

---

**From:** Miller, Corinne (DCH)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 29, 2015 5:44 PM  
**To:** Wells, Eden (DCH)  
**Subject:** FW: TIME SENSITIVE: Fw: Discrepancies

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

---

**From:** Miller, Corinne (DCH)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 29, 2015 5:44 PM  
**To:** Peeler, Nancy (DCH)  
**Subject:** RE: TIME SENSITIVE: Fw: Discrepancies

Nancy,

We are attempting to replicate to the degree possible Hurley data, stratifying into high risk Flint, other Flint and non-Flint, ages < 16 months, < 6 years, and < 16 years. The data includes more than just Hurley-related testing of course.

Corinne

---

**From:** Peeler, Nancy (DCH)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 29, 2015 3:54 PM  
**To:** Wells, Eden (DCH)  
**Cc:** Miller, Corinne (DCH); Lasher, Geralyn (DCH); Hertel, Elizabeth (DCH); Scott, Robert L. (DCH)  
**Subject:** RE: TIME SENSITIVE: Fw: Discrepancies  
**Importance:** High

Bob went back and looked at his numbers.

For the first chart, with the elevated blood lead levels, he found one number in the 2014-2015 row that changed, and he confirmed that the data in the later version was correct (the version dated September 23).

In the second chart, showing testing numbers, use the one dated September 23<sup>rd</sup>. That chart shows unduplicated tests (e.g. one test per child, per month), whereas the previous version did count some 'duplicate' tests.

I have copied Bob on this email, in case you have further questions.

I'd also like to ask for clarification on one of Geralyn's earlier emails today; Bob (CLPPP) had produced these descriptive charts – but it looked like Dr. Wells is asking Corinne to do that instead of CLPPP (it was a request to re-do the chart with only younger children included). Trying not to duplicate efforts, so please clarify if you would like us to do that, or someone else. Thanks!

Nancy

---

**From:** Wells, Eden (DCH)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 29, 2015 3:11 PM  
**To:** Peeler, Nancy (DCH)

**Cc:** Miller, Corinne (DCH); Lasher, GERALYN (DCH); Hertel, Elizabeth (DCH)  
**Subject:** Re: TIME SENSITIVE: Fw: Discrepancies

fantastic, thanks!!

---

**From:** Peeler, Nancy (DCH)  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 29, 2015 3:04 PM  
**To:** Wells, Eden (DCH)  
**Cc:** Miller, Corinne (DCH); Lasher, GERALYN (DCH); Hertel, Elizabeth (DCH)  
**Subject:** Re: TIME SENSITIVE: Fw: Discrepancies

I'll connect with him shortly, and we'll get back to you.

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 29, 2015, at 2:41 PM, Wells, Eden (DCH) <[WellsE3@michigan.gov](mailto:WellsE3@michigan.gov)> wrote:

Hi Nancy,

I do not have Bob's email, so if you can talk to him; however, I got a request from Dr. Hanna-Attisha at Hurley today as to why the 2014-2015 may differ in the 2 pdf's she attached (received 9/15 and 9/25). FYI, she stated that Bob is great to work with.

However, due to different numbers and analyses and such flying around in the last 24 hours, please respond back to me ASAP regarding the discrepancies, and I can relay to her.

Thank you!

Eden

Eden V. Wells, MD, MPH, FACPM  
Chief Medical Executive  
Michigan Department of Health and Human Services  
201 Townsend Street, 5th Floor CVB  
Lansing, MI 48913  
Phone: 517-335-8011  
[wellse3@michigan.gov](mailto:wellse3@michigan.gov)

---

**From:** Mona Hanna-Attisha <[MHanna1@hurleymc.com](mailto:MHanna1@hurleymc.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, September 29, 2015 1:07 PM  
**To:** Wells, Eden (DCH)  
**Subject:** FW: Discrepancies

Dr Wells, in regards to the discrepancy noted in state data. Attached are two PDF files that were sent regarding the state lead numbers.

The original PDF was sent on 9/15/15 to Dr Reynolds from Bob Scott from MDHHS's Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Program and the updated PDF was sent to me from Bob Scott last Friday.

The attached excel database (that I created) has two tabs that include the original and updated numbers. Yellow highlights are all the numbers that changed. It is very strange how many of the numbers have changed. I'm not sure if the updated numbers tried to refine the location to Flint proper???

The analyses of the data does not change very much (when you look at total annual EBL%) - there has been a statistically significant increase in EBL% since water change (2014-2015) where every year prior there has been a decrease (as has happened nationally). And this does not even include the fact that the data is not scientific – wrong age range and missing lots of EBLs by using first time lead analysis rather than highest lead.

Just thought the discrepancy was odd.

**Mona Hanna-Attisha MD MPH FAAP**

Program Director Pediatric Residency

Hurley Children's Hospital at Hurley Medical Center

Michigan State University College of Human Medicine

Department of Pediatrics and Human Development

[Mhanna1@hurleymc.com](mailto:Mhanna1@hurleymc.com)

<Flint Testing and EBLLs\_updated 092315\_with notes (2).pdf>

<Flint Testing and EBLLs (4).pdf>

<STATELEADDATA with original and updated numbers.xlsx>