FY 2022 Annual Report



State of Michigan
Department of Health
and Human Services
Office of Inspector General

Alan Kimichik, Inspector General

Contents

Message from the Inspector General

It is with honor that I present to you the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) Fiscal Year (FY) 2022 Annual Report.

The OIG continues to cultivate a diverse, skilled and engaged workforce dedicated to excellence, teamwork and the highest standards of professional conduct in a collaborative work environment. I am privileged to lead such a dedicated team and am proud of our ongoing work to improve program integrity in the programs administered by MDHHS.

The OIG's primary role is to investigate fraud, waste and abuse in programs administered by MDHHS and to increase program integrity and accountability. Citizens expect accountability and integrity in state government, and the OIG team takes this to heart. The landscape of fraud is constantly changing as new schemes are developed, and my staff continue to innovate to identify these schemes and ensure appropriate action is taken. As a result of my staff's hard work, the following accomplishments were achieved in FY 2022:

- Accounted for approximately $278.7 million in program integrity efforts (fraud detection, cost avoidance and disqualifications).
- Performed 20,243 public assistance application investigations resulting in cost avoidance of more than $77.8 million.
- Established $126.8 million in Medicaid provider overpayment receivables and cost avoidance.
- Completed 3,405 public assistance fraud investigations.
- Identified $19 million of public assistance program fraud.
- Established $2.7 million in cost avoidance from disqualifications of public assistance recipients for intentional program violations.

OIG's actions benefit all citizens by helping ensure that funds for public assistance programs are available to the residents that truly need them, and that taxpayers' money is spent on its intended purpose.

I want to thank the OIG's staff, fellow state employees and all Michiganders who reported suspected fraud, waste, abuse and misconduct in FY 2022 and encourage them to continue in the future. Together, we can further strengthen the integrity of the programs administered by MDHHS.

Sincerely,

Alan Kimichik, Inspector General

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Fraud Detection and Prevention

Enforcement Division

In FY 2022, the Office of Inspector General - Enforcement Division Agents:

- Determined $103.4 million of fraud, cost avoidance and established program disqualifications.
- Completed 3,405 fraud investigations.
- Completed 20,243 Front End Eligibility (FEE)[1] investigations.
- Identified $77.8 million in cost avoidance in FEE investigations.
- Established an additional $2.7 million in cost avoidance from intentional program violation (IPV) disqualifications.
- Identified $19 million of program fraud.

Integrity Division

In FY 2022, the Office of Inspector General - Integrity Division agents:

- Sanctioned 60 providers, establishing $17.1 million in fee-for-service and $21.1 million in managed care encounter payment cost avoidance.
- Identified $20.5 million in inappropriate Medicaid expenditures, recovering $5.2 million to date.
- Performed program integrity oversight of Michigan Medicaid's 41 Managed Care Organizations (MCO). These MCOs performed a total of 5,907 provider audits and/or reviews, resulting in a total reduction of MCO encounter payments of $27.5 million.
- Referred 22 Medicaid providers to the Michigan Department of Attorney General's Health Care Fraud Division for credible allegation of fraud investigations.
- Completed 1,048 fraud investigations.

[1]**Front End Eligibility (FEE):** MDHHS caseworkers may request an investigation by an OIG agent when applications or re-certifications for public assistance contain suspicious or error-prone information. OIG agents investigate, substantiate or refute discrepancies and suspicious activities; the results may involve an assistance case not being opened, reduced benefits issued and/or case closure.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Fraud Detection and Prevention

Enforcement Division
Specialized Investigative Units:

In FY 2022, the Special Investigations Unit (SIU) agents:

- Completed 136 investigations.
- Determined $766,000 of provider, contractor, recipient and employee fraud.

In FY 2022, the Benefit Trafficking Unit (BTU) agents:

- Completed 591 benefit trafficking investigations.
- Determined $1.4 million in fraud.

In FY 2022, the Identity Theft Unit (ITU) agents:

- Investigated 125 identity theft criminal entities utilizing 1,955 stolen and fraudulent identities to illegally obtain and traffic Food Assistance Program (FAP) benefits.
- Determined more than $12.9 million in fraud from identity theft and established $8.9 million in cost avoidance.

In FY 2022, the High Risk Medicaid Unit (HRMU) agents:

- Completed 1,684 high-risk Medicaid investigations.
- HRMU investigations resulted in 331 beneficiaries being confined to a specified pharmacy and/or health care provider.
- Investigations resulted in $6.1 million in Medicaid cost avoidance.

In FY 2022, the Cooperative Disability Investigation Unit (CDI) agents:

- Completed 46 cooperative disability investigations.
- Established $3.9 million in cost avoidance.

COST EFFECTIVENESS AND PRODUCTIVITY

- Over the last five years, every dollar spent on fraud prevention resulted in an average of $22 of cost avoidance and savings for taxpayers.
- For every hour spent on an investigation in FY 2022, $184 of receivables and disqualifications was established.

OIG Authority

The Office of Inspector General (OIG), created in 1972, is a criminal justice agency in the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) under Michigan Compiled Law (MCL) 400.43b and Executive Orders No. 2010-1 and No. 2015-4. The primary duty of the OIG is to investigate cases of suspected fraud involving MDHHS assistance programs. In addition, OIG conducts the following activities as required by state and federal laws:

- Makes referrals for prosecution and disposition of appropriate cases as determined by the Inspector General.
- Fulfills the program integrity functions required by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) under 42 CFR §455.13-17, 42 CFR §455.21-23 and 42 CFR §455.500-518.
- Conducts and supervises activities to prevent, detect and investigate provider fraud, waste and abuse in Michigan's health services programs.
- Reviews administrative policies, practices and procedures.
- Makes recommendations to improve program integrity and accountability.

OIG Mission Statement

The mission of the OIG is to assist MDHHS in maintaining integrity and accountability in the administration of its human services programs. The OIG provides investigation and advisory services to ensure appropriate and efficient use of available public resources. The office shall serve as an independent and autonomous entity within the department to lead the integrity efforts of health services programs by seeking out, detecting and investigating provider and recipient fraud, waste and abuse.

OIG VALUES

The Office of Inspector General (OIG) is accountable to the people of the State of Michigan for maintaining the highest standards of integrity and good moral character.

As members of the OIG, we must work together as a team to plan and strive for excellence, realizing that the daily decisions that are made will reflect on the future of the people we investigate as well as our organization as a whole.

Recognition

- OIG employees shall recognize the accomplishments of those who make significant contributions toward our mission, values, goals and objectives.

Dignity

- OIG employees shall dedicate themselves to treat all people with respect, fairness and compassion.

Innovation

- OIG employees will strive to identify new activities to produce a greater impact on fraud, waste and abuse in programs administered by the Michigan Department of Health and Human Services.

Teamwork

- OIG employees shall recognize that the cooperation of all criminal justice and public agencies is essential for effective, efficient and responsive investigations and enforcement.
- Lead by example and be willing and able to assist any other investigative or public agency when requested.
- Understand the importance of creating a work environment that encourages innovation, input and participation.

Integrity

- OIG employees will display the highest possible standards of professional and ethical conduct.
- Understand that the integrity of the OIG must never be compromised. The public demands, and we must accept, that the integrity of an OIG employee must be above reproach. Strive to reach the highest standards of honesty and integrity.
- Conduct themselves in a manner that does not discredit the criminal justice profession or the OIG. Maintain the integrity of their profession through complete disclosure of those who violate laws, those who violate rules of conduct or those who conduct themselves in a manner which discredits the criminal justice profession.
- Never consider the badge of office as a license designed to provide them with special favor or consideration.

Excellence

- OIG employees are expected to meet the responsibilities of their assigned job duties, be responsible for their actions and be accountable to their supervisors, co-workers and to the citizens they serve.
- Perform the duties of the OIG Mission to their utmost ability.
- Know the laws, rules and policies that will aid them in performing their duties. Be aware of and meticulously adhere to all legal requirements on the release and dissemination of information.
- Understand that when trust and confidence are established within our organization, our stakeholders and the public will support us in fulfilling our duties.
- Take pride in themselves and their organization, take ownership of their work and be leaders in their areas of responsibility.

INSPECTOR GENERAL OVERVIEW

The OIG is the criminal justice agency within the MDHHS providing program integrity services. OIG agents provide investigation and advisory services to ensure appropriate and efficient use of available public resources in the State of Michigan.

Within the OIG there are three divisions: Integrity (Medicaid providers), Enforcement (recipients/vendors and non-Medicaid providers) and Operations (Administrative, Investigative Analytics and Policy & Training). OIG agents and their managers are strategically located throughout Michigan to assist MDHHS in maintaining integrity and accountability in the administration of all its programs.



OIG IMPACT ON PUBLIC ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

Fraud detection in public assistance - $43.5 million
Fraud prevention in public assistance - $184 million

Notes: Represents FIP (Family Independence Program), FAP (Food Assistance Program), SDA (State Disability Assistance), SER (State Emergency Relief), CDI (Cooperative Disability Investigations) and FFS (Fee-For-Service) Medicaid.

ENFORCEMENT DIVISION

The Enforcement Division primarily investigates allegations of fraud, waste or abuse by the recipients and the vendors of all public assistance programs, excluding Medicaid providers. In the Enforcement Division, there are several unique programs and units that focus on important aspects of fraud detection and prevention.

FRAUD INVESTIGATIONS

OIG is responsible for investigating instances of alleged fraud in all programs administered by the department, as well as reviewing administrative policies and procedures and recommending ways of improving accountability, fraud detection and prevention. For example, OIG investigates fraud in the Family Independence Program (FIP), the Food Assistance Program (FAP), the Child Development and Care program (CDC), and the Medicaid program (MA). In addition, OIG investigates vendor fraud and state employees alleged to be involved in program fraud or certain crimes against MDHHS. All investigations found to contain the elements of fraud or criminal activity are forwarded to the appropriate authority for criminal disposition or are sent to the appropriate area within MDHHS for administrative action.

Fraud Investigation Highlights

Dual Assistance
An OIG investigation revealed that a recipient applied for and received FAP and MA benefits in another state while also receiving Michigan benefits. OIG submitted the investigation for an administrative hearing. The Administrative Law Judge ruled for the department and ordered the recipient to repay $5,266 in FAP and MA benefits fraudulently obtained from Michigan and serve a 12-month FAP disqualification.

Group Composition
An OIG investigation revealed that a recipient was residing with their minor children's other parent and did not report the other parent in the home. This impacted the group's CDC program eligibility. The investigation was referred to the Berrien County Prosecutor for criminal prosecution review. The recipient subsequently pled guilty to welfare fraud and was sentenced to pay restitution in the amount of $15,368.50, placed on 12 months of probation and ordered to complete community service.

Asset Detection
An OIG investigation revealed that a recipient had unreported property assets, making them ineligible for FAP. The investigation was referred to the Michigan Department of Attorney General for criminal prosecution. The recipient pled guilty to welfare fraud. The $31,192 over-issuance was repaid in full at sentencing.

Unreported Income
An OIG investigation determined that a recipient failed to accurately report their 2016 household income to the department. The recipient's household was ineligible for benefits because the income exceeded the program limit. The investigation was submitted to the Gladwin County Prosecutor's Office for review of criminal charges. The recipient pled guilty to welfare fraud and was sentenced to pay $49,214 in restitution and placed on probation for 12 months.

Unreported Self-Employment
An OIG investigation revealed that a recipient was misrepresenting self-employment income to the Department. A joint investigation was then conducted by OIG and the Social Security Administration OIG and revealed that the recipient had consistently earned self-employment income, making deposits totaling more than $1 million. The recipient pled guilty in the United States Western District Court to theft of government funds and was sentenced to 18 months imprisonment and upon release, two years of supervised release. Full restitution in the amount of $297,768.31 was ordered.

FRONT END ELIGIBILITY (FEE)

In focusing on fraud prevention, the FEE program provides for pre-eligibility investigations when applications or recertifications for public assistance contain suspicious or error-prone information. OIG agents investigate, substantiate or refute discrepancies and suspicious activities. Agents complete the investigation within 15 workdays and respond to the eligibility staff with their findings. The goal of the FEE program is to obtain and maintain a partnership between the local office staff early in the eligibility determination process to reduce errors and mispayments, which results in significant cost avoidance savings for the department.



FEE Investigation Highlights

Dual Assistance
OIG received a FEE referral alleging that a recipient's five children no longer resided in Michigan and were living in North Carolina. Evidence gathered supported that the five children were no longer in the recipient's care. The FAP benefits were reduced resulting in a cost avoidance of $5,220.

Dual Assistance
OIG received a FEE referral alleging receipt of dual FAP and MA benefits in Michigan and another state. Evidence gathered supported that the child did not reside in Michigan with the respective parent and was not eligible for benefits. The FAP and MA benefits were denied resulting in a cost avoidance of $8,604.

Dual Assistance
OIG received a FEE referral indicating that a recipient was receiving dual MA benefits in Michigan and another state. Evidence gathered supported a household size of five was actively receiving MA in another state and was not eligible for benefits in Michigan. The MA benefits were denied resulting in a cost avoidance of $28,020.

Residency
OIG received a FEE referral alleging a recipient was receiving FAP and MA benefits while residing in another state with employment. Active employment was verified in the other state. FAP and MA benefits were denied resulting in $8,604 in cost avoidance.

BENEFIT TRAFFICKING UNIT (BTU)

BTU agents conduct comprehensive and multifaceted criminal and civil investigations involving the fraudulent acquisition and use of MDHHS program benefits and initiates criminal, civil and administrative action to prosecute offenders and recover program funds. FAP trafficking is a crime that involves the buying, selling or trading of public assistance benefits for cash or other ineligible items, including tobacco, alcohol, firearms, drugs and gambling. The unit also investigates allegations of MA fraud, which includes the sale of a person's MA card to obtain health services.

BTU Investigation Highlights

Fraudulent Acquisition and Use of FAP Benefits/Murder
OIG investigated suspicious FAP benefit use and discovered that the recipient was reported as a missing person. The investigation revealed the recipient's partner was utilizing the recipient's FAP benefits after the disappearance. The partner was arrested for unlawfully using FAP benefits, admitted killing the recipient and led police to the body. The partner was charged and convicted of manslaughter and is awaiting sentencing.

FAP Trafficking
An OIG investigation determined that a FAP recipient used their food assistance benefits to prepare and sell meals for cash out of their home. Agents conducted an undercover operation in which they purchased dinners from the recipient. The recipient was charged and convicted of welfare fraud and ordered to pay more than $11,000 in restitution to the State of Michigan.

Fraudulent Acquisition of FAP Benefits
An OIG investigation determined that an individual submitted numerous fraudulent MDHHS benefit applications to illegally obtain food assistance benefits. The investigation identified the individual who confessed their involvement in the scheme. The individual was criminally charged and convicted of felony welfare fraud and ordered to pay restitution of nearly $46,000 to the State of Michigan.

IDENTITY THEFT UNIT (ITU)

Identity theft is a pervasive crime that increased during the COVID-19 pandemic. In July 2021, OIG established the ITU to combat the growing trend of public assistance fraud where individuals and criminal enterprises utilize stolen identities to apply for and obtain MDHHS benefits. ITU agents ascertain and identify the existence of sophisticated criminal conspiratorial schemes through field investigations, social media and advanced data analysis. The ITU initiates appropriate criminal charges to prosecute offenders and recover program funds.

ITU Investigation Highlights

FAP Identity Theft

OIG discovered an MDHHS benefit application submitted in the name of a deceased person. The investigation uncovered nearly 200 additional fraudulent FAP benefit cases associated with the scheme. The perpetrator was identified, and a subsequent search of their residence resulted in the recovery of 25 fraudulent Bridge cards and a list of stolen identities. The individual confessed to their involvement in the scheme, which resulted in the fraudulent issuance of more than $33,000 in FAP benefits. The subject was charged with multiple felony crimes and the case is pending in court.

Medicaid Identity Theft

An OIG investigation determined that nearly 3,000 fraudulent identities were used to illegally obtain MDHHS benefits. The investigation identified the perpetrator of the fraud and a subsequent search of his residence uncovered more than 7,000 stolen identities. The investigation resulted in the recovery of more than $12 million in Medicaid benefits. The individual was charged with multiple felony crimes and the case is pending in court.

SPECIAL INVESTIGATION UNIT (SIU)

The SIU investigates the most complex criminal and civil complaints of fraud, waste and abuse in the programs administered by the department. The SIU identifies and determines existence of sophisticated criminal conspiratorial schemes by employees, contractors, businesses, vendors and recipients to receive program funds. Agents ascertain the nature of offenses committed and determine and initiate appropriate criminal, civil and administrative action to resolve the allegations and recover program funds. The SIU, as well as all of OIG, formulates recommendations to address fraud vulnerability, internal control and accountability relating to program law, regulation, policy and procedure.

SIU Investigation Highlights

Employee Kickback Fraud

OIG uncovered evidence that a State of Michigan employee was directing business to a particular health care service provider in exchange for kickback compensation. The employee and the service provider were charged and convicted of multiple felonies and were ordered to repay more than $99,000 in compensation to the State of Michigan.

Welfare Fraud

An OIG investigation determined that an MDHHS benefit recipient received Adult Home Help services while also being paid for providing Adult Home Help services to other patients. The scheme resulted in the fraudulent issuance of more than $16,000 in benefits, and the perpetrator was charged with felony welfare fraud.

HIGH RISK MEDICAID UNIT (HRMU)

In October 2019, OIG established the HRMU to review beneficiaries' use of MA for potential abuse. HRMU agents investigate beneficiaries who potentially abuse or misuse MA services and benefits. OIG's Investigative Analytics section identifies high risk behaviors such as:

- Beneficiaries who received strong opioid prescriptions with no corresponding diagnosis.
- Beneficiaries who sought opioid prescriptions from multiple doctors and/or pharmacies over a short period of time.
- Beneficiaries who traveled long distances to seek strong opioid prescriptions.

After investigation, OIG's HRMU forwards these beneficiaries to the MDHHS' Benefits Monitoring Program (BMP) for review. BMP and/or the associated health plan makes the determination that the beneficiary's behavior indicates the need that they be confined to care with a specified provider and/or pharmacy for a two-year period. Upon confinement, all non-emergency medical care and/or prescriptions must be authorized by the designated health provider and dispensed by the designated pharmacy to be covered by MA.

HRMU Investigation Highlights

Multiple Doctors and Prescriptions
An OIG investigation determined that a beneficiary utilized the emergency department eight times while visiting two different emergency departments during a quarter. The beneficiary utilized more than three different pharmacies to fill controlled substance prescriptions in each of the previous four quarters. The beneficiary was obtaining controlled substance prescriptions from 12 different providers from nine different practices. The beneficiary only had billed office visits to Medicaid from two of the providers. BMP confined the beneficiary to a specified provider for two years resulting in MA cost avoidance of approximately $16,480.

Excessive Emergency Department Visits and Multiple Providers
An OIG investigation revealed that a beneficiary visited the emergency room 12 times in 2022 without any follow-up appointments with their primary care physician. The beneficiary was obtaining controlled substances from four different providers from different practices while utilizing four different pharmacies. BMP confined the beneficiary to a specified provider for two years resulting in MA cost avoidance of approximately $16,480.

COOPERATIVE DISABILITY INVESTIGATIONS (CDI) UNIT

Since 2014, OIG has partnered with the Social Security Administration Office of Inspector General (SSA-OIG) through a Cooperative Disability Investigations (CDI) program in Michigan. CDI combats fraud by investigating questionable claims, statements and activities of claimants, medical providers, interpreters or other service providers who are suspected of disability fraud. The results of these investigations are presented to federal and state prosecutors for consideration of prosecution and to the MDHHS Disability Determination Services (DDS) for its use in making timely and accurate disability determinations. The CDI unit supports the strategic goal of ensuring integrity of the Social Security programs with zero tolerance for fraud and abuse. The unit also serves to deter fraud in related federal and state benefit programs. Any person deemed eligible for Supplemental Security Income (SSI) is automatically made eligible for MA. OIG's participation in the CDI unit realizes savings to Michigan taxpayers for stopping both SSI and MA fraud.

The two OIG agents, working in partnership with SSA-OIG, produced a total cost avoidance of $3.9 million.

CDI Unit Investigation Highlight

Disability Fraud

The Detroit CDI unit investigated a 47-year-old individual who received Title XVI Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits since 2011 due to mental health-related issues. The CDI unit received information from the MDHHS that the individual had falsified medical needs forms that were submitted to MDHHS. The individual had reported to SSA that they had difficulty hearing and had to read lips. It was also reported that the individual's parent helped them with cleaning, cooking, and bathing, as well as taking the individual shopping and managing their money. A search of the individual's Facebook showed they were active with many activities including attending and cooking at a party, helping paint a home, and vacationing in Las Vegas.

A CDI unit investigator found the individual was able to shop without any difficulties or assistance.

While conducting observations of the individual, the CDI unit investigator observed them exit their house and drive to a restaurant and order food in the drive-thru without any difficulties.

The individual was also observed talking on a cellular phone without any communication issues. Following the conclusion of the investigation, the individual's application for SSA benefits was denied, which resulted in SSA savings of $51,805.60 and non-SSA related savings of $96,173.

PROGRAM INTEGRITY IMPACTS

OIG's Enforcement Division determined over $19 million in fraud during FY 2022 within multiple Michigan public assistance program areas. Because of the Enforcement Division efforts, during FY 2022, 200 felony warrants were authorized by county, state and federal prosecutors. Investigations by Enforcement Division agents have uncovered $35.6 million in fraud during the last three years.

Program Highlights

- MA accounted for 72 percent of Michigan's public assistance fraud during FY 2022.
- OIG completed 689 investigations of MA fraud resulting in $13.7 million in fraud found.
- OIG completed 2,891 investigations of FAP fraud resulting in 176 criminal warrants issued for a fiscal year total of $4.5 million in fraud found.
- OIG completed 19 CDC cases resulting in $127,871 in fraud found for the Michigan Department of Education (MDE).



CDC = Child Development and Care Program

FAP = Food Assistance Program

MA = Medicaid Program

Other = Adult/Children's Services, Family Independence Program, State Disability, State Emergency Relief

FEE: EARLY FRAUD DETECTION AND PREVENTION

The OIG conducts investigations when clients are suspected of misrepresenting their eligibility for public assistance. FEE investigations are initiated when assistance applications or other submitted documentation appear to contain suspicious or error-prone information. In focusing on fraud prevention through FEE, OIG ensures public assistance program integrity and increased savings for the taxpayers.





Working toward fraud prevention, Enforcement Division agents conducted 20,243 investigations in FY 2022 and identified $77.8 million in cost avoidance. Investigations by these agents have resulted in $396.7 million in program savings for taxpayers over the last five-year period.



Examples of health services provider fraud, waste and abuse:

* Billing for medical services not actually performed.
* Billing for unnecessary services.
* Billing for more expensive services than actually performed.
* Billing for services separately that should legitimately be one billing.
* Billing more than once for the same medical service.
* Dispensing generic drugs but billing for brand-name drugs.
* Billing for supplies/medication not dispensed.

INTEGRITY DIVISION

In FY 2022, Michigan's health services programs had a combined budget of approximately $22.2 billion and paid approximately 251,000 providers for goods and services provided to beneficiaries covered under those programs. OIG's Integrity Division (OIG-ID) fulfills the program integrity functions required by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) under 42 CFR §455.13-17, 42 CFR §455.21-23 and 42 CFR §455.500-518.

The Integrity Division is responsible for conducting and supervising activities to prevent, detect and investigate provider fraud, waste and abuse in Michigan's health services programs, including Michigan's Medicaid Program, Mental Health Program, MI Child Program and Children's Special Health Care Services Program (for the purposes of this report, these health services programs will be described using the general term "Medicaid.")

Through its investigations, the Integrity Division works to ensure that the money spent on health services is used for the best care of the beneficiaries. There are several unique programs and units that focus on important aspects of investigation and fraud detection and prevention.

INVESTIGATIONS

The Integrity Division conducts investigations into alleged Medicaid fraud, waste and abuse and receives referrals from the public, beneficiaries, providers and other government and/or state law enforcement and regulatory agencies.

RECOVERY AUDIT CONTRACTOR

The Integrity Division has contracted with a vendor to perform audits and recover overpayments from Medicaid providers.

MANAGED CARE OVERSIGHT

The Integrity Division is responsible for monitoring the program integrity activities of Michigan Medicaid's Managed Care Organizations (MCO). Quarterly, MCOs are required to report their program integrity activities performed. These activities include data mining, audits, investigations, overpayment recoveries, etc.

HEALTH SERVICES PROGRAMS IMPACTS

In FY 2022, OIG-ID had an overall impact to direct Medicaid spending (i.e., fee-for-service (FFS)) totaling $126.8 million through the following activities:

- Identified a total of $20.5 million in overpayments made to Medicaid providers. To date, more than $5.2 million has been recovered while the remaining $15.4 million is being repaid over time.

- In FY 2022, OIG-ID:
  - Received 435 allegations of potentially fraudulent activity from various sources (e.g., 58 tips from beneficiaries, 187 tips from the public (55 anonymous), 179 referrals from inside MDHHS).
  - Identified 424 audit targets through data analytics.
  - Completed 1,048 fraud investigations.

- Prevented an estimated $36.6 million in future payments through reduced billing activities as a result of Medicaid provider audits and investigations.

- Sanctioned 60 Medicaid providers, preventing an estimated $17.1 million in future payments.
  - OIG-ID is responsible for making the determination to sanction a provider based on the grounds specified by MCL 400.111e and 42 CFR §455.23.

- Referred 22 Medicaid providers to the Medicaid Fraud Control Unit (MFCU) for criminal investigation.
  - In accordance with federal regulation (42 CFR §455.21), the MFCU is the first referral destination for all cases of suspected Medicaid provider fraud.
  - Three previously referred providers were convicted and/or signed civil settlement agreements. These three providers were required to pay a total of $58,072 in restitution.



FIELD INVESTIGATION SECTION OVERVIEW

Due to the magnitude and complexity of Michigan's health services program, OIG-ID utilizes six regionalized investigative units. Each unit primarily investigates fraud allegations dealing with the following provider types in its assigned region:

Dental	Mental Health
Durable Medical Equipment (DME)	MI Choice Waiver
Emergency Transportation	Non-Emergency Transportation
Federally Qualified Health Centers	Nursing Home
Hearing and Vision	Pharmacy
Home Health Agency	Physical Therapy
Home Help	Physician
Hospice	Private Duty Nursing
Hospital	Rural Health Clinics
Laboratory	Substance Abuse Clinics
Local Health Departments	Tribal Health Centers
Maternal Infant Health Program	Urgent Care Centers

These regionalized teams enable OIG-ID to better coordinate efforts, thereby enhancing the accuracy, completeness and overall effectiveness where OIG can achieve its mission.

OIG-ID's field investigation sections are primarily responsible for:

- Identifying vulnerabilities where a more robust Medicaid policy and/or system edit would have prevented fraud, waste or abuse and making formal recommendations to prevent future claims from being paid.

- Investigating allegations of Medicaid provider fraud, waste and abuse, leading to the following outcomes:

    - Referring Medicaid provider fraud to the Michigan Department of Attorney General's Health Care Fraud Division.
    - Suspending payments to Medicaid providers when it is determined there is a credible allegation of fraud for which an investigation is pending.
    - Identifying and recovering non-fraud overpayments from Medicaid providers and MCOs.
    - Educating providers on proper Medicaid billing practices.
    - Referring information and evidence to regulatory agencies and licensure boards.



Fraud Investigation Highlights

Home Help
In FY 2022, receivables were established for 558 home help providers totaling approximately $7.4 million for payments made while either their beneficiaries were hospitalized or after their death, while the provider was incarcerated, or for other noncompliance with Medicaid policy.

Transportation
In FY 2022, nine ambulance providers agreed to repay the Medicaid program a total of $234,383 that they received as a result of billing for advanced life support, when basic life support was more appropriate and for billing Medicaid when the patient was incarcerated at the time of the service.

Durable Medical Equipment (DME)
In FY 2022, four DME providers agreed to repay the Medicaid program a total of $201,628 that they received as a result of billing for oxygen and oxygen supplies for patients who did not meet the Medicaid requirements for oxygen saturation.

Hospice
In FY 2022, one hospice provider agreed to repay the Medicaid program a total of $180,900 that it received as a result of billing monthly for providing hospice care to Medicaid beneficiaries despite being non-compliant with documentation requirements.

Maternal Infant Health Program (MIHP)
In FY 2022, eight MIHP providers agreed to repay the Medicaid program a total of $392,516 that they received as a result of billing for services that violated Medicaid MIHP policy.

Pharmacy
Pharmaceutical inventory audits are performed to validate that items supplied to Medicaid beneficiaries are supported by purchase invoices, as required by Medicaid policy.

In FY 2022, 34 pharmacy providers agreed to repay the Medicaid program a total of approximately $9.2 million as a result of pharmaceutical inventory audits.

Additionally, in FY 2022, 35 pharmacy providers agreed to repay the Medicaid program a total of $442,875 as a result of billing above the MDHHS product cost payment limits for COVID-19 antigen home test kits, billing for a higher quantity than the medical records support, or billing for pharmaceuticals using the wrong unit of measurement (i.e., mg instead of ml).

Dental
In FY 2022, 19 dental providers agreed to repay the Medicaid program a total of $152,880 that they received as a result of billing for services that violated Medicaid dental policy.

Lab
In FY 2022, one laboratory provider agreed to repay the Medicaid program a total of $130,194 that they received as a result of duplicate billing.

CONTRACT OVERSIGHT SECTION OVERVIEW

The Contract Oversight Section is comprised of two units, the Managed Care Organization (MCO) Oversight Unit and the Vendor Oversight Unit.

VENDOR OVERSIGHT UNIT

The Vendor Oversight Unit is responsible for ensuring the success of OIG-ID's Vendor Audit Program. OIG-ID financial recovery activities include third-party audit contractors to improve program integrity.

- The Affordable Care Act requires Medicaid agencies to contract with a Recovery Audit Contractor (RAC) to identify and recover overpayments.
    - In FY 2018, CMS approved a waiver to allow OIG to utilize their Unified Program Integrity Contractor (UPIC) as the Michigan Medicaid RAC.
    - The UPIC performs data mining algorithms on the Medicaid database to identify potential areas of recovery. These scenarios are preapproved by OIG's Vendor Oversight Unit analysts.
    - OIG's Vendor Oversight Unit analysts also review and preapprove each proposed UPIC audit target as well as their sample selection prior to record review.
- In FY 2022, the UPIC identified $409,214 in overpayments made to Medicaid providers and prevented an estimated $52.5 million in future payments through reduced billing activities as a result of Medicaid provider audits.

MCO OVERSIGHT UNIT

The MCO Oversight Unit is responsible for monitoring the program integrity activities of Michigan Medicaid's MCOs.

- In coordination with the Managed Care Plan Division, OIG-ID requires each of Michigan Medicaid's physical health and dental MCOs to complete section six of the Managed Care Compliance Review tool.
    - Section six requires each MCO to report to OIG-ID their program integrity activities performed each quarter. Program integrity activities include information relating to tips/ grievances received (including explanation of benefits), data mining activities, audits performed and provider disenrollments.

MCO Oversight Unit Highlights

Provider Audits/Reviews
In FY 2022, Michigan Medicaid's 41 MCOs performed a total of 5,907 provider audits and/or reviews, resulting in a total reduction of MCO encounter payments of $27.5 million.

Provider Sanctions
In FY 2022, OIG-ID agents prevented an estimated $21.1 million in Medicaid MCO encounter payments as a result of provider suspensions.

MCO OVERSIGHT UNIT

- MI Choice Waiver Agencies and Prepaid Inpatient Health Plans are also required to submit these program integrity activity reports quarterly.

- As MCOs submit their quarterly reports, OIG-ID's MCO Oversight Unit analysts review each report for compliance. An MCO's report can receive a pass, incomplete or failure. MCOs who receive an incomplete or fail must submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP).

    * CAP submissions are reviewed by the MCO Oversight Unit analysts to ensure the CAP meets contract requirements.

- MCOs are required to refer all credible allegations of fraud to the MCO Oversight Unit.

    * An OIG-ID analyst is assigned to each MCO fraud referral to evaluate the referral and determine if the allegation was credible and if the fraudulent activity occurred systemwide among other health plans and Medicaid fee-for-service.
    * If the allegation is deemed to be credible, a formal referral is made to the Michigan Department of Attorney General's MFCU.



The Medicaid Health Plans are: Aetna Better Health of Michigan (AET), Blue Cross Complete of Michigan (BCC), HAP Empowered (HAP), McLaren Health Plan (MCL), Meridian Health Plan of Michigan (MER), Molina Healthcare of Michigan (MOL), Priority Health Choice (PRI), UnitedHealthcare Community Plan (UNI), and Upper Peninsula Health Plan (UPP).

OPERATIONS DIVISION

OIG's Operations Division (OIG-OD) is comprised of three areas: Administrative Services, Investigative Analytics and Policy & Training.

OIG-OD's Administrative Services is responsible for overall administrative support of the administration. It manages budget development and monitoring, system security, fraud hotlines, investigative process support as well as overseeing of the day-to-day business operations. For example, in FY 2022, OIG's Administrative Services provided extensive quality control reviews on more than 1,130 investigative packets referred to the Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules for debt collection and program disqualification requests.

OIG-OD's Policy & Training Unit (P&T) is responsible for ensuring accurate and timely policy review, development and implementation. The unit reviews, researches and analyzes current and proposed department policy, state laws, federal legislation and associated MDHHS and OIG policy changes. It is responsible for developing and delivering training to OIG staff as the need develops. This includes planning, coordinating and facilitating both internal and external training events.

OIG-OD's Investigative Analytics is responsible for the analytic solutions that support ongoing investigations and fraud referrals. This section is responsible for a multitude of complex analysis, predictive analytics and data mining solutions to highlight potential fraud. Investigative Analytics provides system administrator support as well as unique and specialized skills for program integrity efforts.

OIG-OD's Investigative Analytics section also houses the Technical Systems Unit (TSU), which oversees the development and maintenance of technical systems that support OIG's investigators and analysts. The TSU also creates reporting solutions for internal, state and federal needs. TSU is responsible for ensuring timely and accurate data is available for analysis and fraud referral generation.

Administrative Services Highlight

New Staff Recruitment, Selection and Onboarding

During FY 2022, OIG was able to hire 24 new employees. Administrative Services coordinated the hiring and selection process for the various OIG work units to make this happen. Administrative Services staff also ensured that new employees had all of their needed equipment (e.g., computers, phones, etc.) on their first day of employment, regardless of working in an office or remote.

POLICY & TRAINING (P&T) UNIT

OIG's P&T is responsible for the new hire orientation program for all new OIG employees. This provides a consistent introduction and overview of the department, the administration and OIG's mission. The unit oversees the program to ensure employees are educated on OIG's values, history and an understanding of the importance of all three divisions that make up OIG. P&T continues to identify and implement on-the-job training materials to create a highly skilled workforce. The unit reviews and analyzes proposed department policies to ensure program integrity and offer recommendations as needed. The unit analyzes the impact of those proposed polices and the effect it could potentially have on OIG business processes as well as the potential global impact on the department.

P&T Highlights

OIG's Training Institute

In January of 2022, P&T launched OIG's Training Institute (OTI). Through a structured training program, OTI provides a solid foundation of knowledge for new employees. It is designed to develop critical skills and judgement along with professional habits that will prepare staff for a successful career with OIG. Training opportunities include: Instructor Lead Trainings (ILTs), Computer Based Trainings (CBTs), desk aids and proficiency tests, available in both OIG's new Learning Management System (LMS) and SharePoint platform.

In July 2022, formal on-the-job training was incorporated into OTI with the introduction of lead workers as one-on-one trainers. The on-the-job training and instruction are documented in LMS through weekly observation reports, shadowing opportunities, and investigation reviews/signoffs. P&T continues to work with OIG's subject matter experts to add additional trainings to OTI. At the conclusion of FY 2022, OIG's LMS is the repository for 36 training classes, 32 on-the-job training resources, 16 desk aids, and 38 testing tools. Additional training material continues to be available on OIG's SharePoint site.

Training Events

P&T facilitated 13 training events for OIG staff in FY 2022. The unit hosted training for investigators to further their professional development in addition to offering soft skill training opportunities.

Final Department Reviews

During FY 2022, the unit reviewed and analyzed 59 proposed department polices associated with MDHHS. These reviews support both the office (for early awareness of changing policies) and the department (from our improvement recommendations).

Promoting Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI) at OIG

P&T assisted OIG's DEI Council member with hosting several DEI workshops for OIG staff. These workshops facilitated awareness of unconscious bias, cultural competence and other barriers to diversity, equity, inclusion (DEI) and belonging. The discussions in these workshops helped to promote an inclusive workplace culture, assisting in employee engagement and employee retention by fostering relationship building, communication and empathy. These workshops were a chance to bring people together who may not typically work together to hear different perspectives or experiences.

INVESTIGATIVE ANALYTICS

OIG OD's Investigative Analytics section is responsible for providing analytic support for ongoing investigations and fraud referrals. Investigative Analytics uses analytical tools and techniques, as well as knowledge of all program rules, to mine state-owned data to determine fraud, waste and abuse events and trends. Data analytics allows for detection and identification of patterns of fraudulent behavior that may not otherwise be clear. It is often the critical first step in the investigative process. OIG investigators use information from data analytics to focus their efforts and resources to areas with the greatest risk and return, leading to greater recoveries and discouraging future abuse.

Examples of additional Investigative Analytics functions and responsibilities include:

- Food Assistance Program (FAP) Trafficking Data Mining
- Medicaid Fraud, Waste and Abuse Data Mining
- Social Media Analysis
- Internet Protocol Locator Project
- Identity Theft/Application Fraud Analysis
- Asset Detection
- Out-of-State Bridge Card Transaction Analysis
- Provider and Recipient Vital Records Match
- Ad-hoc Investigative Support Data Requests
- Public Assistance Reporting Information System (PARIS) Match Analysis
- USDA-FNS Client Integrity Referral Analysis
- County Jail Match Analysis

Investigative Analytics Highlights

In-House Investigative Algorithms
Over the course of FY 2022, Investigative Analytics devised numerous algorithms used in the generation of investigative leads. One algorithm identified a specific group of previously unmatched incarcerated recipients receiving food assistance benefits for which they were ineligible. As a result, OIG investigators closed 971 FAP cases with a cost avoidance of more than $2 million. Another algorithm was enhanced to uncover individuals identified on the PARIS Interstate match as having dual Medicaid benefits in both Michigan and another state. In FY 2022, this algorithm resulted in the creation of 2,071 investigations, which led to $17 million in annualized cost avoidance.

Public Assistance Reporting Information System (PARIS) Investigations
Investigative Analytics utilizes the national PARIS Interstate Match to identify individuals receiving public assistance benefits in Michigan and another state at the same time. The resulting OIG investigation increases program integrity in Michigan's public assistance programs by removing ineligible beneficiaries. In FY 2022, PARIS matches resulted in $20.5 million in annual cost avoidance.

Analytics for Overpayment/Fraud Detection
In FY 2022, approximately 71 percent of OIG's Medicaid provider recoupment cases and 54 percent of public assistance program fraud investigations conducted by OIG were generated as a part of Investigative Analytics' data analytics/data mining efforts.

High Risk Medicaid Utilization (HRMU) Analytics
Investigative Analytics leverages technical expertise and Medicaid program knowledge to identify recipients and associated providers that may be abusing the program's resources. More than 99 percent of referrals to the HRMU are generated from analytics.

Out-of-State Spending
Exclusive out-of-state spending for an extended period is an indicator that the individual may no longer be a Michigan resident. Investigative Analytics utilizes the Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT) transaction data to identify individuals with EBT FAP spending exclusively outside of Michigan for at least three months. In FY 2022, the project resulted in $5.4 million in annualized cost avoidance.

TECHNICAL SYSTEMS UNIT (TSU)

OIG's Investigative Analytics section houses the TSU, which is responsible for maintaining and enhancing OIG's two major case management systems: 1) Michigan Inspector General System (MIGS) and 2) Medicaid Audit Recovery & Investigation System (MARIS). TSU also develops and maintains investigative data reporting tools for use by OIG agents. The unit provides OIG leadership with comprehensive reporting solutions to monitor the administration's productivity. TSU ensures timely and accurate data for use by Investigative Analytics specialists.

TSU Highlights

The TSU continued development on the next generation of investigative case management systems. This included major updates and enhancements to the Medicaid Audit Recovery and Investigation System (MARIS), as well as requirements and project work on the newest version of the Michigan Inspector General System (MIGS). As part of TSU's responsibility to provide high quality support to OIG investigative divisions, TSU implemented several processes to extend the life of current systems while the new version of MIGS is developed and fully online. TSU also implemented several new processes to better handle incoming requests for technical assistance and data access from investigators and OIG management.

In 2022, TSU launched a new software development approach, committing to a sprint-based process where team members committed to work items in an accountable setting. Additionally, all TSU and IA recurring activity is now tracked in a centralized location to ensure continuity across personnel changes. This structure also makes TSU systems error tolerant as historical data is preserved, and OIG has the capacity to roll back changes.



OIG ACTIVITIES

OIG is involved in many areas of the department that affect program integrity. Included are examples of operational activities:

Claims Establishment: OIG makes recommendations directly to MDHHS concerning all aspects of the recipient claims establishment process. Responsibilities include program content development, policy, procedures, program monitoring and measurement of outcomes and program advocacy.

Electronic Benefit Transfer (EBT): Food assistance and cash assistance benefits are electronically transferred to an account accessible by the client debit card called the Michigan Bridge Card. Transactions are analyzed for fraud trends to include out-of-state purchases for more than 30 days, non-recipients using Bridge Cards and other patterns of FAP trafficking.

Employee Fraud: The OIG Special Investigations Unit conducts investigations of MDHHS employees who are alleged to have committed crimes involving public assistance programs. Investigations have included embezzlement, failure to report employment when receiving state public assistance and creating and maintaining fictitious public assistance cases. Employees who have allegedly committed a criminal offense are referred to the Michigan Department of Attorney General for review of criminal charges.

Estate Recovery Fraud Investigations: The OIG collaborates with the MDHHS' Third Party Liability division to investigate potential fraud by individuals who received long-term care Medicaid payments. The estates of individuals who received Medicaid payments fraudulently are subject to repayment.

Front End Eligibility (FEE): MDHHS caseworkers may request an investigation by an OIG agent when applications or recertifications for public assistance contain suspicious or error-prone information. OIG agents investigate, substantiate or refute discrepancies and suspicious activities; the results may involve an assistance case not being opened, reduced benefits issued and/or case closure.

Hotline – Health Services: The public and other state/federal entities report allegations of potentially fraudulent activity in the Medicaid program to OIG through a variety of methods including email, telephone and toll-free hotline.

Hotline – Human Services: Recipient fraud referrals that come through the toll-free MDHHS fraud number or website go to a designated fraud coordinator in each local office. The referral is routed to the appropriate caseworker and manager for review, and the Enforcement Division is notified directly if the referral meets certain criteria.

LEIN (Law Enforcement Information Network): OIG, through its Terminal Agency Coordinator (TAC), is responsible for the integrity and security of sensitive and confidential information contained in the LEIN system. OIG provides extensive training for LEIN operators, maintains the LEIN policy and procedure manuals for LEIN use by OIG and investigates LEIN violations.

MCO Program Integrity Activities: Each MCO reports their program integrity activities performed each quarter to OIG. As MCOs submit their quarterly reports, OIG-ID staff review each of the 41 reports for compliance. An MCO's report can receive a pass, incomplete or failure. MCOs who receive an incomplete or fail must submit a Corrective Action Plan (CAP).

Policy Recommendations: OIG provides a leadership role in recommendations for policy changes to enhance prevention and detection of fraud by the continuous review of proposed and current department policy.

Provider Fraud – Health Services: OIG uses an investigative process to detect and deter potential instances of fraud, waste and abuse in health services programs. Provider fraud may include giving or receiving bribes or kickbacks, unacceptable medical and/or billing practices, misusing or abusing Medicaid services, falsifying records or giving false information. Cases involving credible allegations of fraud or other illegal activities are forwarded to the Michigan Department of Attorney General's Health Care Fraud Division for pursuit of appropriate civil or criminal prosecution.

Provider Fraud (non-Medicaid): These are intentional false billings or intentional inaccurate statements by a provider in areas such as Child Development and Care, Foster Care and Adoption Assistance Program subsidy, as well as contractors or other related businesses.

Provider Sanctions: Participation as a provider in the Medicaid program is subject to denial, suspension, termination or probation on the grounds specified by section 400.111e of the Social Welfare Act (Act 280 of 1939). OIG is responsible for making the determination to sanction a provider based on these grounds (e.g., provider is convicted of violating the Medicaid false claims act or a substantially similar statute of another state or the federal government; provider is convicted of, or pleads guilty to, a criminal offense or attempted criminal offense relating to the provider's practice of health care; provider's failure to comply with professionally accepted standards of medical practice, etc.).

Recipient Fraud: Recipient fraud occurs when there is intentional deception or misrepresentation, with the knowledge that the deception could result in the receipt of unauthorized benefits.

Social Media: OIG actively monitors social media sites such as Facebook, Craigslist and Twitter for FAP trafficking solicitations. OIG's Benefit Trafficking Unit conducts investigations on these hits.

REPORT FRAUD

Examples of Welfare Fraud:

- Providing false or untrue information to receive MDHHS assistance benefits.
- Not reporting income.
- Hiding assets (bank accounts, property, etc.).
- Not reporting mandatory group members that also reside in the home.
- Trading or selling food benefits or Bridge Cards.
- Purchasing beverage(s) that require a bottle deposit, dumping/discarding beverage(s) and then returning the container(s) to obtain the cash deposit refund.
- Accepting food benefits/Bridge Card for unauthorized items (retailers only).

Report Welfare Fraud at:
Michigan.gov/Fraud

Examples of Medicaid Provider Fraud:

- Billing for patients who did not really receive services.
- Billing for nonexistent patients or patients of other providers.
- Billing for a service and/or equipment that was not provided.
- Billing for items and services that the patient no longer needs.
- Overcharging for equipment or services.
- Billing for lengthy counseling sessions when only short sessions were provided.
- Concealing ownership or associations in a related company.
- Paying or accepting a “kickback” in exchange for a referral for medical services or equipment.
- Billing more than once for the same service.
- Billing for medical services that were actually provided by unlicensed or excluded personnel.
- Ordering tests or prescriptions that the patient does not need.

Report Medicaid Provider Fraud at:
Michigan.gov/Fraud or 855-643-7283

DHS-Pub-235 (Revised: 3-23)