Chapter 8
UNIVERSITY REGION SUMMARY
PROLOGUE

Since 2014, the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) has used probe vehicle data to create an annual Freeway Congestion and Reliability Report. The probe vehicle data is collected anonymously from GPS enabled devices and in-vehicle telematics to provide real time speeds on roadways nationwide. Probe vehicles provide an enormous amount of data which can be difficult to manage, maintain, and analyze. The University of Maryland Center for Advanced Transportation Technology (CATT) Lab developed a visual analytics platform called the Regional Integrated Transportation Information System, or RITIS. This tool allows MDOT to monitor speeds, incidents, weather, special events, and many other data sources. Using the RITIS platform, data was downloaded, processed, and compiled into a report summarizing all freeway routes in Michigan.

This report is composed of eight chapters. The first chapter summarizes performance measures and statewide metrics. The remaining seven chapters use those performance metrics to characterize congestion in each of MDOT’s seven regions. This document is for internal use to help MDOT regions, Transportation Service Centers (TSC), and planners understand how Michigan freeways are operating over time, as well as where potential improvement projects may be necessary. This report is typically used as a starting point for more detailed analysis incorporating additional probe data, as well as other MDOT resources. If your area has plans to share this information externally, please contact the Congestion and Reliability Unit to ensure the correct measures are being used.

The report was prepared by the Wayne State University Transportation Research Group under the guidance of the Congestion and Reliability Unit at MDOT. Please contact the Congestion and Reliability Unit if you have any questions/comments or would like to have the actual data for further analysis.
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INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this document is to provide a performance overview of Michigan freeways. Using probe vehicle data and systematic performance measures, a series of visualizations were created for each region in the state. Chapter 8 of this report provides an overview of the University Region. University Region is made up of 9 counties and contains the cities of Lansing, Jackson, and Ann Arbor. Nine freeways are analyzed in the section below.
PERFORMANCE MEASURES DEFINITIONS

The probe data alone provides representative speeds on predefined segments of roadway every minute. Although this data is rich, it provides limited use to engineers and practitioners without well-defined aggregation techniques. Performance measures are growing in the transportation arena to better monitor traffic conditions, improve traveler information, and identify congested areas with the aim of improving operations on roadways. A summary of the performance measures used in this report can be seen in Table 1.

The goal of these performance measures is to quantify the congestion, delay, and reliability of the freeway network in Michigan. Numerous metrics were used in this report to quantify the performance of the road network, including a new delay index. Delay is quantified when the speed drops below 60 MPH, which is at least 10 MPH lower than the posted speed limit for the freeways (Figure 1). On segments with a speed limit of 55 MPH, delay is calculated when speed falls below that threshold. The delay index presented in this report represents the total delay on each segment if one vehicle were to drive that segment every ten minutes. The lower the value, the better the freeway segment is operating. The other element of interest is reliability. Reliability is a measure of the consistency of a travel time on a roadway. A roadway that has the same travel time every day is said to be reliable, whereas a roadway that has varying travel times is said to be unreliable. MDOT’s goal is to provide reliable travel times with minimal delay. This is done through roadway improvement projects which can include additional lanes, pavement improvements, and intelligent transportation systems. These projects can reduce the travel time and also improve the travel time reliability. An example of this is shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 1. Delay Calculation](image-url)
Figure 2. Travel Time Average and Reliability Improvements
### TABLE 1. Performance Measures of Interest

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE MEASURE</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DELAY</strong></td>
<td>Delay is calculated by taking the difference between actual speeds when they fall below 60 MPH and the posted speed limit. This is to take out the delay caused by the lower average speeds from commercial vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DELAY INDEX</strong></td>
<td>Delay index is calculated by adding the delay if a probe vehicle drove every segment of roadway once every ten minutes. This value is then divided by the length of the roadway segment. This allows users to make comparisons between varying corridors and locate areas that cause the most delay.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAXIMUM DELAY</strong></td>
<td>Maximum delay is the maximum calculated delay per segment throughout a year.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AVERAGE SPEED</strong></td>
<td>Average speed is determined by calculating the space mean speed of the worst ranked hour in the weekday AM peak (6:00 AM - 9:00 AM) and weekday PM peak (3:00 PM - 7:00 PM) periods for each segment of roadway. This is compared to the space mean speed of the previous five year period for the same hour.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONGESTION SEVERITY</strong></td>
<td>Congestion severity is calculated based on the worst hourly average speed experienced during the AM or PM peak period per traffic message channel (TMC) segment. A TMC segment is a standard for delivering real-time traffic information. They vary from tenths of a mile long to several miles long.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY</strong></td>
<td>Travel time reliability is a measure of travel time consistency over a period of time. When travel times are unreliable, customers are more likely to experience unexpected delays. Travel times are shown to be reliable when the 95th percentile travel time remains close to the average travel time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AVERAGE TRAVEL TIME</strong></td>
<td>The amount of time a customer should budget to be on-time on average.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>95TH PERCENTILE TRAVEL TIME</strong></td>
<td>The amount of time a customer should budget to be on-time 19 out of 20 days (95% of the time). The 95th percentile travel time is also known as the planning time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LEVEL OF TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY</strong></td>
<td>Level of travel time reliability (LOTTR) is calculated as the ratio of the 80th percentile travel time to a “normal” travel time (50th percentile). LOTTR measures the consistency and dependability of road segments. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) deemed a road segment to be unreliable if its LOTTR value exceeds 1.50.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: May 1st through September 30th were used for the summer reliability calculations.*
PERFORMANCE MEASURES VISUALIZATIONS

Performance measures visualizations provide an easy way to graphically represent the performance metrics listed above. In this report, five main visualizations are used. These five visualizations are explained in detail below.

DELAY INDEX

Figure 3 is an example of the delay index graph. This figure represents I-94 through Washtenaw County in the University Region. The delay index visualization displays which months are incurring the most delay, while comparing how delay patterns change from year-to-year. Figure 3 shows the following:

a) Yearly delay index per mile totals (in minutes).
b) Delay index per mile (in minutes).
c) Month of year.
d) Higher than normal delay index per mile values in January 2014.
e) A delay index per mile value of over 130 minutes in December 2017.

FIGURE 3. Example Delay Index Graph
Figure 4 is an example of the average speed graph. This figure represents westbound I-94 through the University Region. This performance metric visualizes the speeds on a given corridor during the AM and PM peak periods, along with a 5-year historical average of those speeds. Average speed graphs can display how morning and evening peak speeds can vary by time and magnitude. The following criteria was used in the making of these graphs:

- Only weekdays (Monday – Friday) are included in the calculations.
- The AM peak hour is the worst ranked hour between 6:00 AM – 9:00 AM.
- The PM peak hour is the worst ranked hour between 3:00 PM – 7:00 PM.
- The worst ranked hour is based on the lowest average speed and minimum speed experienced during the peak hours.

Figure 4 shows the following:

a) Legend.
b) Location of interchanges by exit/mile marker number.
c) Specific significant interchanges.
d) Direction of travel.
e) Average speed in MPH.
f) Example of low speed area during the AM peak while near US-12 interchange.
g) Example of location where PM peak speeds are lower than AM peak speeds.
h) Example of limited change in speed from year to year.
i) 2018 PM peak average speed is approximately 54 MPH at Exit 142.
j) Example of improvement in the current year as compared to the 5-year historical average.
FIGURE 4. Example Average Speed Graph
CONGESTION SEVERITY

Figure 5 shows an example of the congestion severity map. This figure represents University Region during the AM peak hour. This performance metric displays the amount of congestion on corridors during AM and PM peak periods by representing speeds in a color gradient. The color gradient consists of three different categories to distinguish severity levels:

- Low (≥55 MPH).
- Moderate (≥35 MPH & <55 MPH).
- Severe (<35 MPH).

Figure 5 shows the following:

a) Location of no congestion in either direction during the AM peak hour.

b) Congestion exists only in the westbound direction of travel on M-14 from people commuting to work from home during morning hours.
Figure 6 is an example of the travel time reliability graph. This figure represents a portion of eastbound I-94 through the University Region. This performance metric displays the reliability of a given corridor over time. A segment is deemed “reliable” when the average and 95th percentile travel times are constant. A segment is deemed “unreliable” when the average and 95th percentile travel times differ by a large amount of time. Figure 6 shows the following:

a) The 95th percentile travel time reliability and the average (50th percentile) travel time reliability.
b) Amount of time it will take a vehicle to drive the entire corridor in minutes.
c) Time of day.
d) Small difference between average and 95th percentile travel times (reliable).
e) Large difference between average and 95th percentile travel times (unreliable).

FIGURE 6. Example Travel Time Reliability Graph
Figures 7 shows an example of the level of travel time reliability (LOTTR) figure. This figure represents westbound I-94 through the University Region. This performance metric displays the consistency and dependability of road segments by analyzing vehicular travel times from day-to-day or across different times of the day. LOTTR is defined as the ratio between the 80th-percentile travel time to the 50th-percentile travel time. In order to determine if a road segment has reliable travel times, LOTTR utilizes a threshold value of 1.50. Therefore, a segment providing a calculated LOTTR value less than 1.50 would claim to have reliable travel times. As delegated by FHWA, the following time periods were used in the making of these graphs:

- Weekdays between 6:00 AM – 10:00 AM.
- Weekdays between 10:00 AM – 4:00 PM.
- Weekdays between 4:00 PM – 8:00 PM.
- Weekends between 6:00 AM – 8:00 PM.

Figure 7 shows the following:

a) Legend.
b) Location of interchanges by exit/mile marker number.
c) Specific significant interchanges.
d) Direction of travel.
e) Level of travel time reliability.
f) Threshold value of 1.50.
g) Area of unreliable travel times during weekdays between 6:00 AM – 10:00 AM (AM peak).
Figure 8 shows an example of the level of travel time reliability map. This figure represents University Region during weekdays between 4:00 PM – 8:00 PM. This performance metric displays the level of travel time reliability on corridors during each of the four time periods mentioned above. LOTTR is represented in a color gradient that consists of three different categories to distinguish severity levels:

- Low (<1.25 LOTTR).
- Moderate (≥1.25 LOTTR & <1.50 LOTTR).
- Severe (≥1.50 LOTTR).

Figure 8 shows the following:

a) Most roads have very reliable travel times because the LOTTR values are below 1.25.

b) Unreliable travel times occur southbound US-23 near Ann Arbor.

c) Unreliable travel times occur near the I-96 and US-23 interchange.
LEVEL OF TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

2018 Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR)
- Low (<1.25 LOTTR)
- Moderate (≥1.25 LOTTR & <1.50 LOTTR)
- Severe (≥1.50 LOTTR)

FIGURE 8. Example Level of Travel Time Reliability Map
The following table ranks the University Region freeways based on the delay index. Each freeway segment is presented on a countywide or TSC basis, as appropriate.

**TABLE 2. 2018 University Region Delay Index Data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Location (Route, County)</th>
<th>2018 Delay Index per Mile (in minutes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M-14 – Washtenaw County</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>I-94 – Washtenaw County</td>
<td>798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>US-23 – Washtenaw County</td>
<td>787</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>US-23 – Livingston County</td>
<td>607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>I-496 – Eaton and Ingham County</td>
<td>602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>I-96 – Livingston County</td>
<td>601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>US-127 – Ingham County</td>
<td>481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>I-96 – Ingham County</td>
<td>453</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>US-127 – Jackson County</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>I-96 – Clinton and Eaton County</td>
<td>407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>US-127 – Clinton County</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>I-69 – Clinton County</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>I-75 – Monroe County</td>
<td>293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>I-69 – Eaton County</td>
<td>288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>I-94 – Jackson County</td>
<td>242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>US-23 – Monroe County</td>
<td>235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>I-275 – Monroe County</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The following tables display the amount of congestion miles per region that fall into each severity level. Table 3 shows this data during the AM peak and Table 4 shows this data during the PM peak. These tables can be utilized to compare the amount and severity of congestion across all regions. Figures 9-10 represent this information specifically in the University Region. Figure 9 shows the congestion severity during the AM peak and Figure 10 shows the congestion severity during the PM peak.

**TABLE 3. 2018 Congestion Miles by Severity - AM Peak**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bay</td>
<td>772.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand</td>
<td>668.9</td>
<td>36.8</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro</td>
<td>405.1</td>
<td>139.9</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>358.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>471.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>715.8</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3487.1</td>
<td>214.1</td>
<td>36.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 4. 2018 Congestion Miles by Severity - PM Peak**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bay</td>
<td>770.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand</td>
<td>658.6</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metro</td>
<td>348.7</td>
<td>151.7</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>358.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest</td>
<td>471.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University</td>
<td>719.9</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3422.0</td>
<td>216.9</td>
<td>98.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2018 Congestion Severity

- **Low** (≥55 MPH)
- **Moderate** (≥35 MPH & <55 MPH)
- **Severe** (<35 MPH)

**FIGURE 9.** 2018 University Region AM Peak Congestion Severity
UNIVERSITY REGION: CONGESTION SEVERITY

2018 Congestion Severity

- Low (≥55 MPH)
- Moderate (≥35 MPH & <55 MPH)
- Severe (<35 MPH)

FIGURE 10. 2018 University Region PM Peak Congestion Severity
UNIVERSITY REGION: LEVEL OF TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

The following figures display the level of travel time reliability (LOTTR) based on severity level in the University Region. Figures 11-13 display the LOTTR during weekdays between 6:00 – 10:00 AM, 10:00 AM – 4:00 PM, and 4:00 PM – 8:00 PM, respectively. Figure 14 displays the LOTTR during weekends between 6:00 AM – 8:00 PM.

FIGURE 11. 2018 University Region Level of Travel Time Reliability (Weekdays between 6:00 AM – 10:00 AM)
FIGURE 12. 2018 University Region Level of Travel Time Reliability (Weekdays between 10:00 AM – 4:00 PM)
FIGURE 13. 2018 University Region Level of Travel Time Reliability (Weekdays between 4:00 PM – 8:00 PM)
2018 Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR)

- **Low** (<1.25 LOTTR)
- **Moderate** (≥1.25 LOTTR & <1.50 LOTTR)
- **Severe** (≥1.50 LOTTR)

**FIGURE 14.** 2018 University Region Level of Travel Time Reliability
(Weekends between 6:00 AM – 8:00 PM)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-69</td>
<td>Eaton and Clinton</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-75</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-94</td>
<td>Jackson and Washtenaw</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-96</td>
<td>Clinton, Eaton, Ingham, and Livingston</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-275</td>
<td>Monroe</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-496</td>
<td>Eaton and Ingham</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-14</td>
<td>Washtenaw</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-23</td>
<td>Monroe, Washtenaw, and Livingston</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-127</td>
<td>Jackson, Ingham, and Clinton</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I-69: Eaton County Delay Index

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 15. Eaton County I-69 Corridor Delay Index
FIGURE 16. Clinton County I-69 Corridor Delay Index
I-69: AVERAGE SPEED

FIGURE 17. University Region Eastbound I-69 Average Speed
I-69: AVERAGE SPEED

![Graph showing average speed on I-69 westbound from Calhoun-Eaton County Border to I-69/Saginaw St/Exit 94 with various exits marked and average speeds represented by different lines for 2013-2017 AM Peak, 2013-2017 PM Peak, 2018 AM Peak, and 2018 PM Peak.]

**FIGURE 18.** University Region Westbound I-69 Average Speed
I-69: LEVEL OF TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

FIGURE 19. University Region Eastbound I-69 Level of Travel Time Reliability
**I-69: LEVEL OF TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR)</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Weekdays Between 6:00 AM – 10:00 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Weekdays Between 4:00 PM – 8:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Weekdays Between 10:00 AM – 4:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Weekends Between 6:00 AM – 8:00 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FIGURE 20.** University Region Westbound I-69 Level of Travel Time Reliability
I-75: MONROE COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 21. Monroe County I-75 Corridor Delay Index
FIGURE 22. University Region Northbound I-75 Average Speed
I-75: AVERAGE SPEED

FIGURE 23. University Region Southbound I-75 Average Speed
FIGURE 24. University Region Northbound I-75 Level of Travel Time Reliability
FIGURE 25. University Region Southbound I-75 Level of Travel Time Reliability
I-94: JACKSON COUNTY DELAY INDEX

FIGURE 26. Jackson County I-94 Corridor Delay Index
FIGURE 27. Washtenaw County I-94 Corridor Delay Index
I-94: AVERAGE SPEED

2013-2017 AM Peak Average Speed
2013-2017 PM Peak Average Speed
2018 AM Peak Speed
2018 PM Peak Speed
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FIGURE 28. University Region Eastbound I-94 Average Speed
### I-94: AVERAGE SPEED

**FIGURE 29. University Region Westbound I-94 Average Speed**
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>W Old US-12/Exit 157</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kalmbach Rd/Exit 156</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washtenaw--Jackson County Border</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Lake Rd/Exit 153</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Hope Rd/Exit 150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race Rd/Exit 147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargent Rd/Exit 145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawkins Rd (Overpass)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-127/Exit 142</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elm Rd/Exit 141</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI-106/Cooper St/Exit 139</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-127 Bus/MI-50/Exit 138</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airport Rd/Exit 137</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI-60/Exit 136</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dearing Rd/Exit 133</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parma Rd/Exit 130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan Ave/Exit 128</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concord Rd/Exit 127</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-94 Bus (Albion) (East)/MI-99/Exit...</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I-94: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

a) University Region and Wayne County

b) Jackson, Michigan and Ann Arbor, Michigan

FIGURE 30. Travel Time Reliability: I-94
**I-94: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY**

**a) Eastbound**

**b) Westbound**

**FIGURE 31. Segment 1 - I-94 between M-60/Exit 136 and Sargent Rd/Exit 145**
**FIGURE 32.** Segment 2 - I-94 between M-14/Exit 171 and I-94/US-23/Exit 180
**I-94: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY**

![Graph showing travel time reliability for I-94 Eastbound and Westbound]

*Figure 33. Segment 3 - I-94 between I-94/US-23/Exit 180 and I-275/Exit 194*
FIGURE 34. University Region Eastbound I-94 Level of Travel Time Reliability
FIGURE 35. University Region Westbound I-94 Level of Travel Time Reliability
I-96: CLINTON AND EATON COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 36. Clinton and Eaton County I-96 Corridor Delay Index
I-96: INGHAM COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 37. Ingham County I-96 Corridor Delay Index
I-96: LIVINGSTON COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 38. Livingston County I-96 Corridor Delay Index
I-96: AVERAGE SPEED

FIGURE 39. University Region Eastbound I-96 Average Speed
FIGURE 40. University Region Westbound I-96 Average Speed
I-96: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

a) University Region

b) Lansing, Michigan

FIGURE 41. Travel Time Reliability: I-96
FIGURE 42. Segment 1 - I-96 between I-69/Exit 97 and I-496/US-127/Exit 106
FIGURE 43. Segment 2 - I-96 between I-496/US-127/Exit 106 and Williamston Rd/Exit 117
**I-96: LEVEL OF TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY**

![I-96 Level of Travel Time Reliability Diagram]

**FIGURE 44.** University Region Eastbound I-96 Level of Travel Time Reliability
FIGURE 45. University Region Westbound I-96 Level of Travel Time Reliability
I-275: MONROE COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 46. Monroe County I-275 Corridor Delay Index
I-275: AVERAGE SPEED

**FIGURE 47.** University Region Northbound I-275 Average Speed
FIGURE 48. University Region Southbound I-275 Average Speed
FIGURE 49. University Region Northbound I-275 Level of Travel Time Reliability
I-275: LEVEL OF TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

![Graph showing level of travel time reliability for I-275](image.png)

**FIGURE 50.** University Region Southbound I-275 Level of Travel Time Reliability
I-496: EATON AND INGHAM COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 51. Eaton and Ingham County I-496 Corridor Delay Index
FIGURE 52. University Region Eastbound I-496 Average Speed
I-496: AVERAGE SPEED

FIGURE 53. University Region Westbound I-496 Average Speed
I-496: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

FIGURE 54. Travel Time Reliability: I-496
I-496: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

FIGURE 55. Segment 1 - I-496 between US-127/Exit 8 and I-69/I-96
FIGURE 56. University Region Eastbound I-496 Level of Travel Time Reliability
FIGURE 57. University Region Westbound I-496 Level of Travel Time Reliability
M-14: WASHTENAW COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 58. Washtenaw County M-14 Corridor Delay Index
FIGURE 59. University Region Eastbound M-14 Average Speed
FIGURE 60. University Region Westbound M-14 Average Speed
M-14: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

![Map of University Region and Wayne County](image)

**a)** University Region and Wayne County

**b)** Ann Arbor, Michigan

**FIGURE 61. Travel Time Reliability: M-14**
M-14: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

FIGURE 62. Segment 1 - M-14 between I-94 and US-23/Main St/Exit 3

a) Eastbound

b) Westbound
M-14: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

FIGURE 63. Segment 2 - M-14 between US-23 and I-275
Figure 64. University Region Eastbound M-14 Level of Travel Time Reliability
M-14: LEVEL OF TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

FIGURE 65. University Region Westbound M-14 Level of Travel Time Reliability
US-23: MONROE COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 66. Monroe County US-23 Corridor Delay Index
US-23: WASHTENAW COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 67. Washtenaw County US-23 Corridor Delay Index
US-23: LIVINGSTON COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 68. Livingston County US-23 Corridor Delay Index
US-23: AVERAGE SPEED

2013-2017 AM Peak Average Speed
2013-2017 PM Peak Average Speed
2018 AM Peak Speed
2018 PM Peak Speed

Livingston--Genesee County Border
White Lake Rd/Exit 77
Center Rd/Exit 75
Clyde Rd/Exit 70
MI-59/Exit 67
I-96/Exit 60
Lee Rd/Exit 58
Silver Lake Rd/Exit 55
MI-36/Exit 54
8 Mile Rd/Exit 53
Barker Rd/Exit 52
6 Mile Rd/Exit 50
North Territorial Rd/Exit 49
MI-14/Exit 41
MI-14/Exit 42
Plymouth Rd/Exit 41
Geddes Rd/Exit 39
MI-17/Exit 37
I-94/Exit 35
I-94/Exit 35
US-12/Exit 34
Willis Rd/Exit 31
Carpenter Rd/Exit 27
Monroe--Washtenaw County Border
Plank Rd/Exit 25
Cone Rd/Exit 22
MI-50/Exit 17
W Lloyd Rd/Exit 15
Dixon Rd
Ida West Rd/Exit 9
Summerfield Rd/Exit 9
US-223/Exit 5
Consear Rd/Exit 3
Sterns Rd/Exit 1

FIGURE 69. University Region Northbound US-23 Average Speed
**US-23: AVERAGE SPEED**

**FIGURE 70. University Region Southbound US-23 Average Speed**
FIGURE 71. Travel Time Reliability: US-23
US-23: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

FIGURE 72. Segment 1 - US-23 between Willis Rd/Exit 31 and I-94/Exit 35
US-23: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

**Figure 73.** Segment 2 - US-23 between I-94/Exit 35 and M-14/Exit 42.
US-23: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

**FIGURE 74.** Segment 3 - US-23 between M-14/Exit 42 and M-14/Exit 45
US-23: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

FIGURE 75. Segment 4 - US-23 between M-14/Exit 45 and I-96/Exit 60
FIGURE 76. University Region Northbound US-23 Level of Travel Time Reliability
US-23: LEVEL OF TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

FIGURE 77. University Region Southbound US-23 Level of Travel Time Reliability
**US-127: JACKSON COUNTY DELAY INDEX**

*a) Segment Map*

*b) Delay Index Graph*

FIGURE 78. Jackson County US-127 Corridor Delay Index
US-127: INGHAM COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 79. Ingham County US-127 Corridor Delay Index
US-127: CLINTON COUNTY DELAY INDEX

a) Segment Map

b) Delay Index Graph

FIGURE 80. Clinton County US-127 Corridor Delay Index
US-127: AVERAGE SPEED

FIGURE 81. University Region Northbound US-127 Average Speed
US-127: AVERAGE SPEED

Average Speed (MPH)

2013-2017 AM Peak Average Speed
2013-2017 PM Peak Average Speed
2018 AM Peak Speed
2018 PM Peak Speed

US-127 (Saint Johns)
MI-21
Price Rd
Round Lake Rd
US-127 (Bath) (South)
I-69
Lake Lansing Rd
I-69 Bus/MI-43
Kalamazoo St
I-496/Trowbridge Rd
Jolly Rd/Exit 11
I-96
I-496/I-96
Holt Rd
MI-36/Cedar St
Kipp Rd
Barnes Rd
Bellevue Rd
Jackson--Ingham County Border...
Berry Rd
MI-50
W Parnall Rd
Springport Rd
I-94 Bus/Michigan Ave
Page Ave
South St
US-127 Bus/MI-50
MI-50/Brooklyn Rd/E McDevitt Ave

FIGURE 82. University Region Northbound US-127 Average Speed
US-127: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

a) University Region

b) Lansing, Michigan

FIGURE 83. Travel Time Reliability: US-127
**US-127: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY**

![Graph showing travel time reliability for US-127 between 12:00 AM and 11:00 PM.](image)

*a) Northbound*

*b) Southbound*

**FIGURE 84.** Segment 1 - US-127 between I-96 and I-496/Trowbridge Rd
US-127: TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

FIGURE 85. Segment 2 - US-127 between I-496/Trowbridge Rd and I-69/Exit 82
US-127: LEVEL OF TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

2018 Weekdays Between 6:00 AM – 10:00 AM
2018 Weekdays Between 4:00 PM – 8:00 PM
2018 Weekdays Between 10:00 AM – 4:00 PM
2018 Weekends Between 6:00 AM – 8:00 PM

Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR)

NORTHBOUND

FIGURE 86. University Region Northbound US-127 Level of Travel Time Reliability
US-127: LEVEL OF TRAVEL TIME RELIABILITY

FIGURE 87. University Region Southbound US-127 Level of Travel Time Reliability
CONCLUSION

This chapter summarizes the performance of the University Region. It is the Congestion and Reliability Unit’s goal that these performance measures are not just numbers and figures, but information to help MDOT personnel understand how traffic is operating on its freeways and make actionable decisions on improving traffic. These metrics could be used to help prioritize projects, determine where and when problems are occurring, and how significant these problems are. We intend to provide these performance measures on an annual basis to help identify trends on the system and to keep MDOT up to date on freeway operations. Various performance measures may change due to changing federal requirements or MDOT needs. As probe data improves, this may expand to non-freeway routes as well. The Congestion and Reliability Unit welcomes any feedback on this report to help us improve it in the future and maximize its usefulness.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Please contact the Congestion and Reliability Unit if you have any questions/comments or would like to have the actual data for further analysis.

Jason Firman, Congestion and Reliability Manager

517-388-3378 | firmanj@michigan.gov