

GRETCHEN WHITMER

GOVERNOR

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND ENERGY

LANSING

August 11, 2022



VIA EMAIL

Nicholas Beldyga

Burt, Michigan 48417

Dear Nicholas Beldyga:

After reviewing the enclosed Environmental Permit Panel's Meeting Summary and Recommendations regarding the Petition for Permit Application Review received on May 23, 2022, I agree with the Panel's recommendations. I have directed Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy's (EGLE) Water Resources Division staff to start work on the resolution per the recommendations.

If you have additional questions regarding this matter, please contact Aaron B. Keatley, Chief Deputy Director, at 517-284-6709 or <u>KeatleyA@Michigan.gov</u>.

Sincerely,

Liesl Eichler Clark Director 517-284-6700

Enclosure

cc/enc: AJ Holmes Robert Kaufman Lori Myott, Environmental Permit Review Commission Imad Salim, Environmental Permit Review Commission Bradley Venman, Environmental Permit Review Commission Robert Reichel, Department of Attorney General Aaron B. Keatley, Chief Deputy Director, EGLE James Clift, Deputy Director, EGLE Teresa Seidel, EGLE Brad Pagratis, EGLE Aimee Crouch, EGLE Dale Shaw, EGLE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND ENERGY

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT PANEL**

Petition for Permit Application Review Meeting Summary and Recommendations

This information is required by Section 1315 of Part 13 (Permits) of the Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, 1994 PA 451, as amended

#### **1. MEETING DATE**

July 7, 2022

## 2. MEETING LOCATION

Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE), Constitution Hall, Lee Walker Conference Room, Lansing.

#### 3. PETITIONERS

Nicholas Beldyga – Petitioner Robert Kaufman – Representative AJ Holmes - Representative

### 4. ENVIRONMENTAL PERMIT PANEL MEMBERS

Brad Venman (Chair), Imad Salim, Lori Myott

#### 5. EGLE STAFF

<u>Water Resources Division</u> Luis Saldivia Joseph Haas Erin Emington

Panel Staff: Robert Reichel Dale Shaw Stephanie Fredline Meredith Prince

6. DOCUMENTS SUBMITTED TO THE PANEL	
Submitter	Description
Petitioner	<ol> <li>Petition – includes several attachments submitted with the petition.</li> <li>2-9-22 423+ iriqiuois-v3</li> </ol>

	<ol> <li>3. 423+irqouis+wall-v3</li> <li>4. BELDYGA SEAWALL PHOTO 2</li> <li>5. BELDYGA SEAWALL PHOTO 3         <ul> <li>(1)</li> <li>6. BELDYGA SEAWALL PHOTO 3</li> <li>7. Beldyga.correction.requests</li> <li>8. Beldyga.EPRC.brief</li> <li>9. CORRECTION REQUESTS</li> <li>10.HPD-0QTR-QBEKW-v3- SubmissionDownload TABLE OF CONTENTS</li> </ul> </li> </ol>
Water Resources Division, EGLE	<ol> <li>WRD EPRC Statement-Beldyga</li> <li>WRD-Minor-Project-Categories</li> <li>Email Communications and Attachments</li> </ol>

# 7. SUMMARY OF DISCUSSION

The Petitioner/representatives submitted a permit application for replacement of the 64' long wooden seawall at the shoreline of their property on a canal connecting to Houghton Lake in Roscommon County. The application proposed to install a PVC grade seawall in front of the existing wooden seawall.

The Petitioner says that EGLE's suggested mitigation requirements would cause him to lose his historical access and use of the sea wall to moor his pontoon boat, and potentially reducing the navigability within the canal.

As summarized during the hearing, EGLE's Water Resources Division has not yet reached a decision on this permit application. EGLE and the Petitioner are seeking guidance from EPRC. Lake bottom lands and the connecting canal's water are natural resources which belong to the state and the public and are protected by laws administered by EGLE. Construction and replacement of sea walls requires a permit under Part 301.

In order to qualify for the Minor Project 42 (MP-42) Replacement of Existing Seawalls permit category, in addition to requirements for installing toe stone along the base of the seawall (or an approvable alternative such as engineered coir logs, as discussed during the hearing) as part of an approved replacement seawall design, MP-42 requires an additional Best Management Practices (BMP) to mitigate for habitat loss and provide for potential nutrient reductions to the adjacent water body. It is noted that the Petitioner has proposed installation of 1 ft high by 3 ft wide (at the base) toe stone that EGLE has found acceptable. Regarding the BMP, panel discussion on July 7, 2022 included options such porous pavement, bioretention, mulch strip, or a combination of these options for EGLE and the Petitioner to consider. Available guidance documents provided by EGLE staff offer many resources to homeowners and small businesses to incorporate attractive BMPs into shoreline protection and landscaping.

It was noted during the hearing that the approvable options discussed with EGLE staff are not the only solution, and it is the petitioner's responsibility to choose their proposed option as part of a revised permit application for ultimate permit approval.

# 8. PANEL RECOMMENDATIONS TO RESOLVE PERMIT APPLICATION CONCERNS

The panel recommends that the department and the applicant continue to discuss and explore compromises that incorporate the toe stone and best management practice (BMP) requirements as required by the law. A few options were discussed during the EPRC meeting, and the panel recommends both the department and the applicant pursue those options as well as others.

9. ADDITIONAL PANEL RECOMMENDATIONS (Not required)