City of Lincoln Park

Receivership Transition Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, July 25th, 2017

Lincoln Park City Hall

Council Chambers

1355 Southfield Road

Lincoln Park, Michigan 48146

RTAB MEMBERS PRESENT: KEVIN BONDS JESSICA THOMAS JOHN ZECH

BRENDEN DUNLEAVY

ALSO PRESENT:

PATRICK DOSTINE
Michigan Department of Treasury

Reported by:
Nina Lunsford (CER 4539)
Modern Court Reporting & Video, LLC
SCAO FIRM NO. 08228
101-A North Lewis Street
Saline, Michigan 48176
(734) 429-9143/nel

1	Tuesday, July 25, 2017
2	Called to order at 1:00 P.M.
3	* * * *
4	MR. BONDS: All right, let's call this meeting
5	to order, and begin with roll call, please.
6	MR. DOSTINE: Brenden Dunleavy.
7	MR. DUNLEAVY: Here.
8	MR. DOSTINE: Jessica Thomas.
9	MS. THOMAS: Here.
10	MR. DOSTINE: John Zech.
11	MR. ZECH: Here.
12	MR. DOSTINE: Genelle Allen, Mr. Chair, has
13	asked to be excused. Kevin Bonds.
14	MR. BONDS: Here.
15	MR. DOSTINE: You have quorum, Mr. Chair.
16	MR. BONDS: All right, let's move on to approval
17	of today's agenda. Chair will entertain a motion to
18	approve the agenda as presented. And just as a reminder
19	for the public, please sign up to speak during public
20	comment, during the portion of the meeting.
21	MR. ZECH: Move for approval of the agenda.
22	MR. DUNLEAVY: Second.
23	MR. BONDS: It's been properly moved and
24	seconded; any further discussion on the motion?
25	(No response)

1	MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor
2	signify by stating aye. Aye.
3	MS. THOMAS: Aye.
4	MR. ZECH: Aye.
5	MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.
6	MR. BONDS: Motion passes.
7	Approval of the RTAB minutes, this is from our
8	regular meeting of June 20th, 2017. Chair will entertain
9	a motion to approve the June 20th, 2017 RTAB minutes as
10	presented.
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: Move approval.
12	MR. BONDS: It's been moved; is there a second?
13	MR. ZECH: Support.
14	MR. BONDS: And supported; any discussion on the
15	motion?
16	(No response)
17	MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor
18	signify by stating aye. Aye.
19	MS. THOMAS: Aye.
20	MR. ZECH: Aye.
21	MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.
22	MR. BONDS: Motion passes.
23	Old business, there is none. New business,
24	first item is the approval of Resolution 2017-244, which
25	is the collective bargaining agreement, which is

attachment two in your packets.

Mr. Coppler, please, can you provide us a summary of this item to the board?

MR. COPPLER: Yes, the final negotiation collective bargaining agreement, necessary with the IAFF. We're able to keep to our overall theme throughout the negotiation of all six bargaining contracts. Keeping wage increases within an affordable amount for the city over the next three to four years, have employees invest more into the pension system, so we can stabilize that and help that grow, going forward.

Again, really not much different. This was pretty much all the contracts were the same, you know, a little nuance here or there because there are some strange things in those. For instance in this one, just to kind of point this out real quick, the annuities for new employees, new hires, was not taken away as part of the actions of the EM.

So we had to negotiate that out of the agreement, which caused this to look a little bit differently than all the annuity clauses in others. So each one had a little bit different of a twist here and there we had to work on. But obviously we recommend the approval of this, so we can finalize the deal.

MR. BONDS: The chair will entertain a motion to

1 approve, deny, or postpone Resolution 2017-244, which is 2 the IAFF collective bargaining agreement. 3 MR. DUNLEAVY: Move approval. 4 MR. BONDS: It's been moved; is there a second? 5 MS. THOMAS: Second. 6 MR. BONDS: It's been properly moved and 7 seconded; any discussion? 8 (No response) 9 MR. BONDS: And seconded. Any discussion on the 10 motion? 11 MS. THOMAS: I have a quick question. 12 MR. BONDS: Yes, please. 13 MS. THOMAS: Regarding the increase in contributions, or employee contributions. Do you know 14 15 like the net impact that had on the pension obligation for 16 the 2018-19 budget year? 17 MR. COPPLER: So, these will not have -- we 18 don't -- we have not seen the actuarial results of this 19 We had some understanding of what we were looking 20 at. For instance, with the annuity changes we're proposing, the actuarial company that works with the 21 police and fire pensions felt that we would see somewhere 22 23 between \$50 to \$150,000 worth of value added over the next 24 two years.

So again, we'll see, you know, fortunately,

25

we've seen growth within the funds. The MERS, the municipal employee, went from 17 percent to 19 percent funded this past year. And the police went from 23 to 24, which is not a big -- but that one actually didn't have results of the full park funding plus the exchanges. So, just in the police and fire alone, it could have an impact, somewhere between 50 and 100,000.

MS. THOMAS: Thank you.

MR. BONDS: Any further discussion? Hearing none, all those in favor signify by stating aye. Aye.

MS. THOMAS: Aye.

MR. ZECH: Aye.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.

MR. BONDS: Motion passes. Next item, which is Resolution 2017, number one. Which is the recommendation from Deputy State Treasurer, which is Attachment 3. I will turn this over to Mr. Dostine, please, for a summary.

MR. DOSTINE: Sure.

Mr. Chair, so before the board today is

Resolution 2017-1. And this resolution is recommending to
the governor of Michigan the termination of receivership
for the City of Lincoln Park.

At this point, I would respectfully ask that you, as chairman of the Lincoln Park advisory -Receivership Transition Advisory Board, read Resolution

2017-1 into the record, as this is an important day in the city's history.

MR. BONDS: It would be my pleasure. So, the Receivership Advisory Transition Board for the City of Lincoln Park, Resolution 2017-1, recommending termination of receivership:

"Whereas, subsection 22, paren three, paren D, of Public Act 436 of 2012, empowered the governor to appoint a Receivership Transition Advisory Board, in the City of Lincoln Park, to monitor municipal affairs, until the conclusion of receivership.

And whereas, by the letter dated December 22nd, 2015, the governor appointed a Receivership Transition Advisory Board in the City of Lincoln Park.

And, whereas, significant financial and operational progress has been made by the city.

And, whereas, it has been established to the satisfaction of the board that the causes of the city's financial emergency have been rectified in a sustainable fashion.

And, whereas, for these, and other reasons, the board has determined that it is appropriate to end receivership status in the city, as defined in subsection 2, paren Q, of the act.

1	Now, therefore, it is resolved, the Lincoln Park
2	Receivership Transition Advisory Board hereby
3	recommends to the governor of the State of Michigan,
4	that the city's current receivership status be
5	terminated. If this action is taken by the governor,
6	this board will be dissolved, and the city will
7	successfully transition to complete local control."
8	Having said all that, the chair will entertain a
9	motion to approve, deny, or postpone a recommendation to
10	the governor, to approve RTAB Resolution 2017-1.
11	MR. DUNLEAVY: Move approval.
12	MR. BONDS: It's been moved for approval; is
13	there a second?
14	MS. THOMAS: Second.
15	MR. BONDS: It's been properly moved and
16	seconded; any further discussion on the motion?
17	(No response)
18	MR. BONDS: Hearing none, all those in favor
19	signify by stating aye. Aye.
20	MS. THOMAS: Aye.
21	MR. ZECH: Aye.
22	MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye.
23	MR. BONDS: Motion passes.
24	Next item, which is the amendment of EM Order
25	2014-60, which is attachment 4 in your packet, Mr.

Dostine, you want to provide the board a summary of this, please?

MR. DOSTINE: Sure. So, when the emergency manager departed the city, he left this order, December, 2015. As is the practice, the order is amended upon exiting cities in receivership.

Let me say, first of all, that the board itself, city administrator, CEO, and Treasury were all engaged in this amendment process. The proposed amendment order before the board represents a significant departure from the original EM order that was left by the former emergency manager. It's been reduced from an 18 page document, approximately 4900 words, to a four page document, being just under 1200 words.

The goal was to amend this order in a way that captured the RTAB recommendation from your annual evaluation, which was in June. But with leaving with -- leaving adequate operational fiscal guideposts in place, as the city continues on its self-governing path to financial wellbeing.

Under this proposed order the controlling document for the City of Lincoln Park will be the city charter, with exceptions as described in the order. I should add that all resolutions and ordinances adopted by city council going forward, will be advantaged by this

1 order, if adopted today and when signed by the treasurer. 2 MR. BONDS: Let me do a motion. So the chair will entertain a motion to approve, deny, or postpone the 3 recommendations -- recommendation to the state treasurer, 5 to amend EM Order 2014-60. 6 MR. ZECH: So moved. 7 MR. BONDS: So moved; is there a second? Second. 8 MR. DUNLEAVY: 9 MR. BONDS: And seconded. 10 Now, discussion on the motion? Yes, sir. 11 MR. ZECH: Thank you. 12 I just wanted to clarify, is it 2015-60 or 2014-13 The order in the packet differs from what's on the 14 And so, otherwise, I'm in -agenda. The order is 2015-60. 15 MR. DOSTINE: 16 MR. ZECH: Okay, so it's -- change that on the 17 agenda. 18 MR. DOSTINE: Oh, I see that. Good catch. Yeah, it's 2015-60. 19 20 MR. BONDS: Let me ask, just for the record, one 21 question, Mr. Dostine. So, with this order being amended, 22 it's my understanding that, based on the act, this will 23 remain in effect for one year following the date that we 24 approve it. Is that correct? MR. DOSTINE: While -- actually, it will be one

25

1 year from the date that the governor, or state treasurer, 2 returns the letter to the city, announcing the end of receivership. 3 4 MR. BONDS: Okay. 5 MR. DOSTINE: So this takes a few business days. MR. BONDS: 6 Okay. 7 MR. DOSTINE: So I believe it will not be today. 8 MR. BONDS: Okay. I've got one more question. 9 Mr. Coppler, can I ask you a question, please? 10 MR. COPPLER: Sure. 11 MR. BONDS: So based on the guideposts that are 12 reflected in this order, and I know you had a chance to 13 review it. Are all of these -- are any of these points that are in this amended order, anything that is weighing 14

on you? That's problematic?

MR. COPPLER: It all weighs on me.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BONDS: I mean, you don't see anything strategically or structurally -- ?

MR. COPPLER: No. I think, you know, what is left in place, you know, gives us time for both mayor and council and administration to kind of work through some of the changes that may need to be made.

Definitely, you know, going from a kind of very, autocratic position of the EM, you know, doing everything, to kind of a, you know, more shared back to fully charter

driven running, you know, it's going to take a little bit of time for us to make those.

So I think, what is in the orders, from what I've seen, you know, will allow us the time to understand the, you know, what needs to happen over the next 12 months.

MR. BONDS: Mr. Zech?

MR. ZECH: I just -- thank you, sir.

I just wanted to get a feel for, if it's a one year arrangement, there's some certain powers and privileges, we'll say, are in this order, that ordinarily would not be -- it would be nice if all city managers had this much authority, is what I'm trying to say. Or city administrators, whatever their title is. But this one, this does contain some powers that are somewhat over and above what typically city administrators have.

And so at the end of the year, there would be some kind of a restructuring? Or some kind of new arrangement, between the mayor, council, and yourself?

MR. COPPLER: Yeah, one of the issues that we have here in Lincoln Park, after studying very carefully our charter, our ordinances, and operation, the way that we operate -- there are a number of contradictions in terms of who's in charge.

And you know, how -- especially on the personnel

side, where, you know, they talked about the hiring
authority. But they don't go back and tell you who the
hiring authority is. And so in the past, it was just kind
of assumed who the hiring authority is. So, you know,
over the next 12 months, we're going to be working to
clean up the language, most likely through the ordinances.

I just -- I don't think we're going to have time
to do a charter amendment, to rectify what has happened.

I just -- I don't think we're going to have time to do a charter amendment, to rectify what has happened.

Because these are structural issues, and we have to go through the full blown charter review process to get it done. That's going to take a long time to do.

MR. ZECH: And your mayor and council terms, which there are elections going to be this fall.

MR. COPPLER: Yup.

MR. DUNLEAVY: Are your terms -- are two years or four years?

MR. COPPLER: Well actually, it's next year.

MR. ZECH: Oh, it's '18?

MR. COPPLER: Yes.

MR. ZECH: Oh, okay. Southgate's are --

MR. COPPLER: It moved, I think there was something that was done to move it a year.

MS. BREEDING: Moved from odd year to even year. We were allowed to. And that's in one year. They're two year terms; that they did a three year term for one time

and then we had even year elections.

MR. COPPLER: So we actually have time; I think it's, you know, there's a lot work. I don't know if council appreciates yet the amount of work they're going to be doing. Because you know, we've started kind of logging all the contradictions within it, and the things that need to be cleaned up, so it's clear who's in charge, who answers to who, whom -- and things like that.

MR. ZECH: The reason why I ask about the terms, and et cetera, is just from the standpoint of, you have a mayor and council now, so, you're going to be working with the same group that you've been working with while the RTAB's been in place. And so, sometimes if there's some changes, like this fall, if you were having elections, and you've got some new people, that it may actually kind of slow down things.

Because, you know, they're -- they have a mindset, perhaps, that is different than the former people, and, but, you know who you're working with. And the department heads know, and -- who they're working with.

I'm glad you're working through these areas where things aren't very well defined. Because where there's an absence, something always fills that void. And sometimes it can be good, or not good, you know. Thank

you.

MR. BONDS: Any other questions?

MS. THOMAS: I have a quick question. I guess, looking at the order, and understanding what John just said -- are there many differences in what's in the order, and the practice that you have done since RTAB has been in place?

MR. COPPLER: I think the practice and the orders, since I've been here, have been consistent.

Again, I think the issue is that both the orders and the practice are vastly different than what, you know, has happened in the past. And you know, that, there are points where prior to going into receivership, the city was already in practice doing something different than what the charter or some of the ordinances have said.

And so it really is trying to get everything back to, you know, a good status. So if there's a desire to change anything, they'll be able to do it from a clean perspective, rather than, you know, kind of, well, this is how we did it, but it's not consistent with what the charter says, so now what do we do?

But yeah, it's going to be -- it's going to be an interesting process. Because I think, yeah, there's a lot of choices that the mayor and council are going to have, in terms of, you know, how to define and redefine

1 the way the city operates. 2 MR. BONDS: Any other questions? 3 (No response) 4 MR. BONDS: All right. 5 Now on the motion, all those in favor, please 6 signify by stating aye. Aye. 7 MS. THOMAS: Aye. 8 MR. ZECH: Aye. 9 MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye. 10 MR. BONDS: Motion passes. Public comment? 11 12 MR. DOSTINE: Mr. Chair, there's one request, 13 from Councilman Larry Kelsey. 14 MR. BONDS: Yes, sir. 15 MR. KELSEY: Well, good afternoon, for maybe the 16 last time; I'm not sure how that works, but. In the --17 being the, coming from the private sector, of being an 18 elected official, and coming to the public sector, being 19 an elected official, getting a little bit of a difference, 20 okay. 21 I've always learned that as you give good and 22 bad things in life, your experiences carry you through to 23 the next job, as far as, you learn what not to do, or 24 learn what you should do. Irregardless of what people

25

tell you.

So I'm going to say that it, as, you know, when I came in, we were already into this process, or going into it, so I can't say much about that, but, I think that as the city goes forward, I think, things will be a little bit different. And I say that because some of us have got the, if you want to call it the education by fire, good and bad; it goes back to what I first said.

I can't comment on EM Order 2014-60, Attachment 4, because I don't have that in my packet, so I can't say that's good, bad, indifferent, or what it contains or what it doesn't contain.

But I will say something, I think, that other people should learn from this experience, is, that elected officials are elected by the populace. Very old process that George Washington started, blah, blah, blah. And what I'm trying to say is, you have to give due diligence to elected official, whether it's good or bad, or you don't like them, or you like them. Because the populace controls whether they get elected or not, that's the democratic process.

You get the good with the bad, and the bad with the good, okay? When you start modifying that relationship, between the populace and the elected official, you run a dangerous boundary.

The reason I say that is because people elect

people to represent them, that's their wishes, not necessarily somebody else's wishes. That's what the -- how the process works. Sometimes, when I see how sometimes that this process went, I kind of questioned that process. About, you know, kind of funny how it is, but a, aay, kind of deal, okay?

And I think that takes away from the process that we have in place, and that's just my comment on that. Again, when you delve into telling people what they got to do and not what they should do, as far as advising them, it becomes something more what they don't want to do, okay.

People are pretty well common sense. If you bring a process to them and you have a dais up there of seven or eight people, they have difference opinions, but they normally get a majority to make a decision. That's always been the way life has been done, whether you're in any kind of organization, whether it's a social club, or a political club. That was the way where I come from.

And I would just ask that, as things go forward with the state, they remember that. Because in one aspect, I see how the state elected reps get treated, and sometimes how we get treated down here. And I think it's disingenuous to a certain extent, and that's all I'll say about that.

I don't want to get into whatever, but I think what I was getting at is, we are not naive to what happens. We read the papers, we interact with different reps, we talk about things. Because we are like the politicians too, even though we're on the lower level.

And if anything happens, it happens on a lower level, before it gets to the state level. Usually, your reps up there are elected locally; sometimes not, sometimes they jump right into the foray and all that stuff. But they usually cut their teeth on a local government or a local city council meeting, or a trustee board, or something.

So I would just ask that people remain -remember that, because the populace are the ones that are
quite the silent majority. They vote with their vote. I
mean, they vote every time, and what happens is that, to
get the pulse, you have to be around in the organization,
or around in the neighborhoods, around in that.

And sometimes, sitting up here, it's like the CEO of a company that says, I want you to do this. And then he finds out later that everybody said yes, but the company's going bankrupt, what happened? Well, you never asked the person on the floor doing that, or the supervisor that's running that operation, how that's going to impact, because they do it every day of the week; you

do not do that.

Just a comment, that's the way I look at it.

Other than that, thank you very much, and not that I wish bad luck, but I hope I don't see you again. Thank you.

MR. BONDS: Thank you very much. Any additional?

MR. DOSTINE: Mr. Chair, that concludes public comments.

MR. BONDS: Okay. We're now at the portion for board comment. I think at the last meeting, we all stated kind of our respective positions on this process. I think, again, for the record and for this meeting, I want to thank the City of Lincoln Park, particularly Matt Coppler, the mayor and the city council, for its professionalism throughout this entire process.

Because I think that without the skills, the acumen, the courtesy, the free flow of information, this would not have been successful. And to what the councilmember just stated, I think this board has always and will continue to recognize the power of local government.

And that's the beauty of this process, is to return it back to the people. We were here as the advising body, to keep you on track; you all did the work. And it was much appreciated. So having said that, I want

1 to thank each of the board members, because this was a 2 time and a commitment by each, including Ms. Allen, who unfortunately, due to a family member -- family issue, 3 4 could not be here today. 5 Everybody committed, and I really appreciate the 6 skills and the talents that you all brought, individually, 7 to this process, as well. So with that, I want to say 8 thank you, and Godspeed to the City of Lincoln Park. 9 Anybody else? Brenden? 10 MR. DUNLEAVY: Just, I'd like to thank the 11 administration also. They were very helpful, and unlike 12 the councilman, I hope to see you all again but not in 13 this capacity. 14 MR. BONDS: So with that, I guess with a 15 hesitant, I will ask for a motion to adjourn. 16 MS. THOMAS: So moved. 17 MR. DUNLEAVY: Second. 18 MR. BONDS: Second, all those in favor for 19 adjourning for our last meeting, signify by stating aye. 20 Aye. 21 MS. THOMAS: Aye. 22 MR. ZECH: Aye. 23 MR. DUNLEAVY: Aye. 24 MR. BONDS: Adjourned, thank you all. 25 (Proceedings adjourned at 1:23 p.m.)

```
1
    STATE OF MICHIGAN
2
    COUNTY OF WASHTENAW ).ss
3
4
5
 6
7
     I certify that this transcript is a complete, true, and
8
    correct transcript to the best of my ability of the RTAB
    meeting held on July 25th, 2017, City of Lincoln Park. I also
9
    certify that I am not a relative or employee of the parties
10
    involved and have no financial interest in this case.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
    RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:
                                  July 31, 2017
    s/Amy Shankleton-Novess
20
21
22
    Amy Shankleton-Novess (CER 0838)
23
    Certified Electronic Reporter
24
25
```