Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2023-2024

ISD Name: Ottawa Area ISD

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan's alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2023 using MI-Access.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Ottawa Area ISD:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	24118	362	1.5
Mathematics	24188	358	1.5
Science	10264	149	1.5
Social Studies	10171	80	0.8

Data	Total
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD	19
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2023 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11)	11

Technical Assistance and Professional Development

Tier I: In the winter of 2023, MDE provided feedback to each ISD, providing recommendations regarding technical assistance or professional development for their constituent districts. ISDs responded to this feedback in a variety of ways and carried out support to districts as appropriate. In the first table below, outline the general technical assistance or professional development provided to **all** districts regarding state assessment decisions or better targeting instruction. Specific or targeted technical assistance provided to a small groups of districts to address specific concerns should not be listed here, but rather in the next section (Tier II/III).

Date Occurred or Planned	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
09/21/2023	Communication with both Special Education Directors and Curriculum Directors during our Fall Retreat.

Tier II/III: MDE's response to ISDs in 2023 (based on 2022 data) provided specific recommendations for each LEA, based on their participation rate or other information provided on Justification Forms. Please outline targeted assistance provided (or planned) to member districts following MDE's recommendation. Please include assistance provided that may have exceeded MDE recommendations.

Member District	Date Occurred or Planned	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
Grand Haven Area Public Schools	09/21/2023	Received additional information via a memo and data that had been provided by the MDE during the spring of 2023.
Holland City School District	09/21/2023	Received additional information via a memo and data that had been provided by the MDE during the spring of 2023.
Hudsonville Public School District	09/21/2023	Received additional information via a memo and data that had been provided by the MDE during the spring of 2023.
Spring Lake Public Schools	09/21/2023	Received additional information via a memo and data that had been provided by the MDE during the spring of 2023.

What resources or support does the ISD need from MDE to continue to provide targeted assistance or professional development to member districts on the topic of alternate assessment participation?

No additional support is needed at this time.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The ISD has provided or will provide targeted assistance to member districts/PSAs that have been flagged for disproportionality for participation in the alternate assessment as outlined on the previous page.
⊙ Yes O No
Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the State assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.
⊙ Yes O No

Assessment selection is an IEP Team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

⊙ Yes O No

Member District: Ottawa Area ISD

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Ottawa Area ISD:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	60	45	75
Mathematics	60	45	75
Science	26	20	76.9
Social Studies	7	1	14.3

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did	the	district	test	fewer	than	500	stude	ents	total	using	statewide	e assess	ments?

Yes O No.

Select the option that applies:

O Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. • 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	50
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	34
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	0
Adjusted Total	50
Adjusted Participation Number	34
Adjusted Rate	68%

Please describe other justification:

The Ottawa Area ISD operates both a center-based program for students within MoCI and SXI programs, as well as a center-based program for students requiring EI program support.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	62.7%%

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	50
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	34
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	68%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
	We have the flowchart built into all IEP documents for our IEP teams to work through during IEP team meetings	08/01/2023	Completed

Resources and Technical Assistance

or MDE to e	nember district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative t tool based on the state guidelines?
O Yes G) No
Assuran	ces
Please prov	ride the following assurances.
The member alternate as	er district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the ssessment.
• Yes C) No
The member	er district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate t.
• Yes	O No
The member	er district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

Member District: Coopersville Area Public School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Coopersville Area Public School District:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	1318	17	1.3
Mathematics	1320	17	1.3
Science	586	6	1
Social Studies	582	3	0.5

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

We added 3 students that required an alternative assessment in ELA and Math,

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	1.3%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	1326
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	19
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	1.4%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?
⊙ Yes O No
Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?
⊙ Yes O No
Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?
⊙ Yes O No
Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with

recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year

O No

O Yes

(this may have come later in the spring)?

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
All teachers and related service providers	Determining an appropriate assessment - Used MDE scenario tool and "Should my students take the alternate assessment?" Guidance document from MDE.	08/23/2023	Completed
All teachers and related service providers	Guidance document provided by monthly newsletter.	12/06/2023	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes	⊙ No
Assura	nces
Please pro	ovide the following assurances.
	ber district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the assessment.
Yes	O No
The mem assessme	ber district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate ent.
Yes	O No
The mem	ber district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.
O Yes	O No

Member District: Eagle Crest Charter Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Eagle Crest Charter Academy:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	400	3	0.8
Mathematics	404	3	0.7
Science	121	2	1.7
Social Studies	121	2	1.7

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students	s total using statewide assessments?
-----------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------

• Yes O No

Select the option that applies:

• Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. • O 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

Eagle Crest follows NHA protocols which utilize data review, IEP processes, student observations, and state guidance, whenever considering an alternate curriculum for a student.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	%

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	360
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	2
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	0.6%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

⊙ Yes O No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
•	MDE Guidance dated 8/31/23, MDE "Should my Student Take the Alternate Assessment" flowchart	01/23/2024	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

① Yes O No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes • O No

Member District: Grand Haven Area Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Grand Haven Area Public Schools:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	2652	58	2.2
Mathematics	2647	55	2.1
Science	1211	25	2.1
Social Studies	1197	12	1

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Select the option that applies:

O Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. • 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

⊙ Yes O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	2765
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	58
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	15
Adjusted Total	2750
Adjusted Participation Number	43
Adjusted Rate	1.6%

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023	2.9%%
(per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	2765
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	58
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	2.1%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

⊙ Yes O No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
	SE Director will be attending the PLC with all self-contained teachers to review the importance of considering all options and appropriateness of alternate assessments.	12/20/2023	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?
O Yes • No
Assurances
Please provide the following assurances.
The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.
● Yes O No
The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.
The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

Member District: Hamilton Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Hamilton Community Schools:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	1271	18	1.4
Mathematics	1270	18	1.4
Science	555	10	1.8
Social Studies	549	6	1.1

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

D	id	the	district	test	fewer	than	500	students	total	using	statewide	assessmen	ts?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes O No.

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	1271
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	18
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	0
Adjusted Total	1271
Adjusted Participation Number	18
Adjusted Rate	1.4%

Please describe other justification:

We had a higher number of students with significant cognitive disabilities based on those who reside in Hamilton and those who choose to come to Hamilton through School of Choice.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	1.4%%

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	1349
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	15
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	1.1%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"? O Yes O No Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection? O Yes O No Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access? O Yes O No Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)? O No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Department	Professional Development at Department Meetings: Review the data we use to look at determining the state assessment to be used as a part of the IEP process.		Planned
Special Education Department	Email sent out with guidance on the process for determining the appropriate state assessment as an IEP team. In the email, the case manager is advised to access the guidance through the linked case manager monthly checklist.	08/19/2023	Completed
Special Education Case Managers	Staff review the monthly case manager checklist, which includes the guidance from MDE on determining the most appropriate state assessment as an IEP team.	08/28/2023	Completed

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Please p	rovide the following assurances.
	nber district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the assessment.
• Yes	O No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment

assessment.

⊙ Yes ○ No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

O No

O Yes

Assurances

Member District: Holland City School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Holland City School District:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	1366	26	1.9
Mathematics	1386	26	1.9
Science	604	11	1.8
Social Studies	602	11	1.8

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

D	id	the	district	test	fewer	than	500	students	total	using	statewide	assessmen	ts?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes O No.

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	4032
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	74
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	6
Adjusted Total	4026
Adjusted Participation Number	68
Adjusted Rate	1.7%

Please describe other justification:

In addition to our center-based program, we support regional programs with neighboring districts.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	3.1%%

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	1470
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	37
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	2.5%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

⊙ Yes O No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
providers	Reviewed with IEP Teams decision for alternative assessment including MDE support documents.	11/03/2023	Completed

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

① Yes O No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes • O No

Member District: Hudsonville Public School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Hudsonville Public School District:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	3545	45	1.3
Mathematics	3552	43	1.2
Science	1474	20	1.4
Social Studies	1466	13	0.9

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

The district operates a regional ASD program, hosting students with significant needs from other districts. Hudsvonille also operates Moderately Cognitive Impaired programs that accept students from other districts but are not designed as a regional collaborative.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	1.2%%

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	4382
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	45
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	1%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

• Yes O No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

⊙ Yes O No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
All Special Education Staff	In-Person Training	08/24/2023	Completed
Central Office Staff	In-Person Meeting	10/09/2023	Completed

Disproportionality

The district reported disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment. Please indicate below each sub-group of disproportionality, the subject for which disproportionate use was demonstrated, as well as the district plan to address the indicated issues of disproportionality.

☑ African American

Subject	Plan
English Language Arts	This will be specifically addressed by the individual teams for the identified students.
Math	This will be specifically addressed by the individual teams for the identified students.

☑ Hispanic

Subject	Plan
English Language Arts	This will be specifically addressed by the individual teams for the identified students.
Math	This will be specifically addressed by the individual teams for the identified students.

✓ Asian

Subject	Plan
English Language Arts	This will be specifically addressed by the individual teams for the identified students.
Math	This will be specifically addressed by the individual teams for the identified students.

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Member District: Jenison Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Jenison Public Schools:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	2706	41	1.5
Mathematics	2705	44	1.6
Science	1119	15	1.3
Social Studies	1107	7	0.6

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

Students participating in Michigan Alternate Content Expectations are taking MI-ACCESS as a part of the curriculum expectations.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	1.4%%

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	2481
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	40
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	1.6%

Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

⊙ Yes O No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Service Providers	Review the MI-ACCESS flow chart for decision making at the department meeting for IEP and curriculum planning.	12/20/2023	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISE or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?
O Yes • No
Assurances
Please provide the following assurances.
The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.
● Yes O No
The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.
The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

Member District: Spring Lake Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Spring Lake Public Schools:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	1238	19	1.5
Mathematics	1237	19	1.5
Science	534	2	0.4
Social Studies	533	1	0.2

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	1257
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	19
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	6
Adjusted Total	1251
Adjusted Participation Number	13
Adjusted Rate	1%

Please describe other justification:

Spring Lake Public schools tested more than 500 students for state assessments during the spring of 2023 school year.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	0.8%%

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	1242
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	14
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	1.1%

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

⊙ Yes O No

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
,, ,	1% CAP for Mi-Access Flow Chart for taking Mi-Access review	,, :	Planned
	MDE flow chart regarding when to select Mi-Access for students with cognitive impairments	08/24/2022	Completed

or MDE to e	nember district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative t tool based on the state guidelines?
O Yes G) No
Assuran	ces
Please prov	ride the following assurances.
The member alternate as	er district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the ssessment.
• Yes C) No
The member	er district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate t.
• Yes	O No
The member	er district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

Member District: Walden Green Montessori

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Walden Green Montessori:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	122	1	0.8
Mathematics	123	1	0.8
Science	33	1	3
Social Studies	33	1	3

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

D	id	the	district	test	fewer	than	500	students	total	using	statewide	assessmen	ts?

• Yes O No

Select the option that applies:

• Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. • O 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

One student's IEP determined that he needed to take MI-ACCESS.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	%

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	132
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	1
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	0.8%

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

O Yes • No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

O Yes O No

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
WG Teaching Staff	NWEA Data Analysis and Discussion, Research-Based Instructional Strategies	10/18/2023	Completed
WG Teaching Staff	Literacy Curriculum and Instruction.	06/02/2023	Completed
WG Teaching Staff	NWEA Data Analysis and Discussion, Research-Based Instructional Strategies	11/22/2023	Planned

or MDE to e	nember district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative t tool based on the state guidelines?
O Yes G) No
Assuran	ces
Please prov	ride the following assurances.
The member alternate as	er district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the ssessment.
• Yes C) No
The member	er district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate t.
• Yes	O No
The member	er district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

Member District: West MI Academy of Arts and Academics

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for West MI Academy of Arts and Academics:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	244	3	1.2
Mathematics	243	3	1.2
Science	67	2	3
Social Studies	66	1	1.5

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Di	ď	the	district	test 1	fewer	than	500	students	total	using	statewi	de a	assessn	าent	ร์

• Yes O No

Select the option that applies:

• Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access. • O 5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

We are a small school and have very few students and/ or students that need to take the MiAccess assessments.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	0.8%%

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	247
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	2
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	0.8%

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

O Yes O No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

⊙ Yes O No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Staff	Assessment protocols	03/29/2024	Planned

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes O No

Member District: West Ottawa Public School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for West Ottawa Public School District:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	3328	37	1.1
Mathematics	3365	37	1.1
Science	1432	17	1.2
Social Studies	1412	8	0.6

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	3365
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	37
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	0
Adjusted Total	3365
Adjusted Participation Number	37
Adjusted Rate	1.1%

Please describe other justification:

Students who necessitate the Mi-Access are being assessed with that state wide test. Students with Cognitive Impairments and/or Autism Spectrum Disorders.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	%

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	3542
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	28
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	0.8%

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

O Yes O No

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
·	Appropriateness of state assessments for all students. Decision tree given, shared, explained and reviewed for state assessments.	12/20/2023	Planned

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?
O Yes • No
Assurances
Please provide the following assurances.
The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.
● Yes O No
The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.
The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

Member District: Zeeland Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Zeeland Public Schools:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	3138	37	1.2
Mathematics	3144	35	1.1
Science	1334	14	1
Social Studies	1331	12	0.9

☑ By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	8947
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	98
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	4
Adjusted Total	8943
Adjusted Participation Number	94
Adjusted Rate	1.1%

Please describe other justification:

- 1) ZPS had more than 500 students participate in statewide assessments.
- 2) We had one student that accessed with MI-Access from another local district who was staying with a Zeeland foster family during the testing window.

2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	0.9%%

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	3232
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	30
Projected Participation Rate for 2024	0.9%

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

⊙ Yes O No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

O Yes O No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

O Yes O No

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Teachers	Review of current students taking MI- Access and individual coaching on how to utilize the "Should My Student Take the Alternate Assessment?" guidance tool	02/28/2023	Completed
All Special Education Staff	Share guidance for determining an alternate assessment in department newsletter	11/30/2023	Planned
Special Education Teachers	Review of current students taking MI- Access and individual coaching on how to utilize the "Should My Student Take the Alternate Assessment?" guidance tool	02/02/2024	Planned

or MDE t	member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD o ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative ent tool based on the state guidelines?
O Yes	⊙ No
Assura	nces
Please p	rovide the following assurances.
	nber district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the assessment.
Yes	O No
The men	nber district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate ent.
Yes	O No
The men	nber district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.
Yes	O No