STATE OF MICHIGAN
BEFORE THE MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the matter of the application of ENBRIDGE ENERGY, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, pursuant to 1929 PA 16; MCL 483.1 et seq. and Rule 601 of the Michigan Public Service Commission’s rules of practice and procedure, R 460.17601, requesting approval of an alternative route for a portion of a pipeline previously approved by the Commission located within the City of Marysville, St. Clair County, Michigan. Case No. U-17478

At the September 24, 2013 meeting of the Michigan Public Service Commission in Lansing, Michigan.

PRESENT: Hon. John D. Quackenbush, Chairman
Hon. Greg R. White, Commissioner
Hon. Sally A. Talberg, Commissioner

ORDER

In Case No. U-17020, Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership, (Enbridge) filed an application, with supporting testimony and exhibits, pursuant to 1929 PA 16; MCL 483.1 et seq. (Act 16) and Rule 601 of the Commission’s Rules of Practice and Procedure, 1999 AC, R 460.17601, requesting approval to construct, own, and operate approximately 110 miles of new 36-inch diameter pipeline and 50 miles of new 30-inch diameter pipeline, all of which replace certain 30-inch diameter pipeline segments of its existing crude oil and petroleum pipeline known as Line 6B in the counties of Berrien, Cass, St. Joseph, Kalamazoo, Calhoun, Jackson, Ingham, Oakland, Macomb and St. Clair, Michigan. On January 31, 2013, the Commission approved Enbridge’s
April 16, 2012 application authorizing Enbridge’s Line 6B Phase 2 replacement project (January 2013 order).

On September 11, 2013, Enbridge filed a new application, pursuant to Act 16, seeking authority to construct along an alternative route a small portion of 30-inch diameter pipeline within the City of Marysville, St. Clair County, Michigan. In its application, Enbridge has demonstrated community support for the alternative route and that it has obtained the necessary property rights to construct along the alternative route.

The replacement project the Commission approved in its January 2013 order in Case No. U-17020 consisted of the replacement of approximately 110 miles of Line 6B with new 36-inch diameter pipeline between the Indiana-Michigan border and Enbridge’s existing Stockbridge Pump Station and Terminal Facility in Ingham County, Michigan and the replacement of approximately 50 miles of Line 6B with new 30-inch diameter pipeline from Ortonville to the St. Clair River in Marysville, Michigan. In approving the replacement project, the Commission specifically found that Enbridge’s “pipeline proposal will serve a public need.” January 2013 order, p. 24. In addition, the Commission found “that the proposed pipeline has been designed and routed in a reasonable manner.” Id. Finally, the Commission found “that construction of the pipeline will meet or exceed current safety and engineering standards.” Id., p. 26.

The approved replacement project was generally routed to parallel Enbridge’s existing Line 6B and a small portion of the project traverses a residential neighborhood along 18th Street in the City of Marysville. A number of community stakeholders and interested persons have requested that Enbridge explore alternative routes to avoid construction in the neighborhood along 18th Street. In response to this request, Enbridge developed an alternative route as shown on the map attached to this order. See, Attachment A. Enbridge also obtained the property rights necessary to
construct the pipeline from all landowners along the alternative route and the documents
evidencing those property rights are attached to Enbridge’s application. Also attached to its
application is an environmental assessment for the alternative route. Enbridge is in the process of
obtaining the necessary environmental permits for the alternative route and will not construct until
and unless the permits are obtained.

As set forth in the application, the specifications for the pipe used in the alternative route will
be the same as the pipe approved in Case No. U-17020, and the pipeline will be designed and
constructed in accordance with federal pipeline safety regulations.

After reviewing the application and exhibits, the Commission finds that granting Enbridge the
authority to use the proposed alternative route is in the public interest, and that ex parte approval is
appropriate.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

A. The September 11, 2013, application filed by Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership,
requesting approval to replace, construct, and operate an alternative route of the Line 6B pipeline
through St. Clair county is approved.

B. After Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership has obtained all necessary permits, the
company is authorized to replace, design, construct, install, test, operate, maintain, repair and own
the alternative segment described in its September 11, 2013, application and depicted in
Attachment A including all related appurtenances, for the transportation of crude oil and petroleum
as described in its application.

C. Enbridge Energy, Limited Partnership, shall, within 60 days after the completion of the
construction of the project, submit to the Commission “as built” maps.
The Commission reserves jurisdiction and may issue further orders as necessary.

Any party desiring to appeal this order must do so in the appropriate court within 30 days after issuance and notice of this order, under MCL 462.26.

MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

________________________________________
John D. Quackenbush, Chairman

________________________________________
Greg R. White, Commissioner

________________________________________
Sally A. Talberg, Commissioner

By its action of September 24, 2013.

________________________________
Mary Jo Kunkle, Executive Secretary