# Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2023-2024

### **ISD Name: Barry ISD**

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan's alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2023 using MI-Access.

#### **Data**

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Barry ISD:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	1871	55	2.9
Mathematics	1869	54	2.9
Science	803	23	2.9
Social Studies	795	13	1.6

Data	Total
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD	3
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2023 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11)	3

#### **Technical Assistance and Professional Development**

**Tier I**: In the winter of 2023, MDE provided feedback to each ISD, providing recommendations regarding technical assistance or professional development for their constituent districts. ISDs responded to this feedback in a variety of ways and carried out support to districts as appropriate. In the first table below, outline the general technical assistance or professional development provided to **all** districts regarding state assessment decisions or better targeting instruction. Specific or targeted technical assistance provided to a small groups of districts to address specific concerns should not be listed here, but rather in the next section (Tier II/III).

Date Occurred or Planned	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
	Specific training on how to select and justify which state assessment is appropriate for each student including Interactive Decision-Making Tool and Online Assessment Selection Guidance Training to LEA special education teachers
12/12/2023	1% District Justification provided LEA special education administrators

**Tier II/III**: MDE's response to ISDs in 2023 (based on 2022 data) provided specific recommendations for each LEA, based on their participation rate or other information provided on Justification Forms. Please outline targeted assistance provided (or planned) to member districts following MDE's recommendation. Please include assistance provided that may have exceeded MDE recommendations.

Member District	Date Occurred or Planned	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
Delton Kellogg Schools	10/05/2023	IEP 101 Bootcamp - including specific training on how to select and justify which state assessment is appropriate for each student including Interactive Decision-Making Tool, Online Assessment Selection Guidance Training, and review of definition of Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities. Review of students on the MDE released list.
Hastings Area School District	10/16/2023	IEP 101 Bootcamp - including specific training on how to select and justify which state assessment is appropriate for each student including Interactive Decision-Making Tool, Online Assessment Selection Guidance Training, and review of definition of Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities. Review of students on the MDE released list.

What resources or support does the ISD need from MDE to continue to provide targeted assistance or professional development to member districts on the topic of alternate assessment participation?

A standard guidance/procedure/probing question document for all ISDs/districts to use for specific training in deep diving into assessment reviews for individual students.

#### **Assurances**

#### Please provide the following assurances.

The ISD has provided or will provide targeted assistance to member districts/PSAs that	: have
been flagged for disproportionality for participation in the alternate assessment as outli	ined
on the previous page.	

Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the State assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.

⊙ Yes O No

Assessment selection is an IEP Team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

**Member District: Barry ISD** 

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

#### **Data**

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Barry ISD:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	27	21	77.8
Mathematics	27	21	77.8
Science	13	11	84.6
Social Studies	3	1	33.3

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

# **Contributing Factors**

Did	the district test	fewer than	500	students	total	lusing	statewide	assessments	?

Yes O No.

Select the option that applies:

O Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. • 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

#### ⊙ Yes O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	27
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	21
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	0
Adjusted Total	27
Adjusted Participation Number	21
Adjusted Rate	77.8%

Please describe other justification:

The rate is high due to being a center-based school.

# **2024 Projected Participation Rate**

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	80.8%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	24
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	20
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	83.3%

#### **Local Plan**

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

⊙ Yes O No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
1 3	Review of BISD procedure document / MDE Resources - Email Resources	11/28/2023	Completed
	Review of BISD procedure document / MDE Resources - Staff Meeting	02/14/2024	Planned
	Review of BISD procedure document / MDE Resources - Teacher Meeting	03/23/2023	Completed

# **Resources and Technical Assistance**

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISI or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?	
O Yes • No	
Assurances	
Please provide the following assurances.	
The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.	
● Yes O No	
The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.	
⊙ Yes O No	
The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.	

⊙ Yes O No

# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

# **Member District: Delton Kellogg Schools**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

#### **Data**

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Delton Kellogg Schools:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	518	10	1.9
Mathematics	517	9	1.7
Science	236	3	1.3
Social Studies	236	3	1.3

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

# **Contributing Factors**

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

We tested 514. We operate a district ECSE program, but send our most significant cognitive students to the ISD building.

## **2024 Projected Participation Rate**

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	1.9%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	549
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	9
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	1.6%

#### **Local Plan**

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

⊙ Yes O No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education staff	IEP 101 Bootcamp-all attended	11/15/2023	Completed

#### **Resources and Technical Assistance**

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

#### **Assurances**

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes O No

# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

#### **Member District: Hastings Area School District**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

#### **Data**

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Hastings Area School District:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	1326	24	1.8
Mathematics	1325	24	1.8
Science	554	9	1.6
Social Studies	556	9	1.6

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

# **Contributing Factors**

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

None at this time.

## **2024 Projected Participation Rate**

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	0.9%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	1348
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	29
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	2.2%

#### **Local Plan**

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?
⊙ Yes O No
Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?
⊙ Yes O No
Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?
⊙ Yes O No
Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access wi

recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year

• Yes O No

(this may have come later in the spring)?

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Level III Teachers	Mi-Access webinar training through MDE	08/16/2023	Completed

#### **Resources and Technical Assistance**

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

#### **Assurances**

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No