<u>Justification Forms Summary Report Directions</u>

This document provides an index of Justification Forms separated by ISD and the ISD's Member Districts. The index acts as a Table of Contents so you can easily navigate this PDF. To enable this feature, go to the "View" tab in your PDF viewer application menu bar and look for the option to show or enable the Bookmarks Panel. Once enabled, the Bookmarks panel should appear on the left side of the screen in the navigation pane. Some applications may require you to press the "Bookmarks" icon in the navigation panel to open the Bookmarks panel. Once the Bookmarks panel is open, click a district name to advance you to that district's justification form within the document. For additional assistance, contact the Catamaran Help Desk at help@catamaran.partners or 877-474-9023 (Toll Free).



Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2019-2020

ISD Name: Marquette-Alger RESA

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan's alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Data	Total
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs)	13
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than 1% of all students tested in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11)	6

Technical Assistance and Professional Development

Tier I: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include any professional development and targeted assistance the ISD offered to all member districts, provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
08/20/2019	Monthly Special Education Coordinators' meeting. This is a standing topic of discussion Available to representatives from all 13 LEAs.
09/17/2019	Special Education Coordinators' meeting. This is a standing topic of discussion Available to representatives from all 13 LEAs
10/10/2019	UP Special Education conference
11/19/2019	Special Education Coordinators' meeting. Individual LEA data was disseminated, reviewed, and discussed. Available to representatives from all 13 LEAs
12/13/2019	LEA Superintendents' Roundtable Meeting Individual LEA data was disseminated, reviewed, and discussed. Available to all MARESA LEA superintendents or his/her designee
01/21/2020	Special Education Coordinators' meeting. This is a standing topic of discussion Available to representatives from all 13 LEAs

Tier II/III: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include professional development and technical assistance the ISD provided for each specific member district that was flagged for disproportionality regarding assessment participation. Include technical assistance or professional development provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Member District	Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
Gwinn Area Community Schools		Additional guidance regarding the decision-making tool for assessment participation was provided.

What resources or support is needed from MDE for the ISD to carry out the plan?

Marquette-Alger RESA LEAs have communicated that current technical assistance and support from MARESA and MDE is sufficient. The available resources and decision-making guide on the MDE/OSE website is very informative, and provides IEP teams with the tools and guidance necessary to make informed decisions regarding assessment participation.

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The ISD	has prov	ided o	r will pr	ovide ta	argeted	assistar	nce to	member	districts/PSAs	that have
been flag	gged for	disprop	ortiona	ality for	particip	ation in	the a	Iternate a	assessment as	outlined
on the p	revious p	age.								

⊙ Yes O No

Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the state assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.

⊙ Yes O No

Assessment selection is an IEP team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

• Yes O No



Member District: Marquette-Alger RESA

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	9.1	0	0	No change
Mathematics	9.1	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: North Star Academy

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2.9	3.3	2.1	_
Mathematics	2.9	3.3	2.1	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	3.8	4.9	1.8	-

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers, administrators, support staff		MDE online assessment training, Interactive IEP tool, review of guidance documents	District Staff
Special Education teachers	02/20/2019	Face to face training on assessment modules	ISD Staff

Contributing Factors

_	
Did the district test fewer than 500 students to • Yes • O No	otal using statewide assessments?
Select the option that applies:	
• Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access.	8 or more students took MI-Access.
Did the district operate a center-based prograr significant cognitive impairments (serving stud a region or ISD)?	
O Yes • No	
Is there other justification to provide?	
O Yes • No	

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	129
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	2
Projected Participation Rate	1.6%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
and support staff	North Star Academy will continue to train staff utilizing the MDE online training modules. We will also continue to utilize state guidelines to determine participation using the IEP decision tool	03/18/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD
or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative
assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

District Comments

We will continue to provide staff and parents with guidance documents.

ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Hi Jared and Andrea,

I am returning your 1% Alternate Assessment Justification document. The section with the heading: **Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table** is missing data. The data can be found in the 2019 Alternate Assessment packet we shared at the Nov. SpEd coordinators meeting. Please let me know if you need me to resend it.

Thank you,

Tammy



Member District: AuTrain-Onota Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Burt Township School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Munising Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	5.2	1.2	1.2	No change
Mathematics	4.9	1.2	1.2	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	6.4	0	1.4	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
District Special Education Staff	02/06/2019	Given a copy of guidance documents & decision making tree.	District Staff
District Special Education Staff	09/19/2019	Given a copy of guidance documents & decision making tree.	District Staff
District Special Education Staff	01/09/2020	ISD IEP training reviewed section 6 (assessments & appropriate assessment selection)	ISD Staff

Contributing Factors
Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? • Yes • O No
Select the option that applies:
● Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. O 8 or more students took MI-Access.
Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?
O Yes • No
Is there other justification to provide?

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	347
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	4
Projected Participation Rate	1.2%

Local Plan

O Yes

O No

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
·	Refresher Training - assessment selection, alternative assessment (guidance document & decision making tree)	08/26/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

District Comments

IEP team decisions place students in the least restrictive environment to make certain they are receiving an appropriate education. Placements and academic programming are determined using a selection of assessments and observations from a variety of sources. The IEP team reviews state and local assessment results (NWEA, DIBELS, STAR, Delta Math, Brigance), as well as the input from parents and staff (general education teacher, support staff, special education teacher).

In conjunction with assessments and observation data, the IEP team also uses the state assessment decision making tree and reviews guidelines when choosing state assessments, to assist with selecting the appropriate assessment for each student. The special education department meets quarterly to discuss a variety of topics. State assessments procedures/participation and guidelines are on the agenda at special education meetings. Additionally, there is a special meeting in February (prior to current year assessment period) to review all assessment selections, participation guidelines and assessment training webinar information.

ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Jennifer and Melissa,

This document is being returned due to incomplete data. The section with the heading: **Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table** is incomplete. The data needed to complete the table can be found on pages 8 & 9 of the 2019 Alternate Assessment Rate Report we shared with the Special Ed Coordinators (Nov. 2019 meeting). If you need me to resend the information, please let me know.

Tammy



Member District: Superior Central School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2	0	4	+
Mathematics	2	0	4	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

O Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teachers		Shared information provided by MARESA with special education teachers regarding the 1% cap for review. We made a plan to discuss together.	District Staff
Special Education Teachers		We reviewed the "Should Students Take the Alternate Assessment" flow chart and evaluated each student within the flow chart.	District Staff

Contributing Factors
Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?
⊙ Yes O No
Select the option that applies:
● Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. O 8 or more students took MI-Access.
Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?
O Yes No
Is there other justification to provide?
O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	175
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	2
Projected Participation Rate	1.1%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Special Education Teachers	Plan for yearly review of Alternate Assessment student review. Work through the flow-chart to determine if student (s) still requires alternate testing.	05/29/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD
or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative
assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

• Yes O No

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

District Comments

The two students taking an alternate assessment this year include a OHI student with brain damage that severely affects his learning. The second is a CI student who performs three years below his grade 5 peers. Neither student is capable of taking the M-STEP.

Past students included 1 non-verbal high school student and 1 student with Down's Syndrome.

In all cases, the use of an alternate assessment was justified, while it may have exceeded the 1% cap.

ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications)

Hi Kathy,

I noticed today that your Alternate Assessment justification document has some missing data. The section with the heading, **Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table** needs to be filled in. The data can be found in the 2019 Alternate Assessment Rate Report we shared at the Nov. SpEd Coordinators meeting. Please let me know if you need me to resend this data.

Thanks,

Tammy



Member District: NICE Community School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.5	1.3	1.4	+
Mathematics	1.5	1.3	1.4	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.6	0.3	0.7	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
District Special Education Teachers	08/28/2019	Professional development: Provided procedures and State Guidelines/ Alternative Assessment Decision Making Tree for aiding IEP teams in determining the state assessments that are best fit to measure student growth.	District Staff
ISD Special Education Coordinators	11/19/2019	Professional development: Provided procedures and State Guidelines/ Alternative Assessment Decision Making Tree for aiding IEP teams in determining the state assessments that are best fit to measure student growth. ISD also provided District Alternative Assessment Rate Report.	ISD Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the	district test	fewer than	500 stu	dents total	using	statewide	assessmen	ts?
O Yes	⊙ No							

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

⊙ Yes O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	636
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	9
Students in center-based program from outside district	5
Adjusted Total	631
Adjusted Participation Number	0
Adjusted Rate	0%

Is there other justification to provide?

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	614
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	10
Projected Participation Rate	1.6%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Teachers	This workshop will include training on assessment selection. The training will focus on procedures and materials provided by the state of Michigan.	05/06/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

	nber district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the assessment.
⊙ Yes	O No
The men	nber district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate ent.
Yes	O No
The men	nber district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.
Yes	O No

District Comments

After reviewing our LEA's data, we believe that our current procedures (which were modified in the last 12 months) have been adequate in helping us ensure that our MI-Access participation is a true and accurate representation of the needs of our students. We have been utilizing training provided by our ISD and providing subsequent training to our related special education staff as well as guidance documents to make decisions regarding assessment selection and overall programming/ instruction. We will continue to apply best practice methods for assessing the needs of our district's students as well as students from other districts that attend our cooperative education programs for students with severe/ multiple/ cognitive impairments.



Member District: Gwinn Area Community Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	3.7	2.5	3.4	+
Mathematics	3.6	2.3	3.2	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	2.8	2.3	4	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
All Special Education Teachers and related staff members		Ed Benefit Training for staff and alternative assessment tool for testing	ISD Staff
All Special Ed. Personnel		Discussion at monthly staff meeting regarding the decision making flow chart	District Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 50	00 students total using	statewide assessments?
-------------------------------------	-------------------------	------------------------

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

O Yes O No

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	548
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	6
Projected Participation Rate	1.1%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
	Using the decision making process flow chart when identifying students who might qualify for taking the MI Access Assessment	03/18/2020
	Monthly review of caseloads to determine which students would be identified to take alternate assessment	03/18/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Nessances and Teenmen /lesistance
Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?
O Yes ● No
Assurances
Please provide the following assurances.
The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.
● Yes O No
The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.
• Yes O No
The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment. • Yes O No
District Comments
IEP Team members will discuss this issue at IEPs in the future and go through the flow chart if the team feels that an alternative assessment is going to be used with a student.
ISD Comments (if ISD returned to district for modifications) Hi Jeff and Mary Jo,
The section with the heading Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports , complete the following table is missing the data Please use the data we provided from the Nov. 2019 Coordinators meeting to complete the table. You will find the information on pages 8 & 9 of the Alternate Assessment Rate Report. If you need me to re-send the information, please let me know.
Tammy



Member District: Negaunee Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.5	1.3	1	_
Mathematics	1.1	1	1	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1	0.6	0.3	-



Member District: Powell Township Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Republic-Michigamme Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.5	0	0	No change
Mathematics	1.4	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	3	0	0	No change



Member District: Wells Township School District

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



Member District: Marquette Area Public Schools

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.1	0.9	0.7	_
Mathematics	1	0.8	0.7	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.6	0.7	0.4	-



Member District: Ishpeming Public School District No. 1

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

Data

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	5	4.7	4.4	_
Mathematics	5	4.7	4.4	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	3.6	0.6	3.3	+

Professional Development Provided

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Edcuation Teachers		Alternate Assessment Decision Making Tool with interactive training using the tool with staff.	ISD Staff
Special Education Teachers		Review of assessment decision making assessment tool review	ISD Staff

Contributing Factors

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments? • Yes • O No
Select the option that applies:
O Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access. • 8 or more students took MI-Access.
Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?
⊙ Yes O No
Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	385
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	20
Students in center-based program from outside district	3
Adjusted Total	382
Adjusted Participation Number	17
Adjusted Rate	4.5%

Is there other justification to provide?

⊙ Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

Each special education teacher reviews the alternate assessment decision-making tool at each child's IEP along with the parents. We are also a district with a free lunch and breakfast program at the elementary and middle school level due to a high level of freereduced qualifications at each level.

2020 Projected Participation Rate

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	362
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	15
Projected Participation Rate	4.1%

Local Plan

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
1 .	Continue to talk to staff about continuing to use the Decision Making Flow Chart	09/11/2020

Resources and Technical Assistance

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

Assurances

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

District Comments

We also have a COOP program amongst three other districts which scores may affect our total MI-Access numbers. We are also a low-income school district that qualifies for free breakfast and lunch at the elementary and middle school levels.