

**TRANSPORTATION ASSET MANAGEMENT COUNCIL  
DATA COMMITTEE**

August 21, 2019 at 1:00 p.m.  
MDOT Aeronautics Building, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Commission Conference Room  
2700 Port Lansing Road  
Lansing, Michigan  
**MINUTES**

**\*\*Frequently Used Acronyms Attached**

**Members Present:**

Bill McEntee, CRA – Chair  
Jonathan Start, MTPA/KATS – Vice Chair  
Jennifer Tubbs, MTA

Bob Slattery, MML  
Rob Surber, DTMB/CSS

**Support Staff Present:**

Niles Annelin, MDOT  
Chris Gilbertson, MTU/LTAP via Telephone  
Dave Jennett, MDOT  
Tim Lemon, MDOT  
Craig Newell, MDOT

Roger Belknap, MDOT  
Cheryl Granger, DTMB/CSS  
Tim Lauxmann, DTMB/CSS  
Kyle Nelson, MDOT  
Gloria Strong, MDOT

**Members Absent:**

None

**Public Present:**

None

**1. Welcome – Call-to-Order – Introductions:**

The meeting was called-to-order at 1:05 p.m. Kyle Nelson, MDOT, was introduced and welcomed to the meeting.

**2. Public Comments on Non-Agenda Items:**

None

**3. Consent Agenda:**

**3.1. – Approval of July 24, 2019 Data Committee Meeting Minutes – *Action Item* (Attachment 1)**

**3.2. – TAMC Budget Update (Attachment 2)**

An updated financial report (08/15/2019) was provided to the committee. R. Belknap gave a brief general update.

**3.3. – TAMC Fall Conference – Save-the-Date, October 30, 2019, Marquette - G. Strong/R. Belknap (Attachment 3)**

The TAMC Fall Asset Management Conference will be held at the Holiday Inn of Marquette, October 30, 2019, jointly with the Central Upper Peninsula Planning and Development Regional Commission (CUPPAD)/Michigan Infrastructure Council (MIC) Asset Management Summit in the afternoon. Summits will be held on Monday, Tuesday, and Thursday of the same week, the Houghton, Escanaba, Sault Ste. Marie.

**Motion:** J. Start made a motion to approve the July 24, 2019 draft meeting minutes with two minor amendments to page 2; R. Slattery seconded the motion. The motion was approved by all members present.

**Motion:** R. Slattery made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda; J. Start seconded the motion. The motion was approved by all members present.

#### **4. TAMC Pavement Condition Forecast System Scenarios Presentation – T. Lemon:**

T. Lemon was unable to present today due to having other high priority work items that he had to perform. He will provide a forecast to the committee at a later date. There is progress being made however on the forecasting data efforts. The Bridge Committee was also asked to provide the same type of forecasting for bridges and will present those results at the November full Council Meeting.

#### **5. Review and Discussion Items:**

##### **5.1. – Next Steps for the Traffic Signal Pilot Effort – B. McEntee (Memo/Attachment 4)**

B. McEntee informed the committee that there is nothing to update on this effort since the last Data Committee meeting.

##### **5.2. – Investment Reporting Compliance Review Update – R. Belknap (Attachment 5)**

R. Belknap gave a brief update on the status of local agencies investment reporting compliance efforts as of August 15, 2019.

**Action Item:** Support staff will create a procedural document for the IRT that will show how it connects to mandatory legislation, such as Act 51, Public Act 325, etc., policies, and what is in the IRT.

##### **5.3. – Status of 2019 PASER Data Collection – R. Belknap (Attachment 6) -**

R. Belknap provided a brief review of a “2019 – PASER Status by County” document through August 15, 2019. A simple list of the files that have been uploaded for non-federal aid data sets has been added to this report.

##### **5.4. – Michigan Technological University’s Center for Technology and Training 2020 Work Plan (Memo) – C. Gilbertson**

The work plan is basically the same as last year with an additional proposal for a culvert work plan.

##### **5.4.1. – MTU-CTT TAMC 2020 Education Proposal (Attachment 7)**

The content is the same as last year with an additional PASER training in Detroit, and an additional bridge asset management training. Trainings for the asset management plans are also included in this proposal.

##### **5.4.2. – MTU-CTT TAMC 2020 Activities Proposal (Attachment 8)**

The content is the same as last year except as previously discussed above.

**Motion:** J. Start made a motion that the Data Committee approve the MTU work plans for training education and technical assistance activities, and forward on to the full TAMC for their review and approval; J. Tubbs seconded the motion. The motion was approved by all members present.

##### **5.5. – Website/Dashboard/Investment Reporting Tool (IRT) Update – C. Granger**

##### **5.5.1. – IRT-Warranty Updates**

CSS is currently wrapping up warranty work. They have been testing it with a few counties and it is working well. They received suggestions for possible changes that will be addressed at a later date. They will keep the warranties section “hidden” in the IRT until questions are answered about program responsibilities and trainings are conducted in October and November. Agencies must have a resolution by September 18, 2019, as to whether or not they are going to participate in the program.

**5.5.2. – 3-Year Planned Improvements and State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Integration – B. McEntee**

The work program that was provided by CSS did not include this effort. The ADARS rewrite project has been postponed until FY 2020. CSS added future planned projects from the STIP coordination efforts to this fiscal year, which mostly includes analysis that will be performed this fiscal year. Because of the Michigan Geographic Framework (MGF) efforts, Mark Holmes, DTMB/CSS, will take on a portion of this effort, which will bring the TAMC participation time down to approximately 150 hours to do the portal, which will make it more efficient and produce better quality data.

It was requested that CSS modify their work program with an estimate of costs to begin working on these efforts. Data mapping and other efforts may need a small amount of extra funding added towards these efforts to the past work program. The projects in the STIP are mostly MDOT projects and all federally funded projects are from the local agencies. TAMC would like both the MDOT and local agencies projects integrated. JobNet may be a good starting point to get information from towards this effort. CSS believes it will take approximately 400 hours to complete the planned projects integration effort for the whole STIP project - CSS estimated 150 hours for analysis and 250 hours for the dashboard portal.

**Motion:** B. Slattery made a motion for CSS to use the current approved FY 2020 budget which utilizes 400 hours and redirect those hours towards the STIP/JobNet analysis and integration of planned projects into the IRT followed by dashboard updates; J. Start seconded the motion. The motion was approved by all members present.

**Action Item:** CSS will add an acronym and glossary list to the TAMC Website.

**5.5.3. – IRT Modifications for the Submittal of Asset Management Plans – D. Jennett**

D. Jennett informed the committee that their current focus is on the TAMP submissions. CSS and TAMC support staff have been working on the entry page in the IRT for agencies to complete for the required TAMP template. Agencies may use the template provided by MTU or they may use one of their choosing as long as requirements of Public Act 325 are met in the plan.

**5.6. – 2019 TAMC Annual Report Early Planning – B. McEntee**

The Data Committee plans to have more discussions on messaging with the MIC and WAMC. A couple of suggestions were to create a short video that simplifies what MIC, TAMC, and WAMC does and/or report out on a 3-year rotation for non-federal aid roads. These can be discussed with T. Lemon, the TAMC Data Analyst.

B. McEntee did a very informative comparison PowerPoint presentation “Understanding PASER Rating: Bringing Conversations Home.” It is a comparison of upkeep of an average home to the upkeep of roads to simplify road issues and upkeep for the average citizen. It is a presentation that was felt would be good to share with the public and legislation. B. McEntee would like to include a couple of these comparisons in the annual report. Any comments are welcome and should be provided to B. McEntee.

**6. Public Comments:**

None

**7. Member Comments:**

None

**8. Adjournment:**

**Motion:** R. Slattery made a motion to adjourn the meeting; J. Start seconded the motion. The motion was approved by all members present. The meeting adjourned at 2:56 p.m. The next TAMC Data Committee meeting is scheduled

for September 18, 2019, at 1:00 p.m., MDOT Aeronautics Building, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Commission Conference Room, 2700 Port Lansing Road, Lansing.

<b>TAMC FREQUENTLY USED ACRONYMS:</b>	
<b>AASHTO</b>	AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF STATE HIGHWAY AND TRANSPORTATION OFFICIALS
<b>ACE</b>	ADMINISTRATION, COMMUNICATION, AND EDUCATION (TAMC COMMITTEE)
<b>ACT-51</b>	PUBLIC ACT 51 OF 1951-DEFINITION: A CLASSIFICATION SYTEM DESIGNED TO DISTRIBUTE MICHIGAN'S ACT 51 FUNDS. A ROADWAY MUST BE CLASSIFIED ON THE ACT 51 LIST TO RECEIVE STATE MONEY.
<b>ADA</b>	AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT
<b>ADARS</b>	ACT 51 DISTRIBUTION AND REPORTING SYSTEM
<b>BTP</b>	BUREAU OF TRANSPORTATION PLANNING (MDOT)
<b>CFM</b>	COUNCIL ON FUTURE MOBILITY
<b>CPM</b>	CAPITAL PREVENTATIVE MAINTENANCE
<b>CRA</b>	COUNTY ROAD ASSOCIATION (OF MICHIGAN)
<b>CSD</b>	CONTRACT SERVICES DIVISION (MDOT)
<b>CSS</b>	CENTER FOR SHARED SOLUTIONS
<b>DI</b>	DISTRESS INDEX
<b>ESC</b>	EXTENDED SERVICE CONTRACT
<b>FAST</b>	FIXING AMERICA'S SURFACE TRANSPORTATION ACT
<b>FHWA</b>	FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
<b>FOD</b>	FINANCIAL OPERATIONS DIVISION (MDOT)
<b>FY</b>	FISCAL YEAR
<b>GLS REGION V</b>	GENESEE-LAPEER-SHIAWASSEE REGION V PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION
<b>GVMC</b>	GRAND VALLEY METRO COUNCIL
<b>HPMS</b>	HIGHWAY PERFORMANCE MONITORING SYSTEM
<b>IBR</b>	INVENTORY BASED RATING
<b>IRI</b>	INTERNATIONAL ROUGHNESS INDEX
<b>IRT</b>	INVESTMENT REPORTING TOOL
<b>KATS</b>	KALAMAZOO AREA TRANSPORTATION STUDY
<b>KCRC</b>	KENT COUNTY ROAD COMMISSION
<b>LDC</b>	LAPTOP DATA COLLECTORS
<b>LTAP</b>	LOCAL TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM
<b>MAC</b>	MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES
<b>MAP-21</b>	MOVING AHEAD FOR PROGRESS IN THE 21 <sup>ST</sup> CENTURY (ACT)
<b>MAR</b>	MICHIGAN ASSOCIATION OF REGIONS
<b>MDOT</b>	MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
<b>MDTMB</b>	MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF TECHNOLOGY, MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
<b>MIC</b>	MICHIGAN INFRASTRUCTURE COMMISSION
<b>MITA</b>	MICHIGAN INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRANSPORTATION ASSOCIATION
<b>MML</b>	MICHIGAN MUNICIPAL LEAGUE
<b>MPO</b>	METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION
<b>MTA</b>	MICHIGAN TOWNSHIPS ASSOCIATION
<b>MTF</b>	MICHIGAN TRANSPORTATION FUNDS
<b>MTPA</b>	MICHIGAN TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ASSOCIATION
<b>MTU</b>	MICHIGAN TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY
<b>NBI</b>	NATIONAL BRIDGE INVENTORY
<b>NBIS</b>	NATIONAL BRIDGE INSPECTION STANDARDS
<b>NFA</b>	NON-FEDERAL AID
<b>NFC</b>	NATIONAL FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

<b>NHS</b>	NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM
<b>PASER</b>	PAVEMENT SURFACE EVALUATION AND RATING
<b>PNFA</b>	PAVED NON-FEDERAL AID
<b>PWA</b>	PUBLIC WORKS ASSOCIATION
<b>QA/QC</b>	QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL
<b>RBI</b>	ROAD BASED INVENTORY
<b>RCKC</b>	ROAD COMMISSION OF KALAMAZOO COUNTY
<b>ROW</b>	RIGHT-OF-WAY
<b>RPA</b>	REGIONAL PLANNING AGENCY
<b>RPO</b>	REGIONAL PLANNING ORGANIZATION
<b>SEMCOG</b>	SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS
<b>STC</b>	STATE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION
<b>STP</b>	STATE TRANSPORTATION PROGRAM
<b>TAMC</b>	TRANSPORTATION ASSET MANAGEMENT COUNCIL
<b>TAMCSD</b>	TRANSPORTATION ASSET MANAGEMENT COUNCIL SUPPORT DIVISION
<b>TAMP</b>	TRANSPORTATION ASSET MANAGEMENT PLAN
<b>TPM</b>	TRANSPORTATION PERFORMANCE MEASURES
<b>UWP</b>	UNIFIED WORK PROGRAM

S:/GLORIASTRONG/TAMC FREQUENTLY USED ACRONYMS.08.22.2019.GMS