

### Administrative, Communication and Education Committee Meeting Agenda

Wednesday, December 4, 2019 @ 10:30 AM Aeronautics Building – 2nd Floor Commission Room 2700 Port Lansing Rd., Lansing, MI

Meeting Telephone Conference Line: 1-877-336-1828 Access Code: 8553654#

Web Meeting Access Link: http://michigandot.adobeconnect.com/rhmmflbr4514/

- 1. Welcome Call to Order Introductions
- 2. Changes or Additions to the Agenda (Action Item as needed)
- 3. Public Comments on Non-Agenda Items
- 4. Consent Agenda (Action Item)
  - **4.1.** Approval of the November 6, 2019 Meeting Minutes (Attachment 1)
  - 4.2. TAMC Financial Report (Attachment 2)
- 5. Review & Discussion Items:
  - 5.1. TAMC Conferences Strong/Belknap (Memo, Action Item & Attachment 3)
  - 5.2. 2020 TAMC Meeting Schedule Belknap (Memo & Attachment 4)
  - **5.3.** TAMC Bylaws Update Belknap (Memo, Action Item & Attachment 5)
  - 5.4. DRAFT FY2021 TAMC Budget Belknap (Memo, Action Item & Attachment 6)
  - **5.5.** 2019 Michigan Roads & Bridges Annual Report *Jennett*
- 6. Public Comments
- 7. Member Comments
- 8. Adjournment: Next meeting January 2020 (Date & Time To Be Determined) Aeronautics 2nd Floor Commission Room, 2700 Port Lansing Rd., Lansing, MI

## TRANSPORTATION ASSET MANAGEMENT COUNCIL ADMINISTRATIVE, COMMUNICATION, and EDUCATION COMMITTEE

November 6, 2019 at 10:30 a.m.

MDOT Aeronautics Building, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Commission Room
2700 Port Lansing Road
Lansing, Michigan
MINUTES

#### \*\*Frequently Used Acronyms Attached

#### **Members Present:**

Derek Bradshaw, MAR Jonathan Start, MTPA/KATS – Chair Todd White, MDOT Gary Mekjian, MML Rob Surber, DTMB/CSS

#### **Support Staff Present:**

Niles Annelin, MDOT Tim Colling, MTU/LTAP, via Telephone Craig Newell, MDOT Roger Belknap, MDOT Cheryl Granger, DTMB/CSS Gloria Strong, MDOT

#### **Members Absent:**

None

#### **Public Present:**

None

#### 1. Welcome – Call-to-Order – Introductions:

The meeting was called to order at 10:40 a.m. Everyone was welcomed and introduced at the meeting.

#### 2. Changes or Additions to the Agenda:

None

#### 3. Public Comments on Non-Agenda Items:

None

#### 4. Consent Agenda – J. Start (Action Item):

4.1. - Approval of the September 4, 2019 Meeting Minutes (Attachment 1)

#### 4.2. – TAMC Financial Report – R. Belknap (Attachment 2)

R. Belknap provided a copy of the November 1, 2019 TAMC Budget Expenditure Report.

**Motion:** G. Mekjian made a motion to approve the consent agenda; D. Bradshaw seconded the motion. The motion was approved by all members present.

#### 5. Review and Discussion Items:

### 5.1. – TAMC Conferences: Fall and Planning Collaboration – Strong/Mekjian

The TAMC 2019 Fall Conference was held October 30, 2019, at the Holiday Inn of Marquette. The Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development (CUPPAD) region and the Michigan Infrastructure Council (MIC) held a MIC Regional Asset Management Summit in collaboration with the TAMC Conference. Other than minor issues, such as the food being undercooked, it was felt that the conference was well received and went very well. The hotel renovations were found to be exceptional and the presentations were good. The Committee would like to try to get the attendance count up at the next conference and suggested that support staff reach out to potential attendees earlier and look for other potential attendees in the private sector.

G. Mekjian informed the Committee that the American Public Works Association (APWA) had signed a two-year contract with TreeTops Resort and will hold their conference there again this year to fulfill that contract. He does not recommend that TAMC collaborate with APWA next May 2020 as the location and accommodations were not well received last May 2019 and there was not enough room at the resort to hold both the conferences together. G. Strong agreed with G. Mekjian and felt it would be best to hold the TAMC conference at a different location in May 2020. She recommended Grand Rapids, Detroit, or Ann Arbor; somewhere in the lower peninsula in order to allow those agencies in that area a chance to attend the conference without having to travel so far up to the upper peninsula. The Committee agreed and G. Strong will look for a venue. The Committee thought Grand Rapids would be a good location to hold the Spring 2020 TAMC Conference. G. Strong will look into finding a venue in Grand Rapids, Michigan.

**Action Item:** G. Strong will look for a venue in Grand Rapids, Michigan to hold the May 2020 Spring TAMC Conference.

#### 5.2. – 2020 TAMC Meeting Schedule – R. Belknap (Memo/Action Item/Attachment 3)

R. Belknap informed the Committee that the two TAMC Council Members – Todd White and B. Wieferich has a scheduling conflict with the TAMC meetings each month. They are requesting that the TAMC full Council meetings be scheduled to either a different time or a different day each month in order for them to continue attending the meetings. It was suggested that the full Council meetings be moved up to 10:00 am on the same day that it is usually held each month; the first Wednesday each month. The TAMC ACE Committee would then need to be moved to another day, which was suggested it be moved to the morning of TAMC Data Committee meetings as it was felt this would provide more preparation time between ACE Committee meetings and full Council meetings as well as, limit added travel for member who are on both the Data and ACE committees. R. Belknap suggested that the meeting schedules for TAMC full Council and its committees be approved each year in December. G. Strong requested that the schedule for the meeting dates approvals stay in September as other administrative staff are scheduling their meetings and there is a chance TAMC would lose their regular Aeronautics Commission Conference Room location and it would be more difficult to also secure the telephone conference lines. The Committee agreed to keep the approval of TAMC meetings schedules in September.

The Committee felt it would be too much of a lift in order to move the meetings around to accommodate the two MDOT Council members. They decided to wait and see if TAMC full Council wanted to change their date or time and then make a decision for ACE Committee. The Committee suggested to switch the April 1, 2020 meeting date to April 15, 2020 in order to accommodate the various school spring breaks.

The Committee would like time to think about the time change and check their schedules.

#### 5.3. – TAMC Bylaws – R. Belknap (Memo/Action Item/Attachment 4)

R. Belknap created and provided a copy of draft updated TAMC bylaws adding in the changes from Act 51 and acknowledging the Michigan Infrastructure Council (MIC) and the Water Asset Management Council (WAMC). He has also added in goals, committees and membership information, approval of TAMC meetings each year in December, and removed all acronyms. The Committee feels it would read better if the MDOT support staff is shown as providing administrative support as opposed to technical support and would like the meeting schedule approval month changed from December to September as previously discussed.

**Action Item:** R. Belknap will revise the document to show MDOT support staff as providing administrative support, as well as change meetings approved in September instead of December.

#### 5.4. – Local Technical Assistance Program's "The Bridge" Newsletter Article Update – T. Colling

The next article for the newsletter was due mid-September however, MTU had computer issues and was unable to do their newsletter. Whenever MTU gets their computer issue resolved, TAMC support staff will provide an article to them. The last article was going to be on Public Act 325, which includes the Transportation Asset Management Plan Template.

## 5.5. – American Public Works Association's "Great Lakes Reporter" Newsletter Article – G. Strong

TAMC support staff received a request from APWA to include an article in their newsletter. The ACE Committee felt it was a good idea to place an article in their newsletter similar to that provided to MTU on Public Act 325. However, APWA informed support staff that their newsletter is now full and will consider adding the TAMC article in their next newsletter to be released next spring.

**Action Item:** Dave Jennett, MDOT support staff, will work with APWA to place an article in their newsletter by their next designated deadline for their spring newsletter.

#### 5.5. - FY 2021 TAMC Budget Planning - J. Start

The Committee must now begin working on the FY 2021 budget. Decisions need to be made on how the Council can best use the remaining Culvert Pilot Project funds and a signal pilot. The Culvert Pilot funds can be moved into the 2020 budget. When it is decided on what the funds will be used for by the TAMC Bridge Committee, those funds will need to be placed in the budget report around November/December. The Council needs to consider the allocations for the regions and Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs). Contracting for data forecasting still has not been looked into as of yet. The earliest TAMC could do this is 2021 because they have to have their budget recommendations to MIC in January 2020. TAMC will also need to look at the allocations to the Metropolitan Planning Organizations and Regional Planning Organizations. The Committee feels it is important to get the money out to the locals and not have any remaining funds remaining at the end of the fiscal year. They feel the MIC project portal and eSTIP should be major focus' and have agencies only enter in information one time into one system. CSS has been working on this and will be setting up more meetings to discuss this further. TAMC is not in a position to make any major changes from its current operations budget.

## 5.7. – Preparation for the 2019 Michigan Roads and Bridges Annual Report (Presentation) – B. McEntee/N. Annelin/R. Belknap

D. Jennett is currently working on the table of contents. He will be making changes as he goes to the various committees. B. McEntee provided a very informative comparison PowerPoint presentation "Understanding PASER Rating: Bringing Conversations Home." It is a comparison of upkeep of an average home to the upkeep of roads to simplify road issues and upkeep for the average citizen. It is a presentation that was felt would be good to share with the public and legislation. B. McEntee would like to include a couple of these comparisons in the annual report. D. Jennett is working with various staff to clean up some of the IRT data. A snapshot of gravel roads and bridge condition forecasting is also being considered for the report. D. Jennett is also looking to possibly do a YouTube video regarding the TAMC Annual Report. The cut-off date for data from local agencies is the first week in December. Data is coming is well. R. Belknap will hold a Region Coordinators Teleconference next week and get a better idea of where the locals are at with their data submissions.

#### **6. Public Comments:**

CSS will hold the first Web-based IRT training next week. R. Belknap will attend and provide the TAMC update instead of a Council member. The CSS training schedule will be provided at the December meeting. ADARS is considering presenting with CSS in the future.

#### 7. Member Comments:

Some members of the Council have attended a MIC Regional Asset Management Summit and found them to be beneficial to attend. They would however, like more information provided at these summits.

#### 8. Adjournment:

The meeting adjourned at 11:41 a.m. The next meeting will be held December 4, 2019 at 10:30 a.m., MDOT Aeronautics Bldg., 2700 Port Lansing Road, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Commission Conference Room, Lansing, Michigan.

TAMC FRE	QUENTLY USED ACRONYMS:
AASHTO	AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS
ACE	ADMINISTRATION, COMMUNICATION, AND EDUCATION (TAMC COMMITTEE)
ACT-51	PUBLIC ACT 51 OF 1951-DEFINITION: A CLASSIFICATION SYTEM DESIGNED TO DISTRIBUTE
	MICHIGAN'S ACT 51 FUNDS. A ROADWAY MUST BE CLASSIFIED ON THE ACT 51 LIST TO RECEIVE
	STATE MONEY.
ADA	AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT
ADARS	ACT 51 DISTRIBUTION AND REPORTING SYSTEM
ВТР	BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION PLANNING (MDOT)
CFM	COUNCIL ON FUTURE MOBILITY
СРМ	CAPITAL PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE
CRA	COUNTY ROAD ASSOCIATION (OF MICHIGAN)
CSD	CONTRACT SERVICES DIVISION (MDOT)
CSS	CENTER FOR SHARED SOLUTIONS
CUPPAD	CENTRAL UPPER PENINSULA PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT REGION
ESL	EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE
FAST	FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION ACT
FHWA	FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
FOD	FINANCIAL OPERATIONS DIVISION (MDOT)
FY	FISCAL YEAR
GLS REGION V	GENESEE-LAPEER-SHIAWASSEE REGION V PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
GVMC	GRAND VALLEY METRO COUNCIL
HPMS	HIGHWAY PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM
IBR	INVENTORY BASED RATING
IRI	INTERNATIONAL ROUGHNESS INDEX
IRT	INVESTMENT REPORTING TOOL
KATS	KALAMAZOO AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY
KCRC	KENT COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION
LDC	LAPTOP DATA COLLECTORS
LTAP	LOCAL TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
MAC	MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES
MAP-21	MOVING AHEAD FOR PROGRESS IN THE 21 <sup>ST</sup> CENTURY (ACT)
MAR	MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF REGIONS
MDOT	MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
MDTMB	MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
MIC	MICHIGAN INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION
MITA	MICHIGAN INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRANSPORTATION ASSOCIATION
MML	MICHIGAN MUNICIPAL LEAGUE
MPO	METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
MTA	MICHIGAN TOWNSHIPS ASSOCIATION
MTF	MICHIGAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ASSOCIATION
MTPA	MICHIGAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ASSOCIATION
MTU	MICHIGAN TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY
NBI	NATIONAL BRIDGE INSPECTION STANDARDS
NBIS	NATIONAL BRIDGE INSPECTION STANDARDS
NFA	NON-FEDERAL AID
NFC	NATIONAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION
NHS	NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM  DAVEMENT SUBSACE SYALUATION AND DATING
PASER	PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING  BAVED NON-EFFERAL AID
PNFA	PAVED NON-FEDERAL AID  PLIPLIC WORKS ASSOCIATION
PWA	PUBLIC WORKS ASSOCIATION  OHALITY ASSURANCE (OHALITY CONTROL
QA/QC	QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL
RBI	ROAD BASED INVENTORY

RCKC	ROAD COMMISSION OF KALAMAZOO COUNTY
ROW	RIGHT-OF-WAY
RPA	REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY
RPO	REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATION
SEMCOG	SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
STC	STATE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
STP	STATE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM
TAMC	TRANSPORTATION ASSET MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
TAMCSD	TRANSPORTATION ASSET MANAGEMENT COUNCIL SUPPORT DIVISION
TAMP	TRANSPORTATION ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN
TPM	TRANSPORTATION PERFORMANCE MEASURES
UWP	UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM

S:/GLORIASTRONG/TAMC FREQUENTLY USED ACRONYMS.11.27.2018.GMS

Michigan Transportation Asset Management Council		FY18 Budget	FY18	8 Act	ual	F	Y19 Budget		FY19 Yea	r to	Date	F	Y20 Budget		FY20 Yea	ar to [	Date
	(most recent invoice)	\$	Spent		Balance		\$		Spent		Balance		\$		Spent		Balance
I. Data Collection & Regional-Metro Planning Asset Mar												,				_	
Battle Creek Area Transporation Study Bay County Area Transportation Study	4 QTR '19				286.64	\$	20,500.00		15,619.52		4,880.48	\$	20,500.00			\$ \$	20,500.00
Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development	4 QTR '19 4 QTR '19				13,071.16	\$	21,100.00 47,000.00		21,100.00 47,000.00	-	-	\$		\$ \$		\$ \$	19,900.00 50,000.00
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					20 440 25	1 '											
East Michigan Council of Governments		\$ 111,000.00			29,440.35	\$	111,000.00			\$	14,037.12	\$		\$		\$	102,581.99
Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.  Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com.	4 QTR '19	\$ 23,100.00 \$ 46,000.00			45.01	\$	23,100.00 46,000.00		23,100.00 15,632.00	\$	30,368.00	\$	25,000.00 46,000.00	\$ \$		\$	25,000.00 46,000.00
Grand Valley Metropolitan Council		\$ 25,000.00				\$	25,000.00		9,869.35		15,130.65	\$	24,000.00	\$		\$	24,000.00
Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study	I	\$ 22,000.00			411.23	\$	22,000.00		19,843.36		2,156.64	\$	22,000.00	\$		\$	22,000.00
Macatawa Area Coordinating Council	4 QTR '19					\$	20,200.00		7,271.32		12,928.68	\$	19,000.00	\$		\$	19,000.00
Midland Area Transportation Study	4 QTR '19				142.19	\$	21,000.00		19,973.54		1,026.46	\$	21,000.00	\$		\$	21,000.00
Northeast Michigan Council of Governments		\$ 52,200.00			142.13	\$	46,000.00		46,000.00		1,020.40	\$	51,000.00	\$		\$	51,000.00
Networks Northwest		\$ 72,000.00			84.54	\$	72,000.00		72,000.00		_	Ś	75,000.00	\$		\$	75,000.00
Region 2 Planning Commission			\$ 29,362.33			\$	42,000.00			\$	18,249.00	\$	40,000.00	Ś		\$	40,000.00
Saginaw County Metropolitan Plannning Commission	4 QTR '19				200.00	\$	22,200.00		21,012.84		1,187.16	\$	21,000.00	Ś		\$	21,000.00
Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission		\$ 57,300.00				\$	57,300.00		33,358.23		23,941.77	\$	55,000.00	Ś		\$	55,000.00
Southeast Michigan Council of Governments	-	\$ 174,000.00				Ś	174,000.00		106,013.45		67,986.55	\$	174,000.00	Ś		\$	174,000.00
Southwest Michigan Planning Commission	-	\$ 41,000.00			-	Ś	41,000.00		40,041.56		958.44	\$	41,000.00	Ś		\$	41,000.00
Tri-County Regional Planning Commission		\$ 40,000.00			18,319.46	\$	40,000.00			\$	17.00	\$	40,000.00	\$		\$	40,000.00
West Michigan Regional Planning Commission		\$ 91,000.00				\$	91,000.00		76,853.36	-	14,146.64	\$		\$		\$	88,000.00
West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Com.		\$ 54,000.00			2,666.55	\$	54,000.00		53,996.04	-	3.96	\$		\$		\$	48,418.76
Western Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.		\$ 40,000.00			-	\$	40,000.00		40,000.00	\$	-	\$		\$		\$	42,000.00
MDOT Region Participation	9/30/19	\$ 80,000.00	\$ 52,914.97	\$	27,085.03	\$	41,440.00	\$	52,990.50	\$	(11,550.50)	\$	30,000.00	\$	-	\$	30,000.00
PASER Quality Review Contract	9/3/19					\$	50,000.00	\$	41,683.39	\$	8,316.61	\$	50,000.00	\$	-	\$	50,000.00
Fed. Aid Data Collection & RPO/MPO Program	n Total	\$ 1,116,400.00	\$ 957,834.78	\$	158,565.22	\$	1,127,840.00	\$	924,055.34	\$	203,784.66	\$ :	1,116,400.00	\$	10,999.25	\$	1,105,400.75
II. PASER Data Collection (Paved, Non-Federal-Aid System																	
PASER PNFA Data Collection	n Total	(PNFA Moved Int	o Data Collection	Prog	ram Above)	(	(PNFA Moved In	to D	ata Collection P	rogr	am Above)						
III. TAMC Central Data Agency (MCSS)						١.											
Project Management		\$ 42,000.00			(4,585.00)	1	60,000.00		76,242.50		(16,242.50)	\$	64,200.00		12,145.00		52,055.00
Data Support /Hardware / Software		\$ 68,800.00			1,000.00	\$	55,000.00		17,721.70		37,278.30	\$		\$	2,300.00		34,700.00
Application Development / Maintenance / Testing		\$ 114,475.00			(775.00)	\$	135,000.00		109,927.04		25,072.96	\$		\$	14,900.00		151,100.00
Help Desk / Misc Support / Coordination		\$ 70,200.00				\$	61,900.00		54,227.18			\$		\$	7,050.00		46,200.00
Training		\$ 34,950.00				\$	28,660.00		22,071.77			\$		\$ \$	400.00		25,600.00
Data Access / Reporting  TAMC Central Data Agency (MCSS)		\$ 49,575.00 <b>\$ 380,000.00</b>	\$ 52,175.00 \$ 374,860.00		(2,600.00) 5,140.00	\$ <b>\$</b>	38,000.00 <b>378,560.00</b>		30,441.33 <b>310,631.52</b>		7,558.67 <b>67,928.48</b>	\$		\$	20,950.00 <b>57,745.00</b>		7,550.00 <b>317,205.00</b>
IV. MTU Training & Education Program Contract (Z4)			\$ 234,534.14		465.86	\$	220,000.00		154,161.75		65,838.25	\$		\$		\$	225,000.00
V. MTU Activities Program Contract (Z3)			\$ 114,089.32		910.68	\$	120,000.00		99,924.52		20,075.48	\$		\$		\$	115,000.00
VI. TAMC Expenses							•				•	Ť	•				·
Fall Conference Expenses	12/11/18	\$ 10,000.00				\$	10,000.00					\$	10,000.00	\$	-		
Fall Conf. Attendence Fees + sponsorship Fees	12/11/18	\$ -	\$ 4,405.00	)		\$	-	\$	6,755.00			\$	-	\$	-		
Net Fall Conference	12/11/18	\$ 14,405.00	\$ 7,269.00	\$	7,136.00	\$	16,755.00	\$	7,507.40	\$	9,247.60	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Spring Conference Expenses	6/27/19	\$ 3,800.00				\$	10,000.00	\$	-			\$	10,000.00	\$	-		
Spring Conf. Attendence Fees + sponsorship Fees	6/27/19	\$ -	\$ 8,350.00	)		\$	-	\$	9,790.00			\$	-	\$	-		
Net Spring Conference	6/27/19	\$ 12,150.00	\$ 7,439.36	\$	4,710.64	\$	19,790.00	\$	8,562.18	\$	11,227.82			\$	-	\$	-
Unallocated / Contingency												\$	10,000.00				
Other Council Expenses		\$ 10,000.00	, ,		2,698.28	\$	10,000.00		5,073.95		4,926.05	\$	.,	\$	899.00		9,101.00
Tabel Browns		\$ 36,555.00 \$ 1.882.955.00	\$ 22,010.08			\$			21,143.53 1,509,916.66	\$	25,401.47 383,028.34	\$	40,000.00 1,871,350.00	\$		\$ \$	39,101.00 1,870,451.00
Total Program Appropriation		\$ 3,876,400.00	\$ 1,705,526.52	. ,	9.54%		1,892,945.00 1,876,400.00	Ą	1,505,510.00	Ą	•		1,876,400.00	ş	855.00	Ą	99.95%
Appropriation		3,070,400.00			3.3470	້	1,070,400.00				20.23/0	,	1,070,400.00				33.3370
VII. Special Projects with Separate Budgets																	
MI Local Agency Culvert Inventory Pilot (FY18 HB4320 S-		4 45 000 00			E 600.00												
Central Data Agency (MCSS)		\$ 15,000.00	\$ 9,312.00		5,688.00							\$	25,000.00	\$		\$	-
MTU Culvert Project Activities & Training Program	1/2/19		\$ 172,100.00									\$	56,000.00	\$		\$	-
			\$ -	\$	84,438.00	1						\$	387,171.13	\$		\$	-
TAMC Administration & Contingency	I				20 721 27								-	\$		\$	-
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development	3 QTR 18	\$ 88,641.00	\$ 51,909.64									\$		~		Ś	-
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments	3 QTR 18 Sept '18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13	\$	69,377.87							\$	-	\$		ė	-
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70	\$ \$	69,377.87 653.30							\$	-	\$	-	\$ ¢	
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com.	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.60	\$ ) \$ ) \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40							\$	-	\$ \$	-	\$	-
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 77,782.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.60 \$ 69,733.25	\$ \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 5 \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75							\$ \$	- - -	\$	- - -	\$	-
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 77,782.00 \$ 50,402.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.60 \$ 69,733.25 \$ 15,879.65	\$ \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 5 \$ 5 \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75 34,522.35							\$ \$ \$	- - - -	\$ \$ \$	- - -	\$ \$	-
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study Northeast Michigan Council of Governments	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 Sept '18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 77,782.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 33,506.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.60 \$ 69,733.25 \$ 15,879.65 \$ 21,781.96	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75 34,522.35 11,724.04							\$ \$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$	- - - -	\$	
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Networks Northwest	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 77,782.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 33,506.00 \$ 184,513.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.60 \$ 69,733.25 \$ 15,879.65 \$ 21,781.96 \$ 163,641.05	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75 34,522.35 11,724.04 20,871.95							\$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$	-
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study Northeast Michigan Council of Governments	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 3 QTR 18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 33,506.00 \$ 184,513.00 \$ 54,900.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.60 \$ 69,733.25 \$ 15,879.65 \$ 21,781.96 \$ 163,641.05 \$ 22,776.80	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75 34,522.35 11,724.04 20,871.95 32,123.20							\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Networks Northwest Region 2 Planning Commission Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 33,506.00 \$ 184,513.00 \$ 54,900.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.76 \$ 54,266.60 \$ 69,733.26 \$ 15,879.65 \$ 21,781.96 \$ 163,641.05 \$ 22,776.80 \$ 36,137.17	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75 34,522.35 11,724.04 20,871.95 32,123.20 57,318.83							\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - -
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Networks Northwest Region 2 Planning Commission	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 77,782.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 33,506.00 \$ 54,900.00 \$ 93,456.00 \$ 87,644.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.60 \$ 69,733.25 \$ 15,879.65 \$ 21,781.96 \$ 22,776.80 \$ 36,137.17 \$ 45,757.96	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75 34,522.35 11,724.04 20,871.95 32,123.20 57,318.83 41,886.04							\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - -
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Networks Northwest Region 2 Planning Commission Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission Southeast Michigan Council of Governments	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 77,782.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 33,506.00 \$ 184,513.00 \$ 54,900.00 \$ 93,456.00 \$ 87,644.00 \$ 101,849.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.60 \$ 69,733.25 \$ 15,879.65 \$ 21,781.96 \$ 163,641.05 \$ 22,776.80 \$ 36,137.17 \$ 45,757.96 \$ 67,138.17	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75 34,522.35 11,724.04 20,871.95 32,123.20 57,318.83 41,886.04 34,710.83							\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - -
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Networks Northwest Region 2 Planning Commission Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission Southwest Michigan Council of Governments Southwest Michigan Planning Commission	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 77,782.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 33,506.00 \$ 184,513.00 \$ 93,456.00 \$ 93,456.00 \$ 101,849.00 \$ 47,587.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.60 \$ 69,733.25 \$ 15,879.65 \$ 21,781.96 \$ 163,641.05 \$ 22,776.80 \$ 36,137.17 \$ 45,757.96 \$ 67,138.17	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75 34,522.35 11,724.04 20,871.95 32,123.20 57,318.83 41,886.04 34,710.83 40,624.56							\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Networks Northwest Region 2 Planning Commission Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission Southeast Michigan Council of Governments Southwest Michigan Planning Commission Tri-County Regional Planning Commission	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 77,782.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 33,506.00 \$ 184,513.00 \$ 93,456.00 \$ 93,456.00 \$ 101,849.00 \$ 47,587.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.66 \$ 69,733.25 \$ 15,879.65 \$ 21,781.90 \$ 36,137.17 \$ 45,757.90 \$ 67,138.17 \$ 6,962.44 \$ 181,441.35	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75 34,522.35 11,724.04 20,871.95 32,123.20 57,318.83 41,886.43 44,710.83 40,624.56 60,069.61							\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Networks Northwest Region 2 Planning Commission Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission Southeast Michigan Planning Commission Tri-County Regional Planning Commission West Michigan Regional Planning Commission West Michigan Regional Planning Commission West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Com. Western Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 17,782.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 133,506.00 \$ 144,513.00 \$ 54,900.00 \$ 47,587.00 \$ 47,587.00 \$ 144,238.00 \$ 63,229.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.66 \$ 69,733.25 \$ 15,879.65 \$ 21,781.96 \$ 22,776.86 \$ 36,137.17 \$ 45,757.95 \$ 67,138.17 \$ 6,962.44 \$ 181,441.35 \$ 89,092.36 \$ 49,092.36	3 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75 34,522.35 11,724.04 20,871.95 32,123.20 57,318.83 41,886.04 34,710.83 40,624.56 60,069.61 55,145.70 16,268.59							***		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	-
TAMC Administration & Contingency Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development East Michigan Council of Governments Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel. Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com. Grand Valley Metropolitan Council Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study Northeast Michigan Council of Governments Networks Northwest Region 2 Planning Commission Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission Southeast Michigan Planning Commission Tri-County Regional Planning Commission West Michigan Regional Planning Commission West Michigan Regional Planning Commission West Michigan Regional Planning Commission	3 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18 Sept '18 4 QTR 18	\$ 88,641.00 \$ 328,607.00 \$ 5,688.00 \$ 124,909.00 \$ 77,782.00 \$ 50,402.00 \$ 138,513.00 \$ 148,513.00 \$ 93,456.00 \$ 93,456.00 \$ 101,849.00 \$ 47,587.00 \$ 47,587.00 \$ 441,511.00 \$ 63,229.00 \$ 63,229.00	\$ 51,909.64 \$ 259,229.13 \$ 5,034.70 \$ 54,266.66 \$ 69,733.25 \$ 15,879.65 \$ 21,781.96 \$ 22,776.86 \$ 36,137.17 \$ 45,757.95 \$ 67,138.17 \$ 6,962.44 \$ 181,441.35 \$ 89,092.36 \$ 49,092.36	3 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	69,377.87 653.30 70,642.40 8,048.75 34,522.35 11,724.04 20,871.95 32,123.20 57,318.83 41,886.04 34,710.83 40,624.56 60,069.61 55,145.70 16,268.59							\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	2,229,877.88



**To:** TAMC ACE Committee Members

**From:** Roger Belknap, TAMC Coordinator

Date: November 27, 2019

**Re:** TAMC Conferences

#### **Recommendation for the TAMC ACE Committee**

Consideration of adopting a date for the Spring 2020 TAMC Conference.

#### **Background**

At the November 6, 2019 TAMC ACE Committee meeting, staff was advised not to collaborate the 2020 Spring TAMC Conference with the Michigan Chapter of the American Public Works Association conference, due to the location and facility issues. Also, in order to avoid conflicts with the APWA event, options for the Spring TAMC Conference date would be May 6/7 or 13/14. It was also advised that staff look for facility options in West Michigan as there has not been a TAMC Conference held in the Grand Rapids area since 2015.

#### **Attachments with Agenda Packet**

Attachment 3 is the results of the Fall Conference attendee survey as well as a brief report on past TAMC conferences with location, theme and attendance.

How	did	vou	learr	n abo	ut ti	his conference?				
		,ou				lis comercines.				
	Flyer/brochur e	ite	er		Other (specify)			Please suggest any specific topics		
	Š	CTT Website	Newsletter	а П	ıds)			that might provide beneficial	Please suggest any speakers who	
ai l	er/I	Α.	wsk	err	ıer		What did you like most about this	information to you/your agency	might provide beneficial	What aspects of the conference
Email	FIÝ	ш	۱aN	Referral	Oth	Specify:	year's fall TAMC conference?	for future TAMC conferences:	information to you/your agency:	could be improved?
Х										
				Х			Quality Presenters			
							How well the topics were covered,			
			Х				Diverse yet close enough topics/	Small agency data collection		
										Make sure speakers repeat
									What are utilities doing in asset	Questions. Remind in pre-
						TAMC Council			management and coordinate w/	meetings. Refer all to TAMC for
					.,	Member	Timing procentations in time		local public agencies? Utility presentation?	links to MTU, trainings etc., Double check bios of TAMC council
					Х	Member	Timing, presentations in time		presentations	Double check blos of TAIVIC council
								Small case study in development		Might be helpful to have each take
х								of bridge asset management plan		discuss an issue or have an
							Bridge conditions and Forecast		potentially a presentation from a	Have the conference a month
				х			presentation		local group about their asset	sooner in the UP
							Location and venue. All speakers	Implementing information for		
х							were well prepared and gave	future use. Down stream data use.		
Х										
Х										
										move the location to other parts
							Greatly organized, good	More topics of regional		of the U.P. There is more than jut
					Х	Co-worker	presenters	participants		the central part. We have an
								LCAA- General info, best practices.		
								Bridge preservation- work		
							Location, networking, Houghton	activities, fix life, benefit/ cost	Side December 1 of the LITAR	
Х		х					flooding presentation	analysis. Asset management of	Rich Domonkos- Indiana LTAP	More opportunity for networking
٧.		Х								
X							Great topics and great presenters			
^							Great topics and great presenters	How asset management data will		
								be incorporated into planning,		
								programing and priorities. Asset		
х							Good knowledgeable speakers	management for non-motorized		
							,	Touch on other assets, not sure		
							First time here very informative.	how this conference usually goes,		I enjoyed the conference! Length
							I learned a lot regarding local too,	but covering assets such as		of presentations were good and
Х							not just state trunk lines	culverts, catch basins, etc. Would		great topics.
Х							Houghton presentation.			
										Fall conference needs to be moved
										around the U.P., The counsel is
										showing real favoritism towards
X							Ida in the LLD	culverts		the central U.P.(Marquette!)MOVE
Х							It's in the U.P. Good selection of topic and variety			Desserts and snacks
х				l			of topics. Nice to tie it in w/ area			well planned and executed
X							or copies. Nice to tie it iii w/ area	Culverts		Well planned and executed
								Water main and sewer main asset		
х							Variety of speakers	management.		
							All presenters were very good.			
							Flood panel presentation was very			
х			L	L			informative with lessons learned.			
								More info on service life of com	Someone that talks about other	Networking night before. Better
							Info on the Houghton county	projects and best projects for	types of assets than just roads and	explanation of what the summit is
Х							flood.	investment to keep fair roads from	bridges. Signs, culverts, etc	and if I should go and why.
							It's nice to see so many council	More info to take back to officials		
X							representatives.	on why preventative maintenance		
Х							Good to learn of all the systems			
							Good to learn of all the systems being used to manage assets of			
							transportation systems and to get			
				х			a good handle on funding needed			
х				<u> </u>			a garden and the full ding free cucu			
х							Location, different topics			
х							,			
х							"Fathers Day flood"			
							TAMC studies cost/benefit of			
х			L	L			different treatments			
Х										
26	Λ.		_	_	_					

26 0 1 1 3 2

#### 2019 Fall TAMC Conference Survey Results

	ase p	orovi	de your feedback on the location venue and food:		Reporting of 2018 Michigan Road Conditions & Forecast - Ashman	R	eporting of 2018 Michigan Bridge Conditions & Forecast - Curtis, Wieferich		Asset Management Plans & TAMC's Response to Public Act 325 of 018: Update on Policy, Procedures, & Templates - Belknap, Strong
Locatio	Nenue	Food	Comments:	Rating	Comments	Rating	Comments	Rating	Comments
5	5	5	Comments	5	Comments	5		5	
5				5		5		5	
			NMU Northern Center for other MQT		Great graphics/ figures, good info/		great questions/ answers, presenting the facts &		
5	4	4	conferences.	5	presentations, good thing to start off	5	hard truth	5	Well organized
5	5	3	Liked the Room & location. Breakfast great, lunch chicken not the best	4			It is important to note the bridge Bundling is a MDOT initiative, not a TAMC good to share, however need to clarify to avoid role confusion.	3	Would have been helpful to actually walk though aspects of the policy specifically defining each off the TAMP elements and what compliance means of each other.
					Pretty good but a few slow stretchy and				
			Cooling on description has been been been been been been been bee	4	some of the figures were hard to read	5		4	
5	4	4	Cookies or desert for lunch Breakfast was great	5		5		4	
1_	_	_	Breakfast was great, lunch was	_		_		_	
5	5	2	disappointing	3		5		2	
5	5	4		5		5		4	
5	5	4		5		5		5	
5	4	4	Had problems with hotel WI-FI	4		5		4	
			Location is nice, but please have more						
			vegetarian options. Needs more food/				Good presenter and presentation, well		
3	4	3	desserts. Have buffet style.	4	Good to know about. Good presenter.	4	organized.	2	Not very engaging. A little standoffish. Q and A format not great.
_	١,	_				_		_	
5	4 5	3	Say ya to da U.P., Eh?	4		5		0	
5	5	5	Hard to see over heads from back.	4		5		U	
			Snacks at break would nice. Refills on						
4	3	4	pop at break would be nice.	4		5		4	A little long, lost interest
5	5	4		_	Great presentation, it helped get my		Great information		I liked the Q and A dialogue the presenters had.
4	4	4	Great facility and location, but very long drive for some, chilly at times.	4		4		4	
			Long drive, but great location. I liked that all presentations were in the same room. Breakfast was good, chicken was						
5	5	3	not good at all.	4		4		4	
4	4			4		3		4	
1	4	4	Location needs to be moved around to give other locations a spot light.	4		4		3	
5	5	3		5	would like a copy of the graphs		would like a copy of the graphs		would like a copy of the graphs
5	5	_	Room a little cool. No dessert with	4	Skimmed over the mechanisms on how	5	Difficulty with answering technical questions	5	
4	4	3	lunch!	3	the forecast was derived	4	without Becky Curtis present.	4	
5	5	3		4		4		4	
,	Л	3		1	Good explanations of rating system.	4		4	
4	4	3		4	Good explanations of fating system.	4		4	
5	5	5	It was very cold in bathrooms and meeting room	5	nice graphic.	5	Interesting, good graphics.	5	Good description for examples by Gloria.
Ť	Ť	Ť		Ť	0 -F	Ť		Ť	The state of the s
4	4		Chicken- not everyone likes dark meat	4		4		4	
4	3	3		3		3		3	
<u></u>				4		4		4	
				4	Informative. Don't get carried away with swings year to year.	4	Interesting. If MDOT takes over the bridge program, may not be needed	4	
					Knew the subject well. Answered		Great public speaker. Very well presented. Key		
5	5	5		4	questions well when put on the spot.	5	information.		Well organized. Important information
5	5	3		4		5		4	Good way to present
5	5	3		5		5		5	
5	5	5		5		5		5	
5	5	4	Average			-			

11	5	21	5	11	5
20	4	11	4	16	4
3	3	2	3	4	3
0	2	0	2	2	2
0	1	0	1	0	1
0	Blank	0	Blank	1	Blank

#### 2019 Fall TAMC Conference Survey Results

Inve	stment Reporting & TAMC's Response to Public Act 199 of 2007: Learning from the Data - Belknap, Jennett		2018 - A Year of TAMC Studies: Treatment Costs & Life-cycle Performance Using IRT Data - Colling	Fa	ther's Day Flood 2018: Infrastructure, First Responders, & Asset Management Consideration- Harju, Kadzban, Tervo, Waara
ing		ë		ing	
Rating	Comments	Rating	Comments	Rat	Comments
5		5		5	
5		5		5	
5		5	Helpful, funny, and relatable	5	Helpful, Good resource of first hand experience.
			. , ,,		
			Please make sure appropriate TAMC committee is reviewing and		
4		5	acting on study recommendations	5	Good panel and info. Appreciated the pictures in PowerPoint.
	Maybe should indicate that the study was federal aid non trunk				
5	line roads sooner	4		5	
4		5		5	
2		4		4	
4		5		0	
5		5		5	
4		4		5	Great presentation
	Not engaging, hiding in the corner of the room. Too long, not				
3	considerate towards time.	5	Great presentation, very engaging.	4	Good panel, interesting classroom
	2 2 2 2 2		, 550		
4		5		5	
5		5		5	
4		5	This is the type of meaningful data that's nice to see.	5	
5		5	Always enjoy Dr. Colling's presentations.	5	Thankful that Houghton has been able to recover from the
4		4		4	Interesting!
4		5		5	
4		5		5	
3 5	- IdPL Ch b	3	LAPI	4	La Later and California de
5	would like a copy of the graphs	5	would like a copy of the graphs	5	would like a copy of the graphs
4		5		5	
4		4		4	
4		5		5	
4		5		5	
	Boring slides; Black and White. Hard to interpret data without				
3	data graphics	5	Great info, entertaining presentation.	5	Very interesting.
					Very informative! Thank you to everyone who worked during this
3		3		3	event.
3		3		3	
		_		•	
5		5		0 4	
5		5		5	Very interesting. Great update. Loved the lessons learned.
4		5		5	
5		5		5	
5		5		5	
•					
12	le l	20	le I	25	l <sub>c</sub>
17	4	26 6	4	25 6	4
4	3	2	3	1	3
1	5 4 3 2 1 Blank	0	2	0	2
0	1 Blank	0	1 Blank	0	1 Blank
34	Didiik	34	Didiik	34	Politik

#### TAMC Conference History: Dates, Locations, and Themes 2006 - 2019

<u>Year</u>	<u>Conf</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Theme</u>	Location	<u>Venue</u>	Notes	Attendance	Sponsors
2006	Spring	5/10/2006	How can Transportation Asset Management improve decision making?	East Lansing	Kellog Center			
2007	Lower	4/11/2007	Implementation and Practical Applications	East Lansing	Kellog Center		174 (Lower +	
2007	Upper	5/2/2007	тиретенцион ини т чисиси хррпсинот	Marquette	Upfront & Company		Upper)	
2008	Lower	4/3/2008	Transportation Asset Management for Agencies Big AND Small:	East Lansing	Kellog Center		226 (Lower +	
2008	Upper	5/21/2008	Learn how from those that are doing it.	Marquette			Upper)	
2009	Spring	5/19/2009	Asset Management – Putting practice on the	East Lansing	Kellog Center		131 (Spring +	
2009	Fall	10/27/2009	pavement with treatments, strategies and practices	Marquette	Upfront & Company		Fall)	
2010	Spring	5/6/2010	Communicating the Crisis	Mt. Pleasant	Comfort Inn & Suites		95	
2011	Spring	5/12/2011	Cuiding Change	Grand Rapids	GVSU Eberhard Center		146 (Spring +	
2011	Fall	10/27/2011	Guiding Change	Escanaba	Bay College		Fall)	
2012	Spring	4/25/2012	Lauragina Post Practices	Livonia	Schoolcraft College Vista Tech Center			
2012	Fall	10/24/2012	Leveraging Best Practices	Marquette	Upfront & Company		Fall)	
2012	Spring	5/8/2013	A Class Dath to Manaurian Darformana	East Lansing	Kellog Center		135 (Spring +	
2013	Fall	10/24/2013	A Clear Path to Measuring Performance	Escanaba	Bay College		Fall)	
2014	Spring	5/14/2014		Bay City	Double Tree Riverfront		139 (Spring +	
2014	Fall	10/23/2014	The Leading Edge of Asset Management	Marquette	Holiday Inn		Fall)	
2015	Spring	4/30/2015	Investing in Our Future with Data Driven	Grand Rapids	Double Tree by Hilton		157 (Spring +	
2015	Fall	10/15/2015	Decisions	Marquette	Ramada Inn		Fall)	
2046	Spring	4/13/2016		Dearborn	DoubleTree by Hilton		164 (Spring +	Opus
2016	Fall	10/13/2016	Beyond the Technologies, Tools and Techniques	Marquette	Ramada Inn		Fall)	

#### TAMC Conference History: Dates, Locations, and Themes 2006 - 2019

<u>Year</u>	<u>Conf</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Theme</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Venue</u>	<u>Notes</u>	<u>Attendance</u>	<u>Sponsors</u>
2017	Spring 5/2		Asset Management: From Policy to Practice	Mt. Pleasant Comfort Inn & Suites			171 (Spring +	НПТВ
2017	Fall	10/5/2017	,	Marquette	Holiday Inn		Fall)	
2018	' "   '	5/22/2018	Sharing the Experience: Uniting Michigan with	Traverse City	Grand Traverse Resort & Spa	Co-located with APWA	133 (Spring +	CRA, HNTB, MML, MRPA, MTPA, Prein&Newhof
2018	Fall	10/23/2018	Asset Management	Marquette	Ramada Inn		Fall)	Silver: MTPA, MRPA Bronze: CRA, MAR, OHM, Prein&Newhof
2019	Spring	5/22/2019		Gaylord	Treetops Resort	Co-located with APWA	106	Silver: HNTB, MRPA, MTPA Bronze: CRA, HRC, MAR, OHM, Prein&Newhof
2019	Fall	Every Day (Plan/Action/Communication/ all 10/30/2019 Evaluation)		Marquette	l Holidav Inn	oliday Inn Co-located with MIC- CUPPAD AM Summit		Silver: HNTB, MTPA Bronze: CRA, MAR



**To:** TAMC Council & TAMC ACE Committee Members

From: Roger Belknap, TAMC Coordinator

Date: November 27, 2019

**Re:** 2020 TAMC & ACE Committee Meeting Schedules

#### **Recommendation for the TAMC**

Staff is requesting TAMC take action to adopt 2020 Meeting Schedules for TAMC meetings and provide guidance for scheduling the ACE Committee meetings.

#### **Background**

At the November 6 TAMC meeting, staff was asked to send out a poll of members for their availability for 2020 TAMC meetings. There were four options presented as an effort to accommodate member's schedules. Also, TAMC advised that the April 2020 meeting should be held on the third week to avoid Spring Break conflicts and for the September 2020 meeting to be held in the second week to avoid conflicts with the Labor Day holiday.

#### **Attachments with Agenda Packet**

Attachment 4 is the results of the Doodle Poll on members' availability for 2020 meetings.

### Poll "2020 TAMC Meeting Schedule"

https://doodle.com/poll/snanu5778cv2zdw9

	Jan 8 / Feb 5 / Mar 4 / Apr 15 / Jun 3 / Jul 1	Option 2 - 1st Wednesday's- 10 AM Jan 8 / Feb 5 / Mar 4 / Apr 15 / Jun 3 / Jul 1 / Aug 5 / Sept 9 / Nov 4 / Dec 2	Jan 15 / Feb 12 / Mar 11 / Apr 15 / Jun 10 /	/ Apr 16 / Jun 4 / Jul 2
MDOT TAMC				
Mekjian	OK			
Joanna	OK	ОК		
Start	OK	OK	OK	OK
Todd		ОК		OK
Brad		ОК		ОК
Derek	OK		ОК	OK
Bob	OK		ОК	
Count	5:0:3	4:0:4	3:0:5	4:0:4

#### Comments

https://doodle.com/poll/snanu5778cv2zdw9

Joanna Thursday, November 21, 2019 3:35:39 PM GMT

option 1 is the current schedule



**To:** TAMC ACE Committee Members

From: Roger Belknap, TAMC Coordinator

Date: November 27, 2019

Re: TAMC Bylaws

#### **Recommendation for the TAMC ACE Committee**

Consideration of adopting the updated language of TAMC's Bylaws.

#### **Background**

At the November 6, 2019 TAMC ACE Committee meeting, changes and updates were recommended for TAMC Bylaws.

#### Attachments with Agenda Packet

Attachment 5 is the latest draft update of the TAMC Bylaws.



### Bylaws of the Michigan Transportation Asset Management Council

As Last Amended by TAMC on	
----------------------------	--

- 1. Goal Statement: The Transportation Asset Management Council will develop and support excellence in managing Michigan's transportation assets by:
  - a. Advising the legislature, the State Transportation Commission, the Michigan Infrastructure Council, transportation committees, and others.
  - b. Promoting asset management principles.
  - c. Providing tools and practices for road agencies.
  - d. Collaborate and coordinate with Water Asset Management Council and other asset owners.
- 2. Membership, Chairperson, and Committees:
  - a. Membership: The Transportation Asset Management Council shall consist of ten (10) voting members appointed by the State Transportation Commission. The council shall include two (2) members from the County Road Association of Michigan, two (2) members from the Michigan Municipal League, two (2) members from the state planning and development regions, one (1) member from the Michigan Townships Association, one (1) member from the Michigan Association of Counties, and two (2) members from the Michigan Department of Transportation. Nonvoting members shall include one (1) person from the agency or office selected as the location for central data storage.
  - b. Chairperson and Vice-chairperson: The Chairperson shall be selected from among the voting members of the Transportation Asset Management Council.
    - 1. Eligibility: All voting members of the Transportation Asset Management Council are eligible to be Chairperson or Vice-chairperson of the Transportation Asset Management Council.
    - 2. Term of Office: The Chairperson and Vice-chairperson's Terms of Office shall be three (3) years. Officers may be reelected to additional terms by the Transportation Asset Management Council; terms may be consecutive.
    - 3. Election of Officers: Elections for Chairperson and Vice-chairperson of the Transportation Asset Management Council shall be held during the September meeting in the last year of the three (3) year term or as needed to fill a vacant officer position. Election shall be by a majority vote of the attending voting Transportation Asset Management Council members during a regular Transportation Asset Management Council meeting where a quorum is present.
    - 4. Responsibility and Dismissal: It is the responsibility of the Chairperson to chair monthly meetings, publicly represent the Transportation Asset Management Council and speak on its behalf. It is the responsibility of the Vice-chairperson to perform these duties in the absence of the Chairperson. If the Chairperson or Vice-chairperson fails to meet this responsibility, the voting membership of Transportation Asset Management Council may dismiss the Chairperson or Vice-chairperson by majority vote.
  - c. Committees: At a minimum, each voting member shall serve on one (1) Transportation Asset Management Council Committee. The Chairperson shall select Transportation Asset

Management Council members for each committee at the beginning of the Chairperson's term of office. Member assignments may be reviewed and changed by the Chairperson as necessary during the Chairperson's term of office. Each committee of the Transportation Asset Management Council shall have a Chairperson and a Vice-chairperson selected by majority vote of the voting membership of each Committee. Each committee Chairperson and Vice-chairperson shall serve a three (3) year term. In the absence of the committee Chairperson, the committee Vice-chairperson shall manage the committee meetings. Any committee may include for support, technical, or other reasons; non-Transportation Asset Management Council members as non-voting advisory participants in the committees. The Transportation Asset Management Council has three permanent committees as follows:

- Administrative, Communications and Education: Committee comprised of three

   (3) to five (5) Transportation Asset Management Council members that advises the
   Transportation Asset Management Council on matters pertaining to training,
   communications, education and budget.
- 2. Data Committee: Committee comprised of three (3) to five (5) Transportation Asset Management Council members that advises the Transportation Asset Management Council on matters pertaining to data collection, quality, and analysis.
- 3. Bridge Committee: Committee comprised of three (3) to five (5) Transportation Asset Management Council members that advises the Transportation Asset Management Council on matters pertaining to application of asset management principles to bridges and the creation of guidance materials and training program.
- d. Michigan Infrastructure Council and Water Asset Management Council: The Chairperson shall represent the Transportation Asset Management Council at regular meetings of the Michigan Infrastructure Council. In the absence of the Chairperson, the Vice-chairperson shall attend Michigan Infrastructure Council meetings. The Transportation Asset Management Council Chairperson and Vice-chairperson shall also represent the Transportation Asset Management Council on any standing coordination committees of the Michigan Infrastructure Council and Water Asset Management Council.
- e. Other committees: The Chairperson may establish other 'ad hoc' committees as necessary for the operation of the Transportation Asset Management Council. Such committees shall operate until the Transportation Asset Management Council or Chairperson disbands them.
- 3. Meetings: Transportation Asset Management Council and committee meeting schedules are established at the September Transportation Asset Management Council Meeting for the following calendar year. The established schedules shall be made available to the public.
- 4. Quorum: per Michigan's Open Meetings Act, the term "Meeting" means "the convening of a public body at which a quorum is present for the purpose of deliberating toward or rendering a decision of a public policy." A quorum as it pertains to the Transportation Asset Management Council shall be defined as a majority of voting members present for a meeting during which official business is discussed and acted upon.
- 5. Membership Appointment and Term: it is the responsibility of each member organization to seek out qualified individuals for nomination to the Transportation Asset Management Council. Once the nomination is received, it must be acted upon by the State Transportation Commission. The positions for the Michigan Department of Transportation shall be permanent. The position of the central data storage agency shall be nonvoting and shall be for as long as the agency continues to serve as the data storage repository. At the end of the initial appointment, all terms shall be for

three (3) years.

- 6. Advisory Panel: The Transportation Asset Management Council may appoint a technical advisory panel whose members shall be representatives from the transportation construction associations and related transportation road interests. The Transportation Asset Management Council shall select members to the technical advisory panel from names submitted by the transportation construction associations and related transportation road interests. The technical advisory panel members shall be appointed for three (3) years. The Transportation Asset Management Council shall determine the research issues and assign projects to the technical advisory panel to assist in the development of statewide policies. The technical advisory panel's recommendations shall be advisory only and not binding on the Transportation Asset Management Council.
- 7. Staffing: The Michigan Department of Transportation shall provide qualified administrative staff and the state planning and development regions shall provide qualified technical assistance to the Transportation Asset Management Council.
  - a. Michigan Department of Transportation assigns a full-time Coordinator primarily responsible for the management and coordination of the Transportation Asset Management Council's activities including development of the three (3) year work program, budget, and annual report as required by law; provide project management of activities needed to carry out the Transportation Asset Management Council's work program; manage the on-going development and maintenance of the Transportation Asset Management Council's website and performance measure dashboards. Additional Michigan Department of Transportation staff provides administrative support to the Transportation Asset Management Council as necessary.
  - b. In addition to Michigan Department of Transportation staff, the Transportation Asset Management Council annually contracts with Michigan's Regional and Metropolitan Planning Organizations to provide technical assistance related to the promotion of asset management principles and data collection within each regional boundary.
- 8. Amendments: A two-thirds majority of Transportation Asset Management Council voting members is required to amend the Transportation Asset Management Council's bylaws. Proposed amendments in final form must be distributed to the members at the Transportation Asset Management Council meeting prior to having it on the Transportation Asset Management Council agenda as an action item.



**To:** TAMC ACE Committee Members

**From:** Roger Belknap, TAMC Coordinator

Date: November 27, 2019

Re: TAMC FY2021 Budget

#### **Recommendation for the TAMC ACE Committee**

Consideration of adopting the draft FY2021 TAMC Budget.

#### **Background**

At the November 6, 2019 TAMC ACE Committee meeting, the FY2021 budget was discussed. With the adoption of the 2020-2022 TAMC Work Program and commitment to maintain the core aspects of the program, major changes in the budget are not recommended at this time. Additionally, the program budget for continuation of the Culvert Pilot has not been defined beyond training and technical integration of the 2018 culvert data into the TAMC Interactive map and TAMC dashboard applications. The TAMC Bridge Committee has not yet recommended any additional changes to the FY2021 budget for culvert efforts at this time.

#### **Attachments with Agenda Packet**

Attachment 6 is the draft FY2021 TAMC Budget.

Data Collection & Regional-Metro Planning Asset Management Program   Salte Creek Area Transporation Study   \$ 20,500.00   \$ 21,000.00   \$ 19,000.00   \$ 19,000.00   \$ 19,000.00   \$ 12,000.00   \$ 19,000.00   \$ 12,000.00   \$ 10,000.00   \$ 12,000.00   \$ 10,000.00   \$ 12,000.00   \$ 10,000.00   \$ 12,000.00   \$ 10,000.00   \$ 12,000.00   \$ 10,000.00   \$ 12,000.00   \$ 10,000.00   \$ 12	Michigo									
S	Transpo	tation Asset		FY18 Budget		FY19 Budget		FY20 Budget	F	Y21 Budget
Data Collection & Regional-Metro Planning Saset Management Progam   Sattle Creek New Transportation Study   \$ 2,0500.00   \$ 2,0500.00   \$ 1,0500.00   \$ 1,000.0	Manag	ment Council								
Battle Creek Area Transporation Study				\$		\$		\$		\$
Bay County Area Transportation Study	_			20 500 00	_	20 500 00	_	20 500 00		20 500 00
Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development   \$ 47,000.00   \$ 13,000.00   \$ 5 0,000.00   \$ 1	•	•		•	1 '	· ·		-		20,500.00 19,900.00
East Michigan Council of Governments   \$ 111,000.00   \$ 111,000.00   \$ 108,000.00   \$ 1		•		•		· ·		-		50,000.00
Bastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.   \$ 23,100,00   \$ 23,100,00   \$ 24,000,00   \$ 6,000,00		•				· ·				108,000.00
Gemesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com.  \$ 46,000,000 \$ 46,000,000 \$ 4,000,000 \$ \$ 42,000,000 \$ \$ \$ 22,000,000 \$ \$ \$ 22,000,000 \$ \$ \$ 22,000,000 \$ \$ \$ 22,000,000 \$ \$ \$ \$ 22,000,000 \$ \$ \$ 22,000,000 \$ \$ \$ \$ 22,000,000 \$ \$ \$ \$ 22,000,000 \$ \$ \$ \$ 22,000,000 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$										25,000.00
Grand Valley Metropolitan Council   \$ 25,000,00   \$ 25,000,00   \$ 22,0				•	1 '			-		46,000.00
Malamazoo Area Transportation Study				•	1 '			-	1	24,000.00
Macatawa Area Coordinating Council   \$ 20,000.00   \$ 1,000.00   \$ 21,000.00   \$ 5	•			•				-		22,000.00
Northeast Michigan Council of Governments	rea Coordinatin	Council		20,200.00	\$	20,200.00	\$	19,000.00	\$	19,000.00
Networks Northwest	a Transportatio	Study	\$	21,000.00	\$	21,000.00	\$	21,000.00	\$	21,000.00
Region 2 Planning Commission	ichigan Council	Governments	\$	52,200.00	\$	46,000.00	\$	51,000.00	\$	51,000.00
Saginav Country Metropolitan Plannining Commission   \$ 22,200.00   \$ 22,200.00   \$ 5,50,000.00   \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	orthwest		\$	72,000.00	\$	72,000.00	\$	75,000.00	\$	75,000.00
Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission	nning Commissi	า		42,000.00	\$	42,000.00	\$	40,000.00	\$	40,000.00
Southwest Michigan Council of Governments	nty Metropolita	Plannning Commission	\$	22,200.00	\$	22,200.00	\$	21,000.00	\$	21,000.00
Southwest Michigan Planning Commission	Michigan Plan	ng Commission		57,300.00	\$	57,300.00	\$	55,000.00	\$	55,000.00
Tri-County Regional Planning Commission	ichigan Council	f Governments		174,000.00		174,000.00		174,000.00		174,000.00
West Michigan Regional Planning Commission         \$ 91,000.00         \$ 54,000.00	1ichigan Plannir	Commission		•		41,000.00		-		41,000.00
West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Com.         \$ 54,000.00         \$ 54,000.00         \$ 54,000.00         \$ 54,000.00         \$ 54,000.00         \$ 34,000.00         \$ 42,000.00         \$ 42,000.00         \$ 34,000.00         \$ 34,000.00         \$ 34,000.00         \$ 34,000.00         \$ 34,000.00         \$ 34,000.00         \$ 30,000.00         \$ 50,000.00 </td <td>egional Plannin</td> <td>Commission</td> <td></td> <td>40,000.00</td> <td></td> <td>40,000.00</td> <td></td> <td>40,000.00</td> <td></td> <td>40,000.00</td>	egional Plannin	Commission		40,000.00		40,000.00		40,000.00		40,000.00
Western Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.   \$ 40,000.00   \$ 40,000.00   \$ 30,000.00   \$ 5   \$ 80,000.00   \$ 5   \$ 80,000.00   \$ 5   \$ 30,000.00   \$ 5   \$ 30,000.00   \$ 5	-	=		-		•		-		88,000.00
MDDT Region Participation   \$ 80,000.00   \$ 41,440.00   \$ 30,000.00   \$ PASER Quality Review Contract   \$ 5 .000.00   \$ 5 .000				· ·	1 '			-	1	54,000.00
PASER Quality Review Contract		ional Planning & Devel.		•		· ·		-		42,000.00
Fed. Aid Data Collection & RPO/MPO Program Total   \$ 1,116,400.00   \$ 1,127,840.00   \$ 1,116,400.00   \$ 378,550.00   \$ 374,9	-			80,000.00		· ·		-		30,000.00
TAMC Central Data Agency (Center for Shared Solutions)   \$ 38,000.00   \$ 378,560.00   \$ 378,560.00   \$ 225,00	•			-	· ·					50,000.00
Training & Education Program (Michigan Tech University)   \$ 235,000.00   \$ 220,000.00   \$ 225,000.00   \$ 13,000.00   \$ 13,000.00   \$ 13,000.00   \$ 13,000.00   \$ 13,000.00   \$ 13,000.00   \$ 13,000.00   \$ 13,000.00   \$ 13,000.00   \$ 10,000.									ı	1,116,400.00
Activities Program (Michigan Tech University)   \$ 115,000.00   \$ 120,000.00   \$ 115,000.00   \$ 17MC Expenses   \$ 10,000.00   \$				•					Ι'	374,950.00
Fall Conference Expenses Fall Conference Expenses Spring Conference Spring				-		•		-	1	225,000.00
Fall Conference Expenses		in University)	۶	115,000.00	>	120,000.00	Þ	115,000.00	)	115,000.00
Spring Conference Expenses			ė	10,000,00	خ	10,000,00	ċ	10,000,00	ċ	10,000.00
Unallocated / Contingency	-			•		· ·				10,000.00
TAMC Expenses   \$ 10,000.00   \$ 10,000.00   \$ 10,000.00   \$     Total Program   \$ 1,876,400.00   \$ 1,876,4	-	•		10,000.00		10,000.00				10,000.00
TAMC Expenses Total   \$ 30,000.00   \$ 40,000.00   \$ 1,876,400.00   \$ 1,8	. •			10 000 00		10 000 00		-		10,000.00
Sample	Tren Expenses	TAMC Expenses Total			_					40,000.00
Special Projects (with separate budgets)   MI Local Agency Culvert Inventory Pilot (FY18 HB4320 S-3)   Central Data Agency (MCSS)			_	•	·	-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>	1,871,350.00
MI Local Agency Culvert Inventory Pilot (FY18 HB4320 S-3)         \$ 15,000.00         \$ - \$ 25,000.00         \$           Central Data Agency (MCSS)         \$ 172,100.00         \$ - \$ 56,000.00         \$           MTU Culvert Project Activities & Training Program         \$ 172,100.00         \$ - \$ 56,000.00         \$           TAMC Administration & Contingency         \$ 84,438.00         \$ - \$ 387,171.13         \$           Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development         \$ 88,641.00         \$ - \$ 5         \$ - \$         \$           East Michigan Council of Governments         \$ 328,607.00         \$ - \$ 5         \$ - \$         \$ - \$         \$ - \$         \$ 5         \$ 5         \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$			\$	3,876,400.00	\$	1,876,400.00	\$	1,876,400.00	\$	1,876,400.00
MI Local Agency Culvert Inventory Pilot (FY18 HB4320 S-3)         \$ 15,000.00         \$ - \$ 25,000.00         \$           Central Data Agency (MCSS)         \$ 15,000.00         \$ - \$ 56,000.00         \$           MTU Culvert Project Activities & Training Program         \$ 172,100.00         \$ - \$ 56,000.00         \$           TAMC Administration & Contingency         \$ 84,438.00         \$ - \$ 387,171.13         \$           Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development         \$ 88,641.00         \$ - \$ 5         \$ - \$         \$           East Michigan Council of Governments         \$ 328,607.00         \$ - \$ 5         \$ - \$         \$ 5										
MI Local Agency Culvert Inventory Pilot (FY18 HB4320 S-3)         \$ 15,000.00         \$ - \$ 25,000.00         \$           Central Data Agency (MCSS)         \$ 172,100.00         \$ - \$ 56,000.00         \$           MTU Culvert Project Activities & Training Program         \$ 172,100.00         \$ - \$ 56,000.00         \$           TAMC Administration & Contingency         \$ 84,438.00         \$ - \$ 387,171.13         \$           Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development         \$ 88,641.00         \$ - \$ 5         \$ - \$         \$           East Michigan Council of Governments         \$ 328,607.00         \$ - \$ 5         \$ - \$         \$ - \$         \$ - \$         \$ 5         \$ 5         \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ - \$	- /···:th									
Central Data Agency (MCSS)         \$ 15,000.00         \$ - \$ 25,000.00         \$           MTU Culvert Project Activities & Training Program         \$ 172,100.00         \$ - \$ 56,000.00         \$           TAMC Administration & Contingency         \$ 84,438.00         \$ - \$ 387,171.13         \$           Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development         \$ 88,641.00         \$ - \$ - \$         \$           East Michigan Council of Governments         \$ 328,607.00         \$ - \$ - \$         \$         \$           Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.         \$ 5,688.00         \$ - \$ - \$         \$										
MTU Culvert Project Activities & Training Program  TAMC Administration & Contingency  Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development  East Michigan Council of Governments  Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.  Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com.  Grand Valley Metropolitan Council  Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study  Northeast Michigan Council of Governments  Region 2 Planning Commission  Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Council of Governments  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Tri-County Regional Planning Commission  West Michigan Regional Planning Commission  West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Com.	-	ry Pilot (F118 HB4320 5-3)		15 000 00	ے		ć	25 000 00	۲	
TAMC Administration & Contingency  Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development  East Michigan Council of Governments  Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.  Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning Com.  Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com.  Grand Valley Metropolitan Council  Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study  Northeast Michigan Council of Governments  Networks Northwest  Region 2 Planning Commission  Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Council of Governments  Southwest Michigan Council of Governments  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Tri-County Regional Planning Commission  South Regional Planning Commission  Tri-County Regional Planning Commission  South Regional Planning Commission  Mest Michigan Regional Planning Commission  South Regional Planning Regional Development Com.		9. Training Dragram				-				-
Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development  East Michigan Council of Governments  Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.  Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com.  Grand Valley Metropolitan Council  Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study  Northeast Michigan Council of Governments  Networks Northwest  Region 2 Planning Commission  Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Council of Governments  Southwest Michigan Council of Governments  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Tri-County Regional Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Regional Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Regional Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Regional Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Regional Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Regional Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Regional Planning Commission	-			,	1	-		-		-
East Michigan Council of Governments  Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.  Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com.  Grand Valley Metropolitan Council  Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study  Northeast Michigan Council of Governments  Networks Northwest  Region 2 Planning Commission  Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Council of Governments  Southwest Michigan Council of Governments  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Tri-County Regional Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Regional Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Regional Planning Regional Pla				•	1 '	_		307,171.13		
Eastern Upper Peninsula Regional Planning & Devel.  Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com.  Grand Valley Metropolitan Council  Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study  Northeast Michigan Council of Governments  Networks Northwest  Region 2 Planning Commission  Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Council of Governments  Southwest Michigan Council of Governments  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Planning Commission  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Tri-County Regional Planning Commission  West Michigan Regional Planning Commission  West Michigan Regional Development Com.				•	1 '	_		_	1	_
Genesee Lapeer Shiawasse Region V Planning Com.         \$ 124,909.00         \$ -         \$ -         \$ \$           Grand Valley Metropolitan Council         \$ 77,782.00         \$ -         \$ -         \$ \$           Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study         \$ 50,402.00         \$ -         \$ -         \$ \$           Northeast Michigan Council of Governments         \$ 33,506.00         \$ -         \$ -         \$ -         \$ \$           Networks Northwest         \$ 184,513.00         \$ -				•	1	_		_		_
Grand Valley Metropolitan Council         \$ 77,782.00         \$ -		•				_				_
Kalamazoo Area Transportation Study       \$ 50,402.00       \$ - <td< td=""><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>•</td><td>1</td><td>-</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>_</td></td<>		-		•	1	-		-		_
Northeast Michigan Council of Governments         \$ 33,506.00         \$ - \$         \$ - \$           Networks Northwest         \$ 184,513.00         \$ - \$         \$ - \$           Region 2 Planning Commission         \$ 54,900.00         \$ - \$         \$ - \$           Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission         \$ 93,456.00         \$ - \$         \$ - \$           Southeast Michigan Council of Governments         \$ 87,644.00         \$ - \$         \$ - \$           Southwest Michigan Planning Commission         \$ 101,849.00         \$ - \$         \$ - \$           Tri-County Regional Planning Commission         \$ 47,587.00         \$ - \$         \$ - \$           West Michigan Regional Planning Commission         \$ 241,511.00         \$ - \$         \$ - \$           West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Com.         \$ 144,238.00         \$ - \$         \$ - \$	-			•	1 '	-		-		_
Networks Northwest         \$ 184,513.00         \$ -<	· ·	-			1 '	-		-		-
Region 2 Planning Commission       \$ 54,900.00       \$ -       \$ -       \$ -       \$ 5 4,900.00       \$ -       \$ -       \$ -       \$ 5 4,900.00       \$ -       \$ -       \$ -       \$ 5 4,900.00       \$ -       \$ -       \$ 5 4,900.00       \$ -       \$ -       \$ 5 4,900.00       \$ -       \$ -       \$ 5 4,900.00       \$ -       \$ -       \$ 5 5,900.00       \$ -       \$ 5 5,900.00       \$ -       \$ 5 5,900.00       \$ -       \$ 5 5,900.00       \$ -       \$ 5 5,900.00       \$ -       \$ 5 5,900.00       \$ -       \$ 5 5,900.00       \$ -       \$ 5 5,900.00       \$ 5	-			•	1	-		-		-
Southcentral Michigan Planning Commission  Southeast Michigan Council of Governments  Southwest Michigan Planning Commission  Tri-County Regional Planning Commission  West Michigan Regional Planning Commission  West Michigan Regional Planning Commission  West Michigan Regional Planning Commission  \$ 241,511.00 \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$		١		•	1 '	-		-		-
Southeast Michigan Council of Governments \$ 87,644.00 \$ - \$ - \$ \$ Southwest Michigan Planning Commission \$ 101,849.00 \$ - \$ - \$ \$ Tri-County Regional Planning Commission \$ 47,587.00 \$ - \$ - \$ \$ West Michigan Regional Planning Commission \$ 241,511.00 \$ - \$ - \$ \$ West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Com.	-			•	1	-		-		-
Southwest Michigan Planning Commission \$ 101,849.00 \$ - \$ - \$ Tri-County Regional Planning Commission \$ 47,587.00 \$ - \$ - \$ West Michigan Regional Planning Commission \$ 241,511.00 \$ - \$ - \$ West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Com.	_	_		•	1 '	-		-		-
Tri-County Regional Planning Commission \$ 47,587.00 \$ - \$ - \$ West Michigan Regional Planning Commission \$ 241,511.00 \$ - \$ - \$ West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Com. \$ 144,238.00 \$ - \$ - \$	-			•	1 '	-		-		-
West Michigan Regional Planning Commission\$ 241,511.00\$ -\$ -West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Com.\$ 144,238.00\$ -\$ -	-				1	-		-		-
West Michigan Shoreline Regional Development Com. \$ 144,238.00 \$ - \$ - \$	an Regional Pla	ing Commission	\$	241,511.00	\$	-		-		-
	an Shoreline Re	onal Development Com.	\$	144,238.00	\$	-		-		-
	oer Peninsula R	ional Planning & Devel.	\$	63,229.00	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
Culvert Pilot Project Total \$ 2,000,000.00 \$ - \$ 468,171.13 \$		Culvert Pilot Project Total	_	2,000,000.00	-	-	<u> </u>		\$	-
Total Special Projects \$ 2,000,000.00 \$ - \$ 468,171.13 \$ Appropriation \$ 2,000,000.00 \$ 1,876,400.00 \$ 1,8	rojects		\$		\$	-		•		-