<u>Justification Forms Summary Report Directions</u>

This document provides an index of Justification Forms separated by ISD and the ISD's Member Districts. The index acts as a Table of Contents so you can easily navigate this PDF. To enable this feature, go to the "View" tab in your PDF viewer application menu bar and look for the option to show or enable the Bookmarks Panel. Once enabled, the Bookmarks panel should appear on the left side of the screen in the navigation pane. Some applications may require you to press the "Bookmarks" icon in the navigation panel to open the Bookmarks panel. Once the Bookmarks panel is open, click a district name to advance you to that district's justification form within the document. For additional assistance, contact the Catamaran Help Desk at help@catamaran.partners or 877-474-9023 (Toll Free).



Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2019-2020

ISD Name: Kalamazoo RESA

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan's alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

| Data | Total |
|---|-------|
| Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) | 18 |
| Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11) | 10 |

Technical Assistance and Professional Development

Tier I: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include any professional development and targeted assistance the ISD offered to <u>all</u> member districts, provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

| Date Occurred | Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development |
|---------------|---|
| 10/17/2019 | All directors were provided the MDE Assessment Documents/ tools. |
| 11/07/2019 | Case studies from November 1 MDE training on state assessment were provided to Regional Directors for use within their districts. |
| 03/05/2019 | MDE Assessment Documents/ tools were shared with PSAs. |
| | Each district and PSA that exceeded the 1% CAP on state assessment was provided an individualized memo related to MDE/ ISD recommendations. |
| 05/07/2019 | All users of the IEP system, beginning in May, had access to MDE interactive tool and flowchart. |

Tier II/III: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include professional development and technical assistance the ISD provided for each specific member district that was flagged for disproportionality regarding assessment participation. Include technical assistance or professional development provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

| Member District | Date Occurred | Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development |
|--|---------------|--|
| Comstock Public Schools | 08/29/2019 | Information related to state assessment (TA documents from MDE) was shared at the back to school and district wide meetings with all special education providers. |
| Forest Academy | 09/12/2019 | District administrators and special education providers PRACTICED using the MDE State Assessment flowchart and students with IEPs to determine appropriate assessments. This helped build awareness and considerations for future IEP meetings. |
| Galesburg-Augusta Community Schools | 01/15/2019 | The district special education providers reviewed state guidelines and worked through case studies as examples. |
| Gull Lake Community Schools | 11/01/2019 | District administrators were provided with the 1% information. Google Documents covering state assessment were created. |
| Kalamazoo Public Schools | 09/25/2019 | The Special Education Director reviewed MiAccess and State Assessment requirements with staff. The district also included this information in its Special Education News Blast. |
| Lakeside Charter School - Kalamazoo | 05/07/2019 | The district had a transition in administrative staffing. The district does use the IEP system that includes the state guidance for selection of an alternate assessment and providers are encouraged to use these tools. |
| Paramount Charter Academy | 02/05/2019 | Administrators were provided MDE Resources for State Assessment Selection and assisted in the coordination and planning of training for special education staff. Each special education teachers was provided the MDE guidance and were required to participate in the online interactive learning. Each teacher was required to provide a certificate of completion to their administrator. |
| Parchment School District | 05/08/2019 | The district shared the MDE/ ISD correspondence related to alternate assessment along with an attachmentShould My Student Take the Alternate Assessment. In June they created Google Resources. A handout for parents was developed in October. |
| Schoolcraft Community Schools | 02/20/2019 | The district shared the MDE flowchart with teachers and in February 2020 the flowchart was shared with administrators. |
| Vicksburg Community Schools | 02/20/2019 | The MDE Flowchart was shared with teachers and Principals. The 1% information was also reviewed and discussed with district special education supervisors. |

What resources or support is needed from MDE for the ISD to carry out the plan?

Having student-level data available through Catamaran would be very helpful.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

| The ISD | has prov | ided o | r will pr | ovide ta | argeted | assistar | nce to | member | districts/PSAs | that have |
|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|--------|------------|----------------|-----------|
| been flag | gged for | disprop | ortiona | ality for | particip | ation in | the a | Iternate a | assessment as | outlined |
| on the p | revious p | age. | | | | | | | | |

⊙ Yes O No

Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the state assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.

⊙ Yes O No

Assessment selection is an IEP team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

• Yes O No



Member District: Kalamazoo RESA

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 73.3 | 70.7 | 78.1 | + |
| Mathematics | 72.3 | 71.2 | 78.6 | + |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 29.4 | 25 | 26.9 | + |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|---------------|------------------|---|--------------|
| ALL IEP users | | MDE updated documents of state assessment go live in PSSP site | ISD Staff |
| Directors | | MDE updated Assessment documents provided (Included KRESA) | ISD Staff |
| Directors | | Case Studies from November 1 MDE training provided (Included KRESA) | ISD Staff |

| 3 |
|--|
| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? • Yes • O No |
| Select the option that applies: O Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. • 8 or more students took MI-Access. |
| Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)? |
| ⊙ Yes O No |

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

| Assessment Data Table | Number |
|--|--------|
| Total Number of students tested overall | 155 |
| Total Number of students tested with MI-Access | 121 |
| Students in center-based program from outside district | 155 |
| Adjusted Total | 0 |
| Adjusted Participation Number | 0 |
| Adjusted Rate | 0% |

Is there other justification to provide?

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 193 |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 145 |
| Projected Participation Rate | 75.1% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|----------------------|--|------------|
| Directors | Review of state documents, online tool, and case studies | 06/30/2020 |
| KRESA Administrators | Review of state documents, online tool, and case studies | 06/30/2020 |

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

Access to student data in Catamaran

Assurances

| Please pr | rovide the following assurances. |
|-----------|---|
| | nber district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the assessment. |
| Yes | O No |
| The mem | nber district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate ent. |
| Yes | O No |
| The mem | nber district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. |
| Yes | O No |



Member District: Oakland Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |



Member District: Paramount Charter Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | + |
| Mathematics | 2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | + |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 2.4 | 0 | 7.8 | + |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|--|------------------|--|----------------|
| Special Education Teachers and Service Providers | | Technical assistance providing access to MDE resources and online training to team. Team members then participated individually in online training and shared certificate of completion with administration. | District Staff |
| Administrator over SE | 02/05/2019 | Technical assistance providing access to MDE resources and online training to team to coordinate and plan for training of SE team members. | District Staff |

| Did the | district tes | st fewer t | than 500 |) students | total | using | statewide | assessmen | ts? |
|---------|--------------|------------|----------|------------|-------|-------|-----------|-----------|-----|
| • Yes | O No | | | | | | | | |

Select the option that applies:

| • Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. O 8 | B or more students took MI-Access. |
|---|------------------------------------|
|---|------------------------------------|

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

| O Yes | \odot | No |
|-------|---------|----|
|-------|---------|----|

Is there other justification to provide?

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 224 |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 5 |
| Projected Participation Rate | 2.2% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|--|--|------------|
| Special Education Teachers and Providers | Technical assistance and training on use of MDE Online Training and resources for appropriate assessment selection | 03/05/2020 |
| Building Administrative Team | Sharing and processing "Should My Student Take Alternate Assessment" resource to support effective IEP participation | 03/12/2020 |
| IEP Teams | Embed Use of "Should My Student Take Alternate Assessment" Flowchart when determining appropriate assessment into IEP Checklist and Agenda | 03/12/2020 |

Resources and Technical Assistance

| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISE |
|---|
| or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative |
| assessment tool based on the state guidelines? |

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

District Comments

The district will track student progress on MI-Access and identify if/where students are taking Functional Independence and scoring "Attained" and "Surpassed" and discuss in each student's IEP to determine most appropriate assessment. District will also cross compare students' alternate assessment with their instructional days to ensure alignment between content standards taught and state assessment taken.

ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Please add the following information and resubmit:

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table

Total Number of students tested overall: 226

Total Number of students tested with MI-Access: 6



Member District: Kalamazoo Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.4 | + |
| Mathematics | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.3 | - |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 1.3 | 0.9 | 1 | + |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|--|------------------|--|----------------|
| Special Education Teachers | | MI-Access review and State Assessment Review as well as criteria for | District Staff |
| Service Providers and Special Education Teachers | | Weekly newsletter, monthly meetings with information and web-based training regarding 1% assessment. | District Staff |

| Did the | district test fewer | r than 500 |) students | total ι | using s | tatewide a | assessmen | ıts? |
|---------|---------------------|------------|------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|------|
| O Yes | ⊙ No | | | | | | | |

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 6822 |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 104 |
| Projected Participation Rate | 1.5% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|--|--|------------|
| District principals and administrators | MI-Access assessment selection and specialized instruction - What building administrators need to know? Professional Development at Elementary and Secondary Principals meetings in September and October 2020/ | 10/26/2020 |
| Special Education teachers and ancillary staff | MI-Access assessment selection and specialized instruction - Alternate content standards and who to decide who is appropriate for assessing with MI-Access. Special education department guidelines and training on giving the assessment. | 12/11/2020 |

Resources and Technical Assistance

| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the IS | SD |
|--|----|
| or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summativ | ⁄e |
| assessment tool based on the state guidelines? | |

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No



Member District: Climax-Scotts Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0 | _ |
| Mathematics | 0.7 | 1.1 | 0 | - |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 1 | 1.6 | 0 | - |



Member District: Comstock Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | articipation Participation Participation | | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|-----|--------|
| English Language Arts | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.3 | _ |
| Mathematics | 3 | 2.7 | 2.3 | - |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.8 | - |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|----------------------------|------------------|--|----------------|
| Special Education Teachers | 08/29/2019 | Back to School Special Education Meeting | District Staff |
| Special Education Teacher | 01/24/2020 | District Wide Special Education Meeting | District Staff |

| Did the district test fewer than 500 students • Yes • O No | s total using statewide assessments? |
|---|---|
| Select the option that applies: | |
| O Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. | ● 8 or more students took MI-Access. |
| • | gram or regional collaborative for students with tudents from in and outside of the district within |
| O Yes ⊙ No | |
| Is there other justification to provide? | |
| O Yes ⊙ No | |
| | |

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 816 |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 19 |
| Projected Participation Rate | 2.3% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|
| Special Education Teachers | Appropriate State Assessments | 08/27/2020 |

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

| 0 | Yes | • | No |
|---|-----|---|----|
| | | | |

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

| (•) | Yes | \circ | No |
|------------|-----|---------|-----|
| \odot | 165 | \cup | INO |

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

• Yes • O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Please add the following information into this section and resubmit:

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table

Total Number of students tested overall: 832

Total Number of students tested with MI-Access: 19



Member District: Galesburg-Augusta Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Spring 2018 Participation Participation Rate Rate | | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|---|-----|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | + |
| Mathematics | 0.7 | 1.5 | 2.2 | + |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 1.3 | 1.6 | 3.6 | + |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|--|------------------|--|----------------|
| Special Education Teachers and Service Providers | 01/15/2019 | Taught them how to use the state guideline document and worked through several case studies as examples. | District Staff |
| Special Education Teachers and Service Providers | 11/08/2019 | Reviewed the state guideline document and worked through case studies as examples. | District Staff |

| Did | the | district | test | fewer | than | 500 | students | total | using | statewide | assessr | nents? |
|-----|-----|----------|------|-------|------|-----|----------|-------|-------|-----------|---------|--------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 499 |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 3 |
| Projected Participation Rate | 0.6% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| SE Teachers | Reviewing state pathways documnet | 11/08/2019 |

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes O No

ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Please add the following information and resubmit:

Contributing Factors

Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access: NO

8 or more students took MI-Access: YES

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table

Total Number of students tested overall: 542

Total Number of students tested with MI-Access: 12



Member District: Gull Lake Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.3 | - |
| Mathematics | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.4 | No change |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 1 | 1.1 | 1.6 | + |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|----------------|------------------|--|----------------|
| Administrators | 11/01/2019 | Waiver and 1% cap | MDE |
| Teacher | | Google Documents covering assessment using Mi Access | District Staff |

| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessme | nts | S | ? | , |
|--|-----|---|---|---|
|--|-----|---|---|---|

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 1564 |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 21 |
| Projected Participation Rate | 1.3% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| Itinerant Staff | alternate assessment and selection | 02/14/2020 |
| Special Education Teachers | alternate assessment and selection | 03/30/2020 |

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes O No

ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Contributing Factors

Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access: NO

8 or more students took MI-Access: YES

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data

Total Number of students tested overall: 1559

Total Number of students tested with MI-Access: 21



Member District: Parchment School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 2.8 | 3 | 2.7 | _ |
| Mathematics | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.5 | + |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 2.8 | 3.8 | 1.7 | - |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|--|------------------|--|----------------|
| Case Managers/Special Education Providers | 05/08/2019 | Email with attachments- Change to IEP Document to include Interactive Decision Making Tool & Doc/Should My Student Take the Alternate Assessment- MDE, Provided guidance to staff regarding these documents as well as a forwarded email correspondence from the ISD/KRESA | District Staff |
| Case Managers/Special Education Providers | 06/27/2019 | Resource Guide/ Shared Folder in Special Education Google Drive- Links to MDE guidance documents, the Interactive Decision Making Tool, Parent Hand-out, etc. | District Staff |
| IEP Team(s), Case Manager, Parent | 10/30/2019 | IEP teams provided hand-outs to family with information related to the Alternate Assessment and the decision making process | District Staff |
| Case Managers/Special Education Providers | 11/01/2019 | Staff Training- Professional Development in person. Reviewed documents and guidance related to the 1%, links to the Interactive Decision Making Tool/MDE, etc. | District Staff |

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes ⊙ No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 860 |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 24 |
| Projected Participation Rate | 2.8% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|----------|--|------------|
| | Refresher training on how to utilize the decision-making tool for assessment selection. | 06/12/2020 |
| | Professional development on reviewing standardized scores from spring to make decisions for assessments in the current year. | 11/06/2020 |

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

| assessment tool based on the state guidelines? |
|---|
| O Yes ⊙ No |
| Assurances |
| Please provide the following assurances. |
| The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment. |
| |
| The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment. |
| ⊙ Yes O No |
| The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. |
| ⊙ Yes O No |

ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Please add the following information and resubmit:

Contributing Factors

Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access: NO

8 or more students took MI-Access: YES

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table

Total Number of students tested overall: 817

Total Number of students tested with MI-Access: 22



Member District: Portage Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 1.1 | 1 | 0.8 | - |
| Mathematics | 1.1 | 1 | 0.8 | - |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.9 | - |



Member District: Schoolcraft Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | + |
| Mathematics | 1.4 | 0.9 | 1.3 | + |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 3 | 0.5 | 0.8 | + |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|--|------------------|---|----------------|
| Special Education itinerant and teachers | | SAS, State Assessments, use of PowerSchool Special Education flowchart from MDE; face to face department meetings with all special education teachers and ancillary staff | District Staff |
| Special Education Administration | 02/20/2019 | Review of the MDE flowchart for choosing Mi- Access participation and the 1% cap | ISD Staff |

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 562 |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 7 |
| Projected Participation Rate | 1.2% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|----------|---|------------|
| | State Assessments and the use of decision flowchart from MDE; Reminders at beginning of year topics | 09/04/2020 |

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Please add the following information and resubmit:

Contributing Factors

Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access:YES

8 or more students took MI-Access: NO

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data

Total Number of students tested overall: 560

Total Number of students tested with MI-Access: 7



Member District: Vicksburg Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 0.9 | 1.1 | 1.2 | + |
| Mathematics | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.2 | + |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 0.9 | 1.1 | 2 | + |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|---|----------------|
| Special Education Teachers | 02/20/2019 | SAS, State Assessments, use of PowerSchool Special Education flowchart from MDE; face to face department meetings with all special education teachers and ancillary staff | ISD Staff |
| District Principals | 02/26/2019 | Overview of District Chair meeting info - slides Agendas - EL, SEC Principal team agenda, 2.26.19 VCS; at all VCS Principal Meeting; face to face | District Staff |
| Special Education Administration | 02/20/2019 | 1% Cap of MiAccess; face to face | District Staff |

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 1389 |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 6 |
| Projected Participation Rate | 0.4% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|------------------------------|--|------------|
| SE teachers, itinerant staff | State Assessments and the use of decision flowchart from MDE | 09/04/2020 |

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications) *Please add the following information and resubmit*

Contributing Factors

Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access: NO

8 or more students took MI-Access: YES

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data

Total Number of students tested overall: 1382

Total Number of students tested with MI-Access: 17



Member District: Youth Advancement Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |



Member District: Forest Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.9 | _ |
| Mathematics | 1.8 | 1.6 | 0.9 | - |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | No change |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|-------------------------|------------------|---|----------------|
| special education staff | 09/12/2019 | Special edcuation staff meeting - used the "Should my student take the alternative assessment document " from MDE to go through all of our students who are currently taking the MI ACCESS alternative assessment | District Staff |
| administration | 09/12/2019 | Admin meeting. Used the "Should my student take the alternative assessment document" provided by MDE to go through the steps with administrators so that they are aware when attending IEPs for students in their buildings | District Staff |

Contributing Factors

| Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? • Yes • O No |
|--|
| Select the option that applies: |
| ● Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. O 8 or more students took MI-Access. |
| Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)? |
| O Yes ⊙ No |
| Is there other justification to provide? ⊙ Yes O No |
| |

Please describe other justification:

Because we have such a low testing population (approx 100 each year) when we have even 0-5 students who appropriately need to take the alternative assessment it puts our percentage higher than 1% every time.

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 100 |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 3 |
| Projected Participation Rate | 3% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|----------|---|------------|
| · | Review "Should my students take the Alternative Assessment" doc from MDE updated in November of 2019. Look at each student who is CI and assigned to take the MI ACCESS | 03/05/2020 |

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

| 0 | Yes | \odot | No |
|---|-----|---------|----|
| | | | |

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

| • | Yes | \circ | No |
|--------------|-----|---------|-----|
| \mathbf{C} | 162 | \sim | 110 |

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

| 0 | No |
|---|----|
| | 0 |

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

| • | Yes | 0 | No |
|--------|-----|---|----|
| \sim | | _ | |

District Comments

IEP teams decide what assessment a students will participate in based on what is appropriate for the individual student. Because of low testing population, we have some students that have enrolled that are 1-2 standard deviations below normal IQ. Due to this cognitive functioning, the alternative assessment is most appropriate.

ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Please add the following information and resubmit:

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table

Total Number of students tested overall: 109

Total Number of students tested with MI-Access: 1



Member District: Evergreen Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |



Member District: Lakeside Charter School - Kalamazoo

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| English Language Arts | 3.9 | 2.1 | 4.8 | + |
| Mathematics | 3.8 | 2.1 | 4.8 | + |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 4.4 | 3 | 0 | - |

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

O Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

| Audience | Date Occurred | Topics and Method of Training | Delivered by |
|---------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| SPED teachers | 05/07/2019 | links in PSSP to state documents | ISD Staff |
| NA | 03/06/2020 | NA | ISD Staff |

| Contril | outing Factors |
|-----------------------|--|
| Did the c | listrict test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? |
| Yes | O No |
| Select th | e option that applies: |
| • Fewe | r than 8 students took MI-Access. O 8 or more students took MI-Access. |
| | listrict operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with at cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within or ISD)? |
| O Yes | ⊙ No |
| Is there | other justification to provide? |
| ⊙ Yes | O No |
| | |

Please describe other justification:

This is a school that takes students from all over the country for issues related to behavior. Students come with various needs and abilities. The district does its best to accommodate needs and abilities.

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

| Projected Participation Rate | Number |
|---|--------|
| Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11 | 56 |
| Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area | 0 |
| Projected Participation Rate | 0% |

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

| Audience | Topics and Method of Training | Date |
|----------|-------------------------------|------------|
| teachers | State Assessment | 06/17/2020 |

Resources and Technical Assistance

| Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISI |
|---|
| or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative |
| assessment tool based on the state guidelines? |

⊙ Yes O No

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

ISD will support training

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

• Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No



Member District: Kalamazoo Covenant Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |



Member District: Augusta Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

| Content Area | Spring 2017 Participation Rate | Spring 2018 Participation Rate | Spring 2019 Participation Rate | Change |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| English Language Arts | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |
| Mathematics | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |
| Science | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Social Studies | 0 | 0 | 0 | No change |