#### <u>Justification Forms Summary Report Directions</u>

This document provides an index of Justification Forms separated by ISD and the ISD's Member Districts. The index acts as a Table of Contents so you can easily navigate this PDF. To enable this feature, go to the "View" tab in your PDF viewer application menu bar and look for the option to show or enable the Bookmarks Panel. Once enabled, the Bookmarks panel should appear on the left side of the screen in the navigation pane. Some applications may require you to press the "Bookmarks" icon in the navigation panel to open the Bookmarks panel. Once the Bookmarks panel is open, click a district name to advance you to that district's justification form within the document. For additional assistance, contact the Catamaran Help Desk at <a href="mailto:help@catamaran.partners">help@catamaran.partners</a> or 877-474-9023 (Toll Free).



# Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form

#### **ISD Name: Ionia ISD**

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan's alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

| Data  | Total |
|---|-------|
| Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs)  | 9     |
| Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11) | 6     |

#### **Technical Assistance and Professional Development**

**Tier I**: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include any professional development and targeted assistance the ISD offered to <u>all</u> member districts, provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

| Date Occurred | Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development   |
|---------------|---|
|               | Addressed with LEA Special Ed Directors/Coordinators on January 5, 2018 & April 13, 2018. Provided information on their data, reviewed the information on "Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and the 1% Cap The MDE Guide for Intermediate School Districts (ISDs)" guidance document, discussed ways to provide training to LEA staff. |

**Tier II/III**: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include professional development and technical assistance the ISD provided for each specific member district that was flagged for disproportionality regarding assessment participation. Include technical assistance or professional development provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

| Member District              | Date Occurred | Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development   |
|------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Belding Area School District | 01/05/2018    | Addressed with LEA Special Ed Directors/Coordinators on January 5, 2018 & April 13, 2018. Provided information on their data, reviewed the information on "Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and the 1% Cap The MDE Guide for Intermediate School Districts (ISDs)" guidance document, discussed ways to provide training to LEA staff. (None of our districts were flagged for disproportionallity.) |
| Ionia Public Schools         | 01/05/2018    | Addressed with LEA Special Ed Directors/Coordinators on January 5, 2018 & April 13, 2018. Provided information on their data, reviewed the information on "Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and the 1% Cap The MDE Guide for Intermediate School Districts (ISDs)" guidance document, discussed ways to provide training to LEA staff. (None of our districts were flagged for disproportionatlity.) |
| Lakewood Public Schools      | 01/05/2018    | Addressed with LEA Special Ed Directors/Coordinators on January 5, 2018 & April 13, 2018. Provided information on their data, reviewed the information on "Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and the 1% Cap The MDE Guide for Intermediate School Districts (ISDs)" guidance document, discussed ways to provide training to LEA staff. (None of our districts were flagged for disproportionatlity.) |
| Portland Public Schools      | 01/05/2018    | Addressed with LEA Special Ed Directors/Coordinators on January 5, 2018 & April 13, 2018. Provided information on their data, reviewed the information on "Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and the 1% Cap The MDE Guide for Intermediate School Districts (ISDs)" guidance document, discussed ways to provide training to LEA staff. (None of our districts were flagged for disproportionatlity.) |
| Saranac Community Schools    | 01/05/2018    | Addressed with LEA Special Ed Directors/Coordinators on January 5, 2018 & April 13, 2018. Provided information on their data, reviewed the information on "Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and the 1% Cap The MDE Guide for Intermediate School Districts (ISDs)" guidance document, discussed ways to provide training to LEA staff. (None of our districts were flagged for disproportionatlity.) |
| Berlin Township S/D #3       | 01/05/2018    | Addressed with LEA Special Ed Directors/Coordinators on January 5, 2018 & April 13, 2018. Provided information on their data, reviewed the information on "Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and the 1% Cap The MDE Guide for Intermediate School Districts (ISDs)" guidance document, discussed ways to provide training to LEA staff. (None of our districts were flagged for disproportionatlity.) |
| Easton Township S/D #6       | 01/05/2018    | Addressed with LEA Special Ed Directors/Coordinators on January 5, 2018 & April 13, 2018. Provided information on their data, reviewed the information on "Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and the 1% Cap The MDE Guide for Intermediate School Districts (ISDs)" guidance document, discussed ways to provide training to LEA staff. (None of our districts were flagged for disproportionatlity.) |
| Ionia Township S/D #2        | 01/05/2018    | Addressed with LEA Special Ed Directors/Coordinators on January 5, 2018 & April 13, 2018. Provided information on their data, reviewed the information on "Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) and the 1% Cap The MDE Guide for Intermediate School Districts (ISDs)" guidance document, discussed ways to provide training to LEA staff. (None of our districts were flagged for disproportionatlity.) |

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The ISD has provided or will provide targeted assistance to member districts/PSAs that have been flagged for disproportionality for participation in the alternate assessment as outlined on the previous page.

Yes O No

Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the state assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.

Yes O No

Assessment selection is an IEP team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

Yes O No

What resources or support is needed from MDE for the ISD to carry out the plan?



**Member District: Ionia ISD** 

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area          | Spring 2017<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2018<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2019<br>Participation<br>Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 84.6                                 | 80                                   | 69.1                                 | _      |
| Mathematics           | 84.6                                 | 80                                   | 69.1                                 | -      |
| Science               | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A    |
| Social Studies        | 16.7                                 | 33.3                                 | 50                                   | +      |

#### **Professional Development Provided**

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

| Audience                   | Date<br>Occurred | Topics and Method of Training  | Delivered by |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|--------------|
| Special Education Teachers | 09/04/2019       | Trained on the flowchart   | ISD Staff    |
| Special Education Teachers |                  | Building administrator downloads and shares the Weekly Spotlight from MDE/OSEevery week. | ISD Staff    |

| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?  • Yes • O No   |
|--|
| Select the option that applies:  |
| O Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access.   • 8 or more students took MI-Access.   |
| Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)? |
| Yes O No   |

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table                                  | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall                | 55     |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access         | 38     |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | 55     |
| Adjusted Total   | 0      |
| Adjusted Participation Number                          | 0      |
| Adjusted Rate  | 0%     |

Is there other justification to provide?

⊙ Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

Any diploma seeking student will take the M-Step. The majority of our student population is moderately to severely cognitively impaired and/or having severe multiple impairment.. Our numbers ebb and flow from year to year causing our data to fluctuate.

#### **2020 Projected Participation Rate**

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate  | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11  | 55     |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 38     |
| Projected Participation Rate  | 69.1%  |

#### **Local Plan**

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience                                      | Topics and Method of Training   | Date       |
|---|---|------------|
| All   | Any diploma seeking student will take the M-Step. The majority of our student population is moderately to severely cognitively impaired and/or having severe multiple impairment. Our numbers ebb and flow from year to year causing our data to fluctuate. | 02/24/2020 |
| Special Ed Teachers                           | Continue to review the MDE Assessment Flowchart, especially for newer staff.  | 09/03/2020 |
| Special Ed Teachers, parents, ancillary staff | Continuous assessment feedback during our IEP Facilitation Process (at every IEP)   | 02/24/2020 |

#### **Resources and Technical Assistance**

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

#### **Assurances**

| Please provide the following assurances.  |
|---|
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment. |
| ⊙ Yes O No  |
| The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.              |
| ⊙ Yes O No  |
| The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.                         |
| ⊙ Yes O No  |



#### **Member District: Ionia Public Schools**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area          | Spring 2017<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2018<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2019<br>Participation<br>Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 5.2                                  | 2.4                                  | 1.9                                  | -      |
| Mathematics           | 3.9                                  | 2.3                                  | 2                                    | -      |
| Science               | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A    |
| Social Studies        | 4.5                                  | 2.9                                  | 1.7                                  | -      |

#### **Professional Development Provided**

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

| Audience                    | Date<br>Occurred | Topics and Method of Training                           | Delivered by   |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---|----------------|
| Special Education Teachers  |                  | Review of Guidelines for Participation in MI-<br>Access | District Staff |
| Ancillary Service Providers | 08/19/2019       | Review of Guidelines for Participation in MI-<br>Access | ISD Staff      |

| Did the | district | test f | ewer | than | 500 | students | total | using | statewide | assessm | ients? |
|---------|----------|--------|------|------|-----|----------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|--------|
| O Yes   | ⊙ No     | )      |      |      |     |          |       |       |           |         |        |

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

⊙ Yes O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table                                  | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall                | 1526   |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access         | 29     |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | 2      |
| Adjusted Total   | 1524   |
| Adjusted Participation Number                          | 27     |
| Adjusted Rate  | 1.8%   |

Is there other justification to provide?

⊙ Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

15 out of the adjusted number of 27 students are on a Certificate of Completion Program. Parents have signed the Acknowledgement of Certificate of Completion Form for these students. 4 of the 15 students have Autism. 2 are completely non-verbal. 2 have limited verbal abilities and very limited areas of interest making the MI-Access assessment most appropriate..

#### **2020 Projected Participation Rate**

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate  | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11  | 1576   |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 28     |
| Projected Participation Rate  | 1.8%   |

#### **Local Plan**

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience                   | Topics and Method of Training                       | Date       |
|----------------------------|---|------------|
| Special Education Teachers | Review the Assessment Selection Guidelines Training | 03/03/2020 |

#### **Resources and Technical Assistance**

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

#### **Assurances**

| Please provide the following assurances.  |
|---|
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment. |
| ⊙ Yes O No  |
| The member district allows IFP team members to decide which students take an alternate                          |

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

• Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No



#### **Member District: Belding Area School District**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area          | Spring 2017<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2018<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2019<br>Participation<br>Rate | Change    |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 2.8                                  | 1.7                                  | 1.5                                  | -         |
| Mathematics           | 3                                    | 1.5                                  | 1.5                                  | No change |
| Science               | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A       |
| Social Studies        | 3.8                                  | 1.5                                  | 0.5                                  | -         |

#### **Professional Development Provided**

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

| Audience                | Date<br>Occurred | Topics and Method of Training   | Delivered by   |
|-------------------------|------------------|---|----------------|
| Special education staff |                  | PDF of guidance document shared with special education staff via e-mail                   | District Staff |
| Special education staff |                  | Link to interactive decision making guide shared with special education staff via e-mail. | District Staff |

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

#### **2020 Projected Participation Rate**

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate  | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11  | 886    |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 13     |
| Projected Participation Rate  | 1.5%   |

#### **Local Plan**

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training  | Date       |
|----------|--|------------|
| •        | Staff will review the PDF guidance document and interactive decision making tool | 02/12/2020 |

#### **Resources and Technical Assistance**

| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISE or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines? |
|--|
| O Yes • No   |
| Assurances   |
| Please provide the following assurances.   |
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.  |
| ⊙ Yes O No   |
| The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.   |
| ⊙ Yes O No   |
| The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.  |

**ISD Comments** (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Beth,

• Yes • O No

Were you able to access the "Assessment Data Table?" I'm not seeing any data.



#### **Member District: Lakewood Public Schools**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area          | Spring 2017<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2018<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2019<br>Participation<br>Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 1.1                                  | 1.3                                  | 1.6                                  | +      |
| Mathematics           | 1.1                                  | 1.3                                  | 1.6                                  | +      |
| Science               | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A    |
| Social Studies        | 1.2                                  | 1.2                                  | 2.7                                  | +      |

#### **Professional Development Provided**

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

| Audience                                  | Date<br>Occurred | Topics and Method of Training  | Delivered by   |
|---|------------------|--|----------------|
| Special Education Teachers and Principals |                  | Alternative Assessment training, face-to-face inservice                | ISD Staff      |
| District Administration                   |                  | Special Education Compliance, face-to-face administrative team meeting | District Staff |

| Did the district test fewer than ! | 500 students total using | statewide assessments? |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

#### **2020 Projected Participation Rate**

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate  | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11  | 923    |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 23     |
| Projected Participation Rate  | 2.5%   |

#### **Local Plan**

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience                          | Topics and Method of Training | Date       |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| Principals                        | State Assessment Selection    | 03/18/2020 |
| SE teachers and service providers | State Assessment Selection    | 05/01/2020 |

#### **Resources and Technical Assistance**

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

#### **Assurances**

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes O No

#### **District Comments**

Lakewood Public Schools will continue to ensure that team decision-making around state assessment selection is done in the best interest of the student.

**ISD Comments** (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Jay,

Were you able to access the "Assessment Data Table?" I'm not seeing any data?



#### **Member District: Portland Public Schools**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

| Content Area          | Spring 2017<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2018<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2019<br>Participation<br>Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 1.5                                  | 1.1                                  | 0.7                                  | _      |
| Mathematics           | 1.6                                  | 1.1                                  | 0.6                                  | -      |
| Science               | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A    |
| Social Studies        | 1.6                                  | 0.7                                  | 0                                    | -      |



#### **Member District: Saranac Community Schools**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area          | Spring 2017<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2018<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2019<br>Participation<br>Rate | Change    |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 2.1                                  | 1.4                                  | 1.2                                  | _         |
| Mathematics           | 1.7                                  | 0.8                                  | 0.8                                  | No change |
| Science               | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A       |
| Social Studies        | 3.1                                  | 0.5                                  | 1                                    | +         |

#### **Professional Development Provided**

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

| Audience        | Date<br>Occurred | Topics and Method of Training   | Delivered by   |
|-----------------|------------------|---|----------------|
| SE Teachers     | 10/28/2019       | SAT/MME/PSAT Implementation - how to utilize accommodations rather than taking a MI Access  | ISD Staff      |
| SE Coordinators | 10/04/2019       | Data presented regarding 1% caps and its impact for each district when MI Access is is administered. Guidelines discussed on determining which State assessment is appropriate were shared. | District Staff |

| Did the | district | test | fewer | than | 500 | students | total | using | statewide | assessme | nts? |
|---------|----------|------|-------|------|-----|----------|-------|-------|-----------|----------|------|
| • Yes   | O No     | )    |       |      |     |          |       |       |           |          |      |

Select the option that applies:

| <ul><li>Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access.</li><li>O 8 o</li></ul> | r more students took MI-Access. |
|---|---------------------------------|
|---|---------------------------------|

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

| 0      | Yes  | $\odot$ | No  |
|--------|------|---------|-----|
| $\sim$ | 1 63 | $\sim$  | 110 |

Is there other justification to provide?

| 0      | Yes | • | No  |
|--------|-----|---|-----|
| $\sim$ | 163 |   | 110 |

#### **2020 Projected Participation Rate**

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate  | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11  | 499    |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 4      |
| Projected Participation Rate  | 0.8%   |

#### **Local Plan**

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training   | Date       |
|----------|---|------------|
| ·        | In person training on the 1% cap and how to better determine which students need MI-Access verses accommodations. Training will include MDE guidelines, defining what each accommodation entails, and how we share this information to staff and parents. | 03/12/2020 |

#### **Resources and Technical Assistance**

| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the I | SD |
|---|----|
| or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summativ    | vе |
| assessment tool based on the state guidelines?  |    |

O Yes O No

#### **Assurances**

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

• Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

• Yes • O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

**ISD Comments** (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Beth,

Were you able to access the "Assessment Data Table?" I'm not seeing any data?



Member District: Berlin Township S/D #3

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

| Content Area          | Spring 2017<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2018<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2019<br>Participation<br>Rate | Change    |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 0                                    | 0                                    | 0                                    | No change |
| Mathematics           | 0                                    | 0                                    | 0                                    | No change |
| Science               | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A       |
| Social Studies        | 0                                    | 0                                    | 0                                    | No change |



**Member District: Easton Township S/D #6** 

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

| Content Area          | Spring 2017<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2018<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2019<br>Participation<br>Rate | Change    |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 0                                    | 0                                    | 0                                    | No change |
| Mathematics           | 0                                    | 0                                    | 0                                    | No change |
| Science               | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A       |
| Social Studies        | 0                                    | 0                                    | 0                                    | No change |



Member District: Ionia Township S/D #2

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

| Content Area          | Spring 2017<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2018<br>Participation<br>Rate | Spring 2019<br>Participation<br>Rate | Change    |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 0                                    | 0                                    | 0                                    | No change |
| Mathematics           | 0                                    | 0                                    | 0                                    | No change |
| Science               | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A                                  | N/A       |
| Social Studies        | 0                                    | 0                                    | 0                                    | No change |