

Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2023-2024

ISD Name: Marquette-Alger Regional Education Service Agency

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan’s alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2023 using MI-Access.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Marquette-Alger Regional Education Service Agency:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	4465	59	1.3
Mathematics	4461	58	1.3
Science	1974	22	1.1
Social Studies	1960	10	0.5

Data	Total
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD	14
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2023 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11)	7

Technical Assistance and Professional Development

Tier I: In the winter of 2023, MDE provided feedback to each ISD, providing recommendations regarding technical assistance or professional development for their constituent districts. ISDs responded to this feedback in a variety of ways and carried out support to districts as appropriate. In the first table below, outline the general technical assistance or professional development provided to **all** districts regarding state assessment decisions or better targeting instruction. Specific or targeted technical assistance provided to a small groups of districts to address specific concerns should not be listed here, but rather in the next section (Tier II/III).

Date Occurred or Planned	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
04/18/2023	Provided updated Participation data to each district. Shared information about upcoming reviews with Tier II and Tier III districts, including specific information from MDE about criteria to use when reviewing student files and what may flag a student for review.
11/21/2023	Reviewed Justification activity with districts. Reminded them of criteria and resources to use to determine if it is appropriate for a student to take the alternate assessment.

Tier II/III: MDE's response to ISDs in 2023 (based on 2022 data) provided specific recommendations for each LEA, based on their participation rate or other information provided on Justification Forms. Please outline targeted assistance provided (or planned) to member districts following MDE's recommendation. Please include assistance provided that may have exceeded MDE recommendations.

Member District	Date Occurred or Planned	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
Gwinn Area Community Schools	05/31/2023	Reviewed MDE flagged files for appropriateness of selection of alternate assessment with special education coordinator. Reviewed selection criteria for alternate assessment and district policy for documenting the decision-making process.
NICE Community School District	05/19/2023	Reviewed MDE flagged files for appropriateness of selection of alternate assessment with special education coordinator. Reviewed selection criteria for alternate assessment and district policy for documenting the decision-making process.
Ishpeming Public School District No. 1	05/24/2023	Reviewed MDE flagged files for appropriateness of selection of alternate assessment with special education coordinator. Reviewed selection criteria for alternate assessment and district policy for documenting the decision-making process. If student files lacked data to determine alternate assessment participation, district was informed what data needed to be collected.
Ishpeming Public School District No. 1	03/22/2024	Professional development for SE staff regarding assessment selection criteria, and ensuring teams have the data to make appropriate decisions for students.

What resources or support does the ISD need from MDE to continue to provide targeted assistance or professional development to member districts on the topic of alternate assessment participation?

We used to receive emails when updated guidance was released in Catamaran. I do not remember seeing anything about the updated Justification activity guidance or an invitation to attend the webinar. These would be helpful to support us in staying current with providing technical assistance and professional development to our districts.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The ISD has provided or will provide targeted assistance to member districts/PSAs that have been flagged for disproportionality for participation in the alternate assessment as outlined on the previous page.

Yes No

Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the State assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.

Yes No

Assessment selection is an IEP Team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

Yes No

Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

Member District: Gwinn Area Community Schools

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Gwinn Area Community Schools:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	517	8	1.5
Mathematics	517	8	1.5
Science	204	2	1
Social Studies	202	1	0.5

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Please describe other justification:

The students designated to participate in the MI-ACCESS assessment have met all of the requirements and it was deemed the most appropriate assessment per their IEP.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	1.3%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	551
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	9
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	1.6%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Teachers -	The criteria for the MIACCESS was reviewed and discussed at the special education department meeting. We reviewed the assessment selection training, the decision making tool and the flow chart.	12/06/2023	Completed

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No

Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

Member District: Ishpeming Public School District No. 1

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Ishpeming Public School District No. 1:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	340	12	3.5
Mathematics	340	12	3.5
Science	164	5	3
Social Studies	161	2	1.2

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	340
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	12
Students from outside the district placed in district’s regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	0
Adjusted Total	340
Adjusted Participation Number	12
Adjusted Rate	3.5%

Please describe other justification:

Our district has a Life Skills Program at the elementary, middle, and High School levels. The students enrolled in these programs have significant cognitive impairments and spend more than 50% of their school day in the Life Skills Program which focuses on daily living skills. The MI-Access assessment is very appropriate for our Life Skills students.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District’s Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	3.2%%

Using students’ IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	311
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	10
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	3.2%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
SE Teachers	Professional Development is done at the start of each school year	08/29/2023	Completed
IEP Team Members	Alternate Assessment paperwork is reviewed and is used to plan student assessments during annual IEPs	08/29/2023	Completed
Special Education Teachers	Upcoming Ed-Benefit review for students taking the alternate state assessment	03/15/2024	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No

Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

Member District: Marquette Area Public Schools

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Marquette Area Public Schools:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	1596	17	1.1
Mathematics	1595	15	0.9
Science	732	7	1
Social Studies	728	3	0.4

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Please describe other justification:

Marquette Area Public Schools is the largest school district in the area. Our RESA does not provide a center-based program for students with significant cognitive disabilities, nor do our LEAs have a regional collaborative for students with cognitive disabilities. Each fall, our district receives and enrolls approximately thirty school of choice students with disabilities.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	1638
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	18
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	1.1%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Ed Case Managers	Meet with all special ed case managers and review the following MDE documents: August 31, 2023 memorandum from the Office of Special Education regarding clarification on guidance for participation in MiAccess, Should My Student Take the Alternate Assessment, and the Mi-Access: Who Takes an Alternate Assessment from February 2022.	12/13/2023	Completed
Special Ed Department Staff	Work with the district's special ed department to create a guidance document further explaining what constitutes a significant cognitive disability, along with an interactive form to help IEP Teams review and record decisions regarding assessment selection in a consistent and systematic manner.	12/21/2023	Planned
Special Ed Case Managers	Meet with all special ed case managers and review the guidance document created by the district's special ed department regarding what constitutes a significant cognitive disability, and the interactive form to help IEP Teams review and record decisions regarding their assessment sections.	01/18/2024	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No

Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

Member District: **Munising Public Schools**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Munising Public Schools:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	266	3	1.1
Mathematics	266	4	1.5
Science	121	0	0
Social Studies	121	0	0

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Please describe other justification:

IEP team decisions place students in the restrictive environment to make certain they are receiving an appropriate education. Placements and academic programming are determined using a selection of assessments and observations from a variety of sources. The IEP team reviews state and local assessment results (NWEA, DIBELS, STAR, Delta Math, Brigance), as well as the input from parents and staff (general education teacher, support staff, special education teacher).

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	1.4%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	267
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	6
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	2.2%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Teachers	Flow-chart review meeting and in-house professional development	11/15/2023	Completed
Special Education Teachers grades2-5	Information and technical assistance to teachers regarding Mi-Access training through Michigan Virtual.	03/05/2023	Completed
Elementary Special Education Teachers	Review training with staff using MDE Interactive decision making tool	01/12/2024	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No

Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

Member District: Negaunee Public Schools

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Negaunee Public Schools:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	776	10	1.3
Mathematics	776	10	1.3
Science	329	4	1.2
Social Studies	326	1	0.3

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Please describe other justification:

Two students who met eligibility for alternate State testing moved into the district. IEP teams utilized the Decision Making Criteria from MDE to determine appropriate State assessments for students.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	0.6%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	734
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	9
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	1.2%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Teachers/ Case Managers	Email Correspondence - Decision Making Flow Chart & IEP team agreement signature pages emailed and explained to staff	11/27/2023	Completed

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

Technical Assistance Coordinator from ISD and NPS SE Coordinator plan to review select student files/data/information to ensure MDE compliance criteria.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No

Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

Member District: **NICE Community School District**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for NICE Community School District:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	574	7	1.2
Mathematics	574	7	1.2
Science	256	3	1.2
Social Studies	255	2	0.8

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	574
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	7
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	0
Adjusted Total	574
Adjusted Participation Number	7
Adjusted Rate	1.2%

Please describe other justification:

After reviewing our LEA's data, we believe that our current procedures are effective in helping us ensure that our MI-Access participation is a true and accurate representation of the needs of our students. We have utilized training provided by our ISD, provided subsequent training to our related special education staff and also utilize guidance documents to make decisions regarding assessment selection and overall programming/instruction. We will continue to apply best practice methods for assessing the needs of our district's students as well as students from other districts that attend our cooperative education programs for students with severe/ multiple/ cognitive impairments.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	1%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	604
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	9
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	1.5%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
All District Special Education teaching and ancillary service personnel	At our all district special education professional development on 8/31/2023 we reviewed the MDE MI-Access decision making guidance documents (including the decision making tree) and reviewed most recent MI-Access data.	08/31/2023	Completed

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No

Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

Member District: Superior Central School District

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Superior Central School District:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	174	2	1.1
Mathematics	171	2	1.2
Science	70	1	1.4
Social Studies	69	1	1.4

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Please describe other justification:

Because of our low district population. 1 or 2 students taking an alternative test increase our percentage.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	1.2%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	161
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	1
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	0.6%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Staff	Modified curriculum and testing accommodations and information	03/10/2023	Completed

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No