City of Ecorse

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, September 12, 2017

Ecorse City Hall

Council Chambers

Albert B. Buday Civic Center

3869 West Jefferson

Ecorse, Michigan 48229

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT:

JEFF SYKES ROBERT BOVITZ JOAN BROPHY

Also Present:

ERIC CLINE

Michigan Department of Treasury

Reported by:

Nina Lunsford (CER 4539) Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC SCAO FIRM NO. 08228 101-A North Lewis Street Saline, Michigan 48176 (734) 429-9143/nel

1	Called to order at 9:08 a.m.
2	Tuesday, September 12, 2017 - Ecorse, Michigan
3	* * * *
4	MR. SYKES: Shall we do a call to order?
5	MR. CLINE: Yes. Jeff Sykes?
6	MR. SYKES: Here.
7	MR. CLINE: Rob Bovitz?
8	MR. BOVITZ: Here.
9	MR. CLINE: Joan Brophy.
10	MS. BROPHY: Here.
11	MR. CLINE: Quorum is present.
12	MR. SYKES: All right, I'll entertain a motion
13	to approve the agenda.
14	MS. BROPHY: So moved.
15	MR. BOVITZ: Support.
16	MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.
17	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
18	MS. BROPHY: Aye.
19	MR. SYKES: Those opposed, the same.
20	(No response)
21	MR. SYKES: At this point, I'd like to remind
22	the audience, if you intend to speak during the public
23	comment portion of the meeting, it'd be necessary for you
24	to sign in at the podium, located up here at the front.
25	So with that, we'll move on to approval of RTAB minutes of

August 8th. I'll entertain a motion to approve the August
8th RTAB minutes.

MR. BOVITZ: So moved.

MS. BROPHY: Support.

MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.

MR. BOVITZ: Aye.

MS. BROPHY: Aye.

MR. SYKES: Those opposed the same.

(No response)

MR. SYKES: This motion has passed.

We'll move on to old business, of which there is none. So we will now move on to new business. First, we have the approval of resolutions and ordinances for city council meetings. We'll start off with the resolutions from the regular city council meeting of July 18th.

At this point, Tim, I was going to ask if we could get just a little bit of an explanation of what ended up taking place at the meeting? I guess Resolution 582 was brought up and then tabled, and then brought up again? I'm not -- we just want to get just a little bit of clarity on what had taken place.

MR. SADOWSKI: Okay. Let's see. Okay, reference the 582, Ecorse has been working with the Ecorse Housing Commission for numerous years, trying to demolish and rebuild the housing. What had happened, is,

throughout the years, the housing commission was not allowed to take ownership of the property. Even though, apparently, we had authorized that transaction, it was never done. So then it, when the title work happened, they said we needed to file the deed and execute the deed again.

This was for, to transfer the property from the City of Ecorse to the Ecorse Housing Commission, so that property transfer and -- could happen. And then the development could happen.

You can see there was a six to one vote; the one person that voted no expressed their opinion that they did not want to give the property away to the housing commission. They wanted to sell the property. The six others preferred the development, and transferring the property that was already supposed to be transferred, numerous years ago.

So it was voted six to one, to transfer to

Ecorse -- to transfer all the property that the

development currently sits on, to the Ecorse Housing

Commission, and transfer the deed. So that's what the

resolution was about.

MR. SYKES: Well, that was the resolution, but wasn't there something that had taken place? Did it get tabled first, and then get brought back up?

1 MR. SADOWSKI: So it was tabled, then it was un-2 tabled, and then it was voted on. 3 MR. SYKES: Okay. 4 MR. SADOWSKI: The one that was tabled for a 5 future date was the street alley vacations. Which were 6 two ends, like almost easement portions, where there was 7 no street being paved. It was just like a -- into the 8 path that the street actually ends. The city charter 9 requires a notice, and that notice was not long enough in the newspaper, so it was re-advertised, and then vacated 10 11 at a later meeting. 12 MR. SYKES: Okay. Okay. Any other questions? 13 No? Okay, I'll entertain a motion to approve the regular 14 city council meeting of July 18th, 2017. All of the 15 resolutions. 16 MR. BOVITZ: So moved. 17 MS. BROPHY: I'll support. 18 MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. 19 MR. BOVITZ: Aye. 20 MS. BROPHY: Aye. 21 Those opposed the same. MR. SYKES: 22 (No response) 23 MR. SYKES: This motion has been approved. 24 Next, we'll move onto the resolutions from

regular city council meeting of August 1st, 2017.

1	problems, questions?
2	MR. BOVITZ: Was no meeting, so.
3	MR. SYKES: Oh. Then we can
4	MR. BOVITZ: A two-minute meeting.
5	MR. SYKES: Yeah. So there are actually no
6	resolutions on that, so there's no need to vote?
7	MR. CLINE: Correct.
8	MR. SYKES: Perfect. All right, let's move on
9	to resolutions from regular city council meeting August
10	2nd.
11	MS. BROPHY: I'll move to approve the regular
12	city council meeting of August 2nd, the minutes.
13	MR. BOVITZ: Support.
14	MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.
15	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
16	MS. BROPHY: Aye.
17	MR. SYKES: Those opposed the same.
18	(No response)
19	MR. SYKES: This motion has been approved.
20	Next we move onto claims and accounts, for
21	regular city council meeting draft minutes of August 15th,
22	2017. Any comments?
23	MR. BOVITZ: I don't have August 15th; I have
24	August 29th.
25	MR. CLINE: We may have an error

MR. BOVITZ: Because I think that was part of the question, too, about why we don't have financials.

Attachment 5 is the 29th, Attachment 4 was the 2nd, so I just figured they skipped the meeting, but now I see an agenda, there was a meeting on August 15th.

MR. CLINE: Yes. We have an error, and I do apologize, I didn't catch that. We should have the meeting from August the 15th on the agenda, as well, all the resolutions.

MR. SYKES: I have that on mine.

MR. BOVITZ: Maybe you don't have the minutes.

MR. SYKES: Yeah, but I don't have the minutes.

MR. BOVITZ: It's on our agenda.

MR. SYKES: Yeah, but not the minutes, right.

MR. CLINE: So it's not on the -- I have the claims on the agenda that I have. I have the August 2nd meeting, and I have the claims; I do not have the August 15th meeting.

MR. SYKES: So how should we handle this situation?

MR. CLINE: You could make a motion to amend the rules to amend the agenda, to add that on there. And then take a second vote, to address the resolutions from the 15th.

MR. SYKES: Okay. Now, what you're saying is

1 there's some information that you don't have, so --2 MR. BOVITZ: We need to vote, first to amend the agenda, to include the resolutions from the 15th, and then 3 4 we need to amend the agenda to include the claims and 5 accounts for the August 29th meeting. So we're doing two 6 amendments to the agenda. Because August 29th meeting 7 would have fallen outside of our regular review. 8 definitely, we need to approve the resolutions from the 9 15th. And those are the minutes we need to get copies of. 10 MR. SYKES: Okay. And you're comfortable with 11 voting prior to getting copies? 12 MR. BOVITZ: Well, no. 13 MR. SYKES: Right. So if that's the case, then. 14 MR. BOVITZ: If someone could run a copy of it 15 right now? 16 MR. CLINE: I have a copy of the minutes of the 17 15th. I'm very surprised that that wasn't included; I 18 really thought that it was. 19 MR. BOVITZ: I just figured it was summer 20 vacation, they just took the meeting off. 21 MR. SADOWSKI: We're going to get you a copy. 22 MR. CLINE: I do apologize; I'm not sure --23 MR. BOVITZ: Okay, so in the meantime, I'll 24 approve -- I'll move to change the rules, to allow for the 25 changes. How do you want to put that in a motion?

1	MR. CLINE: I think we should suspend the rules,
2	in order to amend the agenda, to add those items. And
3	then you can just state what items you want added.
4	MR. BOVITZ: So, suspend the rules.
5	MS. BROPHY: I'll support.
6	MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.
7	MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
8	MS. BROPHY: Aye.
9	MR. SYKES: Whatever just happened has been
10	approved.
11	MR. BOVITZ: Now we need to go back and amend
12	the agenda.
13	MR. SYKES: Right. All right.
14	MR. BOVITZ: So what, we should just previously
15	it was your motion then.
16	MS. BROPHY: Yeah, so this will be mine.
17	MR. SYKES: All right.
18	MS. BROPHY: So I'm going to move to amend the
19	agenda, to add
20	MR. SYKES: Resolutions from August 15, then
21	MS. BROPHY: Okay, resolutions from August 15th,
22	as well as the August 29th
23	MR. SYKES: Claims and accounts.
24	MS. BROPHY: Claims and accounts?
25	MR. SYKES: Correct. And I will support that.

1 MR. BOVITZ: Oh, I can't. Okay.

MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.

MR. BOVITZ: Aye.

MS. BROPHY: Aye.

MR. SYKES: Those opposed the same.

(No response)

MR. SYKES: The agenda amendment has been approved. So when we get a copy, we'll start back off with the minutes from August 15th, and then move onto the claims and accounts for August 29th.

We'll go ahead and move a little bit out of order, then, and move on to city administrator items. And so first, we have approval of city council minutes. This was addressed in new business. Next, we have approval of Resolution 528, or 582. This was also addressed and discussed in new business.

Next, we have approval of Resolution 569. This was addressed in new business. We have -- okay, next we have approval of Resolution 570, addressed in new business. Approval of Resolution 594, which was also addressed in new business. Approval of Resolution 595, which was addressed in new business. Approval of Resolution 596; this contract was addressed in new business. Approval of Resolution 599, this was addressed in new business.

And lastly, we have Resolution 623. This action occurred during a city council meeting that's outside the review period of today's board meeting. So the city manager has requested that we bring this forward. So at this point, Mr. Sadowski, do you have any additional information, or so, that you'd like to discuss about the full time, I think it's called a Vactor, truck operator?

MR. SADOWSKI: So, it was in the Vactor truck purchase, which was Resolution 570, that you guys just approved, to purchase a Vactor truck. That is a refurbished Vactor, \$450,000. So we purchased this piece of equipment to bring that service in-house.

Currently it's on call, and it's very expensive. When you have an on call Vactor truck, there's a minimum, and the minimum is \$680. So bringing it in house, we would need the two operators to run the truck, and then we would have a full-time service.

And the money, financially, is pretty close to what we're currently paying. So, right now, I budget in the city budget, \$215,00. And the first year, the grand total is \$215,623. So you can -- it's almost a break even. It's almost a break-even point for the budget.

So these two people would be brought in. We had interviewed it, we have a conditional offer of employment to these two individuals, based on what they're currently

making, and what they're willing to come here for. And that's what's been presented to the city council. Which is what is on your agenda, it's basically the \$21 an hour with benefits, for these two individuals. And that would bring that service in house. For almost the same cost as we're contracting it out, only on an on-call basis. If that makes sense.

MR. BOVITZ: Well, a \$160,000 purchase, I saw that in the minutes, with a 30-day guarantee, then the letter was dated 7/13. Was that, was that extended, to allow us to --

MR. SADOWSKI: They are holding on to the truck for us, in anticipation that you would approve it today.

MR. BOVITZ: That's the only, really, upfront cost? All the rest are operational costs, the maintenance, the fuel, the insurance, and of course the labor?

In the past, we have outsourced functions like this, because it was tough to get operators with the proper credentials, to operate the machinery. You're confident that you're hiring -- these individuals have the proper credentials, as far as operating?

MR. SADOWSKI: We were able to recruit from another community, if you look at the resume. So, we were able to recruit two people from another community that

basically do similar operations. And so that's why the city postponed the package until we could put it all together.

We didn't want to just buy a truck and then have nobody to operate it. So we did the interviews before we purchased the truck, then we were able to get a truck for a price, and then put the package together. So that's why we asked for it to be pulled forward.

MR. BOVITZ: And so it's a comprehensive analysis. You've allowed for overtime wages, and all the benefits, and escalation, and cost of living increases and so forth? But you're confident that the bottom line, of the \$215,000 to \$238,000 in five years, that's going to be basically a break even you'd be paying on the on-demand service?

MR. SADOWSKI: Yeah. So you're getting pretty much, you're getting a full-time service, for the same price or a little slightly lower, based on the five-year projection, of wages, and they get increases and all those benefits, would happen.

MR. BOVITZ: You've allowed for that, too?
MR. SADOWSKI: Yes.

MR. BOVITZ: But what we haven't allowed for is the outsource, we can't control what you charge, on on demand. But also, you only advertised it for five years.

1 If the equipment is better than five years, it's a win. 2 MR. SADOWSKI: Yes. Yes, it is. Yeah, it was 3 basically a very conservative estimate, kind of giving 4 leeway, to make sure that program would work, financially. 5 MS. BROPHY: Can I ask how often they would 6 come, on call? 7 MR. SADOWSKI: They're here quite often. don't know if you -- how closely you look at the bills, 8 9 but Safeway is our on-call vendor. And you can see, their 10 bills are \$7,000, \$8,000, every couple weeks. So they're 11 quite often on call. 12 MR. BOVITZ: And plus having the equipment here, 13 you're not waiting for them to get there. If there's another demand in another community, that wants the same 14 on demand service. That's all I had. 15 MR. SYKES: Okay. I'll go ahead and entertain a 16 17 motion, to approve Resolution 623. 18 MR. BOVITZ: So moved. 19 MS. BROPHY: Support. 20 MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye. 21 MR. BOVITZ: Aye. 22 MS. BROPHY: Aye. 23 Those opposed, the same. MR. SYKES: 24 (No response) 25 This motion has passed. So I guess

MR. SYKES:

at this point, folks want to take a minute to continue to look through this for sec, or?

MR. CLINE: Mr. Chairman?

MR. SYKES: Yes?

MR. CLINE: I have a breakdown of all the motions that were approved in there; if you would like, I can go through it very quickly for you, and just draw --

MR. SYKES: That's a probably a good idea.

MR. CLINE: On certain ones. Okay. I'll just make some quick references; I'll skip just sort of the more administrative things that they did. Resolution 604 was the approval of the payroll, rounding up \$108,000.

Resolution 605 was claims and accounts,
\$525,000. Resolution 606 was the approval of the street
closure for an event held by a local church. Resolution
607 was approval of a park use permit for an event.
Resolution 608 was approval of use of the right of way for
a local church for an event. Resolution 609 was approval
of a street closure for a block party.

Resolution 610 was approval of some elevator upgrades here in city hall, in the amount of \$23,000. And I know, with discussions with the city administrator, the elevator is having some issues due to its age, and acquiring parts. Which, as we're finding, some of the other communities my office is working with, a couple of

them are having the same problem, due to elevator age, finding parts that are still in manufacturing. So that, I believe, is an important issue.

Resolution 611 is vacating some streets that are not on the approved list of streets within the city. This is being done at the request of MDOT.

Resolution 612 through 615 are the approval of business licenses within the city for various active -- you know, for various new businesses that want to start.

Resolution 616 is an agreement with a local architect, I believe out of Southfield, if I remember correctly. To act as the city's dangerous building official for appeals, and everything. So this is an important activity the city wants to get rolling on. And I've reviewed these; I don't have any particular concerns about any one of these, these items, so.

MS. BROPHY: This resume was included in the package we got, yes?

MR. BOVITZ: And that was what I was asking, was, where'd this come from? Because I was at this meeting. Okay.

MR. CLINE: Yes, and I do apologize for the confusion, not getting that information. I was not certain how we let this get by us. So, we'll be extra diligent next month.

MR. BOVITZ: It looks like the only real substantive proposal was the 611, but it looks like that may have been just a formality, the city attorney's report, with all the different vacated properties and legal descriptions, and so that was a formality. That wasn't any controversial --

MR. CLINE: No, I don't believe so, no. This is just something we just need to take care of, as I said, at the request of MDOT. I think they did that, another batch of those roads, actually, last month, I believe.

MR. BOVITZ: Right, because I thought -- I was wondering if it was a second reading, but that's probably just a formality. It may be, just be -- and you always look at the length of time of the meetings, too. It was less than an hour meeting, so there couldn't have been a whole lot of discussion.

MR. CLINE: No.

MR. BOVITZ: Looks like most of the block parties, and the business license. But I still can't get used to saying St. Andre Bessette. I still call it St. Francis.

MR. SYKES: Okay.

MS. BROPHY: So I'll move approval of the minutes of August 13th, 2017.

MR. BOVITZ: Support.

1 MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye. 2 MR. BOVITZ: Aye. 3 MS. BROPHY: Aye. 4 MR. SYKES: Those opposed, the same. 5 (No response) MR. SYKES: All right, the minutes for the 6 7 August 20 -- 15th have been approved. So lastly, we'll move on to the claims and accounts from regular city 8 9 meeting, it's August 29th. 10 Ouestions? Just wondering if we want to bring 11 MR. BOVITZ: 12 Mr. Sadowski to provide anything in the financials to 13 present, today? Or any comments? MR. SADOWSKI: I put the budget to actuals in 14 15 August, it must have been the August 29th? It must have 16 I thought it was the August 15th meeting. Okay. been. 17 Financials. Tax collections has been very slow. 18 The last report I ran, it was at 11 percent. We're still 19 waiting on the U.S. Steel payment; to be transferred to 20 the city, which was the bulk of our tax revenue. 21 usually wait until the last due date. 22 As far as, I've prepared a budget amendment, 23 which the city council adopted at their last meeting, 24 which will be coming to you. It actually shows an

increase that, that position of about \$30,000 for, up from

the \$5,000 it was before. We have a lot of projects we anticipate on doing, in this years' financials.

Like Rich Street, the water and sewer. The sewer and storm separation project. So financials are stable this year, for the budget. We're still going after water loss. We completed a study, and there was no significant loss in the infrastructure through leaks. Which brought us back to meters again. The aging meters, that had been installed in the eighties. And so we are going to seek a grant to replace those, again, as we did prior.

The overall taxes, like I said, are overall, revenue is doing extremely well. We're hoping for an overpayment again, and making additional payments.

Obviously, the city would like to get out of debt sooner than later.

So that's really what the city's waiting for, is the November payment, through the local community stabilization fund. We'll see how fast we can go after capital debt and pension. Everything else is just pretty much status quo, other than the water loss continues to be a significant problem in the water and sewer fund.

MR. BOVITZ: Just looking at the minutes of the 15th, I don't see where the financials were addressed there, either.

1 MR. SADOWSKI: There must have been a -- August, 2 it must have been in the August 29th meeting, for July. 3 MR. BOVITZ: Could we get copies of those sent 4 to us, just so we don't lose a month? And how's the audit 5 coming? 6 MR. SADOWSKI: The audit, we finally got the 7 Wayne County confirm last week, and those numbers have 8 been prepared. So now the schedules will be prepared, and 9 all the confirms have been completed, so, we're all on 10 task, other than the worksheets. Because we weren't able 11 to complete them until we got the Wayne County confirm. 12 So that's -- that's going to schedule. 13 MR. BOVITZ: Like construction here is complete? 14 MR. SADOWSKI: The lights have been upgraded; we 15 need some drywall and painting work. But the city -- the 16 municipal building looks much better than it did a year 17 ago, I think. With painting, new carpet, lights upgraded, 18 exit signs working, basement cleared out. So we're making 19 improvements. 20 MR. BOVITZ: It shows the efficiency of the 21 Less space, increase -- same amount of lighting. 22 MR. SADOWSKI: Yes, and they were original 23 fixtures, too. 24 MR. SYKES: All right, any further questions?

(No response)

```
1
                  MR. SYKES: All right, with that, I'll entertain
2
         a motion to approve the claims and accounts from August
3
         29th meeting.
                  MS. BROPHY: So moved.
4
                  MR. BOVITZ: Support.
5
6
                  MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.
7
                  MR. BOVITZ:
                               Aye.
8
                  MS. BROPHY:
                               Aye.
9
                  MR. SYKES: Those opposed, the same.
10
                  (No response)
                              This motion has been approved.
11
                  MR. SYKES:
                                                               So
        with that, we'll move on to public comment.
12
13
                  MR. CLINE:
                             No public comment.
14
                  MR. SYKES: All right. I'll move on to board
         comment. Board comment?
15
16
                  MS. BROPHY: No.
17
                  MR. SYKES: I have none. I'll entertain a
18
        motion for adjournment.
19
                  MR. BOVITZ:
                                So moved.
20
                  MS. BROPHY: Support.
21
                  MR. SYKES: All those in favor, say aye. Aye.
22
                  MR. BOVITZ: Aye.
23
                  MS. BROPHY:
                               Aye.
24
                  (Proceedings adjourned at 9:33 a.m.)
```

```
STATE OF MICHIGAN
1
2
    COUNTY OF WASHTENAW ).ss
3
4
5
6
     I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and
7
    correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB
8
    meeting held on September 12th, 2017, City of Ecorse. I also
9
    certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties
    involved and have no financial interest in this case.
10
11
12
13
14
    RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED: September 19, 2017
15
    s/Amy Shankleton-Novess
16
17
18
    Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838)
19
    Certified Electronic Reporter
20
    Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC
    101-A North Lewis Street
21
22
    Saline, MI 48176
23
    (734) 429-9143
```