-	L	
-	L	

CITY OF FLINT -1 RECEIVERSHIP TRANSITION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING -2 3 AUGUST 10, 2016 -4 2:00 p.m.-5 6 Meeting before the RTAB Board at Flint City Hall, Flint, Michigan, on-7 8 Wednesday, August 10, 2016. 9 10 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: -11 Frederick Headen - The Chairperson-Michael Finney-12 Joel Ferguson 13 FROM THE CITY: 14 Mayor Karen Weaver-City Administrator, Sylvester Jones 15 Purchasing Director, Derrick Jones -Council President, Kerry Nelson 16 City Administrator, Sylvester Jones -Deputy Finance Director, Dawn Steele-Water Plant Supervisor, JoLisa McDay 17 18 19 OTHERS PRESENT: 20 R. Eric Cline-Council President, Kerry Nelson 21 Purchasing Director, Derrick Jones-Arthur Woodson 22 R.L. Mitchell-Dorothy Bachelor -23 A.C. Dumas -24 REPORTED BY: Mona Storm, CSR# 4460-25

2

```
MOTION INDEX -
 1
   MOTION
                                                        PAGE -
 2
  Roll Call
                                                            3
 3
    Approval of Agenda
                                                            8 -
 4
   Approval of 7-13-16 minutes
                                                            8
    Approval of 7-22-16 minutes
                                                            9
 5
    UNFINISHED BUSINESS -
   None
                                                            9 -
 6
   NEW BUSINESS:
 7
    Approval of Resolutions & Ordinances for City Council-
 8
   Meetings
    July 11, 2016, Resolutions 210.3, 267, 296.1-
 9
   and 293 approved
                                                           20
    Resolutions 286.2 and 292, no action
                                                           21 -
10 July 18, 2016, Resolutions 319, 321 & 322, approved
                                                          30 -
11 Resolution 313, no action
                                                           30 -
12 July 25, 2016, Resolutions 294-297, 299, 301, 306,
                                                           32 -
    307, 309, 310, 314, 336, 340, approved
13 Resolutions 265.1, 311, 323.2 & 339.1, no action
                                                           32
   Resolutions 288-291 & 308
                                                           55 -
14 Resolution 320, approved
                                                           38
   Resolution 335, approved
                                                           39 -
15 Resolution 344, approved
                                                           54
    Resolution 347, not approved
                                                           49 -
16 Resolution 348, disapproved
                                                           50
    Resolution 2016-4, expiration extended to 12-14-16
                                                           68
17
    CITY ADMINISTRATOR ITEMS
                                                           57 -
18 Budget to Actual - June 2016
                                                           57 -
19 NON ACTION ITEMS -
                                                           32
20 Monthly Financial Report - July 2014
    Mayor Report
                                                           39 -
21
   City Administrator Report
                                                           54
    Public Comment
                                                           69 -
22 Board Comment
                                                           65
    Adjournment
                                                           80 -
23
24
```

1 Flint, Michigan-Wednesday, August 10, 2016-2 2:06 p.m.-3 4 THE CHAIRPERSON: The meeting will be inorder, please.-5 6 Let the record reflect that we do have a-7 quorum. Mr. Townsend was unable to attend this-8 afternoon's meeting. Unfortunately, he had a death in-9 the family. I'm going to ask that Mr. Townsend be-10 granted an excused absence. -11 MR. FINNEY: Excuse me. They're not hearing-12 you.-THE CHAIRPERSON: Without objection. Do we -13 have the mics on? -14 Okay. Without objection, Mr. Townsend will-15 have an excused absence. -16 Next item is the approval of the agenda. -17 Before we do that, I think certain comments are in-18 order this afternoon that we can bear upon later on in-19 20 the agenda. -21 First, in order to facilitate effective and-22 efficient operating of all our RTABs, not just this-23 one, the Department of Treasury's staff has put inplace certain current procedures. For example, -24 Treasury staff has established, with each local-25

government for which an RTAB has been appointed, -1 including the City of Flint, a calendar that contains -2 3 the dates of all RTAB meetings for that localgovernment and the deadline by which local officials -4 5 must submit agenda items for each RTAB meeting. Forexample, the deadline by which agenda items had to be-6 submitted for today's RTAB meeting was July 29th. -7 8 The repeated practice of City officials of -9 submitting agenda items at the last minute deprives -10 both Treasury staff and the RTAB of the opportunity to-11 thoroughly review such items to acquire additional -

12 information, if needed, and to dispose of items in an-13 orderly and measured manner.-

14 The Department recognizes that an emergency-15 situation may arise and certain occasions may justify-16 an occasional departure from the time that has been-17 established for submitting agenda items. However, the-18 Department will treat such emergency situations as-19 rarities, as they should be.-

And I believe we'll have such an emergencysituation we're dealing with later this afternoonregarding the addition of an item. So, when thatoccurs, the RTAB is fully willing to accommodate the-City in that regard. However, the routine practice ofsubmitting agenda items to Treasury staff at the last-

1 minute really must cease.-

2	Second, this Board met first in June of 2015
3	It held six meetings last year. By contrast, thus far-
4	in 2016, including today, this Board has held, in seven-
5	and a half months, 15 meetings. City Administrator's-
6	office has requested a number of special meetings and-
7	this Board has accommodated the majority of those, plus-
8	often quite on short notice
9	However candidly by revision we request-
10	settlement coverage that involve general emergencies
11	Waiting until last minute, dealing with items of-
12	business that require RTAB approval does not justify a-
13	request for a special RTAB meeting. Special meetings-
14	and requests for special meetings are problematic for-
15	the same reason as submitting agenda items at the last-
16	minute
17	Therefore, we ask City officials to keep any-
18	future requests from special meetings at an absolute-
19	minimum when some genuine emergency exists to justify,-
20	which, hopefully, will be quite seldom
21	Third, by the City's own internal procedures,-
22	resolutions must be signed by designated City-
23	officials; for example, the CFO or the Chief Legal-
24	Officer. Members of this Board have, on multiple-
25	occasions, expressed reluctance to consider resolutions-

that do not contain all required signatures and the-1 reason for this reluctance is sound. Without all-2 3 required signatures, we, as RTAB members, have no wayof knowing whether the appropriate City officials have-4 seen, reviewed and/or approved the given resolution.-5 And then, finally, a reminder, Section 10 of-6 the Local Financial Stability and Choice Acts-7 8 authorizes emergency managers to issue orders that are-9 binding upon local officials. -10 Section 22 of the act provides, among other-11 things, an act for when an emergency manager departs. -Local official may not revise an order implemented by-12 the emergency manager until one year after the-13 14 termination of receivership. Of course, this Cityremains in receivership. -15 EM Ambrose Order Number 20 authorized the-16 City Council to adopt ordinances and resolutions not -17 inconsistent with the order from State law. However, -18 the order clearly provides that ordinances and -19 resolutions adopted by the City Council are subject to-20 21 approval by this Board before they can take effect. -22 This provision of EM Ambrose Order Number 20applies to all resolutions, including resolutions by-23 the Council to initiate adjoined litigation or to-24 25 request outside counsel. Until those resolutions have-

been properly submitted to the Department of Treasury-1 and are approved by this Board, those resolutions are-2 not effective. -3 Now, with that said, we'll return to the-4 agenda and I'll ask the members if there are any-5 6 additions that they would like to make to the agenda-7 before I request it be approved. -8 MR. FERGUSON: Yeah, I have two items I'd-9 like to discuss. -10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ferguson? -11 MR. FERGUSON: Yeah. -THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm assuming you'd like to-12 address Resolutions 347 and 348. -13 MR. FERGUSON: That's correct. -14 MR. FINNEY: Support. I would support that. -15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Motion's made and seconded. -16 Without objection, those two resolutions will-17 be added. The Chair would also like to add the-18 Resolution 344, which was transmitted this morning. I-19 20 believe that concerns corrosion control items in the-21 water system and it's urgent that that particular item-22 be addressed this afternoon. -23 Without objection, we'll add that as well. -MR. FERGUSON: So move. -24 MR. FINNEY: So move. -25

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Hmm? -2 Are there other additions to the agenda? -3 If not, at this time, we have a motion thatthe agenda, as advised, be approved. -4 MR. FERGUSON: So move. -5 6 MR. FINNEY: Support. -7 THE CHAIRPERSON: Those in favor, please say-8 "aye".-9 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. -10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed? -11 The agenda's approved. -12 Next item is the approval of RTAB meetingminutes. We'll begin with the meeting of July 13, -13 that's Attachment 1. Are there corrections or -14 additions to those minutes? -15 MR. FINNEY: Mr. Chair, I would move to-16 17 approve. -THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there support? -18 MR. FERGUSON: Support. -19 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Without objection, theminutes of July 13 are approved. -21 22 The next, the RTAB meeting minutes from-23 July 22nd, Attachment 2. Are there corrections or additions to those minutes? -24 MR. FINNEY: Mr. Chair, I would move -- I-25

would move approval. -1 2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there support? -MR. FERGUSON: Support. -3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Motion's made and seconded. -4 5 Without objection, the minutes of July 22nd areapproved. -6 Unfinished business, I believe there is none. -7 8 New business. Madam Mayor? -9 MAYOR WEAVER: Thank you. And good afternoon -10 to Mr. Headen, as the Chair, and to the rest of the-11 RTAB Board. I appreciate you giving me the opportunityto come before you this afternoon. I am here not to-12 ask for any favors but I am here to ask that, just like-13 you talked about the need for us to do what we're-14 responsible to do, as far as doing our jobs, I'm asking-15 that the same come from you all as well. -16 What I'm looking at and what I'm talking-17 about is what's going on in the City of Flint and-18 making sure we do our due diligence and our-19 responsibility to the citizens of this community. -20 21 One of the things that we've been doing here-22 in the City is looking at -- looking at the biddingprocess. And one of the things that we have-23 recognized, as a result of what we have put in place, -24 25 is the need to open up bids when a contract expires.-

Because one of the things that happens is the current contract holder came in at \$2 million lower than what they were previously charging us. -

4 Now, we had a bidding meeting and this took -5 place on May 12th where our Purchasing Director gavethe information to our City Administrator and our Chief-6 of Staff. And what happened as a result of that is we-7 8 followed the purchasing ordinance. And I'm hoping to-9 just read something about that ordinance where it says -10 it was amended by the Emergency Manager in May of 2015. -11 And I'm talking about Ordinance 3865. And it reallycould not be clearer with respect to language that, -12 from one of the responsible bidders, a recommended -13 award shall be the lowest bidder. -14

And I know that's why you all are herebecause we're talking about the lowest bidder. Thiswas put in place. And what we did was follow therules, we followed the law. And that's what I'm askingyou all to do as well. Because they came in \$2 millionlower than what they had been bidding, the currentcontract holder.-

Another contract holder came in \$2 million below that, which means that we'd be paying \$4 millionless for the current service and the same service thatwe have in place. And that's the reason that I'm here-

and that's why we're here because we are supposed to be making financial decisions that make sense for the City of Flint.-

4 We're a city that -- we can't afford to throw-5 money away; we can't afford to do that at all. And so, when the -- the issue was brought to me by the City-6 7 Council President, as far as getting the information -8 late from Purchasing, I acknowledged that, I said, "You-9 are correct." And so I was asked to give a 30-day-10 extension. At that point, I could have done an-11 emergency but I didn't want to do that. I wanted towork with City Council because I do know we need to-12 work together. -13

Because last thing I want is you all comingin here, running the City; and that's not what I'masking you to do. We don't want that. I said thatright from the beginning, that I didn't believe inemergency managers and my thought about that has notchanged.-

But we did the 30-day extension, at whatpoint I thought we would be coming up with aresolution; we haven't been able to do that. And, infact, during the 30 days, nothing was done. Nothingwas done, as far as getting the information that wasneeded to make a sound financial decision.-

1	I did see people come up here and talk about-
2	reasons one company was better than another. It was-
3	more like a campaign tactic than taking care of the-
4	business at hand. And then, you know, so what ended up-
5	happening was, after the the end of that extension,-
6	Council rejected the resolution by 8 to 1 and created a-
7	new one that I don't think is legal; that's what-
8	happened. And you know, I vetoed that. I vetoed that-
9	and my veto was overridden
10	And these are the things that have to stop
11	This is why we work together. We got three attorneys-
12	up here that we're paying. We don't have money to pay-
13	an attorney. Mr. Headen, you're an attorney, you know-
14	how much you guys cost and you know what the rules and-
15	the law is
16	We've got Mr. Ferguson and Mr. Finney who are-
17	two astute businessmen and they know what it means to-
18	save some money and make good business decisions. And-
19	these are the kinds of decisions that were made before-
20	that got you all sitting up here before us. This is-
21	how we got into an emergency manager was not making the-
22	right, sound financial decisions
23	And \$4 million is a lot. \$2 million is a-
24	lot. If it weren't a lot, we wouldn't have been-
25	fighting the State to get the \$2 million back from the-

13 -

money we used to switch over to the -- to our water-1 2 system. We fought for that \$2 million. So this is \$4million that we're saving on the taxpayers.-3 4 And so what I'm asking you to do is let me do-5 my job. I feel like I have not been able to govern, that I can't do what I was elected to do. I'm not-6 asking you to make a decision about who gets this-7 8 contract, but I'm asking you to let me do my job and-9 not let us get back into an emergency manager-10 situation. Because, when we're making these kinds ofdecisions, that's the direction that we're heading back -11 in. And I was just wondering, if that's what the-12 ultimate goal was here, was to get us back into-13 14 emergency manager. But that's why we're here.-And it's our responsibility to make sound -15 financial decisions on the best interest of the people; -16 that's what we've done. And would anybody look here-17 and say this has been good governing when we say we've-18 got money to throw away? We're constantly asking the-19 State, we're constantly asking the Federal Government -20 21 to give us money and then we can't make good financial-22 decisions ourselves. How does that look? How doesthat look? I don't think that looks good to anybody.-23 And so what I'm here today to ask you is to-24 25 let me do my job, let me govern and do what the people-

elected me to do and for you all to please do yours as-1 well. I do have my -- my City Administrator, Chief of-2 3 Staff, Legal, I believe my Purchasing Director is here, if you have any questions about what we've done. -4 5 Thank you. -MR. FINNEY: Thank you. -6 THE CHAIRPERSON: The meeting will be in-7 8 order, please. -9 Councilman Nelson, President Nelson? -10 COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: Good evening to-11 the RTAB. I'm glad that you paused for -- to pay us avisit today. I -- I still only support the Mayor in-12 doing -- letting us do our job and democracy was-13 14 performed and laid out. If you have a procedure andthe process was not completed, then how do you-15 determine who really is the lowest bidder?-16 If this committee was not able to perform and-17 do their job and do a recommendation to the-18 Administration, how can we actually say that this-19 company versus this company is the lowest bidder?-20 21 And it says "The lowest responsible bidder." -22 I hear about 2 million and 4 million and we all about saving money; I believe in that. But there's another-23 avenue here. I represent some 11,000 people as well as -24 25 my colleagues. I can't speak for anybody else. I kept-

15 -

a recording of mine, a document of how many calls. -1 I've got over 300 calls; five say go with Rizzo, the-2 3 rest say stay where you are. We're satisfied with theservice. So do I turn my back and not listen to the-4 5 people that I represent? -I'm thinking we're hearing this from every-6 colleague, the calls that they're receiving, from the-7 8 government. If we have a process, then let us complete-9 the process. And then, when we completed the process, if it's whoever the company is, then that's who should-10 get it.-11 12 But the process was interrupted, the-13 committee did not make a recommendation and said, -14 "Well, therefore, democracy says we, as leaders, wehave to do what the people say that we -- that we-15 represent." We're not here to fight, pay lawyers and-16 all of that. But let the process be the process. And-17 then, at the end of the day, if -- if it says it goes-18 to Rizzo, so be it. But the process was interrupted. -19 And so, fairly, I've said from day one, let-20 21 us redo the process. Let's be fair. And that's all-22 I'm here to say is be fair. And, if there's a processin place, follow the process. Let the recommendation -23 24 come from the committee and then you proceed. That's -25 all I have.-

```
MR. FERGUSON: Is the committee the Council? -
 1
                   COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: No, the Purchasing-
 2
 3
         Director -- it was made up of the Purchasing Director, -
         the Director of Transportation and another young lady-
 4
         from DPW Department. -
 5
 6
                   MR. FERGUSON: Isn't that committee an-
 7
         advisory committee? -
 8
                   COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: No, that committee -
 9
         actually did the interviews and looked at all the-
10
         documents. -
11
                   MR. FERGUSON: That's not my question. The-
         body who makes a decision for any governmental unit is-
12
         the City Council and Mayor. So this committee that-
13
14
         you're talking about is an advisory committee, wouldn't-
         you say?-
15
16
                   COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: I would say. -
                   MR. FERGUSON: They're not --
17
                   COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: I would say. -
18
                   MR. FERGUSON: They're not the end-all, -
19
         they're just an advisory committee.-
20
21
                   COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: No, they were sent -
22
         to do a job, Mr. Ferguson.-
23
                   MR. FERGUSON: And that's not a committee, is-
         not an absolute.-
24
                   COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: That, I-
25
```

1 understand. -MR. FERGUSON: So I don't want you to elevate-2 this committee. -3 COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: I'm not elevating -4 the committee. -5 6 MR. FERGUSON: But they're not a final say.-7 COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: No, they're not -8 the final word. -9 MR. FERGUSON: So people can take a-10 recommendation from a committee --11 COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: Correct. -MR. FERGUSON: -- and accept it. But the way-12 you're making it sound, like this committee really has-13 far more standing than what they have. -14 COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: Well, then just -15 don't have the committee, then. We put the committee-16 together. Just don't have a committee.-17 MR. FERGUSON: This is just a recommendation. -18 COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: No. -19 20 MR. FERGUSON: They're not something-21 to begin --COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: No, they're truly-22 23 not. Thank you. -THE CHAIRPERSON: I'm sure we'll have more to-24 25 say in a moment. -

We'll proceed to Item B under New Business, -1 approval of resolutions and ordinances from City-2 3 Council meetings. We'll begin with resolutions fromthe Regular City Council meeting of July 11th. Those-4 will be Resolutions 210.3, 267 and 268.1 and 293. They-5 6 are Attachment 3. Is there a motion that those four-7 resolutions be approved? -8 MR. FERGUSON: So move. -9 MR. FINNEY: Support. -10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there support? -11 MR. FINNEY: Support. -THE CHAIRPERSON: Motion's been made and -12 seconded. Is there any discussion, please? -13 14 MR. FERGUSON: Could I ask this, -Mr. Chairman. -15 THE CHAIRPERSON: Certainly. -16 MR. FERGUSON: We have a lot of people here-17 today and could we give an overview for each one of the-18 resolutions, just for everyone in the audience's sake, -19 20 to understand what's going on? -21 THE CHAIRPERSON: Certainly. -22 Mr. Cline, can you -- or I'll say can we-23 trouble you to give a quick synopsis of three -- thefour resolutions that are pending from July 11th? -24 MR. CLINE: Certainly. If you will hear me-25

1 okay.-2 Give me just a moment here to find my notes.-All right. Resolution 210.3 was the -- a-3 public hearing was conducted. Resolution 267 was a-4 Memorandum of Understanding with the Conservation -5 6 District for tree removal services in the amount of-7 \$256,000. -8 286.1 was the scheduling of a second public -9 hearing.-10 And Resolution 293, I believe, was the-11 temporary extension on the solid waste contract for-12 probably 30 days. -THE CHAIRPERSON: Any questions from members-13 of the City on the resolutions? -14 15 MR. FERGUSON: So move. -THE CHAIRPERSON: Motion's been made and --16 MR. FINNEY: I second. -17 THE CHAIRPERSON: -- seconded. And now, -18 those in favor of approving those resolutions, please-19 20 say "aye". -21 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. -22 THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed? -23 Those resolutions are adopted. -We also have, from that meeting, Resolutions -24 286.2 and 292, both of which are lacking one or more-25

20 -

necessary signatures. So, we will, based upon earlier-1 comments, take no action upon those resolutions and -2 3 return those to the appropriate City officials and theycan be returned to us at such time that all necessary-4 5 signatures are procured. -In addition, we have Resolutions 288, 289, -6 7 290 and 291 that I asked staff to pull so we can get-8 further explanation from City officials. I believe-9 these involved mowing lawn in right-of-ways. And we-10 wanted to make sure that we understand fully why these-11 are being allocated across four different contractors. -Mr. Jones?-12 MR. DERRICK JONES: Good afternoon. My name-13 14 is Derrick Jones and I am the head of the Purchasing-Department. The purpose of the resolutions that are-15 before you is that the Department of Public Works had-16 decided to look at trying to distribute work across the-17 City as it relates to mowing. -18 It has become a problem as it relates to, -19 20 specifically, in the public right-of-way in which-21 children are passing and in which cars are trying to-22 turn, trying to have a clear vision, as it relates tosome of the streets and areas that require some-23 remediation of weeds and trash. -24 The purpose of the multiple contracts was -25

to -- again, to get the work done in a fair way and toget it done very quickly. There is also thisparticular resolution is to extend the specificcontracts for an additional two years because theinitial proposal that went out was for a three-yearperiod and we initially only adopted the one year, which would have been last year. -THE CHAIRPERSON: And are there, with respectto all four resolutions, additional funds identified tocover the costs? -MR. DERRICK JONES: Yes, sir. Because theyhave been signed off by the Finance Department. -MR. FINNEY: Mr. Chair?-THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Finney? -MR. FINNEY: With respect to the multiplecontracts, was the bid for these indicating that thesewere four separate contracts or was it just simply onebroad RFP? -MR. DERRICK JONES: It was one broad RFP inwhich it stated specifically that the -- that thecontractor that will do multiple awards, at which time, again, going back to the multiple awards, was, again, -

23 to take care of the -- the City as a whole very-

24 quickly.-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

MR. FINNEY: Did all the contractors bid the-

22 -

exact same price per cubic --1 MR. DERRICK JONES: Yes, they did. -2 3 MR. FINNEY: -- yard or whatever?-MR. DERRICK JONES: That's correct. Whereas, -4 5 when we were receiving information back, that is at the-6 time in which we went through the process of awarding-7 the areas in which they will receive. -8 MR. FINNEY: So how is it that -- help me-9 understand how four different contractors would bid the-10 exact same price per square yard or however they do it, -11 per cutting practice. -MR. DERRICK JONES: Well, what you have -12 before you -- and I believe correctly there's a-13 14 spreadsheet that's there as well to show various pricesthat they're charging. And the City looked at the-15 lowest -- the lowest vendors for each -- well, they-16 looked at the lowest vendors and they selected -- I-17 18 believe there were five vendors. Because I think, ifyou look at another resolution, there is one that we-19 did miss, which is a Cut -- Cut Rite, I believe.-20 21 MR. FINNEY: Do you know the number of the-22 other one? -MR. DERRICK JONES: I don't have the packet-23 24 but I saw it in the agenda. -THE CHAIRPERSON: I believe it's 308. -25

MR. DERRICK JONES: 308. -1 MR. FINNEY: So I'm just -- I'm not sure I'm-2 3 understanding what you're saying. So if there was asingle RFP to do mowing of right-of-ways and there were-4 5 five bidders, how is it that all of them ended up atthe exact same price to do the work? -6 7 MR. DERRICK JONES: Well, they're not at the-8 exact same price. The price that's -- as relates to --9 that's in the spreadsheet is the price that they will-10 charge the City per square -- per square foot.-11 MR. FINNEY: So is that a negotiated price? -MR. DERRICK JONES: That was just the price-12 that was accepted when they -- when the bid came in.-13 14 MR. FINNEY: What am I missing? I'm tryingto understand how -- how -- if the bidding process is, -15 "Here is the work I want you to do" and we have five-16 bids, do they all bid for the entire amount of work or-17 do they just bid for pieces of it? -18 MR. DERRICK JONES: They just -- only thing-19 20 they had to provide to the City was what they would charge per square foot. And, based on that, the City-21 22 looked at the lowest vendors, in terms of what theywould charge. And then, based on those -- and then, -23 again, the department wanted to do five -- select five-24 vendors to do the work. Based on those bids that came-25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

contracts. -

the rate --

in, they looked they lowest vendors to select the five-So Bidder 1, as an example, came in at adollar; Bidder 2 came in at \$2; Bidder 3 came in at \$3.-We had other bidders to come in at higher amounts. Weselected Bidder 1, 2 and 3 to perform the services at-MR. FINNEY: Why would you not select -Bidder 1, the lowest one, to do all the work?-MR. DERRICK JONES: Well, again, the purpose was to try to get the -- to try to get the workcomplete because of the mere fact that the grass had grown and we were trying to get -- as I said, again, -

14 the -- the grass remediated as quickly as possible.-MR. FINNEY: So does that mean that none of-15 the bidders had the capacity to do all the work in a-16 timely period that was specified? -17 MR. DERRICK JONES: Well, again, one of the-18

objectives -- let's just say the high objective was to-19 20 try to get all of the work done around the City. And-21 this was one opportunity for the department to, again, -22 select the specific vendors and to share some of thework with some of the vendors who were then able -- who-23 24 were afforded an opportunity to do the work. -25 MR. FINNEY: Okay. I -- Mr. Chair, I guess-

25 -

I'm still not quite understanding. And part of what-1 I've been trying to understand -- and this is just one-2 3 example -- is how the procurement process works. Sobear with me for a second. -4 5 MR. DERRICK JONES: Sure. -MR. FINNEY: If you put an RFP out and five-6 7 bidders bid an amount of money or say what they would-8 do per square foot, it seems logical that you would -9 select the lowest in order to save the City the maximum-10 amount of money, the lowest one, assuming they could do-11 all the work that was required in a time period that you've specified. -12 So I'm trying to understand the logic behind-13 14 then taking that bid and providing it to five differentsuppliers where some of them will cost more than the-15 lowest one. -16 MR. DERRICK JONES: And I do -- you know, I-17 do understand that. But, again, the Department had-18 wanted to award the -- wanted to do multiple awards as-19 it relates to this particular project, in terms of-20 21 getting the work done. And, again, there was a-22 component, in terms of trying to get some of thebusinesses that -- that are small an opportunity to get-23 24 work with the City as well. So, in doing so, the --25 the need, at the time, was to try to get the work done-

1 as soon as possible. -THE CHAIRPERSON: Two questions that may help-2 3 clarify what Mr. Finney is trying to ask. The firstquestion, did the RFP divide the City into different -4 5 geographic regions? -MR. DERRICK JONES: It did not. -6 7 THE CHAIRPERSON: It did not. So it was the-8 entire City?-9 MR. DERRICK JONES: That's correct. -10 THE CHAIRPERSON: Secondly, there were, you -11 indicated, five bidders? -MR. DERRICK JONES: I believe there's more. -12 There should be, like, a spreadsheet that was attached-13 that lists all the -- the vendors in which we received.-14 It was more than -- I believe it was more than five-15 vendors and the spreadsheet articulates the number of-16 vendors that was received along with their price per-17 18 square footage. -THE CHAIRPERSON: But was there one lowest -19 20 responsible bidder? -MR. DERRICK JONES: Yes, there were -- there-21 22 was one low vendor. And, then again, the Department-23 wanted to select other vendors as well. -THE CHAIRPERSON: And so I think one of the-24 25 questions that Mr. Finney is trying to understand, and-

27 -

me as well, if the City identified a single lowest-1 responsible bidder through this process, how was the-2 3 City able to award portions of the contract to otherbidders who, by definition, would not have been the-4 5 lowest responsible bidder?-MR. DERRICK JONES: Well, looking at that, -6 7 the City did not -- at the time of the proposal, did-8 not -- in its efforts, again, trying to get the service-9 work done, we selected -- and I guess, if you want to-10 say it this way -- the five lowest vendors to performthe work, in terms of getting the work done throughout -11 the City.-12 MR. FINNEY: What would be the -- the net-13 cost differential -- if you had selected the lowest-14 responsible bidder and given them a hundred percent of-15 the work, what is the cost differential based on what-16 you actually did by proposing to give them to four-17 separate bidders? -18 MR. DERRICK JONES: Now, I don't have that -19 20 information with me. I can get that information to the-21 appropriate party, in terms of -- I mean, I can get-22 that information for you at a later date, in terms ofgetting that and getting that number. -23 24 MR. FINNEY: Is there any chance you can get -25 it before our meeting is done? -

MR. DERRICK JONES: How long do you plan on -1 2 being up here? -THE CHAIRPERSON: Another five minutes. -3 MR. DERRICK JONES: Okay. Another, what, -4 another five minutes? -5 6 MR. FINNEY: No, the meeting is scheduled -7 until, what?-8 3:00, I think.-9 MR. DERRICK JONES: Yeah, I can try and get-10 that.-11 MR. FINNEY: If it's in a spreadsheet, youought to be able to share with us what that costs. If -12 it was only the lowest responsible bidder having a-13 purchase order and all the work, that's a number. But-14 now it's spread out with four different vendors, that's-15 a number. So do you understand? -16 MR. DERRICK JONES: Okay. -17 MR. FINNEY: So can we just table this until-18 he comes up with a number, Mr. Chair?-19 20 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. -21 MR. DERRICK JONES: Okay. Thank you. -22 THE CHAIRPERSON: We'll proceed, then, to-23 resolutions from the Special City Council meeting of-July 18th. We have Resolutions 319, -21 and -22.-24 25 They're Attachment 4. Based upon Mr. Ferguson's-

```
29 -
```

```
1
         earlier request, Mr. Cline, will you, for the benefit-
         of the audience, summarize those three resolutions? -
 2
 3
                   MR. CLINE: Certainly. -
                   Resolution -- actually, all three of these-
 4
         resolutions are for similar services. Resolution 319-
 5
 6
         was to award the removal and replacement of 50 lead-
 7
         service lines to Stevens Construction -- I do apologize-
 8
         I believe I abbreviated Stephenson and now I'm not-
 9
         certain -- in the amount of $320,000.-
10
                   Resolution 321 is a similar proposal or a-
11
         similar contract to Johnson and Wood for 50 service-
         lines in a similar amount of $320,000. -
12
                   The final Resolution, 322, is the replacement -
13
         of several service lines and curve boxes in the amount -
14
         of $619,000. -
15
                   Are there any questions on that? -
16
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there a motion that -
17
         Resolutions 319, 321 and 322 from the Special City-
18
         Council meeting of July 18th be approved? -
19
20
                   MR. FERGUSON: So move. -
21
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there support? -
22
                   MR. FINNEY: Support. -
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: A motion's been made and -
23
         seconded. Is there any discussion? -
24
25
                   If not, those in favor of the motion, please -
```

say "aye".-1 2 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. -3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed? -Those resolutions are adopted. -4 We also have, from that meeting, Resolution-5 6 323, which I believe was the City Council proposal with-7 regards to the refuse selection which, was a three-year-8 contract. That resolution is not proper before us-9 because it's lacking at least two necessary signatures.-10 It's my understanding that those missing signatures are-11 because those city officials -- I believe that thereare technical or other defects to the resolution. So-12 we return Resolution 323 back to the City. In the-13 meantime, of course, if the resolution's not approved-14 by the RTAB, the resolution has no effect. -15 That takes us to resolutions from the Regular -16 City Council meeting of July 25th, resolutions -- those-17 18 are quite numerous. -Mr. Cline, will you walk us through those-19 20 approximately ten resolutions? -MR. CLINE: Yes, absolutely. -21 22 All right. Resolution 265.3 is a public-23 hearing that was conducted. -Resolution 294 is the contract for street-24 paving in the amount of \$300,000.-25

Resolution 295 is a -- what I call an annual-1 2 purchasing contract for bulk supplies for the Water-3 Department in the amount of \$135,000. -Resolution 296 is a contract for the purchase-4 of manhole covers and related materials in the amount-5 6 of \$180,000. -7 Resolution 297 -- and I will say that many of-8 these contracts are similar annual bulk purchase-9 contracts. 297 is the contract for the purchase of-10 sand and fill materials in the amount of approximately-11 \$96,000. -299 is for maintenance services on vehicle-12 fleet in the amount of \$45,000. -13 14 Resolution 301 is with the company ECT forenvironmental services related to Chevy Commons in the-15 amount of \$200,000. -16 Resolution 306 is for concrete patching in-17 various locations across the City in the amount of-18 \$110,000. -19 20 307 is for laboratory supplies, again, for-21 the Water Department, in the amount of \$34,000. And I-22 am rounding all these numbers. -23 309 is for janitorial supplies and relatedservices in the amount of \$80,000.-24 310 is a contract for office supplies in the-25

32 -

1 amount of \$109,000.-314 is the first reading of an amendment to-2 one of the utility ordinances. -3 336 is the issuance of or the approval of-4 5 gaming license. -6 And Number 340 is the first reading of-7 ordinance regarding the City Advisory Committee. -8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there a motion that -9 the --10 MR. FERGUSON: So move. -11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there support? -MR. FINNEY: Support. -12 THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any discussion -13 regarding these resolutions? -14 If not, the motion has been made and -15 seconded. Those in favor, please say "aye".-16 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. -17 18 THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed? -Those resolutions are adopted. -19 20 We also have from the same meeting July 25th, -Resolutions 265.1, 311, 339.1 and 323.2, all of which-21 22 are lacking one or more necessary signatures and so-23 which are not proper before us. -I will make a note that Resolution 323.2 is -24 the City Council resolution which overrode the Mayor's-25

1	veto of previously discussed Resolution 323. Given the-
2	fact that it's not before us and we're not dealing with-
3	the resolution this afternoon, obviously, the veto
4	the override of the Mayor's veto is not in effect
5	We'll return all of those back to the City. Those-
6	resolutions can be re-presented to the RTAB at such-
7	time they receive all the necessary signatures. That-
8	leaves
9	MR. FINNEY: Mr. Chair
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you have something?-
11	MR. FINNEY: I just have one question about-
12	the 323.2
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes?-
14	MR. FINNEY: That is the Council's override-
15	of the Mayor's veto. If I understand the process, that-
16	resolution would require a signature of the Mayor and-
17	of the legal counsel as well in order for it to be-
18	proper before us; is that correct?-
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: That's my understanding, -
20	yes
21	MR. FINNEY: So my expectation or -
22	understanding is that the Mayor's not prepared to sign-
23	that. And so how, then, would that resolution ever get-
24	before the RTAB when there is a veto that's been-
25	properly initiated by the City Council?-

```
How would it get to the RTAB for our-
 1
         consideration, given the signature issue? -
 2
 3
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: With respect to the Chief-
         Legal Officer, I believe there were, in her view -- I-
 4
 5
         won't speak for technical defects and/or legal issues. -
 6
         She felt included, I heard from placing her signature-
 7
         on a resolution. With regard to --
 8
                   MR. FINNEY: With respect to the veto? -
 9
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: -- the Mayor, that's a-
10
         question, I think, we should probably pose to the Chief-
11
         Legal Officer, I suspect. -
                   Perhaps you can clarify. If we had a-
12
13
         situation where the Council validly overrides a mayoral-
14
         veto, that could not be undone without the Mayor to-
         sign that particular resolution that would invoke the-
15
         override. It would have done by a veto.-
16
                   But I think the second question is issues -
17
         that the Chief Legal Officer may have identified with-
18
         regards to resolutions from a legal standpoint. -
19
                   Ms. Oaks, do you want to -- wish to elaborate-
20
21
         on that?-
22
                   MS. OAKS: Yes. Good afternoon. As it-
         relates to the resolution itself, there were some-
23
         factual inadequacies contained within that resolution -
24
25
         and it also was authorizing the Purchasing Director to-
```

1	do something that Council didn't have authority to-
2	authorize him to do. Therefore, I could not sign a-
3	resolution because it had language contained within it-
4	that they did not have the authority to put forth
5	MR. FINNEY: Thank you
6	MS. OAKS: Now, I believe you also wanted to-
7	know if let's say all of the language was accurate-
8	and the Mayor's signature wasn't on the document, it-
9	would still bear my signature. And, pursuant to case-
10	law, as long as it has the Chief Legal Officer's-
11	signature on it, it would be able to go before you
12	MR. FINNEY: So I think that clarifies my-
13	question. One is there were some deficiencies as-
14	identified by the Legal Chief Legal Officer. And,-
15	if there were a situation where there was a proper-
16	resolution and the Chief Legal Officer signed off on-
17	it, we would then receive the fall for it?-
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes
19	MR. FINNEY: That's what I'm asking. Thank-
20	you
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: That leaves for July 25th,-
22	Resolution 308, which we had asked Mr. Jones to go back-
23	and provide some information for us
24	MR. CLINE: Excuse me, Mr. Chairman?-
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes?-

1	MR. CLINE: May I just make a comment on 308-
2	and related resolutions from earlier?-
3	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes
4	MR. CLINE: I've been looking through the-
5	materials we received on that and the spreadsheet that-
6	was referred to, I do not believe, was included in the-
7	material we received so
8	MR. FINNEY: You said it was or was not?-
9	MR. CLINE: Was not, to my knowledge. I-
10	cannot locate a copy in my notes. So that probably-
11	might have helped clarify some of your questions on-
12	that, had we had a copy of that before us. But I did-
13	want to just mention that to you. So, if if one of-
14	you does have a copy, I would be interested in seeing-
15	it but I do not believe I have a copy, actually, so
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: I do not have a copy
17	MR. CLINE: Okay
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: But we suspect that-
19	Mr. Jones, prior to the conclusion of the meeting, will-
20	be able to
21	MR. CLINE: I just wanted to clarity that for-
22	you if you have any questions about whether or not that-
23	information is there. So
24	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. That leaves, I-
25	believe, under this particular item, Resolution 320,-

1	which is with a reprogramming of home funds, and-
2	Resolution 235, which was adjustments to the summer-
3	property taxes. So I'm going to ask someone from the-
4	administration if they could please ventilate those two-
5	issues for us to understand better
6	Mr. Sylvester Jones
7	MR. SYLVESTER JONES: So good evening good-
8	afternoon. So, for the past six months or maybe shy-
9	of that, the Community Development Block Grant-
10	Department, under the leadership of Susan Wilcox, has-
11	been working on comprehensive home development-
12	strategies
13	What you have before you in 16320 is a list-
14	of projects that would go forward, that will address-
15	that comprehensive home strategy, Metro Community-
16	Invest Development appropriation will be received-
17	\$246,000, to support 12 NSB homes. And then there is-
18	the \$92,000 that will be awarded to Court Street-
19	Village that will serve as down payment assistance for-
20	eight homes in the target neighborhood there
21	And then 245,661 will be awarded to Genesee-
22	County Habitat for Humanity; and this is to assist with-
23	work/live space and it is a duplex. All of that is a-
24	part of the compressive home development strategy, -
25	which is designed to really improve the poverty of the-

```
housing docket for Flint residents here. -
 1
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Questions or comments? -
 2
 3
                   MR. FINNEY: Mr. Chair, I would move-
 4
         approval. -
 5
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there support? -
                   MR. FERGUSON: Support. -
 6
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Any discussion? -
 7
 8
                   Those in favor of approving Resolution 320, -
 9
         please say "aye".-
10
                   BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. -
11
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed? -
                   Motion is adopted. -
12
                   Mr. Jones, could you also comment upon-
13
14
         Resolution 335. This would have been adjustments, -
         given the summer, City and school property tax roll.-
15
                   MR. SYLVESTER JONES: 335? -
16
                   MS. STEELE: Good afternoon. My name is -
17
         Dawn Steele and I am the Deputy Finance Director for-
18
         the City of Flint. In regards to the resolution that -
19
20
         is before you, what it entails is that the property tax-
21
         roll is normally mailed out by the end of June with a-
22
         due date -- first is on a due date of July 31st. That-
23
         process was delayed by, I believe, ten -- ten days and-
         so we were asking that the interest and penalty be-
24
         waived for the bills that were due July 31st until-
25
```

```
1
         August -- I believe it was on the 15th of August. So, -
         because of the tax bills being due by July 31st, we-
 2
 3
         extended it to August 15th. -
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Questions? -
 4
 5
                   MR. FINNEY: I move approval. -
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there support? -
 6
 7
                   Those in favor of approving Resolution 335, -
 8
         please say "aye". -
 9
                   BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. -
10
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed? -
11
                   Resolution is adopted. -
                   Next item is Mr. Ferguson had asked that-
12
         Resolutions 347 and 348 be added to the agenda. -
13
                   Resolution 347 was a resolution, if I-
14
         understand it, that authorizes City Council to become a-
15
         party to the trash-hauling contract litigation per-
16
         Genesee County Circuit Court. -
17
                   And the companion Resolution, 348, was a-
18
         resolution to request outside legal counsel for the-
19
20
         City Council. -
21
                   Mr. Ferguson? -
22
                   MR. FERGUSON: It's very rare you put a-
23
         resolution on that you don't want to pass. So I move-
         that we vote no on the resolution. -
24
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: What's the purposes of --
25
```

1	ease of understanding is to put these out and to deal-
2	with Resolution 347 first. So you're offering a motion-
3	that Resolution 347
4	MR. FERGUSON: Be disapproved
5	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there support, a second?-
6	MR. FINNEY: Mr. Chair, I'd like to ask some-
7	questions about these before I before supporting
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: This is just for purposes-
9	of discussion
10	MR. FINNEY: Okay. I will support
11	THE CHAIRPERSON: Those in favor, say "aye"
12	BOARD MEMBERS: Aye
13	THE CHAIRPERSON: Questions?-
14	MR. FINNEY: Okay. I guess I'll like to get-
15	some understanding as to why this is necessary. So I-
16	don't know if Council President
17	COUNCIL PRESIDENT NELSON: Mr. Kincaid
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Councilman Kincaid, you're-
19	offered up
20	COUNCILMAN KINCAID: I get offered up a lot
21	So thank you very much. The reason for the resolution-
22	for the City Council to enjoin in a lawsuit that I-
23	filed was to prevent the City from creating an-
24	emergency and then entering into an emergency contract-
25	with a contractor to pick up trash in the City of Flint-

1	because we hadn't gone through the process. And I-
2	didn't want the administration to create a man-made-
3	disaster in our community and then use our purchasing-
4	ordinance to enact an emergency and then enter into a-
5	contract with a trash-hauling company. So I filed-
6	litigation to prevent that
7	We had a hearing. And, after that hearing or-
8	at that hearing, the Court was kind of waiting for-
9	Tuesday because they were told that there was going to-
10	be an emergency RTAB meeting and that the RTAB was-
11	going to take this matter up. And, therefore, there-
12	would be a moot point, once the RTAB acted on the-
13	contract for waste hauling for the City of Flint
14	The RTAB did not meet. There has been a-
15	scheduled hearing for tomorrow and the City Council has-
16	enjoined in that lawsuit. And, in doing that, a-
17	resolution was proposed to the City Council
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Could I interrupt you for-
19	just a second?-
20	COUNCILMAN KINCAID: Most certainly
21	THE CHAIRPERSON: I apologize. Just to-
22	clarify, because there was some confusion, I think, of-
23	this, whether the RTAB was, in fact, going to meet on-
24	August 1st. We never had a meeting scheduled
25	COUNCILMAN KINCAID: I understand

1	THE CHAIRPERSON: There was a request made-
2	and I realize the confusion on your part. Per public-
3	record, there was a request that we meet. We never-
4	acted upon the request
5	COUNCILMAN KINCAID: I understand
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: So to the extent statements -
7	were made, it's not because the RTAB reconsidered or-
8	canceled the meeting, we never had one scheduled
9	COUNCILMAN KINCAID: Right
10	THE CHAIRPERSON: So please
11	COUNCILMAN KINCAID: And so and so, to-
12	continue this process for a resolution, a resolution-
13	was presented to the City Council to enjoin or to be a-
14	party of the lawsuit because of differences between the-
15	Administration and the City Council on the bidding-
16	process and the awarding of a contract. So they
17	City Council voted 8 to 1 to approve the resolution to-
18	enjoin the City Council in that lawsuit
19	The other question that you asked about-
20	retaining outside legal counsel, the City Council,-
21	under an appeals court ruling and sent back to the-
22	lower court, indicated that, for City Council to get-
23	outside legal counsel when there is a difference or a-
24	conflict, that the City Attorney's office would then-
25	appoint a legal counsel for the City Council. A-

43 -

request was made to the City Attorney's Office for the-1 office to appoint legal counsel and three outside-2 3 attorneys' names were submitted to the attorney'soffice. And the attorney's office rejected, citing-4 that there wasn't a conflict. -5 So City Council passed the resolution, asking-6 for outside legal counsel and to represent the City-7 8 Council in this litigation. So that's why the two-9 resolutions are before you. There is a scheduled-10 hearing tomorrow to continue this process. -11 But I would like to say that trying to avoid confrontation in court, I believe that there was a very-12 productive meeting with the legal representative for -13 14 the Mayor, legal representative for the City and City-Council's legal representative on Monday, along with-15 16 various department heads and individuals from the City. -It was about a two-hour meeting. Some suggestions were-17 made. -18 City Council's outside legal counselor has -19 been waiting for a response. And, as -- as of this-20 afternoon at 1:00, had still not received a response. -21 22 So, you know, Council's position is that we have adifference and we need to work through that process. -23 And there is a conflict between the Administration and -24 25 the City Council and there is legal action has been-

taken.-1 And then we're asking the RTAB, one, to-2 3 approve the City Council in joining in the lawsuit and, two, to authorize outside legal counsel for the City-4 5 Council because there absolutely is a conflict. And-6 that's why those resolutions are before you today. So-7 I can answer any questions. -8 MR. FINNEY: Okay. Mr. -- go ahead.-9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Have the two resolutions -10 received all the required signatures? -11 COUNCILMAN KINCAID: Well, again, I think we're in a dilemma where, if the City Council passes-12 something and the Administration fails to sign off on-13 those resolutions, then I guess they stay in what I-14 would consider a gray area. And probably then we would -15 have to rely on the court to make a decision. And I-16 think that we should try to avoid that, if at all-17 possible --18 THE CHAIRPERSON: But my primary question --19 20 COUNCILMAN KINCAID: -- opportunities. -21 THE CHAIRPERSON: My primary question had to-22 do with the Chief Financial Officers, as to whether or-23 not adequate funds had been identified in the City's-

24 budget to cover either the litigation itself or the-

25 payment of outside counsel. -

1	COUNCILMAN KINCAID: It's my understanding,-
2	Mr. Headen, that the Chief Legal Officer doesn't-
3	determine the amount of funding for outside legal-
4	counsel. We adopt a budget and we put X amount of-
5	dollars in there; that's something that the department-
6	head managed those dollars. And, until those dollars-
7	get to a critical level where they're below what we-
8	think our litigation or settlements are going to be,-
9	then a budget amendment would be adjusted
10	But I don't believe that the Chief Financial-
11	Officer signs off on any outside legal representation-
12	for the City when the City Attorney goes out and hires-
13	among outside legal counsel. I don't think that the-
14	Chief Financial Officer signed off on the Mayor's legal-
15	representative, nor did he sign off on the City's legal-
16	representative, so I I don't believe that that-
17	protocol, Mr. Finney, is in place for that process to-
18	take place at the time of hiring outside legal-
19	representation for the City
20	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ferguson?-
21	MR. FERGUSON: Yeah. I don't think that the-
22	money is an issue
23	COUNCILMAN KINCAID: I'm sorry?-
24	MR. FERGUSON: On this point here, I don't-
25	think it's an issue. I think what you said earlier,-

46 -

that the Council and the Mayor have been in discussions -1 and you feel that progress has moved forward, that -2 3 being the case, this resolution, had we passed it, wewould be putting the Court to make a decision as -4 5 opposed to you working together. -So I think this is -- this resolution is very-6 7 strong that Council's -- the RTAB should send the-8 signature to the Mayor -- to the courts, that the Court-9 should not be making this decision. This decision-10 should be settled between the Mayor and the Council. -11 And, therefore, that's a great reason why we should reject both these resolutions. -12 COUNCILMAN KINCAID: Well -- and I understand-13 14 your point. -MR. FERGUSON: So it's not to do with money.-15 This is something to do with procedure. -16 COUNCILMAN KINCAID: I understand your point, -17 Mr. Ferguson. But, also, what is the Court going to do-18 with the legal representation that the Administration -19 20 has hired and outside legal representation in this-21 matter?-22 I mean, are you saying that it's okay for theadministration to have two outside attorneys to-23 represent the Mayor and the City and that the City-24 25 Council can't have outside legal representation when-

1	we're clearly in our charter states that, when-
2	there's a conflict, that the City has the the-
3	Council has the right to seek outside legal counsel and-
4	there definitely is a conflict in this issue
5	MR. FERGUSON: Without being an attorney, I-
6	don't understand how you had any standing at all
7	AUDIENCE MEMBERS: Amen
8	MR. FERGUSON: when you want to
9	THE CHAIRPERSON: The meeting will be in-
10	order, please
11	MR. FERGUSON: when you went into court,-
12	that I don't know how you retroactively get standing
13	And so I think the entire procedure, with just any-
14	Council member or anyone going off the streets and-
15	filing a lawsuit; and, then playing catchup later on-
16	and then asking someone to pay for it, that makes no-
17	sense for the intention. So I don't think you had any-
18	standing at all
19	COUNCILMAN KINCAID: Okay. No, I think
20	MR. FERGUSON: I'm talking about standing
21	COUNCILMAN KINCAID: Mr. Ferguson, I will-
22	disagree
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Council
24	MR. FERGUSON: Well, I think that this is-
25	before us

```
THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Ferguson, I will --
 1
                   MR. FERGUSON: Yes, sir?-
 2
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: I think the issue of -
 3
         standing is an issue for Judge Farah to decide because -
 4
         that matter is before him. This has to do with whether-
 5
 6
         or not the Council be authorized to join the-
 7
         litigation. That motion's been made and seconded. -
 8
                   Mr. Finney, is there any further discussion? -
 9
                   MR. FINNEY: Yeah, Mr. -- I actually see-
10
         Mr. Jones come back and I actually had questions -
11
         related to this issue for Mr. Jones. Thank you. -
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Is it on this particular -
12
13
         motion? -
                   MR. FINNEY: Yes. -
14
                   So, with respect to the two resolutions that -
15
         we have in front of us for an attorney to represent-
16
         City Council, does this have any procurement -
17
         connections to it at all?-
18
                   MR. DERRICK JONES: No, it does not. -
19
20
                   MR. FINNEY: Okay. Is that normal? -
                   MR. DERRICK JONES: Yes. -
21
22
                   MR. FINNEY: Okay. That's what I thought.-
23
                   MR. DERRICK JONES: Is that it?-
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Hopefully on this. Thank -
24
25
         you.-
```

```
Further questions upon this particular issue? -
 1
                   If not, questions on the adoption of the-
 2
 3
         motion that Resolution 347 be disapproved? -
                   Those in favor of the motion, please say-
 4
         "aye".-
 5
 6
                   MR. FERGUSON: Are we supposed to vote no? -
 7
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: You would vote yes on the-
 8
         motion to disapprove Resolution --
 9
                   MR. FERGUSON: Well, I vote yes. -
10
                   MR. FINNEY: Aye. -
11
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Resolution 347 is not -
         approved. -
12
                   That leaves Resolution 348, which was a-
13
         resolution that authorized that the City Council hire-
14
15
         outside legal counsel. To some extent, that motion is -
         rendered nullified by the vote we just took. But I-
16
17
         will still ask for a resolution regarding-
         Resolution 348. -
18
19
                   MR. FERGUSON: I move to deny. -
20
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there support? -
21
                   MR. FINNEY: Support. -
22
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there a discussion? -
23
                   Question on the adoption of the motion? -
                   Those in favor of adopting the motion to-
24
         disapprove Resolution 348, please say "aye".-
25
```

50 -

1 BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. -2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed? -3 Resolutions 348 is disapproved. -That leaves, at this point, Resolution 344, -4 5 which is a resolution regarding Arcadis. -MR. FINNEY: Mr. Chair, I actually have a-6 7 couple questions as follow-up to the motion we just-8 approved. -9 THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes? -10 MR. FINNEY: And so maybe this is another-11 question for the turn of the record and/or the City-Administrator or the Mayor. The City Council voted to-12 veto the recommendations for trash collection. So, as-13 14 of the end of the extension that's in place right now, the City will not have trash -- trash collection-15 16 services.-And the -- the issue in front of the judge, -17 apparently, is to prevent the Mayor from exercising the-18 emergency powers that she has. And then I'm trying to-19 20 understand how that is in the City's best interest and-21 how that is -- what's the expectation for either the-22 President of the City Council or Mr. Kincaid who's been-23 speaking on this issue. What is your expectation fortrash collection if the Mayor is prevented from using-24 25 the emergency powers to continue with someone, whoever-

51 -

that is, to do trash collection? -1 Because that's what the -- that's what the-2 3 legal issue appears to be about is to prevent that fromhappening. And, since we don't have a contract that's-4 been properly presented, either from the Mayor or from-5 6 City Council, what is your expectation for trash-7 collection? I guess that's a question to the Mayor and-8 the Council President, maybe starting with those two. -9 MAYOR WEAVER: Well, I would declare anemergency; that's what I would have to do. -10 11 MR. FINNEY: Could you -- could you --MAYOR WEAVER: Yes. -12 THE CHAIRPERSON: And I would indicate to -13 either one of you, if, given the pending litigation and -14 the hearing tomorrow, if either of you feel-15 uncomfortable discussing matters that are going to be-16 before Judge Farah tomorrow, please feel free to defer-17 and not comment but you're more than welcome to if you-18 choose. -19 MR. FERGUSON: I just want to add something, -20 21 if I may. Mayor, without interrupting you, when-22 could -- I think the only question in front of the-Mayor right now is that she, having the authority, -23 she'll use it. But, for us to ask her how she's going-24 25 to use it, I don't think that should be an answer or -

even a question in this forum right here. It's justthat, if she sees that she has to use her emergencypowers, that she will. But, to ask in this meetingright here today to say how she will, I don't thinkthat's something that should be in public domain or something that she should answer, other than she willuse her powers.-

8 MR. FINNEY: So my question is, what is your-9 expectation for trash pickup for the City residents, -10 given that the Mayor's recommendation was, in fact, -11 reject by City Council and -- and I don't understand -12 what the plans are from the City Council and from the -13 Mayor for trash collection. -

COUNCILMAN KINCAID: Well, again, I indicated -14 earlier that we had what I believe was a very-15 productive meeting on Monday to try to resolve this-16 issue. What I would probably anticipate that the Court-17 will do is what they did at the last hearing -- not-18 knowing what the Court will do -- is to continue with a-19 20 temporary restraining order, to continue having the-21 current contractor pick up waste in the City at the-22 lower rate that was bid by that contractor until the-23 legislative and executives branch of government resolved this issue. That's kind of what I think-24 25 probably will happen. -

```
But not knowing that, that's what our -
 1
         interest is, as a Council; is not to have trash-
 2
         collection interrupted as it was a week ago. It was-
 3
         clear that the Court does not want waste collection-
 4
         interrupted in our community while we settle our -
 5
 6
         differences in this matter. And I would hope that we-
 7
         continue down that course; one, to try to resolve this-
 8
         the way government is supposed to resolve things; and, -
 9
         two, while we're in that process, to have the Court-
10
         continue to enforce its temporary restraining order and -
11
         have trash collected until this matter is resolved. I-
         mean, that's the City Council's hope and anticipation. -
12
13
                   MR. FINNEY: Thank you. -
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Now, Resolution 344, I-
14
         believe this involves corrosion control. -
15
                   Mr. Jones, could you speak to this proposed -
16
         resolution? -
17
18
                   MR. SYLVESTER JONES: In this case, I'm going-
         to defer to our Water Plant Supervisor, -
19
20
         Ms. JoLisa McDay. -
21
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. -
22
                   MS. McDAY: Good afternoon. -
23
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Can you elaborate upon this-
         proposal so we have an idea of what we're voting on, -
24
25
         please. -
```

```
MS. McDAY: Yes. The Arcadis proposal before-
 1
         you for distribution and compensation and engineering-
 2
         services helps to provide services for the City of-
 3
         Flint that are also required under the EPA-
 4
         Administrative Order. One of those requirements is to-
 5
 6
         have an optimized corrosion control plan. Also, within-
 7
         the scope of work is the ability to optimize how we fit-
 8
         and manage our district engineered systems, how we-
 9
         operate pumps, how we dump chemicals. Also, within the-
10
         scope of work, is a need assessment so we can further-
11
         identify how we can strengthen our swallowing out -
         performing the scope of work to move us forward. -
12
                   MR. FINNEY: Thank you. -
13
14
                   MS. McDAY: Thank you. -
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there a motion that -
15
         Resolution 344 be approved? -
16
                   MR. FERGUSON: So move. -
17
18
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Support? -
                   MR. FINNEY: Support. -
19
20
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Any discussion? -
21
                   If not, those in favor of the motion, please-
22
         say "aye". -
23
                   BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. -
24
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed? -
25
                   Motion 344 is approved. -
```

1 Thank you. -We now return to Resolutions 288 through 291-2 3 and 308, all with regard to mowing services along-4 right-of-ways.-5 Mr. Jones, do you have additional information for us, please? -6 7 MR. DERRICK JONES: Okay. Okay. So, as-8 related to the specific proposal that went out, the --9 in terms of it was not per square footage, it was per-10 cut, the City of Flint did receive bids. There would-11 be eight bids, in which the services is they willassign a property and they will cut it and there is a-12 flat fee that they pay. Each vendor -- we had four-13 14 vendors to provide this service at \$7.50, which it wasbefore you and then you had one that will do it for \$8.-15 And the actual difference between the two-16 plus the mere fact that it is going to be the same rate-17 for before and the eight, an additional -- as I said, -18 50 cents increase in the other one that comes to a-19 difference, as it relates to a total of \$27,000.85.-20 21 MR. FINNEY: So you're only four, you're not -22 recommending a fifth one, correct? -MR. DERRICK JONES: I believe the fifth --23 24 MR. FINNEY: I'm sorry. There is a fifth-25 one.-

1	MR. DERRICK JONES: There is a fifth one
2	MR. FINNEY: Okay. So I think that answers-
3	my question. I do want a better understanding, though,-
4	you know, just going forward, how this bidding process-
5	works. Because, if I if I understand things-
6	correctly, in the ordinance, there is an allowance for-
7	companies that are located in the City or in the County-
8	to have some kind of a differential or at least an-
9	opportunity to reconsider the bid they suggested as a-
10	way of being competitive. Did any of that come into-
11	play with any of these suppliers?-
12	MR. DERRICK JONES: For these with these-
13	particular vendors, all of them, we had four of the-
14	five that are with inside the City of Flint and then-
15	there is one that is in Saginaw; and that's the one-
16	that has the smaller dollar amount. And so, in looking-
17	at that, in comparing it to a company that was in-
18	Flint, which charged \$125 per cut, the preference did-
19	not even come into play for the difference of the-
20	prices
21	MR. FINNEY: Okay
22	THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there a motion that-
23	Resolutions
24	MR. FERGUSON: So move
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: 288 through 291 and 308-

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be approved? -A motion's been made. Is there support?-MR. FINNEY: Support. -THE CHAIRPERSON: Is there any discussion? -If not, those in favor of the motion, pleasesay "aye". -BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. -THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed? -Motion is adopted. -That takes us to City Administrator Items.-We'll begin with budget to actual for June 2016. -Please. -MS. STEELE: Welcome. My name is -Dawn Steele, again, and I am the Deputy Finance-Director. I'm here on behalf of the Interim Chief-Financial Director Dave Sabuda. You should have inyour packet, we provided, a monthly budget to actualreport for the City's funds. -June 30th does happen to be our end of our fiscal year. So this June 30th report is not final.-There are going to be some changes that, as we continueto make payments on invoices that come in that were for-FY '16, which would have been prior to June 30th. Andthere's also some revenue that hasn't been posted or received that should be by the end of this month. The-

58 -

audit is scheduled to come in on October 17th. So, -1 hopefully, everything should be posted and in by that -2 3 time.-Do you have any questions concerning this-4 report directly that I can possibly answer for you?-5 THE CHAIRPERSON: We had asked, at a prior -6 7 meeting and it may have been the last regular meeting, -8 I think we asked a question of Mr. Sabuta about the-9 status of the -- the water and whether or not rates-10 would have to be adjusted to remit any obligations that -11 the water fund had. -And I note that, in -- in this most recent-12 budget to actual report, if I'm reading this correctly, -13 14 that the available balance in the water fund -- I'massuming this was at the end of June -- was a negative-15 \$13.5 million. So I think, unless you can indicate-16 whether I'm reading this incorrectly, that we're going-17 to renew sort of our request from the Finance-18 Department to provide us with information going -19 forward, projecting out, as to the viability of the-20 21 water fund. -22 MS. STEELE: Okay. I can -- I will let himknow that. We do continue to monitor all of the funds-23 on a weekly and monthly basis. I can tell you, -24 25 regarding the water fund, last year we did initiate the-

59 -

spending freeze and we did cut out all capital-1 improvement projects. And so it's an ongoing process, -2 3 as we continue to work with the different agencies --4 agencies concerning the water crisis. I will let-5 Mr. Sabuta know that you guys want a better analysis ofthe water fund. -6 We do a monthly cash flow of the water funds, -7 8 also. The collection rate still has not improved. So-9 we continue to monitor, like I said, on a monthly-10 basis. And we will do so. And I will let him know-11 that you want a little bit better analysis of the waterfund. -12 13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. -14 We also had, for Mr. Jones, Sylvester Jones, there were a couple of items pending the prior -15 meetings. I think that the one had to do with a-16 question Mr. Finney asked earlier about with respect to-17 this engineering contract of the cost comparison versus-18 in-house that we still need information upon, also, the-19 position description salary range for the-20 21 Transportation Director. -22 MR. SYLVESTER JONES: Sure. We have been working on that. For the last couple weeks, Mr. Sabuta-23 24 has been on vacation so we have not completed either of-25 those. So we do plan to bring those back to you at the-

September meeting. -1 THE CHAIRPERSON: At the September meeting? -2 MR. SYLVESTER JONES: The September meeting. -3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. -4 5 MR. SYLVESTER JONES: Thank you. -THE CHAIRPERSON: And then the final item-6 7 under this point appears to be the annual review. -8 Mr. Cline, do you want to just go ahead and-9 announce that. -10 MR. CLINE: Yes.-11 We are going to begin by weighing in on thestaff of my section in the Treasury Department. We're-12 beginning a process on behalf of the Board, the annual-13 14 review, which all communities that currently have RTABreceive on an annual basis, we roughly target the-15 initiation of that process right around the annual-16 appointment date of the RTAB, though that is not -17 something that we firmly set, it's just a matter of-18 organization. -19 20 What we have done is develop a very detailed -21 evaluation tool by which we look at sort of how -- how-22 I would describe this as if a community or a local-23 government is operating in an optimal condition, there-

are a certain number of things, very generally, youknow; submitting a balanced budget, getting it in on-

60

61-

time, getting the audits submitted on time, those types-1 of things, they would all be expected to accomplish. -2 3 Our evaluation tool hits on quite a few ofthose types of factors. We've tried to eliminate as-4 5 much subjectivity out of the process as possible. Wedon't really have what I would call a pass/fail system; -6 it's more of, "Are you doing it? If you are doing this-7 8 particular type of activity, is that working well for -9 you? If it's not occurring, what are the reasons forthat? What is a plan for that?" And then that begins -10 to paint a picture of, internally, the City operations. -11 We also have a section that we customized, in-12 some fashion, based upon requirements out of emergency-13 14 manager orders that we would look at how the City iscomplying with that. So each evaluation for each RTAB-15 meeting does have its own certain unique features. -16 We intend to, basically, follow the process-17 that we follow with all the other RTABs. This is a-18 rather detailed and time-consuming process so I can't-19 stand up here and tell you on this date it will be-20 done. And, frankly, this is probably the biggest -21 22 community, you know, and probably the most complexevaluation that we've done to date. So this one will-23 take probably several months to get through. -24 25 As part of that process, what we will do --

62 -

and we've done this standard for all the different -1 communities -- is there will be an opportunity for you, -2 3 as the Board members, to provide some input on yourimpressions of how things are operating from your -4 5 perspective because this will ultimately come back toyou for your approval. -6 We'll also provide an opportunity to have-7 8 those same conversations with members of the City and-9 the Administration as well as the City Council and -10 collectively go in to -- excuse me -- process all of-11 that. And then, ultimately, when we developed a draftof this, which will be brought back for you for-12 presentation and then, ultimately, your endorsement and -13 then it will be provided, as is required, to the-14 Governor's office. -15 We are beginning to develop sort of a draft-16 that will be specific to Flint. I hope to share that-17 with you upcoming before we get this process well-18 underway. But I just wanted to mention that this is -19 20 going to be forthcoming. And, as we progress with-21 this, I will probably come up here and just give you-22 periodic progress reports on that. -23 So -- if there are any questions, I'll be-24 happy to address them. Otherwise, we can address -25 questions as we go along, as I review my notes.-

63 -

1 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. -MR. CLINE: All right. Thank you. -2 3 MAYOR WEAVER: Excuse me. -THE CHAIRPERSON: At this point, I'm going to-4 exercise the Chair's prerogative to add an item to the-5 agenda. -6 7 On the 26th of May of this year, the RTAB-8 adopted a resolution recommending to the State-9 Treasurer that the Charter order that the City Council-10 be restored in most respects. And that proposal-11 contained a 90-day grace period during which the RTABcould reconsider the issue. -12 That resolution was approved by the State -13 14 Treasurer, I believe, on May 26th which means that it will expire -- 90 days would be roughly October 6th. I-15 am going to propose a motion that that period be-16 extended to December 14th, which is the date of the-17 December 2016 meeting of the RTAB, based upon, I think, -18 the need for the -- the Board to continue to monitor-19 20 the restoration of that authority. And so I propose-21 that motion and I ask that there be a second. And do-22 we have any discussion? -MR. FERGUSON: It's hard for me to second it.-23 24 I think we should extend it but, Mr. Chairman, I think-25 a month, at the most, or two weeks should be enough -

1	time. I mean, we'll be able to tell how they're-
2	working together. I don't think it takes a six-month-
3	extension
4	THE CHAIRPERSON: No, it would be a two-month-
5	extension
6	MR. FERGUSON: You're talking about, you-
7	said, to December. I thought you said to December
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. That would be a-
9	two-month extension beyond when it would currently-
10	expire. It will expire in October
11	MR. FERGUSON: It will expire in October
12	And how long is there a need to even pass this? If-
13	there's enough time between now and October to see if-
14	they're functioning with each other? I mean, I know we-
15	have all these
16	THE CHAIRPERSON: Sure
17	MR. FERGUSON: We would always rescind if we-
18	wanted to. But and I'm sorry that Council-
19	President's not here right now. But I remember, just-
20	reading everything that there was a there was 30-
21	days that they they were supposed to work this out a-
22	long time ago with regards to that and nothing happened-
23	during that period
24	And what I'm saying is that I think, without-
25	extending it but us just saying that, if the Council-

```
1
         can't find a way to function with the Mayor, then-
 2
         things have to be sent to what we originally passed. I-
         mean, I'm just saying -- but, you know, whatever you-
 3
         want. Because we can always, anytime inside of that-
 4
 5
         period, do what we want to do also. So I'm just-
         saying --
 6
 7
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Beyond October, yes. -
 8
                   MR. FERGUSON: You know what I'm saying? -
 9
                   So I don't know if it's a necessity. I don't-
10
         know if it sends the right signal to send something-
11
         when we're not happy with how things are going right -
         now.-
12
                   MR. FINNEY: I have a question. -
13
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Yeah? -
14
                   MR. FINNEY: What were the dates again? So-
15
         it was May 26th that the RTAB ---
16
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: The -- I believe we-
17
18
         approved it on May 26th. -
                   MR. FINNEY: And it was for a 90-day-
19
20
         extension -- or a 90-day period?-
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. I think I see where-
21
22
         you're going. RTAB resolutions do -- to revise EM-
23
         orders are a recommendation by the RTAB to the State-
         Treasurer. So they're not actually a revision by us, -
24
25
         they're recommendations that the State Treasurer do so. -
```

66 -

The State Treasurer didn't sign the resolution to be-1 adopted on May 26th until July 7th. -2 3 MR. FERGUSON: Mr. Chairman? Can I --4 THE CHAIRPERSON: Certainly. -5 MR. FERGUSON: I think we should give anextension. I mean, I don't want to be contradictory in-6 7 what I'm saying. But, in case someone could argue-8 different dates, I think we should pass something right -9 now that gives us the room to where -- for a certain-10 extension. -11 THE CHAIRPERSON: Do you wish to identify aspecific date? -12 13 MR. FERGUSON: I just think that we should -14 have a date, you know. I mean, it's a really anelement of discussion because, if we make it six months -15 or three months or two months, that's outside the time. -16 We're still in discretion to rescind that anytime we-17 want to. So I think, whatever dates we have, I could-18 go for what you introduced right now without a -- you-19 know, because we've already just sent the word out that -20 21 we expect something to be done inside of that time. If-22 it's not some really meaningful progress, then we canalways do a vote. So I think I would just as soon pass-23 24 the other one. -MR. FINNEY: Mr. Chair, what about just-25

1	making it October 7th, which is the date that Treasury-
2	currently has as the official date, but we would just-
3	reinforce that so there's no confusion that the date-
4	that the RTAB is recommending is October 7th? And then-
5	we'll have an opportunity to review it that date. Does-
6	that make sense? I'm trying to
7	THE CHAIRPERSON: That is the current-
8	expiration date so that's not an extension
9	MR. FERGUSON: No, we think that, in case-
10	someone pulled a technicality and said that that isn't-
11	the date, we just want to say, in everything, just-
12	reinforce the 7th is the date. That's what Mike's-
13	saying, I think
14	THE CHAIRPERSON: Okay. Well, do you have-
15	any objection to continuing it to December 14th?-
16	MR. FINNEY: I don't have any objection
17	THE CHAIRPERSON: Are you willing to offer-
18	support for a motion?-
19	MR. FINNEY: Well, we can get clarification-
20	that the date's October 7th; I think that's fine
21	Because we've had multiple RTAB meetings between now-
22	and then. At least two, correct?-
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Yes. We'll clarify
24	we'll clarify an extension if it's not the current-
25	deadline

68

```
1
                   MR. FINNEY: I would say we should do that -
 2
         and address it again at our next meeting and then-
         decide, again, if we want to extend it until December-
 3
         at the next meeting. -
 4
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: I would prefer that we not -
 5
 6
         because, if we're offering our interpretation, the-
 7
         expiration would occur before we meet again. -
 8
                   MR. FINNEY: Then I would support your-
 9
         recommendation for an extension. -
10
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: So the motion is to extend-
11
         the expiration date and RTAB Resolution 2016-4 to-
         December 14th, 2016. -
12
                   Those in favor of the motion, please say-
13
         "aye".-
14
15
                   BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. -
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Opposed? -
16
                   Motion is adopted. -
17
                   Next item is --
18
                   MAYOR WEAVER: Excuse me. -
19
20
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: -- public comment. -
21
                   MAYOR WEAVER: Excuse me. -
22
                   THE CHAIRPERSON: Mayor? -
23
                   MAYOR WEAVER: I just wanted to make sure I-
         address -- Mr. Finney asked me a question and I just-
24
         wanted to make sure I answered his question and-
25
```

addressed the issue, as far as what I would be doing. -1 And one of the things I know is my role to determine-2 3 where -- who continues, as far as a contract goes and who the vendor is. And then, while we had a productive-4 5 meeting, I think that's -- I don't think that's the-6 role of Council. I think that's my responsibility to-7 submit that decision. -8 And I also want to say that I will file-9 Ordinance 3865 and do everything in my power legally to-10 make sure trash is collected the following day after-11 the expiration. -12 MR. FINNEY: Thank you. -13 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. -We'll now proceed to public comment, please. -14 Mr. Cline.-15 16 MR. CLINE: Yes. We have eight individuals who have requested public comment. We'll begin today-17 with Quincy Murphy. -18 MR. MURPHY: Good evening. I would like if-19 20 you guys could revise your agenda and allow the public-21 to speak on agenda items. One of the agenda items that -22 I would have liked to speak before you guys madeapproval is the contracts of the mowing of the-23

24 right-of-ways. I live in a neighborhood where it's a-

25 lot of black -- I've been fighting with the block for a-

70 -

1 long time.-

	-
2	Kenya Jones, before she retired recently, I-
3	brought it to her attention about the contractors that-
4	were mowing the right-of-ways over in my neighborhood
5	They was doing a half A-S-S job in my neighborhood and-
6	I asked them, "Would you go back and cut what you-
7	halfway cut?" So now you guys just approved a contract-
8	for right-of-way for some companies for two more years-
9	for a neighborhood such as mine that they did a halfway-
10	job and got paid for. And you think it's not fair for-
11	us that care about our community, even though we live-
12	in a low income and poor neighborhood. We concerned-
13	about our neighborhoods getting cut correctly. And it-
14	makes no sense for you all to pass a resolution or for-
15	us to not get up here and be able to speak on-
16	resolutions on an agenda and not give a contract to a-
17	company where they're going to come back over in my-
18	neighborhood and do a messed-up job
19	So they're going to hear it from me, whether-
20	they like it or not. Because I don't appreciate you-
21	all paying someone to come over there and halfway cut-
22	from the sidewalk to the one feet in, going to maybe
23	they might as well let us go in there and pay the-
24	organization that do the trimming of trees. There's-
25	another way to skin a cat

1	And just listening to this trash situation, -
2	at the end of the day, no one is going to win, whether-
3	Rizzo get the contract or Republic get the contract
4	One, because of the robo laws that's been put out in-
5	the community on them
6	THE CHAIRPERSON: Your time expired
7	MR. FINNEY: Mr. Chair, I just have one-
8	question or comment. Did you happen to talk to your-
9	City Council representative about the lawn mowing-
10	situation?-
11	So recognize we are reacting to resolutions-
12	that were approved by the City Council and by the-
13	Administration. So your elected officials should be-
14	hearing from you with respect to any quality problems-
15	that you see with any of these vendors
16	MR. MURPHY: I talked with Kenya Jones about-
17	it that was responsible for paying for them
18	MR. CLINE: Second individual is Chuck Rizzo
19	THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Rizzo?-
20	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: He left
21	MR. CLINE: Steve Slutzky
22	MR. SLUTZKY: Good afternoon, my name is-
23	Steve slat key, Counsel for Republic Services. I-
24	understand that the RTAB is not going to take up the-
25	issue of Resolution 160323 but this may come back-

before the RTAB so I thought it valuable to clear up a little bit of confusion. There's a lot that's been said about proposals and the numbers related to the proposals.-

5 And so, for the record, allow me to just-6 clarify Republic Services is the lowest responsible-7 bidder, not Rizzo. The factual allegations that-8 Rizzo's lower is just simply not true. And the -- the-9 way that we arrive at that conclusion is this: Not all-10 bids are created equal and the purchasing ordinance-11 recognizes that not all bids are created equal.-

And so, as Section 18 -- 221.3, Subsection-12 (5), that requires the monetization of valued added -13 14 services, when you take that valuation and you do that apples-to-apples comparison, you discover two things; -15 the first is that Rizzo is not a responsible bidder in-16 this contract because they failed to meet the minimum-17 bid requirements, including access to a public-18 landfill -- or public access to a landfill and a-19 satisfactory blight remediation program. -20

And if you go to Page 184 of the meetingpacket for the RTAB today, it's right there on the pageat the top, you've got the base pricing, which is wherethis misinformation gets started. And, at the bottom,you can see that the City's own Finance Director-

1	started that value-added calculation that they're-
2	required to do and they did so by including the blight-
3	remediation. So that's only one of the valued added-
4	services. So, if you do that, you'll notice that the-
5	value of the pre-Republic contract is 11.586552 Rizzo-
6	is 11.672, just consider that one value, Republic is-
7	already lower than Rizzo. Thank you
8	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you
9	MR. CLINE: Arthur Woodson
10	MR. WOODSON: How you doing? First I want to-
11	say that it was wrong for not allowing the City Council-
12	to have representation over at the courts because-
13	Kincaid said that they had went to a meeting and that-
14	they hadn't heard anything back. So right now there is-
15	a conflict because they're they're not together. So-
16	they should have had representation, for one
17	Rizzo comes in and tries to strong arm. I-
18	have two lawsuits, one that they lost and that they-
19	settled in 2007 and another one that's going on right-
20	now. And they trying to make them pay back money that-
21	they settled for already. And then they put a letter-
22	on my door, asking me to retract a statement that I-
23	made from a newspaper, which said they cost the City-
24	\$2.6 million and 34 jobs
25	Then, on top of that, how can you say that-

1	your blight, which is in a bid specification, is two-
2	dumpsters, just two dumpsters, where Republic is-
3	offering a truck, two two workers for 40 hours a-
4	week, which is equivalent to \$247,000 a year, which-
5	Rizzo is only 12,000? So let's do the math here. Why-
6	do we want to settle for the lowest bidder? It's just,-
7	like they'll said we'll save \$5 million on the Flint-
8	River. You see what that \$5 million did for us?-
9	So we don't need a trash emergency. It-
10	wasn't a trash emergency. What we need to do is get-
11	rid of the Advisors; that's what we need to do
12	Dumans, Gilcrest, Stanley and Overton; those are our-
13	issues here. And get Advisors that know how to run the-
14	City. Because we are having serious issues here that-
15	we don't even need issues for. Trash is not an issue
16	Why make it an issue?-
17	Thank you
18	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you, Mr. Woodson
19	MR. CLINE: Eric Mays
20	MR. MAYS: Yeah, I kind of stayed away from-
21	the RTAB Emergency Manager, so forth and so on. But-
22	Mr. Murphy is right, people should be able to chime in,-
23	in most open public record meetings, before the-
24	decision is made
25	As I listened to Mr. Kincaid talk the details-

75 -

of what's relevant here for you to know -- and I heard-1 the extension until December 14th -- the specifics is-2 3 this:-We have subpoena power. We can vet and put-4 5 people under subpoena, Rizzo, Republic, whoever. But, when the Council meet on important issues and then vote-6 7 to limit discussion to five minutes, Mr. Finney, it-8 took more than five minutes for you to hear about the-9 lawn mowing stuff from Mr. Jones. -10 When I ask for information to go and come-11 back with between meetings, it ain't happening. So I'mthinking you need to be in check. Even when I say I-12 appeal the ruling of the Chair, in the middle of an-13 14 appeal that was properly seconded, I was taken out inhandcuffs. So attend a Council meeting. Look to see-15 who's solemn and who --16 The ordinance says that transparency and -17 ethics in purchasing mean something. Really? It was-18 way deep in the process before any Council people knew-19 the ordinance. I put a copy in each one of them's-20 21 (sic) mailbox. The transparency and ethics refers to-22 Council meetings venues, sir. -So, in order for the Council and the Mayor to-23 24 work together, they got to be willing to meet and not -25 pass motions to limit discussions to five minutes. -

God bless you. -1 2 MR. FINNEY: Thank you. -3 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. -MR. CLINE: R.L. Mitchell. -4 MR. MAYS: And I did that for the record more-5 so than for you all. -6 7 MR. MITCHELL: Good evening --8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Mr. Mitchell. -9 MR. MITCHELL: -- RTAB. For the record, the-10 transparent -- like Mr. Sabuta elected to give --11 you're giving an extension, let him have a vacation tocome back and settle this situation. -12 And Mr. Ferguson giving us, we people of -13 14 Flint, until October -- December the 14th to settle thesituation. And what he done to Scott Kincaid about how-15 he asked him that one question before he -- like the-16 judge did and asked him messed up and stuff. Because-17 he brought this to the RTAB and -- Mr. Ferguson.-18 And you taking orders from the State, the-19 20 government. He give you a certain time limit to do-21 what you got to do and -- but the Mayor's summing it up-22 all by saying she's -- well, you know what she said.-23 Whatever she said goes. And we appreciate what you're-24 doing now, listening to us. -25 THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you. -

77 -

MR. CLINE: Dorothy Bachelor. -1 MS. BACHELOR: Hello. -2 THE CHAIRPERSON: Good afternoon. -3 MS. BACHELOR: I've met most of you before. -4 5 Last week I went to Cincinnati to 6th U.S. -Court of Appeals. And I'm not a racist. However, the-6 7 Constitutionality of the Emergency Manager Act is going -8 to be based upon race and income. This is a-9 government-made fiasco. You guys should not have to be-10 here.-11 It was pointed out that all the Emergency-Managers were black, that they were placed in-12 communities that were predominantly black. I notice-13 14 you all are black. You were appointed. Rick Snyderpassed the Emergency Manager Act after we voted down -15 Public Act 4. I hardly think that that's the rule of -16 the people and what we want. -17 Flint, Detroit -- I'm a native born-18 Detroiter. I've lived in Michigan most of my life. I-19 love the Great Lakes. I love the water. Viola, a-20 21 multi-national company is running the Detroit -- well, -22 excuse me -- Great Lakes Water Plant now. -You got Nestle's up there that paid a-23 one-time fee, they're bottling the water, 62 different-24 25 brands, that's going out Lake Superior. You know, -

there was no press there. Media doesn't tell the-1 2 truth. -3 When Eric Mays confronted Dave Walling about the 2011 Flint River Water report as a viable source, -4 he admitted to knowing. Jeff Wright knows full well-5 6 that that Flint Water Plant cannot handle the KWA-7 water. 8 THE CHAIRPERSON: Your time is up. Thank 9 you. 10 MS. BACHELOR: Do something. 11 MR. CLINE: Last individual is A.C. Dumas. MR. DUMAS: Good afternoon. My name is 12 A.C. Dumas and I reside in Flint, Michigan. I'm a-13 14 homeowner and I just want to say that I have a vestedinterest in what happens in the garbage contract. If-15 we can save \$2 million, so be it, because the City of-16 Flint and the residents have been struggling for the-17 last few years.-18 But I do want to say something, you know, -19 20 once we started talking about the lawsuits that Rizzois involved in, well, let me kind of tell you a little-21 22 something about Republic. You know, seem like they-23 didn't do their homework. -The Federal Government sued Republic, EEOC, -24 25 and they won \$3 million for firing older workers.-

78

1	Republic settled for had \$475,000 for a set-
2	discrimination lawsuit, that's a lawsuit. The Linda-
3	corporation, which is an African-American-owned-
4	company, race-company, in Chicago sued Republic and won-
5	because they were manipulating contracts
6	We're not even talking about the Teamsters-
7	that filed a lawsuit against Republic, the union. And-
8	it goes on and on. And just Google it, just Google the-
9	lawsuits that's been filed against Republic Waste
10	And, you know, I got about 40 minutes seconds. I-
11	want to say this:-
12	That Mr. Kincaid went over to the court and-
13	filled a lawsuit. But, in 2010, Dan Walling collected-
14	garbage every other week. And, also, Dan Walling laid-
15	off sanitation workers and nonemergency workers
16	Mr. Kincaid was on the Council. He didn't go to file a-
17	lawsuit against the white mayor but he finds himself-
18	going over filing a lawsuit against the-
19	African-American Mayor. And, you know, I'm Past-
20	President of NAACP and I'm the Vice President now. I-
21	don't take a back seat to racism and he said it all on-
22	the
23	THE CHAIRPERSON: Thank you
24	MR. DUMAS: Thank you
25	THE CHAIRPERSON: The meeting will be in-

order, please.-Is there a motion on the table? -MR. FINNEY: I move to adjourn. -THE CHAIRPERSON: Without objection. -(Meeting was concluded at 3:56 p.m.)-\* \* \* \* \_ 

1	CERTIFICATE-
2	
3	I, Mona Storm, do hereby certify that I have-
4	recorded stenographically the proceedings had and-
5	testimony taken in the meeting, at the time and place-
6	hereinbefore set forth, and I do further certify that-
7	the foregoing transcript, consisting of (81) pages, is-
8	a true and correct transcript of my said stenographic-
9	notes.
10	
11	Date
12	Mona Storm CSR-4460-
13	031(-4400-
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	