APPROVED - 1/13/15

City of Ecorse

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, December 9, 2014

Ecorse City Hall

Council Chambers

Albert B. Buday Civic Center

3869 West Jefferson

Ecorse, Michigan 48229

Reported by:

Nina Lunsford

Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC

SCAO FIRM NO. 08228

101-A North Lewis Street

Saline, Michigan 48176

(734) 429-9143/nel

```
1
              (At 9:01 a.m. meeting called to order.)
              MR. KORYZNO: Good morning, it's 9:01, and I'll call the
2
3
    City of Ecorse Receivership Transition Advisory Board to order.
4
    Van de Grift, roll call?
 5
              MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Robert Bovitz?
              MR. BOVITZ:
 6
                            Here.
7
              MR. VAN DE GRIFT:
                                 Joyce Parker?
8
              (No audible response)
9
              MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Ed Koryzno?
              MR. KORYZNO: Here. I'll note for the audience that Ms.
10
11
    Parker's calling in, and will be participating by phone in the
12
    meeting. But pursuant to the Open Meetings Act, she cannot vote.
13
              Next item is approval of the agenda. I would like to add
14
    reconsideration of the RTAB evaluation and letter for technical
15
    amendments to the agenda.
              MR. BOVITZ: So moved.
16
17
              MR. KORYZNO: Support. All in favor?
18
              MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
              MR. KORYZNO: Aye. Let's add that to Item E, under old
19
20
    business.
21
              Next item is Item C, approval of the RTAB minutes of
22
    November 18th, 2014, with noted clarifications.
23
              MR. BOVITZ: Move that we adopt the minutes, as corrected.
24
              MR. KORYZNO: Support. Any further discussion?
25
    none, all in favor of the motion say aye.
```

MR. BOVITZ: Aye.

2 MR. KORYZNO: Aye. Opposed, same sign.

Motion is approved.

Number two on the agenda is old business, five year budget. Because the City has hired an Interim City Administrator, it is expected that a realistic and balanced five year budget will be prepared without delay. The Board will recall that the mandate to develop an RTAB approved five year budget was originally ordered by Emergency Manager Parker on April 30th, 2013.

Mr. O'Neal, can you give us an update on whether this has been completed or not?

MR. O'NEAL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman and members of the RTAB board. Discussions I've had with Mr. McCurley, our controller, since the three weeks I've been here. Yesterday, we finalized probably 95 percent of the five year budget. The budget for the 2000 -- major budget adjustments to the 2014-15 budget. The first year budget for the 2015-16, and the remainder of the five year budget.

Remaining for me to do, is to meet with my department heads, and discuss several options for decreasing of expenditures and also I was looking for areas of expenditures, and relative to the next item on the agenda, the five year budget and the major budget adjustments for the 2014-15 fiscal year, will be covered without the use of fund balances, directed by Ms. Parker, without looking at the major budget and doing an overall look, and we've

done that. And we're able to provide those expenditures without the use of existing fund balance.

We've identified additional revenues, and those addition revenues are not monies, I believe, that were a million dollars from the United States to put in a piggy bank; we're talking about revenues over and above that. So we're looking at revenue neutral for 2014-15 relative to the budget amendments, but we're also anticipating an increase in fund balance for the 14-15 year.

Our goal is to, in the five year budget, is to try to make that as neutral as possible, per the direction of the RTAB board, of using fund balance. That's our goal. Depending on my discussions with the department heads and how we can reduce some costs, and some modifications that were done, that Mr. Strand had done to the five year budget, we're looking to get this as neutral as possible, knowing full well that in the 15-16 budget year, we're looking at an increase in MERS of about \$500,000. And we're looking at that escalating over the next few years; we're also anticipating additional expenditures for cost of living, of just overall budget area, that go up.

And while we realize that once we get at the end of that five year budget, that the \$500,00 loan payment kicks in, we're taking all that into effect, and so that's why I need to talk to my department heads. We hope to have that to you, to the TAB board, and approved and gone forward in the first meeting in January, so, I hope to have a, and coupled with that, if I may, Mr. Chairman, there

was -- the RTAB had asked about goals and objectives, and the vision thing that was going on.

We're looking at all that, and I'm going to develop -notify the Mayor and the Council about those things. We're going to
move forward with that. The goals and objectives, and the whole
budgetary thing at one time, and I'm hoping to have as much of that
done within the next 30 days, knowing full well we've got the
holidays. But I want the Board to know that that five year budget
is done. It's, fine tuning it so that you like, I like it -- well,
we're not going to like it. But we're doing the best we can with
it, is what I'm trying to say.

MR. KORYZNO: For the record, could you identify yourself, please? I forgot to ask you to do that.

MR. O'NEAL: I'm sorry, D. Wayne O'Neal, the Interim City Administrator.

MR. KORYZNO: Thank you.

MR. O'NEAL: So, we're doing the best we can with it. And I've got a lot of moving parts here, Mr. Chairman, as you well know, and so, I'm just happy that was -- it's not all brand new, because I would be, still stepping in postholes, I'd be in quicksand, but it's good, so, we're moving forward. So you'll be pleased to know that.

MR. KORYZNO: All right, thank you. Any questions for Mr. O'Neal, from the board members? Seeing none, we'll move on. Item B, approval of Resolution 356.14, budget amendment 2015-4, purchases for DPW. Mr. O'Neal, has the City responded to board member

Parker's request, concerns, so this amendment is budget neutral. You just mentioned in your report you did so. It would be my preference, before we move on this, take action on this, is that we see how the budget appears on paper and so that we can confirm its impact on the current budget, and then the five year budget.

MR. O'NEAL: And I agree with that, Mr. Chairman. One thing I was -- I would like to bring up, there are some bills and some outstanding bills that need to be paid. And I have sliced and diced them. Looked at them, and I believe that they should be paid. I know that you didn't -- you're not approving the budget adjustment. But we are absolutely being badgered about payment. They have provided the services.

I don't want to slight the Board and pay those payments without the proper process, but the Board needs to know that while we need to do the budget adjustments, and I've indicated that we've made them revenue neutral relative to the current fiscal year. We need to move forward and pay those, pay the bills. And I want to move forward with that, and I want to move forward through the right process. And I'm not sure if they've come to the TAB board at all, or not.

But I will move through the proper process, so if you see them, come through, it's not meant to slight the TAB board and if you agree to not pay them because you don't like the budget, well that's another issue. But we need to move that process as well.

MR. KORYZNO: I understand. But I can't emphasize enough that procedure needs to be followed.

MR. O'NEAL: I hear you loud and clear, and Mr. Van de Grift and I had a talk about that in the hallway just now, and I assured him, as I assured you before, I'm not going to do anything administratively unless it's absolutely, the world's coming to an end. I get it. Thank you.

MR. KORYZNO: All right. I will leave this item on the table. Item C, approval of Resolution 357.14, budget amendment 2015-5, body camera purchase. All right, so.

MR. BOVITZ: Any update on the state grant requirements?

MR. MOORE: Mike Moore, Public Safety Director. As you probably have seen, the president came down with something about these body cameras; this is a big hotbed issue. As for current events nationally, we have some issues going on currently. From what I've heard, Michigan may possibly be exempt, even though the president did come down with something with these grants.

I've just recently read something about Michigan not qualifying, or somebody came down and said that Michigan somehow was going to be exempt, how they didn't, well, some politician put something in it that they didn't want it or something. I'm looking.

MS. BOOMS: Cassandra Booms, City Attorney. It was a newspaper article I saw about, I can't remember what it was, something in the legislature. Moving to have not Michigan accept

federal funding but for Michigan as a state to seek its own local funding. So then we wouldn't be able to get the federal money.

And where they thought that local funding was going to come from, I don't know, but it wasn't looking good for us, at least for the federal money. It's not, I don't think it's complete yet, like it's a final answer.

MR. MOORE: Also, I've had three vendors -- two vendors already come in. I've got a third that I've been looking to come in, to demonstrate these cameras. But just like body armor, these, if these things are mandated, usually the vendors come in with a grant application in hand. The last time I bought body armor, he came in, here it is, you can get half this paid for. We filled it out, and they paid for half of it, the federal government did.

So, I'm expecting that type of, same type of thing. If they come in, the vendors come in, we, if funding is available, they'll have that available, too. So it's still up in the air, pretty much as Attorney Booms says.

MR. KORYZNO: All right. Very good, thank you. I would recommend that this remain on the table as well. Item D, approval of Resolution 372.14, budget amendment 2015-3, Master Plan amendment.

MR. O'NEAL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If I may, I'd just like to talk about that a little bit.

MR. KORYZNO: For the record, Mr. O'Neal?

MR. O'NEAL: D. Wayne O'Neal, Interim City Administrator. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

MR. KORYZNO: Thank you.

MR. O'NEAL: I want the board to know that we're moving forward with the Master Plan. We received a \$15,000 grant; there's a match from the City. Part of that component was to do the Recreation Master Plan, as well as the City's overall Master Plan. As you know, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, that the Master Plan is required to be updated on the five year basis, and we haven't updated our Master Plan in some time.

This will put us in good stead for grants, so, that being said, I don't want to slight the Board by moving forward through McKinnon Associates about doing this process, relative to the fact that we do have that grant money available. And we need to keep the process going. I want to also, as part of that Master Plan process, I want to dovetail it in, the goals and objectives with the Mayor and the Council, relative to where we're going with the budget and all that.

The Master Plan is a perfect tool to help facilitate that, so I want you to know that, same comments as before, in the budget, and these additional comments.

MR. KORYZNO: Before you sit down, Mr. O'Neal -- the grant pays for what percentage of the cost of the Master Plan?

```
MR. O'NEAL: Well, I believe the cost of the Master Plan,
 1
 2
    I want to say that, talking with Mr. McKinnon, it was around
 3
    $12,000. Something like that.
              MR. KORYZNO: So that's the cost of the Master Plan?
 4
 5
              MR. O'NEAL: Yeah, he gave us an Ecorse price.
              MR. KORYZNO: And the amount of the grant is?
 6
7
              MR. O'NEAL: Well, the grant itself is $15,000, but part
8
    of the element is also the Recreation Master Plan. And I think that
                       I don't have the numbers right in front of me,
9
10
    but.
11
              MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Having the benefit of having -- Drew
12
    Van de Grift, Department of Treasury. The budget amendment request
    was for $16,500, of which $11,380 would draw on a state grant.
13
14
    balance of $5,120 would be paid from fund balance as it was
15
    submitted.
16
              MR. KORYZNO: Okay, so again, we have the same issue?
17
              MR. O'NEAL: Same issue, yes sir.
18
              MR. KORYZNO: Okay, questions from the Board for Mr.
             Ms. Parker, any questions?
19
              MS. PARKER: No, there's no questions.
20
21
              MR. KORYZNO: All right, then we'll leave this item on the
22
    table as well. Next item is the addition to the agenda, Item E,
23
    reconsideration of RTAB evaluation and transmittal letter. I asked
24
    the Board to consider adding this item to the agenda, and recommend
```

that the RTAB evaluation and transmittal letter to the Governor

receive suggested technical amendments, to resolve some inconsistency between the evaluation itself and the transmittal letter to the Governor.

I'll start with the letter. The Treasury Department officials feel that the, believe, that a recommendation is warranted to the Governor regarding status of the RTAB here in the community. The letter did not contain a recommendation and so, I'm suggesting to the Board that the letter be amended to read, first paragraph (reading) "On May 1st, 2013, you appointed the Ecorse Receivership Transition Advisory Board," that was just termed Receivership Transition Advisory Board before. Then the last sentence would be, "It is the recommendation of the Ecorse RTAB that the City remain in receivership".

That's the addition to the letter. Then the technical amendments to the evaluation document itself, on Section 1, a compliance with the deficit elimination plan. The first question that -- section, says that, "Has submission of a debt been, a deficit elimination plan, been required?"

The answer was no, but then the last question in that section reads, "Is the community in compliance with the deficit elimination plan provisions?" And the answer was yes, so, if there's no requirement for a plan, how can you be in compliance? So we, my recommendation is to change that, not to, to not applicable.

The next technical amendment would be in Section 1, organizational stability. "Does the local unit employee a

professional chief administrative officer?" The answer was yes, that would remain the same. However, under the optional comments, to date, the City has contracted with four city administrators. Which is factual.

The next technical amendment would be under Section 2, the degree of RTAB oversight. The question, "Has the local unit been providing timely and complete information to properly made requests from the RTAB?" The answer is yes, will remain yes, but under the optional comments, with exceptions, in adding, including a failure to submit a proper five year budget. The City has responded to the RTAB requests.

And then the last technical amendments would be under, continue under Section 2, level of effort. Question, "Is the local unit working cooperatively with the RTAB to accomplish identified priorities?" The previous answer was yes, the proposed answer is no. And that's because of the failure to provide a five year budget, failure to adopt a CGAP grant that was recommended by the Board, the continued turnover in city administrator appointments, and now, the departure of Plante Moran.

And then lastly, under level of effort, "Has the local unit refused to or failed to take actions required by state law emergency manager order?" Previous answer was yes, proposed answer is no. Or excuse me, the proposed — previous answer was no, the proposed answer is yes, and that refers to the emergency manager order requiring Plante Moran to have remained in the employ of the

```
1
    City until June of 2015. And the five year -- failure to adopt the
 2
    five year budget. So, board members, do you have any questions
    regarding the technical amendments? Ms. Parker?
 3
 4
              MS. PARKER: Not -- no.
 5
              MR. KORYZNO: Mr. Bovitz?
              MR. KORYZNO: All right. Then I would entertain a motion
 6
 7
    to approve the technical amendments, and send them to the Governor.
 8
              MR. BOVITZ:
                           So moved.
 9
              MR. KORYZNO: Support. Any further discussion?
10
              MR. BOVITZ: Just feel like we've been audited by the
11
    state.
12
              MR. KORYZNO: We want to make sure that when this report
13
    goes to the Governor, that it's proper. Seeing no further
14
    discussion, all in favor of the motion say aye.
15
              MR. BOVITZ:
                           Aye.
16
              MR. KORYZNO: Aye. Opposed, same sign. Motion's
17
    approved. All right. Continuing on, section of new business.
18
    A, approval of resolutions and ordinances for City Council meetings.
19
    Item 1, resolutions from regular City Council meeting of October
20
    28th, 2014. I'll entertain a motion.
21
              MR. BOVITZ: Move to accept the minutes from the 2000 --
22
    or, October 28th, 2014, with the exception of Resolution 388.14.
23
              MR. KORYZNO: Support. Any discussion? Seeing none, all
24
    in favor of the motion say aye.
25
              MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
```

MR. KORYZNO: Aye. Opposed, same sign. The motion is approved. Resolution 388.14, the Board has not received any information about this matter; is the City Attorney's office satisfied that this notice to proceed is proper?

MS. BOOMS: Cassandra Booms, City Attorney. I can speak to this. What happened -- is the Board aware of or understand the concept of the notice to proceed?

MR. KORYZNO: Yes.

MS. BOOMS: Okay. Usually, the city would give the notice to proceed. In this particular case, Hennessy did it for the city without the council taking action, because Hennessy gave the notice to proceed. The City Attorney's office then went to the council; council made that motion after the fact, basically, after Hennessy did it. While this is not the usual order of contracts of this nature, it doesn't expose the City to any additional liability; it's just not the usual practice.

And it's -- I know Mr. Wycoff is much more familiar with this than I am because he has specifically reviewed these contracts and I haven't, but I spoke with him yesterday, and that was the general consensus, that we were just, Hennessy gave the letter, we were following up after the fact. And there was no reason that the project shouldn't continue as is. Does that satisfy all the answers?

MR. KORYZNO: I think so; Mr. Bovitz, Ms. Parker, any questions?

- 1 MS. PARKER: No.
- 2 MR. KORYZNO: All right, thank you.
- 3 MS. BOOMS: All right, thank you.
- MR. BOVITZ: Move that we accept Resolution 388.14 as part of the October 28, 2014 council meeting.
- 6 MR. KORYZNO: Support. Any further discussion? Been
 7 moved and supported to approve Resolution 388.14, all in favor of
 8 the motion say aye.
- 9 MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
- MR. KORYZNO: Aye. Opposed same sign. Motion is approved. Item 2, resolutions from regular City Council meeting of November 10, 2014.
- MR. BOVITZ: Move to accept the minutes of November 10th, 2014 council meeting with the exception of Resolution 403.14.
- MR. KORYZNO: Support. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of the motion say aye.
- 17 MR. BOVITZ: Aye.

23

24

- MR. KORYZNO: Aye. Opposed same sign. Motion is
 approved. Resolution 403.14, the Board has not received any
 information about this matter. Mr. O'Neal, can you speak to the
 reasons why the City is withdrawing from the Wayne County Library?
- 22 MR. O'NEAL: I think Cassandra's chomping at the bit.
 - MS. BOOMS: Cassandra Booms, from the City Attorney's office. Wayne, by all means, if you want to speak to it, you're welcome to. I know I just sent him a letter, so I figured instead

of him rehashing my letter, I would just -- you get right from the horse.

MR. KORYZNO: That's acceptable.

MS. BOOMS: Okay. From what I understand, that Ecorse Public Library's part of the Wayne County Library system, there's other municipalities that are part of that group, other municipalities are leaving and having their own public library, not being part of the county's system. As more people leave, there's the chance that the system's increased costs will go up, and Ecorse will have to have a bigger share.

So the Council has decided they want to withdraw. And that's basically the only action that has taken. That has been taken. They can't actually leave until they get their funding, and new employees in place, and there's many, many things that need to be outlined, whether it's going to be a cooperative, a cooperative effort with another municipality, or if they're going to create their own under ordinance, or via some other option, I suppose.

So right now, I've outlined the different options and the actions that need to be taken for the City Administrator, and he is going to, from what I understand, work on it, trying to figure out the best option for the City. But currently, the City can't leave until it has its funding in place, and has new employees in place. Wayne County will not allow them to, what I was told from Wayne County.

MR. KORYZNO: So is this an attempt to control the cost for a library at the city level, as opposed to dictating the cost from the county?

MS. BOOMS: Yes, it is. Right now, the way it works,
Wayne County just sends a bill, and the city pays it. And library
services are very important in this city -- well, then most cities.
But in that Wayne County bill, for example, we pay for corp counsel
services. We don't use Wayne County corp counsel, their attorneys.
I don't really see how that's part of the library. They have
different county costs added on.

If more people leave the system and the cost goes up, it's just going to be more expensive for us. So we're hoping with the money that we already have dedicated to it, and maybe whatever else going on with millages and other fees and things, that we'll be able to bring the costs down, and maintain the services. The ultimate is to bring the costs down. And control it.

MR. KORYZNO: All right, any questions from the Board?

MS. PARKER: Just a couple of comments. I think it would
be helpful to find out the impact would be on the City's budget as
part of the process. And then secondly, the City of Allen Park just
recently took the same steps, to withdraw from the county system and
it might be helpful to make contact with Allen Park library
director, to kind of talk about the analysis that took place.

MS. BOOMS: Right, most recently, I believe, Lincoln Park, River Rouge, and Allen Park, which are all similar. And I know

- another city attorney, L.C. Williams (phonetic), has been reaching out to our neighboring communities, asking them how do they analyze the situation.
- 4 MR. KORYZNO: Ms. Parker, do you have any objections to 5 the withdrawal?
 - MS. PARKER: No, I do not, but I think that analysis needs to be brought back to the City Council and to the RTAB.
- 8 MR. KORYZNO: Okay. All right.

6

7

15

16

17

- MS. BOOMS: I would agree, and I try to strongly encourage that you need to think about not only the financing, but how it's going to be run. Because that control's going to come back to the City, so you have to have a library board that is very strong, and functional. They have to maintain budgets and report to the state, and report to the council.
 - And that's a big difference than what's going on now, and I've tried to stress that, and I'm sure Wayne understands completely the need for that. You can't just withdraw and think everything's going to work out. I mean, we even have to do all the work.
- MR. O'NEAL: Mr. Chairman, that's basically what I was going to indicate --
- 21 MR. KORYZNO: For the record?
- 22 MR. O'NEAL: D. Wayne O'Neal, City Administrator.
- MR. KORYZNO: Thank you.
- MR. O'NEAL: You know, I know that the libraries were part of the Wayne Oakland Library Federation, and the library network, I

think, was the -- went beyond that. And why that went by the wayside, I don't know. And I agree with the fact that because the number of libraries within the organization are dwindling, the costs are going to be increased, to spread across there.

While I haven't had an opportunity to do an analysis, I would be happy to talk to Karen, over in Allen Park, and take a look what the analysis was to that. I have some librarian friends that work in other libraries, and I will discuss with them their perspectives on these things, to get some neutral things. Because as Cassandra has indicated, when you set up a new library system, they're going to, depending on what act that they're under, there's autonomy, for the library board to have control of the budget, and those types of things.

And you have to have your own employees, and I mean, we need to see how that impacts Ecorse and the overall budget, going forward. It's my understanding it's about a quarter of a mill that's assessed for library services; I don't know how that impacts, if we bring that back and try to do this alone. And I think that needs to have a careful review, and also, I agree with Ms. Parker about looking at the surrounding communities and whether or not the three or four of us can get together and have one librarian, or whatever we need to do. But we need to make sure that, you know, we do economies of scale here. So, again.

MR. KORYZNO: So what you're saying is that the council adopted the resolution but has not performed the financial analysis,

- to determine whether the cost will be more or less than participating in Wayne County?
- MS. BOOMS: Correct. Really, nothing has been done other than a basic decision that we would like to leave in the near future. And I have given a whole laundry list of things that Wayne needs to do before they can actually leave. Or really, even
- It's quite involved. There is a very basic level, as I've just indicated, we're ready to leave, and start down that path.

contemplating setting a date for when they're going to leave.

- MR. O'NEAL: Mr. Chairman, again, I'm not going to cast aspersions or whatever, but, this is a big move, and I think it needs to have a lot of review.
- MR. KORYZNO: Any questions from the board members?
- MS. PARKER: No.

- MR. KORYZNO: All right, thank you. I'll entertain a motion.
- MR. BOVITZ: Are we going to -- to table, or, table
 Resolution 403.14 from the November 10th, 2014 meeting.
- MR. KORYZNO: Support. Been moved and supported to table Resolution 403.14, any further discussion?
- 21 MS. BOOMS: Can I ask -- please?
- 22 MR. KORYZNO: Certainly.
- MS. BOOMS: Sorry, again, Cassandra Booms, City Attorney's office. While I certainly don't mind if you table it, I just want to make sure the Board is aware that there is going to be further

- steps that will, the council will have to take, that there will be addressed in the minutes. This motion alone, that the council took, doesn't really have much effect, because with that motion alone, they can't really withdraw from the library system.
- So, I just want to make sure you understand that there's going to be a lot more coming to the council.
- 7 MR. KORYZNO: So there are subsequent actions that the 8 City Council must take?
- 9 MS. BOOMS: Yes, many. So there'll be more for you to
 10 review. I just didn't know if you wanted that hanging out there,
 11 when there's a lot more coming, but, it could help as a reminder,
 12 too.
- 13 MR. KORYZNO: All right.
- MR. BOVITZ: Well, we removed the action from the November
 15 10th meeting, so, it's just basically it's just no issue. There's,
 16 it doesn't matter if we table it or not, it's just removed from the
 17 meeting.
- MR. KORYZNO: Mm-hm. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of the motion say aye.
- MR. BOVITZ: Aye.

24

- MR. KORYZNO: Aye. Opposed, same sign. Motion is approved. Item 3, claims and accounts from regular City Council meeting draft minutes of November 25, 2014. Entertain a motion.
 - MR. BOVITZ: Move to accept the drafts and accounts from the November 25th, 2014, meeting.

1 MR. KORYZNO: Support. Any further discussion? Seeing 2 none, all in favor of the motion say aye.

MR. BOVITZ: Aye.

MR. KORYZNO: Aye. Opposed same sign. The motion is approved. Item 4, termination of Plante Moran contract. Mr. Van de Grift, please summarize this matter for the Board.

MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Drew Van de Grift, Michigan Department of Treasury. Plante Moran has tendered a letter, communicating its intent to terminate their services, effective December 31st of this year. This letter outlined, you know, a continuing failure of the City to cure the problems that were outlined in their previous letter of grievance, as well as other breaches. The Board will recall that Emergency Manager Parker's order 81 created this contractual arrangement, and that will remain unfulfilled by the early termination of the contract.

The City has a great need for these services, particularly considering the recent financial emergency, the continued receivership, and the City's uncertain financial future.

MR. KORYZNO: Thank you. Mr. O'Neal?

MR. O'NEAL: Yes sir, Mr. Koryzno?

MR. KORYZNO: Given Plante Moran's desire to leave the employ of the City, what are you plans for replacing that financial necessity?

MR. O'NEAL: Right now, according to Mr. Van de Grift, the Emergency Manager Order 81 speaks to the fact that you have a professional controller. I believe that that takes precedence. While that's there, I'm also looking at all options relative to existing employees and combination of contacting other accounting firms, to secure the services of an individual with the qualifications of Mr. McCurley, and also to meet the requirements of Act 81, per order of 81.

While this came to us at ten minutes before Wednesday evening. I have been moving forward to see what we can do; I will tell you that I have not made contact with another accounting firm. I wanted to wait for this meeting, to see what transpired out of this. Obviously, I know Popes, and UHY, and all the different accounting firms, and will make contact, and to secure the services of that.

While they are going to be gone January first, to secure the services and get it to the council and to the TAB board, may take some special meetings or some special approvals or whatever, but I will move forward. I don't believe it will be difficult to get a firm to bring the proper person in here, I don't believe that to be the fact.

These companies work all over the place, they do these, they have their junior employees who are going to be sitting for the CPAs doing all this work, and I'll bet Mr. Bovitz did the same thing somewhere down the line. Working somewhere, so, we'll get somebody on board there.

what I would like to do is, the possibility of using some existing personnel, have skillsets, that can do these things. And help, and have cross training so they can relieve some of the work that may be done from the professional side, but thereby reducing the costs. We're not going to leave any stone unturned, relative to that, and this is a perfect opportunity, I believe, to do that.

While we know what the budget was for Plante Moran, we're hoping that we can do this somewhere else. I understand the gravity of the situation, and we will do that. and the Board can be assured that, while we don't have anybody on board, and I haven't made any contact, I believe by the first of the year and prior to that, we'll have a firm that will be able to do that. We'll just have to go through the administerial steps with the RTAB, and the city council.

MR. KORYZNO: Who are the city's auditors? Current auditors?

MR. O'NEAL: Raymond Robson. And we have a meeting next week for the audit, so.

MR. KORYZNO: Any question from the board members for Mr. O'Neal?

MS. PARKER: Mr. Chair, I didn't quite hear everything Mr. O'Neal stated, as far as what the plan is at this point in time, but I'd like to state for the record that I'm very concerned that Plante Moran is leaving the end of December. And my concern is with continuity of services, making sure that the internal controls are in place, and I guess I wondered if Mr. O'Neal or anyone from the

City made contact with Plante Moran, to find out if they could provide maybe some limited services until a replacement plan is in place.

I'm just really concerned, because of the condition the City was in at the time that I came on board as Emergency Manager.

MR. O'NEAL: Thank you, Ms. Parker.

MR. KORYZNO: Perhaps you could speak a little louder?

MR. O'NEAL: Okay, I'll endeavor to enunciate a little bit

MR. KORYZNO: Is that better, Ms. Parker?

MS. PARKER: Yes, it is.

more.

MR. O'NEAL: I did talk with the managing partner from Plante Moran, about their concerns. And they were -- he was quite generous in his comments, relative to referring to the letter that was written in July. And it was a management decision from Plante Moran to move forward and not be part of this. I did not ask them about continuing services, based on the fact that would be here, no longer is going to be here.

So the continuity there, relative to appointing somebody else to be here, from the conversation and the inflection in the individual's voice, it was a corporate decision to move on from Ecorse, and not provide services, and break it off.

Now, while he didn't -- I didn't ask, and he didn't offer, the letter that he did send to the city, saying that they want -- or the email, that they would help us through December 31st, and any

1 transition that may come up. So in other words, if we need to call 2 and ask them questions, relative to what was what, and moving forward beyond December 31st, they're professionals, and they would 3 4 do these things. 5 MS. PARKER: Yeah, because, I guess, it's my understanding, and I'm not as close to the issues as perhaps you 6 7 are, you know, they are willing to provide some support. It may not be Mr. McCurley, but, the fact that Mr. McCurley has been there and 8 if he's working with someone else, even through Plante Moran, there 9 10 would be an easier transition for the city. 11 Again, I just look at what was in place at the time that I 12 came on board, and I do not want to see the city regress in that 13 manner. 14 MR. O'NEAL: I totally agree, Ms. Parker. 15 MR. KORYZNO: Any additional questions? Seeing none, thank you, Mr. O'Neal. Item --16 MS. PARKER: 17 Um --18 MR. KORYZNO: Ms. Parker? 19 MS. PARKER: Mr. Koryzno? 20 MR. KORYZNO: Yes? On one other matter -- it was in the November 21 MS. PARKER: 22 25th minutes, and I failed to bring it up earlier. If, before you finalize or adjourn the meeting, if we could talk about the HR 23 24 proposal from Paychex?

MR. O'NEAL: Do you want to do that now?

MR. KORYZNO: Certainly. What's your question, Ms. Parker?

MS. PARKER: Well, I'd like to have Mr. O'Neal maybe explain what Paychex' role will be for the \$25,000? If it's in addition to hiring a part time HR director, or if it's replacement of the HR director?

MR. O'NEAL: Thank you, Ms. Parker. While this is a work in process, Paychex, there was a discussion that went on between the Mayor, Ms. D'Onofrio, and relative to changing or getting some support relative to the concerns of Plante Moran, and the absence of an HR director. Once I got here, I talked with Paychex.

The services that they would provide are in addition to services that they are currently providing, relative to the payroll service. These items would be tacked onto the payroll service. They would have -- they would provide onsite people to help go into the office that we have now, to help straighten out what we've got.

It would be limited in nature. Now, the \$25,000 includes about 10 or \$11,000 in services we're already paying for, so the addition is a 10, \$14,000 in above what we're currently paying. I have contacts with outside people in the HR area, that I haven't received a call back from, that I talked to prior to my leaving before, about the possibility of doing some consulting work on a part-time basis.

This would go hand in glove with that. While we haven't signed a contract with Paychex, again, this is a work in process;

I'm hoping that I can get a HR professional on a part time basis, to work with the administerial nature of what Paychex would be doing relative to the items required under HR.

I don't have it in front of me, and again, I did indicate to Mr. Van de Grift that we had met with Paychex, and agreed on the items. We talked about W2s, and COBRA forms and all these other things. I don't have them in front of me, but, the work in process is to hopefully be able to provide the HR function at a reduced cost of what we were paying Mr. Aines, and still providing the same level of service, if not more. And again, I would have that coming forward to the City Council — to the TAB board, in January.

But I'd be moving forward, as I am now, as a matter of fact, I made another phone call yesterday, to get -- have this HR person call, and absent of that, I'm going to be contacting surrounding communities for their HR people, because we know, I know that you've got this -- part of that is over with, but, we still need to do consolidation of services for the surrounding communities, and I don't know a city manager around, that wouldn't want to provide services, if they can make a dollar for themselves and save us a dollar. So, that's where I'm going with this, Ms. Parker.

MS. PARKER: Okay. If you could maybe just send to Mr. Van de Grift a copy of the agreement, it would be helpful.

MR. O'NEAL: I'll do that. I planned on doing that. I just had it reviewed from the City Attorney, on their contract, and

```
1
    again, it's a work in process. The only reason it was brought up
 2
    before, with Mr. Van de Grift, is the Plante Moran letter, and
 3
    letting him know that the City of Ecorse is moving forward, with the
 4
    items that were in that letter, and moving forward to correct those
 5
    deficiencies.
 6
              MR. KORYZNO: Any additional questions, Ms. Parker, for
 7
    Mr. O'Neal?
 8
              MS. PARKER: No, thank you.
 9
              MR. KORYZNO: Mr. Bovitz?
10
              MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Mr. Chair?
11
              MR. KORYZNO: Yes, Mr. Van de Grift?
12
              MR. VAN DE GRIFT:
                                 I just would like to note -- Drew Van
13
    de Grift -- that the minutes from the November 25th meeting have
14
    only been passed by the Board for claims and accounts, so absent a
    desire of the Board to review that amendment or that resolution
15
16
    today, it will be reviewed in the January meeting.
17
              MR. KORYZNO: Did you hear that, Ms. Parker?
18
              MS. PARKER: No, I couldn't hear him; what did he say?
19
              MR. KORYZNO: The meeting at which the Paychex issue was
20
    approved by council, the RTAB only approved the accounts payable for
21
    that meeting, and the rest of the meeting will come before the RTAB
22
    board in January.
23
              MS. PARKER: Okay, thank you.
24
              MR. KORYZNO: You're welcome. Thank you, Mr. O'Neal.
```

Next item is Item B, letter from City Administrator, dated November

26th, 2014. Item 1, approval of City Council minutes; these have been addressed in new business. Item 2, approval of budget to actual report. Seeing Mr. McCurley is not here, Mr. Van de Grift, could you summarize this matter for the Board, please?

MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Certainly. I'm not sure I'll be able to do better than Tim's summary letter himself, but his concerns, again, center around the expenditures for the Department of Public Works, that for the percentage of the fiscal year that has passed, the department is well over budget. I think that's my most remarkable comment, from his report.

MR. KORYZNO: All right. Okay, thank you. Recognizing those expenses, Mr. O'Neal, could you provide us with your observations and intentions regarding this issue?

MR. O'NEAL: Thank you Mr. Chairman, members of the TAB board. While these expenditures occurred prior to me coming here, I've had discussions with Mr. Lawrence and other members of the staff at my regular staff meetings, and individually, relative to the operations of the City of Ecorse, and maintaining budget constraints and within budget guidelines.

While I understand the concerns there are from the TAB board, and from City Council members and what have you, there, we had a storm in August; we've had some issues relative to additional pickups. We've had some issues grass cuttings, equipment failures and what have you.

While, if I was here, and reviewed some of those things, I think I would have agreed to some of the expenditures that would have come forward with a budget adjustment. As we all know that budgets are a work in process, that they are a roadmap and they are subject to change, based on what happens in reality.

1.2

Some of the items that cause the increases to the budgets I agree 100 percent with. While I think there are some concerns relative to the overtime, I've addressed those issues. I've discussed some things with Mr. Lawrence about how we might be able to reduce the amount of overtime. I'm not sure about the legalities of that, but we're taking a look at these items, and quite frankly, we don't need an individual working 120 hours every two weeks.

So there's a staffing issue there. So I'm looking at those types of things. Going forward, with the budget amendments, and going forward for the five year budget. I have a plan to take a look at that, and we're going to address that, and what the DPW will look like; it won't look like that. I need to discuss that with the Mayor and council, as part of that budget amendment and as part of the going forward on goals and objectives.

So, that being said, I've addressed those issues; I'm going to continue to address those issues, and our future budgets need to be corrected. To look at that, we look at that, because if someone — if they're there, they're there. If their budget that needs to be, things that need to be in a budget on a yearly basis, they do. But what I'm wanting to do, and I'm going to try to make

- copper out of a penny. We're going to squeeze this budget. And so, that's all part of it.
 - I want the Board to understand that I appreciate your concern; again, it goes back to again, what is the City of Ecorse going to look like, going five years and beyond? And we're very cognizant of that, and we'll do that, moving forward.
 - MR. KORYZNO: I think one of the concerns I have is a nonexempt or an exempt employee being eligible for overtime; that's a violation of Federal Labor Standards Act.
- MR. O'NEAL: Of FLSA. And I've had those discussions.

 And also, discussed a contract amendment with a contract that was tabled, and to correct that. Where there would be a flat salary and no overtime. So I've addressed that as well.
- MR. KORYZNO: All right.

3

4

5

6

7

8

- MR. O'NEAL: Thank you for reminding me.
- MR. KORYZNO: Any questions from the board members on this topic?
- MS. PARKER: No, I don't have any.
- 19 MR. KORYZNO: All right. All right. Entertain a motion.
- 20 MR. BOVITZ: Move that we accept the budget to actual report, as it's presented.
- MR. KORYZNO: Support. Any further discussion? Seeing
- 23 none, all in favor of the motion say aye.
- MR. BOVITZ: Aye.

MR. KORYZNO: Aye. Opposed, same sign. Motion is approved. Item three, approval of employment contract. Mr. O'Neal, could you summarize this matter for the board?

MR. O'NEAL: With pleasure. D. Wayne O'Neal, Interim City Administrator.

MR. KORYZNO: Thank you.

MR. O'NEAL: Relative to the employment contract. Let me begin by first of all, we reviewed the administrator's budget to see whether or not that budget could absorb a full time position. Right now, this individual, in this position, is a contractual non union, non city employee. Is on a 1099, straightforward. I believe that RTAB approved the existing contract for this employee.

A year ago, when I started here, I was the interim manager, and, well, reviewing the office of the administrator, there was me. And there was Ms. D'Onofrio who also worked for the attorney at the time, Ms. Fowlkes. While having done this for more years than I care to say any more, it is absolutely imperative that the administrator's office have continuity and be able to function efficiently, especially in these tough economic times, and more importantly, while this city's in receivership and beyond.

That being said, I reviewed the position itself, and I believe Mr. Strand reviewed the position itself, and what this position should command, relative to the pay scale. That was done, trying to use empirical data, and using experience that I had over the years, and what have you. I would defer, also, this not being

your responsibility, Mr. Koryzno, but having been a city administrator, Ms. Parker, for many years, we all understand the importance of this position, relative to good governance.

We, that being said, I believe it's critical to this organization that this position be in the budget so that there's continuity between administrators, all right, and also, somebody that's in that office that can perform the ministerial functions, and allow the City Administrator to look at the bigger picture items. Because as you well know, if you take a look at the organizational staff and the City of Ecorse, we're beyond thin. We're transparent.

Now, that's from the strict business portion of it. And I will say that the five year budget and the 2014-15 fiscal year budget, can absorb this within current budgetary restraints without using fund balance. Has nothing to do with the budget amendments that you have on there; this stands alone by itself. This position has been incorporated and was incorporated in the five year budget, the original one I did. And it was in there, all right. Within current budgetary constraints.

That's from the budgetary standpoint. From a personal, practical position, the individual that would be in this position came in at my request, a year ago, to do those ministerial things that I had, without experience, except for working with Ms. Fowlkes in the other side of that. She has experience there. But the

things that she was requested to do, as the assistant to the City Administrator, she had not done those.

And I asked her if she would take a leap of faith, and she did. And she's done a yeoman's job. And further, got thrown right into the breach, which I will not expand upon. And which I think the Board knows without me saying. And she's done a wonderful job holding that together. And, while I came back for my second go round, has made my job so much easier. She has learned so much more, since I've left, that it's made my job so much easier.

So I go back to part of the things I said before, about the position itself, because let's take the personality out of it. She has demonstrated and shown that while this position is critical to any city administrator, by the fact that me coming back, or anybody coming in here, and which she did for my successor and predecessor. Was able to do those things necessary to move the --keep the City Administrator office moving forward, and quite frankly, she did things that were out of her pay grade, and she did it.

Now, let's talk about the position itself, how it starts of the organization. The hourly rate, I believe is not only commensurate, for this position, as it relates to the other employees that may be in a like classifications but not in this critical job function. They are -- there's an employee that makes almost as much as this. I don't want to get into personalities or that.

So what I'm saying to you, when you take a look at the 1 hourly rate, and what have you, is, it fits the position and it's 2 probably on the low side, and I will say this to this Board -- and 3 it's already been said to the employee. You're probably never going 4 5 to get a raise. Because that's just a fact of life here. That's all. 6 7 MR. KORYZNO: I have a question for Ms. Parker. Are you 8 there? 9 MS. PARKER: I'm here, yes. 10 MR. KORYZNO: Yes. Did you institute a pay freeze for 11 city employees during your term as the Emergency Manager? 12 MS. PARKER: I, you know, I believe I did, during the time that I was there. I, I don't, I guess I'm not really sure of how 13 14 that was done, primarily because I would need to go back and take a 15 look at some of the orders. MR. KORYZNO: Well, perhaps this may be a more relevant 16 question. Did the city employees receive pay increases during your 17 18 time as the Emergency Manager? 19 MS. PARKER: I don't believe they did. MR. KORYZNO: Okay, and you became the Emergency Manager 20 21 in what year? 22 MS. PARKER: Oh, gee. I think it was like 2004? 23 MR. KORYZNO: Maybe, I'm thinking maybe 2009. 2009? 24 Thank you, okay.

MS. PARKER: Thank you.

MR. KORYZNO: All right, so, the city employees have not 1 2 received a pay increase in roughly five years. Mr. Bovitz, any questions for Mr. O'Neal? Ms. Parker, do you have any questions? 3 MS. PARKER: Well, you know, I do have a couple of 4 5 questions and comments. If Mr. O'Neal could tell me what the current rate is, and what the proposed rate is, with this change, 6 7 and it sounds like what you're requesting is that the position be classified as a fulltime position, versus a contract position? 8 MR. O'NEAL: It would be a contractual fulltime position. 9 10 It would be deemed a city employee, but it'd be a contractual 11 position, at will. 12 MR. KORYZNO: Did you hear that, Ms. Parker? 13 MS. PARKER: And, yes. So it would be fulltime 14 contractual? MR. O'NEAL: That's correct. She'd be --15 MS. PARKER: And what's the rate again, currently, and 16 17 what's proposed? 18 MR. O'NEAL: Well, the rate is \$18.00 an hour. But she -- the employee current rate is -- the current rate is 44. 19 20 was hired at \$14.00 an hour for, I believe, a different, now I -with all this responsibility. So it's, we're looking at creating a 21

new, a completely new position, relative to what the individual was

22

23

doing before.

While she may have been doing some of those functions, she is now doing additional functions and different, additional responsibilities. And --

MS. PARKER: So are you, I guess, if she's doing different responsibilities, it sounds like you want to reclassify the position because of the responsibility, or are you just really looking at fulltime, in addition to reclassification?

MR. O'NEAL: Well, yes, Ms. Parker, all of that. I'm, in one fell swoop, I'm looking at creating a position that has no union implications, that is executive in nature, working for the administrator's; I'm trying to think of the word, the terminology, look for, can't be in the union because confidentiality and those types of things. The way she is being, as the position would be used.

MS. PARKER: Well, yeah, it's a contract position right now. I guess the other question I have, and this is probably for the Chair. You know, we've asked for the five year budget projection, and secondly, with the two year budget, I believe part of that budget includes the city complement. So, in order to make the adjustments, does that require some type of approval by the state treasurer?

MR. KORYZNO: Any budget adjustment to your two year budget would require approval of the state treasurer. And I share your concerns. While I'm confident Ms. D'Onofrio's work has been admirable, I'm uncomfortable with approving this request until we

- see the five year plan. I'm also concerned that long term city
 employees have had wages frozen, and now we're hiring a full time
 employee.
 - MS. PARKER: And I share the concern; I certainly think the person that is in the position has done a great job for the City, and this is not any reflection on what she has done. I think the key here is really trying to look at it in a more comprehensive manner, so that you can address other needs related to employees, as well as in this particular position.
- MR. KORYZNO: I agree. Mr. Bovitz, any comment? Any further discussion? Seeing none, I'll entertain a motion.
- MR. BOVITZ: What's the proposal, is to --
- 13 MR. KORYZNO: Entertain a motion to approve, deny or table 14 the employment contract as submitted.
- MR. BOVITZ: I would move to table. To table the contract at this time.
- MR. KORYZNO: Support. Any further discussion, Ms.
- 18 | Parker?

4

5

6

7

8

- MS. PARKER: No, I don't have any discussion.
- MR. KORYZNO: All right. Mr. Bovitz, can I suggest an amendment to that? That we table it until such time that the five year plan is submitted to the RTAB board?
- 23 MR. BOVITZ: I'll accept that as an amendment.
- MR. KORYZNO: And I'll support it. Any further discussion? Seeing none, all in favor of the motion say aye.

MR. BOVITZ: Aye.

MR. KORYZNO: Aye. Opposed, same sign. The motion is approved. Item four, approval of checks released, this is information only, therefore no board action is required.

MR. VAN DE GRIFT: Forgive me.

MR. KORYZNO: Next item's public comment. I failed to mention at the beginning of the meeting that if you don't sign up for the public comment, that you will not be recognized. Having said that, if anyone wants to sign up now to speak at public comment, I'll accept it, given my faux pas.

PUBLIC COMMENTER: My name is on there.

MR. KORYZNO: Okay, very good, so, Mr. Van de Grift, once he gets the digital -- thank you, Councilmember Elem; just a reminder that per the Board rules, you'll have two minutes to speak, and Mr. Van de Grift --

MR. ELEM: Councilmember Nathaniel Elem. I'm a little bit confused on the evaluation letter that was sent to the Governor. And today, you read off some amendments to that particular letter, and I think you mentioned something about a five year grant, and also, the reason, why haven't we received that letter? So we can go over it, because being 80 years of age, it's kind of hard for me to understand some of the things they said up here. And we need to have a copy of it, if any way possible.



Then secondly, you talked about Moran, Frank Moran. 1 And 2 Ms. Parker, did I understand you to say that that contract was to 3 last until June, 2015? MS. PARKER: That's correct. 4 5 MR. ELEM: Well, why, he has the option to leave before 6 that particular time, and we don't have anybody in place to replace 7 him? 8 MS. PARKER: Well, I haven't reviewed the contract, but I think that Plante Moran may be willing to continue to help, by 9 replacing Mr. McCurley with someone else. If the City chose to make 10 the request. It's my understanding that there's a belief that the 11 12 City doesn't want them there any longer. 13 MR. ELEM: Well, you took that assumption. Anyway, it was stated that he made a statement that he made his decision on what 14 happened in the last six months, and that, remember some of that 15 16 letter that he wrote to council was about a City Administrator, and 17 also about a human resource. And we have made steps in both those 18 directions. I don't know what else the other circumstances are. 19 But, that seemed to be a little bit confusing to me. 20 Then the other part of this thing is that --21 MR. VAN DE GRIFT: That's two minutes. 22 Seemingly nothing --MR. ELEM: 23 Time's up, councilmember. MR. KORYZNO: 24 MR. ELEM: Anything is related on to the five year budget. 25 Thank you.

MR. KORYZNO: All right, thank you. Next speaker. Good morning.

MS. OLIVER Good morning. Marilyn Oliver, concerned city resident. I just wanted to state that, without knowing the status of MML's search for a permanent City Administrator, I'd just like to go on record to say that I believe, for continuity, that if it is at all possible, that Mr. O'Neal be extended for no less than one year, and the search is either suspended or delayed until that time, because with all the financial things that are coming up in the City, and within the three weeks that Mr. O'Neal has been here, I'm very impressed with the report that he has rendered here today.

And I'm just concerned that if we were to bring someone else in, in a short time early next year, that the continuity of what's going on here, that it would be difficult for them to jump in. As well as, I think there's an election year next year, as well, so before that happens, if we could be on stable ground, and if he is available and would like to keep the job, I think, from a city business perspective, that we need him for at least one year. And I just want to go on the record to say that.

Thank you.

MR. KORYZNO: Thank you, Ms. Oliver.

MR. VAN DE GRIFT: That concludes public comment.

MR. KORYZNO: Okay. D. Wayne O'Neal?

MR. O'NEAL: Thank you, Mr. Koryzno and members of the Board. I just wanted to update. At the last TAB board meeting,

Were

there was some comments that were made relative that the TAB board wanted to see. And one was this strategic plan, and DFM, on page four, I believe, that deals with Envision Ecorse, and we're moving forward with that, as I indicated partly in some of my previous comments.

The pension multiplier has been put in place with MERS. Again, the goals and objectives, we're going to do that. The midyear budget amendment that you talked about, would not use a fund balance. We're doing that without use of fund balance. The taser training, we're in the process of having a person trained to do the taser training and we're moving forward to have all that taser training taking place.

I'm having DTE -- I contacted DTE about the streetlights, so we can take a look at that and possibly doing a analysis of the streetlights. We've got in place the -- we've got proper billing for what we've got, and also to take a look at our efficiencies that we can do with reference to the change out on lights, that will pay for themselves and reduce the cost. And so we're moving forward with that, as well.

MR. KORYZNO: Thank you, Mr. O'Neal. That ends public comment, board comments, Mr. Bovitz?

MR. BOVITZ: I just want to address Councilman Elem's concern about the letter. It hasn't formally been received by the Governor's office, is my understanding. This is our draft copy

1	coming back to us, before we can submit it officially, so we have
2	nothing to present to the city officially, is that
3	MR. KORYZNO: That's correct; the interim period between
4	the last meeting and this meeting, it's being it was being
5	reviewed by Treasury officials. And so it was never sent to the
6	Governor. It has been brought back today, and then after this
7	meeting, it will be sent to the Governor and the city officials will
8	receive a copy of the evaluation, and the transmittal letter. So I
9	hope that clarifies that for you, councilmember. Ms. Parker, do you
10	have any comments?
11	MS. PARKER: I'd just like to wish everyone a happy
12	holiday, and thank everyone for being patient working with me today.
13	MR. KORYZNO: You're welcome. I think we all share that
14	wish for the holidays. Seeing no further business, I'll entertain a
15	motion.
16	MR. BOVITZ: Motion to adjourn.
17	MR. KORYZNO: Support. All in favor of the motion say
18	aye.
19	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
20	MR. KORYZNO: Aye. Motion is approved, the Ecorse
21	Receivership Transition Advisory Board meeting ends at 10:11.
22	
23	-Proceedings conclude-
24	
25	