MPART Citizens Advisory Workgroup

September 8, 2020

Updated Members List

County	City	Name
Allegan	Otsego	Gale Dugan
Allegan	Otsego	Pam McQueer
Grand Traverse	Traverse City	David Lipscomb
Ingham	East Lansing	Lea Dyga
Ingham	Williamston	Kate Gislason
losco	Oscoda	Aaron Weed
Kalamazoo	Kalamazoo	Brandi Crawford-Johnson
Kent	Ada	A.J. Birkbeck
Kent	Belmont	Jennifer Carney
Kent	Belmont	Sandy Wynn-Stelt
Kent	Comstock Park	Renae Mata
Kent	Grand Rapids	Patti Baldwin
Kent	Rockford	Kenneth Harvey
Kent	Rockford	Lynn McIntosh

County	City	Name
Livingston	Brighton	Elizabeth Hauptman
Livingston	Brighton	Robert Potocki
Livingston	Whitmore Lake	William Creal
Macomb	Shelby Twp	David Winn
Menominee	Menominee	Daniel Burlingame
Montcalm	Pierson	Daniel Buyze
Muskegon	Muskegon	Matthew Farrar
Oakland	Milford	Christina Schroeder
Oakland	Troy	Tony Spaniola
Ottawa	Grand Haven	Jeffrey Dutton
Saginaw	Carollton Township	Shellene Thurston
Washtenaw	Ann Arbor	Daniel Brown
Wayne	Detroit	Theresa Landrum
Wayne	Grosse Pointe Farms	Connie Boris
Wexford	Cadillac	William Barnett

Agenda

- Welcome and MS Teams Housekeeping 5 min
- Litigation Update 10 min
- Landfill Sampling Initiative 15 min
- MPART Updates 20 min
- Citizens Panel for PFAS Summit 10 min
- Subcommittee Updates 15 min
 - Product Prioritization
- Community Sharing Round Robin 15 min

Litigation Update



Solid Waste Landfill PFAS Evaluation

Jim Arduin, Geology Coordinator Solid Waste Section Materials Management Division

What does the Materials Management Division (MMD) "solid waste landfill" universe consist of?

- Primarily any site that was <u>permitted</u> or <u>licensed</u> to take solid waste.
 - Household trash
 - Construction and demolition debris
 - Ash generated at power plants
- Plus a few other miscellaneous sites.

- What we have done so far
- What are we currently doing
- What's next for MMD

Primary Goal

By the end of 2019, sample all Solid Waste sites regulated by MMD that are determined to be a high priority based on waste type, history of release, and risk to drinking water receptors.

Site Prioritization

Low Priority (42 sites) – No known groundwater impacts.

Medium Priority (55 sites) - known groundwater impact and no drinking water wells or intakes proximate to the site.
 (includes 100% ash sites that met the HP designation)

 High Priority (48 sites) – known groundwater impact and drinking water wells or intakes proximate to the site.
 (does not include 100% ash sites)

High Priority Site Summary

42 sites have submitted data for evaluation.

5 sites will be sampled this fall by an EGLE contractor.

• 1 site is in the enforcement process.

What did we find?

Of the 42 sites with data:

- 11 sites had PFAS concentrations that exceeded the old criteria.

 18 sites had PFAS concentrations that exceeded the new lower criteria.

13 Clean Sites.

Thoughts on the numbers

 Using the current criteria, approximately 70% of the high priority sites will need to address PFAS impacts moving forward.

What's Next for the High Priority Sites?

 First and foremost, work with health departments on potential drinking water well sampling. (in most cases this is already done)

Continue to work with liable parties to delineate groundwater impacts.

 Continue to support the MPART process. Community meetings, update web content, etc.

What about Medium Priority Sites?

 All Medium Priority sites will need to be addressed at some point.

 Some sampling request letters have already been sent but each district will complete this task as their workload allows.

 The initial goal is to have all these sites sampled by the end of 2021.

What about Low Priority Sites?

 Because these sites do not have groundwater impacts at this time, PFAS sampling is not being requested.

• If future routing groundwater detection monitoring indicates that groundwater has been impacted, PFAS sampling will be recommended at that time.

Questions?

Michigan Department of

Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy

800-662-9278 Michigan.gov/EGLE



Contact Information:

James Arduin
Solid Waste Geology Coordinator
Materials Management Division
Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy
(517) 614-7434
ArduinJ@Michigan.gov

MPART Updates

Follow-Up Items

- Landfill sampling
 - Jim's presentation
- Residential well initiative
 - Work in progress
- Subcommittees

MI Drinking Water Standards Effective 8/3/2020

Compound	MCL	EPA
		Recommendation
PFNA	6 ppt	NA
PFOA	8 ppt	70 ppt combined
PFOS	16 ppt	
PFHxS	51 ppt	NA
GenX (HFPO-		
DA)	370 ppt	NA
PFBS	420 ppt	NA
PFHxA	400,000 ppt	NA

MI Standards for Remediation

- Groundwater cleanup
 - 8 ppt PFOA
 - 16 ppt PFOS
 - GSI per surface water quality standards
- Surface water quality
 - 10,000 ppt PFOA (420 ppt if DW source)
 - 12 ppt PFOS (11 ppt if DW Source)



Regional Webinars in September

```
9<sup>th</sup> – Southwest
```

10th – Central

15th – Southeast

16th – East

17th – North

28th – West

Press release link: https://www.michigan.gov/egle/0,9429,7-135-3308 3323-537262--,00.html

Michigan PFAS Sites ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND ENERGY MARQUETTE PRESQUE ISLE SENIOR TRANSPORTE CALKADACA CAMBRIDGE COCCOCA OSCEDIA CLARE GLADWIN Legend 45 sites >8 ppt PFOA or 16 ppt PFOS in Groundwater - Part 201 Criteria effective 8/3/2020

More Sites

 August 3rd – 138 sites and growing

Opportunity for Agency Updates

- MDHHS
- MDARD
- MDNR
- State Fire Marshal
- DMVA
- MDOT

Citizens Panel for PFAS Summit

October 29, 3:00 – 3:45 p.m.

Members interested

- A. J. Birkbeck
- Daniel Brown
- Gale Dugan
- Pam McQueer
- Tony Spaniola
- Sandy Wynn-Stelt

Format, focus

Schedule planning call

Next Steps

- Subcommittee's Progress
- Future meetings
 - October 13, 2020

Community Sharing Round-Robin



MICHIGAN PFAS ACTION RESPONSE TEAM (MPART)

www.Michigan.gov/PfasResponse













