

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Resource Program Teachers, District Reps	Review MDE Alternative Assessment Guidance	03/23/2023	Completed
Resource Program Teachers, District Reps	Review of Students who participated in an Alternative Assessment with the following criteria from the ISD: (Tier 2) Review Student Information Decision Criteria for Alternate Assessment S=Surpassed NS=Not Surpassed Evidence of Significant Cognitive Disability Majority of Instruction Based on Alternate Standards ISD/District Level Action Plan	04/10/2023	Completed
Resource Program Teachers, District Reps	Review Current Students (23/24 school year) that are on Essential Elements to provide additional resources for the IEP teams for the decisions making process with regard to Alternative Assessments. Utilize MDE and ISD Guidance to ensure that only students with the most significant cognitive impairments are participating in the Alternative Assessment.	12/06/2023	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No

Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

Member District: Old Mission Peninsula School

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Old Mission Peninsula School:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	85	1	1.2
Mathematics	86	1	1.2
Science	39	1	2.6
Social Studies	39	1	2.6

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Please describe other justification:

The IEP team uses a data driven review process to determine which students are on Essential Elements. The school has a small student population and last year we had one student on Essential Elements and taking the the alternate assessment and this put us over the 1%.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	62
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	0
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	0%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education	Special Education teacher completed training on Sonday System - tier 3 intervention	08/22/2023	Completed
Special Education	Special Education teacher attended High Quality IEP training	10/19/2023	Completed
Special Education	Guidance and training materials about identifying when a student should take the alternate assessment will be emailed to special education staff. The content will be reviewed and additional questions answered at the next special education meeting.	01/19/2024	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No

Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

Member District: The Greenspire School

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for The Greenspire School:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	128	2	1.6
Mathematics	128	2	1.6
Science	60	0	0
Social Studies	59	0	0

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Please describe other justification:

We are a charter school and have many students who enroll and have higher needs that require more intensive supports (special education). The students who take the alternate assessment transitioned in to Greenspire Middle or High School with that decision already made. The IEP team reviews the current data and determines if the decision about alternate assessment continues to make sense.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	3.4%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	136
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	4
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	2.9%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Greenspire MS Special Ed Team	Greenspire MS special ed team meeting to review training materials from MDE regarding Alternate Assessment decisions, including a review the definition of significant cognitive disability and the process to determine who needs an alternate assessment and essential elements. Meeting will also include a review of current students signed up for Mi-ACCESS.	01/09/2024	Completed
Greenspire HS Special Ed Team	Greenspire HS special ed team meeting to review training materials from MDE regarding Alternate Assessment decisions, including a review the definition of significant cognitive disability and the process to determine who needs an alternate assessment and essential elements. Meeting will also include a review of current students signed up for Mi-ACCESS.	02/06/2024	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No

Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

Member District: Traverse City Area Public Schools

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Traverse City Area Public Schools:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	4423	40	0.9
Mathematics	4426	41	0.9
Science	1931	22	1.1
Social Studies	1924	21	1.1

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Please describe other justification:

Our district operates MICI classrooms at the elementary, middle school, and high school levels. Our IEP teams determine students who are on essential elements and those who will need to take an alternative assessment.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	5357
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	40
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	0.7%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Principals	1% Justification training for all principals	02/28/2024	Planned
Elementary Special Education Teachers	1% justification training for elementary special education teachers.	01/11/2024	Planned
Secondary Special Education Teachers	1% justification training for secondary special education teachers.	01/30/2024	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No

Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

Member District: Woodland School

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Woodland School:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	133	1	0.8
Mathematics	133	1	0.8
Science	47	1	2.1
Social Studies	47	1	2.1

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes No

Please describe other justification:

The school has a small N-size of 136 students taking a summative assessment. 1 student took the alternate assessment in Science and Social Studies, which resulted in the 2.1% participation rate.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	0.7%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	136
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	0
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	0%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Teachers	Training completed with Special Educaiton Teachers using the MDE Flowchart - "Should My Student Take the Altnernate Assessment?"	08/29/2023	Completed
Special Education Teachers	December 5, 2023 Interactive Decision Making Tool was shared with staff	12/05/2023	Completed
Woodland Staff	NorthEd School Psychologist and Teacher Consultant are available to support the IEPT if considering exploring Essential Elements for a student with a disability	12/05/2023	Completed

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes No