

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

Overall Report X
Two-parent Report (check one)

Apply the overall credit to the two-parent participation rate? yes
X no

PART 1 –Eligibility Changes Made Since FY 2005

(Complete this section for EACH change)

1. Name of eligibility change:

- Requirement that work eligible individuals applying for cash assistance be referred immediately to the Work First program as a condition of eligibility was suspended effective 6/1/2006. This policy was reinstated effective 5/2/2007.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- Individuals immediately referred to Work First program as a condition of eligibility was suspended 6/1/2006 and reinstated 5/2/2007.

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Mandatory Work First (WF) / Jobs, Education and Training (JET) clients must be referred to the WF/JET program upon application. Previous policy had temporarily eliminated this requirement as a condition of eligibility.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change (attach supporting materials to this form):

- There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

Date of Completion : 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:
 - A solely state-funded group of cases was created.
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2006
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Effective 10/1/2006, a solely state-funded group of cases was created. This group is comprised of two-parent families and cases in which the adult is incapacitated greater than 90 days.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)
 - The solely state-funded program cases are tracked monthly via Michigan's Green Book monthly and annual reports. Used for this report was the Fiscal Year 2016 Annual Report: Table 11, to capture the actual number of solely state-funded FIP cases. The actual monthly numbers were inputted into the attached *Solely State-Funded Cases* impact table. The impact table took the actual monthly numbers entered and calculated the monthly average for the fiscal year.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 4,745 cases

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:

- A 19 year old attending high school full-time is no longer considered a dependent child in the eligible FIP group.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, a 19 year old that attended high school full-time and was expected to graduate before the age of 20, was a mandatory group member in the eligible FIP group. This child was in the FIP group as a dependent child until the child turned 20 years old, or graduated from high school, whichever occurred first.
- State law, MCL 400.57(1)(c) changed the definition of a dependent child, eliminating any 19 year olds' active FIP.
- If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 19 year old, the FIP case closed. The cases reported as closed were due to the only child in the home that was 19 years old. Cases that had other children in the home or a pregnant grantee remained open, but the needs of the 19 year old were removed.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *19 Year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 33 cases

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:
 - A 16 or 17 year old not attending high school full-time is disqualified from receiving FIP.
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2011
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, a 16 or 17 year old that was not attending high school full-time was required to participate at Michigan's employment and training program.
 - Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was changed and the 16 or 17 year old will be disqualified from receiving FIP and will not be referred to Michigan's employment and training program.
 - Effective June 11, 2015, this policy was signed into State law, MCL 400.57b.
 - If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the 16 or 17 year old who was not attending high school full-time, then the FIP case closed. The cases closed were due to the only child in the home being the disqualified child. Cases that had other children or a pregnant grantee in the home remained open, but the needs of the 16 or 17 year old were removed.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, *16/17 Year Olds Disqualified for not Attending School*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 5 cases

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:

- Financial need exists for FIP if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted. If the deficit is less than \$10, the FIP case is closed.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, after budgeting any earned or unearned income, if a group's FIP grant was under \$10, the FIP case would remain open/approved, but the client would not receive the grant amount.
- Policy item BEM 518, FIP Income Budgeting, was updated stating financial need exists if there is at least a \$10 deficit after income is budgeted.
- A deficit of at least \$10 is required to receive a cash benefit. If the deficit is less than \$10, financial need does not exist and the FIP group is not eligible to receive benefits. The FIP case will close.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *Less Than \$10 Deficit*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 210 cases

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:
 - Asset limit of \$500,000 for property assets.
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2011
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property assets were excluded from determining FIP eligibility.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec. 686(3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$500,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$500,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
 - This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2013 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)
 - There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:

- A person convicted two or more times for a drug related felony is disqualified from FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, the department only disqualified an individual receiving FIP if that person was in violation of the terms of their probation/parole and the conduct for which the person was convicted occurred after August 22, 1996. If the person was not in violation of the terms of probation or parole, the FIP benefits were paid in the form of restricted payments.
- The annual appropriations act of 2011, 2011 P.A. 63 Sec 619(2), changed this policy to disqualify an individual if the individual was convicted in two or more separate cases of a felony that included the possession, use, or distribution of a controlled substance after August 22, 1996.
- If a group's FIP eligibility was dependent on the individual who was disqualified due to a second drug related felony, then the FIP case closed. The cases that may close would be due to the disqualification of the only person in the group receiving FIP. Cases that had other individuals eligible to receive FIP remained open, but the needs of the disqualified individual were removed.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related Felony*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 cases

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:

- The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of *closing* FIP cases that included individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
- Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for individuals. Once an individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
- Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
- A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *Federal Time Limit Closures*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1,717 cases

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:

- The 60 month federal time limit hardship exemption was eliminated from the TANF State Plan which had the effect of *denying* FIP applications that included individuals that received over 60 months of federally funded FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to the policy change, Michigan utilized the option of extending assistance beyond 60 months to individuals, up to 20 percent of the average monthly TANF case load in the TANF State Plan.
- Effective 10/1/2011, Michigan changed the TANF State Plan, no longer utilizing the option for extending assistance beyond 60 months for individuals. Once an individual in the FIP group reaches 60 federally funded months, the group is ineligible for FIP assistance.
- Effective 1/9/2013 State law, MCL 400.57a(4) was signed with immediate effect stating the department shall not provide FIP to any program group that includes an adult who has received assistance under any state program funded with TANF for more than 60 months.
 - Exception: MCL 400.57a(4) does not apply to a program group that includes an adult who was exempt from the JET program on 1/9/2013 under certain conditions. These cases are solely state-funded. They are not a separate state program. However, they are included with the caseload count under solely state-funded program.
- A group is not eligible for TANF (FIP) if an individual in the group has received over 60 federally funded FIP months. If a family applies for FIP with an individual in the FIP group that has received over 60 federally funded FIP months, the application is *denied*.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *Federal Time Limit Application Denials*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 1,897 cases

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:
 - An individual in a group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime.
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2007
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
 - From the policy start date of 10/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month a client could reach 48 months and have their FIP closed due to the state time limit.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, *State Time Limit Closures*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 684 cases

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:

- An individual in the FIP group cannot receive more than 48 months of FIP in a lifetime which had the effect of *denying FIP applications* that included individuals that received over 48 months of FIP.

2. Implementation date of eligibility change:

- 10/1/2011

3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:

- Previous to this policy change in 2007, Michigan did not have a more restrictive time limit than the time limit of 60 months in Federal law.
- From the policy start date of 1/1/2007, 10/1/2011 is the first month a client could have reached 48 months. If the family applies for FIP after 10/1/2011 with an individual in the FIP group that has received over 48 months of FIP, the *application is denied*.

4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)

- Please see the impact table titled, *State Time Limit Denials*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 129 cases

1. Name of eligibility change:
 - If a dependent child in the FIP group age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2012
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to this policy change, the FIP case would remain open/approved if a family included a child 6-15 years old that was not attending school full-time.
 - Policy item BEM 245, School Attendance and Student Status, was updated stating if a dependent child age 6-15 is not attending school full-time, the entire FIP group is not eligible to receive FIP.
 - Effective June 11, 2015, this policy was signed into State law, MCL 400.57b.
 - If it is verified that a dependent child 6-15 years old in the FIP group is not attending school full-time, the FIP case will close for the entire group.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, *6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 183 cases

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:
 - Asset limit of \$250,000 for property assets.
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2013
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$500,000.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2013, 2013 P.A. 59 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$250,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$250,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
 - This policy is no longer in effect. The annual appropriations act of 2014 changed this asset limit, voiding this policy.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)
 - There is no longer a caseload impact which can be attached to this policy.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: N/A

Date of Completion: 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

1. Name of eligibility change:
 - Asset limit of \$200,000 for property assets.
2. Implementation date of eligibility change:
 - 10/1/2014
3. Description of policy, including the change from prior policy:
 - Previous to the policy change, property asset limit was \$250,000.
 - The annual appropriations act of 2014, 2014 P.A. 252 Sec 686 (3), states "The department shall prohibit individuals with property assets assessed at a value higher than \$200,000 from accessing assistance through department-administered programs, unless such a prohibition would violate federal rules and guidelines."
 - If a FIP group has more than \$200,000 total property assets, the FIP case will close.
4. Description of the methodology used to calculate the estimated impact of this eligibility change:
(attach supporting materials to this form)
 - Please see the impact table titled, *\$200,000 Property Asset Limit*. The effect from both one fiscal year to the next and from month to month within a fiscal year has been accounted for in the impact table.
 - The effects from fiscal year to fiscal year is tracked on the top line of the impact table. This was calculated by carrying over the previous year's September total and adding a reasonable 5% decay factor for each month after.
 - The effects from month to month within a fiscal year is tracked by entering the actual count of cases closed that were tracked by Michigan's Bridges system. These cases were entered into the impact table diagonally in the month the closure took effect.

5. Estimated average monthly impact of this eligibility change on caseload in comparison year: 0 cases

Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies:

2017

Date of Completion:

12-14-2016

PART 2 – Estimate of Caseload Reduction Credit

Impact of All Changes

19 yr olds removed as dependent children	-33
16/17 yr olds disqualified for not attending school	-5
Less than \$10 deficit	-210
60 month federal time limit CLOSURES	-1717
48 month state time limit CLOSURES	-684
\$200,000 property asset limit	0
Persons convicted of two drug related felonies	0
6-15 year old not attending school full-time	-183
Solely state-funded program	-4,745
48 month state time limit DENIALS	-129
60 month federal time limit DENIALS	-1,897

Net Impact

-9,603

Caseload Reduction Calculation

FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	
Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595
FY 2016 TANF Caseload	16,942
FY 2016 SSP Caseload	
Total FY 2016 Caseload	16,942
Excess MOE Cases in FY 2016	1,879
Adjusted FY 2016 Caseload	15,063
Caseload Decline	65,532
Decline – Net Impact	55,929
	81.3%

Caseload Reduction Credit = 69.4%

Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies:
Date of Completion:

2017
12-14-2016

Excess MOE Calculation Worksheet

Caseload Data

FY 2005 TANF Caseload	80,595
FY 2005 SSP Caseload	0
Total FY 2005 Caseload	80,595
FY 2016 TANF Caseload	16,942
FY 2016 SSP Caseload	0
Total FY 2016 Caseload	16,942

Expenditure Data

Total Expenditures	
FY 2016 Total Federal Expenditures	\$663,143,153
FY 2016 Total MOE Expenditures	\$609,652,077
Total Expenditures (Federal + MOE)	\$1,272,795,230

2-Parent Caseload Data

FY 2005 2-p TANF Caseload	0
FY 2005 2-p SSP Caseload	0
Total FY 2005 Caseload	0

Assistance Expenditures	
FY 2016 Federal Expenditures on Assistance	\$111,795,186
FY 2016 MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$19,044,133
Total Expenditures on Assistance (Federal + MOE)	\$130,839,319
Percentage of Expenditures on Assistance	10.28%

FY 2016 2-p TANF Caseload	0
FY 2016 2-p SSP Caseload	0
Total FY 2016 Caseload	0

Expenditures Per Case	
Average Expenditures per Case	\$75,127
Average Expenditures per Case on Assistance	\$7,723

MOE and Excess MOE

Required MOE (80% or 75%)	\$468,518,376
Excess MOE Expenditures	\$141,133,701
Excess MOE Expenditures on Assistance	\$14,508,098

Adjusted Caseload Data

Adjusted FY 2016 Overall Caseload	15,063
Adjusted FY 2016 2-parent Caseload	0

Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE	1,879
2-Parent Assistance Cases Funded by Excess MOE	0

Policy Name

19 Year Olds Removed as a Dependent Child

Date of Completion: 12/14/2016

Impact on Each Month in FY													2017	
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
Time of Closure														
Oct	37	35	33	31	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22		
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Dec		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Jan			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Feb				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Mar					2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1		
Apr						1	1	1	1	1	1	1		
May							1	1	1	1	1	1		
Jun								1	1	1	3	3		
Jul									3	3	3	3		
Aug										1	1	1		
Sep											1	0		
Total	37	35	34	33	33	33	32	32	34	33	33	31		
Grand Total													401	
FY monthly average													33	

Policy Name

16/17 Year Olds Disqualified for not Attending School

Date of Completion: 12/14/2016

		Impact on Each Month in FY													
		2017													
		Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
Time of Closure															
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Dec	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Jan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Feb	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Mar	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Apr	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
May	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Jun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Jul	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Aug	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Sep	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Total	10	0	0	0	0	1	5	6	5	5	9	8	9	Grand Total	
														59	
														FY monthly average	
														5	

Policy Name

Less Than \$10 Deficit

Date of Completion: 12/14/2016

Impact on Each Month in FY													2017	
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
Time of Closure														
Oct	224	213	202	192	182	173	164	156	148	141	134	127	Grand Total 2,524	
Nov	2	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1		
Dec		5	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3		
Jan			10	10	9	9	8	8	7	7	7	6		
Feb				8	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	5		
Mar					6	6	5	5	5	5	4	4		
Apr						13	12	12	11	11	10	10		
May							9	9	8	8	7	7		
Jun								7	7	6	6	6		
Jul									3	3	3	3		
Aug										10	10	9		
Sep											10	10		
Total	226	220	219	216	211	213	211	208	200	201	201	200		
FY monthly average													210	

Policy Name

Federal Time Limit Closures

Date of Completion: 12/14/2016

Impact on Each Month in FY													2017	Grand Total
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
Time of Closure														
	2,097	1,992	1,892	1,797	1,707	1,622	1,541	1,464	1,391	1,321	1,255	1,192		
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov		9	9	8	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	5	5	
Dec			35	33	32	30	29	27	26	24	23	22	22	
Jan				30	29	27	26	24	23	22	21	20	20	
Feb					17	16	15	15	14	13	12	12	12	
Mar						26	25	23	22	21	20	19	19	
Apr							25	24	23	21	20	19	19	
May								20	19	18	17	16	16	
Jun									25	24	23	21	21	
Jul										29	28	26	26	
Aug											24	23	23	
Sep												32	32	
Total	2,097	2,001	1,936	1,868	1,792	1,729	1,667	1,604	1,549	1,500	1,449	1,408	20,600	
FY monthly average													1,717	

Policy Name

State Time Limit Closures

Date of Completion: 12/14/2016

Impact on Each Month in FY													2017	Grand Total
Time of Closure													8,205	
Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep			
Oct	854	811	770	732	695	660	627	596	566	538	511	485	Grand Total	
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Dec		6	6	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4		
Jan			8	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	5	5		
Feb				4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3		
Mar					12	11	11	10	10	9	9	8		
Apr						3	3	3	3	2	2	2		
May							5	5	5	4	4	4		
Jun								4	4	4	3	3		
Jul									5	5	5	4		
Aug										12	11	11		
Sep											8	8		
Total	854	817	784	749	723	690	660	632	605	587	565	540	8,205	
FY monthly average													684	

Policy Name

\$200,000 Property Asset Limit
Date of Completion: 12/14/2016

	Impact on Each Month in FY												Grand Total
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure													
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dec		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jan			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Apr						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May							0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jun								0	0	0	0	0	0
Jul									0	0	0	0	0
Aug										0	0	0	0
Sep											0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY monthly average													0

Policy Name

Persons Convicted of Two or More Times for a Drug Related F

Date of Completion: 12/14/2016

	Impact on Each Month in FY												Grand Total
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nov		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dec			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jan				0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Feb					0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mar						0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Apr							0	0	0	0	0	0	0
May								0	0	0	0	0	0
Jun									0	0	0	0	0
Jul										0	0	0	0
Aug											0	0	0
Sep												0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
FY monthly average													0

Policy Name

6-15 Year Olds Not Attending School Full-Time

Date of Completion: 12/14/2016

Impact on Each Month in FY													2017	Grand Total 2,196
Time of Closure														
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep		
Oct	187	178	169	161	153	145	138	131	124	118	112	106	106	Grand Total 2,196
Nov	1	1	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	
Dec			9	9	8	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	
Jan				13	12	12	11	11	10	10	9	9	9	
Feb					7	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	
Mar						8	8	7	7	7	6	6	6	
Apr							11	10	10	9	9	9	9	
May								8	8	7	7	7	7	
Jun									9	9	8	8	8	
Jul										8	8	7	7	
Aug											2	2	2	
Sep												3	3	
Total	188	184	184	188	186	184	186	185	184	183	176	169	169	
FY monthly average													183	

Policy Name

Solely State-Funded Cases
Date of Completion: 12/14/2016

Impact on Each Month in FY													2017	Grand Total
Date of Completion:														
Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep			
Time of Closure														
Oct	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Nov	5,022		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec		4,940		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Jan			4,830		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Feb				4,789		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Mar					4,732		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Apr						4,677		0	0	0	0	0	0	
May							4,648		0	0	0	0	0	
Jun								4,700		0	0	0	0	
Jul									4,727		0	0	0	
Aug										4,650		0	0	
Sep											4,659		0	
Total	5,022	4,940	4,830	4,789	4,732	4,677	4,648	4,700	4,727	4,650	4,659	4,563	0	
FY monthly average													4,745	

Policy Name

State Time Limit Denials

Date of Completion: 12/14/2016

	Impact on Each Month in FY												Grand Total
	2017												
	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Time of Closure	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	
Oct	131	124	118	112	106	101	96	91	86	82	78	74	Grand Total 1,553
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec		4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	
Jan			7	7	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	4	
Feb				9	9	8	8	7	7	7	6	6	
Mar					5	5	5	4	4	4	4	3	
Apr						6	6	5	5	5	5	4	
May							3	3	3	3	2	2	
Jun								7	7	6	6	6	
Jul									5	5	5	4	
Aug										9	9	8	
Sep											15	14	
Total	131	128	129	131	129	129	126	126	124	128	136	135	
FY monthly average													129

Policy Name

Federal Time Limit Application Denials
Date of Completion: 12/14/2016

Impact on Each Month in FY													2017	Grand Total
Time of Closure													Grand Total	
Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep			
Oct	1,907	1,812	1,721	1,635	1,553	1,475	1,401	1,331	1,264	1,201	1,141	1,084	0	Grand Total
Nov	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Dec		82	78	74	70	67	63	60	57	54	52	49	49	
Jan			130	124	117	111	106	101	96	91	86	82	82	
Feb				108	103	97	93	88	84	79	75	72	72	
Mar					69	66	62	59	56	53	51	48	48	
Apr						71	67	64	61	58	55	52	52	
May							74	70	67	63	60	57	57	
Jun								97	92	88	83	79	79	
Jul									84	80	76	72	72	
Aug										114	108	103	103	
Sep											113	107	107	
Total	1,907	1,894	1,929	1,941	1,912	1,887	1,867	1,870	1,860	1,882	1,901	1,911	22,760	
FY monthly average													1,897	

FORM ACF-202 – TANF CASELOAD REDUCTION REPORT


Date of Completion 12-14-2016

State: Michigan

Fiscal Year to which credit applies: 2017

PART 3 -- Certification

I certify that we have provided the public an appropriate opportunity to comment on the estimates and methodology used to complete this report and considered those comments in completing it. Further, I certify that this report incorporates all reductions in the caseload resulting from State eligibility changes and changes in Federal requirements since Fiscal Year 2005.


(signature)

Terrence Beurer

(name)

Director of Field Operations Administration

(title)