Rule 460.XXXX. Energy Optimization Program Evaluations		Deleted: ¶
Rule 46. (1) Energy optimization program evaluation requirements for plan proceedings shall include all of the following:		
(a) A description of the request for proposal process used to select an independent evaluation contractor.		Deleted: or
(b) Proposed evaluation budget.		Deleted: for implementation of
(c) Proposed evaluation scope, schedule/timeline, and objectives for implemented programs.		evaluation components.
(e) Evaluation methodology including a description of the evaluation approaches selected for calculating gross and net energy savings.		Deleted: (d) Evaluation goals.¶
(f) When relevant and practicable, market effects evaluations and process evaluations for each energy optimization program implemented in a plan		Deleted: considered or ultimately
year,		<b>Deleted:</b> that was approved in the prior
<b>T</b>	\	energy optimization plan, and is proposed to be continued in the current plan period.
2) Energy optimization program evaluation requirements for reconciliation proceedings shall include all of the following:		Deleted: (g) Other evaluation
(a) The use of an independent evaluation contractor selected through a request for proposal process.		requirements as determined by the
(b) The use of annual per-measure energy savings values irrespective of when measures were actually implemented by customers, in identifying the		commission.
gross energy savings achieved, unless otherwise determined by the commission in a previous plan proceeding.		<b>Deleted:</b> (b) Independent validation of net energy savings achieved by the
(c) The use of the most current MEMD values, or most current engineering calculation for measures not included in the MEMD as the source for		providers' energy optimization program.
gross energy savings. Current is defined as the most recent MEMD or calculation method prior to the start of a specific program year. Program year		The quantification of net energy savings achieved shall include a consideration of
is defined as a calendar year.		program participation levels, gross energy
(d) Independent validation of gross energy savings achieved by the providers' energy optimization program. A recommendation concerning the		savings and net to gross ratio factors.
process for making adjustments to gross energy savings will be developed by the Evaluation Collaborative and submitted to the Collaborative		Deleted: c
Steering Committee for approval and will include:	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	Deleted: validating
(i) An audit of EO program savings that ensures accurate counts of installed end-uses, proper application of program incentives, and proper	1///	Deleted: net
transfer of MEMD values.	1,1	<b>Deleted:</b> pursuant to subrule (2)(b) of
(ii) Measure verification which includes verification of actual installation, invalid installations outside of service territories, improper installation		this rule
and removal of installed equipment.		Deleted: d
(e) When relevant and practicable, as determined by Commission order during the biennial review process, an independent validation of net		<b>Deleted:</b> current at the time the associated energy optimization plan was
energy savings achieved by the providers' energy optimization program. A recommendation concerning the process for making adjustments to		approved by the commission, or
identify net energy savings will be developed by the Evaluation Collaborative and submitted to the Collaborative Steering Committee for approval		engineering estimates current at the time the energy optimization plan was [1]
and will include:  (i) The identity of a solid consideration of the constant o		Deleted: e
(i) The identification, of provider-specific net to gross ratios, for eachprogram, Providers may file a joint net to gross ratio analysis for individual		
end-uses  (ii) Determining the frequency with which not to gross notice analysis will be undeted		Deleted: filing
(ii) Determining the frequency with which net to gross ratios analysis will be updated.		Deleted: a
(iii) A recommendation regarding the deeming of net-to-gross ratios for programs with low participation, as these end uses may not warrant	. 11.	Deleted: analysis

Deleted:

Deleted: The

Deleted: h

Deleted: implemented during th ... [2]

Deleted: every 3 years, and in th ... [3]

Deleted: (f) Gross energy savi ... [4]

allocation of evaluation dollars.

(f) Independent validation of assumptions and calculations used to identify deemed savings values in the MEMD. Based on results from evaluation research, the Evaluation Collaborative will identify MEMD measures requiring review and possible adjustment.

> Page 1 of 2 Oct 23, 2008

A recommendation concerning the process for altering or updating MEMD values will be developed by the Evaluation Collaborative and submitted to the Collaborative Steering Committee for approval.

- (g) A review of self-directed customer plans. This review shall be limited to the self-directed customer's savings calculations, plans and biennial and annual reports described in section 93(8) and (9) of the act.
- (i) The commission staff may conduct field verification.
- The approval of these rules. All other adjustments as identified in subrule (2) (d) will become part of the annual reconciliation process in the first year following the approval of these rules. All other adjustment ratios will be assumed to be 1.0 except as determined subject to subpart (4).
  - (a) Gross energy adjustments relating to verification of installations for a program year, must be determined prior to the start of a program year.
- (b) As new programs are added to a provider's portfolio, adjustments to those programs' savings values for their first calendar year of operation will include the adjustment identified in subrule (2) (d-i). All other adjustment ratios will be assumed to be 1.0.
- (4) Net to gross adjustments that have been determined to be appropriate by Commission order during a biennial review process, will become part of the annual reconciliation process in the first year following a Commission order in a biennial review.
- 5) Independent expert evaluation, measurement and verification required by this rule shall not exceed 8% of the costs of implementing the energy optimization plan.

Deleted: An independent evaluation of the savings from measures implemented by self-directed customer plans and attributed to the provider's energy optimization program or attributed to the administrator's energy optimization program for such utility that has all of the following attributes: ¶

(i) The scope of the

**Deleted:** (ii) Where necessary, the evaluation shall include recommended adjustments to the savings claimed by

self-directed customers.¶

(iii) The independent evaluation shall include a verification of whether or not customers have actually implemented the measures indicated in their plans, and a validation of achieved savings, using documentation review and analysis of self-reported information contained in the customer biennial reports, combined with interviews and surveys as deemed necessary.

Deleted: v

**Deleted:** (i) Any other information that the commission determines to be necessary.¶

Deleted: For the first year of the programs, a net to gross ratio of 1.0 shall be used in the reconciliation proceedings. In addition, gross savings discount factors reflecting installation and operation shall also be 1.0 for the first reconciliation. For subsequent years, and unless otherwise approved by the commission, the values of a net to gross ratio and operation and installation discount factors shall be based upon program evaluations pursuant to subrule (2) of this rule.

Deleted: 4

Oct 23, 2008

Page 1: [1] Deleted e57344 4/7/2010 4:25:00 PM

current at the time the associated energy optimization plan was approved by the commission, or engineering estimates current at the time the energy optimization plan was approved by the commission for measures not included in the MEMD as the source for gross energy savings. The commission, for good cause, may order a provider to use measured gross energy savings values using sampling methods

Page 1: [2] Deleted e57344 4/8/2010 2:35:00 PM

implemented during the calendar year being reconciled.

Page 1: [3] Deleted e57344 4/8/2010 2:38:00 PM

every 3 years, and in the interim, providers may use the most current analysis as the source for deemed net to gross ratio values. Providers may file a joint a net to gross ratio analysis, and may upon commission approval, use statewide deemed net to gross ratio values developed by a statewide collaborative that includes interested stakeholders and meets regularly for design, planning, implementation and evaluation of programs.

Page 1: [4] Deleted e57344 4/7/2010 4:29:00 PM

- (f) Gross energy savings discount factors for providers using agreed to gross energy savings values or engineering estimates, in place of measured gross energy savings achieved. Such factors shall reflect equipment installation, operation and other factors which may impact the calculation of gross energy savings.
- (g) Documentation of the source of stipulated gross energy savings or net to gross ratio factors used in the evaluation.