## <u>Justification Forms Summary Report Directions</u>

This document provides an index of Justification Forms separated by ISD and the ISD's Member Districts. The index acts as a Table of Contents so you can easily navigate this PDF. To enable this feature, go to the "View" tab in your PDF viewer application menu bar and look for the option to show or enable the Bookmarks Panel. Once enabled, the Bookmarks panel should appear on the left side of the screen in the navigation pane. Some applications may require you to press the "Bookmarks" icon in the navigation panel to open the Bookmarks panel. Once the Bookmarks panel is open, click a district name to advance you to that district's justification form within the document. For additional assistance, contact the Catamaran Help Desk at <a href="mailto:help@catamaran.partners">help@catamaran.partners</a> or 877-474-9023 (Toll Free).



# Exceeding 1% Cap on Participation in State Alternate Assessment Intermediate School District (ISD) Summary Justification Form FY 2019-2020

#### **ISD Name: Monroe ISD**

All intermediate school districts must complete this summary form regarding the percentage of students assessed using Michigan's alternate assessment (MI-Access). This includes justification forms from member districts/public school academies (PSA) that assessed more than 1% of students in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Data	Total
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs)	12
Number of member districts/PSAs including the ISD (only if the ISD runs internal programs) that assessed more than $1\%$ of all students tested in the spring of 2019 using MI-Access (in grades 3-8 and 11)	4

#### **Technical Assistance and Professional Development**

**Tier I**: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include any professional development and targeted assistance the ISD offered to <u>all</u> member districts, provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
	All districts received information about the 1% justification flowchart from MDE through a guidance document called IEP Coach Checklist. https://downloads.monroeisd.us/tienet/IEP_Coach_Checklist.pdf

**Tier II/III**: Please provide a summary of technical assistance and/or professional development provided as a result of feedback from MDE regarding the 2019 test year data and justification form review. Include professional development and technical assistance the ISD provided for each specific member district that was flagged for disproportionality regarding assessment participation. Include technical assistance or professional development provided by MDE and/or the ISD and MDE together.

Member District	Date Occurred	Summary of Technical Assistance and/or Professional Development
Ida Public School District	04/18/2019	Special Education Teaches and Service Providers 04/18/2019 On-going technical assistance in team meetings and IEP team meetings when the alternative assessment was discussed. ISD Staff Parents 04/18/2019 On-going technical assistance in team meetings and IEP team meetings when the alternative assessment was discussed. ISD Staff
Jefferson Schools (Monroe)	10/21/2019	Special Education Staff 10/21/2019 Assessment Selection Guidelines Training from MDE website - Assessment Selection Interactive Decision-Making Tool from MDE website for ISD Staff  Parents, General Educators and Administrators 10/21/2019 Assessment Selection Guidelines Training from MDE website-Assessment Selection-Interactive Decision-Making Tool from MDE website for District Staff
Mason Consolidated Schools (Monroe)	09/04/2019	All special education providers 09/04/2019 All staff who have access to the online special education platform, have a special education documentation checklist that includes a link on "should my student take the alternate assessment". Which then links to the MDE flow chart.  Other-Online special education platform, Special education teachers, teacher consultants, social workers, psychologists, building administrators  02/21/2020 Staff was sent an email with the MDE flow chart for decision making when determining if a student should take the alternate assessment for ISD Staff

What resources or support is needed from MDE for the ISD to carry out the plan?

NA

#### **Assurances**

#### Please provide the following assurances.

The ISD has provided or will provide targeted assistance to member districts/PSAs	that have
been flagged for disproportionality for participation in the alternate assessment as of	outlined
on the previous page.	

⊙ Yes O No

Targeted assistance and professional development include an emphasis on member districts/PSAs using the state assessment selection guidance documents and online trainings.

⊙ Yes O No

Assessment selection is an IEP team decision and will not be overridden by administrative or procedural decisions.

• Yes O No



**Member District: Monroe ISD** 

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	36.4	41	40	_
Mathematics	37.6	41	40	_
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change

## **Professional Development Provided**

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Staff		Assessment Selection Guidance Training: https://mdoe.state.mi.us/mdedocuments/Assess mentSelectionGuidelinesTraining/index.html Assessment Selection Interactive Decision- Making Tool: https://mdoe.state.mi.us/MDEDocuments/Intera ctiveDecision-MakingTool/index.html	created guidance document and placed in online
Staff and Families		Ongoing Q&A at staff meetings to address questions plus conversations during IEP team meetings.	ISD Staff

Contributing Factors
Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?  • Yes • O No
Select the option that applies:
O Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access.    • 8 or more students took MI-Access.
Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?
O Yes
Is there other justification to provide?  • Yes O No
Please describe other justification:

The ISD operates contained classrooms called LBSE in the local districts. Many of the students are residents of the district in which the classroom is housed, but not all. The ISD operates a center based program when LEAs send students with severe/multiple disabilities. In the LBSE classrooms, many students take a standard assessment. At the ISD Center Based program, students all take an alternative assessment.

### **2020 Projected Participation Rate**

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	123
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	97
Projected Participation Rate	78.9%

#### **Local Plan**

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
Staff	Video module	05/29/2020

#### **Resources and Technical Assistance**

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes O No

#### **Assurances**

Please provide	the following assurances.
The member d alternate asses	listrict IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the ssment.
⊙ Yes O No	0
The member d assessment.	listrict allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate
• Yes O No	0
The member d	listrict addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.
⊙ Yes O No	0



### **Member District: New Bedford Academy**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.3	0	0	No change
Mathematics	1.3	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



#### **Member District: Monroe Public Schools**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2.3	1.2	1	_
Mathematics	2.3	1.2	1	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.5	0.6	0.9	+



### **Member District: Airport Community Schools**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	2	1	1	No change
Mathematics	2	1	1	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	2.1	1.3	0.8	-



#### **Member District: Bedford Public Schools**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0.6	0.5	0.3	-
Mathematics	0.5	0.5	0.3	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.7	0.4	0.3	-



### **Member District: Dundee Community Schools**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.8	1	0.7	_
Mathematics	1.8	1	0.7	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1.3	1.6	0.3	-



#### **Member District: Ida Public School District**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.1	1.3	1.1	-
Mathematics	0.9	1.2	0.9	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.9	1.2	0.7	-

## **Professional Development Provided**

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Teaches and Service Providers	04/18/2019	On-going technical assistance in team meetings and IEP team meetings when the alternative assessment was discussed.	ISD Staff
Parents		On-going technical assistance in team meetings and IEP team meetings when the alternative assessment was discussed.	ISD Staff

# **Contributing Factors**

Did the district test fewer than 500 students total using statewide assessments?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

⊙ Yes O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	754
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	8
Students in center-based program from outside district	3
Adjusted Total	751
Adjusted Participation Number	5
Adjusted Rate	0.7%

Is there other justification to provide?

O Yes O No

# **2020 Projected Participation Rate**

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	750
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	6
Projected Participation Rate	0.8%

#### **Local Plan**

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
service providers	Continued technical assistance as to the assumption and expectations when a student is taking an alternative assessment	04/13/2020

#### **Resources and Technical Assistance**

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

assessine	ent tool based on the state guidennes:
O Yes	⊙ No
Assura	nces
Please pr	ovide the following assurances.
	ber district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the assessment.
Yes	O No
The mem	ber district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate ent.
<ul><li>Yes</li></ul>	O No
_	ber district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.  O No



### **Member District: Jefferson Schools (Monroe)**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	1.2	1.6	1.1	_
Mathematics	1.2	1.6	1.1	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	1	1.6	1.1	-

# **Professional Development Provided**

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

• Yes O No

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
Special Education Staff	10/21/2019	Assessment Selection Guidelines Training from MDE website Assessment Selection Interactive Decision-Making Tool from MDE website	ISD Staff
Parents, General Educators and Administrators	10/21/2019	Assessment Selection Guidelines Training from MDE website Assessment Selection Interactive Decision-Making Tool from MDE website	District Staff

# **Contributing Factors**

Did	the	district	test	fewer	than	500	students	total	using	statewide	assessmei	nts?

O Yes O No

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

⊙ Yes O No

Utilizing the Alternate Assessment data reports, complete the following table.

Assessment Data Table	Number
Total Number of students tested overall	825
Total Number of students tested with MI-Access	
Students in center-based program from outside district	
Adjusted Total	825
Adjusted Participation Number	9
Adjusted Rate	1.1%

Is there other justification to provide?

### **2020 Projected Participation Rate**

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	788
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	10
Projected Participation Rate	1.3%

#### **Local Plan**

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
·	Assessment Selection Guidelines Training from MDE website Assessment Selection Interactive Decision-Making Tool from MDE website	10/14/2020
Parents, General Educators, and Administrators	Assessment Selection Guidelines Training from MDE website Assessment Selection Interactive Decision-Making Tool from MDE website	10/14/2020

#### **Resources and Technical Assistance**

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

Please detail the need for additional resources and technical assistance.

The MCISD will provide consultation to Jefferson Community Schools special education team regarding the Alternative Assessment Selection Guidelines and training tools.

#### **Assurances**

Please provide the following assurances.

The member district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment.

⊙ Yes O No

The member district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate assessment.

The member district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.

#### **District Comments**

Individual decisions are made using guidance documents. The MCISD Regional Director of Special Education provides training and consultation to special education teams. The MCISD Regional Supervisor provides training and consultation to MCISD operated programs housed in the Jefferson School district.



# **Member District: Mason Consolidated Schools (Monroe)**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Please indicate the 1% participation rate data:

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	3.2	3.1	2.9	-
Mathematics	3.2	3.2	2.9	-
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.7	0.8	1.2	+

# **Professional Development Provided**

Did the individualized education program (IEP) teams utilize the state guidelines for participation in the alternate assessment to make assessment participation decisions?

Please list professional development, informational sessions, and technical assistance provided regarding the 1% Cap and/or assessment selection and participation from January 1, 2019 to present.

Audience	Date Occurred	Topics and Method of Training	Delivered by
All special education providers	09/04/2019	All staff who have access to the online special education platform, have a special education documentation checklist that includes a link on "should my student take the alternate assessment". Which then links to the MDE flow chart.	Other Online special education platform
Special education teachers, teacher consultants, social workers, physiologists, building administrators		Staff was sent an email with the MDE flow chart for decision making when determining if a student should take the alternate assessment.	ISD Staff

### **Contributing Factors**

Did the	district test fewer	than 500 students t	total using statewide assessn	nents?
O Yes	⊙ No			

Did the district operate a center-based program or regional collaborative for students with significant cognitive impairments (serving students from in and outside of the district within a region or ISD)?

⊙ Yes O No

Please describe other justification:

The LEA houses an ISD program designed for students with moderate cognitive impairments. The majority of the students in the program participate in an alternate assessment.

## **2020 Projected Participation Rate**

Using the Michigan School Data System (MSDS) and current data, complete the following table to calculate the 2020 projected participation rate.

Projected Participation Rate	Number
Total Number of students enrolled in grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11	598
Total Number of students whose IEPs currently designate MI-Access for state summative assessments in any content area	15
Projected Participation Rate	2.5%

#### **Local Plan**

After reviewing all of the member district data for participation rates on the alternate assessment (MI-Access), detail below a summarized plan for providing professional development. This may include but is not limited to training on assessment selection, alternate assessment, alternate content standards, or planning for specialized instruction. At least one local development plan must be submitted to proceed.

Audience	Topics and Method of Training	Date
	Review of MDE flow chart for decision making of Mi-Access vs. M-Step	02/21/2020
Special Education teachers	At the next local staff meeting we will again review the special education documentation checklist on the online data reporting system. The checklist includes a link to the MDE decision making document for Mi-Access vs. M-step	05/01/2020
·	Audit IEP's that designate MI-Access for students not placed in the Regional Program (MOCI) to walk through the decision making process with each individual teacher	06/01/2020

#### **Resources and Technical Assistance**

Does the member district require additional resources and technical assistance from the ISD or MDE to ensure students are being assessed using the most appropriate state summative assessment tool based on the state guidelines?

O Yes	● No
Assura	nces
Please p	rovide the following assurances.
	nber district IEP team members follow the state guidelines for participation in the assessment.
Yes	O No
The men	nber district allows IEP team members to decide which students take an alternate ent.
Yes	O No
The men	nber district addresses any issues of disproportionality in statewide assessment.
• Yes	O No

<b>ISD Comments</b> (if ISD returned to district for modifications) Hi Andrew,
There are two bullet points that need to be addressed:
Fewer than 8 students took MI-Access.

8 or more students took MI-Access.



#### **Member District: Summerfield Schools**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0.3	+
Mathematics	0	0	0.3	+
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0.7	+



# Member District: Whiteford Agricultural School District of the Counties of Lenawee and Monroe

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0	0	0	No change
Mathematics	0	0	0	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0	0	0	No change



### **Member District: Triumph Academy**

The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) places a 1% cap on alternate assessment (MI-Access) participation at the state level. Member districts that contribute to the state exceeding its participation cap must submit information to the Intermediate School District (ISD) justifying the need to exceed the 1% threshold. In addition, ISDs that run programs (functioning as a member district) must complete the form as a member district.

Each ISD collects information from member districts to submit to the MDE.

Please do not submit any student identifiable information with this form.

#### **Data**

Content Area	Spring 2017 Participation Rate	Spring 2018 Participation Rate	Spring 2019 Participation Rate	Change
English Language Arts	0.6	0.4	0.4	No change
Mathematics	0.7	0.4	0.4	No change
Science	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Social Studies	0.6	0	0.7	+