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This is the first progress report covering an investigation of the use of structural tees, preassembled in sections before galvanizing, in a truss-type pedestrian bridge. The bridge is P01 of 52042 over US 41 in Harvey, southeast of Marquette, completed in 1972. The project is a "Category 2" experiment carried out in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration as per MDSHT Work Plan No. 22 (Appendix A).

**Project Objectives**

In accordance with the Work Plan, the objectives consist in determining the benefits that accrue in a truss-type pedestrian bridge, as observed by factors such as cost, construction ease, performance, and durability, by use of:

1) Structural tees as truss members rather than other structural shapes,
2) Galvanizing the structural truss members rather than painting them,
3) Galvanizing preassembled sections of the truss to insure good coating coverage of the fabrication junctures, rather than the individual truss panels.

The efficiency of the above will be evaluated by comparison with other standard truss-type pedestrian bridges, built contemporarily, as determined primarily by regular inspection personnel making annual surveys.

**Explanatory Note:** The Work Plan was developed and processed by the Department, and after erection of the pedestrian structure the project was transferred to the Research Laboratory for follow-up and reporting in early 1973. Subsequent reporting will depend on feedback from the regular inspection teams.

**Construction of Bridge**

From the construction file, with pertinent extracts in Appendix B, we note that erection work started on September 14, 1971, with opening to pedestrian traffic on December 1, 1971 (completed by the specified date of July 1, 1972). The galvanizing and its thickness were in accordance with specifications. The file has a note relating that an accident occurred during shipment of the galvanized bridge sections to the construction site, but that damage incurred in the dumping was minor and was corrected by straightening at the site.

The cost of the structure was $43,370.70. This is 15 percent below the original estimate of $50,000, and indicates that the test experimental features were not adding to the cost of the structure.

We did inspect the subject structure on September 27, 1973 and found the experimental features to be performing satisfactorily, after more than one year of service. Figure 1 shows the structure.
Control Pedestrian Bridges

Finding control (comparison) structures, exposed in equivalent environment as the subject bridge, has posed a problem since to our knowledge that is the only pedestrian bridge in the Upper Peninsula.

Accordingly, we have to use a somewhat roundabout method of selecting subject controls. We are fortunate that another structure of the same design, except for length, was also built in 1972, north of Detroit. It is P02 of 50031 over M 97 in Fraser (Fig. 2). It is to be a secondary standard with other pedestrian bridges in the Lower Peninsula serving as controls. Tentatively, the selected controls, numbered to correspond with those listed under "Project Objectives," are as follows:

1(a). P01 of 50031 over M 97 at Rose Ave in Mt. Clemens built in 1966 (Fig. 3). Bridge consists of structural L's and T's. Truss-panel floor, walls, and roof were galvanized before assembly into panels, then into sections of four panels each. Section junctures do not employ the typical flanges.

(b). P02 of 33043 over M 78 at Harrison Rd in East Lansing built in 1970 (Fig. 4). It is built of square tubing, galvanized after preassembling in sections.

2(a). P02 of 63101 over I 696 east of Orchard Lake Rd north of Farmington built in 1962, but maintenance repainted by the Department in 1971 after accidental damage (Fig. 5). The truss is built of round tubing.

(b). P02 of 33032 over I 96 BL at Mason St in Lansing built in 1969 (Fig. 6). The truss is built of round tubing, painted.

(c). P01 of 24011 over US 31 in East Petoskey built in 1967 (Fig. 7). The truss is built of square tubing, painted.

3. Structures listed under 1 (a and b), above, can serve as "controls" for this Project Objective, also.

The above listed control bridges need not be considered as firm selections. If the survey teams find more representative structures they could be substituted for some of the above.
Figure 1. General appearance of P01 of 52042 (south stairs in foreground). Truss is 92-1/2 ft long. The close-up, at upper right, of sidewall and roof juncture, shows some bolted and some welded T-members.

Figure 2. P02 of 50031 over M 97 in Fraser is the test secondary standard (west stairs in foreground). Truss is about 132 ft long.
Figure 3. P01 of 50031 over M 97 at Rose Ave in Mt. Clemens. Bridge is galvanized.

Figure 4. P02 of 33043 over M 78 at Harrison Rd in East Lansing (can be negotiated by bicycles). Bridge is galvanized.

Figure 5. P02 of 63101 over I 696 north of Farmington. Maintenance repainting was necessary in 1971 due to collision damage.
Figure 6. P02 of 33032 over I-96 E/L at Mason St in Lansing. Truss is painted.

Figure 7. P01 of 24011 over US 31 in East Petoskey. Truss is painted.
APPENDIX A
June 10, 1971

Mr. Daniel Watt  
Division Engineer  
Federal Highway Administration  
Lansing, Michigan

Dear Mr. Watt:

Proposed Category II Experimental Project  
Galvanized Steel Pedestrian Bridge  
Over US-41 in Harvey  
P01 of 52042 - Job No. 03674C  
Fed No. RF8-4(201)

In accordance with your request of June 2, 1971, we are submitting copies of a work plan for the above Category II experimental project.

We hope this data is sufficient to enable you to grant approval to our request of May 24, 1971, to construct this experimental structure so we may proceed with preparing the project for the July 21, 1971 letting.

Sincerely,

/s/ J. P. Woodford  
JOHN P. WOODFORD  
Deputy Director - Chief Engineer
WORK PLAN

Proposed Category II Experimental Project
Galvanized Steel Truss Pedestrian Bridge
P01 of 52042 Job No. 03674C Federal No. RF8-4(201)

I OBJECTIVE: To observe the cost, construction, performance, and durability of a galvanized steel pedestrian bridge and compare the results with other truss-type pedestrian bridges. It is felt that using structural tees for truss members will result in improved welded connections and field splices over those on welded pipe trusses. Also by galvanizing the structure, after all fabrication has been completed, the maintenance cost should be reduced and the life of the structure prolonged.

II CONTROL SECTION: No specific control section will be constructed with this project. Comparisons will be made with previously constructed and future pedestrian bridges.

III MATERIALS AND METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION: Truss members will be made of structural tees. The truss, as well as the stairs and platforms, will be fabricated in sections, pre-assembled, and holes reamed for field bolts. The structure will then be disassembled and galvanized. Field connections will be made only by bolting with galvanized bolts.

IV COST: Estimated construction cost for this project is $50,000. This is approximately $1,000 more than an identical painted truss-type pedestrian bridge would cost. However, the additional cost should be offset by reduced maintenance cost and longer structure life.

V INSPECTIONS AND REPORTS: Inspections of this structure will be made during fabrication, after galvanizing, and during and after erection. In addition, inspections will be made on an annual basis as part of our regular bridge inspections to check welded connections, bolted connections, and galvanizing coating. Reports will be submitted following the construction of the structure, after each annual inspection, and when the experimental project is considered terminated (Final Report).
Letting of: July 21, 1971

10:30 A.M., Eastern Standard Time

State Highways Building Auditorium, Lansing, Michigan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM NO.</th>
<th>PROJECT</th>
<th>JOB NO.</th>
<th>FEDERAL NO.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>RF 52042</td>
<td>03674 A</td>
<td>RF 8-4 (201)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PEDESTRIAN BRIDGE OVER US-41 IN THE VILLAGE OF HARVEY, MARQUETTE COUNTY.

THIS PROJECT IS A FEDERAL AID PROJECT UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF THE FEDERAL AID HIGHWAY ACT
IS APPLICABLE AND REQUIRES THE SECRETARY OF LABOR TO DETERMINE THE MINIMUM WAGE RATES
TO BE PAID BY THE CONTRACTOR AND SUBCONTRACTORS, WHICH RATES WILL BE GIVEN IN DETAIL IN
THE PROPOSAL.

Net classification required for this project is 5 Fa

Bid deposit required is $ 2,000.00  Completion date is  July 1, 1972

Plans may be examined at the Michigan Department of State Highways  Crystal Falls

office.

The Department of State Highways, in accordance with the provisions of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (78 Stat. 252) and the Regulations of the Department of Transportation (49 C.F.R., Part 21), issued pursuant to such Act, hereby notifies all bidders that it will affirmatively assure that the contract entered into pursuant to this advertisement will be awarded to the lowest responsible bidder without discrimination on the ground of race, color, or national origin.

DATED:  6-21-71

Lansing, Michigan

C.L.B.  nkm

Lower Peninsula
STRUCTURAL STEEL

GENERAL

All work and materials shall be in accordance with the requirements of the Michigan Department of State Highways Standard Specifications for Highway Construction, 1970 Edition, or except as herein modified:

GALVANIZING

All components shall be hot dip galvanized in accordance with current ASTM Specification A123 after welding is completed, except as herein modified:

The weight of the zinc coating per square foot shall average not less than 3.0 ounces, and no individual specimen shall show less than 2.6 ounces.

High strength bolts and anchor bolts (including nuts and washers) shall be galvanized in accordance with ASTM Designation A153.

Fabrication and galvanizing shall follow ASTM A384 and A385 recommended practice for galvanizing assembled products.

Galvanizing shall be applied after all fabrication has been completed and after all steel has been sand blasted. Welding after galvanizing shall not be permitted.

All holes for field connections shall be reamed while the structure is preassembled in the shop and before members are galvanized.

BOLTED CONNECTIONS

Nuts for all bolted connections shall be brought to a snug fit only.
Gentlemen:
We have contracted for the purchase of materials for use in the construction of the above project from the firms listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Type &amp; Approx. Qty</th>
<th>Brand or Dealer</th>
<th>Source of Shipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CONCRETE MATERIALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>60 Bbls</td>
<td>Ready Mix</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Aggregate</td>
<td>20 Cyds</td>
<td>Ready Mix</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse Aggregate</td>
<td>40 Cyds</td>
<td>Ready Mix</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bar Reinforcement</td>
<td>5013 Tons</td>
<td>Phoenix Steel Corp.</td>
<td>Eau Claire, Wis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesh Reinforcement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural Steel Gal. Steel</td>
<td>17800 Lbs</td>
<td>Gregory Bridge Co.</td>
<td>Canton, Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spiral Shear Developers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PIPE CULVERTS, DRAINS &amp; SEWERS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforced Concrete Pipe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corrugated Metal Pipe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cast Iron Pipe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drain Tile</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitrified Sewer Pipe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Sewer Pipe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GUARD RAIL &amp; FENCING</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel Beam Guard Rail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbed Wire</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woven Wire</td>
<td>Gal. Chain Link</td>
<td>2230 Sft.</td>
<td>To be determined later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER MATERIALS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed Stone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Sealer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brick</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waterproofing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bridge Railing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated Timber Piling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated Timber &amp; Lumber</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete Curing Material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bituminous Materials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luminaires</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical Cable</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contractor: A. LINDBERG & SONS, INC. Address: 530 Mather Ave., Ishpeming, Mich. 49849

By /s/ Russell LeBlanc

Note to Contractor: In order to avoid delay these testing orders (4 copies) should be returned as soon as possible. No tests on materials can be conducted until they are received.
Shop Inspection of Structural Steel

At Gregory Bridge Co.

C.S. ID.–Job No. RF 8-4 (201) RF 52042 – 03674A

Status of fabrication for period ending

Date approved drawings received ...........................................

Estimated tonnage ............................................................

Material received from mill .................................................

Material laid out ..............................................................

Material fitted and welded ...................................................

No. of radiographs required ..................................................

No. of radiographs completed and accepted .............................

Material fabricated ...........................................................

Material shipped ..............................................................

100 %

100 %

100 %

Remarks: The fabrication of this pedestrian bridge was inspected periodically by Mr. Ed Chapman however he neglected to issue reports as required. Welding was performed by welders previously qualified by the Dept., material, and workmanship was very good on this structure. Galvanize coating exceeded the minimum and averaged approx. 10 mils. Coating is smooth and exceptionally free of dross. I also inspected complete structure at project site because the load was dumped during shipment and concur in the good quality of fabrication. Only minor damage was done as a result of the accident and straightened at the site. One fabrication error (on one stairway) became apparent during erection and was corrected by the contractor at the fabricators expense. Work was completed by a certified field welder in relocating the carrier angles under the treads on this stairway.

/s/ Gerald J. Hill
To: Earl Numinen
   Project Engineer

From: M. Stockinger
   District #1 Materials Supervisor

Subject: RF52042/03674A

Per the request of Construction Division, an inspection of the galvanizing on the structural components of the above structure was made on December 14, 1971.

Galvanizing on structural steel members averaged 3.53 oz. sq.ft. Galvanizing on deck grating, stairs and platforms averaged 2.5 oz. sq.ft. Thickness determinations were made with Elcometer No. 44502.

The above results meet specification requirements.

MS:n
cc: T & R - Lansing
   R. J. Kirch
   A. J. Marusich

/s/ Mat Stockinger, jr.
District Materials Supervisor
Control Sect. ID: RF 52042

Job No.: 03674A    Federal No.: RF 8-4 (201)

Type of Work: Pedestrian Bridge

Location: US-41 in the Village of Harvey

Contractor: A. Lindberg & Sons, Inc.

Date Work Started: 9-14-71    Completion Date: 7-1-72    Extended to: 

Date Work Completed: 5-15-72    Anticipated final Estimate Date: June 16, 1972

Remarks: 

Traffic Maintained ( ) Yes (X) No    If answer is no, give date opened to traffic: 12-1-71

Final Inspection by F.H.W.A.: J. Wesley & W. Jones

Recommended by:

Title:        Date: 

Title:        Date: 

Title:        Date: 

This is to certify that the construction work on the captioned project substantially conforms to the plans and specifications as shown by the results of job control sampling and testing. Exceptions to this certification are explained on the back hereof. Certified to and Accepted Recommended by:

District Construction Engineer

Construction Engineer

(Required)

Acceptance Recommended - The Board of County Commissioners

of the County of

by

Title

(Chief, Bureau of Operations ‘Engineering)

Earl Numinen

cc: Testing & Research Division
MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF STATE HIGHWAYS
CONSTRUCTION FINAL

DATE: CONTRACT 08-06-71 COMPL 07-01-72 EXT 07-01-72
STARTED 09-14-71 WORK COMPLETED 05-15-72
PROJECT ACCEPTED 05-31-72

CONTRACTOR
A LINDBERG AND SONS INC
560 WATER AVENUE
ISHPEMING, MICHIGAN 49849

SUBCONTRACTORS

FINAL NO 7

CON SEC 10
JOMND
FEDERAL ITEM
DIST. NO. 1
ACCOUNT
ORGANIZATION
FEDERAL PROJECT
WORK TYPE
ROUTE
ADM BD APPR
CONTRACT PRICE
RESERVE THIS ESTIMATE
TOTAL EXTRAS
TOTAL REGULAR
TOTAL ADJUSTMENTS
TOTAL AMOUNT EARNED
LESS RESERVE
TOTAL AMOUNT ALLOWED
LESS PREVIOUS PAYMENTS
PAYMENT DUE CONTRACTOR

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING ITEMS OF WORK INCLUDED FOR PAYMENT ON THIS FINAL ESTIMATE HAVE BEEN PROPERLY COMPLETED.

-----------------------------------------
PROJECT ENGINEER  DATE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FINAL QUANTITIES INCLUDED FOR PAYMENT IN THIS ESTIMATE HAVE BEEN REVIEWED AND CHECKED FOR ACCURACY IN ACCORDANCE WITH CURRENT PUBLISHED INSTRUCTIONS

-----------------------------------------
DISTRICT CONSTRUCTION ENGINEER  DATE

REVIEWED AND APPROVED FOR PAYMENT.

-----------------------------------------
CONSTRUCTION ENGINEER  DATE

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT ALL ARITHMETICAL COMPUTATIONS HAVE BEEN VERIFIED AND THAT THE UNITS OF WORK FOR WHICH PAYMENT IS BEING MADE HAVE BEEN AUTHORIZED.

-----------------------------------------
CONTRACT PAYMENT UNIT SUPERVISOR  DATE

PROJECT ENGINEER  NUMINEN