

Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) Stakeholder Outreach Meeting

AGENDA ITEMS

- 1:00 p.m. Other Market Options Workgroup
- Review comments on Recommendations document and Appendix A
 - Finalize Recommendations document and Appendix A
 - Next steps
- 2:00 p.m. Forecasting, Fuel Prices, and Reliability Workgroup
- Finalize scenario document
 - Discuss final output of forecasting workgroup
- 3:30 p.m. Filing Requirements Workgroup
- Review feedback received from May 24 meeting
 - Schedule to develop filing requirements
- 4:30 p.m. Adjourn

Other Market Options Workgroup 1:00 p.m.

Workgroup Lead: Nick Evans
Michigan Public Service Commission
517-284-8246
evansn@michigan.gov

Forecasting, Fuel Prices and Reliability Workgroup 2:00 p.m.

Workgroup Lead: Eric Stocking
Michigan Public Service Commission
517-284-8245
stockinge@michigan.gov

Filing Requirements Workgroup 3:30 p.m.

Workgroup Lead: Cathy Cole
Michigan Public Service Commission
517-284-8063
colec1@michigan.gov

IRP Filing Requirements Workgroup

Cathy Cole, MPSC

June 12, 2017



Agenda

- Review feedback from May 24 request
- Discuss stakeholder engagement
- Discuss risk analysis
- Schedule
- Next steps

Thank you for feedback

- Feedback to May 24 request received from
 - Union of Concerned Scientists, Earthjustice, Sierra Club, 5 Lakes Energy, and the Ecology Center
 - Consumers Energy
 - Michigan Environmental Council
 - Upper Peninsula Power Company
 - ACEEE
 - Indiana Michigan Power Company
 - Northern States Power Company
 - DTE Electric

Seeking Input for IRP Filing Requirements

Commission Order in U-15896 dated 5/11/17; P6 -MEC suggests several changes to Section VII.A, which are covered by the IRP process

Provide feedback on requiring these items in IRP application:

- The expected emissions of carbon dioxide and greenhouse gases, particulates, sulfur dioxides, volatile organic compounds, oxides of nitrogen, mercury, and other hazardous air pollutants per year and over the life of any facilities or contracts for the optimal plan for each scenario and an assessment of whether some or all of the anticipated emissions and their anticipated health impacts could be eliminated or reduced through the use of feasible and prudent alternatives.
- An environmental justice analysis that evaluates the impact, including any disproportionate impact, of the proposed facility on environmental justice communities, and any steps that can be taken to reduce or eliminate such impacts for the proposed plan.

Seeking Input on Stakeholder Engagement

Please provide feedback on Arkansas's stakeholder engagement:

Arkansas Guidelines: http://www.apscservices.info/pdf/06/06-028-r_57_1.pdf

- Each utility shall organize and facilitate meetings of a Stakeholder Committee for resource planning purposes.
- The Stakeholder Committee should be broadly representative of retail and wholesale customers, independent power suppliers, marketers, and other interested entities in the service area.
- The Stakeholders shall develop their own rules and procedures.
- Stakeholders should review utility objectives, assumptions, and estimated needs early in the planning cycle.
- The utility shall make a good faith effort to properly inform and respond to the Stakeholder Committees.

Seeking Input on Stakeholder Engagement

Arkansas guidelines continued:

- A Report of the Stakeholder Committee should be included with the Resource Plan submittal.
- Stakeholders and Staff may also submit comments to the Commission on each Resource Plan after it has been submitted by the utility.
- Such comments should be taken into consideration by the utility in its preparation efforts and decisions concerning subsequent applications, as well as in its next planning cycle.
- If comments concerning the process and results warrant, the Commission may require the utility to reevaluate and resubmit its Resource Plan for the current planning cycle to address concerns raised in the comment.

Workgroup Assignments

- Please provide any further preliminary input:
 - In writing to colec1@michigan.gov by **June 30**
- Next steps
 - Develop a first rough draft of filing requirements
(Expected by 7/21/17)
 - Circulate rough draft informally to this workgroup for comments
 - Possible 2nd round of comments depending upon response
 - Eventually the draft will be attached to an Order requesting formal comments (Fall 2017)

Next Steps in IRP Implementation Process

- June 19 – Workgroup recommendations due
- July 12 – Staff's drafted strawman posted to website
- Late July – Written comments due from stakeholders on Staff's draft strawman proposal
- July 31 – Order initiating formal IRP docket