<u>Justification Forms Summary Report Directions</u>

This document provides an index of Justification Forms separated by ISD and the ISD's Member Districts. The index acts as a Table of Contents so you can easily navigate this PDF. To enable this feature, go to the "View" tab in your PDF viewer application menu bar and look for the option to show or enable the Bookmarks Panel. Once enabled, the Bookmarks panel should appear on the left side of the screen in the navigation pane. Some applications may require you to press the "Bookmarks" icon in the navigation panel to open the Bookmarks panel. Once the Bookmarks panel is open, click a district name to advance you to that district's justification form within the document. For additional assistance, contact the Catamaran Help Desk at help@catamaran.partners or 877-474-9023 (Toll Free).



Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2019-2020

ISD Name: Calhoun Intermediate School District

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan's alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Data	Total
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs)	18
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11)	9

Technical Assistance and Professional Development

Tier I: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include any professional development and targeted assistance the ISD offered to <u>all</u> member districts, provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
03/09/2020	Met with the special education implementation coordinators, along with all of the special education supervisors (both member district and program supervisors). Provided each member district with a packet that included: Their local data, their justification form summary, MDE alternative assessment decision tree, MDE alternative assessment guidance document, articles on Alternative assessments under NCLB and now ESSA. Had a discussion around clarifying "significant cognitive impairment", explored the data, brainstormed causes, and reviewed ways the district could get their alternative assessment percentage down.

Tier II/III: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include professional development and technical assistance the ISD provided for each specific member district that was flagged for disproportionality regarding assessment participation. Include technical assistance or professional development provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Member District	Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
Battle Creek Public Schools	02/18/2020	Provided the member district with their local data, reviewed the data, and reviewed the process of completing the 1% justification form on Catamaran.
Olivet Community Schools	02/18/2020	Provided the member district with their local data, reviewed the data, and reviewed the process of completing the 1% justification form on Catamaran.
Union City Community Schools	02/18/2020	Provided the member district with their local data, reviewed the data, and reviewed the process of completing the 1% justification form on Catamaran.
Marshall Public Schools	02/18/2020	Provided the member district with their local data, reviewed the data, and reviewed the process of completing the 1% justification form on Catamaran.
Battle Creek Montessori Academy	02/18/2020	Provided the member district with their local data, reviewed the data, and reviewed the process of completing the 1% justification form on Catamaran.
Bellevue Community Schools	02/18/2020	Provided the member district with their local data, reviewed the data, and reviewed the process of completing the 1% justification form on Catamaran.
Harper Creek Community Schools	02/18/2020	Provided the member district with their local data, reviewed the data, and reviewed the process of completing the 1% justification form on Catamaran.
Lakeview Sch. District (Calhoun)	02/18/2020	Provided the member district with their local data, reviewed the data, and reviewed the process of completing the 1% justification form on Catamaran.
Tekonsha Community Schools	02/18/2020	Provided the member district with their local data, reviewed the data, and reviewed the process of completing the 1% justification form on Catamaran.

What resources or support is needed from MDE for the ISD to carry out the plan? Updated guidance documents as they are available.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The ISD has provided or will provide targeted assistance to member districts/PSAs that	have
been flagged for disproportionality for participation in the alternate assessment as outli	ned
on the previous page.	

• Yes O No

Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the state assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.

⊙ Yes O No

Assessment selection is an IEP team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

• Yes O No



Member District: Calhoun Intermediate School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	52.4	57	83	+
Mathematics	52.4	57.3	83	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	15.3	12.5	52.6	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Supervisors	02/18/2020	1% alternative assessment cap and completing justification forms in Catamaran.	ISD Staff
Special Education Implementors	10/29/2019	Topics: Shortened school day, determinations (including the 1% alt assessment cap), IEP participants, Specialized transportation, and SPP 13. Method: PowerPoint presentation and group discussion.	ISD Staff
Special Education Supervisors	05/21/2019	Illuminate Updates: Alternative Assessment guidance link is now added to the IEP process.	ISD Staff

Contributing ractors
Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?
⊙ Yes O No
Select the option that applies:
O Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access.
Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?
⊙ Yes O No
Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	135
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	112
Students in center-based program from outside district	135
Adjusted Total	0
Adjusted Participation Number	0
Adjusted Rate	0%

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	126
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	90
Projected Participation Rate	71.4%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
	MDE Alternative Assessment webinar for new staff and Review of alternative assessment materials for existing staff	06/02/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.	
The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in that alternate assessment.	he
⊙ Yes O No	
The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternassessment.	ate
⊙ Yes O No	
The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment	. .
⊙ Yes O No	



Member District: Arbor Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0.5	+
Mathematics	0	0	1	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Endeavor Charter Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.4	0.5	0.5	No change
Mathematics	1.4	0.5	0.5	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.8	1	0.9	-



Member District: Marshall Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0.6	1.3	0	_
Mathematics	0.6	1.3	0	_
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	2	0	_



Member District: Battle Creek Area Learning Center

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Battle Creek Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.9	2.1	2.3	+
Mathematics	1.9	2.2	2.2	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	2.1	2.2	2.3	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers, principals and service providers		Staff completed the state guidelines for participation in the alternative assessment to make assessment participation decisions training and received certificates.	ISD Staff
Special Education Teachers, principals and service providers		Train on alternative assessment selection, alternate assessment types, alternate content standards, accommodations vs. modification of curriculum, planning of specialized curriculum and graduation pathways	ISD Staff

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessment	s?
--	----

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	1941
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	42
Projected Participation Rate	2.2%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
teachers, administrators, general education teachers	Train on alternative assessment selection, alternate assessment types, alternate content standards, accommodations vs. modification of curriculum, planning of specialized curriculum and graduation pathways	05/15/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the IS	D
or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative	÷
assessment tool based on the state guidelines?	

⊙ Yes O No

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

The Calhoun Intermediate School District will work collaboratively with Battle Creek Public Schools to provide training and support.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

• Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes O No

District Comments

Battle Creek Public Schools has the largest continuum of services to provide classrooms for the cognitively impaired in order to keep them in the district, rather than look for ISD placements in a more restrictive environment. Because of the continuum, the IEP team determines the MiAccess is a more appropriate assessment, using the state guidelines for an alternate assessment.



Member District: Athens Area Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1	0.7	0.7	No change
Mathematics	1	0.7	0.7	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.7	1.4	0	-



Member District: Harper Creek Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.2	1.1	1.3	+
Mathematics	1.2	1.1	1.3	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1	0.4	1.1	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers	03/06/2019	Staff completed a webinar regarding when to consider alternative testing provided by the State via email.	MDE
Special Education Teachers- Elem.	01/15/2020	PD was provided to sped teachers regarding alternative assessments, looked at district data, and handouts were provided at a district sped staff meeting.	ISD Staff
Special Education Teachers- MS	11/14/2019	PD was provided to sped teachers regarding alternative assessments, looked at district data, and handouts were provided at district sped staff meeting.	ISD Staff
Special Education Teachers-HS	01/16/2020	PD was provided to sped teachers regarding alternative assessments, looked at district data, and handouts were provided at a district sped staff meeting.	ISD Staff

O Yes	⊙ No
	listrict operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with at cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district withir or ISD)?
O Yes	⊙ No
Is there	other justification to provide?

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

Please describe other justification:

O No

Yes

Harper Creek runs a CI program at the Elementary level, has an intensive RR at the MS level that functions similarly to a CI program, and has a Secondary Functional Program at the HS. Some of our local districts ask to contract with us as they don't have these programs available in their local districts, which draws in more students that might be appropriate for alternative testing.

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	1550
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	13
Projected Participation Rate	0.8%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Admin. and Principals	Supervisor will review with Principals/Admin Team the considerations that needs to be made when determining a student is appropriate for an alternative assessment	05/28/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please pr	ovide the following assurances.
	ber district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the assessment.
Yes	O No
The mem	ber district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate ent.
• Yes	O No
The mem	ber district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.
Yes	O No



Member District: Homer Community School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0.7	0.7	0.2	_
Mathematics	1	0.7	0.2	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0.4	0	-



Member District: Lakeview Sch. District (Calhoun)

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2.1	1.7	1.3	_
Mathematics	2.1	1.7	1.3	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.9	1.7	2	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
District special education teachers		Illuminate User Group Meeting: Review all topics related to special education, including technical assistance and assessment related to the 1% cap Information shared with all district special	ISD Staff
District Principals and Coordinators		Special Ed Program and Eligibility-all programs including information related to the 1% cap.	ISD Staff

Did the district test fewer than	500 students total using	statewide assessments?
----------------------------------	--------------------------	------------------------

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	2166
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	25
Projected Participation Rate	1.2%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Special Ed Staff and District Administrators	Review of 1% flowchart, identification requirements, and assessments	03/13/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?
O Yes • No
Assurances
Please provide the following assurances.
The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.
● Yes O No
The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.
⊙ Yes O No
The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No



Member District: Mar Lee School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1	1	0.5	_
Mathematics	1	0.5	0	_
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Marshall Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2.9	1.8	2	+
Mathematics	2.8	1.7	1.8	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	3.5	1.3	2.6	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers, Ancillary Staff, Administration, Teacher Consultants		Online Alternative Assessment completed on MDE's website.	MDE
Special Education Teachers, Teacher Consultants, Ancillary Staff		Discussed at building level meetings and referenced in special education toolkits provided by the district on September 9th, September 11th, and October 14th.	Other A combination of ISD and district staff

Dia the	district test rewer	than 500 students t	otal using statewide	e assessments?
O Yes	⊙ No			

Did the district test former them 500 students total using state wide accessorate.

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

Please describe other justification:

MPS currently operates a K-12 continuum of services for students with cognitive impairments and other developmental delays. At the time, MPS continues to assess students individually as to whether a student will participate in the M-STEP or if their needs will be better met through another alternative assessment.

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	1387
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	23
Projected Participation Rate	1.7%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Teacher Consultants, Ancillary Staff, Administrators	After this testing cycle, May through August, take a look at all students course of study, proficiency on MI-ACCESS, district assessment data and re-evaluate the appropriateness of alternate assessment for each.	08/26/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

accomment to a case on the case gardenines.
O Yes ⊙ No
Assurances
Please provide the following assurances.
The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.
⊙ Yes O No
The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.
⊙ Yes O No
The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. $\ensuremath{ f \Theta}$ Yes $\ensuremath{ \ O}$ No



Member District: Pennfield Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0.7	1	0.1	-
Mathematics	1	1.3	0.1	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.4	0.8	0	-



Member District: Tekonsha Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2.9	2.8	1.6	-
Mathematics	3.6	3.5	1.6	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	5.1	1.3	0	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers	01/14/2020	Review the State Guidance Document"Should My Student Take the Alternate Assessment".	ISD Staff
Special Education Teachers	10/08/2019	Review the State Guidance Document"Should My Student Take the Alternate Assessment".	ISD Staff

Contributing Factors
Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? • Yes • O No
Select the option that applies:
● Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. ○ 8 or more students took MI-Access.
Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?
O Yes No
Is there other justification to provide?
⊙ Yes O No
Please describe other justification:

According to Calhoun Intermediate School District (ISD) 2019 Alternate Assessment Rate Report for ESSA One Percent Participation Cap data, Tekonsha Community Schools had a total of 126 test completers and of those 126, only 2 students took the alternate assessment.

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	122
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	1
Projected Participation Rate	0.8%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
1 3	Review state guidance documents, Should My Student Take the Alternate assessment	03/10/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

assessment tool based on the state guidelines?
O Yes ⊙ No
Assurances
Please provide the following assurances.
The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.
⊙ Yes O No
The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.
The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.
⊙ Yes O No



Member District: Union City Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.4	1.6	1.5	-
Mathematics	1.2	1.6	1.4	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.8	1.7	1.3	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers/Ancillary		Reviewed the State Assessment Guidance Documents and reviewed "Should My Student Take the Alternate Assessment"	ISD Staff
Special Education Teachers/Gen Ed Teachers/Ancillary		Reviewed the State Assessment Guidance Documents and reviewed "Should My Student Take the Alternate Assessment"	ISD Staff

Did the	district t	est fewer	than 500) students	total	using	statewide	assessme	ents?
O Yes	No								

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students wit	:h
significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district wit	:hin
a region or ISD)?	

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

Please describe other justification:

For the 2019 assessment cycle the district tested a total of 581 students in ELA and 9 students took the alternate assessment. In Math a total of 579 students were tested and 8 students took the alternate assessment. In social Studies the district tested a total of 224 students and 3 students took the alternate assessment.

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	582
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	6
Projected Participation Rate	1%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
•	Review state guidance documents, Should My Student Take the Alternate assessment	03/02/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

District Comments

The district is forming a district advisory committee, where one of their tasks will be developing guidance documents surrounding state assessment decisions.



Member District: Bellevue Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.8	1.3	0.7	-
Mathematics	1.2	1.3	0.7	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	2.2	1.4	1.8	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
SPED Supervisor	02/18/2020	1% alternative assessment	ISD Staff
SPED Implementors		Determinations including the 1% alternative assessments	ISD Staff

contributing ractors
Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? • Yes • O No
Select the option that applies:
● Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. O 8 or more students took MI-Access.
Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district withir a region or ISD)?
O Yes • No
Is there other justification to provide?
O Yes • No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	404
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	7
Projected Participation Rate	1.7%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
·	Special education supervisor will review the MDE Alternative Assessment materials.	06/01/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes O No



Member District: Olivet Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0.4	0.5	1.1	+
Mathematics	0.4	0.5	1.1	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.3	0	1.4	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
SpEd Teachers and Administration	02/15/2019	The MDE Alternative Assessment Webinar	ISD Staff
SpEd. Implementors		Determinations including the 1% Alternative assessment Power Point	ISD Staff
SpEd Teachers and Administration	01/17/2019	1% Alternative Assessment by SpEd Supervisor	ISD Staff

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes • No

Is there other justification to provide?

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	720
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	5
Projected Participation Rate	0.7%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Administration	Determination of Alternate Assessment students by using district data	02/24/2020
SpEd Teachers and Administration	Review MDE Alternate Assessment materials	05/29/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD
or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative
assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

• Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

• Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No



Member District: Battle Creek Montessori Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	4.6	0	2.2	+
Mathematics	4.6	0	2.2	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education staff		Discussion regarding MI-Access and the 1% target for students taking an alternate assessment.	District Staff
Special Education Teachers	01/09/2019	The district made the MI-Access webinar available to resource teachers to review when determining whether an alternate assessment is needed (which includes the interactive flowchart).	District Staff

Did the	e district	test f	ewer th	an 50	0 students	total	using	statewide	assessme	ents?
⊙ Yes	O No)								

Select the option that applies	Select	the	option	that	applies
--------------------------------	--------	-----	--------	------	---------

• Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access.	O 8 or more students took MI-Access.
---	--------------------------------------

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

0	Yes	•	No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	86
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	2
Projected Participation Rate	2.3%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
•	We will train our staff on the alternate assessment flow chart on March 6, 2020.	03/06/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No



Member District: Lakeside Charter School - Albion

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change