

MILLIMAN CLIENT REPORT

Behavioral Health Encounter Data Quality Methodology and Instructions – SFY 2022 Period 1

State of Michigan, Department of Health and Human Services

April 1, 2022

[Christopher Pettit](#), FSA, MAAA
Principal and Consulting Actuary

[Jeremy Cunningham](#), FSA, MAAA
Principal and Consulting Actuary

[Jaime Fedeler](#), ASA, MAAA
Associate Actuary

[Spencer Keating](#), ASA, MAAA
Associate Actuary





Table of Contents

I. BACKGROUND AND EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
II. MASTER ELIGIBILITY FILE LOGIC	4
III. COORDINATION BETWEEN CMHSP AND REGIONAL PIHP	8
IV. METHODOLOGY AND INSTRUCTIONS	9
V. LIMITATIONS AND QUALIFICATIONS	14

Appendix A - EQI Financial Data Request (Provided Separately in Excel)

Reference A – County Crosswalk

Reference B – Modifier Crosswalk

I. Background and Executive Summary

Milliman, Inc. (Milliman) has been retained by the State of Michigan, Department of Health and Human Services (MDHHS) to provide actuarial and consulting services related to the Medicaid behavioral health (BH) program. We were requested to assist in the development of a reporting template for the Encounter Quality Initiative (EQI), which includes encounter and financial monitoring and reconciliation reports. This process will collect financial, eligibility, and encounter information from each of the community mental health service programs (CMHSPs) and prepaid inpatient health plans (PIHPs). The financial information being requested includes revenue (PIHP only), service level utilization and net cost (UNC), non-benefit expenses, and other expenses. The Service UNC tab will reflect internally maintained CMHSP and PIHP data and will facilitate the comparison of data in the encounter data warehouse. The cost information reported in the EQI should reflect total costs attributable to the corresponding programs and populations included within the template. The CMHSP and PIHP reported information should include all Medicaid and non-Medicaid behavioral health services provided by the CMHSPs and other mental health, developmental disabilities, and substance abuse contracted network providers.

The following provide the goals of the behavioral health EQI process.

- to collect high-level information (including but not limited to revenue, membership, and total actual costs) to monitor the managed care program financial status and non-Medicaid expenses
- to provide a comparison of the encounter data to the CMHSP and PIHP reported information so that all stakeholders can identify and address any encounter data quality concerns on an ongoing basis for both the Medicaid managed care and non-managed care populations
- to consolidate and refine several of the historical reporting templates into one comprehensive template
- to streamline processes to enable more frequent and timely reporting

To aid in the validation of encounter data, we are providing access to reported information via the DRIVE™ Comparison Dashboard for PIHPs who pay a nominal license fee. This Comparison Dashboard is a web-based application that is hosted by Milliman where MDHHS and the PIHPs can access the reports like the excel reports provided for encounter data quality. It provides a dynamic view that allows users to review the PIHP and CMHSP reported information in multiple ways.

Appendix A provides a pre-populated template for each CMHSP and PIHP to summarize and submit their understanding of the Medicaid utilization and expenditures incurred for providing behavioral health services. The PIHP template is limited to the populations eligible for Michigan's Medicaid behavioral health managed care program and the grant-funded services. The CMHSP template includes the Medicaid behavioral health, non-managed care General Fund, and grant-funded populations and services. The dual CMHSP/PIHP template includes all populations included in either the PIHP or CMHSP templates.

There has been significant discussion about the reporting of non-managed care SUD expenditures in the EQI template. For the SFY22 EQI templates, do not include units or costs for services funded by Substance Abuse Prevention and Treatment Block Grant, SUD General Fund, and other non-managed care SUD funds administered in EGrAMS that are reported to the state for the section 904 legislative report. We will be working with the EQI Workgroup to determine if and how SUD grant utilization should be included within SFY 2023 EQI reporting.

Encounters included in the EQI analysis should be limited to those where Medicaid paid all or a portion of the expenditures and should exclude encounters entirely paid by Medicare or other third parties.

Appendix A includes the following tabs to collect cumulative information for the October 2021 to January 2022 time period using claims submitted to MDHHS as of March 31, 2022. **Due to the attestation provided at the entity level, each CMHSP and PIHP will be responsible for submitting their respective report to MDHHS at qmpmeasures@michigan.gov.**

Each regional PIHP will be responsible for providing MDHHS the aggregated report for their PIHP, including the Medicaid utilization and expenditures from the CMHSPs in their catchment area. Each CMHSP and PIHP should submit their information in a single Excel workbook consistent with the way they received the template, which includes the following worksheets.

- Attestation
- Service Code Set
- Service UNC
- Service Cat UNC – PIHP (Regional PIHP Only)
- Service Cat UNC – CMHSP
- Other Expenses – *Optional usage for purposes of CCBHC reconciliation*
- Notes

The Medicaid populations included in the EQI reporting process are consistent with those covered under the Medicaid BH managed care program, including the disabled, aged, and blind (DAB), temporary assistance for needy families (TANF), Healthy Michigan Plan (HMP), 1915(c) habilitation supports waiver (HSW), 1915(c) serious emotional disturbances (SED) waiver, and the 1915(c) children's waiver program (CWP).

Lastly, the templates include a stratification for non-managed care beneficiaries receiving services covered via state *General Fund* as well as all *Other* fund sources.

This report contains the instructions to assist the CMHSPs and PIHPs in completing the template with eligibility, revenue, expense, and utilization information for the October 1, 2021 to January 31, 2022 (SFY 2022 Period 1) behavioral health EQI process. This report also includes the methodology that we will utilize to map encounter claims to specific PIHPs, programs, and populations. The encounter data is submitted by each PIHP into MDHHS' data warehouse, which is then provided to Milliman monthly.

The *Service Code Set* tab in Appendix A provides a listing of each service included in Michigan's behavioral health code chart, including the description, reporting unit type, category of service, whether it is included in the Medicaid managed care program, and the corresponding Medicaid authority. The *Service Code Set* tab was developed using the *SFY 2022 Behavioral Health Code Charts and Provider Qualifications.xlsx* on the MDHHS web site¹.

Coordination with the Standard Cost Allocation Workgroup

The EQI template and instructions align with MDHHS' long-term goals for CMHSP and PIHP reporting. Concurrently with the EQI reporting, the Community Mental Health Association of Michigan is sponsoring a Standard Cost Allocation (SCA) Workgroup, which includes participation from MDHHS and Milliman, to provide further guidance to the field regarding the EQI reporting requirement. It is our understanding that compliance with 42 CFR § 438.8 was difficult to implement for some entities during SFY 2022. One of the goals of this Standard Cost Allocation Workgroup that relates to the EQI reporting is the following:

Consistently and appropriately allocating administrative costs in compliance with 42 CFR § 438.8 to either CMHSP direct-run service provider administration, contracted provider administration, or managed care administrative expenses

We anticipate that some CMHSPs and PIHPs will complete the EQI template in SFY 2022 using existing methodologies to allocate administrative costs and will transition to using the SCA methodology in SFY 2023.

Key template differences across entities

The EQI template generally collects similar information across all entities; however, there are some differences in the reporting template depending on whether the entity is a CMHSP, a regional PIHP, or a dual CMHSP and PIHP. The following provides the key differences in the template across these three entity types:

¹https://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/0,5885,7-339-71550_2941_38765---,00.html

- Regional PIHP templates are entity-specific, but the only difference is the *Service Cat UNC – CMHSP* tab includes a reporting split to capture expenses from all the CMHSPs in their region. Services contracted through the PIHP and not the CMHSP should be entered in the *Service UNC* tab and the *Service Cat UNC – PIHP* tab.
 - Following validation of encounter data, Regional PIHPs are instructed to input the *Service Cat UNC – CMHSP* tab from each CMHSP template into the PIHPs *Service Cat UNC – CMHSP* tab.
 - The *Service UNC* tab of Regional PIHPs is limited to Services Contracted through the PIHP, which significantly reduces the size of the Regional PIHP templates compared to SFY 2020
- CMHSP templates collect expense information for the Medicaid, General Fund, and Grant funded beneficiaries.
- Dual CMHSP/PIHP templates are consistent with all other CMHSP templates.

II. Master Eligibility File Logic

This section details the methodology for creating a master eligibility file to be used for purposes of data processing, merging with the encounter data, and populating the EQI template. Additionally, guidance is provided for the handling of the merge of eligibility and encounters, particularly, with respect to a member spending down assets and becoming Medicaid eligible.

Program and Population Logic

Most of the tabs included in the template request information to be separated for each program and population. To assign a given individuals eligibility, service utilization, and service cost to a program and population, we would request the CMHSPs and PIHPs use the capitation file (820 data feed) as priority to assign the Medicaid population attributed to your entity. Effective October 1, 2019, MDHHS began making payments for retroactive eligible beneficiaries for up to six months. We anticipate only a small number of individuals to be retroactively Medicaid eligible for a month more than six months following the month of eligibility. We request that the CMHSPs and PIHPs identify these retroactively eligible Medicaid beneficiaries without a capitation payment using the eligibility file (834 data feed). If a beneficiary is not Medicaid eligible using the 820 or 834 files, entities should check the 270/271 to determine if the beneficiary has Medicaid eligibility in another county. Non-managed care general fund and/or grant funded beneficiaries served by the CMHSPs/PIHPs are identified as not having Medicaid eligibility for a given month in the 820, 834, or 270/271 data feeds. If a beneficiary is included in the 820 file, but not the 834 file, we would request that the beneficiary still be included. Figure 1 below provides a list of the behavioral health managed care program codes used to identify the Medicaid program and corresponding populations in the capitation data as well as the qualifying benefit plans used for purposes of the eligibility file.

FIGURE 1: MEDICAID BEHAVIORAL HEALTH MANAGED CARE ENROLLEE IDENTIFICATION

POPULATION / POPULATION GROUP	CAPITATION DATA MANAGED CARE PROGRAM CODE	MEDICAID ELIGIBILITY BENEFIT PLAN
DAB/TANF Enrolled	0006	HAS_BENEFIT_BHMA_MHP
DAB/TANF Unenrolled	0005	HAS_BENEFIT_BHMA
HMP Enrolled	0008	HAS_BENEFIT_BHHMP_MHP
HMP Unenrolled	0007	HAS_BENEFIT_BHHMP
HSW	0045	HAS_BENEFIT_HSW_MC
CWP	0077	HAS_BENEFIT_CWP_MC
SED	0082	HAS_BENEFIT_SED_MC

Figure 2 provides the applicable qualifying eligibility program codes to identify the DAB population separately from the TANF population for purposes of future EQI reporting splits that will be required in SFY 2022.

FIGURE 2: ELIGIBILITY PROGRAM CODE MAPPING

POPULATION	ELIGIBILITY PROGRAM CODES
DAB	A, B, E, M, O, P, Q
TANF	C, F, L, N, T

Although the MI Health Link program enrollees are not separately identified in the EQI, this stratification will still be required for purposes of the financial status report (FSR). This identification can be found on the coordination of benefits (COB) loop of the 834 file. Additionally, the spend-down effective date and enrollees in a Medicaid health plan can also be found on the coordination of benefits (COB) loop of the 834 file.

Reference A provides the crosswalk from the county of eligibility to the applicable CMHSP, PIHP, and region. The following provides additional information regarding the identification of individuals.

- Enrollees are attributed to a PIHP based in the capitation and Medicaid eligibility files based on the fields provided in Figure 3 below. This shows eligibility based on county of residence as well as the PIHP that received a capitation payment for the beneficiary. For the HSW population, the PIHP is assigned based on the waiver service authorization (WSA) file, which may reflect a county different from the county of eligibility (i.e., the county of financial responsibility (COFR)).

All other populations are attributed based on the county of eligibility. Enrollees are attributed to a CMHSP for purposes of the EQI analysis based on the county of eligibility from the capitation data or Medicaid eligibility file for both waiver and non-waiver individuals.

- The county of financial responsibility (COFR) PIHP is anticipated to continue submitting encounters in SFY 2022 consistent with prior reporting periods. MDHHS is considering changes to this for future time periods to align the PIHP responsibility of submitting encounters and paying claims with the PIHP who is receiving the capitation payment.
- Encounters are assigned to the CMHSP/PIHP that submitted the encounter (identified by the originator plan ID). Encounters submitted by a PIHP for a member with a capitation payment to a different PIHP will be separately identifiable in the EQI reconciliation.

PIHP Identification

In the next section of this report, we have provided instructions for the creation of a master eligibility file to be used in aiding the PIHPs and CMHSPs with populating the EQI Template. Throughout the creation of the master eligibility file, the PIHPs and CMHSPs will be expected to utilize three separate data feeds in order to populate the necessary fields. Figure 3 outlines the data field used by Milliman for identifying PIHP for each of the applicable data feeds.

FIGURE 3: PIHP IDENTIFICATION

DATA FEED	FIELD USED TO IDENTIFY PIHP
820 capitation data feed	CONTRACTOR_ID
834 eligibility data feed	COUNTY (see Reference A for mapping)
270/271 eligibility data feed	N/A

Development of Master Eligibility File

To facilitate the creation of the program and population information on the eligibility and encounter data, we have developed methodology to create a master eligibility file, which is comprised of information from the following three data sources.

1. Capitation file (820 data feed)
2. Eligibility file (834 data feed)
3. Eligibility file (270/271 data feed)

Please note that MDHHS requests that CMHSPs and PIHPs only utilize the 270/271 eligibility file in cases where the CMHSP or PIHP is providing services to individuals that are not Medicaid eligible in a given month (based on the 820 and 834 files) in one of the counties in their geographic catchment area (this would include encounters for COFR individuals as well as other individuals served by CMHSPs outside of the county where the individual lives). Needing to pull the 270/271 eligibility file is only anticipated to be needed for less than 5% of the individuals receiving services. If an individual is not Medicaid eligible in the 820, 834, or the 270/271 files, then they should be covered by the General Fund or Grants.

The following outlines the detailed instructions that should be used to create the master eligibility file for purposes of EQI reporting. The master eligibility file should be created by the PIHP only, and then shared with each CMHSP in their catchment area. CMHSPs should start with step 11, using the master eligibility file created by the PIHP.

1. Within the capitation file, condense a member's payments into a single record per month
 - a. Have fields designating non-waiver/waiver payments and revenue separately
 - b. Non-waiver payments should include mental health state plan, mental health 1915(i), autism, and substance use disorder state plan payments.
 - c. There should not be more than one 1915(c) Waiver payment, so we have only included one revenue column for those payments as well, with flags to indicate which Waiver the revenue is attributable to.
 - d. Capitation file should now be unique by MemberID and month
2. Create a unique listing of Member ID and incurred month for those who received a service (based on the from date of the claim). Merge this list of Member ID and incurred month against the 820 and 834 eligibility files to determine which member/month combinations have no corresponding Medicaid eligibility. Pull the 270/271 eligibility file for this list of Member IDs and incurred months where the beneficiary does not have Medicaid eligibility (i.e., is not identified in the PIHPs 820 and 834 files).

3. Assign program and population in capitation and eligibility (834 and 270/271) files based on codes noted in the previous section. Possible population values for the BH Managed Care program include DAB, TANF or HMP. If the eligibility file does not have an applicable BH Managed Care program and population, the program should be assigned to Non-Managed Care and the population should be set to General Fund.
 - a. In the eligibility file, one record per member per month will contain the non-waiver population in the population field, with Yes/No columns for each of the three 1915(c) waivers
4. Assign CMHSP and PIHP for non-waiver and waiver payments based on the 820, 834, and 270/271.
5. Assign Spend-down eligibility indicator (Yes/No) using the 834 or 270/271.
 - a. Milliman uses the field "HAS_BENEFIT_SPENDDOWN" from the 834.
 - b. This will allow entities to identify GF dollars prior to spend-down for individuals that do not meet spend-down during the month.
6. Add columns for each member month to identify MI Health Link eligibility (enter the health plan or leave blank), Medicaid health plan eligibility (enter the health plan or leave blank), and the effective spend-down date (enter the date, or leave blank) using the COB loop of the 834 file
7. Perform a full merge of the capitation and eligibility file by MemberID and month further grouping each member month into one of the following categories:
 - a. Medicaid eligible with capitation payment
 - i. Members with a capitation payment that aren't in the underlying eligibility file should be considered eligible
 - b. Medicaid eligible without capitation payment
8. The merged file should still retain one record per member per month. The result of step 7 should include a culmination of the following fields:
 - Eligibility fields
 - MemberID
 - Incurred Month
 - Program (eligibility based)
 - Population (eligibility based)
 - CWP 1915(c) eligibility (Yes/No)
 - HSW 1915(c) eligibility (Yes/No)
 - SED 1915(c) eligibility (Yes/No)
 - Eligibility PIHP
 - Eligibility Spend-down (Yes/No)
 - MI Health Link health plan (health plan name or blank) – this field should be included to facilitate FSR expenditure reporting for the MI Health Link program Medicaid expenditures
 - Medicaid health plan (health plan name or blank) – this field is not needed in this template but may be used to identify the MHP Enrolled/Unenrolled split in future reporting
 - Spend-down effective date
 - Capitation fields
 - Program (capitation based)
 - Population (capitation based)
 - Non-waiver payment flag (Yes/No)
 - CWP 1915(c) waiver payment flag (Yes/No)
 - HSW 1915(c) waiver payment flag (Yes/No)
 - SED 1915(c) waiver payment flag (Yes/No)
 - Non-waiver capitation revenue
 - 1915(c) Waiver capitation revenue
 - Non-waiver capitation PIHP
 - 1915(c) capitation PIHP
9. The final step of the master eligibility file creation is to create one field for program, population and each 1915(c) eligibility field. Priority should be given to the fields from the capitation file. If the capitation file does not have a valid program or population field (or if it is missing), then the program and population field from the eligibility file should be utilized. The capitation component of the 1915(c) eligibility field is based on whether or not an individual received a capitation payment.

10. The final master eligibility file should include the following fields in addition to the fields initially derived from the capitation and eligibility files:
 - a. Eligibility type: Medicaid eligible with capitation payment or Medicaid eligible without capitation payment
 - b. Program
 - c. Population
 - d. CWP 1915(c) (Yes/No)
 - e. HSW 1915(c) (Yes/No)
 - f. SED 1915(c) (Yes/No)
 - g. Non-waiver PIHP (use eligibility PIHP if no capitation payment)
 - h. 1915(c) PIHP (use eligibility PIHP if no capitation payment)

Incorporation of Master Eligibility File into Encounters

11. Merge Medicaid program, population, 1915(c) waiver eligibility flags, MI Health Link health plan, and spend-down effective date (if applicable) onto the encounter data. In general, the program and population used to summarize the encounter data for purposes of the EQI will be correct. However, **the following overrides should be considered and reflected on the Service UNC tab:**
 - a. Services identified as Medicaid 1915(c) Waiver eligible
 - i. If a member has 1915(c) waiver eligibility and the encounter is an applicable 1915(c) waiver service (for that same 1915(c) waiver, as identified in the service code sets), then override the population assigned to be the appropriate 1915(c) waiver population (HSW, CWP, or SED)
 - b. Services incurred prior to spend-down effective date
 - i. Determine within encounter data whether claims occur before the associated spend-down date, or on or after the spend-down date
 1. This date reflects the day they become Medicaid eligible. Using the daily 834 eligibility file (5997), users can identify the effective Medicaid eligibility date for spend-down beneficiaries.
 - ii. The program and population assigned to services with an incurred date prior to the spend-down (Medicaid eligibility) effective date should be assigned as program = "Non-Managed Care" and population = "General Fund".
 - iii. If the spend-down date intersects a claim, for example an inpatient stay, break the claim into the spend-down portion and the Medicaid portion.
 1. This can be done by duplicating the claim and splitting the utilization and paid amounts proportionately by day
 - c. Grant identification
 - i. Services that are paid for by grants should be identified and assigned as program = "Non-Managed Care" and population = "Grants"
12. Based on discussions with the EQI workgroup, the following overrides may be necessary for encounters that were assigned to Medicaid using the logic described above. These overrides are not expected to be captured on the Service UNC, but rather as a reconciling item on the *Financial Reconciliation* tab.
 - a. Fund source overrides to follow Medicaid billing rules and other federal regulations should be input as *General Fund expenditures for services not billable due to an exception*
 - i. CMHSPs have stated that several fund source overrides have historically been made to comply with Medicaid billing rules. One reason for overrides is that Medicaid cannot pay for services when the primary payer billing rules are not followed. An example of this is Medicare does not pay for certain services rendered by Licensed Professional Counselors (LPCs). Therefore, Medicaid and Medicare dual eligible beneficiaries receiving certain services from an LPC will require an override.
 - b. Grant identification
 - i. Services that are only partially paid for by grants should retain the program and population assigned from the eligibility file, with expenditures shifting from Medicaid or General Fund to the Grant (i.e., Other) fund source using the *Expenditures for services covered by Grant Funding* reconciling item on the *Financial Reconciliation* tab
 - c. Such reconciling items will net zero expenditures between all fund sources as this reconciliation illustrates a shift of funds.

III. Coordination between CMHSP and Regional PIHP

A certain degree of coordination will be required between the Regional PIHP and each of the CMHSPs within that region throughout the process of populating the EQI template. This section of the report details some of the anticipated coordination that will be required, by applicable tab within the template.

Service Cat UNC tabs

PIHPs can paste in each of the CMHSP values from each respective Service Cat UNC – CMHSP tab after validating the Medicaid information. General Fund and Grant utilization input on this tab for CMHSP rows will be ignored in PIHP totals. Regional PIHP units and expenditures from CMHSPs outside of their catchment area should be reported under the “Services contracted through PIHP” rows of the Service UNC tab.

Other Expenses (Optional for purposes of CCBHC reconciliation)

For the Medicaid and HMP expense category rows, the PIHP should summarize the applicable information reported from each CMHSP and then add in any additional Other Expenses incurred by the PIHP outside of the CMHSP contracts. Any additional Other Expenses incurred by the PIHP outside of the CMHSP contracts should be included in the Other fund source column of the Other Expense tab.

IV. Methodology and Instructions

For the purposes of this analysis, each CMHSP and PIHP will submit the information as requested above to MDHHS. Figure 4 includes the timing for each of the steps in the SFY 2022 Period 1 behavioral health EQI process:

FIGURE 4: SFY 2022 PERIOD 1 BH EQI SCHEDULE

BH EQI PROCESS STEP	DEADLINE
Template provided to PIHPs and CMHSPs	3/31/2022
Encounter Submission by PIHPs to DHHS	4/30/2022
Optum Data to Milliman	5/3/2022
PIHPs Submits Report to DHHS	5/31/2022
Milliman Delivers Utilization Comparison to PIHPs	7/1/2022
Response to observations due to DHHS, explaining variances/questions/or corrective action plans as appropriate	7/31/2022

The following sections provide the methodology and instructions for completing each of the tabs included in the template.

Attestation

The purpose of this tab is to provide a CMHSP/PIHP representative attestation that the information submitted in the tool is current, complete, accurate, and in compliance with 42 CFR § 438.8 and 2 CFR § 200. It should be signed by a representative of the CMHSP/PIHP that is familiar with the information being reported and has the authority to make the attestation (for example, the Chief Executive Officer or Chief Financial Officer). It should also include the contact information of the individual(s) responsible for preparing the tool as submitted.

Service Code Set

This tab is intended to only be a reference and does not require any user input. It includes a listing of all possible service code combinations for the applicable revenue codes, procedure codes, and modifiers. This tab includes the following information:

- Index and service code index – this tab includes an index, but the primary lookup key that should be used is the service code index, as it will better facilitate comparison across multiple years in the future.
- Service codes – the possible HCPCS code, modifier, hospital type, and revenue code combinations are provided
- Service category and service category detail – the service categories reflect how the services are grouped for purposes of capitation rate development
- Service descriptions – the service description and reporting code description provide further information about each service
- Reporting units – the reporting units reflect the unit type of each service
- MH or SUD – this column represents which benefit the service will be allocated to for purposes of capitation rate development
- Qualifying coverages – these columns represent the Medicaid authority for which MDHHS has received CMS approval. If all coverages are identified as “No”, then there is no Medicaid authority, and the service is either covered via Grants or state General Fund.

Service UNC

The purpose of this tab is to capture the utilization and gross expenditures for each service code, program, and population combination. The Service Code Set tab includes a listing of all possible services and the qualifying coverages (state plan, 1915(i), HSW, etc.). Based on the qualifying coverages, programs, and populations, we created a full listing of the possible codes for each population. We have also included a elig/service source based on the qualifying coverages, programs, and populations. We have included rows for the 1915(c) waiver populations for all services that are eligible under one of the 1915(c) waiver authorities, regardless of whether the service is considered a Medicaid service broadly to all beneficiaries.

Managed care and non-Managed Care populations may receive Medicaid or non-Medicaid eligible services. If a beneficiary receives a 1915(c) Waiver service and they have 1915(c) Waiver eligibility (regardless of the scope and coverage codes of their Medicaid eligibility) for the respective service, then the units and corresponding expenditures should be reported on the applicable 1915(c) waiver population row on the Service UNC (e.g. HSW services provided to HSW enrollees that are Medicaid eligible as HMP should be reported on the applicable HSW population row). We also have provided lines for reporting of costs for services related to mental health, substance use disorder, and the Section 223 Certified Community Behavioral Health Clinic (CCBHC) Demonstration. MH and SUD services will be identified using member ID type 88/89 and the CCBHC services are identified by having a T1040 claim line.

The CMHSP/PIHP should report the utilization for each service code, program, and population combination included in the template. Section II describes the creation of the master eligibility file logic and merging of the eligibility and encounter data. The following provides the steps needed in addition to those to assign an encounter claim to the applicable row on the Service UNC tab:

1. Create the Service Code Index on the encounter claim file, which includes a combination of hospital type, revenue codes, procedure codes, modifiers (in that order). Reference B includes a crosswalk of the possible modifier combinations to the unique modifier combination used within the EQI template.
2. Identify whether the service is a CMHSP Direct-Run or Contracted through a Network Provider. We will be identifying a CMHSP Direct-Run service if the Billing Provider NPI is the CMHSP.
3. Summarize units from the encounter claim file by the Service Code Index, Program, Population, MH/SUD/CCBHC, and whether the service is CMHSP Direct-Run or a Contracted through a Network Provider.

Utilization and net expenditures should be reported for services provided by a CMHSP separately from other services contracted through a mental health and substance abuse network provider.

Total expenses attributable to grants should be reflected in one of the following areas for CMHSPs:

- Other population rows of the *Service UNC* tab (if covering the full cost of the service)
- Other population rows of the *Non-Benefit Expenses* tab
- Other fund source column of the *Other Expense* tab
- Other fund source column of the *Financial Reconciliation* tab for the row entitled *Expenditures for services covered by Grant Funding*

The CMHSP and dual CMHSP/PIHP template includes all the managed care populations as well as rows for general fund and other fund sources. The regional PIHP only includes rows for services contracted through the PIHP (other than the CMHSP) for all Medicaid managed care and Other fund sources.

TOTAL UNITS AND COSTS FOR ALL SERVICES

- A. Enter the number of units per procedure code that were provided during the period of this report for beneficiaries with mental illness, serious emotional disturbance, developmental disabilities and substance use disorders for each program and population. For most of the procedure codes, the total number of units should be consistent with the number of units for that procedure code that were reported to the MDHHS warehouse for all consumers. Follow the same rules for reporting units in this report that are followed for reporting encounters. Refer to the *SFY 2022 Behavioral Health Code Charts and Provider Qualifications.xlsx*² and the Behavioral Health and Intellectual and Developmental Disability Supports Chapter of the Medicaid Provider Manual³ for additional reporting rules.
- B. We have not separately identified services covered under the state plan, early periodic screening, diagnosis, and treatment (EPSDT), or 1915(i) benefits. All units and expenditures, net of COB, should be reported in the applicable CMHSP Direct-Run or Contracted Provider column. Please note that non-Managed Care beneficiaries may receive services defined as Medicaid eligible. These services are captured on the Service UNC tab and identified with a non-Medicaid "Elig/Service Source" but will be excluded from the Medicaid managed care program capitation rate development. All 1915(c) Waiver service units are to be reported on the *Service UNC* tab on the applicable 1915(c) Waiver population rows.

²https://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/0,5885,7-339-71550_2941_38765---,00.html

³ https://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/0,5885,7-339-71551_2945_42542_42543_42546_42553-87572--,,00.html

Lastly, all non-Managed Care service units are to be reported on the applicable rows of the *Service UNC* tab under the applicable population line. Like above, Medicaid beneficiaries may receive non-Medicaid services that are not covered under the managed care capitation rates but are included for completeness.

- C. Both inpatient service provider types, IMDs and local psychiatric hospitals, are separated out to distinguish between costs with **bundled per diems and those with the physician costs excluded**.
- D. Community inpatient and IMD services reported should **not** include the estimate of the use (days) for incurred but not reported (IBNR) accruals for the current year. Similarly, all other services should not include estimates of the use for IBNR accruals for the current year.

CMHSPs and PIHPs should report IBNR costs on the *Other Expenses* tab. There are three rows to report IBNR costs (for PT68, PT73, and all other services) incurred in the reporting year but for which there has not been an adjudicated claim at the time the EQI report is compiled.
- E. Inpatient units should include services that were provided during the reporting period but funded by prior year savings or carry-forward or by funds pulled out of the ISFs.
- F. Inpatient units should not include accruals or adjustments for services provided in previous years.
- G. There are several rows to report Hospital Reimbursement Adjustment (HRA) expenditures on the *Non-Benefit Expenses* tab. The HRA expenditures should **not** be included on the *Service UNC* tab. Report HRA expenditures separately for population and program, including HRA corresponding to both institutions for mental disease (IMDs) (PT68) and for community inpatient (PT73). Since HRA is available only at the Medicaid level, payments should be split between DAB and TANF based on the proportional expenditures reported in the *Service UNC* tab. The sum of these amounts reported on the *Non-Benefit Expenses* tab should reconcile with the applicable FSR row.
- H. Peer-support specialist services (H0038), Substance Abuse Peer Services (H0038SUD rows), Developmental Disabilities Peer Mentor (H0046), and Drop-in centers (H0023), each have a row to report units and costs for those services reported as encounters. In addition, there is a row on the *Other Expenses* tab for peer-delivered expenditures and drop-in center activities that were not captured by encounter data (i.e., the row entitled "Services not reported as encounters/Drop-in centers"). It is important that the appropriate numbers are entered in the correct rows for these procedures for different types of peers. Do not aggregate the units into one row.
- I. Several codes have rows without modifiers as well as rows with modifiers: for example, 90849 (UN modifier used to distinguish when 2 patients are served), H0031 (WY modifier used to determine whether the assessment is a Supports Intensity Scale (SIS) assessment). It is important that the appropriate number of units and costs are entered in the correct rows for these procedures. Do not aggregate the units for the modified procedures into one row.
- J. Enter the total net expenditures for each procedure code on the *Service UNC* tab under the applicable row for each population (see exclusions below). We have included all applicable procedure codes for each non-Waiver population. We have also included a row for each 1915(c) Waiver service and population combination.
- K. The net cost per unit will be automatically calculated by dividing the net expenditures by the total units.
- L. Rows for substance abuse procedure codes are included in the CMHSP template. If the CMHSP is providing these services or contracting with a provider for these services, then the number of units and total net costs should be included. If a PIHP is sub-capitating with a CMHSP for SUD services and the CMHSP is then contracting with other providers, the CMHSP should be reporting these units and expenditures in the service UNC tab. CMHSPs should not include units and costs for services where the PIHP is contracting with SUD providers.
- M. Please review the maximum allowable units included in the code charts on the MDHHS website to ensure that you are not reporting units above the allowable threshold⁴.

⁴https://www.michigan.gov/mdhhs/0,5885,7-339-71550_2941_38765---,00.html

- N. The units tied to the following expenditures **must be excluded** from the *Service UNC* tab:
- a. Local contribution to Medicaid
 - b. Room and board (except for expenditures reported under S9976)
 - c. Payments made into internal service funds (ISFs) or risk pools. These payments must not be incorporated into allowable amounts either. The actuary will use the ISF reports submitted with the final FSR to identify use of fiscal year Medicaid revenues for funding of ISF.
 - d. Provider of administrative service organization (ASO) services to other entities, including PIHP/hub ASO activities provided to CMHSP affiliates/spokes for non-Medicaid services.
 - e. Write-offs for prior years.
 - f. Workshop production costs (these costs should be offset by income for the products).
 - g. Services provided in the state hospitals and Center for Forensic Psychiatry.
 - h. Mental health services delivered by CMHSP but paid for by health plan (MHP or ICO) contracts.
 - i. Medicare payments for inpatient days (where CMHSP has no financial responsibility).

ADDITIONAL NOTES FOR NON-MEDICAID SERVICES

- A. The service line for reporting cases, units, and costs for State Psychiatric Hospitals was retired in SFY 2016. Local dollars for state psychiatric inpatient are to be reported on the *Other Expenses* tab.
- B. The service line for reporting cases, units, and costs for Intermediate Care Facilities for Intellectual and Development Disability (ICF/MR) was retired for FY16.
- C. If room and board is reported as encounters (S9976) to the warehouse, enter the units and costs on the *applicable row of the Service UNC* tab. If room and board was not reported as encounters, report it on the *Other Expenses* tab.
- D. A row for All Pharmacy (ServiceCodeIndex = AggJCodes) is included on the *Service UNC* tab to report drugs, including injectables, and other biologicals. Do not report “enhanced pharmacy” units and costs in this row, but rather under T1999.
- E. Any procedure codes that are not included in the template should be reported in the Notes tab. These are typically additional activities provided to individual consumers for which CMHSPs use general funds.

Service Cat UNC - CMHSP

This tab summarizes the data submitted into the Service UNC tab at the service category level. The CMHSP and dual CMHSP/PIHP template includes all the managed care populations as well as rows for Other and general fund services. The CMHSP and dual CMHSP/PIHP template does not require any input in the Service Cat UNC – CMHSP tab because it is automatically calculated. This information is intended to be incorporated by the regional PIHPs into their respective EQI template (i.e., the regional PIHP copies values from the Service Cat UNC – CMHSP tab of each CMHSP template and pastes them into the Service Cat UNC – CMHSP tab of the PIHP template under the corresponding rows of that CMHSP.

Service Cat UNC - PIHP

The regional PIHP template includes a second version of the Service Cat UNC tab that summarizes data submitted for services contracted through the PIHP. This Service Cat UNC – PIHP tab is automatically calculated and does not require any input.

Other Expenses (Optional for purposes of CCBHC reconciliation)

The purpose of this tab is to capture other expenses that cannot be attributable directly to an individual service but are being incurred to fulfill responsibilities the CMHSP or PIHP is required to do in their contract with MDHHS. **These expenses should not be duplicative of any expenses reported on the Service UNC tab.** This tab includes a description for each allowable other expense as well as the expense category it is anticipated to be attributed to. To the extent that there are other expenses that are not identified in this list that have been incurred by your organization, please include these on the *Notes* tab. The following list provides the expenses that may be incurred by a PIHP or CMHSP. Many of these items are only included and applicable for CMHSP entities.

- A. Incurred but not reported (IBNR) expenses
- B. Services not reported as encounters/Drop-in centers
- C. Third Party Liability (Coordination of Benefits) Recoveries not reflected as reduced paid claims
- D. Overpayments Recoveries Received from Network Providers
- E. Incentives, Bonuses, Withholds, and Other Settlements Paid to Providers
- F. Total Fraud Recoveries that Reduced Paid Claims (specify in notes if reductions aren't reflected in Service Cost)
- G. Provider stability expenses not associated with service utilization
- H. Behavioral health home (BHH) and opioid health home (OHH) service expenditures and administrative expenditures (Note: these health home service expenditures are not included on the Service UNC tab).
- I. Michigan Rehabilitation Services (MRS), MRS Cash Match
- J. PASARR (not reported as encounter or claim)
- K. Other Grant expenses associated with MH grants
- L. Room & Board (not reported in S9976) funded by grants or general funds
- M. Laboratory Procedures
- N. Local Match for Forensic Center Only
- O. Local Match for State Psychiatric Inpatient
- P. DHS Worker for eligibility determination
- Q. Transportation (not reported as encounter or claim)
- R. Prior year adjustments
- S. Jail Treatment Services - Embedded in General Fund Service Cost
- T. Jail Treatment Services - Not Embedded in General Fund Service Cost
- U. Mental Health Code Functions, separately for Medicaid and General Fund
 - a. Jail Diversion
 - b. Pre-admission screening (include only costs that are not reported on the Service UNC tab)
 - c. 24-Hour Crisis (include only costs that are not reported on the Service UNC tab)
 - d. Recipient Rights Process
 - e. Other MH Code Functions (specify in notes)
- V. Injectable Medications (not reported as encounter or claim)
- W. General Fund expenditures on Supportive Innovation Grant
- X. Other General Fund or Grant expenses for costs associated with services in which an encounter was not submitted
 - a. The other expenses tab for both PIHP and CMHSP entities includes lines for both General Fund and Grant costs associated with encounterable services where an encounter was not submitted.
- Y. CCBHC Section 223 Demo Expenses not included on Service UNC

Notes

The purpose of this tab is to capture any additional notes that regarding the EQI submission that would be helpful to understand the information reported within the EQI template.

V. Limitations and Qualifications

The services provided for this project were performed under the signed contract between Milliman and MDHHS approved September 13, 2019.

The information contained in this letter, including the appendices, has been prepared for the State of Michigan, Department of Health and Human Services and their consultants and advisors. It is our understanding that the information contained in this letter may be utilized in a public document. To the extent that the information contained in this letter is provided to third parties, the letter should be distributed in its entirety. Any user of the data must possess a certain level of expertise in actuarial science and healthcare modeling so as not to misinterpret the data presented.

Milliman makes no representations or warranties regarding the contents of this letter to third parties. Likewise, third parties are instructed that they are to place no reliance upon this letter prepared for MDHHS by us that would result in the creation of any duty or liability under any theory of law by us or its employees to third parties.

Milliman has developed certain models to support MDHHS reporting requirements included in this correspondence. The intent of the models was to fulfill requests related to Encounter Quality Initiative. We have reviewed the models, including their inputs, calculations, and outputs for consistency, reasonableness, and appropriateness to the intended purpose and in compliance with generally accepted actuarial practice and relevant actuarial standards of practice (ASOP). The models rely on data and information as input to the models. We have relied upon certain data and information provided by MDHHS for this purpose and accepted it without audit. To the extent that the data and information provided is not accurate, or is not complete, the values provided in this correspondence may likewise be inaccurate or incomplete. The models, including all input, calculations, and output may not be appropriate for any other purpose.

Other parties receiving this letter must rely upon their own experts in drawing conclusions about the MDHHS capitation rates, assumptions, and trends. In performing this analysis, we relied on data and other information provided by MDHHS and its vendors. We have not audited or verified this data and other information. If the underlying data or information is inaccurate or incomplete, the results of our analysis may likewise be inaccurate or incomplete.

Guidelines issued by the American Academy of Actuaries require actuaries to include their professional qualifications in all actuarial communications. The authors of this report are members of the American Academy of Actuaries, and they meet the qualification standards for performing the analyses in this report.

**APPENDIX A - EQI FINANCIAL DATA REQUEST
(Provided Separately in Excel)**

REFERENCE A – COUNTY CROSSWALK

State of Michigan, Department of Health and Human Services
Behavioral Health EQI Data Collection Tool
County to Region Crosswalk
Reference A

County Name	County Code	CMH	PIHP Name	Region	County Name	County Code	CMH	PIHP Name	Region
Alcona	01	NorthEast CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2	Lake	43	West Michigan CMH	Lakeshore Regional Entity	3
Alger	02	Pathways CMH	Northcare Network	1	Lapeer	44	Lapeer CMH	Region 10 PIHP	10
Allegan	03	Allegan CMH	Lakeshore Regional Entity	3	Leelanau	45	Northern Lakes	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2
Alpena	04	NorthEast CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2	Lenawee	46	Lenawee CMH	CMH Partnership of Southeast Michigan	6
Antrim	05	North Country Community CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2	Livingston	47	Livingston CMH	CMH Partnership of Southeast Michigan	6
Arenac	06	Bay-Arenac CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5	Luce	48	Pathways CMH	Northcare Network	1
Baraga	07	Copper CMH	Northcare Network	1	Mackinac	49	Hiawatha Behavioral Health	Northcare Network	1
Barry	08	Barry CMH	Southwest Michigan Behavioral Health	4	Macomb	50	Macomb County CMH	Macomb County CMH Services	9
Bay	09	Bay-Arenac CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5	Manistee	51	Manistee-Benzie CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2
Benzie	10	Manistee-Benzie CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2	Marquette	52	Pathways CMH	Northcare Network	1
Berrien	11	Berrien CMH	Southwest Michigan Behavioral Health	4	Mason	53	West Michigan CMH	Lakeshore Regional Entity	3
Branch	12	Pines CMH	Southwest Michigan Behavioral Health	4	Mecosta	54	Central Michigan CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5
Calhoun	13	Summit Pointe CMH	Southwest Michigan Behavioral Health	4	Menominee	55	Northpointe CMH	Northcare Network	1
Cass	14	Woodlands CMH	Southwest Michigan Behavioral Health	4	Midland	56	Central Michigan CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5
Charlevoix	15	North Country Community CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2	Missaukee	57	Northern Lakes	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2
Cheboygan	16	North Country Community CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2	Monroe	58	Monroe CMH	CMH Partnership of Southeast Michigan	6
Chippewa	17	Hiawatha Behavioral Health	Northcare Network	1	Montcalm	59	Montcalm CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5
Clare	18	Central Michigan CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5	Montmorency	60	NorthEast CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2
Clinton	19	CEI CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5	Muskegon	61	Muskegon County CMH	Lakeshore Regional Entity	3
Crawford	20	Northern Lakes	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2	Newaygo	62	Newaygo CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5
Delta	21	Pathways CMH	Northcare Network	1	Oakland	63	Oakland	Oakland County CMH Authority	8
Dickinson	22	Northpointe CMH	Northcare Network	1	Oceana	64	West Michigan CMH	Lakeshore Regional Entity	3
Eaton	23	CEI CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5	Ogemaw	65	AuSable CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2
Emmet	24	North Country Community CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2	Ontonagon	66	Copper CMH	Northcare Network	1
Genesee	25	Genesee CMH	Region 10 PIHP	10	Osceola	67	Central Michigan CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5
Gladwin	26	Central Michigan CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5	Oscoda	68	AuSable CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2
Gogebic	27	Gogebic CMH	Northcare Network	1	Otsego	69	North Country Community CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2
Grand Traverse	28	Northern Lakes	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2	Ottawa	70	Ottawa CMH	Lakeshore Regional Entity	3
Gratiot	29	Gratiot CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5	Presque Isle	71	NorthEast CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2
Hillsdale	30	Lifeways	Mid-State Health Network	5	Roscommon	72	Northern Lakes	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2
Houghton	31	Copper CMH	Northcare Network	1	Saginaw	73	Saginaw CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5
Huron	32	Huron CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5	St. Clair	74	St. Clair CMH	Region 10 PIHP	10
Ingham	33	CEI CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5	St. Joseph	75	St. Joseph CMH	Southwest Michigan Behavioral Health	4
Ionia	34	Ionia CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5	Sanilac	76	Sanilac CMH	Region 10 PIHP	10
Iosco	35	AuSable CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2	Schoolcraft	77	Hiawatha Behavioral Health	Northcare Network	1
Iron	36	Northpointe CMH	Northcare Network	1	Shiawassee	78	Shiawassee CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5
Isabella	37	Central Michigan CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5	Tuscola	79	Tuscola CMH	Mid-State Health Network	5
Jackson	38	Lifeways	Mid-State Health Network	5	Van Buren	80	Van Buren CMH	Southwest Michigan Behavioral Health	4
Kalamazoo	39	Kalamazoo County CMH	Southwest Michigan Behavioral Health	4	Washtenaw	81	Washtenaw CMH	CMH Partnership of Southeast Michigan	6
Kalkaska	40	North Country Community CMH	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2	Wayne	82	Detroit-Wayne Multiple CMH	Detroit Wayne Mental Health Authority	7
Kent	41	network180	Lakeshore Regional Entity	3	Wexford	83	Northern Lakes	Northern Michigan Regional Entity	2
Keweenaw	42	Copper CMH	Northcare Network	1	Foreign	84	Foreign	Foreign	Unknown

REFERENCE B – MODIFIER CROSSWALK

**State of Michigan, Department of Health and Human Services
Behavioral Health EQI Data Collection Tool
SFY 2022 Modifier Crosswalk
Reference B**

EQI Reporting Modifier Combination	Possible Encounter Reporting Modifier Combinations
HGTS	HGTS
HGTS	TSHG
HTY4	HTY4
HTY4	Y4HT
STU5	STU5
STU5	U5ST
TSY4	TSY4
TSY4	Y4TS
UJY4	UJY4
UJY4	Y4UJ
UNST	UNST
UNST	STUN
UNUJ	UNUJ
UNUJ	UJUN
UNUJY4	UNUJY4
UNUJY4	UNY4UJ
UNUJY4	UJUNY4
UNUJY4	UJY4UN
UNUJY4	Y4UNUJ
UNUJY4	Y4UJUN
UNWZ	UNWZ
UNWZ	WZUN
UNWZY4	UNWZY4
UNWZY4	UNY4WZ
UNWZY4	WZUNY4
UNWZY4	WZY4UN
UNWZY4	Y4UNWZ
UNWZY4	Y4WZUN
UNY2	UNY2
UNY2	Y2UN
UNY3	UNY3
UNY3	Y3UN
UNY4	UNY4
UNY4	Y4UN
UNY5	UNY5
UNY5	Y5UN
UPST	UPST
UPST	STUP
UPUJ	UPUJ
UPUJ	UJUP
UPUJY4	UPUJY4
UPUJY4	UPY4UJ

**State of Michigan, Department of Health and Human Services
Behavioral Health EQI Data Collection Tool
SFY 2022 Modifier Crosswalk
Reference B**

EQI Reporting Modifier Combination	Possible Encounter Reporting Modifier Combinations
UPUJY4	UJUPY4
UPUJY4	UJY4UP
UPUJY4	Y4UPUJ
UPUJY4	Y4UJUP
UPWZ	UPWZ
UPWZ	WZUP
UPWZY4	UPWZY4
UPWZY4	UPY4WZ
UPWZY4	WZUPY4
UPWZY4	WZY4UP
UPWZY4	Y4UPWZ
UPWZY4	Y4WZUP
UPY2	UPY2
UPY2	Y2UP
UPY3	UPY3
UPY3	Y3UP
UPY4	UPY4
UPY4	Y4UP
UPY5	UPY5
UPY5	Y5UP
UQST	UQST
UQST	STUQ
UQUJ	UQUJ
UQUJ	UJUQ
UQUJY4	UQUJY4
UQUJY4	UQY4UJ
UQUJY4	UJUQY4
UQUJY4	UJY4UQ
UQUJY4	Y4UQUJ
UQUJY4	Y4UJUQ
UQWZ	UQWZ
UQWZ	WZUQ
UQWZY4	UQWZY4
UQWZY4	UQY4WZ
UQWZY4	WZUQY4
UQWZY4	WZY4UQ
UQWZY4	Y4UQWZ
UQWZY4	Y4WZUQ
UQY2	UQY2
UQY2	Y2UQ
UQY3	UQY3
UQY3	Y3UQ

**State of Michigan, Department of Health and Human Services
Behavioral Health EQI Data Collection Tool
SFY 2022 Modifier Crosswalk
Reference B**

EQI Reporting Modifier Combination	Possible Encounter Reporting Modifier Combinations
UQY4	UQY4
UQY4	Y4UQ
UQY5	UQY5
UQY5	Y5UQ
URST	URST
URST	STUR
URUJ	URUJ
URUJ	UJUR
URUJY4	URUJY4
URUJY4	URY4UJ
URUJY4	UJURY4
URUJY4	UJY4UR
URUJY4	Y4URUJ
URUJY4	Y4UJUR
URWZ	URWZ
URWZ	WZUR
URWZY4	URWZY4
URWZY4	URY4WZ
URWZY4	WZURY4
URWZY4	WZY4UR
URWZY4	Y4URWZ
URWZY4	Y4WZUR
URY2	URY2
URY2	Y2UR
URY3	URY3
URY3	Y3UR
URY4	URY4
URY4	Y4UR
URY5	URY5
URY5	Y5UR
USST	USST
USST	STUS
USUJ	USUJ
USUJ	UJUS
USUJY4	USUJY4
USUJY4	USY4UJ
USUJY4	UJUSY4
USUJY4	UJY4US
USUJY4	Y4USUJ
USUJY4	Y4UJUS
USWZ	USWZ
USWZ	WZUS

**State of Michigan, Department of Health and Human Services
Behavioral Health EQI Data Collection Tool
SFY 2022 Modifier Crosswalk
Reference B**

EQI Reporting Modifier Combination	Possible Encounter Reporting Modifier Combinations
USWZY4	USWZY4
USWZY4	USY4WZ
USWZY4	WZUSY4
USWZY4	WZY4US
USWZY4	Y4USWZ
USWZY4	Y4WZUS
USY2	USY2
USY2	Y2US
USY3	USY3
USY3	Y3US
USY4	USY4
USY4	Y4US
USY5	USY5
USY5	Y5US
W1Y4	W1Y4
W1Y4	Y4W1
W3Y4	W3Y4
W3Y4	Y4W3
W5Y4	W5Y4
W5Y4	Y4W5
W7Y4	W7Y4
W7Y4	Y4W7
WZY4	WZY4
WZY4	Y4WZ



Milliman is among the world's largest providers of actuarial and related products and services. The firm has consulting practices in life insurance and financial services, property & casualty insurance, healthcare, and employee benefits. Founded in 1947, Milliman is an independent firm with offices in major cities around the globe.

[milliman.com](https://www.milliman.com)

© 2021 Milliman, Inc. All Rights Reserved. The materials in this document represent the opinion of the authors and are not representative of the views of Milliman, Inc. Milliman does not certify the information, nor does it guarantee the accuracy and completeness of such information. Use of such information is voluntary and should not be relied upon unless an independent review of its accuracy and completeness has been performed. Materials may not be reproduced without the express consent of Milliman.