behavior in routine interactions 		Random sample of Filipino-American Community Epidemiological Study respondents nationwide (n = 2,217; 50.7% female) in 1998 to 1999 (average age = 41.6)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using bivariate and multivariate analysis of survey data	 Prescription drug misuse 	
<u>Jeffery, Babeu, Nelson,</u> <u>Kloc, & Klette, 2013</u>		• Being married	Population of all active duty service members (ADSM) who completed the U.S. Department of Defense's Health Related Behaviors Survey of Active Duty Military Personal (n = 28,546; 14.2% female) in 2008. The survey was voluntary and available to all current ADSM (average age = 28.5).	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription sedative misuse Prescription stimulant misuse 	
Kecojevic et al., 2012	 Household being the source of first misused stimulant (2) Experienced childhood sexual abuse (1) 		Random sample of New York and Los Angeles residents who participated in a previous study on prescription drug misuse (n = 596; 31.9% female) that was	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 (1) Initiation of tranquilizer misuse (2) Initiation of stimulant misuse 	

RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
Kecojevic et al., 2012 (cont.)			published in 2012 (age range = 16–25; average age = 21)			
<u>Matzger & Weisner,</u> 2007	 Alcohol misuse or illicit substance use among social network (combined measure) 		Convenience sample (n = 926) and random sample (n = 672) from a northern California county contacted in 1995 or 1996. All members of both samples were dependent or problem drinkers. The convenience sample was of individuals who entered a treatment program for any chemical dependency.	Prospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis of data from baseline, and post-1, 3, 5, and 7 years	 Prescription drug misuse 	
<u>Mowbray & Quinn,</u> 2015	 Having a lower household income (18–25; 50+) 		Random sample of NSDUH respondents nationwide (n = 113,665) in 2011 and 2012 (modal age range = 18–25)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription opioid misuse 	
Rhoades, Winetrobe, & Rice, 2014	 Having unprotected sex 	 Having previously been in foster care 	Convenience sample of homeless youth receiving services from Los Angeles drop-in	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription drug misuse 	

RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
Rhoades, Winetrobe, & Rice, 2014 (cont.)			centers (n = 435; 31% female) in 2012-2013 (average age = 21.5)			
Schroeder & Ford, 2012	 Greater peer substance use Experiencing a larger number of negative life events ⁶⁴ 	 Having a stronger parental bond 	Random sample of younger NSDUH respondents nationwide (n = 17,705; 49% female) in 2009 (age range = 12–17; average age = 14.6)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	Prescription drug misuse	
<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, & Lankenau,</u> <u>2013</u>	• Lifetime witnessing a family member overdose		Stratified sample of individuals in New York City and Los Angeles who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90 days (n = 596; 32.4% female) between October 2009 and March 2011 (age range = 16–25; average age = 21). Most (59.6%) of the participants were homeless.	Retrospective study using multivariate analysis	 Non-fatal prescription drug overdose 	

⁶⁴ Negative life events were measured through an index of answers to six major life events (1) fighting with parent, (2) low grades in school, (3) not residing with biological parents, (4) not having health insurance, (5) participating in a government-assistance program, and (6) having fair or overall poor health.

RELATIONSHIP-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
<u>Simoni-Wastila,</u> <u>Zuckerman, Singhal,</u> <u>Briesacher, & Hsu, 2005</u>	 Living with non- spousal other(s) 	Being married	Random sample of Medicare enrollee respondents nationwide to the Medicare Current Beneficiary Survey (n = over 12,000) in 1999 (age range = 65 or older). All respondents resided in the community.	Retrospective, longitudinal study using multivariate analysis of data collected at three time points	• Exposure to prescription drugs with abuse potential	

COMMUNITY-LEVEL FACTORS					
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)
<u>Collins, Abadi, Johnson,</u> <u>Shamblen, &</u> <u>Thompson, 2011</u>		• Community norms against use	Convenience sample of students in grades 5, 7, 9, and 11 in one Tennessee county (n = 1,105; 57% female) in 2009. Most (53%) were over age 13. Lifetime prescription drug misuse was self- reported by 35% of the sample members.	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription drug misuse
Ford & Rigg, 2015	 Living in an urban environment Moving three or more times in the past year 		Random sample of NSDUH respondents nationwide (n = 15,648; 49.6% female) in 2012 (age range = 12–17; average age = 14.7). 5.3% of sample members reported having a history of prescription opioid misuse.	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription opioid misuse

COMMUNITY-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
<u>Heck et al., 2014</u>		 Presence of Gay- Straight Alliance (GSA) in school (LGBTQIA only) 	Convenience sample of self-identifying LGBT respondents nationwide to an online survey (n = 475; 54.1% female) between September 2011 and April 2012 (age range = 16 or older; average age = 17). All respondents were high school students.	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription opioid misuse Prescription ADHD medication misuse 	
<u>Jeffery, Babeu, Nelson,</u> <u>Kloc, & Klette, 2013</u>	• Absence of random drug testing program		Population of all active duty service members (ADSM) who completed the U.S. Department of Defense's Health Related Behaviors Survey of Active Duty Military Personal (n = 28,546; 14.2% female) in 2008. The survey was voluntary and available to all current ADSM (average age = 28.5).	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription pain reliever misuse Prescription tranquilizer misuse Prescription sedative misuse Prescription stimulant misuse 	

COMMUNITY-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
Rosenblum et al., 2007	• Living in a rural area		Cluster convenience sample of individuals with opioid dependence receiving methadone treatment at 72 clinics in 33 states (n = 5,663; 36.6% female) in 2005 (average age = 35)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	 Prescription opioid abuse 	
<u>Siegler, Tuazon,</u> <u>O'Brien, & Paone, 2014</u>	 Residing in the borough of Staten Island (1) Residing in the borough of Manhattan (2) 		Population of New York City residents who died from an unintentional opioid overdose as defined by the ICD-10 (n = 2,649; 26.2% female) between January 2005 and December 2010 (age range = 15–84)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate and bivariate analyses	 (1) Unintentional fatal opioid overdose in a home (2) Unintentional fatal opioid overdose in other environments 	

SOCIETAL-LEVEL FACTORS						
Citation	Risk Factor(s)	Protective Factor(s)	Population	Design & Analysis	Outcome(s)	
<u>Gee, Delva, & Takeuchi,</u> 2007	 Past-year experiences of discriminatory events 		Random sample of Filipino-American Community Epidemiological Study respondents (n = 2,217; 50.7% female) in 1998 to 1999 (average age = 41.6)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using bivariate and multivariate analyses	Prescription drug misuse	
Schroeder & Ford, 2012	 Societally influenced perception of risks of substance use 		Random sample of younger NSDUH respondents nationwide (n = 17,705; 49% female) in 2009 (age range = 12– 17; average age = 14.6)	Retrospective, cross- sectional study using multivariate analysis	Prescription drug misuse	
<u>Silva, Schrager,</u> <u>Kecojevic, & Lankenau,</u> <u>2013</u>	 Belonging to a lower social class during adolescence 		Stratified sample of individuals in New York City and Los Angeles who misused prescription drugs at least three times in the past 90-days (n = 596; 32.4% female) between October 2009 and March 2011 (age range = 16–25; average age = 21). Most (59.6%) of the participants were homeless.	Retrospective study using multivariate analysis	Non-fatal prescription drug overdose	

REFERENCES

Anderson, S., Leventhal, T., Newman, S., & Dupéré, V. (2014). Residential mobility among children: A framework for child and family policy. *Cityscape: A Journal of Policy Development and Research*, *16*(1), 5-36.

Arkes, J., & Iguchi, M. Y. (2008). How predictors of prescription drug abuse vary by age. *Journal of Drug Issues*, *38*(4), 1027–1043. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1177/002204260803800405

Bieber, C. M., Fernandez, K., Borsook, D., Brennan, M. J., Butler, S. F., Jamison, R. N., ... Katz, N. P. (2008). Retrospective accounts of initial subjective effects of opioids in patients treated for pain who do or do not develop opioid addiction: A pilot case-control study. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*, *16*(5), 429–434. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1037/1064-1297.16.5.429

Bohnert, A. S. B., Valenstein, M., Bair, M. J., Ganoczy, D., McCarthy, J. F., Ilgen, M. A., & Blow, F. C. (2011). Association between opioid prescribing patterns and opioid overdose-related deaths. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *305*(13), 1315–1321. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2011.370

Boscarino, J. A., Rukstalis, M., Hoffman, S. N., Han, J. J., Erlich, P. M., Gerhard, G. S., & Stewart, W. F. (2010). Risk factors for drug dependence among out patients on opioid therapy in a large US health-care system. *Addiction*, *105*(10), 1776–1782. Retrieved from https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20712819

Catalano, R. F., & Hawkins, J. D. (1996). The social development model: A theory of antisocial behavior. In J. D. Hawkins (Ed.). *Delinquency and crime: Current theories* (pp. 149-197). New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2015). The social-ecological model: A framework for prevention. In *Injury prevention & control: Division of Violence Prevention*. Retrieved from http://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/overview/social-ecologicalmodel.html

Cepeda, M. S., Fife, D., Kihm, M. A., Mastrogiovanni, G., & Yuan, Y. (2014). Comparison of the risks of shopping behavior and opioid abuse between tapentadol and oxycodone and association of shopping behavior and opioid abuse. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, *30*(12), 1051–1056. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.000000000000067

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

Cepeda, M. S., Fife, D., Ma, Q., & Ryan, P. B. (2013). Comparison of the risks of opioid abuse or dependence between tapentadol and oxycodone: Results from a Cohort Study. *The Journal of Pain*, *14*(10), 1227–1241. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2013.05.010

Collins, D., Abadi, M. H., Johnson, K., Shamblen, S., & Thompson, K. (2011). Non-medical use of prescription drugs among youth in an Appalachian population: Prevalence, predictors, and implications for prevention. *Journal of Drug Education*, *41*(3), 309–326.

Dong, M., Anda, R. F., Felitti, V. J., Williamson, D. F., Dube, S. R., Brown, D. W., & Giles, W. H. (2005). Childhood residential mobility and multiple health risks during adolescence and adulthood: The hidden role of adverse childhood experiences. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine*, *159*(12), 1104-1110.

Edlund, M. J., Martin, B. C., Fan, M.-Y., Devries, A., Braden, J. B., & Sullivan, M. D. (2010). Risks for opioid abuse and dependence among recipients of chronic opioid therapy: Results from the TROUP study. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *112*(1-2), 90–98. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2010.05.017

Edlund, M. J., Martin, B. C., Russo, J. E., DeVries, A., Braden, J. B., & Sullivan, M. D. (2014). The role of opioid prescription in incident opioid abuse and dependence among individuals with chronic noncancer pain: The role of opioid prescription. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, *30*(7), 557–564. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0000000000021

Edlund, M. J., Steffick, D., Hudson, T., Harris, K. M., & Sullivan, M. (2007). Risk factors for clinically recognized opioid abuse and dependence among veterans using opioids for chronic non-cancer pain. *Pain*, *129*(3), 355–362. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2007.02.014

Edwards, R. R., Wasan, A. D., Michna, E., Harbaum, S., Ross, E., & Jamison, R. N. (2011). Elevated pain sensitivity in chronic pain patients at risk for opioid misuse. *The Journal of Pain: Official Journal of the American Pain Society*, *12*(9), 953–963. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2011.02.357

Ehrentraut, J. H., Kern, K. D., Long, S. A., An, A. Q., Faughnan, L. G., & Anghelescu, D. L. (2014). Opioid misuse behaviors in adolescents and young adults in a hematology/oncology setting. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *39*(10), 1149–1160. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1093/jpepsy/jsu072

Ford, J. A., & Rigg, K. K. (2015). Racial/Ethnic differences in factors that place adolescents at risk for prescription opioid misuse. *Prevention Science: The Official Journal of the Society for Prevention Research*, *16*(5), 633–641. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-014-0514-y

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

Gallucci, A., Martin, R., Beaujean, A., & Usdan, S. (2015). An examination of the misuse of prescription stimulants among college students using the theory of planned behavior. *Psychology, Health & Medicine*, *20*(2), 217–226. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1080/13548506.2014.913800

Gee, G. C., Delva, J., & Takeuchi, D. T. (2007). Relationships between self-reported unfair treatment and prescription medication use, illicit drug use, and alcohol dependence among Filipino Americans. *American Journal of Public Health*, *97*(5), 933–940. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2005.075739

Gelernter, J., Kranzler, H. R., Sherva, R., Koesterer, R., Almasy, L., Zhao, H., & Farrer, L. A. (2014). Genome-wide association study of opioid dependence: Multiple associations mapped to calcium and potassium pathways. *Biological Psychiatry*, *76*(1), 66–74. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2013.08.034

Grattan, A., Sullivan, M. D., Saunders, K. W., Campbell, C. I., & Von Korff, M. R. (2012). Depression and prescription opioid misuse among chronic opioid therapy recipients with no history of substance abuse. *Annals of Family Medicine*, *10*(4), 304–311. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1370/afm.1371

Green, T. C., Black, R., Grimes Serrano, J. M., Budman, S. H., & Butler, S. F. (2011). Typologies of prescription opioid use in a large sample of adults assessed for substance abuse treatment. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(11), e27244. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0027244

Haddox, J. D., Joranson, D., Angarola, R. T., Brady, A., Blonsky, E. R., Burchiel, K., . . . Simon, D. (1997). The use of opioids for the treatment of chronic pain: A consensus statement from the American Academy of Pain Medicine and the American Pain Society. *Pain Forum, 6*(1), 77-79.

Harlé, K. M., Shenoy, P., Stewart, J. L., Tapert, S. F., Yu, A. J., & Paulus, M. P. (2014). Altered neural processing of the need to stop in young adults at risk for stimulant dependence. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, *34*(13), 4567–4580. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2297-13.2014

Heck, N. C., Livingston, N. A., Flentje, A., Oost, K., Stewart, B. T., & Cochran, B. N. (2014). Reducing risk for illicit drug use and prescription drug misuse: High school gay-straight alliances and lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth. *Addictive Behaviors*, *39*(4), 824–828. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2014.01.007

Henry, K. L., Slater, M. D., & Oetting, E. R. (2005). Alcohol use in early adolescence: The effect of changes in risk taking, perceived harm and friends' alcohol use. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*, *66*(2), 275-283.

⁸¹

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

Huffman, K. L., Shella, E. R., Sweis, G., Griffith, S. D., Scheman, J., & Covington, E. C. (2015). Nonopioid substance use disorders and opioid dose predict therapeutic opioid addiction. *The Journal of Pain*, *16*(2), 126–134. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2014.10.011

Jeffery, D. D., Babeu, L. A., Nelson, L. E., Kloc, M., & Klette, K. (2013). Prescription drug misuse among U.S. active duty military personnel: A secondary analysis of the 2008 DoD survey of health related behaviors. *Military Medicine*, *178*(2), 180–195.

Joranson, D. E., Ryan, K. M., Gilson, A. M., & Dahl, J. L. (2000). Trends in medical use and abuse of opioid analgesics. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, *283*(13), 1710-1714.

Kecojevic, A., Wong, C. F., Schrager, S. M., Silva, K., Bloom, J. J., Iverson, E., & Lankenau, S. E. (2012). Initiation into prescription drug misuse: Differences between lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender (LGBT) and heterosexual high-risk young adults in Los Angeles and New York. *Addictive Behaviors*, *37*(11), 1289–1293. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.06.006

Koyyalagunta, D., Bruera, E., Aigner, C., Nusrat, H., Driver, L., & Novy, D. (2013). Risk stratification of opioid misuse among patients with cancer pain using the SOAPP-SF. *Pain Medicine (Malden, Mass.)*, *14*(5), 667–675. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1111/pme.12100

Lankenau, S. E., Teti, M., Silva, K., Bloom, J. J., Harocopos, A., & Treese, M. (2012). Initiation into prescription opioid misuse amongst young injection drug users. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, *23*(1), 37-44.

Mackesy-Amiti, M. E., Donenberg, G. R., & Ouellet, L. J. (2015). Prescription opioid misuse and mental health among young injection drug users. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, *41*(1), 100–106. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.3109/00952990.2014.940424

Marino, E. N., Rosen, K. D., Gutierrez, A., Eckmann, M., Ramamurthy, S., & Potter, J. S. (2013). Impulsivity but not sensation seeking is associated with opioid analgesic misuse risk in patients with chronic pain. *Addictive Behaviors*, *38*(5), 2154–2157. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2013.01.020

Martel, M. O., Wasan, A. D., Jamison, R. N., & Edwards, R. R. (2013). Catastrophic thinking and increased risk for prescription opioid misuse in patients with chronic pain. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *132*(1-2), 335–341. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2013.02.034

Matzger, H., & Weisner, C. (2007). Nonmedical use of prescription drugs among a longitudinal sample of dependent and problem drinkers. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *86*(2-3), 222–229. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2006.06.010

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

McCabe, S. E., & Teter, C. J. (2007). Drug use related problems among nonmedical users of prescription stimulants: A web-based survey of college students from a Midwestern university. *Drug and alcohol dependence*, *91*(1), 69-76.

McCabe, S. E., West, B. T., Morales, M., Cranford, J. A., & Boyd, C. J. (2007). Does early onset of nonmedical use of prescription drugs predict subsequent prescription drug abuse and dependence? Results from a national study. *Addiction (Abingdon, England)*, *102*(12), 1920–1930. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1360-0443.2007.02015.x

Morasco, B. J., Turk, D. C., Donovan, D. M., & Dobscha, S. K. (2013). Risk for prescription opioid misuse among patients with a history of substance use disorder. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *127*(1-3), 193–199. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2012.06.032

Mowbray, O., & Quinn, A. (2015). Prescription pain reliever misuse prevalence, correlates, and origin of possession throughout the life course. *Addictive Behaviors*, *50*, 22–27. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2015.06.006

National Institute on Drug Abuse. (2007). Definition of dependence. In *The neurobiology of drug addiction*. Retrieved from https://www.drugabuse.gov/publications/teaching-packets/neurobiology-drug-addiction/section-iii-action-heroin-morphine/8-definition-dependence

Novy, D. M., Lam, C., Gritz, E. R., Hernandez, M., Driver, L. C., & Koyyalagunta, D. (2012). Distinguishing features of cancer patients who smoke: Pain, symptom burden, and risk for opioid misuse. *The Journal of Pain: Official Journal of the American Pain Society*, *13*(11), 1058–1067. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2012.07.012

O'Connell, M. E., Boat, T., & Warner, K. E. (2009). *Preventing mental, emotional, and behavioral disorders among young people: Progress and possibilities*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Park, J., & Lavin, R. (2010). Risk factors associated with opioid medication misuse in communitydwelling older adults with chronic pain. *The Clinical Journal of Pain*, *26*(8), 647–655. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1097/AJP.0b013e3181e94240

Peirce, G. L., Smith, M. J., Abate, M. A., & Halverson, J. (2012). Doctor and pharmacy shopping for controlled substances. *Medical Care*, *50*(6), 494–500. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e31824ebd81

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

Rhoades, H., Winetrobe, H., & Rice, E. (2014). Prescription drug misuse among homeless youth. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *138*, 229–233. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2014.02.011

Rosenblum, A., Parrino, M., Schnoll, S. H., Fong, C., Maxwell, C., Cleland, C. M., . . . Haddox, J. D. (2007). Prescription opioid abuse among enrollees into methadone maintenance treatment. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *90*(1), 64–71. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2007.02.012

Schroeder, R. D., & Ford, J. A. (2012). Prescription drug misuse: A test of three competing criminological theories. *Journal of Drug Issues*, *42*(1), 4–27. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1177/0022042612436654

Siegler, A., Tuazon, E., O'Brien, D. B., & Paone, D. (2014). Unintentional opioid overdose deaths in New York City, 2005-2010: A place-based approach to reduce risk. *The International Journal on Drug Policy*, *25*(3), 569–574. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2013.10.015

Silva, K., Schrager, S. M., Kecojevic, A., & Lankenau, S. E. (2013). Factors associated with history of nonfatal overdose among young nonmedical users of prescription drugs. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*, *128*(1-2), 104–110. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2012.08.014

Simoni-Wastila, L., Zuckerman, I. H., Singhal, P. K., Briesacher, B., & Hsu, V. D. (2005). National estimates of exposure to prescription drugs with addiction potential in community-dwelling elders. *Substance Abuse*, *26*(1), 33–42.

Sullivan, M. D., Edlund, M. J., Fan, M.-Y., Devries, A., Brennan Braden, J., & Martin, B. C. (2010). Risks for possible and probable opioid misuse among recipients of chronic opioid therapy in commercial and Medicaid insurance plans: The TROUP Study. *Pain*, *150*(2), 332–339. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.2010.05.020

Sung, H. E., Richter, L., Vaughan, R., Johnson, P. B., & Thom, B. (2005). Nonmedical use of prescription opioids among teenagers in the United States: Trends and correlates. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *37*(1), 44-51.

Trochim, W. M. (2006). Descriptive statistics. In *Research methods knowledge base*. Retrieved from http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/statdesc.php

Veliz, P., Epstein-Ngo, Q. M., Meier, E., Ross-Durow, P. L., McCabe, S. E., & Boyd, C. J. (2014). Painfully obvious: A longitudinal examination of medical use and misuse of opioid medication among adolescent sports participants. *Journal of Adolescent Health*, *54*(3), 333–340. Retrieved from http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2013.09.002

Developed under the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies task order. Reference #HHSS283201200024I/HHSS28342002T. For training use only. Updated May 2016.

World Health Organization. (2006). Lexicon of alcohol and drug terms published by the World Health Organization. In *Management of substance abuse*. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/substance_abuse/terminology/who_lexicon/en/

World Health Organization. (2016). The ecological framework. In *Violence Prevention Alliance*. Retrieved from http://www.who.int/violenceprevention/approach/ecology/en/