



GLENGARIFF GROUP, INC.
— H —

**MICHIGAN INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
MICHIGAN VOTER SURVEY
600 SAMPLE**

APRIL 5, 2021

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page	Topic
2	Methodology
3	Key Findings
17	Aggregate Survey Results
32	Cross-tabulation Report
82	Appendix A: Question 2
87	Appendix B: Question 5
90	Appendix C: Question 6
91	Appendix D: Question 8
92	Appendix E: Question 23
94	Appendix F: Question 24
99	Appendix G: Question 25

METHODOLOGY

The Glengariff Group, Inc. conducted a Michigan statewide survey of voters. The 600 sample, live operator telephone survey was conducted on March 27-31, 2021 and has a margin of error of +/-4.0% with a 95% level of confidence. 50.0% of respondents were contacted via landline telephone. 50.0% of respondents were contacted via cell phone telephone. This survey was commissioned by the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission.

KEY FINDINGS

53% OF RESPONDENTS HAVE HEARD OF THE NEW REDISTRICTING CHANGE

By a margin of 53%-46%, survey respondents have heard something about the 2018 constitutional amendment Michigan voters passed changing how redistricting is conducted.

There were not sharp partisan differences in knowledge, but there were substantial differences based on how engaged the respondent said they were in the political process. 62.6% of respondents who call themselves ‘very engaged’ have heard about the constitutional change.

<u>Engagement Level</u>	<u>Aware</u>	<u>Not Aware</u>
Very Engaged	62.6%	37.4%
Somewhat Engaged	52.9%	46.5%
Not Very Engaged	41.5%	53.8%
Not At All Engaged	12.0%	84.0%

There were also clear differences by education level. Respondents with a high school diploma were least likely to be aware of the change while those with a college degree were most likely to be aware of the change.

<u>Education Level</u>	<u>Aware</u>	<u>Not Aware</u>
High School Diploma	36.6%	60.8%
Some Post/ Associates/ Voc	52.8%	47.2%
College Degree	63.8%	35.3%

24.3% HAVE HEARD OF THE MICHIGAN INDEPENDENT CITIZENS’ REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

24.3% of respondents have heard of the Michigan Independent Citizens’ Redistrict Commission. 74.5% of respondent have not heard of the commission.

Voters that Lean Democratic were the most likely to have heard of the commission at 44.8%.

<u>Party Affiliation</u>	<u>Aware</u>	<u>Not Aware</u>
Strong Democratic	27.8%	69.6%
Lean Democratic	44.8%	55.2%
Independent	17.6%	81.5%
Lean Republican	20.8%	79.2%
Strong Republican	20.8%	78.6%

Among those respondents that say they are highly engaged in the political process, only 33.7% have heard of the commission while 66.3% have not heard anything.

There is again a direct link by education level with respondents with a college degree most likely to have heard of the commission.

<u>Education Level</u>	<u>Aware</u>	<u>Not Aware</u>
High School Diploma	11.1%	88.2%
Some Post/Associates/Voc	23.8%	74.3%
College Degree	33.2%	65.9%

Respondents who have heard of the commission were asked what they had heard about the commission.

- * 35.6% of those who had heard knew very general information like that the commission existed.
- * 13.0% knew that citizens would handle the redistricting process.
- * 11.6% said that the commission was looking for applicants.
- * 8.9% knew about the makeup of the committee.

By a margin of 89.0%-11.0%, respondents believe the work of the commission is important. A majority of Michigan voters believe the work of the commission is very important.

- * 54.1% of respondents said the work of the commission is VERY important.
- * 34.9% of respondents said the work of the commission is SOMEWHAT important.

There were statistically significant differences in the level of importance based on party affiliation with Democratic voters much more likely to find the work of the commission VERY important.

Party Affiliation	Overall Important	Very	Somewhat
Strong Democratic	98.2%	77.8%	20.4%
Lean Democratic	74.9%	55.7%	19.2%
Independent	85.7%	38.1%	47.6%
Lean Republican	90.9%	36.4%	54.5%
Strong Republican	84.8%	30.3%	54.5%

Voters over 40 were more likely to find the work of the commission very important than those voters who were under 40.

PLURALITY OF VOTERS HAVE NO OPINION OF COMMISSION

Respondents who had heard about the Commission were asked if they have a positive or a negative view of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission.

- * 41.8% have a positive view.
- * 13.0% have a negative view.
- * But 43.2% said they had no opinion about the commission.

Respondents who had a positive opinion were asked why their opinion was positive:

- * 26.2% said gerrymandering needed to stop.
- * 19.7% said because the commission was made up of Democrats, Republicans and Independents.
- * 16.4% said because the commission takes politics out of the process.
- * 14.8% cited a fairer system.
- * 11.5% said change in the process was needed.

Those respondents who had a negative opinion were asked why their opinion was negative. Two themes dominated that small group of respondents:

- * 47.4% said the process was corrupt and would favor one party over the other.
- * 36.8% said there was no transparency and they did not trust it.

Among those voters most engaged in the political process, 41.8% have a positive view while 21.8% have a negative view. 32.7% have no opinion.

There were sharp differences by party affiliation with the small negative response coming strictly from the Republican respondents.

<u>Party Affiliation</u>	<u>Positive</u>	<u>Negative</u>	<u>No Opinion</u>
Strong Democratic	57.4%	0.0%	42.6%
Lean Democratic	42.3%	3.8%	53.8%
Independent	23.8%	0.0%	61.9%
Lean Republican	45.5%	27.3%	27.3%
Strong Republican	24.2%	45.5%	30.3%

Among ‘classic Republican’ respondents, 44.4% view the commission positively while 33.3% view it negatively. 22.2% have no opinion. *But among ‘Trump Republican’ respondents, only 5.9% view the commission positively while 47.1% view it negatively. 47.1% could offer no opinion.*

The highest levels of ‘negative perception’ came from:

- * 62.5%. Voters that get their news from Newsmax
- * 50.0% Voters that get their news from Fox News
- * 47.1% ‘Trump’ Republican voters
- * 45.5% Strong Republican voters
- * 33.3% ‘Classic’ Republican voters
- * 31.6% Voters that get their news from Internet web sites
- * 27.3% Lean Republican voters
- * 21.8% Very Engaged voters
- * 21.2% 40-49 year old voters
- * 20.0% 50-64 year old voters
- * 18.1% Male voters

Voters were asked if based on what they know so far, the Michigan Independent Citizens’ Redistricting Commission has been transparent. *75.3% of respondents said they did not know. 13.0% said they had been transparent while 8.2% said they had not been transparent.*

Among voters that say they are highly engaged in the political process, 21.8% say the commission’s work has been transparent while 16.4% said the work has not been transparent. 56.4% of those highly engaged in the political process did not know.

FIVE COMMISSION FACTS RATE HIGH WITH VOTERS

Respondents were read five different facts about the Michigan Independent Citizens’ Redistricting Commission and asked to rank each fact on a one to ten scale based on how important that fact was to them. The higher the number, the more important the fact was to them. The five facts are ranked below based on their composite score rating highest to lowest.

The two strongest testing facts emphasized map fairness and public feedback.

- 8.4 The new maps will attempt to recognize communities of interest with shared cultural, historical or economic interests. But the maps will not be drawn to give an advantage to either political party, incumbent officeholders or any potential candidates.
- 8.4 The Michigan Independent Citizens’ Redistricting Commission will hold at least ten public hearings throughout the state to inform the public about the redistricting process and solicit citizen input. And once the new maps are created, they will host at least five hearings around the state to get citizen feedback.
- 8.1 The members of the commission were selected through a random selection process to make sure every Michigan citizen had an opportunity to serve if they wanted.
- 8.0 There are four citizens who affiliate with the Republican Party and four citizens who affiliate with the Democratic Party. But the largest block of commissioners are citizens that are Independent voters and not affiliated with either political party.
- 7.9 The commission will submit a new map for congressional and state legislative districts after the new census data has been made available. The new maps will be designed to keep county, city and township boundaries intact with districts that are reasonably compact.

The chart below looks at how each fact was rated by partisan affiliation. Democratic respondents rated all of the facts substantially higher than both Independent voters and Republican voters.

<u>Fact</u>	<u>Strong Dem</u>	<u>Independent</u>	<u>Strong Republican</u>
Commissioners Randomly Selected	8.6	7.5	7.8
Four GOP/ Four Dem/ Five Independents	8.3	7.8	7.6
Keep county, city and townships intact	8.7	7.6	7.5
Recognize communities of interest	9.1	8.1	8.0
Commission will hold public hearings	8.9	8.2	7.9

After being read all five facts, respondents were asked which ONE fact was personally most important to them. Two facts rose statistically higher than the other five facts:

- 28.0% Commission will hold public hearings/ get public feedback
- 25.2% Four GOP/ Four Dem/ Five Independents
- 19.2% Recognize communities of interest
- 10.3% Keep county, city and townships intact
- 10.3% Commissioners randomly selected

Among those respondents who are very engaged in the political process, 24.5% cited the public feedback process and 23.3% cited the makeup of the commission.

Independent respondents chose ‘recognizing communities of interest’ as their second most important fact.

‘Sanders’ Democrats chose keep boundaries intact as their most important fact.

‘NEITHER PARTY GETS AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE’ RATES AT A NEARLY UNANIMOUS 9.2

Respondents were read four different goals of the redistricting commission and asked how important that goal was to them on a one to ten scale. The higher the number, the more important the goal is to the respondent. Below is a composite of the highest to lowest ranking goals. All four goals rank strongly. But rankings above 9.0 are rare – citing the importance of the simple goal that *‘neither party gets an unfair advantage by gerrymandering districts.’*

9.2 That neither party gets an unfair advantage by gerrymandering districts.

8.9 That citizens are in charge of designing districts, rather than politicians who would design districts to get themselves re-elected.

8.7 That Michigan voters, not elected officials, have control of designing new congressional and legislative districts.

7.8 That communities with common historical, cultural and economic interests are put in districts together instead of divided to weaken their voice.

- * Of critical note, nearly every demographic group rated the importance of ‘neither party gets an unfair advantage’ at a 9.0 or higher. ***This goal unites all sides of the political spectrum.***
- * The highest-ranking demographic groups for the ‘communities of interest’ goal was ‘Sanders’ Democrats at 9.1 and African American voters at 9.0.
- * Other than the ‘neither party gets an advantage’ fact, ‘Trump’ Republicans were most motivated by the goal that citizens, not politicians, should design districts at 8.8.

Respondents with a high school education and those with some post high school education rated citizens, not politicians designing districts at 9.0 and 9.1. Those with a college degree were at 8.7.

NO UNFAIR ADVANTAGE, CITIZEN INPUT, TRANSPARENCY RESONATE WITH VOTERS

Respondents were read three unique messaging statements and asked to rate them based on how important it was to them personally. All three statements scored at 9.3 or 9.4. It is unique for messaging to rate above a 9.0. *All three of these messages are extremely persuasive and important to respondents.*

9.4 The redistricting process should make sure that nobody gets an unfair advantage.

9.4 The redistricting process should be transparent allowing all citizens to see how decisions are being made and have a voice in those decisions.

9.3 The redistricting process should allow for citizen input.

There are only minor demographic differences between how individual groups of voters rated each of these three statements.

48.2% BELIEVE PARTICIPATION WILL HAVE AN IMPACT

Respondents were asked if their participation in the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission will or will not have an impact on the commission's work. 48.2% of respondents said their participation would have an impact while 31.2% said their participation would not have an impact. 20.7% of voters did not know.

The chart below compares these numbers by political philosophy. Belief that participation will make a difference rises based on a respondent's position on the political spectrum with left leaning voters having a stronger belief it would have an impact compared to right leaning voters much more skeptical about making an impact.

<u>Political Philosophy</u>	<u>Impact</u>	<u>No Impact</u>
Sanders Democrat	56.0%	22.0%
Democrat	52.9%	25.9%
Independent	51.7%	27.8%
Republican	43.5%	43.5%
Trump Republican	35.3%	32.9%

There is also an inverse relationship based on age with younger respondents much more optimistic than older respondents.

<u>Age</u>	<u>Impact</u>	<u>No Impact</u>
18-29	62.4%	10.6%
30-39	52.0%	33.7%
40-49	48.2%	32.7%
50-64	46.1%	31.7%
65+	38.6%	40.9%

And those respondents most engaged in the political process believe their participation will have the greatest impact.

<u>Engagement</u>	<u>Impact</u>	<u>No Impact</u>
Very Engaged	55.2%	24.5%
Somewhat Engaged	45.6%	34.2%
Not Very Engaged	46.2%	29.2%
Not Engaged At All	32.0%	44.0%

Some of the most interesting differences in the impact of personal participation came based on where the respondent got their main news. Those respondents who get their news from traditional main stream media sources are much more likely to believe their participation makes a difference. But Fox News, Newsmax and local tv news respondents were much more pessimistic about the impact of their participation.

<u>News Source</u>	<u>Impact</u>	<u>No Impact</u>
Local newspaper	63.6%	31.8%
National newspapers	59.1%	27.3%
Local TV News	46.8%	35.5%
CNN/MSNBC	62.7%	16.4%
Fox News	37.5%	45.8%
Newsmax	16.7%	25.0%
Network News	55.9%	35.3%
Social Media	72.7%	13.6%
Internet Sites	53.9%	27.8%

Respondents who said their participation would not have an impact were asked why they believed that:

- 24.6% said they were just one person.
- 17.1% said they were not going to get involved.
- 12.8% said the commission would do whatever it wanted.
- 11.8% said they may read and watch, but they will not be engaged.

38.7% OF VOTERS WILL PAY VERY CLOSE ATTENTION TO PROCESS

38.7% of voters said they will be paying very close attention to the work of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission. 51.7% of voters – a majority – said they would be paying 'some' attention. 8.3% said they would not pay too much attention or no attention at all.

- * Among voters that are highly engaged in the political process, 56.4% will be paying very close attention while 39.9% said they will pay some attention.
- * Among voters that are somewhat engaged in the political process, 33.0% will be paying very close attention while 58.5% said they will pay some attention.

While Democratic voters [Strong Democratic, Leaning Democratic, 'Sanders' Democrat, or 'Classic' Democrat] look very similar in the level of attention they will pay to the redistricting process, 'Classic' Republicans and 'Trump' Republicans look very different.

Among 'Classic' Republicans only 29.6% will pay very close attention while 58.3% will pay some attention. But among 'Trump' Republicans 40.0% will pay very close attention while 42.4% will pay some attention.

Among 'Strong' Republican voters only 27.0% will pay very close attention while 55.3% will pay some attention, But among 'Leaning' Republican voters 60.4% will pay very close attention while only 35.8% will pay some attention.

Traditional 'establishment' Republicans will be paying far less attention than 'Trump' Republicans.

Respondents most likely to follow the process very closely based on their news source are:

56.7%	CNN/MSNBC
54.5%	Local newspapers
54.2%	Newsmax
52.1%	Fox news

Respondents least likely to follow the process very closely based on their news source are:

27.0%	Internet sites
27.3%	Social Media
39.5%	Local TV News

There is also a direct correlation between age and following the process very closely:

<u>Age</u>	<u>Follow Very Closely</u>
18-29	18.8%
30-39	29.6%
40-49	38.2%
50-64	44.4%
65+	51.2%

NEWS STORIES AND WEBSITE MOST LIKELY AVENUES FOR ENGAGEMENT

Respondents were read five different avenues that citizens can be engaged in the redistricting process and asked if that was a way they might become engaged in the process.

84.2%	Reading and following news stories
79.2%	By accessing Michigan's redistricting website
50.7%	By sending questions or providing comments to the Commission
45.8%	By attending a public hearing held by the Commission.
41.3%	By watching meetings online

While large majorities were willing to follow news stories and perhaps visit the website, far few were willing to actually engaged WITH the process. The highest percentages of respondents that would be willing to attend a meeting were:

70.8%	Newsmax viewers
62.3%	Leaning Republican voters
59.5%	Voters very engaged in the political process
59.1%	Local newspaper readers
56.3%	Fox News viewers
55.9%	Voters over 65
55.9%	Network news viewers
53.7%	CNN/MSNBC viewers
53.3%	Voters aged 50-64
52.1%	Independent voters
51.8%	'Trump' Republicans

HOW TO REACH PEOPLE

Respondents were asked which would be the best way to provide them with information about the redistricting process.

26.0%	Email
21.8%	Through news stories
18.3%	On the commission website
7.8%	Text Messages
7.7%	On Facebook
18.3%	Said they did not want to receive information or did not know

For those respondents that were very engaged in the political process, 32.5% wanted information by email, 20.2% wanted information from the website, and 20.2% wanted information via news stories.

42.0% of 'Sanders' Democrats said they want information by email compared to only 16.5% of 'Trump' Republicans.

27.1% of ‘Trump’ Republicans want information from news stories while only 8.0% of ‘Sanders’ Democrats want new story information. 14.0% of ‘Sanders’ Democrats and 15.3% of ‘Trump’ Republicans want information by text message – by far the two highest demographics for text messages.

For those respondents who are classic Republicans, classic Democrats or Independents, they want a mix of news stories and email.

For those respondents over the age of 65, 40.2% want information through news stories compared to just 22.0% that want email information.

‘DISTRICTS ARE FAIR’, ‘NO ONE GETS AN UNFAIR ADVANTAGE’

At the conclusion of the survey, respondents were asked in an open-ended question what the single most important change they expected from the Michigan Independent Citizens’ Redistricting Committee. Two themes overwhelmed all the messages: Districts must be fair. And nobody should get an advantage.

26.5%	District are fair
18.0%	Nobody gets an advantage
9.2%	Citizens can be involved in the process
8.0%	Transparency/ Citizens can watch the work as it is done
4.7%	Communities of interest remain together
3.7%	Proper representation of people and districts
3.5%	Politicians are not part of the process

- * 29.9% of voters over 65 and 32.2% of voters between 50-64, said the most important change was that districts are fair. The highest percentages for fairness were voters 50-64, those not engaged in the process (36.0%), and ‘classic’ Republican voters at 33.9%.
- * The highest demographic that said nobody should get an unfair advantage were ‘Sanders’ Democrats at 28.0%, college degree educated voters at 27.2%, and voters 40-49 at 28.2%.
- * Those voters most interested in transparency were Independent voters at 16.8%.

- * At 15.7%, African American voters and Independent voters at 15.1% were the most likely to say citizen involvement was crucial.
- * At 8.2%, 'Trump' Republican voters were the most likely to say that no change would occur.

MICHIGAN INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' REDISTRICTING COMMISSION SURVEY

Hello, my name is _____. I'm not selling anything. I'm doing a quick survey of voters' attitudes in Michigan. It should take approximately six minutes.

A. Are you registered to vote at the address I am calling?

- | | | | |
|----|------------------|--------|-------|
| 1. | Yes.....CONTINUE | 100.0% | (600) |
| 2. | No....TERMINATE | | |

B. CODE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

- | | | | |
|-----|-------|------|----|
| 1. | CD 1 | 7.0% | 42 |
| 2. | CD 2 | 7.2% | 43 |
| 3. | CD 3 | 7.4% | 44 |
| 4. | CD 4 | 7.2% | 43 |
| 5. | CD 5 | 7.2% | 43 |
| 6. | CD 6 | 7.2% | 43 |
| 7. | CD 7 | 7.2% | 43 |
| 8. | CD 8 | 7.2% | 43 |
| 9. | CD 9 | 7.2% | 43 |
| 10. | CD 10 | 7.2% | 43 |
| 11. | CD 11 | 7.2% | 43 |
| 12. | CD 12 | 7.2% | 43 |
| 13. | CD 13 | 7.0% | 42 |
| 14. | CD 14 | 7.0% | 42 |

1. In 2018, Michigan voters approved an amendment to the state’s constitution that changed how Michigan conducts redistricting -- the process of drawing new congressional and state legislative districts every ten years. Would you say you have or have not heard anything about this change?

- | | | |
|----|------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | Have heard | 53.0% (318) |
| 2. | Have not heard | 46.0% (276) |
| 3. | Don’t Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER | 1.0% (6) |

2. The new constitutional amendment approved by voters creates a Michigan Independent Citizens’ Redistricting Commission comprised of citizens who have the authority to draw district boundaries for the Michigan Senate, Michigan House of Representatives and Michigan congressional districts every 10 years. The Commission is made up of thirteen Michigan citizens selected through a random application process. Four members affiliate with the Republican Party, four members affiliate with the Democratic Party, and five members are Independent and do not affiliate with either party. Have you seen or heard anything about this Michigan Independent Citizens’ Redistricting Commission? IF YES, ASK: AND WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD?

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | Yes.....ASK: AND WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD? /
MOVE TO Q3. [SEE APPENDIX A] | 24.3% (146) |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|

[RECORD AS STATED/ CODE]

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 2. | No...MOVE TO Q9 | 74.5% (447) |
| 3. | Don’t Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER....MOVE TO Q9 | 1.2% (7) |

<u>AND WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD?</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
General information/That they exist	52	35.6 %
All the things you mentioned	1	0.7 %
I applied/ know people who applied	4	2.7 %
They're looking for applicants	17	11.6 %
The make-up of the committee/ Democrats, Republicans, and Independents	13	8.9 %
How it works	8	5.5 %
Citizens will redistrict to stop gerrymandering	19	13.0 %
It takes it out of the hands of politicians	2	1.4 %
They're asking for more time because the census isn't ready	3	2.1 %
They're in court/being opposed	4	2.7 %
It makes things fair	3	2.1 %
Citizens/Communities get more say	3	2.1 %
I've attended/watched their meetings	2	1.4 %
It's a political power struggle	3	2.1 %
I helped with the petition to create it	3	2.1 %
Misc	0	0.0 %
<u>Don't Know/ Refused</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>6.2 %</u>
Total	146	100.0 %

3. How important would you say the work of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission is to you. Would you say it is very important, somewhat important, not very important or not important at all?

1.	Very important	54.1% (79)
2.	Somewhat important	34.9% (51)
3.	Not very important	6.8% (10)
4.	Not important at all	4.1% (6)
5.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	0.0% (0)

4. And would you say your views of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission is positive, negative, or would you say you have no opinion of it?

1.	Positive....MOVE TO Q5	41.8% (61)
2.	Negative....MOVE TO Q6	13.0% (19)
3.	No Opinion...MOVE TO Q7	43.2% (63)
4.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER/ MOVE TO Q7	2.1% (3)

5. And why would you say your opinion is positive?....MOVE TO Q7/ [SEE APPENDIX B]

And why would you say your opinion is positive?

	Number	Percent
Gerrymandering needs to stop	16	26.2 %
There are representatives of Democrats, Republicans, and Independents	12	19.7 %
It takes politics out of things	10	16.4 %
It's a better/more fair system	9	14.8 %
We need a change	7	11.5 %
It's done by citizens/people have a say	3	4.9 %
It's generally good	3	4.9 %
Misc	0	0.0 %
Don't Know/ Refused	1	1.6 %
Total	61	100.0 %

6. And why would you say your opinion is negative? [SEE APPENDIX C]

And why would you say your opinion is negative?	Number	Percent
It's corrupt and will favor one party over the other	9	47.4 %
No transparency/I don't trust it	7	36.8 %
We don't need to redistrict	1	5.3 %
The old way was fine	0	0.0 %
I don't trust the people in the commission	1	5.3 %
Nothing will change	1	5.3 %
Misc	0	0.0 %
<u>Don't Know/ Refused</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0.0 %</u>
Total	19	100.0 %

7. Based on what you know so far, would you say the work of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission has or has not been transparent, or would you say you just don't know?

1. Has been transparent...MOVE TO Q9	13.0% (19)
2. Has not been transparent....MOVE TO Q8	8.2% (12)
3. Just don't know....MOVE TO Q9	75.3% (110)
4. Refused...DO NOT OFFER....MOVE TO Q9	3.4% (5)

8. And why would you say it has not been transparent? [SEE APPENDIX D]

And why would you say it has not been transparent?

	Number	Percent
There isn't much news about them	1	8.3 %
They haven't reached out to us	3	25.0 %
They're doing things behind the scenes/I don't trust them	6	50.0 %
The application process isn't fair	1	8.3 %
I don't know where the boundaries are	1	8.3 %
Misc	0	0.0 %
Don't Know/ Refused	0	0.0 %
Total	12	100.0 %

I am going to read you five facts about the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission. For each, please tell me on a one to ten scale how important that fact is to you. One means it is not at all important and ten means it is extremely important. You can choose any number between one and ten.

9. The members of the commission were selected through a random application process to make sure every Michigan citizen had an opportunity to serve if they wanted.

SCORE: 8.1

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
4.0%	0.5%	1.8%	0.7%	10.7%	3.2%	9.7%	15.5%	7.5%	44.5%	2.0%

10. There are four citizens who affiliate with the Republican Party and four citizens who affiliate with the Democratic Party. But the largest block of commissioners are citizens that are Independent voters and not affiliated with either political party.

SCORE: 8.0

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
3.3%	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%	11.5%	3.5%	6.8%	15.3%	9.5%	43.7%	1.7%

11. The commission will submit a new map for congressional and state legislative districts after the new census data has been made available. The new maps will be designed to keep county, city and township boundaries intact with districts that are reasonably compact.

SCORE: 7.9

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
2.8%	0.8%	1.5%	0.7%	10.5%	5.2%	14.2%	15.8%	6.0%	39.7%	2.8%

12. The new maps will attempt to recognize communities of interest with shared cultural, historical or economic interests. But the maps will not be drawn to give an advantage to either political party, incumbent officeholders or any potential candidates.

SCORE: 8.4

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
4.3%	0.5%	0.8%	1.2%	7.7%	2.2%	6.7%	10.2%	11.5%	53.2%	1.8%

13. The Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission will hold at least ten public hearings throughout the state to inform the public about the redistricting process and solicit citizen input. And once the new maps are created, they will host at least five hearings around the state to get citizen feedback.

SCORE: 8.4

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
2.0%	0.3%	1.2%	1.7%	7.8%	3.3%	8.5%	17.0%	7.7%	49.2%	1.3%

14. Of the five facts I just read to you, which ONE is most important to you personally?
 [IF ALL, ASK: WHICH ONE IS MOST IMPORTANT?]

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | Commissioners were randomly selected from the population | 10.3% (62) |
| 2. | Four members affiliate as Republican, four members affiliate as Democrats and five members affiliate as Independents. | 25.2% (151) |
| 3. | New maps will be designed to keep county, city and township boundaries intact. | 10.3% (52) |
| 4. | New maps will recognize communities of interest but provide no advantage to any party, officeholder or candidate. | 19.2% (115) |
| 5. | The commission will hold public hearings to solicit citizens input and feedback. | 28.0% (168) |
| 6. | Don't know/ None are important/ All are important/ Refused...
DO NOT OFFER | 7.0% (42) |

I am going to read you four goals of the new redistricting process. Please tell me on a one to ten scale, how important that goal is to you. One means it is not at all important. Ten means it is very important. You can choose any number between one and ten.

15. That neither party gets an unfair advantage by gerrymandering districts.

SCORE: 9.2

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
1.2%	0.3%	0.2%	0.8%	5.0%	2.2%	3.5%	6.3%	5.5%	73.8%	1.2%

16. That Michigan voters, not elected officials, have control of designing new congressional and legislative districts.

SCORE: 8.7

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
1.8%	0.5%	0.8%	0.8%	6.0%	3.7%	6.3%	10.5%	8.5%	60.2%	0.8%

17. That communities with common historical, cultural or economic interests are put in districts together instead of divided to weaken their voice.

SCORE: 7.8

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
5.3%	0.7%	2.0%	1.5%	13.3%	4.3%	8.7%	13.2%	6.3%	42.3%	2.3%

18. That citizens are in charge of designing districts, rather than politicians who would design districts to get themselves re-elected.

SCORE: 8.9

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
2.3%	0.2%	1.0%	0.8%	3.8%	2.8%	4.0%	10.5%	8.7%	65.0%	0.8%

Now, I am going to read you three statements. Please tell me on a one to ten scale, how important that statement is to you. One means it is not at all important. Ten means it is very important. You can choose any number between one and ten.

19. The redistricting process should make sure that nobody gets an unfair advantage.

SCORE: 9.4

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
0.8%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	1.2%	1.8%	8.2%	5.2%	78.8%	0.2%

20. The redistricting process should be transparent allowing all citizens to see how decisions are being made and have a voice in those decisions.

SCORE: 9.4

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	2.7%	1.5%	3.5%	6.0%	5.2%	80.2%	0.0%

21. The redistricting process should allow for citizen input.

SCORE: 9.3

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	DK/REF
0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	4.0%	1.7%	3.8%	6.0%	6.7%	75.7%	0.0%

22. Now that you have heard a little bit more about the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission, would you say you will pay very close attention, some attention, not too much attention or no attention at all to their work?

1. Very close attention	38.7% (232)
2. Some attention	51.7% (310)
3. Not too much attention	4.5% (27)
4. No attention at all	3.8% (23)
5. Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	1.3% (8)

23. I am going to read you several ways that Michigan citizens can become engaged in the redistricting process. For each, please tell me if you think that is a way you might become engaged in the redistricting process.

1.	By reading and following news stories	84.2% (505)
2.	By watching meetings online	41.3% (248)
3.	By sending questions or providing comments to the commission.	50.7% (304)
4.	By attending a public hearing held by the commission	45.8% (275)
5.	By accessing Michigan's redistricting website	79.2% (475)
6.	Or is there another way you might become engaged? [SEE APPENDIX E]	9.3% (56)
7.	No engagement/. I will not become engaged. [CIRCLE IF RESPONDENT HAS NOT INDICATED 1-7] ASK: AND WHY WOULD YOU NOT BECOME ENGAGED	1.5% (9)
8.	Refused...DO NOT OFFER	0.0% (0)

24. Would you say your participation in the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission will or will not have an impact on the commission's work?

1.	Will	48.2% (289)
2.	Will not...ASK: AND WHY NOT?	31.2% (187)

[RECORD AS STATED/ CODE RESPONSE]. [SEE APPENDIX F]

3.	Don't Know...DO NOT OFFER	20.7% (124)
4.	Refused...DO NOT OFFER	0.0% (0)

AND WHY WOULD YOU SAY IT WILL
NOT HAVE AN IMPACT?

	Number	Percent
I'm just one person	46	24.6 %
They're going to do what they want	24	12.8 %
I'm not going to be involved much	32	17.1 %
I'm going to read and watch, not engage	22	11.8 %
I trust the process/ I don't need to be involved	4	2.1 %
I don't trust the process	16	8.6 %
I don't know much about it	5	2.7 %
I'm not interested/not political	14	7.5 %
It's ultimately political and up to the politicians	6	3.2 %
Misc	0	0.0 %
<u>Don't Know/ Refused</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>9.6 %</u>
Total	187	100.0 %

25. And what is the SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT change you expect from the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission?

[RECORD AS STATED/ CODE]. [SEE APPENDIX G]

1.	Districts are fair	26.5% (159)
2.	Nobody gets an advantage	18.0% (108)
3.	Transparency/ Citizens can watch the work as it is done	8.0% (48)
4.	Citizens can be involved in the process	9.2% (55)
5.	Communities of interest remain together	4.7% (28)
6.	Politicians are not part of the process	3.5% (21)
7.	Proper representation of people and districts	3.7% (22)
8.	No Change	3.0% (18)
9.	Miscellaneous	4.3% (26)
10.	Don't Know/ Refused	19.2% (115)

26. And what would be the best way to provide you information about the redistricting process? [READ 1-6]

1.	By email	26.0% (156)
2.	Through text messages	7.8% (47)
3.	On our website	18.3% (110)
4.	On Facebook	7.7% (46)
5.	Through news stories	21.8% (131)
6.	Or are you not interested in receiving any information?	14.3% (86)
7.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	4.0% (24)

Now just a couple of questions for statistical purposes.

27. Generally speaking, would you say you tend to vote mostly for Republican candidates, do you vote mostly for Democratic candidates, or would you say you vote equally for both Republican and Democratic candidates? IF VOTE EQUALLY ASK: WOULD YOU SAY YOU LEAN MORE TO THE DEMOCRATIC PARTY OR MORE TO THE REPUBLICAN PARTY, OR WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE AN INDEPENDENT VOTER?

1.	Strongly Democratic	32.3% (194)
2.	Lean Democratic	9.7% (58)
3.	Independent	19.8% (119)
4.	Lean Republican	8.8% (53)
5.	Strong Republican	26.5% (159)
6.	Other/ Refused/ Don't Know....DO NOT OFFER	2.8% (17)

28. Which of the following best describes your political leanings: A Sanders Democrat, a Democrat, an Independent, a Republican, or a Trump Republican.

1.	Sanders Democrat	8.3%	(50)
2.	Democrat	28.3%	(170)
3.	Independent	25.2%	(151)
4.	Republican	19.2%	(115)
5.	Trump Republican	14.2%	(85)
6.	Something else _____	1.2%	(7)
7.	None/ Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	3.7%	(22)

29. And when it comes to the political process, would you say you are very engaged, somewhat engaged, not really engaged or not at all engaged in the political process?

1.	Very engaged	27.2%	(163)
2.	Somewhat engaged	57.0%	(342)
3.	Not really engaged	10.8%	(65)
4.	Not at all engaged	4.2%	(25)
5.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	0.8%	(5)

30. What would be the last year of schooling you completed?

1.	High school graduate or less	25.5%	(153)
2.	Vocational Training/ Some Community College/ Some College	35.7%	(214)
3.	College Graduate	38.7%	(232)
4.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	0.2%	(1)

31. Could you please tell me in what year you were born?

1.	18-29	(1992-2003)	14.2%	(85)
2.	30-39	(1982-1991)	16.3%	(98)
3.	40-49	(1972-1981)	18.3%	(110)
4.	50-64	(1957-1971)	30.0%	(180)
5.	65+	(1956 and before)	21.2%	(127)
6.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER		0.0%	(0)

32. And what is your race or ethnic background?

1.	Caucasian	77.2%	(463)
2.	African American	14.8%	(89)
3.	Hispanic/ Puerto Rican/ Mexican American	1.7%	(10)
4.	Arab American	1.5%	(9)
5.	Asian	0.3%	(2)
6.	Native American	0.8%	(5)
7.	Mixed Race...DO NOT OFFER	1.2%	(7)
8.	Other/ Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	2.5%	(15)

33. And when it comes to getting your news which of the following would you say is your MAIN SOURCE of news information.

1.	Local newspapers or their websites	3.7%	(22)
2.	National newspapers or their websites	7.3%	(44)
3.	Local tv news	20.7%	(124)
4.	CNN or MSNBC	11.2%	(67)
5.	Fox News	8.0%	(48)
6.	Newsmax or One Network	4.0%	(24)
7.	NBC/CBS/ ABC or PBS	5.7%	(34)
8.	Facebook or Twitter	3.7%	(22)
9.	Parler	0.0%	(0)
10.	Internet news sites	19.2%	(115)
11.	Or something else? _____	12.5%	(75)
12.	Don't Know/ Refused...DO NOT OFFER	4.2%	(25)

34. Gender: BY OBSERVATION

1.	Male	50.0%	(300)
2.	Female	50.0%	(300)

35. Telephone

1.	Cell	50.0%	(300)
2.	Landline	50.0%	(300)

1. In 2018, Michigan voters approved an amendment to the state’s constitution that changed how Michigan conducts redistricting -- the process of drawing new congressional and state legislative districts every ten years. Would you say you have or have not heard anything about this change?

	Have	Have Not
Strong Dem	51.5%	47.4%
Lean Dem	75.9%	24.1%
Independent	45.4%	52.9%
Lean GOP	62.3%	37.7%
Strong GOP	50.3%	49.1%
Sanders Dem	44.0%	56.0%
Democrat	54.1%	45.3%
Independent	59.6%	38.4%
Republican	48.7%	50.4%
Trump Rep	49.4%	49.4%
Very Engaged	62.6%	37.4%
Some Eng	52.9%	46.5%
Not Very Eng	41.5%	53.8%
Not Engaged	12.0%	84.0%
High School	36.6%	60.8%
Some Post	52.8%	47.2%
College Deg	63.8%	35.3%
18-29	37.6%	61.2%
30-39	55.1%	42.9%
40-49	58.2%	41.8%
50-64	51.7%	47.2%
65+	59.1%	40.2%

Male	57.0%	42.0%
Female	49.0%	50.0%
1	54.9%	39.2%
2	60.0%	40.0%
3	64.3%	35.7%
4	53.1%	46.9%
5	45.2%	54.8%
6	55.8%	44.2%
7	53.1%	46.9%
8	55.6%	44.4%
9	39.4%	60.6%
10	54.2%	43.8%
11	60.4%	37.5%
12	57.1%	42.9%
13	49.1%	49.1%
14	35.9%	64.1%

2. The new constitutional amendment approved by voters creates a Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission comprised of citizens who have the authority to draw district boundaries for the Michigan Senate, Michigan House of Representatives and Michigan congressional districts every 10 years. The Commission is made up of thirteen Michigan citizens selected through a random application process. Four members affiliate with the Republican Party, four members affiliate with the Democratic Party, and five members are Independent and do not affiliate with either party. Have you seen or heard anything about this Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission? IF YES, ASK: AND WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD?

	Yes	No
Strong Dem	27.8%	69.6%
Lean Dem	44.8%	55.2%
Independent	17.6%	81.5%
Lean GOP	20.8%	79.2%
Strong GOP	20.8%	78.6%
Sanders Dem	24.0%	76.0%
Democrat	26.5%	71.8%
Independent	30.5%	68.2%
Republican	15.7%	82.6%
Trump Rep	20.0%	80.0%
Very Engaged	33.7%	66.3%
Some Eng	23.4%	74.9%
Not Very Eng	16.9%	81.5%
Not Engaged	0.0%	100.0%
High School	11.1%	88.2%
Some Post	23.8%	74.3%
College Deg	33.2%	65.9%

18-29	14.1%	85.9%
30-39	26.5%	71.4%
40-49	30.0%	70.0%
50-64	19.4%	78.9%
65+	31.5%	66.9%
Male	27.7%	70.7%
Female	21.0%	78.3%
1	21.6%	78.4%
2	32.5%	55.0%
3	38.1%	59.5%
4	31.3%	68.8%
5	26.2%	73.8%
6	39.5%	60.5%
7	14.3%	85.7%
8	13.9%	86.1%
9	21.2%	78.8%
10	22.9%	77.1%
11	22.9%	77.1%
12	26.2%	71.4%
13	12.7%	87.3%
14	23.1%	76.9%

3. How important would you say the work of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission is to you. Would you say it is very important, somewhat important, not very important or not important at all?

	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Very Important	Not Important At All
Strong Dem	77.8%	20.4%	0.0%	1.9%
Lean Dem	57.7%	19.2%	23.1%	0.0%
Independent	38.1%	47.6%	14.3%	0.0%
Lean GOP	36.4%	54.5%	0.0%	9.1%
Strong GOP	30.3%	54.5%	3.0%	12.1%
Sanders Dem	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Democrat	80.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Independent	37.0%	41.3%	19.6%	2.2%
Republican	22.2%	61.1%	0.0%	16.7%
Trump Rep	52.9%	29.4%	5.9%	11.8%
Very Engaged	61.8%	30.9%	0.0%	7.3%
Some Eng	55.0%	37.5%	6.3%	1.3%
Not Very Eng	9.1%	36.4%	45.5%	9.1%
Not Engaged	---	---	---	---
High School	47.1%	41.2%	5.9%	5.9%
Some Post	45.1%	39.2%	11.8%	3.9%
College Deg	62.3%	29.9%	3.9%	3.9%
18-29	41.7%	16.7%	41.7%	0.0%
30-39	46.2%	38.5%	11.5%	3.8%
40-49	63.6%	27.3%	3.0%	6.1%
50-64	51.4%	42.9%	0.0%	5.7%
65+	57.5%	37.5%	2.5%	2.5%

Male	57.8%	26.5%	8.4%	7.2%
Female	49.2%	46.0%	4.8%	0.0%
1	36.4%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%
2	61.5%	38.5%	0.0%	0.0%
3	50.0%	43.8%	6.3%	0.0%
4	50.0%	20.0%	30.0%	0.0%
5	36.4%	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%
6	47.1%	17.6%	23.5%	11.8%
7	57.1%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%
8	20.0%	60.0%	0.0%	20.0%
9	71.4%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%
10	72.7%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%
11	45.5%	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%
12	90.9%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
13	85.7%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%
14	33.3%	55.6%	0.0%	11.1%

4. And would you say your views of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission is positive, negative, or would you say you have no opinion of it?

	Positive	Negative	No Opinion
Strong Dem	57.4%	0.0%	42.6%
Lean Dem	42.3%	3.8%	53.8%
Independent	23.8%	0.0%	61.9%
Lean GOP	45.5%	27.3%	27.3%
Strong GOP	24.2%	45.5%	30.3%
Sanders Dem	75.0%	0.0%	25.0%
Democrat	60.0%	0.0%	40.0%
Independent	32.6%	4.3%	60.9%
Republican	44.4%	33.3%	22.2%
Trump Rep	5.9%	47.1%	47.1%
Very Engaged	41.8%	21.8%	32.7%
Some Eng	43.8%	8.8%	46.3%
Not Very Eng	27.3%	0.0%	72.7%
Not Engaged	---	---	---
High School	52.9%	11.8%	23.5%
Some Post	19.6%	15.7%	64.7%
College Deg	54.5%	10.4%	33.8%
18-29	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%
30-39	57.7%	0.0%	42.3%
40-49	24.2%	21.2%	54.5%
50-64	51.4%	20.0%	25.7%
65+	40.0%	12.5%	42.5%
Male	38.6%	18.1%	42.2%
Female	46.0%	6.3%	44.4%

1	36.4%	0.0%	63.6%
2	61.5%	23.1%	15.4%
3	50.0%	6.3%	37.5%
4	30.0%	20.0%	50.0%
5	45.5%	9.1%	27.3%
6	29.4%	17.6%	52.9%
7	85.7%	0.0%	14.3%
8	20.0%	0.0%	80.0%
9	57.1%	28.6%	14.3%
10	27.3%	18.2%	54.5%
11	36.4%	27.3%	36.4%
12	36.4%	9.1%	54.5%
13	14.3%	14.3%	71.4%
14	55.6%	0.0%	44.4%

5. And why would you say your opinion is positive?....MOVE TO Q7

	Stop Gerrymander	Dem, GOP Independents	Remove Politics	Fair System	Need Change	
Strong Dem	32.3%	16.1%	9.7%	12.9%	19.4%	
Lean Dem	45.5%	9.1%	27.3%	9.1%	0.0%	
Independent	0.0%	40.0%	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	
Lean GOP	0.0%	20.0%	60.0%	20.0%	0.0%	
Strong GOP	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	12.5%	0.0%	
Sanders Dem	55.6%	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	22.2%	
Democrat	25.9%	22.2%	18.5%	14.8%	11.1%	
Independent	20.0%	13.3%	20.0%	26.7%	13.3%	
Republican	12.5%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Trump Rep	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	*100.0% (1) [Done by citizens]
Very Engaged	17.4%	17.4%	26.1%	13.0%	17.4%	
Some Eng	34.3%	20.0%	11.4%	14.3%	8.6%	
Not Very Eng	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	
Not Engaged	---	---	---	---	---	
High School	11.1%	22.2%	55.6%	0.0%	0.0%	
Some Post	50.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	
College Deg	23.8%	21.4%	9.5%	19.0%	11.9%	
18-29	25.0%	25.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
30-39	33.3%	26.7%	6.7%	20.0%	0.0%	
40-49	25.0%	0.0%	62.5%	0.0%	12.5%	
50-64	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	5.6%	22.2%	
65+	25.0%	18.8%	0.0%	31.3%	12.5%	
Male	31.3%	21.9%	15.6%	18.8%	9.4%	
Female	20.7%	17.2%	17.2%	10.3%	13.8%	

1	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%
2	25.0%	37.5%	12.5%	12.5%	0.0%
3	25.0%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	0.0%
4	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	33.3%
5	20.0%	20.0%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%
6	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	0.0%
7	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	16.7%	16.7%
8	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
9	25.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	25.0%
10	0.0%	33.3%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%
11	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
12	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%

6. And why would you say your opinion is negative?

	Corrupt Favor One Party	No Transparency Don't Trust
Strong Dem	0.0%	0.0%
Lean Dem	0.0%	100.0%
Independent	0.0%	0.0%
Lean GOP	33.3%	66.7%
Strong GOP	53.3%	26.7%
Sanders Dem	0.0%	0.0%
Democrat	0.0%	0.0%
Independent	0.0%	100.0%
Republican	83.3%	0.0%
Trump Rep	50.0%	25.0%
Very Engaged	50.0%	33.3%
Some Eng	42.9%	42.9%
Not Very Eng	---	---
Not Engaged	---	---
High School	50.0%	0.0%
Some Post	50.0%	37.5%
College Deg	50.0%	50.0%
18-29	0.0%	0.0%
30-39	0.0%	0.0%
40-49	42.9%	57.1%
50-64	57.1%	28.6%
65+	40.0%	20.0%
Male	53.3%	33.3%
Female	25.0%	50.0%

1	0.0%	0.0%
2	0.0%	66.7%
3	0.0%	0.0%
4	0.0%	100.0%
5	100.0%	0.0%
6	66.7%	0.0%
7	0.0%	0.0%
8	0.0%	0.0%
9	50.0%	50.0%
10	100.0%	0.0%
11	66.7%	33.3%
12	100.0%	0.0%
13	0.0%	100.0%
14	0.0%	0.0%

7. Based on what you know so far, would you say the work of the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission has or has not been transparent, or would you say you just don't know?

	Transparent	Not Transparent	Don't Know
Strong Dem	14.8%	1.9%	81.5%
Lean Dem	7.7%	3.8%	88.5%
Independent	9.5%	4.8%	66.7%
Lean GOP	27.3%	18.2%	54.5%
Strong GOP	12.1%	21.2%	66.7%
Sanders Dem	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Democrat	15.6%	2.2%	80.0%
Independent	10.9%	4.3%	76.1%
Republican	33.3%	16.7%	50.0%
Trump Rep	5.9%	17.6%	76.5%
Very Engaged	21.8%	16.4%	56.4%
Some Eng	8.8%	3.8%	85.0%
Not Very Eng	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
Not Engaged	---	---	---
High School	0.0%	5.9%	94.1%
Some Post	7.8%	5.9%	80.4%
College Deg	19.5%	10.4%	67.5%
18-29	8.3%	0.0%	91.7%
30-39	19.2%	3.8%	73.1%
40-49	3.0%	12.1%	75.8%
50-64	17.1%	11.4%	71.4%
65+	15.0%	7.5%	75.0%

Male	4.8%	10.8%	78.3%
Female	23.8%	4.8%	71.4%
1	0.0%	0.0%	72.3%
2	23.1%	23.1%	53.8%
3	18.8%	0.0%	81.8%
4	10.0%	0.0%	90.0%
5	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
6	0.0%	17.6%	82.4%
7	14.3%	0.0%	71.4%
8	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
9	14.3%	28.6%	57.1%
10	18.2%	0.0%	81.8%
11	18.2%	18.2%	63.6%
12	27.3%	0.0%	63.6%
13	28.6%	28.6%	42.9%
14	11.1%	0.0%	88.9%

9. The members of the commission were selected through a random application process to make sure every Michigan citizen had an opportunity to serve if they wanted.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	8.6
Lean Dem	8.2
Independent	7.5
Lean GOP	8.3
Strong GOP	7.8
Sanders Dem	8.5
Democrat	8.6
Independent	7.6
Republican	7.8
Trump Rep	8.2
Very Engaged	8.6
Some Eng	8.1
Not Very Eng	7.0
Not Engaged	6.4
High School	7.9
Some Post	8.1
College Deg	8.1
18-29	7.6
30-39	8.3
40-49	8.0
50-64	8.3
65+	7.9
Male	7.7
Female	8.4

1	7.8
2	7.9
3	8.2
4	8.7
5	7.9
6	8.0
7	8.7
8	7.6
9	8.6
10	7.9
11	7.8
12	7.5
13	8.1
14	8.3

10. There are four citizens who affiliate with the Republican Party and four citizens who affiliate with the Democratic Party. But the largest block of commissioners are citizens that are Independent voters and not affiliated with either political party.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	8.3
Lean Dem	8.3
Independent	7.8
Lean GOP	8.5
Strong GOP	7.6
Sanders Dem	8.5
Democrat	8.3
Independent	8.1
Republican	7.6
Trump Rep	7.8
Very Engaged	8.4
Some Eng	8.0
Not Very Eng	7.6
Not Engaged	6.8
High School	7.6
Some Post	8.2
College Deg	8.1
18-29	7.4
30-39	8.1
40-49	8.2
50-64	8.3
65+	7.8
Male	8.0
Female	8.1

1	8.6
2	7.8
3	8.3
4	8.5
5	7.7
6	7.8
7	8.5
8	7.6
9	7.8
10	8.2
11	7.8
12	8.0
13	7.7
14	8.0

11. The commission will submit a new map for congressional and state legislative districts after the new census data has been made available. The new maps will be designed to keep county, city and township boundaries intact with districts that are reasonably compact.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	8.7
Lean Dem	7.8
Independent	7.6
Lean GOP	7.5
Strong GOP	7.5
Sanders Dem	9.0
Democrat	8.6
Independent	7.4
Republican	7.3
Trump Rep	7.8
Very Engaged	8.5
Some Eng	7.8
Not Very Eng	7.6
Not Engaged	7.0
High School	7.7
Some Post	8.0
College Deg	8.0
18-29	6.8
30-39	7.6
40-49	8.3
50-64	8.2
65+	8.4

Male	7.5
Female	8.3
1	8.7
2	7.4
3	7.7
4	8.4
5	7.7
6	7.7
7	8.6
8	7.6
9	7.7
10	7.6
11	7.7
12	7.5
13	8.2
14	8.4

12. The new maps will attempt to recognize communities of interest with shared cultural, historical or economic interests. But the maps will not be drawn to give an advantage to either political party, incumbent officeholders or any potential candidates.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	9.1
Lean Dem	8.7
Independent	8.1
Lean GOP	7.8
Strong GOP	8.0
Sanders Dem	9.0
Democrat	9.2
Independent	8.2
Republican	8.0
Trump Rep	7.9
Very Engaged	8.8
Some Eng	8.5
Not Very Eng	7.7
Not Engaged	7.2
High School	8.2
Some Post	8.5
College Deg	8.6
18-29	8.4
30-39	8.1
40-49	8.4
50-64	8.6
65+	8.5
Male	8.0
Female	8.8

1	8.9
2	7.8
3	8.9
4	8.7
5	8.1
6	8.2
7	9.1
8	8.1
9	8.6
10	8.0
11	8.2
12	8.1
13	8.5
14	8.8

13. The Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission will hold at least ten public hearings throughout the state to inform the public about the redistricting process and solicit citizen input. And once the new maps are created, they will host at least five hearings around the state to get citizen feedback.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	8.9
Lean Dem	8.5
Independent	8.2
Lean GOP	8.6
Strong GOP	7.9
Sanders Dem	8.8
Democrat	8.9
Independent	8.1
Republican	7.7
Trump Rep	8.5
Very Engaged	8.7
Some Eng	8.4
Not Very Eng	7.9
Not Engaged	7.5
High School	8.5
Some Post	8.4
College Deg	8.3
18-29	7.5
30-39	8.2
40-49	8.4
50-64	8.7
65+	8.7

Male	8.0
Female	8.8
1	8.5
2	7.7
3	8.6
4	8.5
5	8.5
6	8.8
7	9.1
8	7.7
9	8.1
10	8.2
11	8.0
12	8.1
13	8.4
14	9.1

14. Of the five facts I just read to you, which ONE is most important to you personally?
 [IF ALL, ASK: WHICH ONE IS MOST IMPORTANT?]

	Commissioners	Balance	Intact	Communities of Interest	Citizen Feedback
Strong Dem	6.7%	23.7%	15.5%	22.7%	26.3%
Lean Dem	8.6%	27.6%	8.6%	27.6%	25.9%
Independent	12.6%	21.8%	10.1%	22.7%	27.7%
Lean GOP	11.3%	26.4%	0.0%	18.9%	34.0%
Strong GOP	11.3%	29.6%	7.5%	11.3%	29.6%
Sanders Dem	4.0%	18.0%	26.0%	22.0%	24.0%
Democrat	10.0%	20.0%	10.6%	21.8%	32.4%
Independent	12.6%	29.8%	9.3%	26.5%	18.5%
Republican	13.0%	31.3%	4.3%	8.7%	32.2%
Trump Rep	5.9%	25.9%	8.2%	15.3%	35.3%
Very Engaged	11.7%	23.3%	14.1%	17.2%	24.5%
Some Eng	10.8%	24.6%	9.4%	21.1%	30.1%
Not Very Eng	7.7%	35.4%	6.2%	21.5%	24.6%
Not Engaged	4.0%	24.0%	12.0%	4.0%	36.0%
High School	14.4%	13.7%	8.5%	12.4%	37.3%
Some Post	9.3%	29.4%	8.4%	23.4%	26.2%
College Deg	8.6%	28.9%	12.9%	19.8%	23.7%
18-29	7.1%	25.9%	12.9%	25.9%	21.2%
30-39	16.3%	27.6%	12.2%	22.4%	17.3%
40-49	12.7%	15.5%	10.0%	20.0%	33.6%
50-64	8.9%	28.9%	6.1%	15.6%	35.0%
65+	7.9%	26.0%	13.4%	16.5%	26.0%
Male	9.0%	26.3%	13.7%	20.0%	25.0%
Female	11.7%	24.0%	7.0%	18.3%	31.0%

1	11.8%	27.5%	9.8%	23.5%	19.6%
2	15.0%	42.5%	5.0%	12.5%	7.5%
3	14.3%	28.6%	2.4%	16.7%	38.1%
4	3.1%	12.5%	25.0%	12.5%	40.6%
5	0.0%	14.3%	9.5%	31.0%	35.7%
6	2.3%	27.9%	7.0%	14.0%	39.5%
7	4.1%	32.7%	14.3%	18.4%	16.3%
8	11.1%	30.6%	13.9%	19.4%	13.9%
9	21.2%	15.2%	18.2%	9.1%	24.2%
10	8.3%	29.2%	4.2%	18.8%	35.4%
11	18.8%	18.8%	10.4%	25.0%	27.1%
12	16.7%	35.7%	11.9%	14.3%	21.4%
13	1.8%	14.5%	10.9%	29.1%	38.2%
14	20.5%	20.5%	7.7%	15.4%	33.3%

15. That neither party gets an unfair advantage by gerrymandering districts.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	9.5
Lean Dem	9.1
Independent	8.9
Lean GOP	9.6
Strong GOP	9.0
Sanders Dem	9.6
Democrat	9.3
Independent	9.2
Republican	9.0
Trump Rep	9.0
Very Engaged	9.6
Some Eng	9.2
Not Very Eng	8.8
Not Engaged	7.4
High School	8.7
Some Post	9.2
College Deg	9.4
18-29	8.8
30-39	9.3
40-49	9.3
50-64	9.2
65+	9.2
Male	9.0
Female	9.3

1	9.7
2	9.0
3	9.5
4	9.1
5	9.0
6	9.3
7	9.1
8	9.3
9	9.2
10	8.7
11	9.2
12	8.8
13	9.1
14	9.5

16. That Michigan voters, not elected officials, have control of designing new congressional and legislative districts.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	9.1
Lean Dem	8.8
Independent	8.1
Lean GOP	9.2
Strong GOP	8.7
Sanders Dem	9.3
Democrat	9.0
Independent	8.4
Republican	8.7
Trump Rep	8.7
Very Engaged	9.0
Some Eng	8.8
Not Very Eng	8.6
Not Engaged	5.8
High School	8.6
Some Post	8.9
College Deg	8.7
18-29	8.3
30-39	8.4
40-49	9.2
50-64	8.8
65+	8.8
Male	8.5
Female	8.9

1	9.5
2	8.1
3	9.2
4	8.8
5	8.0
6	9.2
7	9.6
8	9.1
9	8.7
10	8.7
11	8.1
12	7.9
13	8.6
14	8.9

17. That communities with common historical, cultural or economic interests are put in districts together instead of divided to weaken their voice.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	8.8
Lean Dem	7.6
Independent	7.3
Lean GOP	7.3
Strong GOP	7.0
Sanders Dem	9.1
Democrat	8.5
Independent	7.5
Republican	7.1
Trump Rep	7.2
Very Engaged	7.7
Some Eng	7.9
Not Very Eng	7.4
Not Engaged	6.5
High School	8.0
Some Post	7.8
College Deg	7.6
18-29	8.6
30-39	7.4
40-49	7.4
50-64	8.0
65+	7.4
Male	7.5
Female	8.0

1	8.2
2	7.2
3	7.6
4	7.4
5	8.0
6	7.4
7	8.4
8	6.5
9	8.1
10	7.3
11	7.4
12	8.0
13	8.3
14	8.4

18. That citizens are in charge of designing districts, rather than politicians who would design districts to get themselves re-elected.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	9.5
Lean Dem	8.8
Independent	8.5
Lean GOP	9.3
Strong GOP	8.6
Sanders Dem	9.7
Democrat	9.3
Independent	8.7
Republican	8.6
Trump Rep	8.8
Very Engaged	8.9
Some Eng	9.0
Not Very Eng	8.7
Not Engaged	7.6
High School	9.0
Some Post	9.1
College Deg	8.7
18-29	8.5
30-39	8.9
40-49	8.9
50-64	9.1
65+	9.0
Male	8.7
Female	9.2

1	9.5
2	8.4
3	9.7
4	9.2
5	9.5
6	8.7
7	9.3
8	8.3
9	9.0
10	8.5
11	8.3
12	8.3
13	8.8
14	9.5

19. The redistricting process should make sure that nobody gets an unfair advantage.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	9.5
Lean Dem	9.4
Independent	9.0
Lean GOP	9.7
Strong GOP	9.6
Sanders Dem	9.7
Democrat	9.5
Independent	9.2
Republican	9.5
Trump Rep	9.5
Very Engaged	9.6
Some Eng	9.5
Not Very Eng	9.0
Not Engaged	8.3
High School	9.5
Some Post	9.3
College Deg	9.5
18-29	9.2
30-39	9.3
40-49	9.5
50-64	9.6
65+	9.4
Male	9.2
Female	9.6

1	9.5
2	9.6
3	9.8
4	9.6
5	9.7
6	9.5
7	9.6
8	8.8
9	9.5
10	8.9
11	9.2
12	8.8
13	9.4
14	9.9

20. The redistricting process should be transparent allowing all citizens to see how decisions are being made and have a voice in those decisions.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	9.6
Lean Dem	9.4
Independent	9.0
Lean GOP	9.7
Strong GOP	9.6
Sanders Dem	9.8
Democrat	9.5
Independent	9.1
Republican	9.4
Trump Rep	9.7
Very Engaged	9.5
Some Eng	9.6
Not Very Eng	9.1
Not Engaged	8.5
High School	9.5
Some Post	9.5
College Deg	9.4
18-29	9.2
30-39	9.2
40-49	9.6
50-64	9.6
65+	9.5
Male	9.3
Female	9.6

1	9.5
2	9.3
3	9.8
4	9.3
5	9.8
6	9.6
7	9.4
8	9.2
9	9.2
10	9.3
11	9.1
12	9.0
13	9.8
14	9.8

21. The redistricting process should allow for citizen input.

	SCORE
Strong Dem	9.5
Lean Dem	8.8
Independent	8.9
Lean GOP	9.7
Strong GOP	9.5
Sanders Dem	9.6
Democrat	9.4
Independent	8.8
Republican	9.3
Trump Rep	9.7
Very Engaged	9.3
Some Eng	9.4
Not Very Eng	9.1
Not Engaged	8.6
High School	9.6
Some Post	9.3
College Deg	9.2
18-29	8.8
30-39	9.0
40-49	9.6
50-64	9.6
65+	9.4
Male	9.1
Female	9.5

1	9.4
2	9.1
3	9.5
4	9.3
5	9.9
6	9.3
7	9.4
8	8.6
9	9.2
10	9.3
11	9.0
12	8.8
13	9.7
14	9.8

22. Now that you have heard a little bit more about the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission, would you say you will pay very close attention, some attention, not too much attention or no attention at all to their work?

	Very Close	Some Attention	Not Too Much	No Attention At All
Strong Dem	43.3%	51.5%	1.5%	1.5%
Lean Dem	37.9%	56.9%	5.2%	0.0%
Independent	39.5%	51.3%	3.4%	5.9%
Lean GOP	60.4%	35.8%	1.9%	1.9%
Strong GOP	27.0%	55.3%	9.4%	5.7%
Sanders Dem	42.0%	52.0%	0.0%	6.0%
Democrat	44.7%	50.0%	2.4%	0.6%
Independent	37.1%	53.6%	4.0%	4.6%
Republican	29.6%	58.3%	7.0%	5.2%
Trump Rep	40.0%	42.4%	10.6%	3.5%
Very Engaged	56.4%	39.9%	0.6%	1.2%
Some Eng	33.0%	58.5%	2.6%	4.7%
Not Very Eng	24.6%	60.0%	12.3%	3.1%
Not Engaged	24.0%	24.0%	36.0%	12.0%
High School	35.9%	50.3%	6.5%	3.9%
Some Post	37.9%	53.3%	5.1%	3.7%
College Deg	40.9%	51.3%	2.6%	3.9%
18-29	18.8%	60.0%	7.1%	9.4%
30-39	29.6%	65.3%	3.1%	2.0%
40-49	38.2%	50.9%	4.5%	4.5%
50-64	44.4%	47.8%	4.4%	3.3%
65+	51.2%	41.7%	3.9%	1.6%
Male	36.0%	53.7%	2.0%	6.0%
Female	41.3%	49.7%	7.0%	1.7%

1	54.9%	41.2%	2.0%	2.0%
2	35.0%	47.5%	5.0%	10.0%
3	33.3%	61.9%	4.8%	0.0%
4	21.9%	65.6%	0.0%	12.5%
5	35.7%	61.9%	2.4%	0.0%
6	48.8%	41.9%	2.3%	2.3%
7	53.1%	42.9%	4.1%	0.0%
8	30.6%	63.9%	2.8%	2.8%
9	39.4%	48.5%	12.1%	0.0%
10	25.0%	64.6%	2.1%	8.3%
11	33.3%	52.1%	14.6%	0.0%
12	33.3%	52.4%	7.1%	7.1%
13	34.5%	45.5%	3.6%	7.3%
14	56.4%	41.0%	0.0%	2.6%

23. I am going to read you several ways that Michigan citizens can become engaged in the redistricting process. For each, please tell me if you think that is a way you might become engaged in the redistricting process.

	News	Watching	Questions/Comments	Attending	Website	Nothing
Strong Dem	89.7%	38.1%	51.5%	43.8%	79.9%	0.0%
Lean Dem	87.9%	53.4%	55.2%	36.2%	72.4%	3.4%
Independent	83.2%	37.8%	50.4%	52.1%	79.0%	1.7%
Lean GOP	84.9%	56.6%	60.4%	62.3%	83.0%	0.0%
Strong GOP	78.0%	37.1%	45.9%	43.4%	79.2%	1.9%
Sanders Dem	90.0%	48.0%	52.0%	46.0%	76.0%	0.0%
Democrat	89.4%	37.6%	50.0%	43.5%	79.4%	0.0%
Independent	83.4%	39.1%	52.3%	49.7%	79.5%	2.6%
Republican	84.3%	33.9%	40.0%	40.0%	74.8%	1.7%
Trump Rep	75.3%	51.8%	56.5%	51.8%	83.5%	0.0%
Very Engaged	82.8%	54.0%	58.9%	59.5%	82.2%	0.0%
Some Eng	87.1%	38.3%	50.3%	44.2%	78.7%	2.0%
Not Very Eng	78.5%	32.3%	47.7%	26.2%	78.5%	3.1%
Not Engaged	64.0%	12.0%	20.0%	40.0%	64.0%	0.0
High School	89.5%	41.2%	48.4%	43.8%	77.1%	0.7%
Some Post	75.2%	43.5%	53.3%	51.4%	78.0%	1.9%
College Deg	88.8%	39.7%	49.6%	41.8%	81.5%	1.7%
18-29	85.9%	31.8%	28.2%	25.9%	72.9%	5.9%
30-39	86.7%	48.0%	49.0%	49.0%	76.5%	0.0%
40-49	81.8%	39.1%	58.2%	34.5%	86.4%	2.7%
50-64	80.0%	46.1%	57.2%	53.3%	88.3%	0.0%
65+	89.0%	37.8%	51.2%	55.9%	66.1%	0.8%
Male	82.7%	36.3%	44.7%	43.7%	79.7%	2.7%
Female	85.7%	46.3%	56.7%	48.0%	78.7%	0.3%

1	84.3%	47.1%	52.9%	41.0%	80.4%	0.0%
2	82.5%	45.0%	47.5%	55.0%	65.0%	5.0%
3	76.2%	57.1%	45.2%	45.2%	88.1%	2.4%
4	90.6%	37.5%	37.5%	40.6%	68.8%	0.0%
5	81.0%	54.8%	73.8%	64.3%	73.8%	0.0%
6	81.4%	48.8%	67.4%	51.2%	90.7%	2.3%
7	95.9%	36.7%	42.9%	40.8%	81.6%	0.0%
8	75.0%	36.1%	44.4%	44.4%	86.1%	2.8%
9	93.9%	39.4%	57.6%	42.4%	87.9%	0.0%
10	75.0%	33.3%	43.8%	50.0%	85.4%	0.0%
11	93.8%	39.6%	39.6%	35.4%	70.8%	4.2%
12	88.1%	21.4%	54.8%	40.5%	83.3%	0.0%
13	80.0%	38.2%	49.1%	45.5%	61.8%	3.6%
14	82.1%	43.6%	53.8%	33.3%	89.7%	0.0%

24. Would you say your participation in the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission will or will not have an impact on the commission's work?

	Will	Will Not
Strong Dem	55.7%	27.3%
Lean Dem	53.4%	15.5%
Independent	45.4%	31.9%
Lean GOP	49.1%	26.4%
Strong GOP	40.9%	40.9%
Sanders Dem	56.0%	22.0%
Democrat	52.9%	25.9%
Independent	51.7%	27.8%
Republican	43.5%	43.5%
Trump Rep	35.3%	32.9%
Very Engaged	55.2%	24.5%
Some Eng	45.6%	34.2%
Not Very Eng	46.2%	29.2%
Not Engaged	32.0%	44.0%
High School	42.5%	28.1%
Some Post	53.3%	27.6%
College Deg	47.4%	36.2%
18-29	62.4%	10.6%
30-39	52.0%	33.7%
40-49	48.2%	32.7%
50-64	46.1%	31.7%
65+	38.6%	40.9%
Male	44.3%	34.0%
Female	52.0%	28.3%

1	45.1%	33.3%
2	52.5%	32.5%
3	33.3%	42.9%
4	37.5%	28.1%
5	59.5%	31.0%
6	62.8%	23.3%
7	53.1%	34.7%
8	38.9%	36.1%
9	60.6%	24.2%
10	39.6%	41.7%
11	43.8%	35.4%
12	42.9%	35.7%
13	49.1%	14.5%
14	56.4%	23.1%

25. And what is the SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT change you expect from the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission?

	Fair	No Advantage	Transparent	Involvement	Communities	No Politicians	
Strong Dem	29.4%	23.7%	3.6%	6.7%	6.2%	3.1%	
Lean Dem	27.6%	19.0%	3.4%	8.6%	12.1%	1.7%	
Independent	19.3%	18.5%	16.8%	15.1%	2.5%	4.2%	
Lean GOP	28.3%	15.1%	5.7%	13.2%	5.7%	9.4%	
Strong GOP	27.7%	13.2%	9.4%	5.7%	1.9%	2.5%	
Sanders Dem	22.0%	28.0%	2.0%	6.0%	8.0%	2.0%	
Democrat	30.0%	19.4%	4.7%	7.1%	7.1%	3.5%	
Independent	21.9%	25.2%	13.2%	14.6%	3.3%	3.3%	
Republican	33.9%	13.9%	5.2%	8.7%	0.9%	4.3%	
Trump Rep	20.0%	8.2%	10.6%	4.7%	7.1%	4.7%	*8.2%. No Change
Very Engaged	25.8%	16.6%	7.4%	8.0%	7.4%	3.1%	
Some Eng	27.8%	20.8%	10.2%	8.2%	4.1%	2.0%	
Not Very Eng	20.0%	13.8%	1.5%	16.9%	3.1%	13.8%	
Not Engaged	36.0%	4.0%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
High School	21.6%	7.8%	4.6%	11.1%	3.9%	3.3%	
Some Post	31.3%	15.4%	10.3%	8.9%	5.1%	3.7%	
College Deg	25.4%	27.2%	8.2%	8.2%	4.3%	3.4%	
18-29	15.3%	16.5%	7.1%	9.4%	0.0%	7.1%	
30-39	28.6%	18.4%	10.2%	15.3%	6.1%	0.0%	
40-49	20.0%	28.2%	6.4%	7.3%	5.5%	3.6%	
50-64	32.2%	13.9%	6.1%	8.3%	5.6%	3.3%	
65+	29.9%	15.7%	11.0%	7.1%	4.7%	3.9%	
Male	29.7%	18.7%	9.0%	7.3%	4.3%	5.0%	
Female	23.3%	17.3%	7.0%	11.0%	5.0%	2.0%	

1	11.8%	31.4%	7.8%	5.9%	11.8%	5.9%
2	30.0%	22.5%	7.5%	10.0%	2.5%	5.0%
3	23.8%	23.8%	16.7%	2.4%	4.8%	2.4%
4	21.9%	15.6%	12.5%	12.5%	3.1%	0.0%
5	33.3%	4.8%	7.1%	7.1%	11.9%	0.0%
6	34.9%	16.3%	9.3%	7.0%	2.3%	2.3%
7	12.2%	30.6%	2.0%	8.2%	2.0%	0.0%
8	27.8%	22.2%	11.1%	2.8%	8.3%	2.8%
9	18.2%	27.3%	3.0%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%
10	35.4%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%	2.1%	2.1%
11	43.8%	14.6%	12.5%	12.5%	0.0%	6.3%
12	28.6%	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	2.4%	2.4%
13	21.8%	5.5%	5.5%	14.5%	1.8%	5.5%
14	28.2%	10.3%	2.6%	5.1%	12.8%	12.8%

26. And what would be the best way to provide you information about the redistricting process? [READ 1-6]

	Email	Text	Website	Facebook	News	Not Interested
Strong Dem	28.9%	6.2%	20.6%	9.8%	17.5%	12.4%
Lean Dem	37.9%	1.7%	17.2%	1.7%	29.3%	6.9%
Independent	20.2%	6.7%	22.7%	10.9%	21.8%	13.4%
Lean GOP	30.2%	22.6%	15.1%	3.8%	13.2%	11.3%
Strong GOP	22.6%	8.2%	14.5%	6.3%	26.4%	18.9%
Sanders Dem	42.0%	14.0%	20.0%	2.0%	8.0%	14.0%
Democrat	26.5%	4.1%	20.6%	8.8%	24.1%	11.2%
Independent	25.2%	6.6%	17.9%	9.3%	23.2%	13.2%
Republican	26.1%	8.7%	16.5%	6.1%	20.0%	18.3%
Trump Rep	16.5%	15.3%	17.6%	8.2%	27.1%	12.9%
Very Engaged	32.5%	6.1%	20.2%	11.0%	20.2%	7.4%
Some Eng	25.7%	9.4%	20.8%	6.7%	18.7%	13.2%
Not Very Eng	13.8%	6.2%	4.6%	7.7%	38.5%	27.7%
Not Engaged	4.0%	4.0%	12.0%	0.0%	36.0%	44.0%
High School	20.9%	14.4%	11.8%	7.8%	25.5%	15.7%
Some Post	27.6%	4.7%	18.7%	6.5%	21.5%	15.9%
College Deg	27.6%	6.5%	22.4%	8.6%	19.8%	12.1%
18-29	35.3%	2.4%	14.1%	0.0%	12.9%	28.2%
30-39	22.4%	11.2%	24.5%	11.2%	10.2%	16.3%
40-49	29.1%	6.4%	23.6%	16.4%	16.4%	8.2%
50-64	24.4%	12.2%	18.9%	5.0%	22.8%	11.7%
65+	22.0%	3.9%	11.0%	6.3%	40.2%	12.6%
Male	27.7%	7.3%	18.0%	6.7%	20.0%	16.7%
Female	24.3%	8.3%	18.7%	8.7%	23.7%	12.0%

1	15.7%	7.8%	21.6%	15.7%	15.7%	19.6%
2	42.5%	7.5%	25.0%	0.0%	12.5%	12.5%
3	19.0%	4.8%	19.0%	16.7%	28.6%	11.9%
4	31.3%	9.4%	15.6%	0.0%	28.1%	15.6%
5	31.0%	14.3%	9.5%	0.0%	14.3%	18.6%
6	25.6%	11.6%	16.3%	14.0%	18.6%	9.3%
7	34.7%	6.1%	24.5%	2.0%	30.6%	2.0%
8	33.3%	8.3%	22.2%	5.6%	11.1%	16.7%
9	39.4%	6.1%	15.2%	9.1%	6.1%	18.2%
10	8.3%	4.2%	37.5%	10.4%	12.5%	2.9%
11	18.8%	8.3%	16.7%	4.2%	25.0%	20.8%
12	14.3%	4.8%	11.9%	4.8%	42.9%	19.0%
13	32.7%	7.3%	10.9%	0.0%	30.9%	3.6%
14	25.6%	10.3%	7.7%	25.6%	23.1%	7.7%

APPENDIX A: QUESTION 2
AND WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD?

<u>AND WHAT HAVE YOU SEEN OR HEARD?</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Percent</u>
I applied for it.	2	1.4 %
Just about them talking about doing the districting, but I don't know where the boundaries will be.	1	0.7 %
A commission having the authority to draw district boundaries.	1	0.7 %
A new group for redistricting on the news.	1	0.7 %
Don't know.	9	6.2 %
Just that they were taking applications to make a committee.	2	1.4 %
The idea that gerrymandering needs to stop.	1	0.7 %
The process and drawing of the people that applied, almost like the lottery process.	1	0.7 %
They needed to start finding people.	1	0.7 %
Just that they had been formed.	3	2.1 %
How they plan on doing it.	1	0.7 %
Just hearing about a commission.	1	0.7 %
Just what you told me.	1	0.7 %
They would allow more say from the communities.	1	0.7 %
Just that it was a citizens committee and it was every 10 years.	1	0.7 %
Only I was aware of its existence and that there were Republicans, Democrats, and Independents.	1	0.7 %
I remember it from the election.	1	0.7 %
Attending a zoom meeting they've been practicing.	2	1.4 %
I just saw something about it on the news.	1	0.7 %
I tried to apply to be a member myself.	1	0.7 %
Just that it existed and individual citizens would draw the lines to get rid of gerrymandering.	1	0.7 %
They were trying to pass it into law.	1	0.7 %

Something about changing district lines.	1	0.7 %
I heard something on NPR last year about it.	4	2.7 %
They asked people if they wanted to be on the commission about a year ago.	1	0.7 %
On Facebook they were accepting applications.	2	1.4 %
That it exists.	3	2.1 %
I heard it was formed.	1	0.7 %
People getting signatures for this a couple years ago outside the store.	2	1.4 %
I know the change happened and that's all I know.	1	0.7 %
How it works.	1	0.7 %
I've heard that it passed and now some politicians want to void it or bypass it.	2	1.4 %
I heard about it when I voted on in.	1	0.7 %
Four Democrats, four Republicans, and five Independents.	1	0.7 %
I knew about them trying to put together members.	1	0.7 %
I voted on the bill.	1	0.7 %
I heard about the application process.	2	1.4 %
Just that they're rewriting the districts.	2	1.4 %
I'm aware of how it's set up.	1	0.7 %
I just read about it in the newspaper, that it makes elections more fairly so certain groups aren't dominating.	1	0.7 %
I heard about redistricting, but not about the progress.	1	0.7 %
Articles about it online but I can't remember what they said.	1	0.7 %
I heard that they're being challenged in courts.	1	0.7 %
That it was voted in November.	1	0.7 %
They are working but need more time, I saw it on the news today and thought about applying to be on the commission.	1	0.7 %
Just that some people are opposing it and saying some districts will get more votes.	1	0.7 %

A basic explanation on TV of what they do.	1	0.7 %
It's split with 4 Republicans and 4 Democrats and 5 Independents.	2	1.4 %
I read about it when it was voted on.	3	2.1 %
They'll make it more fair and stop gerrymandering.	1	0.7 %
It's an amendment to eliminate gerrymandering.	1	0.7 %
They want to redistrict it.	1	0.7 %
The appointment process for the commission.	2	1.4 %
That they changed it and it changed where one party won't have an advantage.	1	0.7 %
Just about how it was going to be set.	1	0.7 %
That they're taking on the responsibility of representing all of us.	1	0.7 %
Just that they were thinking about doing it.	1	0.7 %
I teach at a school and we talked about it before.	2	1.4 %
Just heard a little on the news but I couldn't make sense of it.	1	0.7 %
That it has been setup in 2018.	3	2.1 %
Online I saw about it, that we have a commission set up now.	1	0.7 %
Just that it was happening.	1	0.7 %
It was going to be formed.	1	0.7 %
It would reduce the gerrymandering.	1	0.7 %
That they've formed.	1	0.7 %
That they were putting a team together.	2	1.4 %
Just that they exist.	3	2.1 %
Coming up with the commission.	1	0.7 %
I filled out a door to door application to support it about a year ago.	1	0.7 %
Redistricting is being delayed because they're waiting on census results.	1	0.7 %
The idea of making things fair.	1	0.7 %
I hear they can't do their job due to the census not		

being available.	1	0.7 %
The general overview of the plan.	2	1.4 %
It's made up of citizens.	2	1.4 %
Nothing more than it was created.	1	0.7 %
The outlines of the make up of the committee with both Democrat and Republican citizens.	1	0.7 %
I saw information about how citizens get involved in it to make decisions.	1	0.7 %
A podcast with general information.	1	0.7 %
That they were put together.	2	1.4 %
That it's to determine the district lines for the state senate and house races, made up of 4 Democrats, 4 Republicans, and 5 non affiliated.	1	0.7 %
All the representatives have been appointed and they're just waiting for census data to be completed.	1	0.7 %
I heard it exists and that they started it.	1	0.7 %
I applied.	1	0.7 %
To help stop gerrymandering, they're trying to draw lines with the same interests, it's inspired after Ohio's system and Arizona.	1	0.7 %
There are going to be 4 Republicans, 4 Democrats, and 5 Independents.	2	1.4 %
I got mail asking me if I wanted to apply.	2	1.4 %
They were supposed to have greater visibility.	1	0.7 %
Whitmer worked with Chatfield to set it up to get her into next term.	2	1.4 %
That they're changing district lines.	2	1.4 %
People could lie and say they're independent but they won't be.	2	1.4 %
Just that it exists.	1	0.7 %
The passing of the proposal.	2	1.4 %
Democrats are blaming Republicans for gerrymandering and visa versa.	1	0.7 %

Something in the news.	1	0.7 %
Just that this was the process.	1	0.7 %
That it exists and what it is.	1	0.7 %
News flashes on my phone.	3	2.1 %
Just that it's independent and was being talked about.	3	2.1 %
How it's done.	1	0.7 %
<u>That they are drawing lines for the districts.</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>0.7 %</u>
Total	146	100.0 %

APPENDIX B: QUESTION 5

AND WHY WOULD YOU SAY YOUR OPINIONS IS POSITIVE?

5. And why would you say your opinion is positive?	Number	Percent
Because the old way wasn't fair.	1	1.6 %
The independence of the choice is important so it's non-partisan.	2	3.3 %
I think it's time to change the way the people are divided in districts.	1	1.6 %
The districts as they are are not fair, it's great to have independent commission to draw the lines.	1	1.6 %
We need to be non-partisan.	1	1.6 %
What they are doing is good, but taking a long time.	1	1.6 %
They could reshape the districts in a good way.	1	1.6 %
It gives hope that there will be change.	1	1.6 %
I believe gerrymandering has caused problems in Michigan and this commission is a good way to correct it.	1	1.6 %
It eliminates gerrymandering.	1	1.6 %
It needs to be changed.	1	1.6 %
It includes all parties and with independents they will be less one sided.	1	1.6 %
I don't think very highly of politicians.	1	1.6 %
I'm super stoked about getting rid of gerrymandering.	2	3.3 %
I don't like gerrymandering.	2	3.3 %
It's an effort not to be so partisan.	1	1.6 %
It would be a more equitable solution.	1	1.6 %
There's too much gerrymandering going on in our state.	2	3.3 %
It takes it out of the hands of the legislature.	1	1.6 %
I agree there needs to be an impartial redistricting process.	1	1.6 %

Certain political groups can't dominate certain areas.	1	1.6 %
They're trying to fix the districts.	1	1.6 %
It's spread out equally for all parties.	1	1.6 %
I don't think gerrymandering is good for Democracy.	1	1.6 %
So one party wouldn't have an advantage over the other.	1	1.6 %
It's a good thing, what they're doing.	2	3.3 %
I'm assuming it will help fix things.	1	1.6 %
I'm opposed to gerrymandering.	1	1.6 %
More accurately reflect the voting and constituents in the district.	1	1.6 %
It gives a fair representation of everyone.	2	3.3 %
I figure we would get equal Republicans, Democrats, and Independents.	1	1.6 %
Because right now it's skewed to whatever party in power skews it.	1	1.6 %
It should be done by citizens.	1	1.6 %
Hoping they'll eliminate gerrymandering.	2	3.3 %
It will get more opinions from the people as opposed to the government.	3	4.9 %
It will make elections more reliable.	1	1.6 %
They're doing a job that has to be done.	1	1.6 %
I want it to be more fair with better demographics.	2	3.3 %
It makes sense to go with citizens.	2	3.3 %
Not a fan of gerrymandering.	1	1.6 %
It's very necessary that our lines meet the district lines in representation.	1	1.6 %
It seems like a fair way to do it.	1	1.6 %
Gerrymandering is bad and needs to go away.	1	1.6 %
Refused.	1	1.6 %
I think it will be able to establish fair and equal districts across the state so everyone's vote will count equally.	1	1.6 %
It's independent and takes partisanship out of decision		

making.	1	1.6 %
It's important to take politics out of the equation.	2	3.3 %
They're trying to fix things for the better.	1	1.6 %
<u>The independence of the process.</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>1.6 %</u>
Total	61	100.0 %

APPENDIX C: QUESTION 6

AND WHY WOULD YOU SAY YOUR OPINION IS NEGATIVE?

6. And why would you say your opinion is negative?	Number	Percent
I'm skeptical about where boundaries will be.	1	5.3 %
I don't want redistricting.	1	5.3 %
I think they're trying to redistrict to suit their purposes.	1	5.3 %
I don't think it should have been done at all, it leaves the system at risk.	1	5.3 %
It's going to be the elites of the parties who get chosen to be on the commission.	1	5.3 %
It doesn't change anything.	1	5.3 %
People won't like the districting.	2	10.5 %
Because it's skewed toward the liberal side.	1	5.3 %
I don't trust the process.	2	10.5 %
Because of the lack of transparency.	1	5.3 %
There is no transparency as it should be.	2	10.5 %
It's totally corrupt and they're furthering the corruption while pretending not to.	2	10.5 %
It will redistrict into someone's favor.	2	10.5 %
The Democrats pushed it through to redistrict to their advantage.	1	5.3 %
Total	19	100.0 %

APPENDIX D: QUESTION 8

AND WHY WOULD YOU SAY IT HAS NOT BEEN TRANSPARENT?

8. And why would you say it has not been transparent?	Number	Percent
I don't know where the boundaries are.	1	8.3 %
They don't put out enough information.	1	8.3 %
We don't hear any information coming out of it.	1	8.3 %
It hasn't been widely publicized.	1	8.3 %
Who they pick and how they pick independent voters isn't fair.	1	8.3 %
I haven't heard about it any place, it's their job to contact us and they haven't.	1	8.3 %
Whitmer controls the information going in and out.	2	16.7 %
They're doing things behind the scenes, they allowed the voting machines to be wiped in the recent election, if they were transparent why would they allow that to happen, Trump won.	2	16.7 %
I don't believe them overall.	2	16.7 %
Total	12	100.0 %

APPENDIX E: QUESTION 23

OR IS THERE ANOTHER WAY YOU MIGHT BECOME ENGAGED?

Or is there another way you might become engaged?	Number	Percent
I tried to join but didn't get picked.	2	3.6 %
Local activity tied with our election.	1	1.8 %
Emails.	1	1.8 %
Post live recordings on YouTube.	1	1.8 %
Social media.	18	32.1 %
Zoom meetings.	1	1.8 %
Watching about it on TV.	1	1.8 %
If I was paid.	4	7.1 %
Public meeting formats.	1	1.8 %
Text messages.	1	1.8 %
Word of mouth.	3	5.4 %
Reaching out to people I know.	1	1.8 %
Other virtual or online options.	1	1.8 %
Activist letters.	2	3.6 %
Voting on processes.	1	1.8 %
Sent through email.	1	1.8 %
I'd love to get some emails.	1	1.8 %
Mailers.	1	1.8 %
Through the mail.	1	1.8 %
Contacting my local representation.	1	1.8 %
Email.	1	1.8 %
Online volunteering.	1	1.8 %
Recordings on social media.	1	1.8 %
Grass roots organizations.	1	1.8 %
Phone call reminders about meetings.	2	3.6 %
Contact with elected representatives.	1	1.8 %
Just doing my own research.	1	1.8 %

Surveys.	1	1.8 %
Weekly email updates.	3	5.4 %
Total	56	100.0 %

APPENDIX F: QUESTION 24

AND WHY WON'T YOU ENGAGE?

AND WHY WOULD YOU NOT PARTICIPATE?

	Number	Percent
Individual impact will not be where it's at.	2	1.1 %
I think most people aren't aware and I don't have enough power in just myself to cause change.	1	0.5 %
I'm over 80 and don't have enough energy to get too involved.	1	0.5 %
It seems like there's always another law out there the general public doesn't know about.	1	0.5 %
I'm not confident with the number of people in Michigan that my single self would be influential.	1	0.5 %
My voice is a little small.	3	1.6 %
I'm a nobody, no one is going to listen to me.	1	0.5 %
Don't know.	18	9.6 %
They don't listen to what people want.	2	1.1 %
The government doesn't represent what the citizens want.	2	1.1 %
I probably would read and not state my opinion.	2	1.1 %
I'm only one voice out of many.	3	1.6 %
Because they aren't doing enough.	1	0.5 %
I doubt they'll find five independents and we won't be equal.	1	0.5 %
I don't think I would get that involved that it would make an impact.	1	0.5 %
It's too political for me.	1	0.5 %
Too many people giving input to keep up.	1	0.5 %
They will do what they want.	1	0.5 %
The amount of time is limited, I'm busy with work.	1	0.5 %
I won't be very involved.	1	0.5 %

I won't get that involved.	1	0.5 %
I won't have time to engage them.	1	0.5 %
One citizen won't make a change.	2	1.1 %
I would just be keeping up by watching on TV.	1	0.5 %
I'm not a vital person.	1	0.5 %
I'm not political.	1	0.5 %
I'm not sure my single voice would have much impact.	2	1.1 %
I'm in doubt that one voice would matter all that much.	1	0.5 %
A sole person won't influence the outcome.	1	0.5 %
They aren't going to listen to me anyway.	1	0.5 %
I'm just one person.	1	0.5 %
I don't have enough time to engage it in a way that will make a difference.	1	0.5 %
I mostly see myself just observing and actively speaking out.	2	1.1 %
My participation would be reading about it, not being personally involved in it.	1	0.5 %
I wouldn't go to meetings or visit the website so I wouldn't be communicating.	2	1.1 %
I will observe only.	1	0.5 %
I don't know how much I'll participate.	1	0.5 %
I'll be following it and reading but not engaged in it.	1	0.5 %
I don't have the time to do it.	1	0.5 %
Politicians don't like to listen to citizens.	1	0.5 %
I won't be interacting with them, I have a lot of priorities right now.	2	1.1 %
I'm 80 years old so I don't know how engaged I'll be, I'll just pay attention.	1	0.5 %
I believe in this new process and trust it.	1	0.5 %
It's unlikely that I'd make a public comment to change the process.	1	0.5 %
I'm not sure 1 person would make that big of a difference.	1	0.5 %

There's a lot of things and opinions to consider, my voice wouldn't stand out.	2	1.1 %
I'm not going to be participating enough to make an impact.	1	0.5 %
Lack of time.	1	0.5 %
I don't know how much I'll get involved.	1	0.5 %
I don't have a lot of time.	1	0.5 %
I don't know how things are set up.	1	0.5 %
It won't make any difference.	1	0.5 %
I would be one voice of so many.	1	0.5 %
I've got other things to worry about.	2	1.1 %
Going to move out of state.	1	0.5 %
My opinion won't change anything, they already decided on it.	2	1.1 %
I find most politicians to be trustworthy.	2	1.1 %
I'm just one individual.	1	0.5 %
It's just me, they aren't going to listen to me.	3	1.6 %
I just don't think it would.	2	1.1 %
I don't feel I'm heavily impacted by their decisions.	2	1.1 %
They're going to do what they want to do anyway.	1	0.5 %
I'm one, person it needs to be a community effort.	1	0.5 %
Unless I'm there arguing my point I don't see how it will effect anything and I won't be.	1	0.5 %
I have little time with 3 small children.	2	1.1 %
It's a drop in the bucket.	1	0.5 %
I just don't think it would matter.	1	0.5 %
I'd have to do more research.	2	1.1 %
All politicians have their own agenda so they won't really listen.	2	1.1 %
I don't believe as a citizen that my voice is heard anyway.	1	0.5 %
I don't think what I would say would make a difference.	1	0.5 %
I'm not uncomfortable with the way the districts are		

drawn now.	1	0.5 %
One person can't make that big of a change.	4	2.1 %
I wouldn't become politically involved.	1	0.5 %
They do what they want to do anyway.	1	0.5 %
No time.	2	1.1 %
I'm only one voice out of millions.	1	0.5 %
I won't be involved at all.	1	0.5 %
I'm not able to participate.	1	0.5 %
I'm not that politically involved.	1	0.5 %
I won't be giving input in that way.	1	0.5 %
Because I'm more just going to watch what they're doing, not becoming engaged.	1	0.5 %
I have no original ideas to offer.	1	0.5 %
The time involved.	2	1.1 %
I think we put the committee in charge for a reason and I trust they don't need my input.	1	0.5 %
They don't listen to me anyway.	2	1.1 %
They do what they want to do.	1	0.5 %
I'm too old for my opinion to count.	1	0.5 %
I don't have time to participate much.	2	1.1 %
I don't expect to be involved with the commission.	1	0.5 %
Every time we do participate it goes the exact opposite.	2	1.1 %
It's corrupt.	2	1.1 %
They don't care about my opinion.	2	1.1 %
They aren't going to care what I say.	1	0.5 %
They're going to choose to do what they want to do.	2	1.1 %
Districts have hurt democracy, it shouldn't be broken up into districts.	1	0.5 %
They don't care and I don't trust that it will really be independent.	1	0.5 %
I don't know how you'd get that to work properly.	1	0.5 %
The people aren't selected for the commission from up here.	2	1.1 %

I don't trust it.	3	1.6 %
There's too much corruption going on that it won't make a difference.	1	0.5 %
I don't feel political people listen to us.	2	1.1 %
It's going to be ultimately up to the political leaders.	1	0.5 %
I have little faith in the way the state runs.	1	0.5 %
I'm not interested enough.	2	1.1 %
I'm just a plain citizen.	3	1.6 %
I'm just one person and unlikely to be vocal about it.	1	0.5 %
I'm only one person.	1	0.5 %
There will be too many citizens giving input.	2	1.1 %
I just don't feel I will be heard.	1	0.5 %
I think they're going to do what they're going to do anyway.	1	0.5 %
They're going to do what they want no matter what I say.	1	0.5 %
I won't put enough time into it.	1	0.5 %
I'm busy.	2	1.1 %
I'm disabled.	1	0.5 %
I'm not going to be active at all.	3	1.6 %
I would just listen for information.	2	1.1 %
All I would do is complain.	1	0.5 %
I'm just skeptical that I'd be able to.	1	0.5 %
I've become so disenfranchised with politics that it's scary.	1	0.5 %
We're stuck in a two party system.	1	0.5 %
I don't know anything about it so I don't believe I'll have anything much to offer.	1	0.5 %
I don't really follow this type of stuff so I won't be interacting in any significant way.	1	0.5 %
<hr/> Total	187	100.0 %

APPENDIX G: QUESTION 25

WHAT IS THE SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT CHANGE YOU EXPECT?

25. And what is the SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT change you expect from the Michigan Independent Citizens' Redistricting Commission?

	Number	Percent
A fair division.	1	0.2 %
A fair way to draw district lines.	1	0.2 %
A fairer district map.	2	0.3 %
A more equal level of voters.	1	0.2 %
A more fair voting system.	1	0.2 %
Being fair in how we redistrict.	1	0.2 %
Better fair districts.	2	0.3 %
Bigger districts for voting.	1	0.2 %
Correcting problems with the districts so that they're fair.	1	0.2 %
Districts are created fairly.	1	0.2 %
Districts are separated fairly.	1	0.2 %
Districts will be more fairly distributed.	1	0.2 %
Everything being fair.	1	0.2 %
Fair and balanced districting.	1	0.2 %
Fair and equal voting districts.	2	0.3 %
Fair distribution.	2	0.3 %
Fair district lines.	1	0.2 %
Fair districting.	4	0.7 %
Fair districts.	3	0.5 %
Fair drawing of districts.	2	0.3 %
Fair redistricting.	4	0.7 %
Fair voting laws.	3	0.5 %
Fair zoning, not leaning either way.	3	0.5 %
Fairness across the board.	1	0.2 %

Fairness in boundaries.	1	0.2 %
Fairness in the districts.	1	0.2 %
Fairness in the process without the citizens doing it, I simply don't trust them.	2	0.3 %
Fairness to everybody.	2	0.3 %
Fairness.	18	3.0 %
For districts to be fair.	2	0.3 %
For things to be fair.	1	0.2 %
Having fair boundaries.	1	0.2 %
Having fair districts.	1	0.2 %
Hopefully more fair lines will be drawn.	2	0.3 %
I hope the new districts are fair.	3	0.5 %
I hope they'll be fair to everyone.	1	0.2 %
I just want to keep things fair.	1	0.2 %
I'd like to see square shaped districts closer in relation to how counties are divided.	1	0.2 %
If they can redistrict fairly.	1	0.2 %
I'm hoping that it does more things fairly.	1	0.2 %
Improvement in it being fair.	1	0.2 %
It will be fair for all communities.	1	0.2 %
It would be a more fair division of districts.	1	0.2 %
It's fair and square.	2	0.3 %
Just to try to be fair.	1	0.2 %
Justice.	1	0.2 %
Keep it fair.	2	0.3 %
Keep the districts fair.	1	0.2 %
Keeping districts fair.	2	0.3 %
Keeping the districts fair.	1	0.2 %
Keeping things fair.	1	0.2 %
Make it fair.	1	0.2 %
Making logical and fair boundaries.	2	0.3 %
More equally divided.	1	0.2 %
More fair districting.	1	0.2 %

More fair districts.	1	0.2 %
More fair for all voters.	1	0.2 %
More fair.	2	0.3 %
Needs to be fair.	1	0.2 %
New districts that are fair.	1	0.2 %
Not hearing about unfairness any more.	2	0.3 %
Objective redistricting.	1	0.2 %
So that it's more fair and everyone can participate in the process.	1	0.2 %
That districts are drawn fairly.	1	0.2 %
That everything is fair.	2	0.3 %
That everything would be done fairly for everyone.	1	0.2 %
That it will be done fairly.	1	0.2 %
That it's done fair.	1	0.2 %
That it's done fairly.	1	0.2 %
That it's fair.	4	0.7 %
That redistricting is fair.	2	0.3 %
That the districts are fair.	1	0.2 %
That there is fairness in districts.	1	0.2 %
That there would be enough redistricting to eliminate the shape of some districts and go back to more historical voting demographics.	4	0.7 %
That they would be fair to all people on all sides and make the right choice.	1	0.2 %
That things will be fairly done.	2	0.3 %
That things would be done more fairly.	2	0.3 %
The communities it effects are fair.	1	0.2 %
The districts are to be relatively impartial.	1	0.2 %
They will do things fairly.	1	0.2 %
Things are honest and above water.	2	0.3 %
To be fair and equal.	1	0.2 %
To be fair for the citizens.	2	0.3 %
To be fair.	3	0.5 %

To do it fairly.	2	0.3 %
To have fairly represented districting.	1	0.2 %
To make sure things are done fairly.	2	0.3 %
Truly balanced districts.	3	0.5 %
Try to make sure that moving forward all votes are fair.	1	0.2 %
Ultimate fairness.	2	0.3 %
To make the world a better place.	3	0.5 %
Redistricting.	1	0.2 %
An accomplishment of its goals, fair redistricting without being political.	1	0.2 %
An accurate representation of the types of representatives, that they represent their people from their district and that lines are drawn in a fair process so no one political party has the advantage.	2	0.3 %
Balance the political process.	1	0.2 %
Change the partisan bias so people get elected who best represent the entire state.	1	0.2 %
Districts won't be in total control.	1	0.2 %
Each party has equal opportunity to be elected.	2	0.3 %
End of gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
Equal representation of both political parties.	1	0.2 %
Equal representation.	3	0.5 %
Everyone has a fair chance and no one gets an advantage.	1	0.2 %
Fair chance for either party.	2	0.3 %
Fair for all parties.	1	0.2 %
Fair voting.	2	0.3 %
Fairness and no gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
For the equality of representation.	1	0.2 %
Get rid of gerrymandering.	2	0.3 %
Get rid of the gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
Get something done bipartisan.	1	0.2 %
Getting influence from both parties as well as		

independents.	3	0.5 %
Helping to fight gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
Hopefully an end to gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
Hopefully it will be fair to all and not give one political party an advantage.	1	0.2 %
Hopefully no more gerrymandering.	2	0.3 %
Hopefully the recreation of the district boundaries wouldn't cause any biases of any kind.	2	0.3 %
I like to see more bipartisan politics.	2	0.3 %
Impartiality.	1	0.2 %
Keep voting fair in all districts.	1	0.2 %
Keeping it fair, gerrymandering is a problem.	1	0.2 %
Lack of partisan bias in the drawing of district lines.	2	0.3 %
Limit gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
Make it equal across the state.	1	0.2 %
More eyes for less gerrymandering.	2	0.3 %
More fair elections.	1	0.2 %
More fair voting practices.	1	0.2 %
More fair voting situations.	1	0.2 %
No advantages to anyone.	1	0.2 %
No crazy districts that are horribly gerrymandered.	1	0.2 %
No gerrymandering.	3	0.5 %
None partisanship.	1	0.2 %
Not allowing certain politicians or parties to have an advantage by the districting.	1	0.2 %
Not giving electoral advantages based on districts to either side.	2	0.3 %
Not having advantages based on party lines.	2	0.3 %
Not to be totally based on your wealth.	1	0.2 %
Officials not giving an unfair advantage.	1	0.2 %
People not creating districts that benefit themselves.	1	0.2 %
Politicians don't get reelected by redistricting to their advantage.	1	0.2 %

Politicians not getting an advantage.	2	0.3 %
Reduce or eliminate gerrymandering.	2	0.3 %
So it doesn't benefit rich white people and disadvantage minorities.	1	0.2 %
Stop gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
That district lines aren't chopped up so much in order to favor various politicians.	1	0.2 %
That everyone gets a fair chance at voting with no bias.	1	0.2 %
That Gerrymandering is stopped.	1	0.2 %
That gerrymandering is stopped.	4	0.7 %
That it doesn't advantage or disadvantage any one person or group.	1	0.2 %
That it gets districts right, so everyone within the state of Michigan has a voice and it looks at the state as a whole, not just the big cities.	1	0.2 %
That it would be more fair, not one sided by a particular religion, race, or political party.	1	0.2 %
That it's fair and not gerrymandered and people in charge of it are unbiased.	3	0.5 %
That nobody get an advantage in politics.	1	0.2 %
That not one party gets an advantage.	1	0.2 %
That the districts are drawn not on party lines.	1	0.2 %
That there won't be any advantage to one party above another.	1	0.2 %
The elections are fair to both parties.	1	0.2 %
The end of gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
The redefining and making it a level and even playing field for all candidates.	2	0.3 %
Their not going to be able to make wormy shapes to get Democrats or Republicans elected.	3	0.5 %
To eliminate gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
To get rid of gerrymandering.	3	0.5 %
To keep Michigan politics even.	1	0.2 %

To make the districts more fair and not favoring one party over the other.	1	0.2 %
To reduce gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
Voter integrity in terms of making sure elections are fair.	1	0.2 %
Everyone can just get along.	2	0.3 %
Everything is unbiased.	2	0.3 %
To get along with each other.	1	0.2 %
To stop gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
Money for candidates will be distributed evenly.	2	0.3 %
A more clear and straight forward system.	1	0.2 %
Being transparent with the public.	2	0.3 %
Being truthful.	2	0.3 %
Educate the citizens.	2	0.3 %
Fair and open communication about the redistricting process.	1	0.2 %
Fairness, truth, and honesty.	1	0.2 %
General trust and understanding.	3	0.5 %
Get rid of all the gerrymandering.	1	0.2 %
Honesty and transparency.	3	0.5 %
I want honesty and truthfulness.	1	0.2 %
I would hope transparency and logical boundaries.	2	0.3 %
Information made public to the citizens not hiding anything.	1	0.2 %
It will give access to information on redistricting.	1	0.2 %
Just keeping it fair and transparent.	1	0.2 %
Just the transparency.	1	0.2 %
Making corruption and gerrymandering more difficult.	2	0.3 %
More forthcoming about what's going on with the whole process.	1	0.2 %
More honesty and transparency.	1	0.2 %
Once the census comes back show the data so it can match what they're trying to do.	1	0.2 %
So everyone feels elections are fair and not fraudulent.	1	0.2 %

The transparency.	1	0.2 %
To be honest and do what they say they'll do.	2	0.3 %
Transparency and fairness.	1	0.2 %
Transparency and knowing how it works publicly.	1	0.2 %
Transparency with it shared with the majority of the citizens.	1	0.2 %
Transparency with the public as to how these districts are drawn.	2	0.3 %
Transparency with the public.	2	0.3 %
Transparency.	8	1.3 %
You want to make sure that dead people can't vote anymore.	1	0.2 %
Better equality and everyone being involved.	2	0.3 %
Better representation.	1	0.2 %
Everybody having a voice.	1	0.2 %
For them to include everybody and not just particular people.	1	0.2 %
Having everyone's opinions and input about redistricting.	1	0.2 %
I hope they listen to the citizens.	4	0.7 %
Involve citizens in the process.	1	0.2 %
It gives more people a voice instead of just politicians.	2	0.3 %
It's important to have citizen input.	1	0.2 %
Just giving citizens a voice.	1	0.2 %
Let the people have a voice.	2	0.3 %
Listen and hear everyone out on what they feel and believe.	1	0.2 %
Little to no appeal to getting into politics so it's real people listening to real people.	1	0.2 %
Make sure the people are heard.	1	0.2 %
Making sure citizens have control so their voices are heard.	1	0.2 %
Me being represented and from my local area not from Lansing.	1	0.2 %

More citizen participation.	1	0.2 %
That all voices are heard.	2	0.3 %
That citizens are represented.	1	0.2 %
That citizens have input.	1	0.2 %
That everyone in the Michigan voting system is represented.	3	0.5 %
That everyone's opinions are heard.	2	0.3 %
That people have more of a voice.	1	0.2 %
That people's voice is heard and they get to vote for who they want.	3	0.5 %
That the citizens have a voice.	1	0.2 %
That the citizens have input to changes.	1	0.2 %
That they don't gerrymander so people can share their opinions.	3	0.5 %
That we have our voices counted.	1	0.2 %
They will get input from people who are independent.	3	0.5 %
To give the citizens more say in stuff, so we have a voice.	3	0.5 %
To have direct contact with citizens.	2	0.3 %
To hear from the people and get citizen's input.	1	0.2 %
To let citizens voice their opinion more.	1	0.2 %
To listen to the people.	1	0.2 %
To the citizens and away from the politicians.	1	0.2 %
With citizens involved, I expect there to be objectiveness and fairness.	1	0.2 %
Better districts.	1	0.2 %
Districts set up fairly to represent the people.	1	0.2 %
Districts that make sense, neighbors in townships.	1	0.2 %
Give voices to the people of different cultural social backgrounds.	4	0.7 %
I don't want people unfairly treated in the redistricting process.	1	0.2 %
Just make sure it's up to date and more diverse.	1	0.2 %

Keep in line with like interests.	1	0.2 %
Keeping community boundaries for voting.	2	0.3 %
Keeping districts fair so all classes are evenly distributed to prevent entire districts from being all in poverty.	1	0.2 %
Keeping lake area homes in the same district.	3	0.5 %
Making sure our area gets represented properly.	1	0.2 %
Making sure the diverse areas are more equal to less diverse areas.	1	0.2 %
More compact congressional districts.	1	0.2 %
More diversity.	1	0.2 %
Rural areas get better representation.	1	0.2 %
That all people are represented.	1	0.2 %
That the citizens voices wouldn't be weakened.	2	0.3 %
That the drawing of our districts for voting purposes is completely fair and representative of the demographics of the area.	1	0.2 %
That the smaller groups of people have better representation.	1	0.2 %
That we keep our voices united.	2	0.3 %
Get it out of the control of the Republicans.	1	0.2 %
I hope it becomes less political and based on true townships.	1	0.2 %
Keeping redistricting out of the politicians' hands.	1	0.2 %
Less political.	1	0.2 %
New lines are drawn fairly without parties being involved.	1	0.2 %
Non-political districts.	1	0.2 %
Not letting the districting be controlled by politicians.	1	0.2 %
That citizens get to pick without political involvement.	3	0.5 %
That elected politicians don't make the decisions of redistricting.	3	0.5 %
That the redistricting is being done by citizens and not		

politicians.	1	0.2 %
That the voters chose their representatives and not representatives choosing the voters.	1	0.2 %
They'll follow the people, not politicians.	2	0.3 %
To be more effective in the we-the-people eyes and not the politicians' eyes.	1	0.2 %
To take it out of the hands of politicians.	3	0.5 %
All things are kept fair and everybody's vote will count.	1	0.2 %
Better representatives for the public.	2	0.3 %
Better represented districts.	1	0.2 %
Fair district lines for all voters.	1	0.2 %
Hopefully we will get our goal of redistricting and proper representation.	1	0.2 %
It could give independents better representation.	3	0.5 %
More fair representation.	1	0.2 %
Realignment of house seats.	1	0.2 %
That there is equal representation and everything is fair.	2	0.3 %
To be represented fairly.	2	0.3 %
It will help put an end to the dead lock in the Michigan legislature.	1	0.2 %
That the Michigan Legislature will better represent the feelings and beliefs of their constituents.	1	0.2 %
That they represent the citizens.	1	0.2 %
To see more diversity in politics.	2	0.3 %
I expect it to be slated to support Democrats and give them more control.	1	0.2 %
More Democrats getting elected.	1	0.2 %
That Democrats have a better chance at picking up more house seats in the Michigan legislature.	1	0.2 %
That more Democrats will be elected.	2	0.3 %
The state will go blue.	2	0.3 %
Greater conservative voice in Michigan.	1	0.2 %
Having a conservative voice heard.	2	0.3 %

Political mumbo jumbo.	1	0.2 %
Citizens will lose more rights.	2	0.3 %
I don't trust them enough to answer this question.	2	0.3 %
I hope they don't make the districts confusing so I won't know where to go to vote.	2	0.3 %
I hope the courts get involved and say what the commission is doing is unconstitutional.	1	0.2 %
I want them to leave it alone and dissolve the commission.	2	0.3 %
I don't expect anything I want to happen, it's too set in its way.	1	0.2 %
I don't expect anything to change truthfully.	1	0.2 %
I expect no change.	1	0.2 %
I expect nothing.	1	0.2 %
I have no expectations.	1	0.2 %
Not a lot.	2	0.3 %
Same as now, no change.	3	0.5 %
That there won't be a change in the UP.	1	0.2 %
Nothing.	6	1.0 %
I don't know enough about what we are changing from to know what change to expect.	1	0.2 %
Change the car insurance difference by district.	1	0.2 %
Clear up the water problem in Flint.	2	0.3 %
Getting rid of Dominion voting machines.	2	0.3 %
I would hope that it would somehow lower taxes.	1	0.2 %
<u>Don't know.</u>	<u>115</u>	<u>19.2 %</u>
Total	600	100.0 %