NCIA NEWS WINTER 2020

MICHIGAN STATE INDUSTRIES ERP SOFTWARE AND HARDWARE TECH CHALLENGE

BY ROBERT C. (BOB) BELMONTE, SR. BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY CONSULTANT, MICHIGAN DEPT. OF CORRECTIONS

Choosing the right software and hardware for any business organization can be challenging, risky, and time-consuming, and often very frustrating, especially for Correctional Industries and state governments.

Why is this? Well, technology is a highly sophisticated industry with thousands of vendors, millions of products and services, and a language dictionary all its own, laced with numerous acronyms. Also, you have to consider the disparities amongst people's skills, experience, and knowledge on the business side vs. the technology side, increasing the risk of misunderstanding, misrepresentation, and false assumptions. During negotiations regarding technical requirements, vendor selection, product comparisons, as well as terms and conditions can reveal the software or hardware's overall value by divulging how it can be implemented with maximum utilization and return on investment (ROI).

BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS — COMMON SENSE VS. NONSENSE

"It is unwise to pay too much, but it's worse to pay too little. When you pay too much, you lose a little money -- that is all. When you pay too little, you sometimes lose everything because the thing you bought was incapable of doing the thing it was bought to do. The common law of business balance prohibits paying a little and getting a lot -- it can't be done. If you deal with the lowest bidder, it is well to add something for the risk you run, and if you do that you will have enough to pay for something better."

-- John Ruskin (1819 - 1900)

Good reason and business logic prevent us from paying thousands for a USB drive and help pinpoint the wealth beyond the dollar of a 50-user Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) software system. It is paramount to remember all technology purchase decisions must move the needle forward strategically to achieve business goals. CI leadership must establish clear goals that are well communicated and documented throughout the DOC, IT, and Procurement. Examples of concise technology goals:

- Achieve GAAP compliance (General Accepting Accounting Practices)
- Timely and accurate financial reporting
- · Limited dependence on state IT support
- Unified technology experience for all incarcerated workers

While purchasing technology to support your goals, which could be similar to the ones above, remember that this is a tool that staff use daily to implement the business plan. Having the right tools and technology can either enhance or hinder your ability to execute your Cl business strategy. But, have you factored in the risks?

Technology purchases all require human interaction both in the pre-sale phase and the post-purchase phase. The technology alone does not guarantee success or absence of risk in achieving desired business results. These buying decisions require essential human interaction, communication, and collaboration across many departments in Cl like sales, accounting, purchasing, manufacturing, to the states' IT organization, DOC Procurement, and DOC Leadership. Simple purchases like a wireless printer for a factory can become complex and time-consuming, but it would not seem so compared to the daunting task of replacing an entire ERP software with a new solution. Emphasizing another important factor to consider, the true cost of every technology purchase for Cl organizations.

National Correctional Industries Association



This includes the vendor's purchase price plus the internal costs added for everyone's time charged to the purchase, especially if it is an approved project purchase, is very important.

For example, an \$800,000 new ERP purchase from the vendor can cost the CI over \$2,000,000 when every state department charges their time to the project.

The most critical factors that must be included in every strategic or enterprise technology purchase are listed in table #1 and #2 (on pages 24 and 25). These factors must be ranked in priority and voted on by everyone who has a formal vote in the final selection of the technology purchase. However, it can also be extremely beneficial to have non-voting staff rank the criteria using score cards, vote, and remain involved in the technology research,

requirements, and recommendation tasks. By including non-voting staff in the process, in turn they provide valuable support to the voting members to further solidify their decision-making process.

First, all voting members must individually rank from 1 to 8 how they would prioritize the decision making factors at bay, helping the team narrow down the most applicable technology that can be purchased. The table serves as the documented blueprint for evaluating how applicable the vendor solutions are. Each vendor is evaluated by the voting members independently, by placing values of 1-10 according to the specified criteria, with 10 being the best score. The documented results are a clear trail that leads straight to the top 1 or 2 vendor/product solutions up for purchase.

Continued on page 24

We simplify your manufacturing.™

Global Shop SOLUTIONS ERP SOFTWARE

globalshopsolutions.com • 800-364-5958

HEADQUARTERED IN THE WOODLANDS, TEXAS WITH 7 GLOBAL OFFICES United States | Mexico | Indonesia | Singapore | Australia | New Zealand | United Kingdom

NCIA NEWS WINTER 2020

Continued from page 23

Below is an example of what the state of Michigan DOC and CI ranked their decision-making criteria on their ERP software technology purchase review:

ERP Software Session: Voting Members = 6, Non-Voting Members = 7, All members = 13

RESULTS OF VOTING

Results from the voting members yielded no identical scores in the ranking order of priorities. There was a significant variation when comparing all individual scores. (See table #1 below). This is extremely common amongst technology decision-makers in all industries, public and private sectors, and for all enterprise technology categories.

	Vot	ting Memb	ers		Non-Voting Members				Comp	osite All M	lembers
	Total	Average			Total	Average			Total	Average	
Criteria:	score	score	Rank	Criteria:	score	score	Rank	Criteria:	score	score	Rank
Software Fit/features/functionality	16	2.67	1	Vendor Support	18	2.57	1	Vendor Support	36	2.77	1
Vendor Support	18	3.00	2	Software Fit/ features/ functionality	21	3.00	2	Software Fit/ features/ functionality	37	2.85	2
Ease-of Use	23	3.83	3	Ease-of Use	26	3.71	3	Ease-of Use	49	3.77	3
Platform/Archtitectural Fit	27	4.50	4	Speed of Deployment/Ease of	31	4.43	4	Speed of Deployment/Ease of	65	5.00	4
TCOTotal Cost of Ownership	31	5.17	5	Education & Training	32	4.57	5	Education & Training	66	5.08	5
Flexibility/Agility	33	5.50	6	Flexibility/Agility	34	4.86	6	Flexibility/Agility	67	5.15	6
Education & Training	34	5.67	7	TCOTotal Cost of Ownership	41	5.86	7	Platform/Archtitectural Fit	69	5.31	7
Speed of Deployment/Ease of	34	5.67	7	Platform/Archtitectural Fit	42	6.00	8	TCOTotal Cost of Ownership	72	5.54	8

Below: Table #1 - Voting Members - Results

Priorities of ERP Software												
Criteria:	Voter 1 Rank 1-8	Voter 2 Rank 1-8	Voter 3 Rank 1-8	Voter 4 Rank 1-8	Voter 5 Rank 1-8	Voter 6 Rank 1-8		Total score	Average score	Rank		
TCOTotal Cost of Ownership	8	6	7	3	2	5		31	5.17	5		
Initial software licenses, annual maintenance & support, implementation, training, hardware and maintenance, upgrades, new modules, 3rd party software & hardware with maintenance fees												
Platform/Architectural Fit	6	2	5	2	4	8		27	4.50	4		
Software architecture in comparison to current infrastructure, i.e., Database software, programming language, SAS, vs. on-prem, thick vs. thin clients (web browser), Internet browsers supported, network compatibility, data integration												
Software Fit/ features/ functionality	7	1	3	1	1	3		16	2.67	1		
DOC Factory Environment, Breadth & depth of applications modules business functionality fit, Fully integrated soft- ware, decision support / analytics / KPM's, Reporting,												
Ease-of Use	2	3	4	4	6	4		23	3.83	3		
Navigation by user to perform all business tasks, menu's, functionality, inquiries, look-up's, filtering, data entry, searches,												
Education & Training	4	7	2	8	7	6		34	5.67	7		
Types of learning delivery methods available: classroom, self-study manuals or online tutorials, business concepts vs. end -user software how-to functions, knowledge, and experience of training staff												
Flexibility/Agility	5	4	8	6	8	2		33	5.50	6		
Configurability of software to support business functions without custom software modifications												
Vendor Support	1	5	1	5	5	1		18	3.00	2		
Business background and history, technologies experienced with & supported, implementation & consulting services team, location proximity, support line or helpline, training tutorials, documentation, training resources and options, user groups, references												
Speed of Deployment/Ease of Implementation	3	8	6	7	3	7		34	5.67	7		
Time from contract signing to Production Go-Live, intuitive design of software to support a variety of implementation strategies and methodologies (Waterfall, Agile). All sites at once for all app's vs. phased approach. Database migration automation tools. Test vs Production environments comparison												



Here are the top 3 priorities of voting members:

• **Software Fit/Features/Functionality:** DOC factory environment, breadth, and depth of: applications, modules business functionality and fit, fully integrated software, decision support/analytics/KPM's, and reporting.

• **Vendor Support:** Business background and history, technology experience and support, implementation and consulting services team, location proximity, support line or helpline, training tutorials, documentation, training resources and options, user groups, and references.

• **Ease-of-Use:** Navigation by the user to perform all business tasks, menus, functionality, inquiries, look-ups, filtering, data entry, searches.

Non-voting members (See table #2 below) heavily agreed that Vendor Support & Software Fit/Features/ Functionality were the top two priorities with Ease-of-Use being #3. Although Vendor Support was ranked #1 overall above, it did not receive a #1 voter rank from any voter.

All #1 rank votes went to Speed of Deployment (1), Easeof-Use (2), and Software fit/features/function (3). This result goes to show that while no non-voter ended up having the #1 priority they voted for, they can all agree as a team that this priority is #1 when comparing and evaluating vendors.

Continued on page 26

Below: Table #2 - Non-voting Members - Results												
Priorities for ERP Software												
Criteria:		Non Voter 1 Rank 1-8	Non- Voter 2 Rank 1-8	Non- Voter 3 Rank 1-8	Non- Voter 4 Rank 1-8	Non- Voter 5 Rank 1-8	Non- Voter 6 Rank 1-8	Non- Voter 7 Rank 1-8	Total score	Average score	Rank	
TCOTotal Cost of Ownership		8	3	5	8	8	5	4	41	5.86	7	
Initial software licenses, annual maintenance & support, implementation, training, hardware and maintenance, upgrades, new modules, 3rd party software & hardware with maintenance fees												
Platform/Architectural Fit	[I	6	8	6	7	4	3	8	42	6.00	8	
Software architecture in comparison to current infrastructure, i.e., Database software, program- ming language, SAS, vs. on-prem, thick vs. thin clients (web browser), internet browsers support- ed, network compatibility, data integration,												
Software Fit/ features/ functionality	[I	5	1	1	2	3	8	1	21	3.00	2	
DOC Factory Environment, Breadth & depth of applications modules business functionality fit, Fully integrated software, decision support / analytics / KPM's, Reporting,												
Ease-of Use		1	4	4	6	1	7	3	26	3.71	3	
Navigation by user to perform all business tasks, menu's, functionality, inquiries, look-up's, filter-ing, data entry, searches,												
Education & Training	ĺ	4	7	3	4	2	5	7	32	4.57	5	
Types of learning delivery methods available: classroom, self-study manuals or online tutorials, business concepts vs. end -user software how-to functions, knowledge, and experience of training staff												
Flexibility/Agility	D	3	6	7	5	7	1	5	34	4.86	6	
Configurability of software to support business functions without custom software modifications												
Vendor Support	U	2	2	2	2	6	2	2	18	2.57	1	
Business background and history, technologies experienced with & supported, implementation & consulting services team, location proximity, support line or helpline, training tutorials, documen-tation, training resources and options, user groups, references												
Speed of Deployment/Ease of Implementation	l	7	5	3	1	5	4	6	31	4.43	4	
Time from contract signing to Production Go-Live, intuitive design of software to support a variety of implementation strategies and methodologies (Waterfall, Agile). All sites at once for all app's vs. phased approach. Database migration automation tools. Test vs Production environments com-parison												

NCIA NEWS WINTER 2020

Continued from page 25

SUMMARY

Remember, technology is only a tool and requires people to maximize their effectiveness and return on interest (ROI). Document and communicate your Cl's business objectives and make all technology purchases support those achievements. Always confirm your final decision through live customer references/reviews before you sign the contract or issue the purchase order. And last but not least, take the time upfront to get your voting members all on the same page by ranking their decision criteria so that they will have clear blueprints of how to score and compare vendor offerings and proposals.

Right: MSI License Plate Factory worker using a bar code scanner



