

























































































































































































































































































































































































































































## Disproportionality

The district reported disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment. Please indicate below each sub-group of disproportionality, the subject for which disproportionate use was demonstrated, as well as the district plan to address the indicated issues of disproportionality.

### Gender

Subject	Plan
English Language Arts	Review and use of appropriate present level and evaluation data, progress within curriculum setting, previous State assessment data. Current data shows a decrease as our DAAU was within two hundredths of a point of the risk ratio level of 2.5.
Math	Review and use of appropriate present level and evaluation data, progress within curriculum setting, previous State assessment data. Current data shows a decrease as our DAAU was within two hundredths of a point of the risk ratio level of 2.5.

## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes  No

## Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes  No

# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

## Member District: Warrendale Charter Academy

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

### Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Warrendale Charter Academy:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	387	10	2.6
Mathematics	386	10	2.6
Science	123	2	1.6
Social Studies	122	1	0.8

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

### Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes  No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access.  5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes  No

Please describe other justification:

*Warrendale offers Resource Teacher support and services.*

## 2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
<b>District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)</b>	0.5%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	416
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	9
<b>Projected Participation Rate for 2024</b>	2.2%

## Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes  No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes  No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes  No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes  No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
SE Staff	Should My Student Take the MI ACCESS MDE Resource	09/15/2023	Completed
SE Staff	MDE Interactive Decision-Making Tool MDE Assessment Selection Guidance Training Should my Student Take the Alternate Assessment Michigan Alternate Content Expectations MI-Access Performance Level Descriptors	01/22/2024	Planned



## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes  No

## Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes  No

# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

## Member District: Washington-Parks Academy

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

### Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Washington-Parks Academy:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	377	4	1.1
Mathematics	377	4	1.1
Science	130	2	1.5
Social Studies	130	2	1.5

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

### Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes  No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access.  5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes  No

Please describe other justification:

*Washington-Parks Academy School District is a fairly small school district with only 557 students in grades K-8. Our district only had four students who have, or function as if they have mild, moderate, or severe cognitive impairment that limits their ability to generalize or transfer learning. This factor results in a higher than 1% participation rate.*

## 2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)	%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	370
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	1
<b>Projected Participation Rate for 2024</b>	0.3%

## Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes  No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes  No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes  No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes  No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Staff and Related Services	Zoom Training: Selection of Alternate Assessment and 1% Justification	03/10/2023	Completed
Special Education Staff and Related Services	Selection of Alternate Assessment and 1% Justification	11/29/2023	Completed
Special Education Staff and Related Services Providers	Consulting data sources that will inform staff of cognitive and adaptive functioning.	01/19/2024	Planned
Special Education Staff and Related Services Providers	Should my Student Take the Alternate Assessment	03/15/2024	Planned

## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes  No

## Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes  No

# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

## Member District: **Wayne-Westland Community School District**

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

### Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Wayne-Westland Community School District:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
<b>English Language Arts</b>	4723	137	2.9
<b>Mathematics</b>	4722	139	2.9
<b>Science</b>	2049	54	2.6
<b>Social Studies</b>	2033	38	1.9

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

### Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes  No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes  No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

<b>Assessment Data Table</b>	<b>Number</b>
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	4723
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	137
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	58
<b>Adjusted Total</b>	4665
<b>Adjusted Participation Number</b>	79
<b>Adjusted Rate</b>	1.7%

Please describe other justification:

*The district operates a center-based program for students with moderate to severe disabilities. The district operates center-based ASD, SXI, DD, SCI, and POHI programs. Students in these programs function as though they have significant cognitive impairment. The programs support students outside of the district all across western Wayne County.*

## 2024 Projected Participation Rate

<b>Projected Participation Rate 2023</b>	<b>Number</b>
<b>District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)</b>	2.9%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

<b>Projected Participation Rate 2024</b>	<b>Number</b>
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	4687
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	141
<b>Projected Participation Rate for 2024</b>	3%

## Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes  No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes  No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes  No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes  No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Staff Members	Written Guidance on Alternate Assessment	11/03/2023	Completed
Special Education Staff	MDE Guidance, data-driven conversation, flowchart, webinar	02/12/2024	Planned
Special Education Staff	Training on Mi-Access performance level descriptors to help drive LRE	03/04/2024	Planned



## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes  No

## Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes  No

# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

## Member District: West Village Academy

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

## Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for West Village Academy:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	192	3	1.6
Mathematics	190	3	1.6
Science	55	0	0
Social Studies	55	0	0

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

## Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes  No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access.  5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes  No

Please describe other justification:

*West Village Academy is a single building district/public school academy with fewer than 500 students enrolled in grades K-8.*

## 2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
<b>District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)</b>	1.4%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	180
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	5
<b>Projected Participation Rate for 2024</b>	2.8%

## Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes    No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes    No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes    No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes    No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Sp ed/gen ed/admin	Special education regulations, policies, procedures; staff professional development with Total Education Solutions consultants	08/29/2023	Completed
Special Education staff	Review MDE Resources: Interactive Decision-Making Tool Assessment Selection Guidance Training Should my Student Take the Alternate Assessment Michigan Alternate Content Expectations MI-Access Performance Level Descriptors	01/18/2024	Planned
Special Education staff	Creating Sample District Procedures: Ensure teams are consulting data sources that will inform them of cognitive and adaptive functioning. Ensure academic goals and objectives are aligned to achievement standards. Ensure previous state assessment performance results are reviewed by them and documented in IEP. Ensure review of district assessment data and other formative classroom data to drive instruction.	01/25/2024	Planned

## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes  No

## Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes  No

# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

## Member District: Westfield Charter Academy

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

### Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Westfield Charter Academy:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	595	8	1.3
Mathematics	590	8	1.4
Science	261	2	0.8
Social Studies	258	0	0

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

### Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes  No

Select the option that applies:

Fewer than 5 students took MI-Access.  5 or more students took MI-Access.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes  No

Please describe other justification:

*There are two schools functioning as 1 district: Westfield K-6 and Westfield Prep High School (7-12).  
Both locations offer Resource Teacher supports and services.*

## 2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
<b>District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)</b>	0.8%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	510
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	14
<b>Projected Participation Rate for 2024</b>	2.7%



## Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes  No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes  No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes  No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes  No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
SE Staff	Should My Student Take the MI ACCESS MDE Resource	09/15/2023	Completed
SE Staff	MDE Interactive Decision-Making Tool MDE Assessment Selection Guidance Training Should my Student Take the Alternate Assessment Michigan Alternate Content Expectations MI-Access Performance Level Descriptors	01/22/2024	Planned

## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes  No

## Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes  No

# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

## Member District: Westwood Community School District

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

### Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Westwood Community School District:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	698	35	5
Mathematics	705	35	5
Science	276	12	4.3
Social Studies	272	9	3.3

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

### Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes  No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes  No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	698
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	35
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	1
<b>Adjusted Total</b>	697
<b>Adjusted Participation Number</b>	34
<b>Adjusted Rate</b>	4.9%

Please describe other justification:

*The district operates a center based program in one of the elementary buildings. The students in that program all take an alternate assessment. The district also has a high number of enrolled students with a disability who cannot participate in the general education assessment. The IEP team determined that the alternate assessment is more appropriate and meets their needs.*

## 2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
<b>District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)</b>	4.9%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	789
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	35
<b>Projected Participation Rate for 2024</b>	4.4%

## Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes  No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes  No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes  No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes  No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Casemanagers	Review Guidelines for participation in MiAccess -email	12/13/2023	Completed
Special Education Casemanagers	Review of Assessemnt Selections/Guideline Interactive Decision Making Tool-staff meeting	02/12/2024	Planned

## Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Yes  No

## Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes  No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

Yes  No

# Member District Exceeding 1% Cap Justification Form FY 2023-2024

## Member District: Wyandotte City School District

The *Every Student Succeeds Act* (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the state.

### Data

Below are the 1% Participation Rates for Wyandotte City School District:

Content Area	Total Tested	Alternate Tested	Spring 2023 Participation Rate
English Language Arts	2164	207	9.6
Mathematics	2161	206	9.5
Science	897	84	9.4
Social Studies	815	4	0.5

By checking this box, the user acknowledges the data in the above table can be verified against the district level data provided in the ISD level report.

### Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Yes  No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

Yes  No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data (page 1), complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total number of students taking spring summative assessments in grade 3-8 and 11 only (M-STEP + PSAT Grade 8 + SAT + MI-Access).	1786
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access in grades 3-8 and 11.	378
Students from outside the district placed in district's regional center-based program by IEP team (do not include students who are residents of the district or who have enrolled through school of choice processes).	339
<b>Adjusted Total</b>	1447
<b>Adjusted Participation Number</b>	39
<b>Adjusted Rate</b>	2.7%

Please describe other justification:

*The data above is skewed due to 23 of the 39 students qualify for a center program and required an alternate assessment due to severity of their disability and needing a modified curriculum aligned to the essential elements.*

*16 of the 39 students reside in Wyandotte and attend a Non Center Program*

*The participation rate is reported below:*

$$1447 - 23 = 1424$$

$$39 - 23 = 16$$

$$16 / 1424 = 1.1 \%$$

## 2024 Projected Participation Rate

Projected Participation Rate 2023	Number
<b>District's Projected Participation Rate for 2023 (per the response on the 2022 alternate assessment projected participation form)</b>	9.2%%

Using students' IEP information, Michigan School Data System (MSDS), and other current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2024 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate 2024	Number
Total number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 with or without an IEP	2186
Total number of students whose IEPs designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	207
<b>Projected Participation Rate for 2024</b>	9.5%



## Local Plan

Member districts are expected to provide professional development and technical assistance to staff to ensure high expectations are being held for all students, including students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. This includes reviewing local data, IEPs, student outcomes, and ISD feedback as part of that process.

Did the district provide professional development to new and existing staff regarding the definition of "Students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disabilities"?

Yes  No

Did the district use a formal process to review and record decisions made by IEP Teams regarding assessment selection?

Yes  No

Did the district use outcomes data (current and past results from MI-Access, district level data, progress monitoring data, and other formative classroom data) for the purposes of targeting instruction for students who took and are designated to take MI-Access?

Yes  No

Did the district receive feedback on the participation rate for students taking MI-Access with recommended action from the ISD as a result of the submitted justification forms last year (this may have come later in the spring)?

Yes  No

Please outline the professional development and technical assistance provided to staff regarding targeting student instruction and assessment selection decisions in the table below. This should include activity from January 1, 2023 to current day, as well as any planned or scheduled activity that is yet to be carried out for this school year.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date	Planned/Completed
Special Education Resource Teachers	Reviewed Pre ID list for Spring Assessment; Shared students identified to take MiAccess. Reviewed Mi Access alternate assessment flowchart from MDE Comparison of 2022 Spring assessment type with 2023 Looked at eligibility and amount of time in general education	01/20/2023	Completed
Center Program Administrators	Shared 2023 Mi Access test results. Administrators given access to view reports in Secure site. Highlighted importance of advancing MiAccess assessment if student surpassed a level	09/22/2023	Completed
Teachepr Consultants	Share MDE Mi Access determination resources; Provide student data to include assessment type and progress from 2023. Complete a determination form to ensure disability area, progress, and time in general education is documented and considered	01/16/2024	Planned
Center Program Administrators	Share MDE Mi Access determination resources; Provide student data to include assessment type and progress from 2023. Complete a determination form to ensure disability area, progress, and time in general education is documented and considered	01/17/2024	Planned

## Disproportionality

The district reported disproportionality in participation in the alternate assessment. Please indicate below each sub-group of disproportionality, the subject for which disproportionate use was demonstrated, as well as the district plan to address the indicated issues of disproportionality.

**African American**

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Plan</b>
English Language Arts	Student data list shared with staff to identify AA students projected to take alternate assessment. An alternative assessment form will be completed for each student to ensure the following considerations are reviewed and documented: eligibility; FTE in GE vs SE; type of instructions provided, and assessment progress for the past two years.
Math	Student data list shared with staff to identify AA students projected to take alternate assessment. An alternative assessment form will be completed for each student to ensure the following considerations are reviewed and documented: eligibility; FTE in GE vs SE; type of instructions provided, and assessment progress for the past two years.

**Resources and Technical Assistance**

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

- Yes  No

**Assurances**

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP Team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

- Yes  No

The member district allows IEP Team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

- Yes  No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

- Yes  No