



STATE OF MICHIGAN  
JOCELYN BENSON, SECRETARY OF STATE  
DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
LANSING

-- NOTICE --

**YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT THE BOARD OF STATE CANVASSERS  
WILL CONDUCT A REMOTE MEETING ON SEPTEMBER 2, 2020 AT 9:00 A.M.**

**--Posted August 27, 2020--**

The Board of State Canvassers will conduct a meeting on September 2, 2020 at 9:00 a.m. which will be held remotely due to [Executive Order 2020-154](#) and the COVID-19 pandemic.

**Please use this link to observe the meeting: [SEPTEMBER 2 MEETING OF THE BOARD OF STATE CANVASSERS.](#)**

Included on the Agenda will be:

- Consideration of meeting minutes for approval (August 24, 2020 meeting).
- Assignment of the number designation for constitutional amendments proposed by the Michigan Legislature
- Consideration of the 100-word statement of purpose for Senate Joint Resolution O (see attached)
- Consideration of the 100-word statement of purpose for Senate Joint Resolution G (see attached)
- Certification of the list of candidates for the November 3, 2020 Ballot
- Consideration of the recall petition submitted on August 20, 2020 against Governor Gretchen Whitmer by James Makowski. The reason for recall printed in the heading of the petition is as follows:

In April, 2020, Gretchen Whitmer issued Executive Order 2020-50, which required, in part, "A nursing home with a census below 80% must create a unit dedicated to the care of COVID-19-affected residents ("dedicated unit") and must provide appropriate PPE, as available, to direct-care employees who staff the dedicated unit. A nursing home provider that operates multiple facilities may create a dedicated unit by dedicating a facility for such a purpose."

- Consideration of the recall petition submitted on August 20, 2020 against Lt. Governor Garlin Gilchrist by James Makowski. The reason for recall printed in the heading of the petition is as follows:

Garlan Gilchrist II (D) is the Lieutenant Governor of Michigan

- Consideration of the recall petition submitted on August 20, 2020 against Attorney General Dana Nessel by James Makowski. The reason for recall printed in the heading of the petition is as follows:

Dana Nessel (D) is the Attorney General of Michigan

- Consideration of the recall petition submitted on August 20, 2020 against Secretary of State Jocelyn Benson by James Makowski. The reason for recall printed in the heading of the petition is as follows:

Jocely Benson (D) is the Michigan Secretary of State

- Consideration of the recall petition submitted on August 20, 2020 against Governor Gretchen Whitmer by Michael Garabelli. The reason for recall printed in the heading of the petition is as follows:

1) For signing in April of 2020, Executive Order 2020-50, Enhanced protections for residents and staff of long-term care facilities during the COVID-19 pandemic  
2) For saying the following regarding a question about the April 15, 2020 rally, 'Operation Gridlock', during an April 13, 2020 News Conference on COVID-19: 'I hope that as people are looking at social media they are dispelling and taking on the dissemination of demonstrably inaccurate information. I also would just say, I think it is this group is funded in a large part by the DeVos family and I think it's really inappropriate for a sitting member of the United States President's cabinet to be waging political attacks on any governor, but obviously on me here at home.' 3) For signing in March of 2020, Executive Order 2020-17, Temporary restrictions on non-essential medical and dental procedures, which included the following language: "A plan for a covered facility that performs medical procedures should exclude from postponement surgeries related to advanced cardiovascular disease (including coronary artery disease, heart failure, and arrhythmias) that would prolong life; oncological testing, treatment and related procedures; pregnancy-related visits and procedures; labor and delivery; organ transplantation; and procedures related to dialysis."

- Consideration of the recall petition submitted on August 20, 2020 against Governor Gretchen Whitmer by David Blair. The reason for recall printed in the heading of the petition is as follows:

For signing Executive Order 2020-04, Declaration of State of Emergency, on March 10, 2020; For signing Executive Order 2020-17, Temporary restrictions on non-essential medical and dental procedures, on March 20, 2020; For signing Executive Order 2020-21, Temporary requirement to suspend activities that are not necessary to sustain or protect life, on March 23, 2020; For signing Executive Order 2020-32, Temporary restrictions on non-essential veterinary services, on March 30, 2020; For signing Executive Order 2020-33, Expanded emergency and disaster declaration, on April 1, 2020; For signing Executive Order 2020-42, Temporary requirement to suspend activities that are not necessary to sustain or protect life - Rescission of Executive Order 2020-21, on April 9, 2020; For

signing Executive Order 2020-67, Declaration of state of emergency under the Emergency Powers of the Governor Act, 1945 PA 302, on April 30, 2020; For Signing Executive Order 2020-68, Declaration of states of emergency and disaster under the Emergency Management Act, 1976 PA 390, on April 30, 2020; For signing Executive Order 2020-92, Temporary requirement to suspend certain activities that are not necessary to sustain or protect life Rescission of Executive Orders 2020-77 and 2020-90, on May 18, 2020.

- Such other and further business as may be properly presented to the Board.

*/S/ Jonathan Brater*

Jonathan Brater, Secretary  
Board of State Canvassers

---

**A person who wishes to speak to the Board on an agenda item at the time the item is being discussed must submit a written request to the Chairperson of the Board prior to the opening of the meeting.** Requests to speak should be submitted to [Elections@Michigan.gov](mailto:Elections@Michigan.gov). Persons addressing the Board are allotted three minutes. Those who do not submit a request to speak to the Board in advance of the meeting may submit written comments during the meeting by using the “Chat” feature at the meeting link above, or via email at [Elections@Michigan.gov](mailto:Elections@Michigan.gov). Those submitting written comments should identify the agenda item to on which their comment is addressed along with their comments on that agenda item.

People with disabilities needing accommodations for effective participation in this meeting should email [elections@michigan.gov](mailto:elections@michigan.gov) or contact Lydia Valles at (517) 241-4662.

**Proposal 20-\_\_\_**

**A proposed constitutional amendment to require a search warrant in order to access a person's  
electronic data or electronic communications**

This proposed constitutional amendment would:

- Prohibit unreasonable searches or seizures of a person's electronic data and electronic communications.
- Require a search warrant to access a person's electronic data or electronic communications, under the same conditions currently required for the government to obtain a search warrant to search a person's house or seize a person's things.

Should this proposal be adopted?

YES

NO

WORD COUNT: 60

**Proposal 20-\_\_**

**A proposed constitutional amendment to allow additional money from oil and gas mining on state-owned lands to be collected in state funds for development and maintenance of parks, nature areas, and public recreation facilities; and to describe how money in those state funds can be spent.**

This proposed constitutional amendment would:

- Allow money from sales of oil and gas from state-owned lands, which currently goes into the State Parks Endowment Fund, to once again go into the Natural Resources Trust Fund after the Endowment Fund balance reaches \$800,000,000.
- Allow Endowment Fund money to be used to improve, maintain, and purchase land for State parks, and for Fund administration.
- Require at least 20% of Endowment Fund annual spending go toward State park improvement.
- Require at least 25% of Trust Fund annual spending go toward parks and public recreation areas and at least 25% toward land conservation.

Should this proposal be adopted?

YES

NO