

## I-75 Modernization Project Segment Three: Q&A

October 30, 2017

**Q5. Table 4.3 - RFQ Schedule** We respectfully request that MDOT extend the SOQ due date to December 8, 2017. Given the proximity of the current due date to the Thanksgiving holiday, we note that numerous firms and vendors have limited working hours during this time, effectively shortening the already accelerated RFQ period a week or more to accommodate these constraints. We believe this extension will help to ensure that MDOT receives the most responsive and high quality submissions from all interested parties.

**A5. The SOQ Due Date will be moved to December 8, 2017. A revised procurement schedule will be shown in the RFQ in next addendum.**

**Q6.** Could MDOT please make available an unsecured Word version of the RFQ available to Proposers? This will allow more efficient and accurate reproduction of forms, etc. as compared to the secured PDF available now.

**A6. Yes. The forms will be provided as part of the next amendment.**

**Q7.** I need to clarify the date. Could you please check the date for the Segment III the RFQ bottom of page 17 states “ The Respondent must meet the following Prequalification requirements by the time of the RFP Submission “ which by the dates given in the RFQ is sometime in July 2018.

**A7. Refer to Section 4.9 of the RFQ, which states that “The Respondent must meet the following prequalification requirements by the time of RFP Submission. In the event that a Respondent team member is unable to meet the prequalification requirements the Respondent may request an appropriate substitution per Section 7.2 Changes in Respondent Organization.” Section 4.3 states that MDOT currently anticipates proposals to be due in July, 2018.**

**Q8.** The RFQ in Part A 4.11(d) states, “Respondent shall not contact (including phone calls) the following identified stakeholders regarding the RFQ, the RFP, or the Project, including employees, representatives, members, consultants, and advisors of [...] Freeway Lighting Partners.”

We believe that it is not the intention to preclude team members from participating in the RFQ/RFP, but rather to identify the proper lines of communications for Respondents to request information about the design requirements needed in the RFP and the operations and maintenance aspects to be provided by Freeway Lighting Partners (“FLP”). Therefore, we request that you delete FLP from the list of entities in Part A 4.11(d), but add them to the last paragraph of Part A 4.11(d), so it would say:

“Information requests concerning these entities as well as Freeway Lighting Partners, shall only be sent to the RFQ contact email address identified in Section 4.4.” See attached Form J.

We think that this will make the communication protocol clear.

**A8. The changes as proposed will be incorporated into the next amendment of the RFQ document.**

**Q9.** Please confirm that the October 2, 2017 response to question #1 on the I-75 DBFM website is superseded by Part A, Section 4.9 of the RFQ dated October 20, 2017, meaning that the respondent's Contractor and Engineering Firms Prequalification Requirements are to be met by the time of the RFP submission?

**A9. Part A, Section 4.9 of the RFQ supersedes the answer to question #1 in the October 2, 2017 response.**