		Wate	er Use Advisory Council 2	020 Recom	mendation	ıs			2022	2023	2024	2025	2026		-
	WRD Priority		-		One-time	Ongoing	Ongoing	Annual ongoing	Year one	Year Two	Year Three	Year four	Year five	Total Ex	xpense
	Order to				expenses	expenses with 5-	expenses	expense	expense	Exense	Expense	Expense	expense		
	Implement					year totals	with 10 year								
							totals								
ECOMM		O ADVANCE WATER													
		Advance Michigan's	Assess current climate, energy,	\$50,000 for one	\$50,000										
			sustainability, and water	year											
			infrastructure policies and												
			programs to identify gaps and opportunities to incorporate water												
			conservation and efficiency,												
			technological improvements, other												
			state and national programs, and												
			education												
									\$ 50,000.00					\$	50,000.
		Increasing Water	Provide funding for two Full-Time	\$600,000 over	\$600,000										
		Efficiency and		three years											
			Michigan State University	(\$200,000 per											
			Extension (MSUE) to develop and	year)											
			launch an educational program for												
c	OGL to administer		agricultural water use efficiency for both plant and animal												
			industries. ***Determine if any												
			of the QOL agencies have existing												
			agreements with MSU Extension												
			that can be modified to include												
			this task.***						\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00	\$ 200,000.00			\$	600,000.0
ЕСОММ	IENDATIONS T	O CONTINUE AND IN	IPROVE CURRENT OPERATION	ONS AND DA	TA COLLECT	ON									
		Michigan Integrated	A database to facilitate geologic	\$250,000 over	\$250,000										
				two years											
				(\$125,000 each											
			accessible and available in a	year)											
			common geospatial format						\$ 125,000.00	\$ 125,000.00				\$	250,000.
			Information collected for the	\$4,000 over 2	\$4,000										
			water withdrawal assessment program depends on accurate and	years (\$2,000 each year)											
			consistent subsurface data input to	each year)											
			the Wellogic database submitted												
			by well drillers, who must be												
			trained to accurately identify and												
			submit subsurface and well data					1							
									\$ 2,000.00	\$ 2,000.00				\$	4,000.
			a. Existing streamflow gages are	\$350,000	\$350,000	\$1,750,000	\$3,500,000	Ongoing expense							
			funded from several local, state	annually				\$350,000 annually							
			and federal sources; however two					to support existing							
			of the state sources: the Clean					streamflow gages							
			Michigan Initiative (CMI) and the												
	1		Renew Michigan Program, will no longer provide funding after fiscal												
	1		year 2022 and will need to be												
			replaced. Current joint funding												
			agreement (JFA) w/ USGS ends												
			9/30/2024. Costs in cells 9M												
			through 90 reflect additional O&M												
			till ough 30 reflect additional Oxivi												

			b. In the long-term planning	\$480,000 to	\$480,000	\$2,080,000		Ongoing expense						
			recommendation below, a study is	install up to 20				\$320,000 annually						
			recommended to determine	new gages;				for up to 20 new						
				\$320,000				gages						
			gages are needed to fill gaps in the existing streamflow gage	M for up to 20										
			network:a new streamflow gage	new gages;										
			costs \$24,000 to install and	new gages,										
			\$16,000 annually to operate and											
			incorporate the data it collects.											
			This recommendation likely needs											
	2		up to 20 additional stream gages											
			to adequately cover the State's major watersheds. 9 major											
			watersheds in MI do not have any											
			stream gages and there are many											
			areas that need better definition of											
			their hydrology to accurately run											
			the program. *****Funding for											
			up to 20 gages - placement to be											
			determined but should include depleted watersheds, Zone B cold-											
			transitional or Zone C WMAs.***											
									\$800,000	\$ 320,000.00	\$ 320,000.00	\$ 320,000.00	\$ 320,000.00	\$2,080,000
RECOM	MENDATIONS F	OR NEW OPERATION	NS TO IMPROVE DATA COLL	ECTION AND I	MODELING									
		Michigan Hydrologic	a. Facilitate the creation of	d. \$2,100,000	\$2,100,000			Ongoing						
		Framework	groundwater/surface water	over three years				operations and						
			models to improve water	(\$900,000 in				maintenance of						
			management decision making through centralized access to up-to	year 1,				network and models, approx.						
			date hydrologic data,	year 2, and				\$36,000 annually						
			comprehensive hydrologic analysis,					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
	3		and other models. The framework											
			will incorporate new data and											
			analysis, and link GIS databases											
			and the Michigan Integrated Water Management Database to help											
			create regional models.											
			ereate regional models.						\$ 900,000.00	\$ 700,000.00	\$ 500,000.00	\$ 36,000.00	\$ 36,000.00	\$ 2,172,000.00
			b. Creates three regional											
			models to more accurately											
	3		assess water withdrawal											
			impacts within the Framework,											
			and to assess its functionality.											
			c. Assess metamodeling											
	2		processes on a regional model											
	3		to develop a rapid method to											
			evaluate potential water use											
		Geologic Data Collection	impacts. a. Expands geologic information	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$15,000,000	\$30,000,000	Ongoing expense						
		and Mapping in up to 25	with data from drilling, soil	annually				\$3,000,000						
		targeted areas of	sampling, seismic and gamma ray					annually - to						
		Michiga <b>n</b>	logging to produce accurate					receive funding						
			geological maps, static groundwater levels, and bedrock					from USGS, minimum						
			topography. 25 priority counties					\$500,000 of this						
			are estimated to be able to be					total annually is						
			finished in 10 years.					needed from						
			****Funded by PA 53 - ongoing					*state* funding						
			\$3M annually***					resource as a						
								match (can't match federal						
								funds with federal						
								funds) - see below	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
		Monitoring Well	a. USGS to propose a scope of	\$259,000 for	\$259,000	\$1,163,000	\$2,293,000	Ongoing expense						
		Networ <b>k</b>	work for installing additional	first year and				\$226,000 annually						
			monitoring wells and adding	then \$226,000				1						
			groundwater elevation and/or groundwater quality data to the	thereafter										
			National Groundwater Monitoring											
			Network. EGLE & USGS to enter					1						
			into a new joint funding											
1			agreement (JFA).					1	\$ 259,000.00	\$ 226,000.00	\$ 226,000.00	\$ 226,000.00		\$ 937,000.00

			b. EGLE to apply for a grant from	Project budget											
			USGS to have Michigan become a	being											
			new data provider to the National	developed for											
			Ground Water Monitoring	EGLE's grant											
			Network by submitting	application to											
			groundwater elevation and/or	become a new											
	4		groundwater quality data from	data provider to											
			monitor wells at EGLE regulated	the NGWMN. If											
			facilities into the National Ground	approved, grant											
			Water Monitoring Network.	funding should											
				be for 2 years.											
RECOMI	MENDATIONS F	OR ADDITIONAL AC	TIVITIES TO IMPROVE DATA	COLLECTION	AND MODE	ING AS CONT	ΓINLIED ΔΝΙ	NEW OPERAT	ONS ARE LINE	FRWΔY					
KECOWII	VIENDATIONST	Long-term planning	Analysis of streamflow,	\$100.000 over	\$100.000		I IIIOLD AIII	DIVERS OF ENAT	ONS AILL ONE	LINVAI					
		8		,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,										
			identify critical gaps and needs,	(\$50,000 each											
			and identify data collection	year)											
			priorities	, ,					\$ 50,000.00	\$ 50,000.00				\$ 100	0,000.00
		Water Withdrawal	Display registration information	\$50,000 single	\$50,000										
		Assessment Tool (WWAT)	and current status of water	expense in one											
		user interface update	management areas	year											
									\$ 50,000.00					\$ 50	0,000.00
		Compiling Key Aquifer	Update statewide estimates of	\$110,000 over	\$110,000										
		Properties for use in the	transmissivity, and identify water	two years											
		WWAT	management areas where storage	(\$55,000 each											
			coefficients may be changed to	year)											
			more accurately reflect geologic conditions						\$ 55,000.00	\$ 55,000.00				\$ 110	0,000.00
		3D Glacial Aquifer	Use transition probability	\$80,000 over	\$80,000				\$ 55,000.00	\$ 55,000.00				\$ 110	0,000.00
		Mapping in Two Counties		two years	\$80,000										
		wapping in two counties	Michigan counties: Cass and	(\$40,000 each											
			Calhoun, to assess the ability of	year)											
	5		this mapping process to identify	,,											
			glacial aquifer properties and												
			compare with Geological Survey												
			3D interpretations						\$ 40,000.00	\$ 40,000.00				\$ 80	0,000.00
		Well Logic log	Michigan Geologic Survey to finish	\$1.7 M neeeded	\$1,700,000										
		Digitalization and	digitalization and database	to finish project											
		database population	population	over two years,											
				\$1M to come											
				from WUAC											
									\$ 1,700,000.00					\$ 1,700	0,000.00
				TOTAL:	One-time	One-time costs	One-time	Ongoing annual							
						plus 5 years of									
						ongoing costs	years of	(including if the State wishes to							
							origoing costs	continue the MGS							
								geologic mapping							
								project beyond its							
								initial 25 priority							
								areas)							
l					\$9,133,000	\$29,126.000	\$44,926,000		¢ 4 501 000 00	¢ 2 060 000 00	\$ 1,596,000.00	¢ 022 000 00	\$ 706,000.00	\$ 9.883	3,000.00

<sup>\$ 10,000,000.00</sup>