APPROVED - 11/17/17

1 CITY OF FLINT 2 RECEIVERSHIP TRANSITION ADVISORY SPECIAL BOARD MEETING 3 FRIDAY, OCTOBER 20, 2017 2:00 P.M. 4 5 6 7 Special Meeting before the RTAB Board at 8 Richard H. Austin Building, State Treasurer Board Room, 9 1st Floor, 430 W. Allegan Street, Lansing, Michigan, on Friday, October 20, 2017. 10 11 12 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: 13 Frederick Headen - The Chairperson David Tarver (by phone) 14 Paul Newman (by phone) 15 FROM THE STATE: 16 Larry Steckelberg 17 OTHERS PRESENT: R. Eric Cline 18 19 REPORTED BY: Mona Storm, CSR# 4460 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	MOTION INDEX	
2	MOTION	AGE
3	Roll Call	3 3
4	Approval of Agenda	3
5	UNFINISHED BUSINESS None	3
6	NEW BUSINESS:	
7	Approval of Resolutions & Ordinances for City Council Meetings	
8	Resolutions 523.1, approved	9
9	Resolutions 524.1, approved	9
10	Resolutions 525.1, approved	9
11 12	PUBLIC COMMENT, None	9
12	ADJOURNMENT	10
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

- 1 Lansing, Michigan
- 2 Friday, October 20, 2017
- 3 2:02 p.m..

THE CHAIRPERSON: Let the record reflect 4 5 that we do have a quorum consisting of myself here, Mr. Newman and Mr. Tarver by conference call. 6 7 Without objection, I'll ask that Mr. Ferguson 8 receive an excused absence from this afternoon's 9 meeting. 10 I don't believe there are any additions to 11 the agenda, so we will consider the agenda to be 12 approved, as provided. We have, at this special meeting, no 13

14 Unfinished Business.

We do have three items of New Business and I'll call upon Mr. Cline to walk us through the three resolutions before us.

- 18 Mr. Cline?
- MR. CLINE: Yes. Can everybody hear me?MR. TARVER: Yeah.
- 21 MR. NEWMAN: Yes.

22 MR. CLINE: All right. Resolution 523 is a 23 change order with Goyette Mechanical for waterline 24 replacement. They're going to add 300 additional 25 waterlines across the City. This is an additional

1	cost of 1.32 million, which breaks down to about
2	\$4,400 per waterline.
3	Resolution 524.1 is a change order with
4	Zito Construction for right-of-way restoration,
5	again across those properties that are being added.
6	The additional funds are \$290,400.
7	Resolution 525.1 is with AFSCME Union 1600
8	and 1799 for the same right-of-way restoration work,
9	and the same amount of money of \$290,400. Again,
10	this is restoration work for additional waterline
11	replacement. So
12	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there a motion?
13	MR. TARVER: Hello.
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there a motion
15	MR. TARVER: Yes.
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: that Resolutions
17	523.1, 524.1 and 525.1 be approved?
18	MR. NEWMAN: Move approval.
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there support?
20	MR. TARVER: Support.
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any discussion,
22	gentlemen?
23	MR. TARVER: Yes, I had a question about
24	the waterline replacement. It indicated that the
25	funds were coming from two grants. One had to do

with water infrastructure and the other one was for 1 2 children's health insurance program. а 3 MR. CLINE: Yes. MR. TARVER: Am I correct? 4 5 MR. CLINE: I believe that's correct, yes. 6 MR. TARVER: And so I could understand the water infrastructure one but repurposing the 7 children's health insurance fund, it seems a little 8 9 bit different from the intended use of the grant. I was wondering what the implications of that would 10 11 be. 12 THE CHAIRPERSON: I think we have an answer 13 for you, Mr. Tarver. 14 Mr. Stuckelberg? 15 MR. STUCKELBERG: Larry Stuckelberg, for the record. 16 17 Part of the overall lead line replacement 18 program in Flint includes leveraging the wind 19 funding out of the \$100 million plus expected 20 approximately \$20 million in CHIP funding. 21 For those homes which were identified as 22 CHIP eligible, the CHIP funding will fund the 23 replacement of the lead line but they also, through 24 CHIP funding, go into the homes to replace fixtures 25 and do more work in the house to mitigate lead lines,

all part of safe home creation for people who are
 CHIP eligible.

MR. TARVER: So that -- those are not 3 monies that are paid from the children's health 4 5 insurance fund, then? 6 MR. STUCKELBERG: Well, to the extent that the State, overall, through DHHS, has a strategy to 7 8 use those moneys as part of the lead line 9 replacement, that is a strategy they have in place across all of lead line replacements and have had in 10 11 place since they began this project. 12

12 MR. TARVER: So I just want to be sure 13 we're not robbing Peter to pay Paul, that we don't 14 have uninsured kids in Flint as a result of doing 15 those waterline replacements.

MR. STUCKELBERG: No, I would think that, especially in Flint, we're covering the water fund to help needs through the available resources. I've not heard anybody say we're shorting any other funding requirement or need because of this.

21 MR. TARVER: Okay.

THE CHAIRPERSON: You made reference tohomes that are CHIP eligible.

24 MR. STUCKELBERG: Yes.

25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Does that mean homes, for

example, that children reside?

2 MR. STUCKELBERG: Children who are CHIP 3 eligible reside.

4 MR. TARVER: Okay.

1

5 MR. STUCKELBERG: Yeah. And so, in those 6 homes, they are providing a replacement for the lead 7 line, in order to stretch the available Federal 8 dollars, and they're going in and doing mitigation 9 inside of the home, which I believe includes lead 10 testing for paint and other things, too. I'm not 11 totally familiar with the CHIP funding.

12 All I want to say is just, overall as part 13 of this, the \$120 million that are being made 14 available for all of this mitigation, DHHS has 15 intended to spend about \$20 million on using of CHIP 16 funds to mitigate lead lines in Flint.

17THE CHAIRPERSON: So State officials, then,18are aware of the proposed use of CHIP funds --19MR. STUCKELBERG: It is -- it is totally --20THE CHAIRPERSON: -- for this purpose and21have approved it?22MR. STUCKELBERG: It is all part of the

22 MR. STUCKELBERG: It is all part of the 23 plan that's been in place for some time, including 24 last year's lead lane replacement, all replacement, 25 lead line replacement, to date in Flint has included

the concept that CHIP monies would be leveraged, when possible, along with wind funding to mitigate the lead exposure.

THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you.

Mr. Tarver, any -MR. TARVER: I just had a question about
what was motivating this change order at this time;
Why are we doing these additional lines at this
time?

4

25

MR. STUCKELBERG: It's my understanding 10 11 that the City has moved faster than they thought they might with these contracts and they want to 12 leverage the ability to get even more lines replaced 13 14 in 2017, to try and move faster along the project. And it's also my understanding that they 15 16 are hoping, next year, they're going to get out of 17 the gate faster and do more than the 6,000 next 18 year. They have an aggressive plan next year to 19 accelerate lead line replacement but they want to 20 use any good weather this year to do so.

21MR. TARVER: I see. Okay. All set. Those22are my questions.

23THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Newman, any24questions?

MR. NEWMAN: No, thank you.

1THE CHAIRPERSON: Questions on adoption of2the motion?

3 Those in favor, please say "aye".

4 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

5 THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed?

6 Motion is adopted.

7 There is no public comment.

8 Before we adjourn, let me indicate, as I'm 9 sure you know that, on Tuesday of this week, Federal 10 Judge Lawson issued an opinion and Order, in effect, 11 directing the City Council to make a decision on a 12 permanent water source for the City, and to do so by next Monday, October 23rd. The Council, I believe, 13 14 will be meeting this afternoon at 2:30 to consider 15 that issue.

In the event that they make a decision, either this afternoon or sometime between now and Monday, there is a possibility that we will calendar up a special RTAB meeting next week, possibly on Tuesday, if a resolution comes to our attention that is consistent with what Judge Lawson has ordered.

I believe the Great Lakes Water Authority Board meets on Wednesday, the 25th. So there is a possibility this issue might be resolved on a permanent basis by the end of next Wednesday. If

1	there is no need for the RTAB to meet next week,
2	then we would simply cancel the meeting.
3	So I wanted to give you both, sort of in
4	anticipation of what might occur, depending upon
5	what the City Council chooses to do between now and
6	Monday.
7	MR. TARVER: So the next meeting date will
8	be Tuesday?
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: It would likely be
10	Tuesday afternoon.
11	MR. TARVER: Okay. All right.
12	MR. NEWMAN: Okay.
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: If there is no further
14	business to be conducted, I'll entertain a motion
15	that we adjourn.
16	MR. TARVER: So move.
17	MR. NEWMAN: Support.
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Without objection, we
19	stand adjourned. Thank you both.
20	(Meeting was concluded at 2:11 p.m.)
21	* * * *
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Mona Storm, do hereby certify that I
4	have recorded stenographically the proceedings had
5	and testimony taken in the meeting at the time and
6	place hereinbefore set forth. I do further certify
7	that the foregoing transcript, consisting of (11)
8	pages, is a true and correct transcript of my said
9	stenographic notes.
10	
11	Date
12	Mona Storm CSR-4460
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	