

Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2021-2022

ISD Name: Ottawa Area ISD

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan's alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2021 using MI-Access.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Ottawa Area ISD:

| Content Area | Total Tested | Alternate Tested | Spring 2021 Participation Rate | |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| English Language Arts | 23115 | 327 | 1.4 | |
| Mathematics | 23001 | 319 | 1.4 | |
| Science | 9885 | 136 | 1.4 | |
| Social Studies | 9778 | 74 | 0.8 | |

| Data | Total |
|---|-------|
| Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) | 19 |
| Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2021 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11) | 9 |

Technical Assistance and Professional Development

Tier I: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2021 test year data and justification form review. Include any professional development and targeted assistance the ISD offered to all member districts, provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

| Date Occurred | Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development |
|---------------|--|
| | The Ottawa Area ISD provided an updated IEP guidance document with built in guidance for all section of the IEP, including the consideration for state assessment and links to MDE guidance and resources. |

Tier II/III: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2021 test year data and justification form review. Include professional development and technical assistance the ISD provided for each specific member district that was flagged for disproportionality regarding assessment participation. Include technical assistance or professional development provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

| Member District | Date Occurred | Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--|
| Grand Haven Area Public Schools | 08/17/2021 | Met with secondary and elementary teachers and related service providers on the new MiPSE IEP platform. Key points were made on documentation of state assessment, built in guidance and links to the MDE flowchart. |
| Grand Haven Area Public Schools | 08/18/2021 | Met with secondary and elementary teachers and related service providers on the new MiPSE IEP platform. Key points were made on documentation of state assessment, built in guidance and links to the MDE flowchart. |
| Grand Haven Area Public Schools | 09/14/2020 | We met with a district team to review participation and proficiency data and a presentation around a shift in practice around the consideration of alternate assessment for students with disabilities utilizing the new definition and guidance coming from MDE, as well as ESSA. |

What resources or support is needed from MDE for the ISD to carry out the plan?

We currently do not need support from the MDE OSE. However, we are closely monitoring feedback from districts around the concept of moving closer to 1%, for those districts who are over, understanding the definition of Significant Cognitive Disabilities, and the impact of assessment choice and how it should reflect the curriculum standards a student is working in.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

| The ISD has provided or will provide targeted assistance to member districts/PSAs that have been flagged for disproportionality for participation in the alternate assessment as outlined on the previous page. |
|---|
| ⊙ Yes O No |
| Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the state assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings. |

Assessment selection is an IEP team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

⊙ Yes O No

⊙ Yes O No



Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2021-2022

Member District: Ottawa Area ISD

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Ottawa Area ISD:

| Content Area | Total Tested | Alternate Tested | Spring 2021 Participation Rate | |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| English Language Arts | 70 | 46 | 65.7 | |
| Mathematics | 71 | 46 | 64.8 | |
| Science | 37 | 24 | 64.9 | |
| Social Studies | 15 | 2 | 13.3 | |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation for the 2020-2021 school year.

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|----------------------------|------------------|---|----------------|
| Special Education Teachers | | MI-Access Online training required of all teaching staff. The online training was shared via email by the Test Coordinator. | District Staff |
| Special Education Teachers | | Shared video re: Assessment selection training and handouts | District Staff |
| Special Education Teachers | 08/19/2021 | IEP training (technical assistance) | ISD Staff |

Contributing Factors

| Did | the | district | test | fewer | than | 500 | students | total | using | statewide | assessm | ents? |
|-----|-----|----------|------|-------|------|-----|----------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|-------|
| • | Yes | O No |) | | | | | | | | | |

Select the option that applies:

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

⊙ Yes O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall | 70 |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access | 46 |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | 70 |
| Adjusted Total | 0 |
| Adjusted Participation Number | 0 |
| Adjusted Rate | 0% |

Please describe other justification as it specifically relates to Covid-19 and the Coronavirus Pandemic:

There are no justifications related to COVID-19 or the Coronavirus Pandemic.

Please describe other justification:

The Ottawa Area ISD operates both a center-based program for students within MoCI and SXI programs, as well as a center-based program for students requiring EI program supports.

2022 Projected Participation Rate

| Projected Participation Rate 2021 | Number |
|---|--------|
| District's Projected Participation Rate for 2021 (per the response on the 2020 alternate assessment projected participation form) | 74.3% |

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2022 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate 2022 | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 65 |
| Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 54 |
| Projected Participation Rate for 2022 | 83.1% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|----------|---|------|
| | There will be training for special education teachers at both of our center-based programs educating students in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, & 11. Training will target review of the MDE technical assistance materials for current teaching staff and introduction to the materials for any new teaching staff. This will take place prior to June 30, 2022 and will also be a part of opening training in August 2022. | |

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

| Please p | rovide the following assurances. |
|----------|---|
| | nber district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the assessment. |
| Yes | O No |
| The men | nber district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate ent. |
| Yes | O No |
| The men | nber district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. |
| Yes | O No |

Allendale Public Schools (70040)

Instructions

This page uses data entered by Allendale Public Schools (70040) for ISD review. ISDs may accept this district submission by clicking **Accept District Form**. Alternatively, ISDs may return this form for modifications by clicking **Return for Modifications**. When this form is accepted by the ISD, the applicable district information will need to be included in the ISD Summary Justification Form.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Allendale Public Schools (70040):

| Content Area | Total Tested | Alternate Tested | Spring 2021 Participation Rate |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| English Language Arts | 1196 | 12 | 1 |
| Mathematics | 1191 | 11 | 0.9 |
| Science | 468 | 5 | 1.1 |
| Social Studies | 466 | 3 | 0.6 |

Professional Development Provided

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions? | • | 0 |

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation for the 2020-2021 school year.

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|--------------------|---------------|--|----------------|
| SE Ancillary Staff | 1 2/24/2020 | Review of IEP system, including best practices for state assessment (including use of documents created by the state to help mak these decisions). | District Staff |
| All SE Staff | 3/8/2021 | Provided Mi-Access manuals and other guidance documents in weekly Director update to help prepare for upcoming test cycle. | District Staff |

Contributing Factors

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? | 0 | • |
| Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. | | 0 |
| 8 or more students took MI-Access. | | 0 |

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)? | 0 | • |

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall | |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access | |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | |
| Adjusted Total | 0 |
| Adjusted Participation Number | |
| Adjusted Rate | 0% |

Please describe other justification as it specifically relates to Covid-19 and the Coronavirus Pandemic:

Please describe other justification:

The district operates a center-based program at the 3rd-5th grade level for students with severe multiple impairments and 4 students from this program were evaluated using MI-ACCESS. The program services students from other districts in Ottawa County. I believe this program would inflate our numbers to some degree.

| Projected Participation Rate 2021 | Number |
|---|--------|
| District's Projected Participation Rate for 2021 (per the response on the 2020 alternate assessment projected participation form) | 0.5% |

| Projected Participation Rate 2022 | |
|---|--|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | |
| Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | |
| Projected Participation Rate for 2022 | |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|--|---|-----------|
| Special Education Staff | Professional development and training around alternate assessment and tools available to make this determination. | 2/8/2022 |
| Special Education Staff & Administration | Written guidance on assessment selection, including access to update testing manuals. | 1/17/2022 |

Disproportionality

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the member district receive notification from the ISD regarding disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment? | | • |

Resources and Technical Assistance

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines? | 0 | • |

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. | • | 0 |

District Comments

The district has always worked hard to ensure that students take the appropriate state-wide assessment. The MDE decision tree is frequently utilized and housed on the district's special education procedural livebinder. It warrants note that new teachers also undergo yearly training with the Director to learn how to decide which assessment a student should take at IEP meetings.

ISD Comments



Grand Haven Area Public Schools (70010)

Instructions

This page uses data entered by Grand Haven Area Public Schools (70010) for ISD review. ISDs may accept this district submission by clicking **Accept District Form**. Alternatively, ISDs may return this form for modifications by clicking **Return for Modifications**. When this form is accepted by the ISD, the applicable district information will need to be included in the ISD Summary Justification Form.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Grand Haven Area Public Schools (70010):

| Content Area | Total Tested | Alternate Tested | Spring 2021 Participation Rate |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| English Language Arts | 2603 | 57 | 2.2 |
| Mathematics | 2592 | 54 | 2.1 |
| Science | 1166 | 20 | 1.7 |
| Social Studies | 1153 | 9 | 0.8 |

Professional Development Provided

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions? | • | 0 |

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation for the 2020-2021 school year.

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|---------------------------|---------------|---|---|
| all special ed staff K-6 | 8/17/2021 | Training our the new special ed system (MIPSE) reviewed IEP documentation, alternative assessment information (see flow chart), | ISD Staff jessica Elenbaas- Domingues |
| all special ed staff 7-12 | 8/18/2021 | Training our the new special ed system (MIPSE) reviewed IEP documentation, alternative assessment information (see flow chart), | ISD StaffJessica Elenbaas- Domingues |

Contributing Factors

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? | 0 | • |
| Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. | 0 | • |
| 8 or more students took MI-Access. | • | 0 |

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)? | • | 0 |

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall | 7374 |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access | 140 |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | 7 |
| Adjusted Total | 7367 |
| Adjusted Participation Number | 133 |
| Adjusted Rate | 1.8% |

Please describe other justification as it specifically relates to Covid-19 and the Coronavirus Pandemic:

None

Please describe other justification:

Grand Haven runs 2 SXI programs for our county and therefore have additional students that test with an alternative assessment. We also run K-12 self-contained CI programs for our district and K-12 self-contained ASD programs for

our district.

| Projected Participation Rate 2021 | Number |
|--|--------|
| District's Projected Participation Rate for 2021 | 2.3% |

| Projected Participation Rate 2022 | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 2812 |
| Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 36 |
| Projected Participation Rate for 2022 | 1.3% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------|
| all staff (teachers, itinerants) | walk through the assessment video and alternative assessment flow chart from the state | 4/29/2022 |

Disproportionality

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the member district receive notification from the ISD regarding disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment? | 0 | • |

Resources and Technical Assistance

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines? | • | 0 |

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

We will be reaching out to the ISD for additional resources and help in training for staff on the alternative assessment procedure.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment. | | 0 |
| The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. | • | 0 |

District Comments

None

ISD Comments



Hamilton Community Schools (03100)

Instructions

This page uses data entered by Hamilton Community Schools (03100) for ISD review. ISDs may accept this district submission by clicking **Accept District Form**. Alternatively, ISDs may return this form for modifications by clicking **Return for Modifications**. When this form is accepted by the ISD, the applicable district information will need to be included in the ISD Summary Justification Form.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Hamilton Community Schools (03100):

| Content Area | Total Tested | Alternate Tested | Spring 2021 Participation Rate |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| English Language Arts | 1293 | 14 | 1.1 |
| Mathematics | 1290 | 15 | 1.2 |
| Science | 526 | 3 | 0.6 |
| Social Studies | 528 | 4 | 0.8 |

Professional Development Provided

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|------|----|
| Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decision | ons? | 0 |

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation for the 2020-2021 school year.

| | | | - |
|-----------------------------------|---------------|---|---|
| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
| Special Education Teachers | 1/21/2021 | Review department meeting processes and procedures. Reviewed the state flow chart for decision making around state assessments for individual students. | District Staff Sara McIntyre-Lindstrom |
| K-12 Special Education Department | 8/23/2021 | MiPse and IEP processes and Procedures | District StaffSara McIntyre- Lindstrom |

Contributing Factors

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? | 0 | • |
| Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. | 0 | 0 |
| 8 or more students took MI-Access. | 0 | 0 |

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)? | 0 | • |

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall | |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access | |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | |
| Adjusted Total | 0 |
| Adjusted Participation Number | 0 |
| Adjusted Rate | 0% |

Please describe other justification as it specifically relates to Covid-19 and the Coronavirus Pandemic:

Please describe other justification:

Last year we happened to have a higher number of students who qualified for alternative state assessments based on their need to focus on the essential elements for their learning needs.

| Projected Participation Rate 2021 | Number |
|---|--------|
| District's Projected Participation Rate for 2021 (per the response on the 2020 alternate assessment projected participation form) | 1.2% |

| Projected Participation Rate 2022 | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 1343 |
| Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 18 |
| Projected Participation Rate for 2022 | 1.3% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| K-12 Special Education Department | Specific Instructions on state assessment decision making | 3/25/2022 |
| Elementary Special Education Teachers | Review the process for looking at state assessment decision making | 1/28/2022 |
| Special Education Department | Send an email with the materials for state assessment decision making at the start of the school year. | 8/22/2022 |

Disproportionality

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the member district receive notification from the ISD regarding disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment? | 0 | • |

Resources and Technical Assistance

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines? | 0 | • |

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. | • | 0 |

District Comments

ISD Comments



Holland City School District (70020)

Instructions

This page uses data entered by Holland City School District (70020) for ISD review. ISDs may accept this district submission by clicking **Accept District Form**. Alternatively, ISDs may return this form for modifications by clicking **Return for Modifications**. When this form is accepted by the ISD, the applicable district information will need to be included in the ISD Summary Justification Form.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Holland City School District (70020):

| Content Area | Total Tested | Alternate Tested | Spring 2021 Participation Rate |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| English Language Arts | 1445 | 30 | 2.1 |
| Mathematics | 1449 | 30 | 2.1 |
| Science | 632 | 17 | 2.7 |
| Social Studies | 618 | 10 | 1.6 |

Professional Development Provided

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions? | • | 0 |

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation for the 2020-2021 school year.

| Audience | | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|--|----------------|
| Special E | ducation Team Members | 3/22/2021 | Reviewed district created Google Slides (available upon request) | District Staff |
| Special E | ducation Team | 3/22/2021 | Special Education team members were directed to Michigan Virtual Modules on MI Access as part of required professional development | MDE |

Contributing Factors

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? | 0 | • |
| Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. | 0 | 0 |
| 8 or more students took MI-Access. | 0 | 0 |

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)? | 0 | • |

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall | |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access | |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | |
| Adjusted Total | 0 |
| Adjusted Participation Number | 0 |
| Adjusted Rate | 0% |

Please describe other justification as it specifically relates to Covid-19 and the Coronavirus Pandemic:

Please describe other justification:

District includes high numbers of students in ASD or CI programming placement.

2022 Projected Participation Rate

| Projected Participation Rate 2021 | Number |
|---|--------|
| District's Projected Participation Rate for 2021 (per the response on the 2020 alternate assessment projected participation form) | 2.1% |

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2022 projected participation rate.

Designed of Destrict of Destrict 2002

| Projected Participation Rate 2022 | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 1481 |
| Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 31 |
| Projected Participation Rate for 2022 | 2.1% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------|
| SE Team Members/Administrators | Review of current training materials, IEP reviews, in conjunction with ISD professional development already occuring. Modeling of MDE, MI Access Decision Making Tool to SE team members, review of district google slides and Michigan Virtual training module. | 4/22/2022 |

Disproportionality

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the member district receive notification from the ISD regarding disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment? | 0 | • |

Resources and Technical Assistance

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines? | • | 0 |

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

Request for technical assistance from ISD to be incorporated within GSM process. Request for MDE trainer to provide professional development between June-August of 2022 to review resources available to IEP team members and or parents that support MI Access decision making.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. | • | 0 |

District Comments

ISD Comments



Hudsonville Public School District (70190)

Instructions

This page uses data entered by Hudsonville Public School District (70190) for ISD review. ISDs may accept this district submission by clicking **Accept District Form**. Alternatively, ISDs may return this form for modifications by clicking **Return for Modifications**. When this form is accepted by the ISD, the applicable district information will need to be included in the ISD Summary Justification Form.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Hudsonville Public School District (70190):

| Content Area | Total Tested | Alternate Tested | Spring 2021 Participation Rate |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| English Language Arts | 3461 | 48 | 1.4 |
| Mathematics | 3461 | 45 | 1.3 |
| Science | 1512 | 18 | 1.2 |
| Social Studies | 1504 | 15 | 1 |

Professional Development Provided

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions? | • | 0 |

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation for the 2020-2021 school year.

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|----------------------------|---------------|--|----------------|
| Special Education Teachers | 8/19/2020 | In-person team meetings on 8/19/21 and 8/20/21 with Elementary and Secondary Special Education Teachers regarding IEP expectations, including state assessment selection and accommodations | District Staff |
| IEP Team Members | 8/19/2021 | The current IEP system (MIPSE) are provided with a prompt to use the Alternate Assessment Selection Guidelines prior to determining if a student will take the MI-ACCESS and to provide a rationale if it is determined that the alternate assessment is most appropriate. During in-person training, these aspects of the MIPSE format were reviewed. | District Staff |

Contributing Factors

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? | 0 | • |
| Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. | 0 | 0 |
| 8 or more students took Mi-Access. | 0 | 0 |

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)? | 0 | • |

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall | |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access | |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | |
| Adjusted Total | 0 |
| Adjusted Participation Number | 0 |
| Adjusted Rate | 0% |

Please describe other justification as it specifically relates to Covid-19 and the Coronavirus Pandemic:

The impact of the Coronavirus Pandemic has been widespread. Specific to state assessment selection, some students' progression of learning was more heavily impacted than others and some students' participation in virtual programming made it difficult to collect valid assessments that would have otherwise been obtained by in-person instruction and evaluation.

Please describe other justification:

While the district does not operate center-based or regional programming for students with severe cognitive impairments, we do operate several ASD programs and moderate cognitive impairment programs which do host some students from neighboring districts. Each IEP team carefully considers which state assessment a student will take each year. Teams are asked to consider each student individually, using the resources provided by the MDE, and not to assume that a student will take one assessment or another based on the program/services the student receives. Teams are also asked to consider this assessment selection at each IEP meeting, and that the prior year's selection does not automatically mean that the same assessment will be appropriate the following year. District administration does not override the IEP team's decisions.

| Projected Participation Rate 2021 | Number |
|---|--------|
| District's Projected Participation Rate for 2021 (per the response on the 2020 alternate assessment projected participation form) | 1% |

| Projected Participation Rate 2022 | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 3551 |
| Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 41 |
| Projected Participation Rate for 2022 | 1.2% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|-----------------------------|--|----------|
| Special Education Providers | The topics of assessment selection, alternate assessment, and alternate content standards will be added to department meetings prior to 6.1.22. Thiis training will include scenarios for providers to process/discuss and a review of the available guidance documents. | 6/1/2022 |

Disproportionality

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the member district receive notification from the ISD regarding disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment? | 0 | • |

Resources and Technical Assistance

| Question | Yes | No | ı |
|--|-----|----|---|
| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines? | 0 | • | ĺ |

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. | • | 0 |

District Comments

ISD Comments



Jenison Public Schools (70175)

Instructions

This page uses data entered by Jenison Public Schools (70175) for ISD review. ISDs may accept this district submission by clicking **Accept District Form**. Alternatively, ISDs may return this form for modifications by clicking **Return for Modifications**. When this form is accepted by the ISD, the applicable district information will need to be included in the ISD Summary Justification Form.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Jenison Public Schools (70175):

| Content Area | Total Tested | Alternate Tested | Spring 2021 Participation Rate |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| English Language Arts | 2412 | 33 | 1.4 |
| Mathematics | 2421 | 34 | 1.4 |
| Science | 1053 | 13 | 1.2 |
| Social Studies | 1052 | 8 | 0.8 |

Professional Development Provided

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions? | • | 0 |

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation for the 2020-2021 school year.

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|------------------------------------|---------------|---|----------------|
| Elementary Special Ed Dept meeting | 8/23/2021 | Review of documents related to state guidelines were presented to teachers. | District Staff |
| Junior high Dept Meeting | 8/23/2021 | Review of documents related to state guidelines and review of students were assigned Mi-Access | District Staff |
| High School Dept Meeting | 9/13/2021 | Review of documents related to state guidelines and review of students currently assigned to Mi-Access. | District Staff |

Contributing Factors

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? | 0 | • |
| Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. | 0 | 0 |
| 8 or more students took MI-Access. | 0 | 0 |

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)? | • | 0 |

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall | 2255 |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access | 35 |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | 2 |
| Adjusted Total | 2253 |
| Adjusted Participation Number | 33 |
| Adjusted Rate | 1.5% |

Please describe other justification as it specifically relates to Covid-19 and the Coronavirus Pandemic:

Please describe other justification:

In a review of the students taking Mi-Access it was found that based on their current placement and functional level of performance they were appropriate for Mi-Access. We have begun a process to determine specific level of functional performance related to each student taking Mi-Access to ensure that only those students with significant cognitive impairments are taking the alternative assessment.

| Projected Participation Rate 2021 | Number |
|---|--------|
| District's Projected Participation Rate for 2021 (per the response on the 2020 alternate assessment projected participation form) | 1.3% |

| Projected Participation Rate 2022 | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 2290 |
| Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 35 |
| Projected Participation Rate for 2022 | 1.5% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|-------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| Special ed staff and administrators | Review of the state guidelines and additional questions related to functional level of performance will be reviewed. | 2/17/2022 |

Disproportionality

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the member district receive notification from the ISD regarding disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment? | 0 | • |

Resources and Technical Assistance

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines? | 0 | • |

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. | • | 0 |

District Comments

ISD Comments



West Ottawa Public School District (70070)

Instructions

This page uses data entered by West Ottawa Public School District (70070) for ISD review. ISDs may accept this district submission by clicking **Accept District Form**. Alternatively, ISDs may return this form for modifications by clicking **Return for Modifications**. When this form is accepted by the ISD, the applicable district information will need to be included in the ISD Summary Justification Form.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for West Ottawa Public School District (70070):

| Content Area | Total Tested | Alternate Tested | Spring 2021 Participation Rate |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| English Language Arts | 3080 | 29 | 0.9 |
| Mathematics | 2978 | 29 | 1 |
| Science | 1332 | 16 | 1.2 |
| Social Studies | 1322 | 8 | 0.6 |

Professional Development Provided

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions? | • | 0 |

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation for the 2020-2021 school year.

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|---|---------------|---|----------------|
| Special Education Teachers - Elementary | 11/19/2020 | Shared information at the Elementary SE Teacher meeting on 1% CAP importance & rationale & then walked trhough the use of the interactive assessment guidance tool. Assessment Selection Guidance Training Interactive Assessment Decision Making Tool | District Staff |
| Special Education Teachers- Secondary | 11/18/2020 | Shared information at the MS SE Teacher meeting on 1% CAP importance & rationale & then walked trhough the use of the interactive assessment guidance tool. Assessment Selection Guidance Training Interactive Assessment Decision Making Tool | District Staff |

Contributing Factors

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? | • | 0 |
| Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. | 0 | • |
| 8 or more students took MI-Access. | • | 0 |

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)? | 0 | • |

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall | |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access | |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | |
| Adjusted Total | 0 |
| Adjusted Participation Number | 0 |
| Adjusted Rate | 0% |

Please describe other justification as it specifically relates to Covid-19 and the Coronavirus Pandemic:

 $The attendence \ rate \ of some \ of \ our \ students \ with \ significant \ impairments \ caused \ greater \ learning \ differences \ than \ what \ we \ have \ seen \ in \ the \ past.$

Covid 19 continues to cause a disruption in structures and routines for all of our families and students.

Please describe other justification:

2022 Projected Participation Kate

| Projected Participation Rate 2021 | Number |
|---|--------|
| District's Projected Participation Rate for 2021 (per the response on the 2020 alternate assessment projected participation form) | 0.8% |

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2022 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate 2022 | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 3326 |
| Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 36 |
| Projected Participation Rate for 2022 | 1.1% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|
| Special Education Teachers | At SE Elementary, MS & HS Teacher meetings share M-STEP / MI-ACCESS information on1% CAP & WO Data Assessment Selection Guidance Training Interactive Assessment Decision Making Tool | 3/25/2022 |
| Diagnostic Team Members | At Diagnostic Team meeting share M-STEP / MI-ACCESS information on 1% CAP & WO Data | 2/11/2022 |
| SE Leadership Team | At SE Leadership team meeting share M-STEP / MI-ACCESS information on 1% CAP & WO Data Assessment Selection Guidance Training Interactive Assessment Decision Making Tool as well | 2/2/2022 |

Disproportionality

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the member district receive notification from the ISD regarding disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment? | 0 | • |

Resources and Technical Assistance

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines? | 0 | • |

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. | • | 0 |

District Comments

ISD Comments

(required if ISD is returning to district for modifications)



The section regarding Projected Participation Rate for 2022 will need to have the 306 changed to the total number of students in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 11 enrolled in the district for the 2021-2022 school year. The 2021-2022 school year had a total number of 3,357 students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 11.

Zeeland Public Schools (70350)

Instructions

This page uses data entered by Zeeland Public Schools (70350) for ISD review. ISDs may accept this district submission by clicking **Accept District Form**. Alternatively, ISDs may return this form for modifications by clicking **Return for Modifications**. When this form is accepted by the ISD, the applicable district information will need to be included in the ISD Summary Justification Form.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Zeeland Public Schools (70350):

| Content Area | Total Tested | Alternate Tested | Spring 2021 Participation Rate |
|-----------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| English Language Arts | 2936 | 28 | 1 |
| Mathematics | 2938 | 26 | 0.9 |
| Science | 1281 | 14 | 1.1 |
| Social Studies | 1251 | 8 | 0.6 |

Professional Development Provided

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions? | • | 0 |

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation for the 2020-2021 school year.

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--|----------------|
| Special Education Teachers 3/19/2021 | | - who should be taking MI-Access - how to utilize the MDE flowchart and online decision-making tool | District Staff |
| Special Education Teachers | 1/12/2021 | - individual consultation reviewing each student's state assessment designation | District Staff |

Contributing Factors

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? | 0 | • |
| Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. | 0 | 0 |
| 8 or more students took MI-Access. | 0 | 0 |

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)? | 0 | • |

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall | |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access | |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | |
| Adjusted Total | 0 |
| Adjusted Participation Number | 0 |
| Adjusted Rate | 0% |

 $Please\ describe\ other\ justification\ as\ it\ specifically\ relates\ to\ Covid-19\ and\ the\ Coronavirus\ Pandemic:$

A significant number of our general education students attended our district's online school in 2020-21 because of concerns regarding Covid-19. At the time of state assessments, many of these students did not participate in taking the M-STEP. This, of course, resulted in a lower number of overall students taking the general education assessment. In contrast, most of our students with significant cognitive impairment did attend school and took the state assessment MI-Access. This imbalance was particularly notable in our MI-Access Science participation, as it resulted in 1.09%, just over the allowable threshold.

Please describe other justification:

| Projected Participation Rate 2021 | Number |
|--|--------|
| District's Projected Participation Rate for 2021 | 196 |
| (per the response on the 2020 alternate assessment projected participation form) | 170 |

| Projected Participation Rate 2022 | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 3220 |
| Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 27 |
| Projected Participation Rate for 2022 | 0.8% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|----------------------------|--|----------|
| Special Education Teachers | Review 1% cap data and train on methods of identifying students for alternate assessment | 3/4/2022 |

Disproportionality

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Did the member district receive notification from the ISD regarding disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment? | 0 | • |

Resources and Technical Assistance

| Question | Yes | No |
|--|-----|----|
| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines? | 0 | • |

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

| Question | Yes | No |
|---|-----|----|
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment. | • | 0 |
| The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. | • | 0 |

District Comments

ISD Comments

