<u>Justification Forms Summary Report Directions</u>

This document provides an index of Justification Forms separated by ISD and the ISD's Member Districts. The index acts as a Table of Contents so you can easily navigate this PDF. To enable this feature, go to the "View" tab in your PDF viewer application menu bar and look for the option to show or enable the Bookmarks Panel. Once enabled, the Bookmarks panel should appear on the left side of the screen in the navigation pane. Some applications may require you to press the "Bookmarks" icon in the navigation panel to open the Bookmarks panel. Once the Bookmarks panel is open, click a district name to advance you to that district's justification form within the document. For additional assistance, contact the Catamaran Help Desk at help@catamaran.partners or 877-474-9023 (Toll Free).



Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2019-2020

ISD Name: Berrien RESA

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan's alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Data	Total
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs)	19
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11)	7

Technical Assistance and Professional Development

Tier I: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include any professional development and targeted assistance the ISD offered to <u>all</u> member districts, provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
	Provided all districts with training on Statewide Assessment Guide. Added tab to Illuminate (electronic IEP software) so that each IEP team would have the tool available to them at the click of a button during IEP's.

Tier II/III: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include professional development and technical assistance the ISD provided for each specific member district that was flagged for disproportionality regarding assessment participation. Include technical assistance or professional development provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Member District	Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
Benton Harbor Area Schools	06/04/2019	Complete Monitoring Round with district to review randomly selected IEP's and debrief with staff an action plan for improvement in testing rates for 2019-20.
Berrien Springs Public Schools	05/01/2019	Meet with IEP Chairs in district to review district procedures to determine what students receive alternate testing. Identified needed improvement in processes and developed action plan.
Lakeshore School District (Berrien)	04/30/2019	Meet with Special Education Director to review district procedures and develop a flow for testing decisions. Identified targeted training needed for two staff members to better understand testing decisions.
Niles Community Schools	05/22/2019	Completed Monitoring Round with IEP chairs to better understand process in relationship to State Expectations. District identified strategies for improvement. Developed Action Plan.
River Valley School District	05/24/2019	Completed targeted discussion training/PD with staff on testing decision making relative to teacher caseloads.

What resources or support is needed from MDE for the ISD to carry out the plan?

Specific guidance on what to do when IEP team seem to have made the appropriate decision relative to state assessment and percentage still over the 1% cap.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The ISD has provided or will provide targeted assistance to member districts/PSAs that have	ve
been flagged for disproportionality for participation in the alternate assessment as outlined	
on the previous page.	

Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the state assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.

⊙ Yes O No

Assessment selection is an IEP team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.



Member District: Berrien RESA

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	73.5	71.9	79.5	+
Mathematics	72.8	71.3	79.4	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	34.8	15	23.8	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Teaching staff - special education teachers	08/28/2019	Alternate assessment review and manual within a PD session.	District Staff
Teaching Staff & ASD consultants	09/09/2019	Developed a review process for each individual student that focuses on alternate assessment decision making.	District Staff
Special Education Staff	11/15/2019	Practice and review of alternate assessment flowchart with staff.	District Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the	district test	fewer than	500	students	total	using	statewide	assessme	nts?
Yes	O No								

Calact	ء ط+	antian	+6-+	annlina
Select	uie	option	uiat	applies:

O Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access.	● 8 or more students took MI-Access.
• Forter than 6 Stadents took 112 7 toocoon	C C I IIICI C CLUGGIICE COCI I II 7 ICCCCC

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

⊙ Yes O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	137
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	86
Students in center-based program from outside district	0
Adjusted Total	137
Adjusted Participation Number	86
Adjusted Rate	62.8%

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	123
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	76
Projected Participation Rate	61.8%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Special Ed Staff	Alternate Assessment Decision Making - Onsite professional learning.	09/02/2020
•	Will ensure all staff have and use the alternate assessment flowchart.	09/02/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the	following assurances.
The member district alternate assessme	t IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the ent.
⊙ Yes O No	
The member district assessment.	t allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate
⊙ Yes O No	
The member distric	et addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.



Member District: Countryside Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2.3	0	0	No change
Mathematics	2.3	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	2.3	0	0	No change



Member District: Benton Harbor Charter School Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0.4	+
Mathematics	0	0	0.4	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Benton Harbor Area Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	articipation Participation Participation		Change
English Language Arts	8.7	7	5.2	-
Mathematics	7.8	6.8	5	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	5.2	7.1	4.1	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

O Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers		Review of 1% CAP expectations for the district and the use of the state guidelines decision making tool.	MDE
Special Education Teachers	12/09/2019	IEP development	ISD Staff
Special Education Teachers	12/10/2019	IEP development	ISD Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes

No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

⊙ Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

Our district operates between 8-9 Cognitively Impaired classrooms grades 3-11 for our district.

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	878
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	31
Projected Participation Rate	3.5%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
•	IEP Development and state assessment selection, Case studies	03/25/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

• Yes O No

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

Ongoing PD from either the RESA or MDE would be helpful as we have a significant rate of staff turnover.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

District Comments

This has been improving as you can see by our numbers. Some teachers are using the state's online decision making tool when completing IEPs but more training and monitoring is needed.



Member District: St. Joseph Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0.2	0.3	0.2	_
Mathematics	0.2	0.3	0.2	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.1	0.3	0	-



Member District: Lakeshore School District (Berrien)

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	3.9	1.8	1.8	No change
Mathematics	4	1.8	1.8	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	3.2	1.1	1.3	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Monthly Newsletter		Monthly department newsletters offers link to "Interactive Decision Tool". Staff are encouraged to use this tool at IEP meetings	District Staff
Department Meeting		Reviewed 1% CAP expectations at beginning of the year staff meeting.	District Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes • No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

⊙ Yes O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	1460
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	26
Students in center-based program from outside district	45
Adjusted Total	1415
Adjusted Participation Number	1389
Adjusted Rate	98.2%

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	1496
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	27
Projected Participation Rate	1.8%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
	Staff Meeting to provide training/guidance on how to use MiAccess determination flowchart in IEP meetings.	09/15/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes
Assurances
Please provide the following assurances.
The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in th alternate assessment.
⊙ Yes O No
The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternat assessment.
⊙ Yes O No
The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. • Yes • O No



Member District: River Valley School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1	1.4	1.3	-
Mathematics	0.3	1	1.3	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.7	0.8	0.8	No change

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers & SLPs	04/11/2019	Accommodations for MSTEP, PSAT, & SAT. Reviewed approved accommodations document. Discussed the Dept. of Education's determinations specifically regarding participation in the state test.	District Staff
Special Education Teachers & SLPs	02/13/2020	Reviewed resources available to make testing decisions including the interactive decision-making tool, should my student take the alternative assessment, and the assessment selection guidelines training.	District Staff

Contributing Factors

O Yes O No

5
Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?
• Yes O No
Select the option that applies:
● Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. O 8 or more students took MI-Access.
Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?
O Yes No
Is there other justification to provide?

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	405
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	5
Projected Participation Rate	1.2%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Principals	How to determine correct assessment	03/10/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

• Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

District Comments

In reviewing student IEPs, the students who will take the alternative assessment in the spring of 2020 all are performing at an early literacy/numeracy level. Participation in the general assessment would not be appropriate for this group of students.



Member District: New Buffalo Area Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0.3	0.3	0	_
Mathematics	0.3	0.3	0	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0.7	0	-



Member District: Brandywine Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0.3	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0.3	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.3	0	0	No change



Member District: Berrien Springs Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2.5	2.2	2.1	-
Mathematics	1.9	2.1	2	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.9	1.9	1.6	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Staff	08/28/2019	Start of the year staff meeting; in person with all special education staff	Other Danny Bartz
IEP Chairs	09/09/2019	Presented the alternate assessment tool to IEP chairs as part of an admin. meeting.	Other Danny Bartz
Building test coordinators	01/20/2020	M-STEP and MI-Access testing; via email	Other Danny Bartz

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

⊙ Yes O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	1326
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	27
Students in center-based program from outside district	21
Adjusted Total	1305
Adjusted Participation Number	6
Adjusted Rate	0.5%

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	1300
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	23
Projected Participation Rate	1.8%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
coordinators, special education teachers and service providers	Continued review of all students that are scheduled to take MI-Access; review past MI-Access scores for all students; review the state assessment determination chart: Two methods of training: 1- email; 2; staff meetings/admin meeting	03/02/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD

	to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative ent tool based on the state guidelines?
O Yes	⊙ No
Assura	inces
DI	and the fell and a second and

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

O Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

O Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes O No

District Comments

For this current school year:

5 of the 23 students taking MI-Access are Berrien Springs resident students for a total percentage of the 1300 students being tested in MI-Access = .38 This is below the 1% cap.



Member District: Eau Claire Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0.7	0	0.3	+
Mathematics	0.5	0	0.3	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.1	0	0.6	+



Member District: Niles Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	3.5	2.9	2.1	-
Mathematics	3.5	2.9	2.1	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	2.8	4.4	1.7	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Administrators-building and district	06/04/2019	Alternate Assessment decision making, state guidlines	District Staff
Administrators- building and district	11/12/2019	Alternate assessment decision making and district practices	District Staff
Special education teachers, building administration, ancillary staff	05/30/2019	Alternate assessment decision making	District Staff
Special education teachers, building administrators, ancillary staff	11/05/2019	Alternate assessment decision making, state guidelines	District Staff
Ancillary staff	11/01/2019	alternate assessment decision making and decision making tool	District Staff
Ancillary Staff	05/24/2019	alternate assessment decision making and decision making tool	District Staff
Secondary Teachers- general ed and special ed	10/16/2019	Utilize decision making tool and discuss individual student(s)	District Staff
Secondary teachers- general ed and special ed	11/20/2019	Utilize decision making tool and discuss individual student(s)	District Staff
Secondary teachers- general ed and special ed	12/10/2019	Utilize decision making tool and discuss individual student(s)	District Staff
Secondary teachers-general ed and special ed	12/11/2019	Utilize decision making tool and discuss individual student(s)	District Staff
Secondary teachers- general ed and special ed	01/07/2020	Utilize decision making tool and discuss individual student(s)	District Staff
Secondary teachers- general ed and special ed	01/16/2020	Utilize decision making tool and discuss individual student(s)	District Staff
Ancillary Staff	02/21/2020	Alternate Assessment procedures	District Staff

Contributing Factors

Did	the	district	test	fewer	than	500	students	total	using	statewide	assessme	nts?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

• Yes • O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	1861
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	39
Students in center-based program from outside district	15

Adjusted Total	1846
Adjusted Participation Number	24
Adjusted Rate	1.3%

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	2007
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	18
Projected Participation Rate	0.9%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
	Alternate Assessment Decision Making PD- at start of 2020- 2021 school year	09/08/2020
Ancillary Staff (Teacher Consultants)	Alternate Assessment Decision Making PD- at start of 2020- 2021 school year	09/08/2020
Special Education Staff	Ensure all staff have access to and utilized alternate assessment flow chart and decision making tool.	09/08/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

• Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

District Comments

Decisions regarding state assessments are made at IEP team meetings. Current practices have improved to determine participation.

The district's total number of students tested is reflective of the district population.



Member District: Buchanan Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0.5	0.2	0	-
Mathematics	0.5	0.2	0	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.6	0.3	0	-



Member District: Watervliet School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.5	0.4	0	-
Mathematics	1.3	0.4	0	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.9	0	0	No change



Member District: Coloma Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.7	1.4	0.6	-
Mathematics	1.4	1.2	0.6	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	2.3	0.8	0.3	-



Member District: Bridgman Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Hagar Township S/D #6

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Sodus Township S/D #5

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	4.3	2.3	_
Mathematics	0	4.3	2.3	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	15.4	0	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Teaching Staff	03/18/2019	Assessment Accountability	District Staff
Teaching Staff	03/13/2020	Statewide Assessments	District Staff

Contributing Factors

contributing ractors
oid the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? Yes O No
elect the option that applies:
Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. O 8 or more students took MI-Access.
old the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with ignificant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within region or ISD)?
O Yes ⊙ No
s there other justification to provide?
O Yes ⊙ No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	49
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	1
Projected Participation Rate	2%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
_	Assessment and Accountability-in person, via Zoom or Google Meet	05/15/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes O No

District Comments

We have one cognitively impaired student that takes the Mi-Access, which is over 2% of our students that are assessed.



Member District: Mildred C. Wells Preparatory Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	3.2	0	0	No change
Mathematics	3.2	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	16.7	0	0	No change