<u>Justification Forms Summary Report Directions</u>

This document provides an index of Justification Forms separated by ISD and the ISD's Member Districts. The index acts as a Table of Contents so you can easily navigate this PDF. To enable this feature, go to the "View" tab in your PDF viewer application menu bar and look for the option to show or enable the Bookmarks Panel. Once enabled, the Bookmarks panel should appear on the left side of the screen in the navigation pane. Some applications may require you to press the "Bookmarks" icon in the navigation panel to open the Bookmarks panel. Once the Bookmarks panel is open, click a district name to advance you to that district's justification form within the document. For additional assistance, contact the Catamaran Help Desk at help@catamaran.partners or 877-474-9023 (Toll Free).



Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2019-2020

ISD Name: Manistee ISD

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan's alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Data	Total
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs)	7
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11)	5

Technical Assistance and Professional Development

Tier I: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include any professional development and targeted assistance the ISD offered to <u>all</u> member districts, provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
02/18/2020	We rolled out the results to all superintendents.

Tier II/III: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include professional development and technical assistance the ISD provided for each specific member district that was flagged for disproportionality regarding assessment participation. Include technical assistance or professional development provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Member District	Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
Bear Lake Schools	02/24/2020	Shared Results with local district principals and told them we would meet with them individually and to look for a calendar invite coming soon.
Casman Alternative Academy	02/24/2020	Shared Results with local district principals and told them we would meet with them individually and to look for a calendar invite coming soon.
Kaleva Norman Dickson School District	02/24/2020	Shared Results with local district principals and told them we would meet with them individually and to look for a calendar invite coming soon.
Onekama Consolidated Schools	02/24/2020	Shared Results with local district principals and told them we would meet with them individually and to look for a calendar invite coming soon.

What resources or support is needed from MDE for the ISD to carry out the plan?

N/A

Assurances

Yes O No.

Please provide the following assurances.

The ISE	D has provide	ed or will	provide ta	argeted	assistance	e to mer	nber d	listricts/PSAs	that have
been fla	agged for dis	sproportio	onality for	particip	ation in th	e altern	ate as	sessment as	outlined
on the	previous pag	je.							

Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the state assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.

O Yes O No

Assessment selection is an IEP team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

• Yes O No



Member District: Manistee ISD

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	95.8	95.5	100	+
Mathematics	95.8	95.5	100	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	83.3	100	100	No change

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Teachers	09/23/2019	Professional Development on Alternate State Assessment	ISD Staff
Administrative Team		Met with MDE representatives to discuss the new form of applying for the 1% Cap	MDE

Contributing Factors

3	
Did the district test fewer than 500 students	s total using statewide assessments?
⊙ Yes O No	
Select the option that applies:	
O Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access.	● 8 or more students took MI-Access.
	ram or regional collaborative for students with tudents from in and outside of the district within

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	24
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	24
Students in center-based program from outside district	0
Adjusted Total	24
Adjusted Participation Number	24
Adjusted Rate	100%

Is there other justification to provide?

⊙ Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	23
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	22
Projected Participation Rate	95.7%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
center based special education teachers	staff meeting	02/25/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.
The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.
⊙ Yes O No
The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.
⊙ Yes O No
The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.
⊙ Yes O No



Member District: Casman Alternative Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Bear Lake Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Kaleva Norman Dickson School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.9	2.5	1.8	-
Mathematics	1.9	2.5	1.8	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	3.5	4	0.8	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Ed Teachers	04/02/2019	Agenda shared with links to training videos, MDE site information with guides, Supports and Accommodations Guidance documents, tutorials, AIG, TAM	District Staff
General Ed Teachers & Aides	04/05/2019	Agenda shared with links to training videos, MDE site information with guides, Supports and Accommodations Guidance documents, tutorials, AIG, TAM tutorials, AIG, TAM	District Staff
Parent	05/21/2019	Parents were informed of student taking MI Access and implications regarding the completion of requirements for a high school diploma.	District Staff

	comple diplom	letion of requirements for a high na.	school	
Contributing Factor	'S			
Did the district test fewer • Yes • O No	than 500 studer	nts total using statewide as	sessmen	ts?
Select the option that app	olies:			
• Fewer than 8 students	s took MI-Access.	. O 8 or more students t	ook MI-A	ccess.
		ogram or regional collabora students from in and outs		
O Yes ⊙ No				
Is there other justification • Yes • No	າ to provide?			
Please describe other just	ification:			
Sp 19 MI Access FI / 5 st		students on a modified su	rriculum	functioning as

2 students with a cognitive impairment. 3 students on a modified curriculum, functioning as if cognitively impaired

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	268
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	5
Projected Participation Rate	1.9%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
General Ed Teachers	The KND Assessment Coordinator offers several spring training dates to staff covering what happens before, during, and after testing. An agenda is provided to cover new updates and changes, including links to the 2019-2020 Assessment Integrity Guide, Test Administration Manual, and Guide to State Assessments. Links to tutorials are also provided.	04/02/2019
Special Ed Teachers	Special Ed staff is provided additional training to cover new updates, and changes with the MI Access assessment. Agenda provides links to the 2019-20 Supports and Accommodations Guidance Document and Essential Elements. Links to tutorials are also provided. The Assessment Coordinator and Special Ed District Designee is the same person. The Assessment Coordinator is available during the assessment window to provide assessment materials, answer questions, and offer support.	04/05/2019
Parents	The KND staff and parents determine the most appropriate assessment for special education students. Parents are informed of implications of taking the alternate assessment and the requirements for a high school diploma	11/07/2019

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Assurances

Please pr	rovide the following assurances.
	ber district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the assessment.
• Yes	O No
The mem	ber district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate ent.
• Yes	O No
The mem	ber district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.
Yes	O No



Member District: Onekama Consolidated Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1	1	1	No change
Mathematics	1	1	1	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1	1	1	No change



Member District: Manistee Area Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	18	15	14	-
Mathematics	17	15	14	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	5	4	7	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

O Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers		 Online training of MIAccess selection provided by MDE Quiz provided by MDE for certificate of training 	MDE
Special Education Coordinator		Review of MDE guidelines for 1% cap in conjunction with test coordinator	District Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	617
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	12
Projected Participation Rate	1.9%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
MAPS Testing Coordinators in each building	MDE training and quiz	03/13/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD
or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative
assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes O No

District Comments

We are aware MAPS has a disproportional number of students with ASD who are currently taking MiAccess.

Most of these students are on a grading matrix, and the IEP team is informed of the most appropriate State assessment.



Member District: Michigan Great Lakes Virtual Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	4	3.3	3.6	+
Mathematics	4.1	3.6	3.5	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	3.5	2.7	2.8	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers		Special Education teachers were sent a flowchart for assessment decision-making, as well as a link to a MDE webinar on selecting assessments	District Staff
District Representatives		District Representatives were sent a decision-making flow chart for alternate assessments.	District Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

⊙ Yes O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	1411
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	51
Students in center-based program from outside district	19
Adjusted Total	1392
Adjusted Participation Number	0
Adjusted Rate	0%

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	1586
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	49
Projected Participation Rate	3.1%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
•	MGLVA will provide an in-service/PD online training about alternate assessment selection	02/27/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

• Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

• Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

• Yes O No

District Comments

We continue annually to see a large portion of students come to our school, and stay in many respects, who have a history of MI ACCESS participation.

We do send out the flow chart for decision making.

We can do more to bring it to the forefront of the IEP team members minds as well as the district representatives.