MATERIALS QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES MANUAL

March 2023 Edition

In accordance with the 2020
Standard Specifications for Construction

MDOT
Michigan Department of Transportation

CONSTRUCTION FIELD SERVICES DIVISION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Terminology and Definitions

AASHTO - American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials

AASHTO Re:source - formerly AASHTO Materials Reference Laboratory (AMRL)

ASTM - American Society for Testing and Materials

CCRL - Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory

CML – Central Materials Laboratory

LIMS - Laboratory Information Management System

MSG – Materials Source Guide

MTM – Michigan Test Method

NIST - National Institute of Standards and Technology

NRL - National Reference Laboratory

NTPEP - National Transportation Product Evaluation Program

QPL – Qualified Products List

Acceptance: Criteria used to make a decision on the acceptability of the material placed or intended for use on construction project. Samples are taken by MDOT, consultant, or contractor personnel (when independently verified). For certain local government projects, this function may also be accomplished by employees of the local agency or their consultants.

BASIS OF ACCEPTANCE: Refers to the method by which materials incorporated into MDOT projects are accepted. Below is a list of all the current Basis of Acceptance methods used by MDOT for acceptance of materials.

- **Visual Inspection (VI):** (See MQAP Section 1.06) Materials which may be visually inspected by MDOT personnel for acceptance or rejection. When a maximum VI limit is given for materials with another specified basis of acceptance, materials may be accepted by VI up to maximum VI quantities as indicated for that material, per item, per contract. (NOTE: All materials should be visually inspected prior to incorporation into the job without quantity limit, and may be rejected on that basis even though material may be acceptable on another basis.)

    - Qualified Product List (QPL): (See MQAP Section 5.01) Products that have been tested and/or evaluated by MDOT and found to meet performance and/or other specification requirements. A Qualified Products List (QPL) of these products is maintained within the Materials Source Guide. All QPL materials must be documented per Section 5.01 of this manual.

- **General Certification (Gen Cert):** (See Section 2.01) When this certification is specified as the basis of acceptance in the contract documents, it must be provided in accordance with Section 2.01 of this manual. A general certification must include Company name, address, and contact information, contract number, date certification was produced, name of contractor, a general description of the material(s) with MDOT specification designation, a list of the applicable specifications (ASTM, AASHTO, MDOT or other designations as appropriate), and a statement that the material(s) conforms to these specifications.

- **Test Data Certification (Test Data Cert):** (See Section 2.01) When this certification is specified as the basis of acceptance in the Materials Acceptance Requirements Table, in addition to the requirements of a General Certification, the certification must also include laboratory test report(s) for samples obtained from the same lot(s), batch, heat, etc. of material represented by the certification and tested according to applicable specifications (ASTM, AASHTO, MDOT).

- **Approved Manufacturer (Appr Mfr):** (See Section 2.02) A manufacturer who has submitted quality control documentation and/or material samples and has been given approval status to certify specific material(s). General Certification per the requirements of Section 2.01 must accompany all Approved Manufacturer shipments to either an Approved Supplier location or the MDOT project site. These certifications must also include quantity shipped. Strict adherence to the requirements for Certification Documentation and Distribution is required of all Approved Manufacturers.

    - Approved Supplier: (See Section 2.02) When Approved Manufacturer is specified as the basis of acceptance in the contract documents, the material must be supplied by the manufacturer or by an Approved Supplier. An Approved Supplier must recertify Approved Manufacturer material(s). Strict adherence to the requirements for Certification Documentation and Distribution is required of all Approved Suppliers for the recertification of material(s). All original Approved Manufacturer General Certification(s) must accompany the material(s) shipment to the MDOT project site. When Approved Manufacturer is specified, a supplier may not distribute or recertify material(s) unless they have been granted Approved Supplier status.

- **Special Provision:** Revisions and additions to the Standard and Supplemental Specifications applicable to an individual project. Special Provisions have been reviewed and approved for use by the Construction Field Services Support Area. Materials acceptance may be defined by these documents included in the project proposals.

- **Fabrication Inspection (Fabrication Inspection):** Materials subjected to Fabrication Inspection are those that are typically manufactured offsite and shipped to the project. Refer to the proper subsection of the Structural Fabrication Quality Manual (SFQM) to find the requirements of the various Fabrication Inspections currently performed by MDOT.

- **Acceptance Testing (Test):** Sampling and testing of a material to determine compliance with specification requirements prior to incorporation into the project. Acceptance testing is the required basis of acceptance for some materials, as indicated in the contract documents, but may be applied to all materials regardless of the basis of acceptance.

Certification Verification (CV): (See Section 2.03) Samples and tests used to validate and monitor manufacturer's certifications of construction materials.

Independent Assurance Test (IAT): (See Section 4.02) Samples and tests performed to provide an independent check on the reliability of personnel conducting acceptance sampling and testing and the equipment used.

For Information Only (FIO): (See Section 1.01) Representative samples and tests and/or other procedures used for the purpose of making independent checks on the quality of the product being furnished. Comparative samples and tests conducted as described in section 1.01.07.D of this manual will be considered FOI samples.

Research and Development (R&D): Sampling and testing performed in an effort to enhance the department's knowledge of material performance. This may be either for evaluation of new materials or as part of a forensic investigation of material performance.

1.01 SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES OF THE QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

1.01.01. Introduction

A. Materials Quality Assurance (QA) sampling and testing procedures described in this manual have been developed by Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) in accordance with Title 23, of The Code of Federal Regulations, Part 637.

B. The Materials QA program includes acceptance sampling and testing, independent assurance testing, project materials certification, retention of sampling and testing records, verification of test procedures, calibration of testing apparatus and participation in the development and implementation of technical training for personnel involved in materials sampling and testing.

C. For ease of use in the field, the details of portions of these QA procedures are distributed under separate cover. The following MDOT publications are considered to be a part of the overall quality assurance program employed by the department to assure that all materials incorporated into MDOT construction projects are in reasonably close conformance with contract documents and the standard specifications for construction.

1. *Construction Manual* - Provides guidance to field construction staff on project administration, project records, construction inspection and materials sampling and testing in the field.

2. *Density Testing and Inspection Manual* - Compilation of tests used by MDOT for density control testing in the field.

3. *HMA Production Manual* - Covers Procedures for Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Mix Design Processing; Certification of Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Plants; HMA QC/QA Procedures for Field Testing.

4. *Materials Source Guide* - Provides information and guidance to personnel associated with sampling, testing and inspection of materials used in Michigan Department of Transportation and Federal Aid projects.

5. *Michigan Test Methods* - Sampling and testing procedures that are either unique to MDOT or that are modifications of established ASTM, AASHTO or other standards organizations.

6. *Quality System Manual* - Internal operating document detailing the organization, staffing, equipment calibration, sample management and test reporting processes in place in MDOT materials testing laboratories to ensure the accuracy and integrity of laboratory information.

7. *Procedures for Aggregate Inspection* - Covers procedures specific to aggregate materials sampling, testing and inspection for Michigan Department of Transportation and Federal Aid projects; Aggregate Supplier Program and Prequalified Aggregate Supplier Program.

8. *Structural Fabrication Quality Manual (SFQM)* - Provides the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) Structural Fabrication Unit (SFU) with information for the implementation of the Department's quality assurance program for fabricated materials.

1.01.02. Objectives of the Materials QA Program

A. Design and implement sampling and testing procedures to assure that materials are in reasonably close conformity with plans and specifications.

B. Provide sufficient documentation through test results and other pertinent records, to allow project office staff to take remedial action and/or make adjustments in the contract unit prices.

C. Continuously compare MDOT testing procedures with currently accepted testing standards, regularly calibrate sampling and testing apparatus for accuracy and monitor personnel for materials control competency.

D. Maintain a materials testing database to allow MDOT to evaluate new materials, analyze materials performance over time and to assist in materials acceptance decisions.

E. Maintain quality of acceptance testing labs, equipment and technicians by implementing and monitoring quality systems in MDOT materials testing labs.

F. Should questions arise as to the quality of materials or workmanship on federally funded projects, MDOT will promptly furnish information and perform additional sampling and testing when specifically requested to do so by the FHWA Division Administrator. The results of all quality assurance sampling and testing are available to the Federal Highway Administration.

1.01.03. Personnel Qualifications

A. Personnel performing acceptance sampling and testing on projects on the federally funded National Highway System (NHS) must be qualified according to the following:

1. *Aggregate* - A certified aggregate technician must do the sampling. The supervisor in charge of the testing operation must be certified. The person who verifies and signs documentation for test results and certification must be certified.

2. *Hot Mix Asphalt* - A certified hot mix asphalt technician must do all sampling and testing. The person who signs documentation for acceptance must be certified.

3. *Concrete* - A certified technician must perform all tests on fresh and hardened concrete

4. *Soil Density* - A qualified technician must perform all soil density tests.

1.01.04. Acceptance Sampling and Testing

A. Acceptance sampling and testing is conducted on MDOT construction projects according to the contract documents and this manual (and by reference those listed in 1.01.01 of this section). Together, these documents contain all the instructions to fulfill the requirements of this Materials QA program.

1.01.05. Independent Assurance Program

A. *Independent Assurance Test (IAT) Procedures* - All personnel conducting acceptance testing on the federally funded NHS are subject to independent verification according to Section 4.02 of this manual. The IAT serves to check the equipment and procedures being used as well as the personnel conducting the various acceptance tests.

B. *Laboratory and Technician Qualification Programs* - All laboratories and technicians involved in conducting testing on the federally funded NHS are subject to the Laboratory and Technician Qualification Programs according to Section 4.03 of this manual.

1.01.06. Project Record Retention

A. The project files are retained in accordance with the MDOT Record Retention Schedule and are available to the general public under the Freedom of Information Act.

1.01.07. Laboratory and Field Testing Equipment

A. Test procedures and test apparatus will be validated regularly.

B. All equipment used by MDOT, contract agencies or contractors for material inspection, sampling or testing must be calibrated. Calibration of equipment will be conducted at the frequencies recommended by national standards (AASHTO, ASTM, and NIST) and/or as required by the respective Laboratory and Technician Qualification Program. The calibration frequencies will be strictly observed to ensure verifiable test results.

1. All approved moisture/density gauges used by MDOT or contract agencies for density testing must be calibrated. Calibration of these nuclear gauges must be done every 12 months using the 3-block calibration process.

C. Laboratory Quality Systems

1. *Central Materials Laboratory Inspection (CML)* - In addition to the required equipment calibration, the CML will participate in AASHTO Re:source and CCRL inspection and reference sample testing programs. Reports of all inspections and reference sample testing will be reviewed by the Engineer of the Construction Field Services (CFS) Division and participating unit supervisors. Any deficiencies found in laboratory procedures or apparatus and all non-conforming test results will be investigated and corrective action will be taken. Copies of reports, including corrective actions, will be furnished to FHWA.

2. *Region QA Laboratories* - Refer to Section 4.03 for quality system requirements.

D. Field Testing Operations

1. MDOT continuously validates the competency of Region personnel and the accuracy of materials sampling and testing apparatus through independent assurance testing and field reviews. These reviews will be used to identify training needs.

1.02 RESPONSIBILITIES OF PERSONNEL

1.02.01. Scope

A. MDOT staff at the central office and Region level are responsible for administering the Department's Quality Assurance Program.

1.02.02. Region Engineer

A. Observe these procedures and ensure implementation of all applicable portions of this program for all MDOT construction projects and all MDOT administered local government construction projects unless the local governmental agency has its own procedures approved by the FHWA.

B. Support all aspects of the Materials QA Program within the Region's jurisdiction. This includes acceptance sampling and testing, Independent Assurance Testing (IAT), project materials certification, retention of sampling and testing records, verification of acceptability of test procedures and testing apparatus, information samples and tests, certification verification samples and tests, and Construction Field Services (CFS) Division Central Materials Laboratory comparative samples and tests.

1.02.03. Region Construction Engineer

A. Coordinate the Materials QA Program within the Region as assigned by the Region Engineer.

B. Supervise the IAT program by selecting a Region IAT Coordinator from the Region staff who will manage the IAT program which may include assistance from TSC staff. IATs are required by Federal Highway Administration policy on all federally funded projects on the National Highway System. These tests cannot be delegated to the Contractor.

C. Supervise project final review by selecting individuals from the Region staff and/or consultant engineering firms who will review materials testing for proper quantities, method of measurement and adequate documentation.

D. Supervise the coordination of Materials QA programs by selecting individuals who will obtain and submit certification verification samples as requested by the CFS staff.

E. Review requests for local agency testing and determine if region materials staff are available to perform the testing or request assistance for testing from the Lansing statewide laboratories. If MDOT is unable to assist with testing, the local agency retains the responsibility to ensure quality assurance testing.

1.02.04. Project or Construction Engineer

A. Assure all Material Source Lists are submitted by Contractors.

B. Assure all material used in the work has been properly inspected and documented. This includes visual inspection of all material incorporated in the work.

C. Request the necessary Independent Assurance Tests.

D. The local agency project engineer is to ensure that project materials and products are sampled and tested as required. Local agencies are to use their own resources and/or third party consultant resources to ensure project material testing compliance. Local agencies may request MDOT assistance and/or sampling and testing for unique project needs.

E. The local agency project engineer will determine the lack of available local agency and consultant personnel to perform inspection and testing services for local agency projects receiving federal or state funds and request the services of the region/TSC materials staff or Lansing statewide laboratories, as appropriate. The local agency engineer will submit requests to the Region Construction Engineer including the following information:

1. The local agency.

2. The project identification numbers.

3. The specific contract items that need to be tested or inspected by region/TSC staff or the Lansing statewide laboratories.

4. A statement that the local agency cannot reasonably obtain the inspection or testing services from the private sector.

1.02.05. Director of Bureau of Field Services

A. Oversee the development and application of the statewide Materials QA program.

B. Oversee budgeting for the acquisition of testing equipment and supplies and provide for the maintenance of the equipment whenever possible.

C. Provide for the appropriate level of direct staffing and contract services necessary to support the Materials QA program.

1.02.06. Lansing Statewide Laboratory Staff

A. Develop and monitor statewide materials acceptance procedures.

B. Provide materials testing procedure training as required.

C. Administer central laboratory and contractual sampling and testing.

D. Review requests for local agency testing and determine if Lansing statewide laboratory resources are available to perform the testing.

E. Monitor Region materials sampling and testing operations, review Region laboratories, test personnel and randomly review completed projects.

F. Arrange for CCRL and AASHTO Re:source inspections of the central laboratory and supply FHWA with copies of their findings.

G. Monitor materials certification programs and request certification verification samples when required.

1.03 USING COMMERCIAL TESTING AGENCIES

1.03.01. Scope

A. The use of a commercial testing agency may be permitted when:

1. Out-of-state sources of materials cause it to be uneconomical to use MDOT personnel for the necessary sampling and testing.

2. A review of available personnel indicates that the necessary materials sampling and testing for scheduled projects cannot be fulfilled.

3. The required inspection work is of a specialized nature.

4. The commercial testing agency certifies that no conflict of interest exists.

1.03.02. Utilization

A. Selection of a commercial testing agency is based upon the range of services offered, staff, location, experience and past performance.

B. Instructions are issued to the materials supplier advising them to contact our agent when the material is available for inspection.

C. Our agent is authorized to inspect or sample the material and is furnished with the necessary information to do so.

D. The extent of inspections that are conducted by a testing agency is determined and coordinated by Construction Field Services (CFS).

1.03.03. Contract Administration

A. Upon completion of the work, our agent prepares the necessary documentation and forwards its reports to CFS.

B. Reports are reviewed for accuracy and completeness and are distributed to the field personnel.

C. The material represented by the reports is identified by tags, heat numbers, lot numbers, batch numbers, or in some other manner as indicated in the report.

D. The agency invoices MDOT and this is reviewed, approved, coded, and processed.

E. Processing includes comparing reports with the invoice vouchers covering the work.

F. Additional work beyond the provisions of the contract requires State Administrative Board and FHWA approval prior to performing the work.

G. An agency's performance is evaluated on a continuing basis in relation to the services rendered and a comparison with other agencies when possible.

H. The agency must use the appropriate MDOT Form/s.

1.04 PROCESSING OF MATERIALS SOURCE LIST (FORM 501)

1.04.01. Scope

A. A completed and signed Materials Source List (Form 501) is required project documentation and required for payment of associated items of work. Electronic signatures as described in Division 1 Supplemental Information of the MDOT Construction Manual are required. The Materials Source List is not a substitute for other required material quality control and quality assurance documentation.

1.04.02. Contractor Responsibilities

A. The Contractor must provide a completed and signed Materials Source List to the Construction/Project Engineer in accordance with subsection 105.01 of the Standard Specifications for Construction. The Materials Source List must be submitted at or prior to the pre-construction meeting.

B. The Contractor may submit the signed Materials Source List via regular mail, email, fax, or other electronic method.

C. The Materials Source List will include the following information as applicable:

1. Material name (general)
2. Specific product name (for specialty materials)
3. Specification reference (from Materials Source Guide)
4. Approximate quantity
5. Material type, size, class, etc.
6. Source of material including:

    a. Name of manufacturer and/or supplier
    b. Contact information
    c. Aggregate Source Inventory (ASI) number (if applicable)
    d. Location

D. If the source of material changes, the Contractor must provide a revised Materials Source List to the Construction/Project Engineer prior to the material being incorporated into the project.

E. Prime contractors are responsible for submission of the Materials Source Lists for all materials including their subcontractors.

1.04.03. Region Materials Staff/Engineer Responsibilities

A. The materials staff in the region may assist the Project/Construction Engineer in determining the following:

1. Which items originate locally. Acceptance instructions will be referenced in the last column.

2. Which items originated elsewhere that will be sampled or inspected on the project.

3. Which items are to be supplied by sources with certification, as listed in the current Materials Source Guide.

1.05 RANDOM SAMPLING FOR QUALITY CONTROL/QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECTS

1.05.01. Scope

A. This random sampling guide is to be used in conjunction with MDOT QC/QA special provisions and may be used in other instances when random sampling is required.

B. This procedure ensures the randomness of locations for collecting quality assurance and verification samples. Random numbers used to determine sample units and/or location will not be shown to the Contractor to avoid possibly influencing the operation.

C. Use a random number generator function on a calculator or computer to determine the transport unit from which material samples will be collected (based on tonnage or volume of material) and also the longitudinal and transverse location of samples from the grade. Generate each random number needed individually.

1.05.02. Pavement Random Sampling

A. Pure random sampling of pavements may result in clustered sampling locations and although this is statistically valid, it is not preferred. To better represent the entire lot of material being evaluated, use a stratified random process for sampling linear features such as pavement, shoulders and ramps. With stratified random sampling, the lot is first subdivided into sublots from which the samples are randomly selected. This method results in samples which fall more uniformly throughout the lot.

1.05.03. Structure Random Sampling

A. Pure random sampling may be used for point cases such as structures. However, if the lot will consist of discrete substructure or superstructure units such as footings or abutments the stratified random sampling approach should be applied in order to obtain a more representative sample population. In this case individual substructure or superstructure units or grouping of units may be considered a sublot for the purpose of sampling.

1.05.04. Appurtenant Item Random Sampling

A. Sample appurtenant items such as curb and gutter, barrier walls, sidewalk, or driveways, which are generally combined into lots for evaluation, using a stratified random sampling method to ensure a representative sample population. The lot is first divided into sublots and samples collected randomly from each sublot based on volume or tonnage of material placed.

1.05.05. General Stratified Random Sampling Procedure

A. Follow these procedures to locate random samples within each lot and/or sublot. Refer to the project documents for definitions of lot and sublot and for sampling frequency.

B. Determine the material to be included in a lot prior to placement and divide it into the required number of sublots to meet the sampling frequency specified. Record and/or mark the beginning point of each lot and sublot. This is necessary to allow test results to be correlated with performance and to ensure that core results correlate with lot samples of

mixtures when necessary.

C. In the event that material production or placement exceeds the anticipated lot quantity, continue sampling in a random manner and include all test results in the evaluation of the lot.

1.05.06. Samples from the Transport Unit

A. To determine the random sample transport unit, generate a number using a calculator or computer. This number will be the beginning number for the project and is used to determine the sample location within the cumulative lot tonnage or volume of material placed.

B. Once the transport unit that contains the random sample is identified, the actual sample location depends on the material being placed. Follow AASHTO, ASTM, MTM or other MDOT specified sampling procedures for collecting the sample from the randomly selected transport unit.

1.05.07. HMA Cores

A. Use two separate random numbers to determine the longitudinal and transverse location of core samples.

B. Generate two individual numbers using a calculator or computer. Use the first number to determine the random longitudinal distance and use the second number to determine the random transverse distance.

C. Divide the lot into sublots as required to meet the specified sampling frequency. It is not necessary for the coring sublots to exactly coincide with the mixture sublots.

D. Determine the longitudinal location of the core within the sublot by multiplying the length of pavement in the sublot by the first random number. The longitudinal measurement begins at the starting point for each sublot and continues in the direction of paving.

E. Determine the transverse location by multiplying the width of paving by the second random number.

1.05.08. Samples from the Grade

A. Randomly select the transport unit to be sampled using the same procedure as for sampling from the transport unit.

B. Compute and record the approximate total length of material that the randomly selected transport unit will place.

C. Multiply the length of material placed from the randomly selected transport unit by the first random number to obtain the longitudinal distance to the sample point. The start of measurement begins at the end of material placement immediately prior to the randomly selected unit containing the random sample.

D. If it is necessary to locate the sample transversely, use the second random number selected in 1.05.08C and follow the procedure described for locating core samples.

1.05.09. HMA Loose Mixture from the Roadway Using Mini-Stockpile for Hand Patching, Scratch Course, and Paving Operations Under Five Feet In Width

A. Select the tonnage to be sampled by a random method.

B. Once the transport unit has been identified, have the Contractor make a mini-stockpile (approximately 3-5 tons). For one composite sample, take at least four approximately equal increments of material from around the stockpile at different heights. At each location around the stockpile, first form a shelf, then take an increment of that sample by digging down into the shelf. Place this material in a bucket with all other increments sampled from that stockpile. This forms one composite sample.

1.05.10. Selection of Verification Sample

A. Follow this procedure to select which quality assurance sample split will be tested for verification.

B. Determine the number of samples from which a verification sample is to be selected. This will generally be only two or three samples.

C. Number the samples in the order in which they were collected.

D. Generate a number using a computer or calculator random number generator and multiply it by 10.

E. Using only the digit to the left of the decimal point (do not round off), determine if it matches any of the usable numbers. (Example: if there are three samples to choose from, only the integers 1, 2 or 3 are usable.) If it is usable, this is the sample to be tested.

F. If the number is not usable repeat the process until a usable number is selected.

1.06 VISUAL INSPECTION

1.06.01. Scope

A. Visual Inspections (VIs) must be performed on **ALL** materials coming onto the jobsite. VIs are not limited to small quantities of untested or uncertified materials, but are considered to be a routine procedure for **ALL** materials reaching the site. Approval for use must be given by the Construction/Project Engineer or a delegated inspector/representative. Damaged, suspicious, or non-uniform appearing material that has been tested or certified must not be approved for use until a passing retest can be obtained.

1.06.02. Procedures

A. All materials are subjected to a VI, regardless of any previous testing and inspection. Look for damage due to handling and shipping, workmanship, and quality. When a maximum VI limit is given for materials with another specified basis of acceptance, materials may be accepted by VI up to maximum VI quantities as indicated for that material, per item, per contract.

B. *Tested Materials* – Tested materials are materials or items that have been tested for use on a specific job before being used. They must be identified by the inspector to assure that they are the ones called for, with proper size, shape, coating, etc. Any material or item that has been tested may be sampled and retested at any point if there are doubts about its quality or authenticity.

D. *Certified Materials* – Certified materials are materials or products that are tested by the manufacturer. Quality control testing is performed according to MDOT procedures and specifications, and the manufacturer certifies by document that the material or product meets MDOT specifications. The certification document must contain specific information regarding what is being certified. The VI checks the material that is actually delivered for such things as quantity, size, class, grade, heat or lot numbers, manufacturer, MDOT Spec#, MDOT material name, etc.

E. *Untested, Uncertified Materials or Products* – This category (including but not limited to Qualified Products, Special Provisions) requires the most vigorous visual inspection. The material or product is checked for conformance to requirements, including the project proposal and MDOT's Materials Source Guide.

F. *Buy America Certification* – The requirements of Chapter 3.08 of this manual are applicable to all materials and products that contain steel and/or iron as well as all construction materials as defined.

1.06.03. Summary

A. Visual Inspection is a dynamic and important part of quality assurance. It should not be considered or used simply as a way to approve items without having to sample and test. Inspectors must be conscious of the fact that when they view a material or product they are performing a VI. It is a useful and effective VI only if there is a proper reaction when something is found to be wrong.

1.07 TAGGING OR MARKING MATERIALS SAMPLED FOR PROJECTS

1.07.01. Scope

A. This procedure provides a uniform method of informing recipients of material at a project site regarding the status of sampling and/or testing on that material.

B. "Out of State" tagging and marking is normally performed by outside agencies acting at the direction of MDOT in accordance with Section 1.07.03 of this procedure.

C. For material stored and sampled at a project site, the sampler may or may not use tags. Tagging will be at his/her discretion and dependent upon the situation encountered.

1.07.02. Definitions

The following types of tags and markings may be used:

A. *Numbered Metallic Sample Tag* - Metallic locking type tags (commonly called "deer tags") which are sequentially numbered to provide a positive method of identifying a sample relative to the material sampled. The presence of these tags would indicate that samples have been taken but does not necessarily mean that the material is approved.

B. *Numbered Plastic Sample Tag* – Yellow plastic sequentially numbered zip tie locking tag that is used to identlfy a sample. The number on this tag is documented on the MDOT Sample ID Form (1923) for traceability purposes.

C. *Sampled Wire Tag* - Yellowish-green colored wire-on paper tags used to mark a population of material that has been sampled. The presence of this tag on a population of material indicates that a sample of the material has been taken, but does not provide information on the status of the test results.

D. *Sampled Adhesive Tag* – Yellowish-green adhesive paper tag that is used to mark a population of material that has been sampled. The presence of this tag on a population of material indicates that a sample of the material has been taken, but does not provide information on the status of the test results.

E. *Approval Wire Tag* - Red wire paper tag that is used to mark a population of material that has been sampled, tested, and approved for use. The tag states the word "Approved" and has space for the inspector's name or initials, date, and project information. The presence of this tag indicates the material has been approved and the material may be incorporated into the work.

F. *Approval Adhesive Tag* - Red adhesive paper tag that is used to mark a population of material that has been sampled, tested, and approved for use. The tag states the word "Approved" and has space for the inspector's name or initials, date, and project information. The presence of this tag indicates the material has been approved and the material may be incorporated into the work.

G. *Structural Fabrication Approval Stamp* - Ink stamp with the words "Approved for Use" applied to structural fabrication elements required to be accepted based on "Fabrication

Inspection". Stamp is required to be on Bill of Lading and is sometimes placed on elements if accessible to the inspector.

H. *"M" Hammer Mark* - A hammer applied letter "M" indented into the material being inspected to indicate acceptance of the item at the time it was applied.

I. *Orange "M"* - A painted letter "M" applied with a spray-can of orange colored paint.

1.07.03. Out-of-State

A. In the case of materials coming from out-of-state, the sampling may be accomplished by private testing consultants or testing agencies of another state's Department of Transportation acting on our behalf. In these cases, they have their own method of identifying sampled and tested material, which is usually explained in their sampling report.

B. Material received with consultant tags attached (or tags from another state DOT) indicates that the material has been sampled and approved, that it may be used in the work, and that a test report is forthcoming.

C. An exception to this would be where the "Sampled" tag is used, in which case the material should not be used until confirmation of approval is received.

1.08 DISPOSITION OF MATERIALS BASED ON LABORATORY TEST RESULTS

1.08.01. Scope

A. Disposition of materials subjected to laboratory testing is based, in part, on the results of laboratory tests. Technical and engineering judgment of Construction Field Services (CFS) and project staff must be applied when reviewing the ramifications of specific test results. While it is beyond the scope of this discussion to consider every material and circumstance which may be encountered, the following illustrates the decision-making process applied when determining the disposition of materials failing to meet all contract specifications. Appropriate documentation must be created and retained in the project's records whenever a decision is made to deviate from any minimum job control requirements of any of MDOT's procedures, publications or specifications. Refer to Chapter 1.10 of this manual for documentation requirements for minimum job control deviation.

1.08.02. Disposition of Non-Specification Materials

A. Disposition is based on several factors, including the type of sample, type of material, parameter being measured, magnitude of the failure and performance record of a particular supplier.

B. Type of sample considers the intended use of information gained through laboratory testing.

1. Acceptance samples represent specific shipments of material to be incorporated into a specific project or maintenance and warehouse material covered by a purchase order. The results of laboratory tests on acceptance samples are used to accept or reject material. Acceptance sample IDs must include a contract ID to which test results will be reported.

2. Certification verification samples are quality assurance samples for material accepted on the basis of the manufacturer's certification (see Chapter 2.03). Except in the case of failure to meet a critical parameter, when it is imperative that incorporation of material be prevented, the results of laboratory testing of these samples are not used to accept or reject material. Instead, the results are used to verify material accepted on the basis of the manufacturer's certification does, in fact, meet all required specifications.

3. Other samples may be tested for information in the course of material research or investigation. Aggregate source, qualified product samples and concrete cores used to verify pavement thickness and depth of steel are included in this category. The results of these laboratory tests may be used to determine the acceptability of new materials for use on future projects or the need to take corrective action on an existing project.

C. Further investigation may be necessary once the test results are reviewed. The material may be resampled if allowed by applicable specifications. The circumstances affecting and affected by the acceptance or rejection of the material will be investigated. This may involve consultation with Bureau of Field Services, Bureau of Bridges and Structures, Design Division, Transportation Systems Management and Operations Division, Traffic and Safety area and Region Construction and Materials staff, including the Engineer. There are cases

when the judgment and experience of the person responsible for the work into which the material is to be incorporated must be depended upon to decide if the job conditions warrant the use of the material and whether or not any use limitations or pay adjustments will be imposed. If an agreement cannot be reached because of non-engineering ramifications, this person will be called upon to accept or reject the materials in question.

1.08.03. Test Reports

A. Test reports will reflect the results of all specification parameters tested. Additional remarks may be included, depending upon the type of sample. Test reports may not have more than one statement regarding the disposition of the materials tested. Results for more than one sample of a material may be issued on the same test report provided the same Material Test Protocol applies.

If the determination is made, based upon the results of the original sample, to resample the material the remarks on the original sample test report will state the materials was resampled. The original sample report will be cross-referenced in the remarks on the resample report. The resample report will indicate the final disposition of the material.

Any report which must be superseded due to an error or omission on the original report will include the statement "The report supersedes Report of Sample ID_____, dated due to _____."

B. Acceptance sample test reports will show the contract identification (and the control section number and project number where applicable). If the material does not meet specifications, the parameters which did not meet specification will be identified. When the decision is made, based on sound technical and engineering judgments, to use non-specification materials the contacts made and circumstances considered in reaching this decision will be noted.

C. Certification verification test reports will have the words "Certification Verification" in the header. Certification verification samples are not used to accept or reject material and therefore will only state whether the material did or did not meet specifications. Manufacturer and supplier information will be shown on all certification verification test reports. If the material was sampled from a project location the control section and project number will be shown.

D. Other categories of samples must indicate their intended purpose such as "R&D", "Qualified Product", "IAT" or "For Information Only" in the header.

1.08.04. Notification

A. Notification of the appropriate person(s) is the responsibility of the Laboratory Supervisor or Supervising Engineer.

1.09 RESAMPLING

1.09.01. Scope

A. This procedure describes steps to be taken when a resample may be requested from a lot of material previously sampled for acceptance testing. The usual reason for resampling is that testing on the original sample failed to meet specifications.

1.09.02. Basis for Resampling

A. Material tested in the laboratory will be resampled only when requested by Construction Field Services staff. Material tested in the field will be resampled only when requested by the TSC Manager, Construction/Project Engineer, or his/her representative.

1. Request by the Contractor, producer, or supplier is not a valid reason for resampling except when one of the reasons listed in 1.09.02B applies.

B. Requests for resampling should be made under the following conditions:

1. Material fails specification requirements on initial testing and specifications require that additional samples be tested to verify results of original test. (Example: ASTM specification for welded wire fabric.)

2. Test results are abnormal, and it is suspected at validation that either the sample was not representative of material, or testing procedure introduced an error.

3. Test equipment malfunctioned, improper test procedure was used, or sampling was known to have been performed incorrectly, regardless of results obtained on original sample.

4. The condition of the material has changed from the time of original sampling. (a) Material has degraded due to exposure, handling, etc. (b) Material quality has been improved by additional processing, defective portions have been culled and removed, concrete has had time and curing to gain additional strength, etc.

5. The original material has been removed and replaced by new material. (This is not actually a resample, but is original sampling of a new lot of material.)

1.09.03. Number of Samples Upon Resampling

A. For material resampled under conditions of 1.09.02B1, 1.09.02B2, or 1.09.02B3, when material represented consists of a number of individual pieces, the resample must consist of twice the number of samples as submitted in the original sampling, unless a greater number is required by the specification. When material represented is a bulk material (such as stockpiled aggregates, liquids in tanks or drums, etc.), the resample must be one sample but should be obtained by compositing approximately twice as many increments as for the original sample. An exception is made when the intent is to determine the variation within the bulk material, in which case a number of samples must be taken as directed, each representing a portion of the quantity.

B. For material resampled under conditions of 1.09.02B4 and 1.09.02B5, samples must be taken at the normal sampling frequency (unless instructed otherwise) and should not be labeled or considered as resamples. If material is not represented by a new number (batch, lot, heat, etc.), add remarks to sample identification to indicate how material has been changed (reprocessed, culled, new material, etc.).

1.10 CONSTRUCTION PROJECT DOCUMENTATION REQUIRED FOR MINIMUM JOB CONTROL DEVIATIONS

1.10.01. Scope

A. This procedure covers the deviation from minimum job control requirements on MDOT administered construction projects. Appropriate documentation must be created and retained in the specific construction project's records whenever a decision is made to intentionally deviate from any minimum job control requirements of any of MDOT's procedures, publications or specifications.

1.10.02. Related Documents

A. Current Standard Specifications for Construction

B. Density Control Handbook

C. Construction Manual

1.10.03. Procedure

A. *MDOT Projects*

1. Whenever a Construction/Project Engineer decides to deviate from any minimum job control requirement identified in any of MDOT's procedures, publications, or specifications, appropriate documentation must be created.

2. The Construction/Project Engineer will create and date the documentation immediately upon the decision to deviate from the minimum job control requirement.

B. *Local Government Projects*

1. Whenever a Local Project Engineer decides to deviate from any minimum job control requirement identified in any of MDOT's procedures, publications, or specifications, appropriate documentation must be created.

2. The Local Project Engineer will create and date the documentation immediately upon the decision to deviate from the minimum job control requirement and must obtain concurrence from the appropriate MDOT Engineer prior to filing the document.

1.10.04. Records

A. The document must indicate the specific reasons that the decision is made.

B. Appropriate reasons may include applied statistical analysis, specific engineering principals, or other appropriate logic.

C. The document must indicate the date of the recommendation, the name and date that the appropriate individual concurs with the recommendation, the job reference information, and any other extenuating information.

D. The documentation used for this operation must be retained in the specific construction project's records.

E. Sample documentation attached.

MDOT Michigan Department of Transportation

OFFICE MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 01, 2012

TO: PROJECT FILE
54321-JN12345

SAMPLE MEMO

FROM: Xxxx Y. Zzzz, P.E.
Construction/Project Engineer

SUBJECT: Job Control Requirement Deviation

The minimum requirements for in place density of aggregate base for this project currently are; 1 test per 500 feet per width of 25 feet or less.

The minimum requirement is revised, for this project only, to: 1 density test per 750 feet per width of 25 feet only if the current method of placement, conditions, and materials all remain the same. If a single failing test is recorded, more frequent tests will be performed and the minimum will be revised back to the normal policy.

REASON: This project involves 2.5 miles of placement of Aggregate Base. The material being supplied is 22 AA (100 percent limestone) from the same source and has not materially changed. The method of placement and handling is established and is producing passing tests. All tests recorded in the last one mile section have passed. The material and methods are expected to remain the same and passing tests are also expected.

_____, P.E.
Signature - Construction/Project Engineer

cc: Region Materials Supervisor
Construction Engineer (for local agency projects only)

1.11 GENERAL QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES FORMAT

1.11.01. Description

A. A quality assurance procedure is a definitive, accepted method for performing one or more specific operations or functions. Examples include selection of samples, inspection procedures for fabrications or equipment, use of testing devices in the field and guidelines or certification of materials or processes.

1.11.02. Subject Headings

A. The subject headings may be similar to those used in test methods, but in many cases other types of headings will be required. The following headings should be included (in order). Headings with an asterisk (*) should be used in all procedures; the others are optional as appropriate.

- Title *
- Scope, General, etc. *
- Referenced Documents
- Procedure, Method, etc. *
- Report
- Appendixes

1.11.03. Title

A. The title should be concise, but complete enough to identify the nature of the procedure. It should identify the subject of application and should be distinguishable from similar titles.

1.11.04. Scope

A. Information should be provided here to describe the purpose of application of the procedure, how and when the procedure should be used, and by whom. Significant attributes of the procedure may be discussed.

B. Any appropriate comments as to the limitations of the procedure should be made in the scope.

1.11.05. Referenced Documents

A. List the designation (test method number, form number, etc.) and title of referenced material included in the procedure. This is to eliminate the need for continually repeating titles throughout the text.

1.11.06. Procedure

A. Include in this section the detailed directions for performing the task described in the document. Change the subject heading as necessary to better describe the operation, and a number of paragraphs may be required to describe all aspects of the procedure. Give each such paragraph a distinctive heading.

B. In some cases, use of a diagram or schematic may be of value to the user of the procedure, including typical filled-out worksheets.

1.11.07. Report

A. Include detailed information regarding calculating, interpreting or reporting results of the operations described in the procedure, when appropriate. When desirable, separate these items of information into separate sections.

1.11.08. Appendices

A. Use appendices to provide supplementary information to aid in understanding and utilizing the procedure.

1.11.09. General Guidelines

A. Describe the actions of the inspector, operator, etc. as necessary. The procedure should tell how, not necessarily why.

B. Give instructions in the active voice ("Measure the length..." not "The inspector should measure the length...").

C. Refer to other manuals, specifications, etc. by name and number, when necessary. Do not include, word-for-word, the information in the reference material. List the specification designation, manual, form name, etc. in the "Referenced Documents" section.

D. Include forms in the procedure only when it is necessary to show an example of a completed form. If it is not necessary to show a completed form, a reference to the form name and number will suffice.

E. Present instructions in general context, not specific to MDOT. These procedures may be used by consultants and others.

F. Do not make reference to MDOT organization, inspectors official work station, specific supervisors, etc. unless necessary to the procedure.

G. Avoid reference to specific paragraph numbers of referenced documents as much as possible. Omit year for standard specifications, ASTM and AASHTO specifications if not specifically needed.

2.01 MATERIALS CERTIFICATION PROCEDURES

2.01.01. Scope

A. This procedure covers the requirements for General Certifications and Test Data Certifications.

B. Certifiable materials are designated under "Basis of Acceptance" in the Materials Acceptance Requirements Table of Chapter 6. This table can also be found in the “Materials Source Guide” (MSG).

C. Additional detailed procedures have been written to cover certification of materials from Approved Manufacturers and Approved Suppliers. See Section 2.02 for the requirements for Approved Manufacturers and Approved Suppliers.

D. See Chapter 3.08 of this manual for the requirements for Buy America for Construction Materials and Steel and/or Iron materials and products.

2.01.02. General

A. It is the Contractor's responsibility to ensure that ALL certifications for material to be incorporated into the project are accurate and are delivered as required by Section 2.01.04 of this document.

2.01.03. Certification Documentation

A. Where more than one piece of paper is included in the certification document, all pages must be numbered (__ of __) and include Contract I.D. in order to reunite them should they become separated.

B. Stencil, stamp, or otherwise mark all certified material prior to delivery to a supplier or project. This mark must identify the AASHTO, ASTM, or MDOT specification that the material meets, to allow the material to be recognized and checked against the certification document.

C. General Certification - This documentation must consist of ALL of the following:

1. Company name, address, and contact information.

2. Date the certification was produced.

3. Contract number (Control Section/Job Number).

4. Name of Contractor.

5. If material is certified by a supplier or Contractor, the manufacturer's name must be included on the certification.

6. A list of all applicable specifications (ASTM, AASHTO, MDOT or other designations as appropriate) which the material is certified to meet.

7. Any applicable specification modifier such as class, grade, type, etc.

8. Name of material (MDOT designation). The proper name of materials can be found in the Materials Acceptance Requirements Table of Chapter 6, and the Standard Specifications for Construction.

9. Identification markings on shipment as required by Section 2.01.03B.

10. A statement, signed by a responsible representative of the manufacturer, supplier, or Contractor that the material represented by the certification meets all MDOT listed specification requirements.

D. Test Data Certification – This documentation must consist of all the requirements of a General Certification. In addition to the requirements of Section 2.01.03C, the following information must also be included:

1. Laboratory test report(s) for samples obtained from the same lot(s), batch, heat, etc. of material represented by the certification and tested in accordance with the applicable specifications.

2.01.04. Certifications Distribution

A. Certification documents must be distributed as follows:

1. Submit to the Construction/Project Engineer.

NOTE: See Section 2.02.07 of this manual for Certification Distribution requirements of Approved Manufacturers/Suppliers.

2.01.05. Acceptance/Rejection of Certified Materials

A. Certified material may be accepted by the Construction/Project Engineer if the sources of all applicable materials are listed on the project's *Materials Source List* (Form 501). If visual inspection at the project site shows the condition of the material to be unsatisfactory, or a material source is different from what was identified on the Materials Source List, the Engineer may perform inspections of the materials, including sampling and testing, in accordance with the methods required by the contract to determine if the material meets the contract requirements. MDOT reserves the right to reject materials that are determined to not meet the contract requirements.

B. If any laboratory reports submitted as part of a Test Data certification indicate that a critical parameter falls outside of specification limits the material may be rejected. Prior to rejection of the material, an investigation of circumstances may be made. This may include consultation with CFS, Design, Traffic and Safety, or Maintenance Divisions and the Construction/Project Engineer.

2.02 PROCEDURES FOR APPROVED MANUFACTURERS/SUPPLIERS

2.02.01. Scope

A. MDOT will accept some highway materials provided from a Manufacturer/Supplier on the Approved Manufacturer/Supplier lists, provided the manufacturer or supplier complies with all program requirements. Approved Manufacturer/Suppliers are required to provide MDOT with written documentation that all applicable material specifications are met (a certification statement).

B. Materials that must be provided from an Approved Manufacturer/Supplier are designated “Appr Mfr” under "Basis of Acceptance" in the Materials Acceptance Requirements Table of Chapter 6. This table can also be found in the “Materials Source Guide” (MSG).

C. These procedures apply only to manufacturers and suppliers who have been given the privilege of certifying *specific* materials which would otherwise be tested on a job-by-job basis.

D. Where necessary, additional detailed procedures have been written to cover certification of individual materials. These detailed procedures follow and include these Procedures for Manufacturers/Suppliers.

2.02.02. General

A. The Construction Field Services Division (CFS), Materials Control, is responsible for overseeing the Manufacturers/Suppliers Certification Program, including granting and withdrawing certification privileges based on Division and Region recommendations.

B. It is the Contractor's responsibility to ensure that ALL certifications for material to be incorporated into the project are accurate and are delivered as required by 2.02.07 of this document.

C. When used in these procedures, a *Manufacturer* refers to a producer or fabricator of highway materials with control over the quality, workmanship, and handling of material shipped to an MDOT project.

D. When used in these procedures, a *Supplier* refers to an individual or company who has no control, other than through careful handling, over the quality and workmanship of material shipped to an MDOT project.

E. When used in these procedures, *Approved Manufacturer* refers to a manufacturer who has submitted quality control documentation and/or material samples for evaluation and who has been given approved status in accordance with Section 2.02.03 to *certify specific* materials.

F. When used in these procedures, *Approved Supplier* refers to a supplier who has been given approved status in accordance with 2.02.05 to supply materials which are manufactured by Approved Manufacturers.

2.02.03. Request for Approved Manufacturer Status

A. The manufacturer of the material to be certified must contact CFS, Materials Control, in writing or by email, to request consideration for approval status. See Section 2.02.07.A2 of this manual for submittal address, please specify “Approved Manufacturer Status Request”. Requests must include the following information:

1. Specific name of the material (MDOT designation) to be certified.

2. Reference to AASHTO, ASTM, MDOT Standard Specification or other specification covering the material.

3. Manufacturer's quality control procedure for the material. This can be a narrative description or a formal procedures manual.

4. Quality control test reports, independent laboratory test reports, and/or acceptance test reports from other agencies, covering a minimum of five consecutive production runs/lots/heats. Any combination of these reports is acceptable, provided that each report is for a different production run/lot/heat. Test reports must be for material produced no more than two years prior to submittal for MDOT approval.

5. Names of other state DOTs using the material.

6. Sample of the material if requested.

7. Sample certification form to be used when supplying material.

8. Shop drawing if required.

9. A written statement agreeing to comply with all the general certification requirements in addition to applicable procedures covering individual materials.

10. Buy America Certification, if applicable. See Chapter 3.08 of this Manual for details.

B. The evaluation may include the following steps:

1. A review of MDOT's experience with the material and the manufacturer to determine if it is appropriate to allow certification of the material.

2. A review of the quality control program and test reports to verify that the manufacturer is capable of producing uniform material which consistently meets established specifications.

3. Contact with other agencies to determine their experience with the material and the manufacturer.

4. An onsite review of the manufacturing process and facility.

C. If the review indicates an adequate quality level, MDOT will permit certification on a provisional basis. During the time of provisional certification, the frequency of certification

verification sampling by MDOT will be increased. If these samples continue to meet MDOT specifications, certification will be allowed on a continuing basis.

2.02.04. Approved Manufacturer Certification Documentation

A. Approved Manufacturers must provide General Certification documentation in accordance with section 2.01 of this manual. In addition to the requirements of section 2.01, quantity shipped must be listed on this certification.

2.02.05. Request for Approved Supplier Status

A. Once a manufacturer has been granted Approved Manufacturer status for a material, a supplier may request Approved Supplier status to supply that material. The supplier of the material to be certified must contact CFS, Materials Control, in writing or by email, to request consideration for approval status. See Section 2.02.07.A2 of this manual for submittal address, please specify “Approved Supplier Status Request”. Requests must include the following information:

1. Company name, address, and contact information.

2. Specific name of the material (MDOT designation) to be certified.

3. Sample Recertification form to be used when supplying material.

4. A written statement agreeing to comply with all General Certification requirements in addition to applicable procedures covering individual materials.

B. If the Approved Supplier performs additional processing on the material subsequent to receiving it from the Approved Manufacturer, the material is no longer covered by the Approved Manufacturer's certification. The processed material must be independently approved for certification according to Section 2.02.04 of this manual.

2.02.06. Approved Supplier Recertification Documentation

A. Approved Supplier Recertification documentation must meet the requirements of Section 2.01 with the following modifications:

1. The certification from the Approved Manufacturer to the Approved Supplier is not required to show a project number.

2. When any portion of this material is shipped to a project, the Approved Supplier must issue a Supplier's Recertification which states that the material represented is the same material covered by the Approved Manufacturer's certification. Approved Suppliers may not modify any material.

3. A copy of the original Approved Manufacturer’s certification must accompany the Approved Supplier’s Recertification.

4. Quantity of material shipped.

2.02.07. Certification Distribution

A. Certification documents must be distributed as follows:

1. One copy must accompany the shipment for the Contractor's files and one copy must be mailed, emailed or faxed to the Construction/Project Engineer's office on the date of shipment.

2. One copy must be mailed, emailed, or faxed on date of shipment to:

   Michigan Department of Transportation
   Construction Field Services Division
   Materials Control
   8885 Ricks Road
   P.O. Box 30049
   Lansing, MI 48909
   Fax: 517-636-6544
   Email: MDOT-MaterialsControl@michigan.gov please specify "Approved Manufacturer/Approved Supplier Certification" in the subject line of the email.

2.02.08. Approved Manufacturer/Supplier Status

A. Approved Manufacturers/Suppliers must maintain quality control records and material certifications for a period of three years after the date of shipment for all material certified to MDOT projects. These records must be made available to MDOT representatives upon request.

B. Lists of Approved Manufacturers and Approved Suppliers are included in the Materials Source Guide.

C. Approved Manufacturers/Suppliers must notify CFS Materials Control of any changes in company name, location, ownership, etc.

2.02.09. Acceptance/Rejection of Certified Materials

A. Certified material may be accepted by the Construction/Project Engineer if the sources of all applicable materials are listed on the project's *Materials Source List* (Form 501). If visual inspection at the project site shows the condition of the material to be unsatisfactory or a material source is different from what was identified on the Materials Source List, MDOT reserves the right to reject the material, conduct further inspection, or test the material.

2.02.10. Withdrawal and Reinstatement of Approved Manufacturer/Supplier Status

A. Failure to comply with these procedures may result in withdrawal of Approved Manufacturer/Supplier status. A warning letter may be written indicating the improper procedure and requesting action to rectify the problem.

B. Approved Manufacturer/Supplier status may be withdrawn if the certified material deviates from specification requirements in a critical parameter or if the material repeatedly fails to conform to specification requirements by any amount in any aspect.

C. Withdrawn Approved Manufacturer/Supplier status can be reinstated only if the certifier has corrected the identified deficiencies and has documented, to the satisfaction of MDOT, the actions taken to prevent these deficiencies in the future. In the case of an Approved Manufacturer, testing of samples or review of other data may be required.

D. Additional requirements covering the withdrawal and reinstatement of certification privileges may be included in the detailed procedures for individual materials.

2.03 CERTIFICATION VERIFICATION SAMPLING AND TESTING

2.03.01. Scope

A. Certification Verification consists of periodic sampling and testing or field inspection of materials accepted on the basis of certification, for the purpose of validating the quality of the manufacturer's product.

B. When the certification verification sample fails critical parameters of the specification, the information can be used as the basis for either rejecting the material or delaying its use until additional samples can be tested.

C. Materials listed in the Materials Source Guide as certifiable, but not listed in these procedures, may be sampled and tested as circumstances warrant.

D. The frequency for Certification Verification may be adjusted at any time by the Michigan Department of Transportation, as deemed necessary.

2.03.02. General Responsibilities

A. The Construction Field Services Division (CFS) will notify the appropriate Region Staff of the need to perform Certification Verification sampling and/or field inspection for the items listed in Schedule No. 1.

1. If the sampling and/or inspection cannot be performed within five days of the receipt of these requests, the Region Staff must notify CFS, Materials Control, by telephone or email.

B. Materials not listed in Schedule No. 1, but which also require regular Certification Verification sampling and/or inspection are listed in Schedule No. 2.

1. It is the responsibility of the Region materials staff, unless otherwise noted, to see that the Certification Verification required in Schedule No. 2 is performed.

2.03.03. Submitting Samples

A. When submitting samples to the laboratory for testing, check the box "Certification Verification" on the “*Sample ID*”, (Form 1923), in the area reserved for the “Type of Sample”.

B. If available, attach a copy of the certification representing the material sampled to the Sample Identification.

2.03.04. Field Inspection Reports

A. All field inspection reports submitted to CFS must note "Certification Verification" in the area normally reserved for project number.

B. Project numbers may be shown under "Remarks".

C. A statement indicating whether the material does or does not meet specification requirements will be entered under "Remarks".

D. If available, a copy of the certification representing the material inspected must accompany these field inspection reports.

E. Construction/Project Engineers will not receive copies of Certification Verification Field Inspection Reports except when failures occur in critical parameters, per paragraph 2.03.01B.

2.03.05. Laboratory Reports

A. Test reports issued by the Testing Laboratory will be reported as "Certification Verification" rather than for specific projects.

B. Project numbers, if shown on the Sample Identification, will be entered under "Remarks".

2.03.06. Sampling Schedules

A. CFS, Materials Control will issue the appropriate instructions to the Region Staff for sampling and/or inspection for those items in Schedule No. 1. CFS may perform sampling of these items. The sampling frequencies in the Schedule No. 1 table below are minimum criteria.

B. The Region materials staff is responsible, unless otherwise noted, for the control of the Certification Verification sampling and/or testing on the items in Schedule No. 2 in accordance with the references noted.

C. Certification Verification sampling may be performed at the manufacturer's facility.

SCHEDULE NO. 1

Spec. Number	Material Name	First Sample	Subsequent Samples	Unit
905.03	Uncoated Steel Reinforcement	20,000	100,000	lbs.
905.03C*	Epoxy Coated Steel Reinforcement	20,000	100,000	lbs.
909.05A*	Corrugated Metal Pipe (Metal Sheets)	500	5,000	ft.
909.06	Corrugated Polyethylene Pipe (CPE/HDPE)	10,000	10,000	ft.
909.07B	Corrugated Plastic Tubing for Underdrains	5,000	50,000	ft.
914.07	Dowel Bars	3,000	30,000	ea.
916.02	Silt Fence	3,500	10,000	ft.
919.05	Sawed Wood Posts for Highway Signs	250	2,500	ea.

*See Special Instructions on next page for these items.

SCHEDULE NO. 1 SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

905.03C *Epoxy Coated Steel Reinforcement* - Wrap samples to protect the coating from possible damage during shipment. Both the bar manufacturer and the coater's name must be shown on the Sample Identification, (Form 1923).

909.05A *Corrugated Metal Pipe (Metal Sheets)* - Samples will be obtained from fabricated pipe or from stock at the fabricator's yard per instructions of CFS. The Sample Identification, (Form 1923), must include the sheet manufacturer’s name and the pipe fabricator's name.

SCHEDULE NO. 2 SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

904.03A *Asphalt Binder* - See Section 2.04.06 of this manual.

*905.07 *Strand for Prestressed Concrete* - One per fabricator, per strand manufacturer, per year.

* *May be sampled by the Operations Field Services Division.*

2.04 CERTIFICATION PROCEDURES FOR ASPHALT BINDER

2.04.01. Scope

A. This document describes how the Construction Field Services Division (CFS) will allow suppliers to certify asphalt binder for use on MDOT projects if the criteria specified below are met.

B. Definitions:

1. Approved Asphalt Binder Certifier - A refinery, terminal, or hot mix asphalt (HMA) producer that provides asphalt binder that meets MDOT specifications. If any modifications or blending of asphalt binder from different sources are made at the HMA producer's plant, the HMA producer must be the Approved Asphalt Binder Certifier. Exceptions to these modifications at the HMA plant include using a water-injection foaming device, water foaming additives, and products listed on the Colorado Department of Transportation approved list of unrestricted warm mix asphalt (WMA) technologies. An Asphalt Binder Certifier must be approved at each location that supplies asphalt binder to MDOT projects.

2. Laboratory - The laboratory shall be AASHTO accredited for all asphalt binder tests required by MDOT specifications.

C. Letters and samples referred to in these procedures should be sent to:

Michigan Department of Transportation
Construction Field Services Division
HMA Operations Unit
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, MI 48909
Fax Number: (517)636-4934

2.04.02. Request for Initial Certification Privileges

A. The request for initial certification privileges must be submitted in writing to the CFS, HMA Operations Unit. Requests must include the following information:

1. Requesting asphalt binder certifier's name.

2. Location (Legal Address).

3. Primary contact information. This must include contact name, phone number, email, and fax number. It is the responsibility of the asphalt binder certifier to ensure the CFS HMA Operations Unit has the most current information.

4. List of asphalt binder grades which will be certified.

5. A Quality Control Plan for review and approval by HMA Operations Unit.

6. Test results for five production runs of asphalt binder. This must be performed for each grade of asphalt binder that will be certified.

7. Submit two 1.0 quart samples of each binder grade to be certified with the request letter.

8. All specification tests must be performed for each grade of asphalt binder to be certified. The specification requirements for asphalt binder can be found in the current Standard Specifications for Construction. These test results must be submitted in a report.

9. An example copy of the Certification Document (meeting the requirements of 2.04.05).

10. The AASHTO Materials Reference Laboratory Reports for Asphalt Binder Proficiency Samples from the past two years. These must be submitted by the laboratory that performs the quality control testing for the certifier.

11. Proof of current AASHTO accreditation.

12. A written and signed statement that the certifier will abide by the certification requirements.

B. CFS will evaluate the request for certification privileges and may grant provisional approval to certify asphalt binder for an MDOT project. The provisional approval for certification will be on a project-by-project basis for one construction season and based on continued satisfactory field and laboratory performance of asphalt binder from this location.

C. If certification privileges are granted, the applying supplier will be notified in writing by the CFS HMA Operations Unit. The list containing the MDOT Approved Asphalt Binder Certifiers, and the grades of asphalt binder which they are approved to certify, is found on the MDOT website under the Construction Field Services section.

D. Requests for initial certification are accepted in the months of November through April. During this period, MDOT will perform any required testing without cost to the asphalt binder certifier. Any requests for initial certification received during the months of May through October will be sent to a third party, AASHTO accredited asphalt binder laboratory, chosen by MDOT. The cost of the sample testing must be paid by the asphalt binder certifier.

2.04.03. Request for Certification Privileges of Additional Grades of Asphalt Binder by an Established Approved Asphalt Binder Certifier

A. The request for certification privileges of additional grades of asphalt binder must be submitted in writing to CFS, HMA Operations Unit. Requests must include the following information:

1. List of asphalt binder grades which will be certified.

2. Submit two 1.0 quart samples of each asphalt binder grade with the request letter.

3. All specification tests pertaining to the asphalt binder grade must be performed for each grade of asphalt binder to be certified.

B. Requests for the addition of asphalt binder grades are accepted in the months of November through April. During this period, MDOT will perform any testing required without cost to the asphalt binder supplier. Any requests for the addition of asphalt binder grades received during the months of May through October will be sent to a third party, AASHTO accredited asphalt binder laboratory, chosen by MDOT. The cost of the sample testing must be paid by the asphalt binder supplier.

2.04.04. Monthly Requirements

A. On a monthly basis, from May through October, submit quality control test reports that comply with the quality control plan referred to in Subsection 2.04.02A5 to CFS, HMA Operations Unit. This must be done for each grade of asphalt binder supplied for MDOT projects during the previous month.

2.04.05. Certification Document

A. Accompany each shipment with a certification document. Transmit the certification document to the Contractor. The certification document must contain the following:

A. Approved Asphalt Binder Certifier's name and location (city, state).
B. Grade of asphalt binder.
C. Tank or lot number.
D. Quantity of asphalt binder shipped to MDOT projects (gallons at 60°F {liters at 12°C}).
E. Date and time of shipment.
F. Purchaser (and/or consignee) and point of delivery.
G. MDOT project and control section numbers.
H. Bill of lading number.
I. Carrier and truck or car number.
J. The certification statement:

"(*Name of Approved Asphalt Binder Certifier*) certifies that the asphalt binder, as transported to the Contractors plant, conforms to the MDOT specifications."

This certification document will be signed by a representative of the approved asphalt binder certifier.

2.04.06. MDOT Monitoring

A. Asphalt binder samples will be taken at the HMA plant before incorporation into the HMA mixture. The asphalt binder samples will be randomly tested for compliance to the specification requirements described in the current Standard Specifications for Construction. These samples are taken to insure that the asphalt binder meets the required specifications for the project.

MDOT will also randomly test asphalt binder samples, taken at the HMA plant before incorporation into the HMA mixture, for the presence of reclaimed engine oil based products. Asphalt binder prepared with reclaimed engine oil based products is not allowed.

2.04.07. Certification Verification

A. When any test result is out of specification from the testing of the asphalt binder samples described in section 2.04.06, CFS HMA Operations Unit will notify the approved asphalt binder certifier in writing. The CFS HMA Operations Unit will determine the extent of the deficiencies through the following concurrent actions.

1. The Construction/Project Engineer will be notified.

2. An increase in asphalt binder sample testing (described in 2.04.06) for the consecutive days surrounding the original failing tests from the project.

3. The Approved Asphalt Binder Certifier will be required to investigate all aspects of material loading, handling, and delivery.

4. MDOT will witness certification verification sampling from a transport truck when deliveries are made to a HMA plant. Samples will be taken by the Contractor according to AASHTO T 40 (2007) Section 10, Sampling From Tank Cars, Vehicle Tanks, Distributor Trucks or Recirculating Storage Tanks. There must be four one gallon samples taken: for testing by MDOT, an independent AASHTO accredited asphalt binder laboratory, a retained sample, and a sample for the Approved Asphalt Binder Certifier. MDOT's test results will be used to determine specification compliance. If MDOT finds the certification verification sample not meeting specification, MDOT will send a one-gallon sample to an independent AASHTO accredited asphalt binder laboratory for dispute resolution. The test results reported by this lab will be final.

2.04.08. Withdrawal and Reinstatement of Certification Privileges

A. If two consecutive samples from separate transport trucks are found to be out of specification by more than the tolerance limits, the CFS HMA Operations Unit will notify the approved asphalt binder certifier by fax and certified letter that their certification privileges have been withdrawn, for the subject asphalt binder only. CFS HMA Operations Unit will also notify all MDOT regions. Contractors with projects affected by this change will then be required to have the asphalt binder, from this source and grade, tested and accepted for use on specific projects.

B. Withdrawn certification privileges can be reinstated only if the asphalt binder certifier has corrected the identified deficiencies and has documented, to the satisfaction of MDOT, the actions taken to prevent these deficiencies in the future.

2.05 CERTIFICATION PROCEDURES FOR EMULSIFIED ASPHALT

2.05.01. Scope

A. This document describes how the Construction Field Services (CFS) Division will allow suppliers to certify emulsified asphalt for use on MDOT projects if the criteria specified below are met.

B. Definitions:

1. Approved Emulsified Asphalt Certifier - A supplier that provides emulsified asphalt to meet MDOT specifications. A certifier may be an emulsified asphalt manufacturer or a tank storage facility. A supplier must be approved at each location.

2. Laboratory - Must be either the approved certifier's laboratory or a commercial laboratory.

C. Letters and samples referred to in these procedures should be sent to:

Michigan Department of Transportation
Construction Field Services Division
HMA Operations Unit
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, MI 48909

2.05.02. Request for Initial Certification Privileges

A. The request for initial certification privileges must be submitted in writing to CFS, HMA Operations Unit. Requests must include the following information:

1. Requesting supplier’s name.

2. Location.

3. List of the types of emulsified asphalt which will be certified.

4. A Quality Control Plan for review and approval by HMA Operations Unit.

5. Test results for five production runs of emulsified asphalt. This must be performed for each type of emulsified asphalt that will be certified.

6. Submit two 1 gallon samples of each type of emulsified asphalt with the request letter.

7. All specification tests must be performed for each type of emulsified asphalt to be certified. The specification requirements for emulsified asphalt can be found in the current Standard Specifications for Construction. These test results must be submitted in a report.

8. An example copy of the Certification Document (meeting the requirements of 2.05.05).

9. The AASHTO Materials Reference Laboratory Reports for Emulsified Asphalt Proficiency Samples from the past two years, and proof of AASHTO accreditation for emulsified asphalt testing. These must be submitted by the laboratory that performs the quality control testing for the certifier.

10. A written and signed statement that the certifier will abide by certification requirements.

B. If certification privileges are granted, the applying supplier will be notified in writing by HMA Operations Unit. The list containing the MDOT Approved Certifiers of Emulsified Asphalt, and the types of emulsified asphalt which they are approved to certify, is found on the MDOT website under the Construction Field Services Division section.

C. Requests for initial certification are accepted in the months of November through April. During this period, MDOT will perform any required testing without cost to the emulsified asphalt supplier. Any requests for initial certification received during the months of May through October will be sent to a third party, AASHTO accredited laboratory, chosen by MDOT. The cost of the sample testing must be paid by the emulsified asphalt supplier.

2.05.03. Request for Certification Privileges of Additional Types of Emulsified Asphalt

A. The request for additional certification privileges must be submitted in writing to CFS, HMA Operations Unit. Requests must include the following information:

1. List of types of emulsified asphalt which will be certified.

2. Two 1.0 gallon samples of each type of emulsified asphalt shall be submitted with the application letter.

3. All specification tests must be performed and submitted on split samples for each type of emulsified asphalt to be certified.

B. Requests for additional certification are accepted in the months of November through April. During this period, MDOT will perform any required testing without cost to the emulsified asphalt supplier. Any requests for additional certification received during the months of May through October will be sent to a third party, AASHTO accredited laboratory, chosen by MDOT. The cost of the sample testing must be paid by the emulsified asphalt supplier.

2.05.04. Monthly Requirements

A. On a monthly basis, from May through October, submit quality control test reports that comply with the quality control plan referred to in Subsection 2.05.02.A.4 to HMA Operations Unit. This must be done for each type of emulsified asphalt supplied to MDOT projects during the previous month.

2.05.05. Certification Document

A. Accompany each shipment with a certification document. Transmit the certification document to the Contractor. The certification document must contain the following:

1. Approved certifier's name.
2. Type of emulsified asphalt.
3. Tank or lot number.
4. Quantity of emulsified asphalt shipped to the MDOT projects (gallons at 60ºF).
5. Name and location of the certifier.
6. Purchaser (and/or consignee) and point of delivery.
7. MDOT project and control section numbers.
8. Bill of lading number.
9. Carrier and truck or car number.
10. A certification statement:

"The (name of approved certifier) certifies that the emulsified asphalt as transported to the Contractor's plant conforms to the MDOT specifications."

This certification will be signed by a representative of the approved certifier.

2.05.06. MDOT Monitoring

A. Submit Certification Verification (CV) samples to the HMA Operations Unit whenever a tank of emulsified asphalt is prepared for MDOT projects. This includes whenever emulsified asphalt is added to a tank which is supplying MDOT projects. These samples must be received by the HMA Operations Unit within seven days of shipment of the emulsified asphalt to MDOT projects. The CV samples will be randomly tested in accordance with the specification requirements described in the contract documents. These samples are taken to verify that the certified emulsified asphalt meets the required specifications.

B. CV samples must consist of two ½ gallon containers of emulsified asphalt accompanied by a completed MDOT Sample Identification form (Form 1923 found on the MDOT website).

2.05.07. Withdrawal and Reinstatement of Certification Privileges

A. When any test result, on a certification verification sample, is out of specification, CFS will notify the approved certifier by phone and in writing. The CFS HMA Operations Unit will increase the amount of CV sample testing on the type of emulsified asphalt in question.

B. If another CV sample, taken after the approved certifier was initially notified of a deficiency, is found to be out of specification, CFS will notify the approved certifier by fax and certified letter that their certification privileges have been withdrawn for the subject type of emulsified asphalt only. CFS will also notify all MDOT regions. Contractors with projects affected by this change will then be required to have the emulsified asphalt, from this source, tested and accepted for use on specific projects.

C. Withdrawn certification privileges can be reinstated only if the certifier has corrected the identified deficiencies and has documented, to the satisfaction of CFS HMA Operations Unit, the actions taken to prevent these deficiencies in the future.

2.06 PORTLAND CEMENT AND SLAG CEMENT

2.06.01. General

The Construction Field Services Division (CFS) will authorize facilities having a satisfactory record of production of acceptable cement to ship Portland/slag cement to Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) projects for immediate incorporation in the work. Each shipment must be accompanied by a producer certification or, if applicable, a distribution facility certification that the cement meets MDOT specification requirements for the specified type or grade of cement.

2.06.02. Qualification of New Facilities. Prior to consideration for certification, facilities for which MDOT does not have a record of past production, which desire to furnish cement for use on MDOT projects, will be required to furnish the following information:

A. Production Facilities

- A written official request for facility approval to certify cement for MDOT projects
- A written and signed statement that the facility will comply with all MDOT cement specifications and conditions to maintain certification privileges
- A written statement that the facility will inform MDOT in a timely manner of any changes to their product, production processes, ownership, bill of lading documentation, etc
- Facility information including address and contact personnel
- Quality control procedures manual for the facility
- CCRL report of laboratory inspection, if available
- Six months of mill test reports for each cement type or grade the facility intends to certify
- Example of the certification statement on a bill of lading meeting the requirements of 2.06.03.A
- Two samples (10 lbs./ea.) of each cement type or grade the company intends to certify. The samples must be taken from different production days
- Any other information that the MDOT Concrete Testing Lab may determine to be necessary to establish adequate assurance that cement furnished under certification will in fact comply with the specification requirements
- The facility must provide to CFS, twice yearly (April and November), test results for a complete chemical and physical analysis (mill test report) of each type of cement produced for use on MDOT projects. These requirements are based on ASTM C150 and C595 for Portland cement or C989 for slag cement

B. Distribution Facilities

- A written official request for facility approval to certify cement for MDOT projects
- A written and signed statement that the facility will comply with all MDOT cement specifications and conditions to maintain certification privileges
- A written statement that the facility will inform MDOT in a timely manner of any changes to their processes, ownership, bill of lading documentation. etc
- Facility information including address and contact personnel
- Quality control procedures manual for the facility
- Example of the certification statement on a bill of lading meeting the requirements of 2.06.03.B
- Any other information that the MDOT Concrete Testing Lab may determine to be

necessary to establish adequate assurance that cement furnished under certification will in fact comply with the specification requirements

C. Submit all documentation to the following address as required:

Michigan Department of Transportation
Construction Field Services Division
Concrete Testing Laboratory
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, MI 48917
Email: MDOT-ConcreteTesting@michigan.gov

2.06.03. Certification of Cement. Approved Production and Distribution facilities must maintain quality control records and material certifications for a period of three years after the date of shipment for all material supplied to MDOT projects. These records must be made available to MDOT representatives upon request.

A. *By Production Facility* - Each shipment must be accompanied by a certification on a copy of the bill of lading, which will be transmitted by the Contractor to the MDOT Construction/Project Engineer or his/her representative. The form must contain the following information:

- Producer's name
- Place of production
- Source of shipment, if other than place of production
- Purchaser and/or consignee and point of delivery
- Bill of lading number
- Carrier and truck or car number
- Quantity of cement in pounds
- This certification:

"The ___(*producing company*)___ certifies that the cement in this shipment, produced at (*producing facility*)), conforms to the requirements of MDOT cement Type or Grade ___."

This certification must be signed by a designated representative of the company.

B. *By Distribution Facility* - Each shipment must be accompanied by a certification on a copy of the bill of lading, which will be transmitted by the Contractor to the MDOT Construction/Project Engineer or his/her representative. The form must contain the following information:

- Distribution Facility name
- Distribution Facility location
- Name and facility of Approved Manufacturer
- Purchaser and/or consignee and point of delivery
- Bill of lading number
- Carrier and truck or car number
- Quantity of cement in pounds
- This certification:

"The __(*Distribution Facility*)__ certifies that the cement in this shipment conforms to the requirements of MDOT cement Type or Grade ____."

This certification must be signed by a designated representative of the company.

C. *By Operators of Ready-Mix Plants* - For shipments to ready-mix plants, which are supplying to commercial work at the same time they are supplying to MDOT projects, all cement placed in the storage bin or silo that is used for MDOT work must be certified by the Production or Distribution facility. The ready-mix plant must also certify the cement according to one of the following requirements:

1. Certify all cement used in concrete delivered to MDOT projects on Form 1155. Form 1155 will be collected by the MDOT Region Materials staff.

2. Certify all cement used in MDOT projects on each delivery ticket. Imprint or stamp the following on the tickets:

   "This is to certify that the cement used in this concrete was from a certified shipment meeting MDOT specifications.

   Cement Producer/Distributor _____Cement Type or Grade ____"

2.06.04. Withdrawal and Reinstatement of Cement Certification Privileges

A. Certification privileges for cement may be withdrawn if the mill test reports representing the certified material show deviation from specification requirements in a critical parameter, if the cement repeatedly fails to conform to specification requirements by any amount in any aspect, if mill test reports or materials certifications are repeatedly not submitted as required, or if the required records are not retained per these requirements. A warning letter of intent to withdraw certification privileges will be sent to the facility indicating the improper procedure and requesting corrective action to rectify the problem.

B. Withdrawn certification privileges can be reinstated only if the facility has corrected the identified deficiencies and has documented, to the satisfaction of MDOT, the corrective actions taken to prevent these deficiencies from occurring in the future.

2.07 FLY ASH FOR USE IN PORTLAND CEMENT CONCRETE

2.07.01. General

The Construction Field Services Division (CFS) will authorize facilities having a satisfactory record of furnishing acceptable fly ash from approved sources to ship to Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) projects for immediate incorporation into the work. Each shipment must be accompanied by a producer certification or, if applicable, a distribution facility certification that the fly ash meets MDOT specification requirements for the specified class.

2.07.02. Qualification of New Facilities. Prior to consideration for certification, facilities for which MDOT does not have a record of past production will be required to furnish the following information:

A. Production Facilities

- A written official request for facility approval to certify fly ash for MDOT projects.
- A written and signed statement that the facility will comply with all MDOT fly ash specifications and conditions to maintain certification privileges.
- A written statement that the facility will inform MDOT in a timely manner of any changes to their product, production processes, ownership, bill of lading documentation, etc.
- Facility information including address and contact personnel.
- Quality control procedures manual for the facility.
- CCRL report of laboratory inspection, if available.
- Six months of ASTM C618 reports for each class of fly ash the company intends to certify.
- Example of the company's certification statement on a bill of lading meeting the requirements of 2.07.03.A
- Two samples (10 lbs/ea.) of each fly ash class the company intends to certify. The samples must be taken from different production days.
- Any other information that the Concrete Testing Lab may determine to be necessary to establish adequate assurance that fly ash furnished under certification will in fact comply with the specification requirements.
- The supplier must provide CFS a copy of the ASTM C618 test results for each source on a monthly basis. In addition, the following information will be furnished, based on tests as described in ASTM C311. Loss on ignition and fineness test results must represent samples taken from the day of shipment or the previous working day.

    - Results based on daily shipment samples:
        Fineness (No. 325 sieve)
        Moisture Content
        Loss on Ignition
        Sulfur Trioxide
    - Result based on composite sample (at least weekly):
        Specific Gravity
    - Results based on composite sample (at least monthly):
        Autoclave Soundness
        Sum of $SiO_2 + Al_2O_3 + Fe_2O_3$
        Strength Activity Index (With Portland Cement)

Water Requirement

B. Distribution Facilities

- A written official request for facility approval to certify fly ash for MDOT projects
- A written and signed statement that the facility will comply with all MDOT fly ash specifications and conditions to maintain certification privileges
- A written statement that the facility will inform MDOT in a timely manner of any changes to their processes, ownership, bill of lading documentation. etc
- Facility information including address and contact personnel
- Quality control procedures manual for the facility
- Example of the certification statement on a bill of lading meeting the requirements of 2.07.03.B
- Any other information that the MDOT Concrete Testing Lab may determine to be necessary to establish adequate assurance that fly ash furnished under certification will in fact comply with the specification requirements

C. Submit all documentation to the following address as required:

Michigan Department of Transportation
Construction Field Services Division
Concrete Testing Laboratory
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, MI 48917
Email: MDOT-ConcreteTesting@michigan.gov

2.07.03. Certification of Fly Ash for Use in Concrete. Approved Production and Distribution facilities must maintain quality control records and material certifications for a period of three years after the date of shipment for all material supplied to MDOT projects. These records must be made available to MDOT representatives upon request.

A. *By Production Facility* - Each shipment must be accompanied by a certification on a copy of the bill of lading, which will be transmitted by the Contractor to the MDOT Construction/Project Engineer or his/her representative. The form must contain the following information:

- Producer's name.
- Place of production.
- Source of shipment, if other than place of production.
- Purchaser and/or consignee and point of delivery.
- Bill of lading number.
- Carrier and truck or car number.
- Quantity of fly ash in pounds.
- This certification:

"The ___(*producing company*)_____ certifies that the fly ash in this shipment conforms to the requirements of ASTM C618, ASTM C311, and Michigan DOT modifications for Class ____ fly ash. Results of test on samples taken within one work day of shipment were: Loss on Ignition, ____ percent; Fineness, retained No. 325 sieve, _____ percent."

This certification must be signed by a designated representative of the company.

B. *By Distribution Facility* - Each shipment must be accompanied by a certification on a copy of the bill of lading, which will be transmitted by the Contractor to the MDOT Construction/Project Engineer or his/her representative. The form must contain the following information:

- Distribution Facility name
- Distribution Facility location
- Purchaser and/or consignee and point of delivery
- Bill of lading number
- Carrier and truck or car number
- Quantity of cement in pounds
- This certification:

"The __(*Distribution Facility*)__ certifies that the fly ash in this shipment conforms to the requirements of MDOT fly ash Class ____."

This certification must be signed by a designated representative of the company.

C. *By Operators of Ready-Mix Plants* - For shipments to ready-mix plants which are supplying to commercial work at the same time they are supplying to MDOT projects, all fly ash placed in the storage bin or silo that is used for MDOT work must be certified by the Production or Distribution facility. The ready-mix plant must also certify the fly ash according to one of the following requirements:

1. Certify all fly ash used in concrete delivered to MDOT projects on Form 1155. Form 1155 will be collected by the MDOT Region Materials staff.

2. Certify all fly ash used in MDOT projects on each delivery ticket. Imprint or stamp the following on the tickets:

"This is to certify the fly ash used in this concrete was from a certified shipment meeting MDOT specifications.

Fly Ash Producer/Distributor_____Fly Ash Class _____"

2.07.04. Withdrawal and Reinstatement of Fly Ash Certification Privileges

A. Certification privileges for fly ash may be withdrawn if the test reports representing the certified material show deviation from specification requirements in a critical parameter, if the fly ash repeatedly fails to conform to specification requirements by any amount in any aspect, if the required monthly test reports or materials certifications are repeatedly not submitted as required, or if the required records are not retained per these requirements. A letter of intent to withdraw certification privileges will be sent to the facility indicating the improper procedure and requesting corrective action to rectify the problem.

B. Withdrawn certification privileges can be reinstated only if the facility has corrected the identified deficiencies and has documented, to the satisfaction of MDOT, the corrective actions taken to prevent these deficiencies from occurring in the future.

2.08 CONCRETE PIPE, CULVERT, AND RELATED ITEMS

2.08.01. Scope

A. These procedures provide a means by which the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) may acquire - from specific qualified sources - concrete pipe, precast units for drainage structures, and culvert sections, of the required quality while eliminating the necessity of testing on a project by project basis.

B. These procedures include the certification of all concrete pipe, precast units for drainage structures, and culvert sections, manufactured and tested in accordance with current ASTM or AASHTO specifications.

2.08.02. Referenced Documents

A. The items certified by these procedures must be manufactured and tested according to the following requirements:

ASTM C 14	AASHTO M 86	Concrete Sewer, Storm Drain, and Culvert Pipe
ASTM C 76	AASHTO M 170	Reinforced Concrete Culvert, Storm Drain, and Sewer Pipe
ASTM C 412	AASHTO M 178	Concrete Drain Tile
ASTM C 444	AASHTO M 175	Perforated Concrete Pipe
ASTM C 478	AASHTO M 199	Precast Reinforced Concrete Manhole Sections
ASTM C 497	AASHTO T 280	Testing Concrete Pipe, Sections, or Tile
ASTM C 506	AASHTO M 206	Reinforced Concrete Arch Culvert, Storm Drain, and Sewer Pipe
ASTM C 507	AASHTO M 207	Reinforced Concrete Elliptical Culvert, Storm Drain, and Sewer Pipe
ASTM C 655	AASHTO M 242	Reinforced Concrete D-Load Culvert, Storm Drain, and Sewer Pipe
ASTM C 1504		Manufacture of Precast Reinforced Concrete Three-Sided Structures for Culverts and Storm Drains
ASTM C 1577		Precast Reinforced Concrete Monolithic Box Sections for Culverts, Storm Drains, and Sewers

2.08.03. Qualification of New Plants

A. Plants for which MDOT does not have a record of past production, which desire to furnish products for use on MDOT projects must apply for Approved Manufacturer status according to the requirements of section 2.02.

B. Plants must possess current certification either by QCAST (American Concrete Pipe Association) or NPCA (National Precast Concrete Association) according to the requirements of section 2.08.12.

2.08.04. Testing Procedures

A. Concrete Pipe and Precast Drainage Structure Units

1. Test each production run of pipe or precast drainage structure units intended for use on MDOT projects, in accordance with current ASTM specifications. The frequency of testing must be that which the manufacturer determines necessary to assure compliance with specification requirements.

B. Precast Concrete Culvert Sections

1. Testing frequency must be as specified in ASTM C 1504, ASTM C 1577, and/or contract documents.

2. Compressive strength must be determined in accordance with the applicable ASTM or AASHTO specification.

3. Section dimensions and geometry, and reinforcement type and location must be verified and reported for each section.

4. The producer must certify the aggregates, cement, and steel reinforcement used meet the requirements of ASTM C 1504, ASTM C 1577, and the contract documents.

5. MDOT will perform quality assurance testing and inspection for culvert sections with Spans 20 ft. and greater (measured from inside of exterior walls, parallel to the roadway centerline) require QA inspection. QA inspection may be required for spans from 10-20 ft.. See Chapter 3 (Miscellaneous Procedures) of this manual for quality assurance testing and inspection of non-prestressed concrete fabrication.

2.08.05. Conducting Tests

A. The required quality control testing may be conducted by an independent testing laboratory, a Professional Engineer licensed in the State of Michigan, or any responsible representative designated by the manufacturer, except as provided in Section 2.08.04.B.5 above.

2.08.06. Load Testing Equipment

A. In accordance with the requirements of Section 909.02 of the Standard Specifications for Construction, each pipe manufacturer must provide a suitable standard testing machine maintained in good working order.

B. Manufacturers producing only Precast Units for Drainage Structures will not be required to provide a standard testing machine, if an independent testing laboratory is engaged to perform the testing. Independent testing laboratories must provide a suitable standard testing machine maintained in good working order.

C. All testing machines will be calibrated by the Standard Methods of Verification of Testing Machines, ASTM E 4.

D. Pipe testing machines must be verified yearly in accordance with the following schedule:

1. On-site verification is required one year from granting Approved Manufacturer status, with succeeding on-site verification each third year thereafter. Testing performed must subject the pipe to full failure.

2. Off-site verification will be permitted for each of the two intervening years. Testing may include VI or coring of pipe.

NOTE: "On-site" verification is defined as verification at the pipe manufacturing plant of the complete testing machine apparatus. "Off-site" verification is defined as verification of a portion of the testing conducted at a place other than the pipe manufacturing plant.

E. Report and Certification – Submit a report to CFS including the information listed in ASTM E 4, Section 20 and 21, and the following:

- A calibration table showing the actual loads applied as indicated by the calibrating device and the corresponding loads indicated by the testing machine; the error, and the percentage of error.
- The smallest change of load, which can be estimated on the load-indicating apparatus of the testing machine. Refer to ASTM E 4, 16.3.
- A notation indicating either on-site or off-site verification.

1. The calibration table must be prominently posted near the testing equipment.

2.08.07. Test Reports

A. Test reports must be assigned a lot number with a sub-designation of a test number. Short runs must be covered by one lot number and one test number while continuous runs must be covered by one lot number and several test numbers determined by the quantity produced in the run.

B. Copies of all test results used for certification must be on file at the office of the manufacturer and available for review by MDOT representatives.

2.08.08. Product Identification

A. Concrete Pipe and Precast Drainage Structure Units

1. Make clearly legible markings with a permanent type marking medium. Mark each unit so the information will appear in the following order:

- Producer's name or initials
- Plant designation
- Date of manufacture
- ASTM designation, including class (if applicable)
- Testing lot number

B. Precast Concrete Culvert Sections

1. Mark sections according to the requirements of ASTM C 1504, ASTM C 1577, unless the contract documents specify a unique process.

2.08.09. Manufacturer’s Certification

A. The manufacturer must complete a certification containing the following:

- Applicable ASTM or AASHTO Specification
- Manufacturer
- Project number
- Contractor
- Type and class of material
- Lot number
- Diameter, in inches
- Lineal feet
- Number of pieces

- Signature of manufacturer's authorized representative

B. Two copies of the certification must accompany the shipment.

2.08.10. Stockpiling

A. In those instances where a manufacturer does not propose to consign total production to certified stock, the material to be certified must be readily identified and stored in areas separate from the commercial stock.

2.08.11. Records

A. The manufacturer must maintain an accurate running inventory of certified stock, and the material must be stockpiled in such a manner the inventory can be checked by an MDOT representative as necessary.

2.08.12. Plant Certification

A. Manufacturers that provide concrete pipe, end sections and drainage structure components must possess current plant certification either by QCAST (American Concrete Pipe Association) or NPCA (National Precast Concrete Association).

B. QCAST or NPCA certification must be held for each individual production facility that may provide products to MDOT. The plant must be certified for each of the specific materials provided for a project.

C. The manufacturer is subject to all requirements documented in the Plant Certification Manual (QCAST) or the Quality Control Manual (NPCA). Plants are subject to at least one inspection per year to ensure the manufacturer meets the requirements specified in the QCAST or NPCA Manuals. The inspections are conducted unannounced by a third party firm. The report documenting the results of the inspection must be provided to CFS and region materials personnel.

D. MDOT personnel have the option to participate in the annual inspection with the third party firm.

2.08.13. Withdrawal and Reinstatement of Certification Privileges

A. MDOT will review the results of testing of Certification Verification samples for conformance to specification requirements. Certification privileges may be withdrawn if the certified material deviates from specification requirements in a critical parameter or if the plant produces products that repeatedly fail to conform to specification requirements by any amount in any aspect. A warning letter may be written indicating the improper procedure and requesting action to rectify the problem.

B. Products from a plant from which MDOT has withdrawn certification privileges may not be used on projects until each individual shipment has been tested and approved by MDOT.

C. Withdrawn certification privileges can be reinstated only if the plant has corrected the identified deficiencies and has documented, to the satisfaction of MDOT, the actions taken to prevent these deficiencies in the future.

3.01 CONCRETE PIPE INSPECTION

3.01.01. Scope

A. This procedure is to supplement the normal sampling, testing and inspection of concrete pipe by listing various exceptions to the applicable documents. These exceptions are found in Sections 3.01.03, 3.01.04 and 3.01.05 of this document.

3.01.02. Reference Documents

A. Standard Specifications for Construction.

B. AASHTO and ASTM Standards:

ASTM C 14	AASHTO M 86	Concrete Sewer, Storm Drain, and Culvert Pipe (Unreinforced)
ASTM C 76	AASHTO M 170	Reinforced Concrete Culvert, Storm Drain and Sewer Pipe
ASTM C 412	AASHTO M 178	Concrete Drain Tile
ASTM C 507	AASHTO M 207	Reinforced Concrete Elliptical Culvert, Storm Drain and Sewer Pipe
ASTM C 655	AASHTO M 242	Reinforced Concrete D-Load Culvert, Storm Drain and Sewer Pipe
ASTM C 39	AASHTO T 22	Compressive Strength of Cylindrical Concrete Specimens
ASTM C 31	AASHTO T 23	Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Field
ASTM E 4	AASHTO T 67	Standard Practices for Load Verification of Testing Machines
ASTM C 497	AASHTO T 280	Standard Methods of Testing Concrete Pipe, Sections, or Tile

C. MDOT Materials Source Guide

3.01.03. Basis of Acceptance

A. Pipe less than 3 feet in diameter will be tested by the three edge bearing method, using full sized units of pipe, unless otherwise specifically authorized by MDOT.

B. Pipe 3 feet in diameter and larger may be tested for concrete strength by testing cores obtained from the pipe or by the three edge bearing method, at the option of the manufacturer.

C. In special cases, and with prior MDOT approval, concrete strength may be determined by making and testing at least two 6 x 12 inch cylinders from the concrete used in casting the pipe.

1. Cylinders are to be made in accordance with ASTM C 31 and tested in accordance with ASTM C 39.

3.01.04. Calibration of Testing Devices

A. The calibration table for each device used (showing the gauge reading and the load, in newtons) must be prominently posted near the testing equipment.

B. Post the "Certification of Calibration" near the testing equipment.

3.01.05. Reports

A. The results of inspection will be reported on "Field Report for Concrete Pipe", Form 1920.

3.02 CORRUGATED METAL PIPE AND METAL END SECTION FABRICATION

3.02.01. Scope

A. This procedure is to be followed for acceptance inspection, visual inspection of small quantities, or where certification verification inspection is required.

3.02.02. Reference Documents

A. ***AASHTO Standards***

M36 Corrugated Steel Pipe, Metallic Coated, for Sewers and Drains
M196 Corrugated Aluminum Pipe for Sewers and Drains
M245 Corrugated Steel Pipe, Polymer Precoated, for Sewers and Drains

B. ***Materials Quality Assurance Procedures Manual***

2.03 Certification Verification Sampling and Testing
4.06 Thickness of Zinc and Epoxy Coatings Applied to a Ferrous Base

C. ***Standard Specifications for Construction***

3.02.03. Procedure

A. ***Corrugated Metal Pipe***

1. This inspection is primarily a visual inspection of an order of fabricated pipe and consists essentially of dimensional measurements and inspection of workmanship. It includes, but is not limited to, the inspection and/or reporting of the following:

- Shape (circular, pipe arch, etc.),
- Helical corrugations,
- Dimensions,
- Lock seam,
- Widths of laps, and depth and spacing of corrugations,
- Weight of metallic coating (on steel pipe), heat number and thickness of sheet,
- Thickness of polymer coating, each side, on polymer coated pipe,
- End finish corrugations,
- Size, location, condition, and number of perforations (when required),
- Quantity of each size of pipe in the order, and
- Workmanship.

2. The fabricator or contractor must provide assistance as needed for complete inspection, depending on where the inspection is being conducted.

3. Determination of the metallic coating thickness by the use of a magnetic thickness gage, or positector, will be permitted on "Visual Inspection" quantities only.

4. Poor workmanship is sufficient cause for rejection. Poor workmanship includes, but is not limited to, the presence of one or more of the following in any individual pipe:

- Elliptical shape in pipe intended to be round,
- Variation from a straight centerline,
- Ragged or diagonal sheared edges,
- Loose or poorly formed lock seams,
- Unfinished ends (if order requires finished ends),
- Illegible markings on the metal sheet,
- Improper gauge,
- Delaminated, broken, or otherwise damaged metallic coating,
- Damaged or delaminated polymer coating, and
- Dents or bends in the metal.

NOTE: Certified material should normally be rejected only for significant deficiencies.

B. ***Metal End Sections***

1. This inspection is primarily a visual inspection of an order of fabricated metal end sections and consists essentially of dimensional measurements, determination of coating thickness and workmanship.

2. The metallic coating on steel end sections must be the same as the coating on the pipe except zinc-coated steel end sections may be used with aluminum-coated steel pipe.

3. Aluminum end sections must be used with aluminum pipe.

4. Determination of the metallic coating thickness may be made by the use of a magnetic thickness gage or positector.

5. The end sections must be furnished with the appropriate coupling band and connector section.

3.02.04. Identification of Material

A. Tag a sufficient number of pieces of pipe, coupling bands and end sections so the order can be identified at the project site.

B. Each tag must show the date of fabrication, Control Section ID, and Job Number.

3.02.05. Certification Verification

A. A sufficient number of pieces in the shipment will be checked and reported to validate the quality of the manufacturer's product.

B. Information may be reported for each piece of material checked, or if appropriate, averages of several measurements, weights, etc. may be reported.

C. The remarks section of all certification verification inspection reports must include a statement as to whether the material does or does not meet specification requirements.

3.02.06. Reports

A. Inspection of corrugated metal pipe and end sections will be reported on the Inspectors Daily Report.

3.03 LANE TIE AND CONCRETE ANCHOR TESTING

3.03.01. Scope

A. The purpose of performing lane tie or concrete anchor pull-out tests is to determine if these devices have been properly installed. When properly installed, lane ties or anchors should develop pull-out loads that exceed requirements of MDOT Specifications. Lane ties refer to deformed reinforcing bars (with or without epoxy coating), that are cast into the concrete. Concrete anchors refer to mechanical or adhesive anchors that are drilled and set into hardened concrete. Adhesive concrete anchors may also be used as lane ties.

3.03.02. Reference Documents

A. Lane tie load sustaining requirements are covered by the current edition of MDOT's Standard Specifications for Construction 602.03F and 914.10, Standard Plan R-41 series, and the contract documents.

3.03.03. Equipment and Supplies

A. Testing Equipment

- Testing frame; including hydraulic cylinder.
- Hydraulic pump unit; including hose and hydraulic pressure gage.
- 50 pound weight with attached ¾ inch diameter rod.
- Dial indicator kit.
- Drawbar and wedge fixture.

B. Additional Equipment

- Wood blocking for frame legs
- Crescent wrench
- Screw driver
- Vice-grips
- Hammer
- Measuring tape
- Shovel

3.03.04. Selection of Sample

A. Do not perform lane tie pull-out tests until the concrete has attained a flexural strength of 550 psi [653 psi for certain torque anchors].

B. Select a minimum of 15 samples for testing. Samples should be selected from various portions of the project, and scattered throughout the available area. Any areas where there have been changes in method of installation, changes in personnel, changes in equipment, or equipment malfunction should be tested. The number of times that a job must be checked will be determined by the Construction/Project Engineer based on the amount of bulkhead joints with anchoring devices.

3.03.05. Test Procedure for Anchoring Devices Used as Lane Ties

A. Attach drawbar or wedge fixture to the anchoring device which is to be tested.

CAUTION: Any misalignment must be compensated for by wood blocking placed between the appropriate frame leg and the concrete.

B. Slightly preload the anchoring device 100 lbf to 200 lbf, by applying pressure with the hydraulic cylinder.

C. Position and preload the dial indicator to measure extrusion of the anchoring device. Zero the dial indicator before applying any additional load.

CAUTION: When applying load to anchors, do not stand behind the frame. Sudden releases can occur, causing the frame to fly back.

D. Apply load to the anchoring device using slow uniform strokes on the hydraulic pump handle.

E. Monitor both the pressure gage and the dial indicator until one of the following occurs:

1. The anchoring device extrudes 1/16 inch out of the concrete. When this happens, record the load at this point.

   NOTE: Misalignment can sometimes cause the dial indicator to move opposite of the direction it should. When this happens, watch the anchoring device and re-zero the indicator when actual extrusion is first observed.

2. If there is not sufficient movement of the anchor to reach 1/16 inch extrusion, load to 12,000 lbf and stop. Record the capacity as 12,000 lbf.

F. When recording data of anchor pull-out tests, set up data sheet as follows:

Sample Number	Load at Initial Slippage	Load at 1/16 inch Extrusion	Ultimate Load	Ultimate Extrusion

G. If the 12,000 lbf load is not reached, the ultimate load is considered to have occurred when the lane tie loading (as indicated by the pressure gage) remains stationary or decreases as pumping is continued, and anchor extrusion is taking place. Ensure that the gripping devices are not slipping.

H. The lane ties are acceptable if the average load per foot of joint equals or exceeds the requirements of Section 602.03F of the Standard Specifications.

3.03.06. Test Procedure for Anchoring Devices used for Applications Other Than Lane Ties

A. Use the same procedure as used for lane ties. The requirements for load sustaining capabilities and extrusion will be stated in the Contract Documents.

3.04 LOAD TRANSFER ASSEMBLIES FOR TRANSVERSE JOINTS

3.04.01. Scope

A. This procedure covers the field inspection of load transfer assemblies shipped to project sites or in-state supplier facilities.

B. MDOT reserves the right to perform sampling and inspection at the place of manufacture, if deemed necessary.

C. This procedure also provides for the acceptance of small quantities of load transfer assemblies using the basis of visual inspection.

3.04.02. Related Documents

A. Standard Specifications for Construction

B. Standard Plans

C. Materials Source Guide

3.04.03. Certification of Dowel Bars

A. All shipments of load transfer assemblies must be accompanied by a manufacturer's certification. This certification, properly prepared, will apply to and permit the acceptance of the dowel bars only. Field inspection of the assemblies is required and must be performed prior to placing any assembly on the grade.

3.04.04. Material Identification

A. Assemblies shipped by the fabricator must be identified in such a manner that the inspector can be confident the certification applies to the material on hand. This identification must include, but is not limited to the following:

1. When shipment is made directly to a project site, each bundle (usually consisting of 15 assemblies) must bear a legible tag showing the following information:

   - Assembly fabricators name and plant location.
   - Project number.
   - Lot number or other identification that will also be shown on the accompanying certification.
   - Contractor's name.

2. When shipment is made to a supplier, the requirements of Section 3.04.04.A.1 apply, except a project number is not required and the supplier's name must be shown in lieu of the Contractor's name.

3.04.05. Inspection Procedure

A. The Contractor or supplier must arrange for inspection with the Region Materials Supervisor and must furnish necessary equipment and personnel needed to assist in the manipulation of the assemblies during the performance of the inspection.

B. The fabricator's certification for the shipment to be inspected must be reviewed by the inspector.

1. The inspector will perform a minimum of one inspection per manufacturer. The inspection should be conducted as soon as possible from the time of delivery to the project. At least one assembly from the shipment will be placed on a surface that will permit the inspector to determine wire sizes, assembly straightness, bar alignment, weld condition, dimensional measurements and any other inspection determined to be necessary.

2. The Inspector will document the results of the field inspection on the Load Transfer Assembly Inspection Worksheet (Form #0553).

3.04.06. Visual Inspection

A. A maximum of 100 load transfer assemblies may be accepted using the basis of visual inspection (dowel bars need not be sampled) provided the inspector is familiar with and confident in the fabricator's quality of work.

3.04.07. Disposition

A. Assemblies may be rejected for failure to comply with physical dimensions and poor workmanship in fabrication or failure of dowel bars tested to meet specification requirements.

B. All assemblies not approved for use must be identified in such a manner that will preclude them from being re-inspected for MDOT use in the future. Assemblies not approved for use will be removed from the project site at the direction of the Construction/Project Engineer.

3.05 PRESERVATIVE TREATED WOOD PRODUCTS

3.05.01. Scope

A. This procedure covers the inspection and sampling of treated wood products.

3.05.02. Reference Documents

A. Standard Specifications for Construction.

B. Michigan Test Method 713.

3.05.03. Inspection

A. The inspection consists of a visual inspection for the species, quality, dimensional measurements, identification mark and treatment requirements as described in the specifications.

1. *Species* - Determine that the species conforms to those allowed in the specification for the item being inspected.

2. *Quality* - Inspect individual pieces for defects as described in the specification for the grade specified.

3. *Dimensions* - Compare cross-section and length with plans or specifications.

4. *Identification Mark* – An identification mark in the form of a brand is required on guardrail posts and blocks.

5. *Treatment* - A Report of Treatment is required as evidence of satisfactory treatment. A Report of Treatment must be furnished by the treatment plant for each charge of material treated showing time of each stage of the treatment, pressures and temperatures used, quantity of material treated and the amount and analysis of preservative used.

3.05.04. Sampling

A. Sampling will be done according to MTM 713.

3.06 THICKNESS OF ZINC AND EPOXY COATINGS APPLIED TO A FERROUS BASE

3.06.01. Scope

A. These procedures cover the use of instruments based on magnetic principles and apply to field thickness measurements only. The Test Method provides for the use of the Positector or Elcometer 456 gage (See Note 1).

NOTE 1: Either gage should not be used on round stock whose diameter is less than 1¼ inch.

TEST METHOD – Elcometer 345, Elcometer 456 or Positector.

3.06.02. Apparatus

A. The testing apparatus is electrically operated utilizing a probe which must be placed directly on the surface. The coating thickness is read directly on the instrument meter.

3.06.03. Test Specimens

A. When this test method is used, the specimen is the coated structure or article on which the thickness is to be evaluated.

3.06.04. Calibration of Apparatus

A. Calibration can be accomplished through either the use of the bare substrate of the coated material and non-magnetic thickness shims or the use of National Institute of Standards and Technology thickness calibration standards.

B. Calibrate the apparatus to read the thickness stated on the calibration standards in the desired range of use.

C. Hold the instrument firmly on the surface and perpendicular to the measuring plane during calibration and use.

1. If the instrument reading does not agree with the calibration standards, thickness adjustment is necessary. This must be done only after the instrument has been removed from the surface of the calibration block or surface to be coated (See Note 2).

   NOTE 2: Attempting to adjust this instrument while the magnet is in contact with a surface being measured will result in damage, necessitating expensive repair or replacement.

2. After removing the instrument from the surface, adjust the reading according to the furnished instruction manual until the reading agrees with the thickness of the calibration standards selected.

3.06.05. Procedure

A. Use the instrument only after it has been calibrated in accordance with Section 3.06.04.

B. Take no measurements closer than 1 inch to an edge or 3 inches to another mass of steel unless absolutely necessary.

C. Assure the coating is dry prior to use of the instrument.

D. Inspect the magnet tip and surface to ensure they are clean.

E. Take readings in areas free of vibration, electrical, or magnetic fields.

F. If readings are encountered outside the range of accuracy determined during calibration, repeat the calibration procedure in that range. Check the calibration frequently during use to ensure the instrument continues to read properly.

G. Take a sufficient number of readings to characterize the sample.

1. For surfaces which are generally large, as found in Metal End Sections or Corrugated Steel Pipe, a recommended minimum is five determinations at random for every 100 ft^2 of surface area. Each of the five determinations should be the mean of three separate gage readings within a ½ inch diameter circle.

2. For small surfaces, as found in Steel Reinforcement or Steel Posts, a recommended minimum is five determinations each, on opposite sides.

3.06.06. Rejections

A. Items may be rejected for failure to conform to coating thickness specifications as determined by the Test Method, or for any other failure of specification requirements for the particular material inspected.

3.07 TEMPORARY TRAFFFIC CONTROL CERTIFICATION AND ACCEPTANCE PROCEDURE

3.07.01. Scope

This procedure covers the documentation and inspection requirements for temporary traffic control devices and materials. This refers to those devices or materials listed under Part 6 of the most current edition of the MMUTCD, section 812 and 922 of the standard specifications, or associated special provisions. Note this procedure does not apply to temporary concrete barrier (TCB) and temporary pavement markings. For procedures related to inspection and acceptance of TCB and temporary pavement markings, please refer to 922.04 and 922.06 respectively.

3.07.02. General

A. Classification – All temporary traffic control devices are classified based on the FHWA document titled "Information: Identifying Acceptable Highway Safety Features," [Federal-aid Reimbursement Eligibility Process] which established four categories of work zone devices.

1. Category I devices are those lightweight devices which could be self-certified by the vendor.

2. Category II devices are other lightweight devices that need individual crash testing.

3. Category III devices are barriers and other fixed or massive devices that also need crash testing.

4. Category IV devices are trailer mounted lighted signs, arrow panels, etc. These devices are not required to be crash tested.

B. For questions about device classification based on this system, please contact the Traffic Incident and Work Zone Management Unit.

C. MDOT Contacts - The following personnel may be contacted if questions arise regarding submittal of documentation and/or evaluation of devices or materials covered herein:

Work Zone Management
Construction Field Services
8885 Ricks Road
Lansing, Michigan 48909

3.07.03. Referenced Documents

A. MDOT Standard Specifications for Construction

Section 812 Temporary Traffic Control for Construction Operations
Section 922 Temporary Traffic Control

B. Michigan Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices - Part 6

C. ATSSA Quality Guidelines for Temporary Traffic Control Devices and Features

D. FHWA – Crashworthy Work Zone Traffic Control Devices

3.07.04. Duties of the Inspector

A. Materials and Sampling – It is the inspector's duty to ensure all temporary traffic control materials and devices used in the project are visually inspected and correspond to the information in the certification letter provided by the contractor, and meet all the requirements of the Standard Specification for Construction, or associated Special Provisions. All links within the tables must be verified as working before accepting the Traffic Control Certification Letter.

3.07.05. Acceptance

A. The contractor must provide the engineer with a certification letter in the format of the sample provided. Check the Workzone ProjectWise folder, document titled Temporary Traffic Control Certification Letter for the most current version of the letter. If you don't have ProjectWise access contact the Work Zone Delivery Engineer for the most current version. This sample letter details the information required for each device category. The file name must be saved and submitted as detailed under Division 1 Supplemental Information e-Construction.

B. Category I Devices – These devices must be visually inspected to make sure that the material and design are in agreement with the self-certification letter provided by the contractor. Upon visual inspection, the device must be in acceptable condition as outlined in the ATSSA Quality Guidelines, and documented in an IDR.

C. Category II & III Devices – These devices must have a crash test letter provided, and must be visually inspected upon installation to verify that they are assembled correctly and are in acceptable condition, and documented in an IDR. Any documentation to allow modification to the original design must be included with a certification letter. If WZD-100 or WZD-125 are used in their entirely, no additional documentation is required.

1. Crash test letters and any documents approving modifications should utilize a link to the Workzone Projectwise folder. Please contact the Work Zone Delivery Engineer to have an approval letter added to the folder.

D. Category IV Devices – These devices must meet all of the requirements set forth in section 812 and 922 of MDOTs Specification for Construction, the MMUTCD Part 6, and any associated Special Provisions. Visual inspection includes conformance with the specifications, as well as verification of the condition of the device.

1. As currently configured and deployed, these devices provide a net benefit to motorists. Substantial crash experience to date shows that crashes with these devices are rare. They have been identified by FHWA as portable, usually trailer-mounted, devices such as area lighting supports, flashing arrow panels, temporary traffic signals, and changeable message signs which are often used in or adjacent to the traveled way. The AASHTO/FHWA agreement states that time is needed to conceive and evaluate alternative measures for making these devices crashworthy, to examine the use and crash histories of existing devices, and to review and, if needed, develop safer, cost-effective strategies for the placement or replacement of these devices that will provide motorists with needed information for driving in work zones.

2. (FHWA Policy Memo http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/legsregs/directives/policy/ra.htm)

E. Device Sheeting – Must meet current MDOT standards as spelled out in the project documents, or in section 812 and 922 of MDOTs Specification for Construction. Sheeting will be visually inspected on each device for retroreflective qualities, and acceptance must be documented in an IDR.

F. General Material Certification - Documentation of this is covered as part of the self-certification letter; this should be verified with a visual inspection and documented in an IDR.

3.07.06. Rejection

A. Temporary Traffic Control Devices and Materials - These items must be visually inspected and approved as detailed above before payment can be made. Any devices or materials that fail initial inspection must be repaired or replaced, then re-inspected for acceptance before payment will be made.

B. Unacceptable devices must be rejected, which include those devices that do not meet the requirements listed in the standard specifications or the special provisions, as well as those included in MMUTCD part 6. Devices or materials will also be rejected if they are not in acceptable condition per the ATSSA Quality guidelines (for those devices covered by that document), or per the discretion of the engineer.

C. Payment will not be made for any devices rejected upon initial inspection; quantities of rejected devices must be noted in the IDR and submitted to the contractor.

D. Device Sheeting - If device sheeting is rejected to due visual appearance, additional documentation detailing the specifics of the sheeting, such as Manufacturer, ASTM D Code, and a product specification sheet may be requested from the contractor for verification.

E. Material Certification - If any item is rejected due to visual appearance, additional specifications may be requested from the contractor for verification.

3.08 BUY AMERICA REQUIREMENTS FOR STEEL, IRON, MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS, AND CONSTRUCTION MATERIALS

3.08.01. Scope

A. This procedure covers the process for submitting Buy America certification for steel, iron, manufactured products, and construction materials permanently incorporated into construction projects. Buy American is not the same as Buy America. Buy American certifications do not fulfill the requirements of Buy America.

3.08.02. Referenced Documents

A.
- Title 23 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 635.410, Buy America Requirements
- US Public Law No: 117-58 (November 15, 2021), Title IX, Build America Buy America
- Standard Specifications for Construction, Subsection 105.10, Source of Steel and Iron
- Construction Manual, Section 105.10 Buy America Source of Steel and Iron

B. Contract Documents

Special Provision for Source of Steel and Iron (Buy America)
Special Provision for Source of Construction Materials

C. Construction Field Services Division Materials Source Guide

3.08.03. General

A. The manufacturer/fabricator must provide a signed and dated certification statement on company letterhead stating the steel, iron, and/or manufactured product (90 percent or greater of steel and/or iron) complies with Title 23 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), Section 635.410. A link to the applicable CFR section is available at the following FHWA website:
https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/construction/cqit/buyam_laws_and_regulations.cfm

a. If the steel, iron, and/or manufactured product(s)(90 percent or greater of steel and/or iron) contain any amount of foreign steel/iron, or if any manufacturing and/or fabricating processes (coating, bending, cutting, etc.) are completed non-domestically, the manufacturer/fabricator must provide the invoice cost of the foreign materials and/or non-domestic processes as related to the final cost of the product. These materials and products may still be considered for use but will need to be evaluated by the prime contractor as falling under the minimal monetary amount for foreign steel/iron materials on the project.

b. The manufacturer/fabricator must maintain and provide step certification documentation for the items as listed on the Department's Buy America Requirements list for pay items and materials that require step certification. Step certification is defined as the certification by each manufacturer or fabricator for their specific process (step) that the product, material, or component was fabricated, manufactured, and/or processed in the United States.

B. The contractor must provide documented certification stating the final manufacturing process

and the immediately preceding manufacturing process for construction materials occurred within the United States. Applicable construction materials include non-ferrous metals, plastic and polymer-based products, glass, lumber, and drywall.

3.08.04. Sample Certification Language

A. Full Buy America Compliance

I, _____ *(company representative)* certify that _____'s *(company name)* _____ *(product name)* is in full compliance with the FHWA Buy America requirements. If any of our process(es) or materials change that affect our compliance with the FHWA Buy America requirements we will immediately inform MDOT.

B. Partial Buy America Compliance

I, _____ *(company representative)* certify that _____'s *(company name)* _____ *(product name)* is in full compliance with the FHWA Buy America requirements except for $_____ of foreign steel/iron in each product. If any of our process(es) or materials change that affect our compliance with the FHWA Buy America requirements we will immediately inform MDOT.

C. Construction Material Compliance

I, _____ *(company representative)* of _____ *(company name),* certify the final manufacturing process and immediately preceding manufacturing process for _____ (construction material name) have occurred in the United States. If any process(es) change that affect the domestic compliance, we will immediately inform MDOT.

D. Refer to Construction Manual, Section 105.10 Buy America Source of Steel and Iron, for additional sample statements for Buy America compliance.

3.08.05. Distribution

A. Compliance statements must be submitted to the respective Engineer with material delivery.

3.09 OPTIMIZED AGGREGATE GRADATION

3.09.01. Scope

A. This procedure covers the processes for determining optimized aggregate gradations for Portland Cement Concrete (PCC).

3.09.02. Reference Documents.

A. MDOT Standard Specifications for Construction

B. ASTM and AASHTO Standards:

ASTM D 4791 Flat Particles, Elongated Particles, or Flat and Elongated Particles in Coarse Aggregate

AASHTO T 11 Materials Finer than No. 75 µm (No. 200) Sieve in Mineral Aggregates by Washing

AASHTO T 27 Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregates

AASHTO T 248 Reducing Samples of Aggregate to Testing Size

C. Michigan Test Methods (MTM):

MTM 107 Sampling Aggregates

MTM 108 Materials Finer than No. 75 µm (No. 200) Sieve in Mineral Aggregates by Washing

MTM 109 Sieve Analysis of Fine, Dense Graded, Open Graded and Coarse Aggregates in the Field

MTM 110 Determining Deleterious and Objectionable Particles in Aggregates

3.09.03. Materials

A. Refer to subsection 902.03.C in the Standard Specifications for Construction for coarse, intermediate, and fine aggregate size definitions and physical requirements. Additionally:

1. No more than 15 percent of aggregates from a quarried carbonate source may pass the #4 sieve.

2. Aggregate with a freeze-thaw dilation greater than 0.040 percent retained on the 1/2 inch sieve cannot constitute more than five percent of the total combined aggregate.

B. General Aggregate Requirements

1. Aggregate Sources. A listing of aggregate sources meeting the specified values for freeze-thaw dilation and absorption is available from the Engineer.

2. Stockpiles. The Contractor must provide a detailed stockpile management plan, describing process controls for shipping, handling, and storage of each aggregate

(including the use of radial stackers with elevating conveyors) to minimize segregation and contamination, including proposed method(s) for aggregate quality control.

Production mixes can only be produced from stockpiles tested under the Contractor's Quality Control Plan for materials that represent that day's production gradation.

3. Preconditioning. All coarse and intermediate aggregates must be maintained at a minimum of saturated surface-dry condition prior to batching concrete.

4. Verification of Physical Requirements. The Contractor must provide the Engineer with written verification from the aggregate supplier(s) that the coarse, intermediate, and fine aggregates meet the specified physical requirements. Acceptable verification must include records of the supplier's quality control tests and supporting documentation, including most current MDOT freeze thaw test results.

C. Aggregate Particle Size Requirements

1. Maximum aggregate size for each optimized gradation will depend on the minimum concrete pavement thickness and application:

a. Pavements with a minimum design thickness of concrete > 6 inches = 2 inch maximum particle size aggregate

b. Pavements with a minimum design thickness of concrete ≤ 6 inches = 1½ inch maximum particle size aggregate

c. All other applications = 1½ inch maximum particle size aggregate

3.09.04. Procedure

A. Sampling

1. Obtain three samples of each individual coarse, intermediate, and fine aggregate using the mini-stockpile method in accordance with MTM 107. One mini-stockpile of each individual coarse, intermediate, and fine aggregate can be used to obtain all three samples of each individual coarse, intermediate, and fine aggregate.

Development of the initial Job Mix Formula may utilize historical pit gradations along with the aggregate samples described above.

2. All sampling must be performed by a Michigan Certified Aggregate Technician (MCAT).

B. Mechanical Analysis

1. Ensure that all coarse, intermediate, and fine aggregate samples are free of excess moisture (free moisture) prior to individually combining and subsequently reducing samples of aggregate to testing size.

2. Combine the three samples from each individual coarse and intermediate aggregate and reduce using Method B (Quartering) from AASHTO T 248. Combine the three samples from each fine aggregate and reduce using Method C (Miniature Stockpile Sampling) from AASHTO T 248. After combining and reducing samples, perform a

mechanical analysis on each of the coarse, intermediate and fine aggregate samples in accordance with AASHTO T 11 and AASHTO T 27. Use the following nest of sieves for the mechanical analysis: 2 inch, 1½ inch, 1 inch, 3/4 inch, 1/2 inch, 3/8 inch, No.4, No. 8, No. 16, No. 30, No. 50, and No. 100.

3. All mechanical analyses must be performed by a Michigan Certified Aggregate Technician (MCAT).

C. Use the gradations for each of the coarse, intermediate, and fine aggregates as determined in subsections 3.09.04.A and 3.09.04.B of this procedure for all calculations described in subsection 3.09.04.D of this procedure.

D. Determination of Optimum Aggregate Proportions

1. Combining Aggregate Gradations

Multiply the relative percentage for each classification of individual aggregate by the percent passing for each respective sieve. Add the resulting values based on each sieve size, for all aggregate classifications and divide by 100.

Note: "Relative Percentage" is the percent that each individual aggregate classification represents of the total combined aggregate blend. The sum of the relative percentages must equal 100 percent.

$$P = \frac{C(c) + I(i) + F(f)}{100}$$

P = Theoretical combined percent passing of a given sieve
C,I,F = Percent passing given sieve for Coarse, Intermediate, and Fine aggregate classification, respectively.
c,i,f = Relative percentage of total aggregate content.

Example from Table 1:

Theoretical combined percent passing the ½ inch sieve (P1/2 inch)

$$P_{1/2\ inch} = \frac{35(51.0) + 100(8.0) + 100(41.0)}{100} = 66.9\%\ passing$$

Convert the theoretical combined gradation percent passing to the theoretical combined gradation percent retained by subtracting the theoretical combined percent passing on the top sieve from 100. The theoretical combined gradation percent retained for each subsequent sieve is then calculated by subtracting its respective theoretical combined gradation percent passing from the preceding larger sieve's theoretical combined gradation percent passing.

This procedure may require a number of iterations to determine the desired proportion for each course, intermediate, and fine aggregate in efforts to produce an optimized combined gradation that meets the requirements for the project. There are software programs available to assist in the process.

Table 1: Example of Combining Aggregate Gradations

	Aggregate Classification				
Sieve	Coarse Aggregate	Intermediate Aggregate	Fine Aggregate	Theoretical Combined Gradation %Passing	Theoretical Combined Gradation %Retained
Relative Percent	51.0	8.0	41.0		
	Percent Passing				
2 inch	100	100	100	100.0	0.0
1½ inch	100	100	100	100.0	0.0
1 inch	83	100	100	91.3	8.7
¾ inch	65	100	100	82.2	9.1
½ inch	35	100	100	66.9	15.3
⅜ inch	14	100	100	56.1	10.8
No. 4	2.1	33	96	43.1	13.0
No. 8	0.9	2.8	82	34.3	8.8
No. 16	0.8	2.3	63	26.4	7.9
No. 30	0.7	1.8	37	15.7	10.7
No. 50	0.5	1.2	9.4	4.2	11.5
No. 100	0.4	0.7	1.0	0.7	3.5

a. Additional Requirements

The following conditions must also be met by the optimized aggregate blend:

1. The maximum theoretical combined gradation percent retained on a single sieve must be on a sieve larger than the ⅜ inch sieve.

2. The maximum theoretical combined gradation percent retained value must be equal to or greater than the theoretical combined gradation percent retained on any sieve smaller than the ½ inch sieve.

3. The sum of the theoretical combined gradation percent retained on any two adjacent sieves must be at least 10 percent, except for the maximum sieve size, nominal maximum sieve*, No. 100, and No. 200 sieves.

4. The theoretical combined gradation percent retained must be at least four percent for each sieve, except for the maximum sieve size, nominal maximum sieve*, No. 100, and No. 200 sieves, and at least eight percent retained on the 1 inch sieve for optimized blends with 2 inch maximum size aggregate or at least four percent retained on the ¾ inch sieve for optimized blends with 1½ inch maximum size aggregate. Optimized blends with 1½ inch maximum size aggregate may have up to 2.5 percent retained on the 1½ inch sieve.

   *The nominal maximum sieve is the 1½ inch sieve for an aggregate with a 2 inch maximum size and the 1 inch sieve for an aggregate with a 1½ inch maximum size.

2. Coarseness Factor

Use the optimized aggregate blend and the following formula to calculate a Coarseness Factor (CF).

$$CF = \frac{\text{[combined \% retained on 3/8 inch sieve and above]}}{\text{[combined \% retained on No.8 sieve and above]}} \text{ X } 100$$

Example (see Table 1):

$$CF = \frac{10.8+15.3+9.1+8.7}{8.8+13.0+10.8+15.3+9.1+8.7} \text{ X } 100 = 66.8 \approx 67$$

3. Workability Factor

Use the optimized aggregate blend and the following formula to calculate a Workability Factor (WF).

WF* = Combined % Passing No.8 Sieve

Example (see Table 1):

WF = 34.3 ≈ 34

*Increase the calculated WF by 2.5 percent for each increase of 94 pounds of cementitious material over 564 pounds per cubic yard.

4. CF vs. WF Chart

Plot the coarseness factor vs. workability factor (CF calculated in subsection 3.09.04.D.2 and the WF calculated in subsection 3.09.04.D.3) on the CF vs WF chart (see Figure 1).

a. Job Mix Formula (JMF) Zone

The Contractor's initial proposed optimized aggregate gradation to be used in production, as submitted to the Engineer in the Initial Mix Design, must plot within the Job Mix Formula Zone Boundary of the CF vs WF chart (see Figure 1).

b. Operating Zone

The Contractor must not use an optimized aggregate gradation for production that plots outside of the Operating Zone Boundary (Suspension Limit Boundary) of the CF vs WF chart (see Figure 1).

5. Loss by Wash (LBW)

The Loss by Washing (MTM 108) for each coarse, intermediate and fine aggregate must be according to subsection 3.09.03.A of this procedure.

6. Finalized Combined Gradation Report

The Contractor must submit to the Engineer a report containing the individual gradation analysis report for each course, intermediate, and fine aggregate, and the Combined Aggregate Gradation documentation (see Table 1) and corresponding Coarseness Factor and Workability Factor charts (see Figure 1) with each Job Mix Formula for the Engineers approval prior to concrete production.

7. Prior to initial startup, the Engineer may sample the on-site production stockpiles for coarse, intermediate, and fine aggregate to verify the optimized aggregate gradation in accordance with subsection 3.09.04 of this procedure.

Figure 1: CF vs WF Chart



3.09.05. Process Control During Concrete Production

A. Production Gradation

A Production Gradation is a combined aggregate gradation (described in section 3.09.04 of this procedure) that is used during concrete production.

Aggregate samples must be taken from the production face of each aggregate stockpile using the mini-stockpile method in accordance with MTM 107.

Ensure that all coarse, intermediate, and fine aggregate samples are free of excess moisture (free moisture) prior to individually combining and subsequently reducing samples of aggregate to testing size.

Perform a mechanical analysis for each individual aggregate in accordance with MTM 109 utilizing the sieve nest listed in subsection 3.09.04.B.2 of this procedure. Verify the Loss by Washing of each aggregate in accordance with MTM 108.

1. Produce aggregate batch weights to reflect most current Production Gradation results.

   a. Concrete Paving Using On-Site Batch Plant. The Contractor must produce one Production Gradation prior to initial startup and one randomly during each subsequent day of production, thereafter, that represents materials to be used during the next day's production. When approved by the Engineer, projects using 20 cubic yards or less of concrete per day (reduced production) may reduce the subsequent random Production Gradation frequency to one test per week of production. If additional aggregate is to be added to the production stockpile during the period of reduced production, it must be sampled and tested by the Contractor for compliance prior to inclusion into the production stockpile, or physically separated from the current production stockpile until such a time when it can be sampled and tested for compliance. Production Gradation must meet the requirements for optimized aggregate gradation described in subsection 3.09.04 of this procedure. Each of these Production Gradations must be performed and completed, including aggregate proportion adjustments, to ensure that the CF vs WF will plot within the Action Limits Boundary (see subsection 3.09.05.B of this procedure) prior to use.

   b. Concrete Production Using Commercial Batch Plant. If the aggregates are being provided by aggregate sources meeting the requirements of the Department's Prequalified Aggregate Supplier Program, the Contractor may utilize the aggregate source's current weekly gradation analysis reports to maintain gradation quality control of daily concrete production for the combined aggregate proportions. Prior to initial startup and at least weekly during concrete production, thereafter, each of the designated on-site production stockpiles for coarse, intermediate, and fine aggregate must be sampled and tested by the Contractor to verify that the combined aggregate gradation for the JMF will plot within the Operating Zone Boundary (Suspension Limit Boundary) of the CF vs WF chart described in subsection 3.09.04.D.4.b of this procedure. If the Contractor provides documentation to the Engineer verifying an ongoing history of reasonable conformance between the on-site production stockpile gradation test results and the aggregate source's weekly gradation analysis reports, the Engineer may permit the frequency of weekly stockpile quality control testing to be further reduced for quantity of concrete produced during weeks of reduced production (20 cubic yards or less of concrete per day). The Contractor's proposed reduced frequency of on-site production stockpile quality control testing must then be approved by the Engineer at the preproduction meeting and documented in the Contractor's Quality Control Plan. Production Gradation must meet the requirements for optimized aggregate gradation described in subsection 3.09.04 of this procedure. Aggregate proportion adjustments may then be required to ensure that the CF vs WF will plot within the Action Limit Boundary (see subsection 3.09.05.B of this procedure).

      If the current weekly gradation analysis reports for the aggregate sources meeting the requirements of the Department's Prequalified Aggregate Supplier Program are not available or the aggregates are being provided by aggregate sources not meeting the requirements of the Department's Prequalified

Aggregate Supplier Program, the aggregates must be sampled at the batch plant, tested by the Contractor for compliance and approved by the Department prior to initial startup and at least weekly during concrete production, thereafter. If additional aggregate is delivered to the concrete production facility, it must be either sampled and tested by the Contractor for compliance prior to inclusion into the production stockpile or physically separated from the current production stockpile until such a time when it can be sampled and tested for compliance. Production Gradation must meet the requirements for optimized aggregate gradation described in subsection 3.09.04 of this procedure. Aggregate proportion adjustments may then be required to ensure that the CF vs WF will plot within the Action Limit Boundary (see subsection 3.09.05.B of this procedure).

A moving average of the two most recent and consecutive initial startup and daily random Production Gradations may be used to represent the materials to be used during the next day's production.

2. The Contractor must provide a Production Gradation Report verifying the completion, and results, of a Production Gradation to the Engineer prior to any production for that day. The Contractor must also provide a Production Gradation Report to the Engineer for any additional Production Gradation performed under the Contractor's Quality Control (see subsection 3.09.06.A.1.b of this procedure).

   a. The Production Gradation Report must include, but is not limited to, the following:

      1. Contract Number (Control Section/Job Number)

      2. Name of Contractor

      3. Date of Sampling and Testing and date test represents

      4. Individual aggregate gradations

      5. Combined aggregate gradations including the Theoretical Combined Gradation Percent Retained for each sieve (see Table 1)

      6. Optimized aggregate proportions – report in relative percentages and resulting batch weights

      7. CF and WF calculations

      8. Signed by a responsible representative of the Contractor

B. Corrective Action Limits

1. Action Limits must be determined and documented by the Contractor in the Quality Control Plan. Action Limits must not extend beyond the Operating Zone Boundary (Suspension Limit Boundary) described in subsection 3.09.04.D.4.b of this procedure (see Figure 2). Any Production Gradation (subsection 3.09.05.A of this procedure) that plots outside of the Action Limits will require the Contractor to perform all necessary corrective actions detailed in the Quality Control Plan (see subsection 3.09.06.A.1.c of this procedure) to return to within the documented Action Limits

Boundary. The Contractor must notify the Engineer whenever the process approaches an Action Limit.

C. Suspension of Work Limits

1. The Contractor must stop production and perform all necessary corrective actions detailed in the Quality Control Plan (see subsection 3.09.06.A.1.c of this procedure) to return to within the documented Action Limits Boundary if any Production Gradation (subsection 3.09.05.A of this procedure) has a plotted CF vs WF value that is outside the Operating Zone Boundary (Suspension Limit Boundary) described in subsection 3.09.04.D.4.b of this procedure. The Contractor must notify the Engineer whenever the process approaches a Suspension Limit.

   After corrective action has been performed, a new Production Gradation (subsection 3.09.05.A of this procedure) must be established to verify that the corrective actions were successful. Production will not be allowed to continue until a new Production Gradation (subsection 3.09.05.A of this procedure) results in a CF vs WF that plots within the Action Limits Boundary. This new Production Gradation (subsection 3.09.05.A of this procedure) must then be used for process control and a new Production Gradation Report must be given to the Engineer.

2. The Contractor must stop production, perform all necessary corrective actions detailed in the Quality Control Plan (see subsection 3.09.06.A.1.c of this procedure), and notify the Engineer and Region Materials Supervisor if any combined individual gradation (see subsections 3.09.04.A and 3.09.04.B of this procedure) does not meet the requirements described in section 3.09.07 of this procedure. Resume production only after receiving a Notice to Resume Work (Form 1165) from the Engineer.

Figure 2: CF vs WF with Action Limits



3.09.06. Quality Control for Optimized Aggregate Gradation

A. Quality Control Plan

1. Elements of the Plan

The plan must address all elements that affect the quality of the aggregate, including but not limited to, the following:

a. Stockpile management (see subsection 3.09.03.B.2 of this procedure).

b. The frequency of sampling and testing including additional Production Gradation beyond the minimum required in subsection 3.09.05.A.1 of this procedure.

c. Corrective Actions

1. Corrective actions to be taken when CF vs WF is outside of Action Limits Boundary.

2. Corrective actions to be taken when CF vs WF is outside of Operating Zone Boundary (Suspension Limit Boundary).

3. Corrective actions to be taken when an averaged gradation is non-compliant with section 3.09.07 of this procedure.

d. Methods for verifying Production Gradations.

B. Documentation

The Contractor must maintain records of all inspections and tests. The records must indicate the nature and number of observations made, the number and type of deficiencies found, the quantities represented by the test, and any corrective action taken. Copies must be submitted to the Engineer as work progresses.

1. A control chart and running tabulation of individual test results must be prepared for the following tests. These must be available to the Engineer at any time and submitted to the Engineer weekly in a format acceptable to the Engineer, including:

   a. Gradations for both individual and combined aggregates

   b. Moisture content of aggregates

   c. Coarseness Factor

   d. Workability Factor

2. Submit within 24 hours of sampling a copy of all documentation for each Production Gradation to the Engineer; including a copy of the respective Production Gradation Report (see subsection 3.09.05.A.2 of this procedure). Report coarse, intermediate, and fine aggregate proportions from each Production Gradation in relative percentage and resulting batch weights for each aggregate. Attach a copy of all respective concrete production batch tickets to the documentation for each Production Gradation.

C. Non-Compliant Materials

The Contractor must establish and maintain an effective and positive system for controlling non-compliant materials, including procedures for their identification, isolation and disposal. Reclaiming or reworking of non-complying materials must be in accordance with procedures acceptable to the Engineer.

All non-compliant materials and products must be separated and clearly identified to prevent use, shipment, and contamination with conforming materials.

The Contractor must take prompt action to correct and document conditions that have resulted, or could result, in the incorporation of non-compliant materials and update the Quality Control plan if necessary.

D. All sampling and testing performed under the Contractor's Quality Control Plan for optimized aggregate gradation must be performed by a Michigan Certified Aggregate Technician (MCAT). Each quality control person performing quality control sampling of aggregates on the project will be required to demonstrate to MCAT certified Department personnel proper sampling of course, intermediate and fine aggregate prior to batching concrete. The sampling will be conducted at the concrete batching facility. Aggregate samples will be taken from the production face of each aggregate stockpile using the mini-stockpile method according to MTM 107.

3.09.07. Aggregate Physical Properties Reports

A. Prior to the pre-production meeting, the Contractor must submit test reports from the aggregate producer verifying that the aggregates meet the physical requirements of this procedure. The reports must include, but are not limited to:

1. Coarse Aggregate:

- Freeze-thaw Report (MDOT report)
- Flat and Elongation Report (Aggregate Producer report)
- Mechanical Analysis (Aggregate Producer report)
  - Includes Gradation, Loss By Washing and Deleterious results
  - Deleterious results will include at least the following: Soft Particles %, Chert Particles %, Sum of Soft and Chert %, sum of Coke and Coal %, and Clay-Ironstone %

2. Intermediate Aggregate:

- Freeze-thaw Report (MDOT report)
- Mechanical Analysis (Aggregate Producer report)
  - Includes Gradation, Loss By Washing, and Deleterious results
  - Deleterious results include at least the following: Soft Particles %, Chert Particles %, Sum of Soft and Chert %, sum of Coke and Coal %, and Clay-Ironstone %

3. Fine Aggregate:

- Organic Impurities results (Aggregate Producer report)
- Mechanical Analysis (Aggregate Producer report)
  - Includes Gradation, Loss By Washing, and Fineness Modulus

3.09.08. Acceptance During Concrete Production

A. Acceptance of the coarse, intermediate and fine aggregates will be conducted in accordance with the Department's Procedures for Aggregate Inspection, except for the following:

1. Each individual production stockpile of intermediate and fine aggregate will be sampled at the same time as the corresponding coarse aggregate and tested concurrently, regardless of the individual quantities of intermediate and fine aggregate that have been used, to date, for the optimized aggregate mixture at the time the coarse aggregate is sampled.

a. Concrete Paving Using On-Site Batch Plant. The minimum sampling and testing frequency for each individual aggregate will be one per 5,000 tons of coarse aggregate used in the optimized aggregate mixture (one per project for projects less than 5,000 tons).

b. Concrete Production Using Commercial Batch Plant. As determined by the Engineer at the preproduction meeting, the minimum sampling and testing frequency for each individual aggregate will be based on either of the following criteria:

1. Quantity of Aggregate Used. The minimum sampling and testing frequency for each individual aggregate will be one per 5,000 tons of coarse aggregate used in the optimized aggregate mixture (one per project for projects less than 5,000 tons). For optimized aggregate mixtures that include one or more non-prequalified aggregate sources, the minimum sampling and testing frequency will be increased to ensure that all non-prequalified aggregates will be sampled and tested at a minimum frequency of one per 1,000 tons (one per project for projects less than 1,000 tons).

2. Volume of Concrete Produced. The minimum sampling and testing frequency for each individual aggregate will be one per 2,000 cubic yards of concrete produced for each Grade of concrete utilizing optimized aggregate gradations (minimum one per project per Grade of concrete).

2. Sampling for acceptance will be conducted at the concrete batching facility. Aggregate samples will be taken from the production face of each aggregate stockpile using the mini-stockpile method in accordance with MTM 107.

3. The Department will perform a mechanical analysis for each individual aggregate in accordance with MTM 109 utilizing the sieve nest listed in subsection 3.09.04.B.2 of this procedure.

   a. The Department will verify the Loss by Washing of each aggregate in accordance with MTM 108. Acceptance will be based on the Loss by Washing requirements specified in subsection 3.09.03.A of this procedure.

   b. The Department will verify the ability of the aggregates to be optimized. The mechanical analyses generated from the acceptance tests for each individual coarse, intermediate, fine aggregate will be compared to those provided by the Contractor that were used to generate the actual Production Gradation for the concrete representing the period of production when the acceptance samples were obtained. Acceptance of the combined aggregate gradation will be based on the ability of the combined aggregate gradation to plot within the Operating Zone Boundary (Suspension Limit Boundary) of the CF vs WF chart described in subsection 3.09.04.D.4.b of this procedure, using the mechanical analysis acceptance test results obtained in subsection 3.09.08.A.3 of this procedure, proportioned in their respective relative percentages as provided by the Contractor, above.

PROCEDURES FOR CLASS A, B, and F BURY CORRUGATED POLYETHYLENE PIPE (CPE)

3.10.01.

A. These procedures provide a means by which the Michigan Department of Transportation (MDOT) will accept CPE pipe for Class A, B, and F culvert and sewer bury applications of the required quality.

B. These procedures are applicable for CPE pipe for Class A, B, and F bury conditions which are manufactured and tested in accordance with current AASHTO specifications.

C. These procedures are not applicable to downspouts listed under the culvert heading.

3.10.02. Referenced Documents

A. CPE pipe for bury conditions as noted in these procedures must be manufactured and tested according to the requirements from the current AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Guide Section 12 and the parameters in:

Michigan Test Method 718	Testing Corrugated Polyethylene Pipe and Corrugated Polyethylene Tubing
AASHTO M294	Standard Specification for Corrugated Polyethylene Pipe, 300- to 1500-mm (12- to 60-in.) Diameter

3.10.03. Watertight Joint System for Sewers and Culverts Qualification Procedure

A. Follow the procedures as described in subsection 5.19 of this manual.

B. Manufacturers must receive watertight joint system approval.

3.10.04. Load-and-Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) Qualification Procedure

A. Follow the procedures as described in subsection 5.21 of this manual.

B. Manufacturers must receive LRFD qualification approval.

3.10.05 AASHTO National Transportation Product Evaluation Program (NTPEP)

A. The manufacturer must maintain compliance with the NTPEP Thermoplastic Pipe (THP) audit program.

B. Compliance will be reviewed by the Materials Section in Construction Field Services.

3.10.06 Acceptance Requirements

A. The basis of acceptance for CPE plastic pipe is Approved Manufacturer in accordance with subsection 2.02 of this manual.

B. Certification verification sampling and testing will be performed at a frequency of one test every 10,000 feet per facility.

C. Project specific testing is only necessary if requested by the Engineer.

3.10.07. Acceptance Testing Frequency

A. This section is for project specific pipe testing required by the Engineer or testing pipe for a manufacturer that is not an Approved Manufacturer.

B. After receiving watertight joint system approval and LRFD qualification approval in accordance with section 3.10.03 and 3.10.04 pipe must be tested on a per diameter per project basis.

C. Sample frequency is 1 test per 1000 feet of pipe. Sampling must be in accordance with section 3.10.09.

3.10.08. Approved Manufacturer Documentation

A. Approved Manufacturers must provide General Certification documentation in accordance with section 2.02.04 of this manual.

B. Approved Manufacturer General Certifications must be distributed in accordance with section 2.02.07 of this manual.

3.10.09 Sampling Guidelines for Acceptance Testing

Mark all specimens cut from the sample length of pipe, so they can be identified at the laboratory as having come from the same length of pipe. The samples must be prepared and delivered to the laboratory at CFS for testing according to the following:

Diameter of 12 inch to 24 inch

Size of Sample – A sample consists of one 10 foot long piece with bell end of joint and one 6 foot long piece, measured from spigot end, with joint gasket attached.

Each pipe diameter is considered by MDOT to be a different size for testing. Store each pipe size separately.

Greater than 24 inch diameter up to and including 48 inch diameter

Preparation of each length of pipe sample includes:

- Cutting three specimens from each length of pipe diameter sampled, with a length equal to or greater than the diameter. 30 inch pipe would be three specimens over 30 inches in length, cuts may be made in the valley of the first corrugation beyond 30 inches.

- Cutting 1 specimen from each length of pipe sampled at least 72 inches in length.

- Providing the necessary pieces to assemble a joint with approximately 6 inches of pipe protruding from each end of the joint.

3.10.10 Withdrawal and Reinstatement of Approved Manufacturer Status

A. Failure to comply with these procedures may result in withdrawal of Approved Manufacturer status. A warning letter may be sent from MDOT indicating the improper procedure and requesting action to rectify the problem.

B. If a facility loses Approved Manufacturer status due to failure to meet the watertight joint and/or bury depth calculation requirements, it will no longer be approved for use on a state or federally-funded project until the manufacturer has demonstrated, to the satisfaction of MDOT, the material has been redesigned and shown to meet all applicable specifications and requirements.

C. A facility that has lost its Approved Manufacturer status will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The request must be submitted to the Construction Field Services, Materials Control resource as identified in section 2.02.07.

4.01 INDEPENDENT ASSURANCE PROGRAM

4.01.01 Scope

A. The FHWA, in accordance with Title 23, of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 637, requires that all states develop a procedure for qualifying all testing personnel and laboratories used in acceptance decisions for Federal aid on projects on the National Highway System.

B. The Michigan Department of Transportation's (MDOT) Independent Assurance Program consists of two parts: Independent Assurance Test Procedures (4.02) and the Laboratory and Technician Qualification Programs (4.03).

C. Qualified sampling and testing personnel, other than those performing the Quality Assurance (QA) sampling and testing, should perform the Independent Assurance (IA) tests. Likewise, for Aggregate, Concrete and HMA, equipment other than that used for QA should be used for IA sampling and testing.

D. MDOT uses a system approach for its Independent Assurance Program. The IAT testing frequency for all testers and equipment is based on time. The purpose is to cover all the testers and equipment over a period of one year.

E. The MDOT Laboratory and Technicians Qualification Programs (4.03) contain criteria and guidelines for establishing and implementing a quality management system for use by construction materials laboratories. The essential elements of the laboratory quality systems include setting up and implementing policies regarding equipment maintenance and calibration, technician qualification and continued training, test results and document control, corrective action in case of nonconforming work, and participation in proficiency sample or inter-laboratory comparison testing Program(s). The areas covered by the program are: Hot Mix Asphalt, Concrete, and Aggregate.

4.02 INDEPENDENT ASSURANCE TEST (IAT) PROCEDURES

4.02.01. Scope

A. Independent assurance samples and tests (IATs) are one aspect of this program. IAT results are not used directly for determining the quality and acceptability of the materials and workmanship on a project. The results serve as checks on the reliability of the results obtained in project acceptance sampling and testing.

B. The requirement for conducting IATs extends only to those federal aid projects on National Highway System routes.

C. The IAT program requirements apply to all persons (testers) conducting acceptance sampling and testing on covered projects. This includes MDOT technicians, consultant construction engineering personnel conducting acceptance testing as MDOT representatives, and local agency technicians or consultants.

D. The IAT program covers HMA mixtures, concrete, aggregates, and soil and HMA density.

E. These procedures provide a minimum framework on which to base independent sampling and testing frequencies. Testers may have a wide range of experience and abilities. Their performance should be carefully monitored through review of project test reports and IATs, with frequencies of IATs adjusted to fit the program needs.

4.02.02. Construction Field Services (CFS) Division Responsibilities

A. CFS provides assistance to the regions by maintaining Independent Assurance Testing procedures for each of the four areas requiring IATs.

B. CFS *technical coordinators* are selected from the following areas of CFS:

- Soil and HMA Density — Density Technology Unit
- Concrete — Materials Technology Unit
- Aggregate — Aggregate Quality Unit
- HMA Mixtures — HMA Technical Services Unit

C. A *statewide coordinator* is appointed by CFS and is responsible for compiling a comprehensive annual IAT report for distribution to the regions and FHWA.

4.02.03. Region Responsibilities

A. *IAT coordinators* are appointed by the region or TSC. Within the constraints of the IAT program, it is the responsibility of the IAT coordinator and the Construction/Project Engineer to determine the need for IATs and to designate appropriate personnel to conduct IATs. The IAT coordinators have the following responsibilities for the program.

1. Review IAT reports in a timely manner and identify problem areas, identify trends, and make recommendations for improvement.

2. Review the program periodically with the CFS technical coordinators.

3. Ensure that equipment is checked as required and that this is noted on the IAT reports.

4. Notify appropriate Engineer if an individual refuses to participate in an IAT.

4.02.04. Location of IAT

A. Conduct the IAT on the jobsite while the tester is in the process of running normal acceptance testing for job control. A key part of the IAT is to witness sampling (if noted in IAT procedures) to determine if proper procedures are being followed. Carefully check the calibration and condition of sampling and testing equipment used.

4.02.05. IAT Technician

A. The region/TSC IAT coordinator will assign appropriate personnel to conduct IATs. Details concerning the qualifications of the IAT technician are included in the following IAT program areas.

4.02.06. Review of IAT Results

A. Unless stated otherwise in these procedures, it is the responsibility of the region/TSC IAT coordinator to investigate unsatisfactory IATs, determine the cause, and take corrective action. Any corrective action should be well documented for the project file. Unsatisfactory IATs on local agency projects should be brought to the attention of the engineer in charge of the project. Detailed guidelines for evaluation of IAT results are included in the appropriate IAT program areas. However, failure to demonstrate the following will result in an unsatisfactory IAT.

1. Proper equipment to conduct sampling and testing.

2. Equipment properly calibrated and in good working order.

3. Sampling and testing conducted according to prescribed MDOT methods.

4. Willingness to participate in an IAT. (Indicate refusal in the remarks section of the IAT report.)

4.02.07. HMA Mixtures IAT Program

A. Qualifications of HMA Mixtures IAT Technician

1. At a minimum, the HMA IAT Technician must have successfully completed the QC/QA Certification Course currently approved by MDOT.

B. Conducting HMA Mixture IATs

1. One IAT, per test procedure that the tester will be performing, per HMA testing technician, per calendar year is required. IATs are to be conducted early in the acceptance testing process for the respective tester. The primary effort is to ensure quality testing practices are established early dependent on the tester's assignment(s).

2. Tests covered by the HMA mixtures are listed in Section 4.02.07.C. An IAT for a Marshall testing protocol is not acceptable for a person that will be performing Superpave testing and vice versa.

3. The IAT sample is obtained at the same time, location, and manner as the quality assurance sample for the project. The sample size will be twice the size of the typical quality assurance sample. The sampling will be performed by a qualified sampling technician.

4. The qualified sampling technician will split the IAT sample. Split the sample before it cools down to avoid the need for reheating one portion of the specimen twice. Both portions of the sample will be brought to the designated MDOT region HMA laboratory. The HMA testing technician, whom the IAT is being performed on, will test one portion of the sample (the field sample) in the same manner as the quality assurance samples for the project.

5. Equipment or procedural errors observed by the IAT technician during the IAT test will be noted on Form 1843. The IAT technician will make recommendation for pass/fail.

6. The IAT Technician will submit, in a timely manner, the field sample test result(s) with the proper identification and the remaining portion of the IAT split sample to the CFS Division's HMA testing laboratory (the laboratory sample).

7. The laboratory and field sample test results will be tabulated by the CFS HMA technical coordinator using Form 1842. Distribute copies as noted on the form.

8. All IAT results will be reviewed by the CFS HMA Technical Services Unit. When an unsatisfactory test is reported, the Construction/Project Engineer, IAT technician, and region/TSC IAT coordinator will be notified by telephone or e-mail as soon as possible. This notification will be documented on Form 1842.

C. Evaluating HMA Mixture IAT Results - In addition to the requirements in Section 4.02.06, the following limits should be used when evaluating HMA mixture independent assurance tests. All deviations outside these ranges are considered unsatisfactory and require action.

HMA TEST	UNSATISFACTORY DEVIATION
Asphalt Contents (MTM 325)	varying by more than 0.5 percent
Aggregate Gradation	
1 inch to 3/8 inch sieve	± 5.0 percent
#4 to #8 sieve	± 4.0 percent
#16 to #50 sieve	± 3.0 percent
#100 to #200 sieve	± 1.0 percent
Crushed Content	varying by more than 15 percent

HMA TEST	UNSATISFACTORY DEVIATION
Maximum Theoretical Specific Gravity	varying by more than ± 0.019
Marshall Bulk Specific Gravity	varying by more than ± 0.026
Gyratory Bulk Specific Gravity @ N_{DESIGN}	varying by more than ± 0.020
NOTE: If it is apparent that inadequate or no inspection is being provided by the responsible tester, an unsatisfactory IAT should be reported with an explanation in the remarks section.	

4.02.08. Concrete IAT Program

A. Qualifications of Concrete IAT Technician

1. IAT Technicians must be Michigan Concrete Association (MCA, Michigan Level 1) certified.

2. IATs for prestressed/precast concrete will be conducted and evaluated by Bureau of Bridges and Structures, Structural Fabrication Unit personnel. Copies of the IAT report will be sent to the region/TSC IAT Coordinator in which the fabrication plant is located and where the precast and prestressed concrete members will be used.

B. Conducting Concrete IATs

1. One IAT, per test procedure that the tester will be performing, as applicable, per concrete testing technician, per calendar year is required. IATs are to be conducted early in the acceptance testing process for the respective tester. The primary effort is to ensure quality testing practices are established early dependent on the tester's assignment(s).

2. Covered tests are those listed in 4.02.08.C

3. The IAT technician will witness sampling of fresh concrete and observe the tester performing the slump and air tests. An occasional comparison slump and air test should be performed by the IAT technician on separate equipment as a check on the acceptance test equipment.

4. A minimum of two cylinders; cast at the same time from the same source and cured under similar conditions should be used for the concrete compressive strength IAT. The cylinders should be at least 28-days old. The IAT technician will observe the tester break at least one cylinder using a compression machine that is used for quality assurance testing at the laboratory where the tester works. An occasional comparison compression test (cylinder break) should be run by the IAT technician preferably on separate equipment as a check on acceptance test equipment.

5. Technicians conducting acceptance tests on prestressed/precast concrete members must also be checked on prestressed strand tensioning calculations and preparing concrete cylinders.

6. Record witnessed IATs on Form 0503 and distribute copies as noted on the form.

C. Evaluating Concrete IAT Results

1. The requirements listed in section 4.02.06 must be met for a satisfactory IAT. Additionally, the limits shown in the table below are used to evaluate concrete independent assurance tests.

2. If the IAT result is unsatisfactory, the IAT technician will explain to the tester why the test was unsatisfactory and how it can be corrected. Every effort should be made to correct equipment or procedural problems at this time. The IAT should then be repeated until the problem is corrected and a satisfactory test is completed.

3. If unsatisfactory tests continue, the IAT coordinator will consult the region or TSC for action.

CONCRETE TEST	UNSATISFACTORY DEVIATION
Slump	varies more than 1 inch from comparison test results
Air content	varies more than 1 percent from comparison test results
Compressive Strength	varies more than 5 percent from comparison test results
NOTE: If it is apparent that inadequate or no inspection is being provided by the responsible tester, an unsatisfactory IAT should be reported with an explanation in the remarks section.	

4. All deviations outside these ranges will result in an unsatisfactory IAT.

4.02.09. Aggregate IAT Program

A. Qualifications of Aggregate IAT Technician

1. IAT Technicians must be a Michigan Certified Aggregate Technician (MCAT). Technician must be certified Level I or II, as appropriate, for the level of the tests being conducted.

B. Conducting Aggregate IATs

1. One IAT, per test procedure that the tester will be performing, per aggregate testing technician, per calendar year is required. IATs are to be conducted early in the acceptance testing process for the respective tester. The primary effort is to ensure quality testing practices are established early dependent on the tester's assignment(s).

2. Covered tests are those listed in 4.02.09.C

3. The IAT sample will be split, with one portion of the split tested by the technician running the acceptance tests. The IAT split sample should be tested on different equipment and documented on Form 0504.

4. The test results of the acceptance sample and the IAT split are sent to the IAT coordinator who will forward copies to the Construction/Project Engineer.

5. Record witnessed IATs on Form 0504 and distribute copies as noted on the form.

C. Evaluating Aggregate IAT Results

1. The requirements listed in section 4.02.06 must be met for a satisfactory IAT. Additionally, the limits shown in the table below are used to evaluate aggregate independent assurance tests.

2. If the IAT result is unsatisfactory, the IAT technician will explain to the tester why the test was unsatisfactory and how it can be corrected. Every effort should be made to correct equipment or procedural problems at this time. The IAT should then be repeated until the problem is corrected and a satisfactory test is completed.

3. If unsatisfactory tests continue, the IAT coordinator will consult the region or TSC for action.

AGGREGATE TYPE and TEST	UNSATISFACTORY DEVIATION
FINE AGGREGATE	
Gradation	Greater than 5 percent difference any sieve
Fineness Modulus	Greater than 0.1 difference
Loss by Washing	Greater than 0.8 percent difference
COARSE AGGREGATE	
Gradation	Greater than 5 percent difference any sieve
Deleterious	Greater than 1.5 percent difference
Loss by Washing	Greater than 0.6 percent difference
DENSE-GRADED and GRANULAR MATERIALS	
Gradation	Greater than 5 percent difference any sieve
Deleterious (HMA Top Course)	Greater than 3 percent difference
Loss by Washing (23A, Class IIA, Class III)	Greater than 2 percent difference
Loss by Washing (all other)	Greater than 1 percent difference
Crushed	Greater than 15 percent difference

4. All deviations outside these ranges will result in an unsatisfactory IAT.

4.02.10. Soil and HMA Density IAT Program

A. Qualifications of Density IAT Technician

1. IAT Technicians must be certified.

2. Soil and HMA Density IATs are usually conducted by the Region Area Density Specialist.

3. CFS Density Technology Unit personnel may also conduct IATs.

B. Conducting Soil and HMA Density IATs

1. Soil density IATs consist of witnessing the tester run in place density test(s) and establish a maximum density. HMA density IAT tests should be run as backscatter density test(s) whenever practicable; however, with a passing soil density IAT, the HMA density testing technician may be allowed to demonstrate

proficiency in HMA density testing methodology without running a backscatter density test to receive IAT approval for HMA density testing.

2. One IAT, per test procedure that the tester will be performing, as applicable, per density testing technician, per calendar year is required. IATs are to be conducted early in the acceptance testing process for the respective tester. The primary effort is to ensure quality testing practices are established early dependent on the tester's assignment(s).

3. The following tests may be witnessed during a soil and HMA density IAT:

   - Speedy Moisture Tester
   - T-99 (1 Point)
   - Michigan Cone (1 Point)
   - Michigan Modified T-180
   - Nuclear Density Gauge

4. If density testing is performed with equipment or methods not found in the MDOT Density Testing and Inspection Manual, the IAT must be listed as "unacceptable" with an explanation provided in the remark section. Contact the CFS Density Technology Unit for advice on resolving this issue.

5. When witnessing IATs on consultant construction engineering personnel conducting density acceptance testing, verification must be made that a current copy of the calibration data for the nuclear gauge is on file with the CFS Density Technology Unit.

6. Record witnessed IATs on Form 0509 and distribute copies as noted on the form.

C. Evaluating Soil and HMA Density IAT Results

1. The requirements listed in section 4.02.06 must be met for a satisfactory IAT.

2. If the IAT result is unsatisfactory, the IAT technician will explain to the tester why the test was unsatisfactory and how it can be corrected. Every effort should be made to correct equipment or procedural problems at this time. The IAT should then be repeated until the problem is corrected and a satisfactory test is completed.

3. If unsatisfactory tests continue, the IAT coordinator will consult CFS Density Technology Unit, and the region or TSC for action.

4.03 LABORATORY AND LABORATORY TECHNICIAN QUALIFICATION PROGRAM

4.03.01. Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA)

A. Qualified Quality Assurance (QA) and Quality Control (QC) HMA Laboratories

1. The Michigan Department of Transportation Lansing Construction Field Services (CFS) HMA Testing Laboratory must be accredited by AASHTO re:source in the test procedures listed in **Table 1**.
2. All HMA Testing Laboratories must follow the MDOT *HMA Production Manual*.
3. Qualified QA HMA Laboratories:
   a. Must have been assessed by AASHTO re:source[1] for the test procedures listed in **Table 1**.
   b. Must participate in the AASHTO Proficiency Sample Program as listed in Table 1.
   c. Must have management reviews completed by MDOT Construction Field Services annually to ensure compliance with the requirements of AASHTO R 18. MDOT form 2924 – *Management Review Checklist* must be used for this evaluation. Management reviews are not required if the laboratory is AASHTO accredited in the test procedures listed in **Table 1**.
   d. Must meet the Quality Management System (QMS) requirements of AASHTO R 18 for the test procedures listed in **Table 1**.
   e. Must be evaluated by an internal or external source[2] annually to ensure compliance with the requirements of AASHTO R 18. MDOT form 2923 – *Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* must be used for this evaluation.
   f. Must successfully complete the MDOT Round Robin Testing Program. (See subsection 4.03.01.E)
   g. Must employ Qualified QA Technicians. (See subsection 4.03.01.B.1)
4. Qualified QC HMA Laboratories:
   a. Must meet the Quality Management System (QMS) requirements of AASHTO R 18 for the test procedures listed in **Table 1**.
   b. Must be evaluated by an internal or external source[2] annually to ensure compliance with the requirements of AASHTO R 18. MDOT form 2923 – *Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* must be used for this evaluation.
   c. Must successfully complete the MDOT Round Robin Testing Program. (See subsection 4.03.01.E)

[1] The assessment is not for accreditation but for process and equipment reviews.

[2] Laboratories will be evaluated for technical proficiency in all tests in Table 1. The evaluation will be carried out by the qualified supervisor of the testing laboratory (internal) or by a recognized outside source (external) that maintains current certification in all test procedures as listed in Table 1.

d. Must employ Qualified QC Technicians. (See subsection 4.03.01.B.2)

B. Qualified Technicians

1. Qualified QA HMA Technicians:

a. Must obtain and maintain certification through the QC/QA Technician Certification Program administered by Ferris State University.

b. Must be evaluated annually by an internal or external source[1] following the MDOT Independent Assurance Testing (IAT) Program in subsections 4.01 and 4.02 of the *MDOT Materials Quality Assurance Procedures Manual* (MQAP). MDOT form 1842 - *Independent Assurance Hot Mix Asphalt Tests* must be used for this evaluation.

2. Qualified QC HMA Technicians:

a. Must obtain and maintain certification through the QC/QA Technician Certification Program administered through Ferris State University.

b. Must be evaluated annually by an internal or external source[1] in accordance with AASHTO R 18 and the laboratory's QMS.

C. Maintaining Records

1. Qualified QA HMA Laboratories and Technicians:

a. Qualified QA Laboratories must maintain files including the following information:

1. AASHTO re:source Assessment Reports.

2. AASHTO Proficiency Sample Program Test Reports.

3. *Management Review Checklist* which is completed annually during CFS laboratory Management Reviews.

4. *Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* which is completed annually during the Round Robin Testing Program.

5. Round Robin Testing Program test results.

6. *Independent Assurance Hot Mix Asphalt Tests* – MDOT form 1842 for all Qualified QA Technician evaluations.

2. Qualified QC HMA Laboratories and Technicians:

a. Qualified QC Laboratories must maintain files including the following information:

1. *Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* which is completed during the Round Robin Testing Program.

2. Round Robin Testing Program test results.

3. Technician evaluation records for all Qualified QC Technicians.

[1] Technicians will be evaluated for technical proficiency in all tests in Table 1. The evaluation will be carried out by the qualified supervisor of the testing laboratory (internal) or by a recognized outside source (external) that maintains current certification in all test procedures as listed in Table 1.

D. Disqualification of Laboratories & Technicians

1. QC/QA HMA Laboratories:

a. It is the responsibility of CFS to maintain up-to-date information on the program thereby ensuring that requirements of this document have been adequately met.

b. Deficiencies will be reported to the MDOT Laboratory Quality Systems Engineer, laboratory supervisor, or applicable technician.

c. Failure to address a deficiency within a 20-working day period will result in notification of the deficiency to the Engineer of CFS. The notification will include a recommendation of up to, and including, disqualification.

d. Upon correcting all deficiencies, a laboratory may be reinstated by the Engineer of CFS.

2. QC/QA HMA Technicians:

a. Falsifying Data – Permanent removal for testing on MDOT projects. Reinstatement will not be considered.

b. Failure to meet the requirements of MDOT's IAT program.

c. Failure to maintain certification through a program approved by MDOT.

d. It will be the responsibility of MDOT to determine if a deficiency has occurred.

e. The deficient technician will be issued a Notice of Non-Compliance by the MDOT Laboratory Quality Systems Engineer.

f. Failure to correct a deficiency within a 20-working day period will result in notification of the deficiency to the Engineer of CFS. The notification will include a recommendation of up to, and including, disqualification from testing on MDOT projects.

g. Upon correcting all deficiencies, a technician may be reinstated by the Engineer of CFS.

E. Round Robin Testing Program

1. The MDOT CFS must conduct annual Round Robin testing for all QA and QC HMA testing laboratories.

a. The Round Robin test results will be compared to specific tolerance requirements set forth in the HMA Round Robin Testing Program. If test tolerances are not met, the laboratory will review testing procedures, equipment, and processes and submit a Corrective Action Report (CAR) for review. If the CAR is not accepted by the Laboratory Quality Implementation Team, a second Round Robin sample will be run.

b. The second Round Robin test results will be compared to specific tolerance requirements set forth in the HMA Round Robin Testing Program. If test tolerances are not met, the laboratory will review testing procedures, equipment, and processes and submit a CAR for review. If the CAR is not accepted, the laboratory will review testing

procedures, equipment, and processes along with CFS Laboratory staff to determine the cause of the failure and make acceptable corrections.

Table 1: TEST PROCEDURES REQUIRED FOR QC/QA LABORATORY QUALIFICATION

TEST PROCEDURE	AASHTO, ASTM, MTM[1]	AASHTO re:source Laboratory Assessment	AASHTO re:source Proficiency Testing	Internal Review / IAT
Reducing Samples of Hot Mix	HMA Production Manual			√
Quantitative Extraction of Bitumen from Bituminous Paving Mixtures (Method E)	T164, D2172, MTM 325	√	√	√
Bulk Specific Gravity of Compacted Bituminous Mixtures using Saturated Surface-Dry Specimens	T166, D2726, MTM 315	√	√	√
Sieve Analysis of Fine and Coarse Aggregate[2]	T27, C136, MTM 109	√	√	√
Mechanical Analysis of Extracted Aggregate[2]	T30, D5444, MTM 311	√	√	√
Maximum Specific Gravity of Bituminous Paving Mixtures	T209, D2041, MTM 314	√	√	√
Asphalt Content by Ignition Method	T308, MTM 319	√	√	√
Percent Air Voids in Compacted Dense and Open Bituminous Paving Mixtures	T269, D3203	√	√	√
Preparing and Determining the Density of HMA Specimens by Means of the SHRP Gyratory Compactor	T312, D6925, MTM 315	√	√	√
Determining Specific Gravity and Absorption of Coarse Aggregates	T85, C127, MTM 320	√	√	√
Determining Specific Gravity and Absorption of Fine Aggregates	T84, C128, MTM 321	√	√	√

The current *Michigan Test Method (MTM)* must be used during internal evaluations. If an MTM is not available, use the appropriate AASHTO/ASTM as indicated in the Special Provisions.

[1] The current versions of AASHTO/MTM/ASTM test procedures apply. For AASHTO re:source laboratory assessment and proficiency testing, the test procedure will be determined by the MDOT CFS HMA Unit.

[2] For AASHTO re:source laboratory assessment, either method can be reviewed to satisfy the requirements for Sieve Analysis.

4.03.02 Concrete

A. Qualified Quality Assurance (QA) and Quality Control (QC) Concrete Laboratories

1. The Michigan Department of Transportation Lansing Construction Field Services (CFS) Concrete Testing Laboratory must be accredited by AASHTO re:source or Cement and Concrete Reference Laboratory (CCRL) in the test procedures listed in **Table 2**.

2. Qualified QA Concrete Laboratories:

   a. Must meet the Quality Management System (QMS) requirements of AASHTO R 18 for the test procedures listed in **Table 2**.

   b. Must have management reviews completed by MDOT Construction Field Services annually to ensure compliance with the requirements of AASHTO R 18. MDOT form 2924 – *Management Review Checklist* must be used for this evaluation.

   c. Must be evaluated by an internal or external source annually to ensure compliance with the requirements of AASHTO R 18. MDOT form 2923 – *Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* may be used for this evaluation.

   d. Must successfully complete the MDOT Round Robin Testing Program. (See subsection 4.03.02.E)

   e. Must employ Qualified QA Technicians. (See subsection 4.03.02.B.1)

3. Qualified QC Concrete Laboratories:

   a. Must meet the Quality Management System (QMS) requirements of AASHTO R 18 for the test procedures listed in **Table 2**.

   b. Must be evaluated by an internal or external source annually to ensure compliance with the requirements of AASHTO R 18. MDOT form 2923 – *Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* may be used for this evaluation.

   c. Must successfully complete the MDOT Round Robin Testing Program. (See subsection 4.03.02.E)

   d. Must employ Qualified QC Technicians. (See subsection 4.03.02.B.1)

B. Qualified Technicians

1. Qualified QA/QC Concrete Technicians:

   a. Must obtain and maintain ACI Concrete Strength Training certification administered by the Michigan Concrete Association.

   b. Must be evaluated annually by an internal or external source in accordance with AASHTO R 18 and the laboratory's QMS.

C. Maintaining Records

1. Qualified QA Concrete Laboratories and Technicians:

   a. Qualified QA Concrete Laboratories must maintain files including the following information:

      1. *Management Review Checklist* which is completed annually during CFS laboratory Management Reviews.

2. *Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* which is completed annually during the Round Robin Testing Program.
3. Round Robin Testing Program test results.
4. Technician evaluation records for all Qualified QA Technicians.

2. Qualified QC Concrete Laboratories and Technicians:
   a. Qualified QC Laboratories must maintain files including the following information:
      1. *Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* which is completed annually during the Round Robin Testing Program, or equivalent.
      2. Round Robin Testing Program test results.
      3. Technician evaluation records for all Qualified QC Technicians.

D. Disqualification of Laboratories & Technicians
   1. QC/QA Concrete Laboratories:
      a. It is the responsibility of the MDOT CFS to maintain up-to-date information on the program thereby ensuring that requirements of this document have been adequately met.
      b. Deficiencies will be reported to the MDOT Laboratory Quality Systems Engineer, laboratory supervisor, or applicable technician.
      c. Failure to address a deficiency within a 20-working day period will result in notification of the deficiency to the Engineer of CFS. The notification will include a recommendation of up to, and including, disqualification.
      d. Upon correcting all deficiencies, a laboratory may be reinstated by the Engineer of CFS.
   2. QC/QA Concrete Technicians:
      a. Falsifying Data – Permanent removal for testing on MDOT projects. Reinstatement will not be considered.
      b. Failure to meet the requirements of MDOT's IAT program.
      c. Failure to maintain certification through a program approved by MDOT.
      d. It will be the responsibility of MDOT to determine if a deficiency has occurred.
      e. The deficient technician will be issued a Notice of Non-Compliance by the MDOT Laboratory Quality Systems Engineer.
      f. Failure to correct a deficiency within a 20-working day period will result in notification of the deficiency to the Engineer of CFS. The notification will include a recommendation of up to, and including, disqualification from testing on MDOT projects.
      g. Upon correcting all deficiencies, a technician may be reinstated by the Engineer of CFS.

E. Round Robin Testing Program

1. The MDOT CFS must conduct annual Round Robin testing for all QA and QC concrete testing laboratories.

a. The Round Robin test results will be compared to a tolerance of 2x standard deviation from the average. If test tolerances are not met, the laboratory will review testing procedures, equipment, and processes and submit a Corrective Action Report (CAR) for review. If the CAR is not accepted by the Laboratory Quality Implementation Team, the laboratory will be notified of additional requirements.

Table 2: TEST PROCEDURES REQUIRED FOR QC/QA LABORATORY QUALIFICATION

Test Procedure	ASTM / AASHTO Designation	QC/QA Technician Certification Requirement
Compressive Strengths of Cylindrical Specimens	C 39 / T22	ACI Certification

4.03.03 Aggregate

A. Qualified Quality Assurance (QA) and Quality Control (QC) Aggregate Laboratories

1. The Michigan Department of Transportation Lansing Construction Field Services (CFS) Aggregate Testing Laboratory must be accredited by AASHTO re:source in the test procedures listed in **Table 3**.

2. All Aggregate testing laboratories must follow the *MDOT Procedures for Aggregate Inspection*.

3. Qualified QA Aggregate Laboratories:

   a. Must meet the Quality Management System (QMS) requirements of AASHTO R 18 for the test procedures listed in **Table 4**.

   b. Must be evaluated by an internal or external source annually to ensure compliance with the requirements of AASHTO R 18. MDOT form 2923 – *Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* may be used for this evaluation.

   c. Must have management reviews completed by MDOT Construction Field Services (CFS) biennially to ensure compliance with the requirements of AASHTO R 18. MDOT form 2924 – *Management Review Checklist* may be used for this evaluation. Management reviews are not required if the laboratory is AASHTO accredited in the test procedures listed in **Table 4**.

   d. Must participate in the AASHTO re:source proficiency testing programs for the aggregate gradation portion of "aggregate gradation and gravity" effective in 2023 and continuing each year after 2023. A sample schedule can be found at the following link: *Schedule (aashtoresource.org)*. The inputs listed in **Table 5** must be submitted to AASHTO re:source for each proficiency test.

      1. The proficiency test results will be compared to 2×Standard Deviation tolerance requirements. Laboratories that fail to meet test tolerances will conduct a review of the testing processes and equipment. If an acceptable explanation of the differences cannot be determined, the laboratory in question may be required to run additional test samples, until acceptable test results meeting proficiency tolerances are achieved.

   f. Must employ Qualified QA Technicians (See Section 4.03.03.B.1).

4. Qualified QC Aggregate Laboratories:

   a. Must meet the Quality Management System (QMS) requirements of AASHTO R 18 for all applicable test procedures listed in **Table 4**.

   b. Must be evaluated by an internal or external source annually to ensure compliance with the requirements of AASHTO R 18. MDOT form 2923 –*Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* may be used for this evaluation.

   c. Must participate in the AASHTO re:source proficiency testing programs for the aggregate gradation portion of "aggregate gradation and gravity" effective in 2023 and continuing each year after 2023. A sample schedule can be found at the following link: *Schedule*

(aashtoresource.org). The inputs listed in **Table 5** must be submitted to AASHTO re:source for each proficiency test.

1. The proficiency test results will be compared to 2×Standard Deviation tolerance requirements. Laboratories that fail to meet test tolerances will conduct a review of the testing processes and equipment. If an acceptable explanation of the differences cannot be determined, the laboratory in question may be required to run additional test samples, until acceptable test results meeting proficiency tolerances are achieved.

d. Must employ Qualified QC Technicians (See Section 4.03.03.B.2).

B. Qualified Technicians

1. Qualified QA Aggregate Technicians:

a. Must obtain and maintain certification through the Michigan Certified Aggregate Technician program administered through Ferris State University. Chapter 1 of the *MDOT Procedures for Aggregate Inspection* describes technician certification level requirements.

b. Must be evaluated annually by an internal or external source following the MDOT Independent Assurance Testing (IAT) Program in subsections 4.01 and 4.02 of the *MDOT Materials Quality Assurance Procedures Manual* (MQAP). MDOT form 0504 - *Independent Assurance Aggregate Tests* must be used for this evaluation.

2. Qualified QC Aggregate Technicians

a. Must obtain and maintain certification through the Michigan Certified Aggregate Technician program administered through Ferris State University. Chapter 1 of the *MDOT Procedures for Aggregate Inspection* describes technician certification level requirements.

C. Maintaining Records

1. Qualified QA Aggregate Laboratories and Technicians:

a. Qualified QA Laboratories must maintain files including the following information:

1. *Management Review Checklist* – MDOT form 2924 which is completed annually during CFS laboratory Management Reviews.

2. *Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* – MDOT form 2923, or equivalent.

3. Proficiency sample test reports and deficiency reviews.

4. *Independent Assurance Aggregate Tests* – MDOT form 0504 for all Qualified QA Technician evaluations.

2. Qualified QC Aggregate Laboratories and Technicians:

a. Qualified QC Laboratories must maintain files including the following information:

1. *Internal Laboratory Audit Checklist* – MDOT form 2923, or equivalent.

2. Technician evaluation records for all Qualified QC Technicians.

3. Proficiency sample test reports and deficiency reviews.

D. Disqualification of Aggregate Laboratories and Technicians

1. QC/QA Laboratories:

a. It is the responsibility of CFS to maintain up-to-date information on the program thereby ensuring that requirements of this document have been adequately met.

b. Deficiencies will be reported to the MDOT Laboratory Quality Systems Engineer, laboratory supervisor, or applicable technician.

c. Failure to address a deficiency within a 20-working day period will result in notification of the deficiency to the Engineer of CFS. The notification will include a recommendation of up to, and including, disqualification.

d. Upon correcting all deficiencies, a laboratory may be reinstated by the Engineer of CFS.

2. QC/QA Technicians:

a. Falsifying Data – Permanent removal for testing on MDOT projects. Reinstatement will not be considered.

b. Failure to meet the requirements of MDOT's IAT program. This requirement applies to QA technicians only.

c. Failure to maintain certification through a program approved by MDOT.

d. It will be the responsibility of MDOT to determine if a deficiency has occurred.

e. The deficient technician will be issued a Notice of Non-Compliance by the MDOT Laboratory Quality Systems Engineer.

f. Failure to correct a deficiency within a 20-working day period will result in notification of the deficiency to the Engineer of CFS. The notification will include a recommendation of up to, and including, disqualification from testing on MDOT projects.

g. Upon correcting all deficiencies, a technician may be reinstated by the Engineer of CFS.

TABLE 3: ACCREDITATION TEST PROCEDURES

Test Procedure	AASHTO / ASTM Designation
Material Finer than 75 µm (No. 200) Sieve	T11 / C117
Bulk Density and Voids in Aggregate	T19 / C29
Organic Impurities in Sands	T21 / C40
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates	T27 / C136
Fine Aggregate Specific Gravity and Absorption	T84 / C128
Coarse Aggregate Specific Gravity and Absorption	T85 / C127
Abrasion of Coarse Aggregate	T96 / C131
Sand Equivalent Test	T176 / D2419
Reducing Samples of Aggregate to Test Size	T248 / C702
Moisture Content of Aggregate by Oven Drying	T255 / C566
Uncompacted Void Content of Fine Aggregate	T304 / C1252
Flat, Elongated, or Flat and Elongated Particles	---- / D4791
Fractured Particles in Coarse Aggregate	---- / D5821

TABLE 4: TEST PROCEDURES REQUIRED FOR QC/QA LABORATORY QUALIFICATION

Test Procedure	MTM/AASHTO/ASTM Designation
Sampling Aggregates	MTM 107
Sampling Open Graded Drainage Course Compacted in Place	MTM 119
Reducing Samples of Aggregates to Testing Size	AASHTO T248/ ASTM C702
Percent Finer than 75-µm by Washing	MTM 108
Sieve Analysis	MTM 109
Determining Deleterious Particles in Aggregate	MTM 110
Determining Percentage of Crushed Particles in Aggregate	MTM 117
Organic Impurities in Fine Aggregate for Concrete	AASHTO T21/ ASTM C40
Flat, Elongated, or Flat and Elongated Particles in Coarse Aggregate	MTM 130/ ASTM D4791
Fine Aggregate Angularity	MTM 118

TABLE 5: AGGREGATE GRADATION PROFICIENCY TEST INPUTS

Material Finer Than 75-µm (No. 200) Sieve **Percentage Finer Than 75-µm sieve by washing** (0.01 g) – AASHTO T11/ASTM C117
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates **Total Material Passing the 25.0-mm (1-in.) Sieve** (0.1 percent) – AASHTO T27/ASTM C136
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates **Total Material Passing the 19.0-mm (3/4-in.) Sieve** (0.1 percent) – AASHTO T27/ASTM C136
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates **Total Material Passing the 12.5-mm (1/2-in.) Sieve** (0.1 percent) – AASHTO T27/ASTM C136
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates **Total Material Passing the 9.5-mm (3/8-in.) Sieve** (0.1 percent) – AASHTO T27/ASTM C136
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates **Total Material Passing the 4.75-mm (No. 4) Sieve** (0.1 percent) – AASHTO T27/ASTM C136
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates **Total Material Passing the 2.36-mm (No. 8) Sieve** (0.1 percent) – AASHTO T27/ASTM C136
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates **Total Material Passing the 1.18-mm (No. 16) Sieve** (0.1 percent) – AASHTO T27/ASTM C136
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates **Total Material Passing the 600-µm (No. 30) Sieve** (0.1 percent) – AASHTO T27/ASTM C136
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates **Total Material Passing the 300-µm (No. 50) Sieve** (0.1 percent) – AASHTO T27/ASTM C136
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates **Total Material Passing the 150-µm (No. 100) Sieve** (0.1 percent) – AASHTO T27/ASTM C136
Sieve Analysis of Aggregates **Total Material Passing the 75-µm (No. 200) Sieve** (0.1 percent) – AASHTO T27/ASTM C136

5.01 GENERAL QUALIFIED PRODUCTS LIST

5.01.01. Scope

A. The Qualified Products List (QPL) is a list of manufacturers and products that have been laboratory or field tested by MDOT or by a third party and have been found to meet specifications and performance criteria. Each QPL has its own evaluation procedure and requirements for remaining on the list. The Qualified Products Lists are found in the Materials Source Guide (MSG).

5.01.02. Documentation Requirements

A. QPL materials must have a label that clearly identifies the manufacturer and product name.

B. Items incorporated into MDOT projects that are selected from a QPL should be field verified by construction staff to the extent possible.

C. Proper cross-referencing is required in order to trace the documentation for these items. All Qualified Products require a Visual Inspection (VI).

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR OVERBAND

5.02.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for Crack Fillers in Pavements and the procedure to be followed by producers in order to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL) for a qualification period of three years.

5.02.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)*- Submit a completed copy of the evaluation form to the MDOT address listed below:

Materials Technology Group
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-4930

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc. of the Crack Filler submitted. Descriptions of the test procedures are attached.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* – Submit a completed copy of the Physical Requirements for Overband Crack Fill to the MDOT HMA Laboratory for compliance with Subsection 502.02.B.2 of the Standard Specifications for Construction. Testing will then be conducted by MDOT.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* – Completed Qualification Procedure, including evaluation forms and products submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than January 15 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once a year upon completion of evaluations for all materials submitted by the January 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be made at the discretion of MDOT.

5.02.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The manufacturer will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.02.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance as a result of product materials, manufacturing, or changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.02.05. Requalification

B. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the qualification period.

5.02.06. Testing Procedure

A. The following testing will be conducted by MDOT Construction Field Services Testing laboratory. Submit a 3 to 5 lb sample in a "sample release box".

5.02.07. Physical Requirements for Overband Crack Filler

	SPEC. REQUIREMENTS		TEST RESULTS			TESTED BY
	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM				
POUR TEMP: F						
MELTING TIME: Min						
PENETRATION @ 77F, 150 g, 5 SEC., CONE: dmm	50	90				
Flexibility, -29F, 1", 90Deg, 10sec	SHALL PASS					
BOND TEST @ -20 F (50% EXTENSION):			1	2	3	
1st CYCLE:	SHALL PASS					
2nd CYCLE:	SHALL PASS					
3rd CYCLE:	SHALL PASS					
RESILIENCE PERCENT:	45	75				
CHECKED BY:	DATE REPORTED:					

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR CONCRETE PAVEMENT REPAIR, GROUT FOR FULL-DEPTH CONCRETE PAVEMENT REPAIRS

5.03.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for adhesive systems for grouting dowel and tie bars for full-depth concrete pavement repairs and the procedures to be followed by producers in order to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL) for a qualification period of three years.

5.03.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit a completed copy of the evaluation form (included in the Qualification Procedure packet) to the MDOT address listed below:

Materials Technology Group
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Email: MDOT-ConcreteEngineer@michigan.gov

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as bar and hole preparation, mixing and working times, gel and final cure times, etc., of the adhesive system submitted.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - Submit two cartridge and four to six static mixing nozzles and nuts. If a mixing gun other than a standard hand-operated mixing gun is required, include it in the shipment. The MDOT Materials Technology Group will evaluate for compliance with the requirements set forth in Section 5.03.07 Physical Requirements, of this document.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* - Completed Qualification Procedure packets, including evaluation forms and product submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than January 15 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once a year upon completion of evaluations for all materials submitted by the January 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be at the discretion of MDOT.

5.03.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and tests will be set up. If the product meets the physical requirements of Table 1, it will be placed on the QPL. MDOT reserves the right to re-evaluate a product at any time. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the result of the evaluation.

5.03.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be removed from the QPL should any problem develop during mixing, placing, or in performance. MDOT must be notified in writing of any change in the product formulation. Specific changes may require re-evaluation of the product.

5.03.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submission of a written request, along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

5.03.06. Testing Procedure

A. *Gel Time* - The gel time shall be tested in accordance with ASTM C 881, except the 30 minutes will be a maximum rather than a minimum and the samples shall be 100 grams.

B. *Insertability of Bars* - Cast 20 x 18 x 12 inch deep blocks of concrete according to ASTM C 192. Moist curing shall occur until the blocks have reached an age of 14 days, when they will then be removed from the moist environment. Then drill a maximum of twelve 1½ inch diameter by 8 inch deep holes. The holes, measured from their center, shall be at least 2½ inches away from any edge of the block and at least 2½ inches away from any other hole. Remove dirt and debris from holes with compressed air or wire brush. Store the blocks until they are needed. One block can be used until all holes have been utilized. When ready to test, gun enough material into one hole to completely fill the space around the bar after it is inserted. Then insert a 1¼ inch diameter bar slowly into the hole, rotating to allow any air voids to escape. This is all done with the long axis of the hole and bar positioned horizontally. The bar shall be able to be inserted in less than 30 seconds. This test shall then be repeated once.

C. *Loss of Adhesive Material* - After the insertability test, the excess adhesive is troweled flush with the concrete. The system shall then be allowed to fully cure at which time the amount of adhesive that has flowed out from the space between the top of the bar and the top of the hole is measured. This depth from the face of the concrete at the edge of the hole to the adhesive shall not be more than ¼ inch.

5.03.07. Physical Requirements for Adhesive Systems for Grouting Dowel and Tie Bars for Full-Depth Concrete Pavement Repairs

	Result	Spec
Gel Time, minutes		30 max.
Insertability of bars, seconds		30 max.
Loss of adhesive material, inch (mm)		¼ (7) max.

Comments: _____

_____

_____

Material: **PASSES** or **FAILS** (circle one)

MDOT testing by: _____ Date: _____

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR PENETRATING WATER REPELLENT TREATMENT FOR CONCRETE SURFACES

5.04.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for penetrating water repellent treatments for concrete surfaces and the procedures to have products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL). Products must be resubmitted whenever there is a significant change in the product.

5.04.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit by mail or email a completed copy of the evaluation form (included in the Qualification procedure packet) to either the physical or email addresses listed below.

Materials Technology Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan, 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-4947
Email: MDOT-MaterialsInvestigations@michigan.gov

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as the independent laboratory test data required. **The independent test data must be either in a report written by the independent laboratory or in a letter on their letterhead.**

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - Submit one quart to be evaluated by the MDOT Materials Technology Unit for compliance with the physical requirements in this document (except those required from an independent testing laboratory). Label the canister with the name of product, lot number, shelf life, and coverage rate.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* – Submit the completed Qualification Procedure packets, including evaluation forms and product submittal, to MDOT no later than January 15 for that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once a year upon completion of evaluations for all materials submitted by the January 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be at the discretion of the Department.

5.04.03. Evaluation

A. Submit information and sample for testing for conformance to the specified requirements. Products meeting the requirements will be included on the QPL. Written notification of the evaluation results are sent to the submitter. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.04.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance due to product materials or manufacturing. Products will be removed for re-evaluation if there are any changes in their chemistry or composition.

5.04.05. Requalification

A. Disqualified products are removed from the QPL and are considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification are corrected.

5.04.06. Testing Procedure

A. An independent testing laboratory must conduct all the testing. Either the independent laboratory must write the independent test data, or it must be in a letter on their letterhead.

B. Test Methods

1. *Reduction of Chloride Intrusion* – Test for reduction of chloride intrusion according to NCHRP Report 244, series II with a five-day air-drying period.

2. *Reduction of Water Absorption* – Test for reduction of water absorption according to NCHRP Report 244, series II with a five-day air-drying period.

3. *Absorbed Chloride* – Test for absorbed chloride according to NCHRP Report 244, series IV for both the Northern Climate Test Procedure and the Southern Climate Test Procedure

5. *Waterproofing After Abrasion* – Test waterproofing after abrasion according to Alberta Transportation B388 for a type 1b sealer.

6. *Moisture Vapor Transmission* – Test moisture vapor transmission according to Alberta Transportation B388 for a type 1b sealer

7. *Resistance to Chloride Ion Penetration* – Test resistance to chloride penetration according to AASHTO T259 and AASHTO T260.

8. *Depth of Penetration* - Test the depth of penetration according to Oklahoma DOT test method OHD L-40 with the following modifications. Fabricate samples with fresh concrete following the curing procedure in subsection 5.04.06.D. Any permanent dye capable of staining only the untreated concrete is acceptable.

C. *Concrete Mix Design* – Follow the concrete mix designs according to each test method. When the mix design is not specified, use a 7-sack mix having a design strength of 4500 psi, air content of 5.5 to 8.5 percent, and a maximum water/cement ratio of 0.45. The coarse aggregate shall be a Michigan Series 26A limestone or equivalent. No admixtures other than an air-entraining agent shall be used.

D. C*uring of Concrete Specimens* – Follow the test procedures for curing concrete specimens. For test methods that do not have a defined curing method, cure concrete specimens for 14 days in a 100 percent humidity environment and then 14 days at ambient laboratory conditions.

5.04.07. Physical Requirements

A. To be completed by an independent testing laboratory:

TEST REPORT SHEET
FOR
PENETRATING WATER REPELLENT TREATMENT FOR
STRUCTURAL CONCRETE SURFACES

Name of Product: _____

	Test Method	**Result**	**Specification**
Independent laboratory data furnished	N/A		Yes
Federal & State VOC compliant	N/A		Yes
100% Active Content (Silane)	N/A		Yes
Active Ingredient	N/A		N/A
Reduction of Chloride Intrusion, %	NCHRP 244 Series II		85 min.
Reduction of Water Absorption, %	NCHRP 244 Series II		85 min.
Absorbed Chloride, Southern Exposure, %	NCHRP 244 Series IV		95 minimum reduction
Absorbed Chloride, Northern Exposure, %	NCHRP 244 Series IV		85 minimum reduction
Resistance to Chloride Ion Penetration	AASHTO T259 & T260		Less than 0.55 Chloride Content Ratio of Sealed /Unsealed at 1/2 inch level (Adjusted for baseline chloride)
Waterproofing after Abrasion, %	Alberta Trans B388 1b Sealer		86.0 min
Moisture Vapor Transmission, %	Alberta Trans B388 1b Sealer		70.0 min
Depth of Penetration, inches (mm)	OHD L-40		0.15 min. (3.8)

Comments: _____

_____

I hereby certify that the above information submitted is actual physical laboratory test data obtained according to the requirements specified in the Qualification Procedure and Testing Procedure for the product.

Person Responsible for Testing: _____ (Signature)

_____ (Print Name)

Laboratory Name and Address: _____

_____

_____

Date of Testing: _____

Telephone Number: _____

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR BUSHINGS FOR PINS AND LINK PLATES IN STRUCTURAL STEEL CONSTRUCTION

5.05.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for bushings for pins and link plates and the procedure to be followed by producers in order to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL) for a qualification period of five years.

5.05.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit a completed copy of the evaluation form (included in the Qualification Procedure packet) to the MDOT address listed below. Portions of the physical requirements report sheet that may require test data to be furnished by the submitter must be completed in full.

Structural Fabrication Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 204-2106

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as design drawings, manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc. of the bushings for pins and link plates submitted.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - Evaluations will be based on a case by case basis.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* - Completed Qualification Procedure packets, including evaluation forms and product submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than January 15 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once a year upon completion of evaluations for all materials submitted by the January 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be at the discretion of MDOT.

5.05.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested (if required) for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.05.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance as a result of product materials, manufacturing, or plan dimension changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.05.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the qualification period.

5.05.06. Testing Procedure

A. No testing is required.

5.05.07. Physical Requirements

Bearing Capacity	psi (kPa)
Static	30,000 (206,850)
Dynamic	15,000 (103,425)
PV Intermittent	50,000 (344,750)
PV Continuous	10,000 (68,950)

Fibers: Nomex/Teflon

Temperature Range: -225 to 320ºF (-143 to 160ºC)

Friction: .02 to .20

Shaft Finish: 8 to 16 rms

Shaft Clearance:

Shaft Diameter	Clearance
dia. $\leq$ 5 inch (127 mm)	0.20 inch (0.50 mm)
dia. > 5 inch (127 mm)	0.30 inch (0.80 mm)

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR ADHESIVE SYSTEMS FOR STRUCTURAL ANCHORS AND LANE TIES

5.06.01. Scope

A. This document covers the procedure to be followed by producers to have an Adhesive Anchor System included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

5.06.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information to the following MDOT address:

Michigan Department of Transportation
Bureau of Bridges and Structures
Structure Construction Section
8885 Ricks Road
Lansing, Michigan 48917
Telephone: (517) 636-4973

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Submit two copies of product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as design drawings, manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc.

1. Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as mixing, working times and component ratios of mixed ingredients. Also include spacing and edge distance reduction factors, anchor type, application, packaging, limitations, and installation details.

2. Submit product safety data sheets.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - The resin adhesive anchor system must demonstrate its ability to develop 125 percent the yield strength of an ASTM A 307 bolt and Grade 60 reinforcing steel in tension at a maximum embedment of 9d (9 times the nominal bolt diameter) for bolt diameters ⅜ to ⅞ inches and at a maximum embedment of 12d (12 times the bar diameter) for reinforcing steel sizes #4 to #8. The adhesive anchor must also demonstrate its ability to develop the yield strength of the bolt and reinforcing steel when subjected to shear at these embedment depths. The tensile stress area of the bolt (nominal area for reinforcing steel) will be used when determining the yield load. Test results, in accordance with ASTM E 488, are required from an independent laboratory for verification of the tensile and shear capacities.

1. Long term load (creep) tests must be performed in accordance with ASTM E 1512 or ICC-ES AC308. These results shall be submitted prior to acceptance.

2. Resin adhesive anchor systems, when subjected to tension, shall develop 125 percent of the yield strength of the reinforcing steel or bolt at less than or equal to $^{1}/_{16}$ inch displacement in 4000 psi concrete.

3. Submit sufficient epoxy adhesive for five anchor installations of ¾ in. threaded rod and/or #6 epoxy coated steel reinforcement, a dispenser, four nozzles, , brushes, and any special equipment necessary for installation.

5.06.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information and test data will be reviewed for conformance to the specified requirements. Three #6 epoxy coated steel reinforcement bar samples will be anchored and tested as per 5.06.02.C2 in 4000 psi hardened concrete test block using the adhesive system. The product's susceptibility to corrosion, method of load transfer, installation procedure, workmanship, reliability and requirements specific to a particular design will also be evaluated. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. The MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product anytime by conducting its own tests.

B. Completed Qualification Procedure packets, including evaluation forms and product submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than March 1 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the Qualified Product List will be made once a year upon receipt of all materials submitted by March 1 or at the discretion of MDOT.

5.06.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problem develop related to installation or performance of the product. A product may also be removed due to specification changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.06.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR MECHANICAL EXPANSION ANCHORS

5.07.01. Scope

A. This document covers the procedure to be followed by producers in order to have a mechanical expansion anchor included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

5.07.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information to the following MDOT address:

Michigan Department of Transportation
Bureau of Bridges and Structures
Structure Construction Section
8885 Ricks Road
Lansing, Michigan 48917
Telephone: (517) 636-4973

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Submit a copy of product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as design drawings, manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc.

1. The producer shall include verified test results from an independent testing laboratory including static load tests for tension and shear, testing in accordance with ASTM E 488.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - Submit three mechanical expansion anchors per size for evaluation by MDOT.

1. Mechanical expansion anchors shall meet the following proof tensile loads (125 percent yield strength x tensile stress area) and shear loads (yield strength x tensile stress area) when installed into a 4,000 psi hardened concrete:

125% Yield (Load)		Bolt Diameter, inch				
		3/8"	1/2"	5/8"	3/4"	7/8"
Tension (Pull-out)	lbs	3,510	6,390	10,170	15,030	20,790
Shear	lbs	2,808	5,112	8,136	12,024	16,632

2. Mechanical expansion anchors shall develop 50 percent of the proof tensile load at less than or equal to 1/16 inch displacement.

5.07.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested for conformance to the specified requirements. Three ¾ inch diameter bolt samples will be anchored in 4000 psi hardened concrete test block and tested according to 5.07.02C. The products susceptibility to corrosion, method of load transfer, installation procedure, workmanship, reliability, and requirements specific to a particular design, will also be evaluated. If the product meets the requirements it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

B. Recommended embedment depths and installation procedures in the product literature, on the packaging, and the independent report must match or will be cause for rejection.

C. Completed qualification procedure packets, including evaluation forms and product submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than March 1 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the Qualified Product List will be made once per year upon receipt of all materials submitted by March 1 or at MDOT's discretion.

5.07.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problem develop related to installation or performance of the product. A product may also be removed due to specification changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.07.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR MECHANICAL REINFORCEMENT SPLICING

5.08.01. Scope

A. This document covers the procedure to be followed by producers in order to have a mechanical reinforcement splice approved for MDOT use.

5.08.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Submit a copy of product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as design drawings, manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc. of the sample submitted to the MDOT address listed below:

Michigan Department of Transportation
Bureau of Bridges and Structures
Structure Construction Section
8885 Ricks Road
Lansing, Michigan 48917
Telephone: (517) 636-4973

C. *Report of Tests* - The producer shall include test results from an independent testing laboratory demonstrating that the mechanical reinforcement splice meets the following criteria:

1. All splices tested shall develop a tensile strength of 125 percent of the reinforcing bar's yield strength.

2. All splices tested shall develop fatigue strength of 12,000 psi tension at greater than 1,000,000 cycles.

3. To be considered for "High Strength" splices, all splices tested shall conform to the following criteria:

   a. Splices shall develop a tensile strength of 150 percent of the reinforcing bars yield strength.

   b. Splices shall develop a fatigue strength of 18,000 psi tension at greater than 1,000,000 cycles.

   c. Slices shall slip no more than 0.01 inch for bar sizes up to #14, and no more than 0.03 inch for bar size #18, when subjected to slip testing in accordance with ASTM 1034.

4. If required to be epoxy coated, the mechanical splice must be coated in conformance to MDOT standard specifications for construction subsection 905.03.C. Submit certification that the coating used was from the Qualified Products List.

D. *Sample Submittal*

1. The producer shall provide splices for verification testing by MDOT in order to verify independent test data. When special equipment is not required to prepare the splice, the producer shall provide the samples unassembled with installation instructions. If special equipment is required to prepare the splice, arrangements shall be made where a representative of MDOT can witness the assembly of the test samples. If this is not feasible, the producer shall prepare the test samples and supply information on the procedure used to prepare each splice. The following number of test samples shall be provided:

   Small Size - Submit 4 samples; minimum size equals #4 or smallest splice available if larger than minimums shown here. A combination of small size bars can be submitted.

   Medium Size - Submit 4 samples; a combination of #3, #7 or #8 bars can be submitted.

   Large Size - Submit 4 samples; maximum size equals #11 or largest splice available if smaller than the maximums shown. A combination of large bar sizes may be submitted.

   Test sample bars shall have 12 inches exposed length beyond the prepared splice. The bars shall be Grade 60 ksi and supplied by the producer.

5.08.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested for conformance to the specified requirements. The product will also be reviewed for general workmanship, corrosion protection, ease of installation, and any requirements specific to a given design. Please note: Only splices having collinear axis after splicing will be approved, i.e., offset bar splices will not be accepted. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or reevaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

B. Completed qualification procedure packets, including evaluation forms and product submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than March 1 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the Qualified Product List will be made once per year upon receipt of all materials submitted by March 1 or at MDOT's discretion.

5.08.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately disqualified from MDOT use should any problem develop related to installation or performance of the product. A product may also be removed due to specification changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.08.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR EMBEDDED GALVANIC ANODES

5.09.01. Scope

A. This document covers the procedure manufacturers must follow to have embedded galvanic anodes included on the MDOT's Qualified Product List (QPL).

5.09.02. Product Submittal

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

B. *Product Literature* – Submit product literature describing the anode's use, restrictions (if any) on the patch material surrounding the anode, maximum anode spacing, and minimum service life. Include additional pertinent information, such as manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc., to the MDOT address listed below:

Michigan Department of Transportation
Bureau of Bridges and Structures
Structure Construction Section
8885 Ricks Road
Lansing, Michigan 48917
Telephone: (517) 636-5709

C. *Product Data* – Submit product data documenting the quantity of zinc in each anode, as well as the components of the material encasing the anode.

D. *Product Sample* - The manufacturer shall submit a sample of the product to the address listed above.

E. The submitted information will be reviewed and additional samples may be requested to test for compliance with the specified requirements. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

F. Completed qualification procedure packets, including evaluation forms and product submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than March 1 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the Qualified Product List will be made once per year upon receipt of all materials submitted by March 1 or at MDOT's discretion.

5.09.03. Performance Evaluation

A. The performance of the embedded galvanic anodes will be evaluated by laboratory or field installation and monitoring the product in an MDOT selected test site.

1. The manufacturer shall provide the labor, tools, materials and equipment necessary for proper installation of the embedded galvanic anodes into the test site. This includes, but is not limited to, the anode lead wires and junction box with access for monitoring of the performance of the anodes over the evaluation period.

2. MDOT shall provide the labor, tools, materials and equipment necessary to complete the patch.

B. The embedded galvanic anodes at the test site will be monitored on a regular basis for a period of twelve months.

C. Alternatively, in-service performance information can be submitted from a third-party independent testing facility. The test must be performed over a minimum six-month period, indicate the steady state current requirements as outlined below, and provide the estimated service life.

5.09.04. Acceptance Requirements

A. The embedded galvanic anodes must meet the following requirements to be approved for use on MDOT projects:

1. *Field Performance* – The anodes are required to provide a protective current equal to or greater than 0.4 mA each after 90 days.

2. *Service Life* – The anodes must provide a minimum of ten years' service life. Submit calculations showing the service life estimate for steel reinforced concrete, including listing of assumptions used to derive the results.

B. Provisionary acceptance of the embedded galvanic anodes will be granted after 90 days if the anodes meet the requirements above. Full acceptance of the product will be granted after twelve months of satisfactory performance.

5.09.05. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately disqualified from MDOT use should any problem develop related to installation or performance of the product. A product may also be removed due to specification changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.09.06. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. A new trial installation may be required.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR SEALANT FOR PERIMETER OF BEAM PLATES

5.10.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for sealant for perimeter of beam repair and the procedures to be followed by producers to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL) for a qualification period of three years.

5.10.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (From #1022Q)* - Submit a completed copy of the evaluation form (included in the Qualification Procedure packet) to the MDOT address listed below.

Materials Technology Group
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Email: MDOT-ConcreteEngineer@michigan.gov

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as equipment needed to install, installation procedures of the sealant submitted, and Material Safety Data Sheets.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - Submit one 28-ounce or two 10-ounce cartridges to be evaluated by the MDOT Materials Technology Group for compliance with the specifications set forth in this document. Complete, sign, and date the Physical Requirements in Section 5.10.07. Note: Testing **must** be conducted by an independent laboratory.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* - Completed Qualification Procedure packets, including evaluation forms and product submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than January 15 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once a year upon completion of evaluations for all materials submitted by the January 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be at the discretion of MDOT.

5.10.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested (if required) for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.10.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance as a result of products materials, manufacturing or plan dimension changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer. The manufacturer will receive

notification including reasons for disqualification.

5.10.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the expiration of the qualification period.

5.10.06. Testing Procedure

A. *Material* - The sealant shall be a one-component elastomeric polyurethane or polyurethane blended sealant (Federal Specification TT-S-00230C, Type 2, Class A or B; ASTM C 920, Type S, Grade NS, minimum Class 25), that does not require a primer for proper bonding to a painted steel beam. The sealant shall be packaged in cartridge form.

B. *Sample Preparation* - Cure the sealant samples for 21 days at 73 ± 3°F (23 ± 2°C) and 50 ± 5 percent relative humidity.

C. *Flow* - The flow shall be tested according to ASTM C 639 and shall not be more than 0.3 inches.

D. *Solids Content* - The solids content shall be tested according to ASTM D 6511 (Section 7). The sealant shall be a minimum of 90 percent solids.

E. *Peel Strength* - The peel strength shall be tested according to ASTM C 794 and shall be more than 7.5 pounds per inch of width. Two test specimens shall be prepared on panels painted with a urethane top coat.

5.10.07. Physical Requirements

To be completed by independent testing laboratory:

	Result	Spec
Flow, inches (mm)		0.3 (8) max.
Solids Content, %		90 min.
Peel Strength, pounds per inch (newtons per mm) of width		7.5 (1.33) min.

Comments: _____

_____

_____

I certify to the accuracy of the above physical requirements test results and that testing was conducted in compliance with the procedures stated in Section 5.10.06, and that the material complies with the specification(s) stated in Section 5.10.06.A.

Person Responsible For Testing: _____ (Signature)

_____ (Print Name)

Laboratory Name and Address: _____

_____

_____

Date Tests Were Conducted: _____

Telephone Number: _____

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR LOW DUST ABRASIVES

5.11.01. Scope

A. This procedure describes the requirements for an abrasive to be placed on the MDOT's Prequalified Products List (QPL) for Requirements for Approval of Low Dust Abrasives.

5.11.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (From #1022Q)* - Submit a copy of the evaluation form (included in the Qualification Procedure packet) to the MDOT address listed below.

Structural Section
Operations Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, MI 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5708

B. *Product Data Sheets and Material Safety Data Sheets* - Submit the Product and Material Safety Data Sheets for each product submitted for evaluation.

1. A 50-pound (25 kg) sample must be submitted.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - The abrasive will be listed on a generic basis as either medium or low dust in the SSPC Painting Manual, Volume 1 or certified by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) on a trade name basis.

5.11.03. Evaluation

A. The abrasive will be evaluated by MDOT for embedment into the steel, and the surface profile produced. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. The Michigan Department of Transportation reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.11.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance as a result of product materials, manufacturing, or plan dimension changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.11.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the expiration of the qualification period.

5.11.06. Physical Requirements

A. It will be listed on a generic basis as a medium or low dust abrasive in the Steel Structures Painting Manual, Volume 1, or it is certified by the CARB on a trade name basis, and/or a field evaluation.

B. It will have a gradation such that the abrasive will produce a uniform profile of 1 to 2.8 mils, as measured with the extra course Testex Replica Tape.

C. The material will be listed on a generic basis in the Steel Structures Painting Manual, Volume 1, as an abrasive with <1 percent by weight free silica(crystalline) or certified results of the analysis for free silica indicating <1 percent by weight free silica(crystalline). The free silica(crystalline) content will be determined by the use of infrared spectroscopy or by other analytical procedures, such as wet chemical or x-ray diffraction analyses.

D. The manufacturer must certify and submit documentation that the abrasive, when tested by EPA Method 1311 before blasting, contains less than the maximum allowable limit for each of the elements listed in the following table:

ELEMENT	MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE LIMIT
Arsenic	2.5 ppm
Barium	50 ppm
Cadmium	0.5 ppm
Chromium	2.5 ppm
Lead	0.5 ppm
Mercury	0.1 ppm
Selenium	0.5 ppm
Silver	2.5 ppm

E. Technical information regarding the above requirements, Product and Materials Safety Data Sheets and 50 pound samples, will be submitted to:

Structural Section
Operations Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5708

F. The material will be evaluated by MDOT as to its dusting characteristics, embedment into the steel, and the surface profile it produced. If the product is judged to perform satisfactorily, it will be approved to be on the QPL.

G. Products may be deleted from the QPL by MDOT at any time they fail to meet any of the above requirements.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR DETECTABLE WARNING SURFACES

5.12.01. Scope

A. This document covers the requirements for detectable warning surfaces to be placed on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL). The QPL for detectable warning surfaces includes cast-in-place and surface applied products.

5.12.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit a completed copy of the evaluation form (included in the Qualification Procedure packet) to the Michigan Department of Transportation address listed below.

Materials Technology Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-4947

B. *Product Information* - Include product information as listed below:

1. Product Data Sheets - Enclose product literature for detectable warning surfaces describing the use, restrictions, cost and anticipated benefit to MDOT's transportation system.

2. Supporting Evaluation - Enclose a list of other state DOT's or agencies (contact person, telephone number) who have approved your material for use.

3. Sample Specification - Enclose a sample specification for product usage.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards*:

1. Any product to be considered for this QPL must meet the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act and must conform to MDOT Standard Plan R-28 Series.

2. If a company has a new product that it wants included in the QPL, it must provide a trial installation in the State of Michigan. The company must notify the MDOT contact listed in Section 5.12.02.A of this procedure prior to installation of the product.

5.12.03. Evaluation

A. *Field Evaluation*:

1. The submitter must arrange for a trial installation of its product in the State of Michigan. The supplier must be on-site for installation of the product. A field evaluation of the product will be scheduled after the product has been in service for one year.

2. The product will be evaluated during installation and after it has been in service for one year. If the product has performed satisfactorily and has retained a minimum of 95 percent of its truncated domes, the field evaluation will be considered successful.

B. The submitted information and field evaluation will be reviewed for conformance to the specified requirements. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.12.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance as a result of product materials, manufacturing, or plan dimension changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer. The manufacturer will receive notification including reasons for disqualification.

5.12.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request, along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR FLUID PAVEMENT MARKING MATERIALS

5.13.01. Scope

A. This document covers the requirements for fluid applied pavement marking materials to be placed on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

B. The procedure covers:

Longitudinal Lines - 1-Year Product
Longitudinal Lines – 3- to 5-Year Product
Special Markings – 3- to 5-Year Product (Legends, Symbols, Crosswalks, and Stop Bars)

5.13.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information required below.

B. The Manufacturer must provide a report from the pavement marking material category of the National Transportation Product Evaluation Program (NTPEP) for the submitted product(s). Northern (snow plow) site NTPEP data is required for fluid applied pavement marking materials. For a one-year product, the NTPEP report must have a minimum of nine months of data and include one winter plowing season. For a three- to five-year product, the NTPEP report must have a minimum of twenty-one months of data and include two winter plowing seasons. If a product is currently installed on a northern NTPEP test deck but does not have the full nine or twenty-one months completed, a test section may be placed in Michigan for concurrent evaluation provided the product meets the initial retroreflectivity requirements outlined in section D, and the Manufacturer agrees to restripe the test section with an approved material if significant premature failure occurs. If no northern NTPEP deck is currently available, MDOT will attempt to seek an alternate evaluation method with the condition that the Manufacturer will get the product on the next available northern deck.

C. Send the results from NTPEP, product data sheets, completed form #1022Q, and other pertinent information, for initial review, to:

MDOT Pavement Marking Engineer
Traffic Operations
425 W. Ottawa Street
P.O. Box 30050
Lansing, Michigan 48909

D. The NTPEP report provides retroreflectivity and product durability data. Fluid pavement marking materials must have the following minimum properties to qualify for a MDOT field evaluation:

			White	Yellow
1 year Product	Skip Readings	Initial retroreflectivity	375 mcd	200 mcd
		9 month retroreflectivity	120 mcd	80 mcd
	Wheel Readings	Durability - 9 month	6	6
3 to 5 year Product	Skip Readings	Initial retroreflectivity	400 mcd	280 mcd
		21 month retroreflectivity	175 mcd	120 mcd
	Wheel Readings	Durability - 21 month	7	7

5.13.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted NTPEP report will be reviewed by MDOT's Pavement Marking Engineer.

If the product meets the requirements specified in section 5.13.02.C, a sample amount of product and the location for installation will be determined for field evaluation. The sample material will be specified and placed according to MDOT specifications. The cost of the material will be negotiated between the Manufacturer and the Contractor.

Products must be heavy metal free.

Ease of application will be assessed, and visual inspection of the material will be performed during the field evaluation. The following requirements must also be met:

Longitudinal Lines – 1-year Product:
Retroreflectivity readings for longitudinal lines must be 100 millicandellas for white and 60 millicandellas for yellow after 1 winter. If possible, MDOT will schedule retroreflectivity measurements to be taken as part of the annual spring retroreflectivity contract. Otherwise, a handheld retroreflectometer unit will be used to verify measurements.

Longitudinal Lines – 3- to 5-year Product:
MDOT requires 90% of the markings to be fully adhered to the pavement after 1 winter. Retroreflectivity must be 250 millicandellas for white and 150 millicandellas for yellow after 12 months. If possible, MDOT will schedule retroreflectivity measurements to be taken as part of the annual spring retroreflectivity contract. Otherwise, a handheld retroreflectometer unit will be used to verify measurements.

Special Markings – 3- to 5-year Product (Legends, Symbols, Crosswalks and Stop Bars):
MDOT requires 90% of the marking to be fully adhered to the pavement after 1 winter.

MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time.

B. Once the material has been in place for an entire winter, the Pavement Marking Unit will review the material. Approval from the Pavement Marking Unit will result in the product being added to the QPL. The Manufacturer will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation.

C. If the composition or manufacture of a product is changed at any point, it is the responsibility of the Manufacturer to immediately inform MDOT to verify whether the material will need re-evaluation. Failure to do so may result in removal from the QPL.

.

5.13.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance of the material. The Manufacturer will receive written notification, including reasons for disqualification.

5.13.05. Re-qualification

A. A product which has failed field evaluation or has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request from the Manufacturer, along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the failure or disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR PREFORMED APPLIED PAVEMENT MARKING MATERIAL

5.14.01. Scope

A. This document covers the requirements for preformed applied pavement marking materials to be placed on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

B. The procedure covers:

Longitudinal Lines – 3- to 5-Year Product
Special Markings – 3- to 5-Year Product (Legends, Symbols, Crosswalks, and Stop Bars)

5.14.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information required below.

B. The Manufacturer must provide a report from the pavement marking material category of the National Transportation Product Evaluation Program (NTPEP) for the submitted product(s). Northern (snow plow) site NTPEP data is required for preformed applied marking materials. The product must have gone through at least two winters and the NTPEP report must have a minimum of twenty-one months of data. If a product is currently installed on a northern NTPEP test deck but does not have the full twenty-one months completed, a test section may be placed in Michigan for concurrent evaluation provided the product meets the initial retroreflectivity requirements outlined in section D, and the Manufacturer agrees to restripe the test section with an approved material if significant premature failure occurs. If no northern NTPEP deck is currently available, MDOT will attempt to seek an alternate evaluation method with the condition that the Manufacturer will get the product on the next available northern deck.

C. Send the results from NTPEP, product data sheets, completed form #1022Q, and other pertinent information, for initial review, to:

MDOT Pavement Marking Engineer
Traffic Operations
425 W. Ottawa Street
P.O. Box 30050
Lansing, Michigan 48909

D. The NTPEP report provides retroreflectivity results and product durability data. Preformed applied pavement markings must have the following minimum properties to qualify for a MDOT field evaluation:

			White	Yellow
3- to 5-year Product	Skip Readings	21-month retroreflectivity	150 mcd	100 mcd
	Wheel Readings	Durability - 21 month	7	7

5.14.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted NTPEP report will be reviewed by MDOT's Pavement Marking Engineer. If the product meets the requirements specified in section 5.14.02.D, a sample amount of product and the location for installation will be determined for field evaluation. Minimum sample size will be 100 square feet. The sample material will be specified and placed according to MDOT specifications. The cost of material will be negotiated between the Manufacturer and the Contractor.

Products must be heavy metal free.

Ease of application will be assessed, and visual inspection of the material will be performed during the field evaluation. The following requirements must also be met:

Longitudinal Lines – 3-5 year Product:
MDOT requires 90% of the markings to be fully adhered to the pavement after 1 winter. Retroreflectivity must be of 175 millicandellas for white and 125 millicandellas for yellow after 1 winter. If possible, MDOT will schedule retroreflectivity measurements to be taken as part of the annual spring retroreflectivity contract. Otherwise, a handheld retroreflectometer unit will be used to verify measurements.

Special Markings – 3-5 year Product (Legends, Symbols, Crosswalk and Stop Bars):
MDOT requires 90% of the markings to be fully adhered to the pavement after 1 winter.

MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time.

B. Once the material has been in place for an entire winter, the Pavement Marking Unit will review the material a second time. Approval from the Pavement Marking Unit will result in the product being added to the QPL. The Manufacturer will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation.

C. If the composition or manufacture of a product is changed at any point, it is the responsibility of the Manufacturer to immediately inform MDOT to verify whether the material will need re-evaluation. Failure to do so may result in removal from the QPL.

5.14.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance of the material. The Manufacturer will receive written notification including reasons for disqualification.

5.14.05. Re-qualification

A. A product which has failed field evaluation or has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request from the Manufacturer, along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the failure or disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR ADMIXTURES FOR CONCRETE

5.15.01. Scope

A. The Construction Field Services Division of MDOT will authorize manufacturers to ship approved products to MDOT projects for immediate incorporation into the work.

5.15.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information required below.

B. *Qualified Products Evaluation* - The manufacturer will submit the following at the time of application for addition to the Qualified Products List (QPL) and once every seven years thereafter, to the MDOT address listed below:

1. Full ASTM C 260 Testing Report or Full ASTM C 494 Testing Report except Type 1L Portland cement is permitted, see Section 5.15.02.C.

   The results must be from tests performed with a batch date no greater than 30 months prior to submittal. Submit all Full ASTM test results in both hard copy and electronically (use the contact below to receive an electronic copy of the forms).

2. Certification and Product Data, submit certification and product data as specified below.

   a. Manufacturer name

   b. Product name

   c. Admixture type

   d. Indication if admixture is lignin (lignosulfonate) based

   e. Chloride ion content, percent

   f. pH

   g. Specific gravity

   h. Total solids, percent

   i. Recommended dosage or dosage range

   j. Certification statement - "The *(manufacturer name)* certifies that the admixture conforms to the requirements of *(ASTM C 260 for air-entraining admixtures or ASTM C 494 for chemical admixtures)*." This certification statement will be signed by a designated representative of the manufacturer.

3. Sample - Furnish a one-quart sample of material, in a container with a completed safety data sticker, to the address listed below.

4. Abbreviated ASTM C 260 Testing Report or Abbreviated ASTM C 494 Testing Report, see Section 5.15.05.

   The results must be from tests performed with a batch date no greater than 12 months prior to submittal. Submit all Abbreviated ASTM test results in both hard copy and electronically (use the contact below to receive an electronic copy of the forms).

   Materials Technology Group
   Construction Field Services Division
   8885 Ricks Road
   P.O. Box 30049
   Lansing, Michigan 48909
   MDOT-ConcreteEngineer@michigan.gov

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - ASTM C 260 will be used to evaluate air-entraining admixtures for concrete. ASTM C 494 will be used to evaluate chemical admixtures for concrete. Type 1L Portland cement is permitted for use in ASTM C 260 and ASTM C 494 testing. Provide a report including the results of testing the admixture according to the applicable specification. The report must include a description of all materials used, the numerical results of all required tests on both plastic and hardened concrete and a comparison with the specification requirements.

D. All incomplete submittals will be rejected and a new complete package will need to be submitted.

E. *Referenced Documents* -

1. ASTM Standards

   C 192 Test Method for Making and Curing Concrete Test Specimens in the Laboratory
   C 260 Standard Specification for Air-Entraining Admixtures for Concrete
   C 494 Standard Specification for Chemical Admixtures for Concrete
   C 233 Standard Test Method for Air-Entraining Admixtures for Concrete
   C 403 Test Method for Time of Setting of Concrete Mixtures by Penetration Resistance
   C 1077 Practice for Laboratories Testing Concrete and Concrete Aggregates

2. Standard Specifications for Construction, Section 903

5.15.03. Yearly Update Procedure

A. The manufacturer will submit the following by the 1st of January every year after acceptance to the QPL, to the MDOT address listed in section 5.15.02.B.4:

1. Product information, see section 5.15.02.B.2.a through h.

2. Recommended dosage or dosage range present on the QPL

3. ASTM certification statement, see section 5.15.02.B.2.j.

4. No changes to product certification statement – "*(manufacturer name)* certifies that the admixture's formula and materials have not changed since approved to the QPL." This

certification statement will be signed by a designated representative of the manufacturer.

5.15.04. Dosage or Dosage Range Request of Change Procedure

A. The manufacturer will submit the following to request a change to the dosage or dosage range on the QPL, to the MDOT address listed in section 5.15.02.B.4:

1. Product information, see section 5.15.02.B.2.a through c.

2. Current QPL dosage or dosage range

3. Recommended dosage or dosage range

4. Request of change statement – This statement will provide an engineering or construction explanation for the requested change in dosage or dosage range.

5.15.05. Testing Procedure and Evaluation for Abbreviated ASTM Testing (Local Cement Testing)

A. *General Test Procedure for Abbreviated Evaluation* - This testing is to be performed by an independent laboratory conforming to ASTM C 1077.

1. Evaluate an air-entrained concrete containing the specific admixture against an air-entrained reference concrete not containing the admixture. If the product being submitted for approval is an air-entraining (AE) admixture, make the reference concrete using a vinsol resin AE admixture.

2. General - Make the reference batch and corresponding test batch on the same day. The reference batch should usually be made first to prevent any carry-over of the admixture under test. One reference batch and one test batch for a given set of conditions will be adequate unless duplicates are requested. One reference batch may serve as basis of comparison for several test batches made using different admixtures, dosage rates, etc., as long as all are made with the same cement(s). Submit summary report of test results, with data sheets attached.

3. Submit all Local Cement test results in both hard copy and electronically (use the contact in Section 5.15.02.B.4 to receive an electronic copy of the forms).

4. Test admixtures at the minimum dosage rate to be reported on the QPL.

B. *Mix Properties*

1. Cement Content - 517 lb/yd (MDOT Grade 3500 or equivalent).

2. Air Content - 6.5 ± 1.5 percent for control. Air content of test concrete will be within 0.5 percent of control.

3. Slump - 3½ ± ½ inch for the control and the test concrete.

4. High Range Water Reducing Admixtures - Mix design for test batches will be redesigned for less water than reference batches.

C. *Materials Requirements*

1. Cement - Type I or Type IL Portland cement. Use three brands of cement (in common use in Michigan) individually, or as specified for the individual testing.

2. Aggregate - 2NS and 6A. Use moist aggregates of known moisture content from MDOT approved sources.

3. Materials should be of such temperature as to produce a concrete having a temperature of 68 ± 4°F.

D. *Mixing* - Add all solid materials to mixer and a portion of the water. Add the admixtures with some of the water immediately at the start of mixing, except high range water reducing admixtures will be added approximately 90 seconds after start of mixing. For C 494 testing, add air-entraining admixture separately from chemical admixtures.

1. Mix for three minutes, allow concrete to rest for three minutes, then remix for two minutes.

E. *Tests and Properties*

1. Slump - all batches.

2. Air Content - all batches.

3. Compressive Strength - Test a minimum of two cylinders 4 x 8 or 6 x 12 inches for each test age. Test types A, C, D, E, and air-entrainers at 3 days, 7 days and 28 days. Test types F and G at 1 day, 3 days, 7 days and 28 days.

4. Water Content - Expressed as water-cement ratio by mass. Use the net water in the batch (total water, less water absorbed by aggregates).

5. Time of Set - ASTM C 403, for retarders and accelerators, otherwise as instructed.

5.15.06. Mid Range Water Reducers and Retarding Mid Range Water Reducers

A. Sections 5.15.01 through 5.15.05 apply to mid-range admixtures except as modified below.

B. Submit the full ASTM C 494 report required by Subsection 5.15.02.B as a Type A or F for normal set or as a Type D or G for retarding.

C. Submit a report as required by Subsection 5.15.05.A General Test Procedure for Abbreviated Evaluation. Testing must indicate conformance with the physical requirements listed in Table 1. Test admixture at the minimal dose for the mid range water reducer dosage range.

D. Reduce water of the control batch by at least 9 percent.

E. Time of Set - ASTM C 403 for Retarders. Retarding mid-range water reducers must conform to the time of setting requirements given in Table 1 of ASTM C 494 for Type D.

Table 1

Physical Requirements Concrete with Mid Range Water Reducer					
	Fresh Concrete	1 Day	3 Days	7 Days	28 Days
Water Content, max % of control	91	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Slump, inches	3 - 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Content, Control Batch, %	5 - 8	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Content, Test Batch, %	± 0.5 of control batch	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Compressive Strength, min % of control	N/A	120	115	115	110
Flexural Strength, min % of control	N/A	N/A	100	N/A	100

5.15.07. Product Review

A. *Acceptance* - The submitted information will be reviewed for conformance to the specified requirements. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

B. *Disqualification* - A product may be removed immediately from the QPL if any field performance problems develop related to product material or manufacturing.

C. *Requalification* - A product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for re-qualification of the product.

APPENDIX

(See contact listed in Section 5.15.02.B.4 to obtain the electronic version needed for submittal.)

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR EPOXY COATING FOR STEEL REINFORCEMENT

5.16.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for epoxy coatings for steel reinforcement and the procedure to be followed by the producers to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Product List (QPL).

5.16.02. Submittal Procedure

A. Submit the following criteria to the MDOT address listed below.

Structural Section - Paint Systems
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5708

B. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

C. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the products use and other pertinent information such as design drawings, manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc.

D. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - The testing is conducted by an independent testing agency to ensure that the product meets ASTM A 775 and MDOT's requirements.

5.16.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested (if required) for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product anytime by conducting its own tests.

5.16.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance results from product materials, manufacturing, or plan dimension changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.16.05. Requalification

A. A product disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the expiration of the qualification period.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR SHEAR CONNECTOR STUDS

5.17.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for stud shear developers and the procedure to be followed by producers in order to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL) for a qualification period of two years.

5.17.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit a completed copy of the evaluation form (included in the Qualification Procedure packet) to the MDOT address listed below:

Structural Fabrication Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 204-2106

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include manufacturer's name and address, trade name, model number and design drawings, and any other pertinent information.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - Finished studs shall be of uniform quality and condition, free from injurious laps, fins, seams, cracks, twists, bends, or other injurious defects. Finish shall be as produced by cold drawing, cold rolling, or machining.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* - Completed Qualification Procedure packets, including evaluation forms and product submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than January 15 to be included in that years evaluation. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once a year upon completion of evaluations for all materials submitted by the January 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be at the discretion of MDOT.

5.17.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested (if required) for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.17.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance as a result of product materials, manufacturing, or plan dimension changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.17.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the expiration of the qualification period.

5.17.06. Testing Procedure

A. Tensile properties shall be determined in accordance with the applicable sections of the methods for mechanical testing of steel products In AASHTO T 244. The yield strength shall be determined by the 0.2 percent offset method.

5.17.07. Physical Requirements

A. Shear connector studs shall conform to the requirements for cold-finished carbon steel of AASHTO M 169, cold-drawn bar, Grades 1015 or 1020, either semi- or fully-killed. If flux-retaining caps are used, the steel for the caps shall be cold-rolled, of a low carbon grade suitable for welding, and shall conform to ASTM A 109.

B. Tensile properties as determined by tests of bar stock after drawing or of finished studs shall conform to the following minimum requirements:

Tensile Strength	60,000 psi (415 mPa)
Yield Strength	50,000 psi (345 mPa)
Elongation	20 percent in 2 inches (50 mm)
Reduction of Area	50 percent

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR RECYCLED RUBBER ADJUSTING RINGS

5.18.01. Scope

A. This document covers the recycled rubber adjusting ring requirements and procedure to be followed by manufacturers in order to have their product included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

5.18.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

B. *Product Data Sheet* - Submit a copy of product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as design drawings, manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc., to the MDOT address listed below:

Experimental Studies Unit
Operations Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5708

C. *Sample* - The producer shall submit a minimum 10-inch cut away sample of the proposed adjustment riser to the address listed above.

D. *Test Reports* - The producer shall include test results from an independent testing laboratory showing results from the following tests:

Physical Properties	ASTM Test Method	ASTM Title	Test Requirements
Density	C 642	Test Method for Density, Absorption, and Voids in Hardened Concrete	1.0 g/cm^3 (± 0.1)
Durometer hardness, molded and interior surfaces	D 2240	Rubber Property-Durometer Hardness	75 A ± 5
Tensile strength and elongation	D 412	Vulcanized Rubber and Thermoplastic Rubbers and Thermoplastic Elastomers-Tension	230 psi 300%
Compression deformation, initial and final	D 575	Rubber Properties in Compression	140 psi
Compression set	D 395	Rubber Properties in Compression Set	25% max

Freeze and thaw when exposed to deicing agents	C 672	Scaling Resistance of Concrete Surfaces Exposed to Deicing Chemicals	no loss after 50 cycles
Coefficient of thermal expansion	C 531	Linear Shrinkage and Coefficient of Thermal Expansion of Chemical-Resistant Mortars, Grouts, Monolithic Surfacings, and Polymer Concretes	$6x10^{-5}$ in/in/^{o}F
Heat Resistance (70 hours at 70^{o}C)	D 573	Rubber-Deterioration in an Air Oven	Hardness - 10 max Tensile/elongation - 25% max
Brittleness at low temperature	D 746	Brittleness Temperature of Plastic and Elastomers by Impact	Pass @ -40^{o}F

5.18.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples may be tested for compliance with the specified requirements. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation.

5.18.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately disqualified from MDOT use should any problem develop related to installation or performance of the product. A product may also be removed due to specification changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.18.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification as stated in this procedure also apply for requalification of the product.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR WATERTIGHT JOINT SYSTEMS FOR SEWERS AND CULVERTS

5.19.01. Scope

A. This document describes the procedure to be followed by drainage product manufacturers who wish to have sewer and culvert pipe joint systems evaluated for addition to the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL) for watertight joints.

5.19.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

B. *Request for Product Evaluation* - A written request for product evaluation must be submitted to the following address:

Geotechnical Services Unit
Construction and Technology Building
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5706

C. *Product Information* - Include all material specifications, design drawings, field assembly diagrams and applicable Material Safety Data Sheets. All joint system components must meet applicable material requirements of the MDOT Standard Specifications for Construction.

1. A sample of the watertight joint, including a minimum of three feet of pipe on each side of the joint and the geotextile wrap (for pipe over 24 inch diameter), must be submitted if requested.

2. MDOT requires 14 days prior notice of the intended laboratory testing of watertight joints so the testing may be witnessed. This notification may be made in writing to the address above or by calling (517) 636-5706.

D. *Independent Laboratory Testing Results* - Results of independent laboratory tests must be submitted for evaluation. This testing must be conducted in accordance with Michigan Test Method 723. The joint system must meet the watertight requirements specified in MTM 723 to be considered as a qualified product.

E. *Evaluation Schedule* - A complete application, including independent laboratory test reports, must be received by the Geotechnical Services Unit on or before February 1 for a new product to be evaluated and placed on the QPL for use in the upcoming construction season. Subsequent modification of the QPL will be at the discretion of MDOT.

5.19.03. Evaluation

A. Pipe Diameters Up to 24 inches.

1. The manufacturer must provide independent laboratory test reports verifying the sewer

or culvert joint system has been tested in accordance with MTM 723 and has been found to be within the watertight limits stated in the test method. Laboratory test results are valid as long as the joint system has not been altered in any way.

2. Once the independent laboratory has certified the test results, the watertight joint system will be listed on the QPL by manufacturer, product name and diameter of pipe.

3. The manufacturer must submit an annual certification statement documenting the joint system has not been altered from the time it was laboratory tested and placed on the QPL. If the annual certification is not received, the product will be dropped from the QPL and will not be approved for use on MDOT projects until certification is received.

4. The manufacturer will be advised of the annual certification requirement with the notification that the product has been approved and placed on the QPL. After this notification, it will be the manufacturer's responsibility to submit annual certification. If the joint system has been altered, the manufacturer will be required to submit independent laboratory test results to keep the product on the QPL.

B. Pipe Diameters Over 24 inches.

1. Watertight joints for culvert or sewer pipe greater than 24 inches do not require pressure testing to be approved for use on MDOT projects, provided the manufacturer can document the performance of the identical joint configuration in a smaller diameter. The manufacturer must submit independent laboratory test reports in accordance with MTM 723 for a 24 inch diameter (or smaller) watertight joint which uses the same configuration.

2. Watertight joints greater than 24 inches must use a single or double gasket configuration and must be geotextile wrapped a minimum of three feet on each side of the joint. Provide the manufacturer's product label for the geotextile wrap.

NOTE: At the manufacturer's option, these larger diameter pipes may be tested in accordance with MTM 723 and all documentation submitted as for smaller pipes.

3. Approved watertight joint configurations for pipe diameters greater than 24 inches will be listed on the QPL subject to the same annual certification and re-evaluation requirements as for smaller diameter pipe joint systems.

4. MDOT retains the right to field test the joint system or to require that the manufacturer submit additional independent laboratory test results if problems are encountered with installation or performance of the watertight sewer or culvert systems.

5.19.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the Qualified Product List should any problems develop related to installation or performance of the joint system or the associated pipe materials. Removal from the QPL will result in immediate loss of approved status on all active and proposed projects. If a product is removed from the QPL, it will not be approved for use on a state- or federally-funded project until the manufacturer has demonstrated, to the satisfaction of the Geotechnical Services Unit, the material or joint mechanism has been redesigned and shown to meet all applicable specifications.

5.19.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product.

5.19.06. Testing Procedure

A. MDOT does not conduct the testing of watertight sewer and culvert joint systems, the testing procedure and physical requirements can be found in MTM 723.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR POLYMER COATED CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE

5.20.01. Scope

A. This document covers the procedure to be followed by producers in order to have a polymer coated corrugated steel pipe approved for MDOT use.

5.20.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Submit a copy of product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as design drawings, manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc. of the sample submitted to the MDOT address listed below:

Experimental Studies Group
Operations Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5708

C. *Report of Tests* - The producer shall include test results from an independent testing laboratory demonstrating that the polymer coated corrugated steel pipe meets the following criteria:

1. Steel pipe made from zinc-coated sheet conforming to AASHTO M 218.

2. Polymeric coating must be ethylene acrylic acid film conforming to AASHTO M 246, Grade 250/250 polymer on zinc coated steel sheet.

3. Polymeric coated pipe must pass the Coating Test Protocol as published by the National Corrugated Steel Pipe Association (NCSPA), Invert Abrasion Testing of CSP Coatings, Appendix B, March 2002. All three tiers shall be evaluated, with tier 3 being evaluated at Level I. The abrasive conditions for Level I testing are defined as follows:

   a. Stone shall be ⅜ inch in size and the maximum loss from the Los Angeles Abrasion test (MTM 102) shall be 40 percent. Only natural aggregate shall be used.

   b. Aggregate shall be propelled by 550 gallons per minute of flowing seawater down a 12 degree slope.

   c. A total of 50,000 lbs. of aggregate shall be passed through the pipe over a ten day period in uniform increments.

   d. To pass Level I testing, no galvanized substrate is allowed to show after testing. Certified independent test results must be submitted for review.

D. *Sample Submittal*

1. The producer shall provide polymer coated corrugated steel pipe and sheet for verification testing by MDOT in order to verify independent test data. Test samples shall be 3 feet long.

5.20.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested for conformance to the specified requirements. The product will also be reviewed for general workmanship, corrosion protection, ease of installation, and any requirements specific to a given design. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.20.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately disqualified from MDOT use should any problem develop related to installation or performance of the product. A product may also be removed due to specification changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.20.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR CLASS A, B and F BURY PLASTIC PIPE

5.21.01. Scope

A. This document describes the procedure to be followed by plastic pipe manufacturers to have plastic sewer and culvert pipe evaluated for addition to the Qualified Products List (QPL) for installations for a qualification period of five years. Types of plastic sewer and culvert pipes materials included for this procedure include:

Smooth-Lined Corrugated Polyethylene (CPE) – AASHTO M 294
Corrugated Polyvinyl Chloride (CPV) – AASHTO M 304

5.21.02. Submittal Procedure

A. Pipe must already be watertight joint tested and approved in order to be considered for review under this qualification procedure.

B. The product manufacturer must submit a signed and sealed letter, to the address below, from an independent third party verifying the requirements of this procedure.

Statewide Ancillary Structures Development Specialist
Michigan Department of Transportation
Ancillary Structures Unit, Structure Preservation Section
6333 Old Lansing Road,
Lansing, MI 48917
MDOT-Ancillary@michigan.gov

The independent third-party verification must be performed by a professional engineer licensed in Michigan.

If a product manufacturer is requesting approval of pipes made with recycled materials, a letter certifying formula sameness to virgin material must accompany the letter verifying the requirements of this document.

MDOT will review this submittal and notify the project manufacturer of acceptance or denial of the request for approval. Do not submit proprietary information to MDOT.

5.21.03 Evaluation by Independent Third Party

A. Product Information – Product manufacturer will provide product information directly to the independent third party for verification. The third-party will verify all material specifications, design drawings including pipe geometry, and the idealized wall profile geometry for each diameter of pipe.

B. Load-and-Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) Calculations – Prepare and verify design calculations in accordance with Section 12 of the current American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) LRFD Bridge Design Specifications.

The independent third-party should verify that the product manufacturer has demonstrated, through the calculations, strength and service parameters for depths of cover from 0-feet to

50-feet. Assumed values for factors and other parameters must be generally conservative and indicative of a typical embankment installation in Michigan. Provide confirmation of long-term modulus values for submitted product(s) and these values must meet or exceed those in Table 12.12.3.3-1 of the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications Section 12.

C. Factor and Parameter Values – Use the following factors and parameters in the Section 12 equations. Follow the LRFD specifications and commentary for factors and parameters not listed below.

$\Gamma_{EV} = 1.3$	Load Factor for vertical pressure from dead load of earth fill
$\gamma_{LL} = 1.75$	Load Factor for live load
$\gamma_{WA} = 1.0$	Load Factor for hydrostatic pressure
$\Phi_{bck} = 0.70$	Resistance Factor for buckling
$\Phi_s = 0.90$	Resistance Factor for soil stiffness
$\Phi_T = 1.00$	Resistance Factor for thrust effects
$\Phi_f = 1.00$	Resistance Factor for flexure
$\eta_{EV} = 1.0$	Load Modifier applied to vertical earth loads
$H_w = 0$ to 8.0 feet	Depth of water table above spring line of pipe, evaluate in 1-foot increments
$\gamma_w = 62.4$ lb/cf	Unit weight of water
$\gamma_s = 120$ lb/cf	Wet unit weight of soil
$\gamma_b = 75$ lb/cf	Unit weight of buoyant soil
$\Delta_A < 5\%$	Total allowable deflection of pipe, reduction of vertical diameter
$\Delta_T < \Delta_A$	Total deflection less then allowable deflection
$D_L = 1.5$	Deflection Lag Factor
I in^4/in	moment of inertia calculation for hollow circular section of pipe shown
$K_B = 0.10$	Bedding coefficient
$K_{\gamma E} = 1.50$	Installation Factor
$K_{WA} = 1.00$	Factor for uncertainty in level of ground water table
$K_2 = 1.00$	Class A calculation; thrust at spring line C12.12.3.5
$K_2 = 0.60$	Class A calculation: thrust at the crown C12.12.3.5
P_{SP} psi	Soil prism pressure. Class A and B calculations to show pressure representing the weight of soil above the pipe spring line for all three conditions Section 12.12.3.7
S_H	Hoop stiffness factor. Calculations should clearly show both short term loading conditions and long term loading conditions
$v = 0.4$	Poisson's Ratio of soil
LLDF = 1.15	Live Load distribution factor
m = 1.20	Multiple presence factor

Df reduction	Shape factor for corrugated PE pipe in table 12.12.3.10.2b-1 to be reduced by 1.0 from table value to account for effect of Low Hoop Stiffness ratio.
Soil type	Sn - See Table 12.12.3.5.2
90%	Degree of Compaction - standard Proctor backfill density

Follow the practice of the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Specification's Commentary to design for a standard Proctor backfill density 5 percent less than specified by the contract documents.

5.21.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation, acceptance, or performance. A product may also be removed due to specification changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer

5.21.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with corrective action demonstrating, to the satisfaction of MDOT, that product meets all applicable specifications. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the expiration of the qualification period.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR SILT FENCE GEOTEXTILE

5.22.01. Scope

A. This document covers the policies and procedures for the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL) for silt fence geotextile. The MDOT Construction and Technology Division will follow these procedures to determine whether to grant Qualified Product status to specific silt fence products and to maintain the list of Qualified Products. Manufacturers of silt fence geotextile must follow these procedures to be granted and to maintain QPL status for their silt fence geotextiles.

5.22.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (From #1022Q)* - Manufacturers, or distributors/fabricators serving as a representative of the manufacturer, may submit a silt fence geotextile product for Qualified Products evaluation. The submittal shall consist of a written request for Qualified Products status and must include all of the components listed below. Only complete submittals will be reviewed by MDOT. Send complete submittals to:

Geotechnical Services Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5706

B. *Product Data Sheets* - The manufacturer shall certify each specified property value as a minimum value (mean quality control result less two standard deviations) in accordance with the ASTM Method designated for each property in Section 910.04 of the Standard Specifications for Construction (see Testing Requirements), and that the product meets all properties specified by MDOT. The certification shall be signed by an authorized official of the manufacturer.

1. Results of actual quality control testing of the lots of material represented by the sample must be submitted. All specified properties must be included in the quality control testing. This documentation shall include a description of the normal frequency and distribution of quality control sampling.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* -

1. Sample - Two product samples, full width by 6.5 feet length, taken from separate lots (production runs) shall be provided for specification conformance testing.

2. Independent Sample - The manufacturer or distributor/fabricator shall provide MDOT with the means to obtain a third, independent, random sample by a MDOT representative. This sample will be evaluated and tested for specification conformance at MDOT's option. The independent sample may be waived for manufacturers with other products already on the QPL.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* - Manufacturers of products on the QPL which have not been routinely tested (through Approved Certifier testing) within the calendar year will be requested to submit a sample for testing to maintain QPL status. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product for specification conformance at any time.

5.22.03. Evaluation

A. Qualified Product submittals will be reviewed for completeness. The certification and quality control documentation will be checked for conformance to the latest published specification. The sample(s) will be tested for all properties required by the specification. Sample test results will be compared to certification and quality control documents.

5.22.04. Disqualification

A. Manufacturers of Qualified Products which demonstrate non-conformance to specifications will be sent written notification. A written response from the manufacturer which satisfactorily identifies the cause of non-conformance will be required. Products which are found to have subsequent specification deviations may be removed from the QPL. A product may be immediately removed as a result of problems related to the performance, durability or quality control, or any materials, manufacturing, or specification changes made by either the manufacturer or by MDOT.

5.22.05. Requalification

A. A product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request which identifies the problem(s) causing the disqualification, and provides acceptable evidence that the problem(s) have been resolved. The requirements for qualification specified in this document also apply for requalification.

5.22.06. Testing Procedure

A. Silt fence geotextile will be tested for the following physical properties in accordance with the ASTM designation noted.

Material Properties	Test Method	Specification Requirement
Grab Tensile Strength, lbf (N)	D 4632	100 (450) minimum
Grab Elongation, %	D 4632	40 maximum
Trapezoid Tear Strength, lbf (N)	D 4533	45 (200) minimum
Apparent Opening Size, inches (mm)	D 4751	0.02 (0.6) maximum
Permittivity (Falling Head), sec^{-1}	D 4491	0.1 minimum

NOTE: U.V. Resistance (ASTM D 4355) will not be performed by MDOT. The manufacturer's certified test results will be acceptable.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR RECYCLED RUBBER/PLASTIC OFFSET BLOCKS FOR GUARDRAIL WITH STEEL POSTS

5.23.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for Recycled Rubber/Plastic Offset Blocks for use as offset blocks for W-Beam and Thrie Beam Guardrail on steel posts only. It includes the procedures to be followed by manufacturers or suppliers in order to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

B. MDOT reserves the right to randomly sample product from lots or jobsite as required to verify conformance.

5.23.02. Submittal Procedure

A. Submit a cover letter along with the required information listed in Sections 5.23.02.B and 5.23.02.C to the MDOT address listed below. The cover letter should state the name of the designated company contact person to whom inquiries may be made.

Experimental Studies Unit
Operations Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5708

B. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

C. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as manufacturer's name and address, model and lot number, dimensional sheets, material composition, instructions for use, and the following information:

1. Current and clearly legible MSDS.

2. Certification that the product is crash worthy to the requirements of NCHRP Report 350 or MASH, and the product has FHWA approval for use on the National Highway System.

3. Certification that the product submitted has the same composition and physical/mechanical properties as the material used in the crash test.

D. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - Submit a sample and a report of tests conducted by an independent laboratory. The physical and mechanical properties of the product must meet the requirements given in Section 5.23.07 of this procedure. Descriptions of the applicable test methods are included in Section 5.23.06 of this procedure.

5.23.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested (if required) for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.23.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be removed immediately from the QPL if any problems develop related to installation or performance.

5.23.05. Requalification

A. A product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the qualification period.

5.23.06. Testing Procedure

A. *Plastic Blockouts*

1. ASTM D 570, Test Method for Water Absorption of Plastics.

2. ASTM D 6108, Test Method for Compressive Properties of Unreinforced and Reinforced Plastic Lumber.

3. ASTM D 6111, Test Method for Bulk Density and Specific Gravity of Plastic Lumber and Shapes by Displacement.

4. ASTM D 6341, Test Method for Determination of the Linear Coefficient of Thermal Expansion of Plastic Lumber and Plastic Lumber Shapes between -30 and 140ºF.

B. *Recycled Rubber/Tire Scrap Blockouts*

1. ASTM D 575, Test Method for Rubber Properties in Compression.

2. ASTM D 395, Test Method for Rubber Properties in Compression Set.

3. ASTM C 531, Test Method for Linear Shrinkage and Coefficient of Thermal Expansion of Chemical-resistant Mortars, Grouts, Monolithic Surfacings, and Polymer Concretes.

4. ASTM D 573, Test Method for Rubber Deterioration in an Air Oven.

5. ASTM C 642, Test Method for Density, Absorption, and Voids in Hardened Concrete.

6. ASTM D 1148, Test Method for Rubber Deterioration - Heat and Ultraviolet Light Discoloration of Light-Colored Surfaces.

5.23.07. Physical and Material Property Requirements

A. **Certified to pass NCHRP Report 350 or MASH crash test, and be recyclable after collision.**

B. UV light resistant. Additives for UV light protection allowed to 0.1 percent.

C. Moisture absorption limited to 1.0 percent.

D. Minimum compressive strength of 450 psi.

E. Specific gravity between 0.9 and 1.2.

F. Thermal coefficient of expansion 33 x 10-6 /ºF or less.

G. Plastics - Material composition consisting of minimum 30 percent recycled polyethylene.

H. Rubber - Material composition consisting of minimum 30 percent recycled rubber tire cord.

I. Guardrail offset blocks must conform to the dimensional tolerances listed in the current MDOT standard plan R-60 series, 'Guardrail, Types A, B, BD, MGS-8, MGS-8D, T, & TD, Wood Offset Blocks for Guardrail, Type B and Type BD, Type MGS-8, Type MGS-8D, Type T and Type TD, For Use on Steel Posts.

J. Provision shall be made to prevent rotation of the GOB on the post (routed, extra bolt hole, etc).

K. The height of the top of the block does not exceed the height of the rail.

L. The dimensions are in reasonable conformance with the dimensions of standard wood blocks, and are such that proper mounting height of the rail, proper alignment of post bolt holes, and proper bearing surface of the block to the rail is achieved. They must be interchangeable with standard wood blocks in a replacement situation.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR RECYCLED RUBBER JOINT FILLER FOR CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION

5.24.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for Recycled Rubber Joint Material for use as joint filler in standard concrete construction. It includes the procedures to be followed by manufacturers or suppliers in order to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

B. MDOT reserves the right to randomly sample product from lots or jobsite as required to verify conformance.

5.24.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation* - The manufacturer will submit a cover letter along with the required information listed in Sections 5.24.02.B and 5.24.02.C to the MDOT address listed below. The cover letter should state the name of the designated company contact person to whom inquiries may be made. Mail to:

Experimental Studies Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909

B. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

C. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as manufacturer's name and address, model and lot number, dimensional sheets, material composition, instructions for use, and the following information:

1. Current and clearly legible MSDS.

2. Certification that the product meets or exceeds all of the performance requirements from ASTM D 1751 except the minimum asphalt content of 35 percent is waived.

D. *Evaluation Based on the Following* - Submit a sample and a report of tests conducted by an independent laboratory. Descriptions of the applicable test methods are included in Section 5.24.06 of this procedure. The physical and mechanical properties of the product must meet the requirements given in Section 5.24.07 of this procedure.

E. *Evaluation Scheduling* - Completed submittals will be evaluated by MDOT throughout the year.

5.24.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested (if required) for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.24.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be removed immediately from the QPL if any problems develop related to installation or performance.

5.24.05. Requalification

A. A product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the qualification period.

5.24.06. Testing Procedures

A. ASTM D 545, Test Method for Preformed Expansion Joint Fillers for Concrete Construction (Nonextruding and Resilient Types)

5.24.07. Physical and Material Property Requirements

A. The material must be compressed to 50 percent of its original thickness with three of its edges restrained and meet the following requirements:

1. The stress required to compress the product must range between 100 psi and 750 psi. If the product has a nominal thickness less than ½ inch, the acceptable compression stress changes to between 100 psi and 1250 psi.

2. The extrusion of the free edge cannot exceed ¼ inch.

3. The loss in weight of the compressed material cannot be more than 3 percent of the original weight.

4. After compression test, the material must recover 70 percent of its original thickness in no more than 10 minutes.

B. Minimum density of 19 lb/cu ft.

C. Maximum water absorption in a 24 hour period is 15 percent volume for a product with a nominal thickness of ½ inch or more and 20 percent volume for product has a nominal thickness less than ½ inch.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR HOT-POURED, EXTRA LOW MODULUS, JOINT AND CRACK SEALANT

5.25.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for Joint and Crack Sealers in Concrete Pavements, Bridges, Other Structures and HMA Rout and Seal applications. Also included is the procedure to be followed by producers in order to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL) for a qualification period of three years.

5.25.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)*- Submit a completed copy of the evaluation form to the MDOT address listed below:

Materials Technology Group
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-4930

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc. of the Joint and Crack Sealer submitted. Descriptions of the test procedures are attached.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* – Submit test results in accordance with ASTM D6690 Type IV and the modifications listed in this Qualification Procedure for the Hot-Poured, Extra Low-Modulus, Joint and Crack Sealer to the MDOT Bituminous Laboratory.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* – Completed Qualification Procedure, including evaluation forms and products submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than January 15 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once a year upon completion of evaluations for all materials submitted by the January 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be made at the discretion of MDOT.

5.25.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The manufacturer will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.25.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance as a result of product materials, manufacturing, or changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.25.05. Requalification

B. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the qualification period.

5.25.06. Testing Procedure

A. Testing will be conducted by MDOT Construction Field Services Testing laboratory. Submit a 3 to 5 lb sample in a “sample release box”.

5.25.07. Physical Requirements for Hot-Poured, Extra Low-Modulus, Joint and Crack Sealer

ASTM D6690 Type IV Modifications	SPEC. REQUIREMENTS
Cone Penetration at 77º F [25º C], ASTM D 5329	100-150 dmm
Cone Penetration at 0º F [-18º C], ASTM D 5329	≥ 25 dmm
Resilience, ASTM D 5329	30% - 60%

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR EPOXY RESIN ADHESIVE

5.26.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for epoxy resin adhesives and the procedures to be followed by producers to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL) for a qualification period of three years.

5.26.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit a copy of the evaluation form (included in the Qualification Procedure packet) to the MDOT address listed below:

Materials Technology Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Email: MDOT-ConcreteEngineer@michigan.gov

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as working time, strength properties, and recommended equipment for the epoxy submitted.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - Submit a completed copy of the Physical Requirements Test Results form to the MDOT Materials Technology Unit for compliance with Subsection 914.06 of the Standard Specifications for Construction. This data can be from the manufacturers or an independent laboratory. Submit one quart of each component in the proportion they are mixed to be evaluated by the Materials Technology Unit.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* - Completed Qualification Procedure packets, including evaluation forms and product submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than January 15 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once a year upon completion of evaluations for all submitted by the January 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be at the discretion of MDOT.

5.26.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested (if required) for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.26.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance as a result of product materials, manufacturing, or plan dimension

changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer. The manufacturer will receive notification including reasons for disqualification.

5.26.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the expiration of the qualification period.

5.26.06. Testing Procedure

A. *Number of Specimens* - The properties of tensile strength and elongation will be determined by representative values obtained from five samples. Viscosity and gel time will be measured once.

B. *Viscosity* - Viscosity shall be tested on a Brookfield Viscometer No. 2 spindle at 10 r.p.m. at standard laboratory temperature 70 ± 3°F (21 ± 2°C). The viscosity shall be 6 poise maximum.

C. *Gel Time* - The gel time shall be tested in accordance with ASTM C 881 except the sample shall be 100 grams tested at standard laboratory temperature 70 ± 3°F (21 ± 2°C). The gel time shall be between 15 and 80 minutes.

D. *Tensile Strength* - The tensile strength shall be tested in accordance with ASTM D 638 after curing for 96 hours. The tensile strength shall be 4000 psi minimum.

E. *Elongation* - The elongation shall be tested in accordance with ASTM D 638 after curing for 96 hours. The elongation shall be 1 percent minimum.

5.26.07. Physical Requirements for Epoxy Resin Adhesive for Grouting Cracks by Pressure Intrusion

To be completed by manufacturers or independent testing laboratory:

	Result	Spec
Viscosity, poises		6 max.
Gel Time, minutes		15-80
Tensile Strength, psi (MPa)		4000 (27.6) min.
Elongation, percent		1 min.

Comments:_____

_____

_____

I hereby certify that the above information submitted is actual physical laboratory test data obtained according to the requirements specified in the Qualification Procedure and Testing Procedure for the product.

Person Responsible For Testing:_____(Signature)

_____(Print Name)

Laboratory Name and Address:_____

_____

_____

Date Tests Were Conducted:_____

Telephone Number:_____

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR EPOXY POWDER COATING FOR DOWEL BARS

5.27.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for epoxy powder coating for dowel bars used in transverse joints in concrete pavements, and the procedure to be followed by producers to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Product List (QPL).

5.27.02. Submittal Procedure

A. Submit the following documents to the MDOT address below.

Materials Technology
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Rd
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, MI, 48909
Email: MDOT-MaterialsInvestigations@michigan.gov

B. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

C. *Product Data Sheets* – Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such a design drawings, manufacturer's name and address, manufacture's trade name, model number, etc.

D. *Test Results* – Submit the test results from an independent testing agency that show the product meets ASTM A1078/1078A for a Type 2 coating and the MDOT requirements specified in Subsection 914.07.A of the Standard Specifications for Construction.

E. *Dowel Samples* – Submit one 1-inch, one 1.25-inch, and one 1.5-inch diameter sample dowels bars for testing.

5.27.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and the samples will be tested for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product any time by conducting its own tests.

5.27.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance due to product materials, manufacturing, or plan dimension changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.27.05. Requalification

A. A product disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR BOND RELEASE AGENTS FOR EPOXY COATED DOWEL BARS

5.28.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for bond release agents for epoxy coated dowel bars for load transfer in concrete pavement joints and the procedure to be followed by producers in order to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL) for a qualification period of three years.

5.28.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit a completed copy of the evaluation form (included in the Qualification Procedure packet) to the MDOT address listed below.

Materials Technology Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Email: MDOT-ConcreteEngineer@michigan.gov

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc. of the bond release agent submitted. Descriptions of the test procedures are attached.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - Submit a completed copy of the Physical Requirements for Bond Release Agents form, Section 5.28.07, to the MDOT Materials Technology Unit for compliance with Subsection 914.07 of the Standard Specifications for Construction. Testing must be conducted by an independent testing agency. Submit two epoxy coated dowel bar specimens with bond release agent applied to the MDOT laboratory.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* - Completed Qualification Procedure packets, including evaluation forms and products submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than January 15 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once a year upon completion of evaluations for all materials submitted by the January 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be made at the discretion of MDOT.

5.28.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested (if required) for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.28.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance as a result of product materials, manufacturing or plan dimension changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.28.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the qualification period.

5.28.06. Testing Procedure

A. *Number of Specimens* - The number of specimens required for independent testing shall be as described in the Michigan Test Method for Bond Release Agents for Epoxy Coated Dowel Bars (MTM 614).

B. *Material and Apparatus Requirements* - Material and apparatus requirements for independent testing of the release agent on coated dowel bars shall be as described in MTM 614. The steel dowel bars used in testing shall be straight, smooth, 1.25-inch diameter, and 18 inches in length meeting the requirements of Subsection 914.07 of the Standard Specifications for Construction. The coating shall be an approved epoxy meeting the requirements of Subsection 914.07.A of the Standard Specification for Construction.

C. *Test Procedure Requirements* - The required test procedures for independent testing shall be as described in MTM 614.

D. *Specification Requirements* - The specification requirements for independent testing described in MTM 614 shall be as specified in Subsection 914.07 of the Standard Specifications for Construction.

E. *Report* - The report of independent testing shall include the information outlined in Section 4 of MTM 614. This information must be reported on the Physical Requirements for Bond Release Agents sheet, Section 5.28.07, included in this Qualification Procedure packet.

5.28.07. Physical Requirements for Bond Release Agents

To be completed by independent testing agency:

Product use: **Bond Release Agent**

Producer: _____

Product Name: _____

Pull-out Resistance Test:

Maximum Shear Bond Stress Achieved _____ 60 psi

Comments: _____

_____

_____

I hereby certify that the above information submitted is actual physical laboratory test data obtained according to the requirements specified in the Qualification Procedure and Testing Procedure for the product.

Person Responsible For Testing: _____ (Signature)

_____(Print Name)

Laboratory Name and Address:_____

_____

_____

Date Tests Were Conducted:_____

Telephone Number:_____

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR PREFORMED WATERPROOFING MEMBRANES FOR VERTICAL AND HORIZONTAL APPLICATIONS

5.29.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for preformed waterproofing membranes. Producers must follow this procedure in order to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

5.29.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation* - Submit completed copies of MDOT Form 1022Q (Qualified Products Evaluation) and the attached Table 1, as required by this procedure, to the MDOT address listed below:

Materials Technology Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Email: MDOT-ConcreteEngineer@michigan.gov

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's typical application, limitations, and other pertinent information such as surface preparation, repairing, priming, use of adhesives on green concrete, and coverage rates.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - Submit a report of tests conducted by an independent laboratory. The physical properties of the product must meet the requirements given in Table 1 of this procedure. Descriptions of the test methods are included in this procedure. Submit a 3 foot x 3 foot sample of the preformed membrane.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* - Completed Qualification Procedure packets, including evaluation forms and product submittal, must be received by MDOT no later than January 15 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once a year upon completion of evaluations for all material submitted by the January 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be at the discretion of MDOT.

5.29.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested (if required) for conformation to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting additional testing on independently obtained samples.

B. MDOT must be notified in writing of any change in the product.

5.29.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance as a result of product material, manufacturing, or plan dimension changes made by either MDOT or the product's manufacturer.

5.29.05. Requalification

A. A product that had been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the accepted evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification.

5.29.06. Testing Procedure

A. *Independent Testing Laboratory* - The following testing must be conducted by an independent testing laboratory.

B. *Thickness* - The thickness of the material shall be run according to ASTM D 1777.

C. *Tensile Strength* - The tensile strength shall be determined as described in ASTM D 882. The specimen size shall be 1 inch x 6 inch. Cross head speed shall be 2 inches/minute with a 4-inch initial jaw separation. Record breaking load in force per unit of width (lb/in).

D. *Elongation* - The maximum elongation of the membrane will also be recorded during Tensile Strength (ASTM D 882) testing, as a percent of original jaw separation.

E. *Puncture* - Puncture resistance shall be measured according to ASTM E 154. Lower the test machine at a rate of 0.2 inches per minute. Continue the test until maximum load is reached. Record results as a maximum load in pound-force (lbf).

F. *Permeance* - Permeance shall be measured according to ASTM E 96 (water method). The results will be measured in perms (1 perm = 57 ng/Pa·s·m^2).

NOTE: "ng" refers to nanograms (1 x 10^{-9} grams)

G. *Pliability* - Material shall be conditioned to -20ºF for 2 hours. Bend through 180 degrees at a uniform speed in approximately 2 seconds over a 1 inch mandrel. Examine specimens for cracks. Any cracks in the specimen will constitute a failure.

H. *Reinforcement* - All membranes must contain a heat resistant woven or non-woven backing.

I. *Peel Adhesion* - Peel Adhesion shall be measured according to ASTM D 903. Record the force in pounds per inch. Membranes must be "peel and stick".

5.29.07. Physical Requirements

To be conducted and completed by an independent testing laboratory.

Table 1: Test Results

Test Method	Test Result	Spec
Thickness, inches ASTM D 1777		0.065 min.
Tensile Strength, pounds/inch ASTM D 882		50 min.
Elongation, % ASTM D 882		30 min.
Puncture, lbf ASTM E 154		200 min.
Water Vapor Transmission, Perm ASTM E 96 (water method)		0.1 max.
Pliability ASTM D 146		No Cracks
Fabric Reinforcement		Yes
Peel Adhesion, pounds/inch ASTM D 903		6 min.

Comments: _____

_____

_____

Material: **PASSES** or **FAILS** (circle one)

I hereby certify that the above information submitted is actual physical laboratory test data obtained according to the requirements specified in this Qualification Procedure.

Person Responsible For Testing: _____(Signature)

_____ (Print Name)

Laboratory Name and Address:_____

_____

_____

Date Tests Were Conducted:_____

Telephone Number:_____

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR BRIDGE COATING SYSTEMS

5.30.01. Scope

A. This document covers the requirements for bridge coating systems and the procedures for coating manufacturers to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL). The qualification period is for five years from the date of acceptance.

5.30.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit a completed copy of the evaluation form (included in the Qualification Procedure packet) to the address listed below.

Experimental Studies Unit
Operations Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5708

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Only products with volatile organic content equal to or less than 3.3 lb/gal will be accepted. Do not submit systems that we have already tested more than once.

1. All products must be from the standard product line of the submitting company, e.g. special products just for Michigan are not allowed.

2. All testing is to be done through the National Transportation Product Evaluation Program (NTPEP) following the guidelines as per AASHTO R 31.

3. All products must be non-detect by EPA Method 1311, Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure (TCLP) for lead and chromium with documentation from an independent laboratory.

4. The coats will have a maximum "dry to top coat" time of 24 hours at 50ºF and at 4 mil dry film thickness above the minimum for each coat.

5. Organic zinc primers must meet the definition for an organic zinc-rich primer as per SSPC-Paint 20, Type II. The primer is to be tinted to contrast with steel blast cleaned to a SSPC-SP10 near white blast. The intermediate coat shall be white and the top coat shall be gray (X6134 Federal Standard 595 Paint Color) ("X" signifies that the gloss is a 1 or 2)..

6. The average adhesion of the coating system is to be greater than or equal to 10.5 (MPA).

7. The average of the Baseline Gloss is to be greater than or equal to 35, the average Gloss Retention in percentage is to be greater than or equal to 40, and the average Delta E (ΔE) after 6 cycles is to be less than or equal to 4.0.

8. Submit certification stating the primer was tested and performed in accordance with "Appendix A, Testing Method to Determine the Slip Coefficient for Coatings Used in Bolted Joints," Specification for Structural Joints Using ASTM A 325 or A 490 Bolts, as adopted by the Research Council on Structural Connections. The testing performed for the certification must have been completed no more than ten years prior to the application of the primer by the contractor.

5.30.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements it will be included on the QPL List for five years from date of acceptance. The manufacturer after five years may apply for a one time only, three year extension by submitting data confirming the products have not been altered. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the favorable results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.30.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to products, materials, or manufacturing. The manufacturer will receive notification including reasons for disqualification.

5.30.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR GRASS SEED VARIETIES

5.31.01. Scope

A. This document covers the requirements for grass seed varieties to be accepted on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL). Seed varieties must be viable for roadside conditions.

5.31.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit a completed copy of the evaluation form to the MDOT address listed below:

Roadside Development Section
Design Division
425 West Ottawa Street
Lansing, Michigan 48909

B. *Product Information* - Include complete product information as listed below:

1. *Product Data Sheets* - Enclose product literature for grass seed varieties describing the use, restrictions, cost, and anticipated benefit to MDOT's transportation system.

2. *Test Reports* - Include test, research and evaluation reports conducted by an independent seed testing entity (such as the National Turfgrass Evaluation Program). Product literature is not sufficient. Copies of actual test reports are required. MDOT may perform testing for informational purposes.

3. *Supporting Evaluation* - Enclose installation references, field performance data and a list of other state DOT's or agencies (contact person, telephone number, email) who have approved your material or product for use.

C. *Evaluation Scheduling* – Submitted information must be received by MDOT after October 15 and no later than March 15 to be included in that year's evaluation. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once a year upon completion of evaluations for all materials submitted by the March 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be at the discretion of MDOT.

5.31.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples may be tested (if required) for conformance to the specified requirements of MDOT and the Michigan Certification of Seed Law, Act No, 221 and the Michigan Seed Law Act No, 329. Once the product meets all the requirements of this procedure it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified with evaluation results. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.31.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to performance. The manufacturer will be notified with an explanation for disqualification.

5.31.05. Requalification

A. A disqualified product will be removed from the QPL and considered for re-evaluation only after submitting a written request with evidence that the disqualification problems are corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product.

5.31.06. Testing Procedure

A. The testing procedure is conducted by MDOT or an independent testing agency, who verifies that MDOT's requirements are met.

B. Grass seed varieties must conform to the Michigan Crop Improvement Association's certifying criteria.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR MULCH BLANKETS

5.32.01. Scope

A. This document covers the requirements for straw and/or excelsior mulch blankets to be placed on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL). The qualified product list for mulch blankets includes those referenced in 917.14B as described in the Standard Specifications for Construction.

5.32.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit a completed copy of the form to the MDOT address listed below:

Roadside Development Section
Design Division
425 West Ottawa Street
Lansing, Michigan 48909

B. *Product Information* - Include product information as listed below:

1. *Product Data Sheets* - Enclose product literature for mulch blankets describing the use, restrictions, cost, and anticipated benefit to MDOT's transportation system.

2. *Test Reports* - Include test reports conducted by an independent testing laboratory indicating that the material meets all applicable national standards or specifications, such as ASTM or AASHTO. Product literature is not sufficient. Copies of actual test reports are required. MDOT may perform in-house testing for informational purposes.

3. *Supporting Evaluation* - Include a list of other state DOT's or agencies (contact person, telephone number) who have approved your material or product for use.

4. *Sample Specification* - Enclose a sample specification for product usage (not proprietary).

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - The product will be evaluated in one of two ways:

1. If a company has a new mulch blanket that is nearly identical to materials on the list, its field performance must be equal to or better than similar materials.

2. If a company has a new material that is different, yet presented as performing the same as other prequalified materials, the company's representative will provide the material to be field tested at no cost to MDOT on an MDOT construction project so that its field installation and performance can be observed.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* – Submitted information must be received by MDOT after September 15 and no later than March 15 to be included in that year's evaluation and field performance testing. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once per year upon completion

of evaluations for all materials submitted by the March 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be allowed at MDOT's discretion.

5.32.03. Evaluation

A. Submitted information and samples will be reviewed and tested to ensure conformance to the specified requirements. The submitter will be notified, in writing, on the method for product evaluation (Section 5.31.02.C.1 or 5.31.02.C.2) prior to proceeding with the field testing. Once the product meets all the requirements of this procedure, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.32.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance because of products materials, manufacturing, or plan dimension changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer. The manufacturer will be notified with an explanation for disqualification.

5.32.05. Requalification

A. A product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product.

5.32.06. Testing Procedure

A. This product is tested based upon its effectiveness and efficiency in its field performance. The area to be field tested will be as directed by the Engineer.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR MULCH BINDERS (TACKIFIERS)

5.33.01. Scope

A. This document covers the requirements for mulch binder (tackifiers) acceptance to the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

B. This qualification procedure will be used for the following types of mulch binders (tackifiers):

- latex base
- wood fiber
- recycled newsprint
- guar gum

C. Other types of mulch binders (tackifiers) will be evaluated following this procedure when requested.

5.33.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit a completed copy of the form to the MDOT address listed below:

Roadside Development Section
Design Division
425 West Ottawa Street
Lansing, Michigan 48909

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the use of mulch binder (tackifiers) and essential pertinent information.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - The product is evaluated in one of two ways:

1. If a company has a new mulch binder that is nearly identical to materials on the list, its field performance must be equal to or better than similar materials.

2. If a company has a new material that is different, yet is presented as performing the same as other prequalified materials, the company's representative will provide the material to be field tested at no cost to MDOT on an MDOT Construction Project so that its field installation and performance can be observed.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* – Submitted information must be received by MDOT after September 15 and no later than March 15 to be included in that year's evaluation and field performance testing. Addition of new products to the QPL will be made only once per year upon completion of evaluations for all materials submitted by the March 15 deadline. Subsequent modifications (for purposes other than the addition of new products) will be at the MDOT's discretion.

5.33.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.33.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance because of products materials, manufacturing, or plan dimension changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer. The manufacturer will be notified with an explanation for disqualification.

5.33.05. Requalification

A. A disqualified product will be removed from the QPL and considered for re-evaluation only after submitting a written request with evidence that the disqualification problems are corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product.

5.33.06. Testing Procedure

A. This product is qualified for use based upon its effectiveness and efficiency in its field performance.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR LIGHT WEIGHT COMPOSITE HANDHOLE

5.34.01. Scope

A. This document covers the procedure manufacturers must follow to have a light weight composite handhold approved for use on the MDOT projects.

5.34.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Submit a copy of product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as design drawings, manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc., to the MDOT address listed below:

Experimental Studies Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5708

C. *Report of Tests* - Provide independent laboratory test report(s) stating that the structural capacity of the pull box and cover is rated as follows:

1. Handhole covers shall have a minimum static coefficient of friction of 0.5.

2. Handhole covers shall withstand a vertical test load of 20,800 lb distributed over a 10 inch x 10 inch area.

3. Handhole boxes shall withstand a vertical test load of 20,800 lb distributed over a 5 inch x 10 inch area.

4. Handhole boxes shall withstand a lateral sidewall test load of 1,200 psf distributed over an area 24 inches wide by the depth of the box.

5. Handhole boxes and covers shall be designed and suitable for installation and use through a temperature range of -45.6°C (-50°F) to +90°C (194°F)

Structural capacity shall be tested in accordance with TIER 15 of the current *ANSI/SCTE 77 Specification for Underground Enclosure Integrity*. Permanent deflection cannot exceed 10% of maximum deflection listed in the standard. The ultimate load and mode of failure shall be included in the final report.

D. *Material Requirements* - The composite handhold materials, dimensions, and markings must conform to section 918.06.D of the 2020 MDOT *Standard Specifications for Construction*.

E. *Sample Submittal* – MDOT – reserves the right to request a sample if further analysis is required.

5.34.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples may be requested to test for compliance with the specified requirements. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.34.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately disqualified from MDOT use should any problem develop related to installation or performance of the product. A product may also be removed due to specification changes made by either MDOT or the product manufacturer.

5.34.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR FRANGIBLE LIGHT STANDARD TRANSFORMER BASE ASSEMBLY

5.35.01. Scope

A. This document covers the qualification procedures for Frangible Light Standard Transformer Base Assembly for placing luminaries in the roadway clear zone. It includes the procedures to be followed by manufacturers or suppliers in order to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

B. MDOT reserves the right to randomly sample product from lots or jobsite as required to verify conformance.

5.35.02. Submittal Procedure

A. Submit a cover letter and a frangible light standard transformer base along with the required information listed in Section 5.35.02.B for each product to the MDOT address listed below. The cover letter should state the name of the designated company contact person to whom inquiries may be made. Mail to:

Experimental Studies Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5708

B. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

C. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as manufacturer's name and address, model and lot number, dimensional sheets, hardware, material composition, and the following information:

1. Certification that the product is crash worthy to the requirements of NCHRP Report 350 Test Level 3, and meets the additional requirements of the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) *"Standard Specifications for Structural Supports for Highway Signs, Luminaires, and Traffic Signals"* Section 12, Breakaway Supports.

2. Submit a copy of the FHWA approval letter for the product use on the National Highway System. Provide certification that the product submitted has the same chemistry, mechanical properties, and geometry as the FHWA approved product.

3. Submit a copy of the test report by an independent facility of the dynamic performance (crash) test outlined in the standards in 5.35.02.B.1 above.

4. Provide clear instructions for installation, including base bolt size, anchor bolt size, washer configuration and material, distance base tabs project beyond the nut for the

specified bolt circle, and nut tightening procedures. Lock washers must be included with the base bolts and anchor bolts.

5. Provide information on the design strength of the frangible light standard transformer base, including maximum pole mounting height and weight, and ability to carry the loads as specified in AASHTO *"Standard Specifications for Structural Supports for Highway Signs, Luminaires, and Traffic Signals"* Section 3, Loads.

5.35.03. Evaluation

A. **Certified to meet NCHRP Report 350 and AASHTO dynamic performance criteria.**

B. Frangible light standard transformer bases must conform to the dimensional tolerances given in the FHWA approved drawing submitted in Section 5.35.02.B. The base tabs must project a minimum of ½ the anchor bolt diameter beyond the nut for the specified bolt circle. Base tabs must be able to withstand snug tightening with a lock washer and a 1:20 beveled washer in place without damage.

C. Completed submittals will be evaluated by MDOT throughout the year. The submitted information will be reviewed for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.35.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be removed immediately from the QPL if any problems develop related to installation or performance. The submitter will be notified in writing of the effective date of product removal.

5.35.05. Requalification

A. A product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the qualification period.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR RETROREFLECTIVE SHEETING/PERMANENT SIGNING

5.36.01. Scope

A. This document covers requirements for retroreflective sheeting used in permanent signing and the procedure manufacturers must follow to have their products included on the MDOT Qualified Products List (QPL).

5.36.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information required to the MDOT address listed below.

MDOT Traffic Signing Engineer
Design Division
425 West Ottawa Street
P.O. Box 30050
Lansing, MI 48909
Telephone: 517-335-2624

B. Upon the approval of new retroreflective sheeting, the following information is needed in order to add the product to the QPL. This information is to be supplied along with the Traffic Signing Unit Supervisor's approval letter of the new retroreflective sheeting.

- Company name
- Company address
- Company point of contact
- Phone number for contact
- MDOT name of material
- MDOT Specification Number
- Manufacturer specific product name
- Any applicable notes the Traffic Signing Unit Supervisor would like added to the list

Retroreflective Sign Sheeting Material Guidelines

Sign Category	Material Type
Yellow Warning Signs [W series (non school related), E13-1, E13-2, E11-1, OM-1, OM-2, OM-3]	ASTM Type IX Fluorescent Yellow
School Signs (S1-1, S4-3, S4-5, S4-5a, school portion of S5-1, W16-7p, W16-9p, W16-2, W16-2a)	ASTM Type IX Fluorescent Yellow Green

Sign Category	Material Type
Freeway Guide Signs White legends; borders; arrows; and white portion of route markers, shields, and auxiliaries Background	ASTM Type IX White ASTM Type IV Green, Brown, or Blue
Non Freeway Guide Signs	ASTM Type IV
Regulatory Signs	ASTM Type IV
Route Markers	ASTM Type IX

5.36.03. Evaluation Procedure

A. The Michigan Department of Transportation specification requirements for permanent signing are shown in the "Retroreflective Sign Sheeting Material Guidelines" table in this procedure.

B. MDOT requires that all materials be tested by the National Transportation Product Evaluation Program (NTPEP) and meet the criteria listed below prior to any consideration being given regarding sign fabrication and field testing. NTPEP testing is a requirement for all new suppliers or for suppliers attempting to requalify a product that was removed from the QPL. There will be no exceptions. All sheeting and ink colors used for permanent signing must be tested (typically white, yellow, red, green, blue, and brown). The NTPEP test deck samples from Minnesota will be the basis for the outdoor weathering review.

1. All sheeting samples must pass ASTM D 4956 and federal specification L-S-300C physical test requirements with a rating of "no effect."

2. After two years of weathering at 45 degrees, all sheeting samples must pass the visual panel comparison of the shrinkage, cracking, and blistering with a rating of "none evident" and must pass the color fastness test with a rating not less than "good."

3. After two years of weathering at 45 degrees, all sheeting samples will be reviewed for loss of reflectivity and color change by comparing data with the initial coefficient of reflection and initial chromaticity color coordinates. Pass/fail determinations will be made on an individual basis, although samples must retain a minimum 90 percent reflectivity based on a control sample. Samples with chromaticity color coordinate changes will be determined a failure if the color fastness test is a rating less than "good."

5.36.04. Fabrication Requirements

A. The manufacturer must comply with steps 1, 2, and 3 listed below prior to sample submittal.

1. Manufacturers must provide documentation from an independent agency that shows successful fabrication and field performance of their sheeting.

2. Manufacturers must provide documentation that details performance life of sheeting (minimum of 80 percent reflectivity maintained at ten years).

3. All fabrication testing will be done by MDOT Design Division Central Sign Shop. The manufacturer shall provide MDOT with the sheeting, ink, and any necessary substrates. The inks shall not require clear coating. All sheeting and ink shall be manufactured by the company providing the materials for testing. All materials will remain the property of MDOT.

B. The manufacturer will supply MDOT with the following size sheeting samples: 1 –12.75 inch x 50 yard roll and 1 – 36 inch x 25 yard roll of green sheeting; 1 – 48 inch x 25 yard roll of yellow sheeting; 1 – 24 inch x 50 yard roll of white sheeting; 1 – 24 inch x 50 yard roll of black vinyl; 1 – 24 inch x 25 yard roll of blue translucent film; 1 – 2 inch x 50 yard roll of white sheeting; 1 – 48 inch x 50 yard roll of slip sheeting; 1 gallon of black ink and 1 gallon of blue ink.

C. Testing will be done on standard MDOT signing substrates: .080 aluminum, aluminum extrusion, and plywood.

D. The MDOT Design Division Central Sign Shop will report any significant fabrication problems to the Traffic Signing Unit, Design Division and a determination will be made whether to proceed with the field test.

5.36.05. Field Test Requirements

A. Field tests are necessary for initial placement on the QPL and for reinstatement of a product that has been removed from the QPL. Field Test Parameters are:

1. The MDOT shall choose the location of the test site.

2. The signs shall be fabricated by MDOT personnel in the presence of the manufacturer and shall be identified as experimental with a tag on the back of the sign. Sign substrates will consist of .080 aluminum, aluminum extrusion, and plywood.

3. Signs will be reviewed by MDOT personnel. All reviews shall be documented with date, sign number, condition of sign, and any other pertinent data. Problems that will result in rejection of the product include, but are not limited to: wrinkling, topcoat splitting, peeling, loss of reflectivity, and color change.

4. The manufacturer will be notified in writing concerning the results of the field study. From the time of sign installation, two years may be taken by MDOT to conclude the field evaluation. Completion of a successful field test indicates that the product will be approved for one year. At the end of the one-year period, continued approval will be based on successful fabrication and field performance in Michigan.

5.36.06. Evaluation Scheduling

A. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or to modify acceptance criteria for retroreflective sheeting at any time.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR FLEXIBLE PLASTIC DELINEATOR POSTS

5.37.01. Scope

A. This document covers the requirements for ground and surface mount flexible delineator posts to be placed on the MDOT's Qualified Product List (QPL).

5.37.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

B. The Manufacturer must provide a report from the National Transportation Product Evaluation Program (NTPEP) for the submitted product. The product must have gone through summer and winter impact testing. If testing was not completed within the last three years (from date of the product evaluation request submittal), the Manufacturer must provide written certification that no design or material changes have been made to the product since the testing.

C. For an initial review, send the results from NTPEP, product data sheets, completed form #1022Q, and other pertinent information, to:

MDOT Delineation Engineer
Traffic Operations Division
425 West Ottawa Street
P.O. Box 30050
Lansing, Michigan 48909

5.37.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted NTPEP report will be reviewed by MDOT's Delineation Engineer. The survival rate and condition after summer and winter impact testing are the primary factor in approving products. If the NTPEP results are satisfactory, the product will be added to the QPL. The manufacturer will be notified in writing of the results of the evaluation.

MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time.

5.37.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance of the product. The Manufacturer will receive written notification including reasons for disqualification.

5.37.05. Requalification

A. A product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request from the Manufacturer, along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR WET REFLECTIVE OPTICS

5.38.01. Scope

A. This document covers the requirements for wet reflective optics to be placed on MDOT's Qualified Product List (QPL).

5.38.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

B. The Manufacturer must provide test results from ASTM testing for the submitted product. The product must have gone through dry (ASTM E1710), wet recovery (ASTM E2177) and wet continuous (ASTM E2832) testing. If testing was not completed within the last three years (from date of the product evaluation request submittal), the Manufacturer must provide written certification that no design or material changes have been made to the product since the testing.

C. For an initial review, send the results from ASTM testing, product data sheets, completed form #1022Q, and other pertinent information, to:

MDOT Pavement Marking Engineer
Traffic & Safety Section (B220)
425 West Ottawa Street
P.O. Box 30050
Lansing, Michigan 48909

5.38.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted ASTM testing information will be reviewed by MDOT's Pavement Marking Engineer. The initial retroreflectivity values under the three test conditions are the primary factor in approving products and must meet the minimum values in the table below. If the ASTM testing results are satisfactory, the product will be added to the QPL. The Manufacturer will be notified in writing of the results of the evaluation.

Minimum Average Initial Retroreflectivity at 30-meter geometry in mcd/lux/m^2		
Test Method	Color	
	White	Yellow
Dry (ASTM E1710)	700	500
Wet Recovery (ASTM E2177)	250	200
Wet Continuous (ASTM E2832)	100	75

MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time.

5.38.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should any problems develop related to installation or performance of the product. The Manufacturer will receive written notification including reasons for disqualification.

B. If the composition or manufacture of a product is changed at any point, it is the responsibility of the Manufacturer to immediately inform MDOT to verify whether the material will need re-evaluation. Failure to do so may result in removal from the QPL.

5.38.05. Requalification

A. A product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request from the Manufacturer, along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR STEEL CLAMPS FOR TRAFFIC SIGNAL STRAIN POLES

5.39.01 Scope

A. This document covers the procedures to be followed by manufacturers or suppliers in order to have their products included on MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL). It includes the physical requirements for steel clamps for use as traffic signal strain pole span wire installations.

B. MDOT reserves the right to randomly sample product from lots or jobsite as required to verify conformance. To remain on the QPL, samples of each size manufactured must be submitted on an annual basis, and when the manufacturing process changes.

5.39.02 Submittal Procedure

A. Submit a cover letter and a along with samples and the following information to the MDOT address listed below at the time of application for addition to the Qualified Products List and every January thereafter. The cover letter should state the name of the designated company contact person to whom inquiries may be made.

Experimental Studies Group
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Mi 48909
Telephone: (517) 636-5708

B. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information.

C. Product Data Sheets -Include product literature containing pertinent information such as manufacturer's name and address, model and lot number, material composition, instructions for use, and the following information:

1. Mill certificate from raw material supplier that the steel meets the requirements of ASTM A36.

2. Mill certificate from the bolt supplier that the bolts meet the requirements of ASTM A449.

3. Certification that the product meets or exceeds all of the requirements listed in the current version of the Michigan Department of Transportation Special Detail SIG-010A *"Span Wire T.S. on Steel or Wood Poles."*

4. Shop drawings of all size clamps submitted for QPL approval, showing steel clamp cross-section, including pertinent dimensions, location and meaning of lot identification numbers, and bend radii.

D. Evaluation based on the following – For each clamp size manufactured, submit a sample, including all mounting hardware, and a mill certification test report. A listing of the

applicable test method(s) is included in Section 6 of this procedure. The physical and mechanical properties the product must meet are given in Section 7 of this procedure.

E. Evaluation Scheduling -Completed submittals will be evaluated by MDOT throughout the year.

5.39.03 Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested (if required) for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

B. MDOT must be notified in writing of any change in the product design or manufacture. Changes to the product require re-evaluation of the product.

5.39.04 Disqualification

A. A product may be removed immediately from the QPL if any problems develop related to installation or performance.

5.39.05 Requalification

A. A product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the qualification period.

5.39.06 Testing Procedures

A. ASTM E1417 – Standard Practice for Liquid Penetrant Testing

B. ASTM F606 – Standard Test Methods for Determining the Mechanical Properties of Externally and Internally Threaded Fasteners, Washers, Direct Tension Indicators, and Rivets

5.39.07 Physical and Material Property Requirements

A. The steel must be in conformance to ASTM A36 as determined by the submittal of the mill certification.

B. The minimum bend radius must be equal to or greater than 1.5 times the thickness (1.5t).

C. The steel clamp segments must exhibit no cracking, as indicated by the liquid dye penetrant test, after subjected to a tensile force of 4,000 lb. applied to the clevis of the mounted assembly at a 5 degree angle.

D. The supplied bolts must pass the wedge tension test in ASTM F606, Section 3.5, with a minimum load of 19,200 lb.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR TEMPORARY PAVEMENT MARKING MATERIALS

5.40.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for temporary pavement marking materials to be followed by manufacturers in order to have their product included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

5.40.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *MDOT Contacts* - The following personnel may be contacted if questions arise regarding submittal and/or evaluation of this product:

Work Zone Management Unit
Construction Field Services
8885 Ricks Road
Lansing, Michigan 48909

B. *Deliverables* –

1. *Qualified Products Evaluation Request Form (Form #1022Q)* – Submit a completed copy of the form with the product information as detailed below. Identify whether product will be evaluated using the National Transportation Evaluation Program (NTPEP) Evaluation method or the Pilot Project Evaluation method, per Section 5.40.03. For both evaluation methods, include known opportunities to partner with contractors on applicable MDOT Construction projects.

2. If submitting for the NTPEP Evaluation method, provide NTPEP field inspection information, field installation information, product information, test data, installation images, and other supporting documentation from NTPEP testing that verifies the requirements stated in 5.40.03.B. Ensure that NTPEP testing was performed in a state with temperature and weather typical to Michigan.

3. If submitting for Pilot Project Evaluation method, provide certification and supporting documentation that the product meets applicable requirements stated in 5.40.03.B.

5.40.03. Evaluation

A. Products will be evaluated for inclusion on the Qualified Products List utilizing one of two methods – National Transportation Product Evaluation Program (NTPEP) Evaluation or Pilot Project Evaluation. Both Evaluation methods will be required to meet requirements as shown in 5.40.03.B and the evaluation period will be a minimum of one construction season.

1. *NTPEP Evaluation* -- The manufacturer must provide reporting data from the Pavement Marking Material category of the National Transportation Product Evaluation Program (NTPEP) showing evaluation on a NTPEP test deck for the submitted product(s). NTPEP testing must include testing in northern states with similar temperature and climate to Michigan, at the discretion of the Department.

Materials intended for inclusion on the QPL must be in full conformance with materials evaluated by NTPEP. Conformance is at the discretion of the Department. Once the product is evaluated by NTPEP and data is reviewed and found to be suitable by MDOT, it may be placed on one or more MDOT construction projects.

2. *Pilot Project Evaluation* - Project inclusion will be at the discretion of the Department. Products evaluated using this method will be field observed by MDOT, and opportunities to utilize this evaluation method may be resource limited. Pilot Project Evaluation will consist of the material in question being added to one or more MDOT Construction project(s) by Special Provision. The Special Provision will detail the product name and applicable requirements based on product type, as shown below:

   a. *Temporary Pavement Markings:* Product requirements must conform, at a minimum, to those detailed in 5.40.03.B. Verification of these physical properties at intervals after application as determined by the Department may be required. The Department may require testing by third party firm experienced in and knowledgeable of America Society of Test Methods (ASTM) standard test methods necessary to evaluate pavement marking materials.

   b. *Temporary Raised Markings:* Temporary Raised Pavement Markings will be deemed acceptable if over 80% of the markers have both the front and back reflective strip intact, and have no more than 2% of markers separated from the roadway after four weeks of continuous testing.

B. Product Requirements

1. Products submitted for inclusion to the QPL must meet or exceed all applicable specifications set forth in the Standard Specifications for Construction section 922.06, Temporary Pavement Markings. For projects in place more than 5 months, a reduction of greater than 25% from minimum retroreflectivity will not be allowed.

2. *Removable Tape*--State specific requirements are summarized in Table 2. Ratings as shown are for evaluation of 25’ long sections of removable tape. Internal Tape Strength, Adhesive Bond Rating and Discernability will be evaluated based on the rating scales and instructions set forth by NTPEP Committee Work Plan for Field Evaluations of Pavement Marking Materials and shown below in Tables 3, 4 and 5, respectively.

Table 2 – Michigan Requirements for Removable Tape

	Internal Tape Strength	Adhesive Bond	Discernability at removal	Discernability 30 days post removal
Initial Readings	=1	≤6	≤9	≤5
5 months post-installation readings	≤3	≤6	≤8	≤5

Table 3 – Internal Tape Strength Ratings

Internal Tape Strength Rating	
1	Tape removed intact, in one piece
3	Tape removed in three to four pieces
5	Tape removed in five pieces
7	Tape removed in seven pieces
10	Tape removed in very small fragments

Table 4 – Adhesive Bond Ratings

Adhesive Bond Rating	
1	Tape removed easy (potentially by one hand)
3	Tape removed with moderate, two-handed effort
5	Tape removed with significant, two-handed effort, requiring multiple pulls
9	Tape removed only by exhausting, two-handed effort
10	Tape could not be removed from the surface

Table 5 – Discernability After Removal Ratings

Discernability After Removal Rating	
1	No discernable marking on road surface
5	50% of adhesive outline left on road surface
10	100% of adhesive outline left on road surface

5.40.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be immediately removed from the QPL should the material exhibit undesirable performance. The manufacturer will receive notification including reasons for disqualification.

B. The manufacturer is notified of any out-of-specification results and continued failures are grounds for removal from the QPL. Products may also be removed from the approved list due to field performance problems.

5.40.05. Requalification

A. A product which has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with the acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. MDOT may require the product be re-evaluated on a pilot project.

B. A product which has been improved, renamed, or otherwise altered must requalify with MDOT. Manufacturers seeking requalification must submit form 1022Q as above indicating the product identified on the QPL and the material changes that have been made. MDOT will determine if the changes affect the product in such a nature that it requires further evaluation.

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR NON-SHRINKING MORTAR AND GROUT, PREMIXED, TYPE H-1 (NON-METALLIC)

5.41.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for non-shrinking mortars and grouts and the procedure to be followed by producers in order to have their products included on MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL) for a qualification period of three years.

5.41.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)*- Submit a completed copy of the evaluation form (included in the Qualification Procedure packet) to the MDOT address listed below. Portions of the physical requirements report sheet that may require test data to be furnished by the submitter must be completed in full.

Materials Technology Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Email: MDOT-ConcreteEngineer@michigan.gov

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and other pertinent information such as design drawings, manufacturer's name and address, manufacturer's trade name, model number, etc. of the non-shrinking mortar or grout submitted.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following Standards* - Include a report of tests conducted by an independent laboratory. The physical properties of the product must be filled out and meet the requirements given in Section 5.40.07, Physical Requirements. Descriptions of the test procedures are attached.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* - Completed submittals will be evaluated by MDOT throughout the year.

5.41.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information will be reviewed and samples will be tested (if required) for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements, it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the results of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test information or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

5.41.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be removed immediately from the QPL if any problems develop related to installation or performance.

5.41.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected. The requirements for qualification, as specified in this document, also apply for requalification of the product at the qualification period.

5.41.06. Testing Procedure

A. Preliminary Information and Preparation for Specimens

1. *Preparation of Specimens* - The specimens and procedures will follow ASTM C 1107.

B. Required Independent Laboratory Testing

1. *Consistency* - The consistency of the material will be testing in accordance with ASTM C 109 and ASTM C 939 as applicable.

2. *Yield* - The yield for the material will be tested in accordance with ASTM C 138.

3. *Compressive Strength* - The compressive strength of the material will be tested in accordance with ASTM C 109 and modified as indicated in Section 11.5.1-11.5.3 of ASTM C 1107.

4. *Early Age Height Change* - Determine the early-age height change of grout in accordance with the applicable portions of Test Method C 827.

5. *Height Change of Hardened Grout* - Determine height change of hardened grout at 1, 3, 14 and 28 days in accordance with Test Method C 1090 and report.

5.41.07. Physical Requirements

A. To be completed by independent testing laboratory:

1. *Required Independent Laboratory Testing Data:*

a. Fluid (freshly mixed grout at 45°F)

Temperature as tested: _____ °F (°C) Water Added

Consistency (10-30 seconds by flow cone required) as tested: _____ seconds
Yield as tested: _____ cubic foot

Compressive Strengths:

Time	Required Strength	Actual Strength
3 Days	2500 psi (17.2 MPa)	
7 Days	3500 psi (24.1 MPa)	
28 Days	5000 psi (34.5MPa)	

Early Age Height Change: _____ % (ASTM C 1107)

Height Change of Moist Cured Hardened Grout: (ASTM C 1107)

Actual % Change 1 Day	Actual % Change 3 Days	Actual % Change 14 Days	Actual % Change 28 Days
%	%	%	%

b. Fluid (freshly mixed grout at 90°F)

Temperature as tested: _____ °F (°C) Water Added

Consistency (10-30 seconds by flow cone required) as tested: _____ seconds

Yield as tested: _____ cubic foot

Compressive Strengths:

Time	Required Strength	Actual Strength
1 Day	1000 psi (6.9 MPa)	
3 Days	2500 psi (17.2 MPa)	
7 Days	3500 psi (24.1 MPa)	
28 Days	5000 psi (34.5 MPa)	

Early Age Height Change: _____ % (ASTM C 1107)

Height Change of Moist Cured Hardened Grout: (ASTM C 1107)

Actual % Change 1 Day	Actual % Change 3 Days	Actual % Change 14 Days	Actual % Change 28 Days
%	%	%	%

c. Fluid (retained in mixer grout at 45°F)

Temperature as tested: _____ °F (°C) Water Added

Age as tested: _____ minutes

Consistency (10-30 seconds by flow cone required) as tested: _____ seconds

Yield as tested: _____ cubic foot

Compressive Strengths:

Time	Required Strength	Actual Strength
3 Days	2500 psi (17.2 MPa)	
7 Days	3500 psi (24.1 MPa)	
28 Days	5000 psi (34.5 MPa)	

Early Age Height Change: _____ % (ASTM C 1107)

Height Change of Moist Cured Hardened Grout: (ASTM C 1107)

Actual % Change 1 Day	Actual % Change 3 Days	Actual % Change 14 Days	Actual % Change 28 Days
%	%	%	%

d. Fluid (retained in mixer grout at 90°F)

Temperature as tested: _____ °F (°C) Water Added

Age as tested: _____ minutes

Consistency (10-30 seconds by flow cone required) as tested: seconds

Yield as tested: _____ cubic foot

Compressive Strengths:

Time	Required Strength	Actual Strength
1 Day	1000 psi (6.9 MPa)	
3 Days	2500 psi (17.2 MPa)	
7 Days	3500 psi (24.1 MPa)	
28 Days	5000 psi (34.5 MPa)	

Early Age Height Change: _____ % (ASTM C1107)

Height Change of Moist Cured Hardened Grout: (ASTM C1107)

Actual % Change 1 Day	Actual % Change 3 Days	Actual % Change 14 Days	Actual % Change 28 Days
%	%	%	%

e. Flowable at 73.4 ± 5°F (23 ± 2.8°C)

Temperature as tested: _____ °F (°C) Water Added

Consistency (125-145 by 5 drops/3 seconds flow table) as tested: _____ seconds

Yield as tested: _____ cubic foot

Compressive Strengths:

Time	Required Strength	Actual Strength
1 Day	1000 psi (6.9 MPa)	
3 Days	2500 psi (17.2 MPa)	
7 Days	3500 psi (24.1 MPa)	
28 Days	5000 psi (34.5 MPa)	

Early Age Height Change: _____ % (ASTM C 1107)

Height Change of Moist Cured Hardened Grout: (ASTM C 1107)

Actual % Change 1 Day	Actual % Change 3 Days	Actual % Change 14 Days	Actual % Change 28 Days
%	%	%	%

f. Plastic at 73.4 ± 5°F (23 ± 2.8°C)

Temperature as tested:_____ °F (°C) Water Added

Consistency (100-125 by 5 drops/3 seconds flow table) as tested: _____ seconds

Yield as tested: _____ cubic foot

Compressive Strengths:

Time	Required Strength	Actual Strength
1 Day	1000 psi (6.9 MPa)	
3 Days	2500 psi (17.2 MPa)	
7 Days	3500 psi (24.1 MPa)	
28 Days	5000 psi (34.5 MPa)	

Early Age Height Change: _____ % (ASTM C 1107)

Height Change of Moist Cured Hardened Grout: (ASTM C 1107)

Actual % Change 1 Day	Actual % Change 3 Days	Actual % Change 14 Days	Actual % Change 28 Days
%	%	%	%

I hereby certify that the above information submitted is actual physical laboratory test data obtained according to the requirements specified in the Qualification Procedure and Testing Procedure for the product.

Person Responsible For Testing: _____ (Signature)

_____ (Print Name)

Laboratory Name and Address: _____

_____

_____

Date Tests Were Conducted: _____

Telephone Number: _____

QUALIFICATION PROCEDURE FOR PREPACKAGED HYDRAULIC FAST-SET MATERIALS FOR PATCHING STRUCTURAL CONCRETE

5.42.01. Scope

A. This document covers the physical requirements for prepackaged hydraulic mortars for use in structural concrete repairs and the procedure to be followed by producers in order to have their products included on the MDOT's Qualified Products List (QPL).

5.42.02. Submittal Procedure

A. *Qualified Products Evaluation Form (Form #1022Q)* - Submit a completed copy of the form and Table 1, as required by this procedure to the MDOT address listed below:

Materials Technology Unit
Construction Field Services Division
8885 Ricks Road
P.O. Box 30049
Lansing, Michigan 48909
Email: MDOT-ConcreteEngineer@michigan.gov

B. *Product Data Sheets* - Include product literature describing the product's use and the following information:

1. Substrate preparation
2. Bonding slurry requirements
3. Mixing and working times
4. Allowable temperature range for placement
5. Type of mixer recommended
6. Component ratios of mixed ingredients
7. Amount of coarse aggregate extension for deep patches and any incompatibility with aggregate types
8. Type and duration of curing required
9. Use of admixtures not included in the product.

C. *Evaluation Based on the Following* - Submit a report of tests conducted by an independent laboratory. The physical properties of the product must meet the requirements given in Table 1 of this procedure. Descriptions of the test methods are included in this procedure.

D. *Evaluation Scheduling* - MDOT will be allowed 40 days to review and verify the submittal.

E. *Sample Submittal* - Submit 50 pounds of prepackaged hydraulic fast-set mortar for testing to the address listed in 5.41.02.A.

5.42.03. Evaluation

A. The submitted information and test data will be reviewed for conformance to the specified requirements. If the product meets the requirements it will be included on the QPL. The submitter will be notified in writing concerning the result of the evaluation. MDOT reserves the right to verify submitted test results or re-evaluate a product at any time by conducting its own tests.

B. MDOT must be notified in writing of any change in the product formulation. Formulation changes require re-evaluation of the product.

5.42.04. Disqualification

A. A product may be removed from the QPL if any problem develops during mixing, casting, or with performance.

5.42.05. Requalification

A. A product that has been disqualified and removed from the QPL will be considered for re-evaluation only after submittal of a written request along with acceptable evidence that the problems causing the disqualification have been corrected.

5.42.06. Testing Procedure

A. The following testing must be conducted by an independent testing laboratory.

B. *Extension with Coarse Aggregate* - All specimens will be cast from a uniform mix design, extended with a natural, clean, surface dry pea stone coarse aggregate of the size and gradation recommended by the manufacturer at the maximum rate recommended by the producer. However, the coarse aggregate extension shall not exceed 60 percent of the combined weights of the cementitious material plus the fine aggregate. The extension rate at which the aggregate is tested for qualification will be included on the Qualified Product List as the maximum aggregate extension. The recommended mix design must produce a material that is basically self-consolidating and self-leveling.

C. *Number of Specimens* - The physical properties at each specimen age will be the average of a minimum of three specimens.

D. *Curing of Specimens* - All specimens will be cured as recommended by the producer during the initial 24 hours. Subsequent curing will be air curing at laboratory temperature and humidity. Specimens will be cured in this manner until testing.

E. *Compressive Strength* - The compressive strength of the material will be determined by using 4 inch x 8 inch cylinders according to ASTM C 39.

F. *Slant Shear-Bond Strength* - Test material for bond strength according to ASTM C 882 and as modified below.

1. Prepare 3 inch or 4 inch diameter dummy sections using 517 pounds of cement per yd^3 of concrete. Saw cut cylinders at 30° to result in a dummy section meeting the dimensional requirements given in Figure 1 of ASTM C 882. The 4 inch diameter dummy sections will be 4/3 times the dimensioning specified in Figure 1 of ASTM C 882. Grind the bond face of the specimen to a uniform texture with a No. 36 grit aluminum oxide grinding abrasive.

2. Place the dummy section in the lightly oiled 3 inch x 6 inch mold for 3 inch dummy section and 4 inch x 8 inch mold for 4 inch dummy section. Position the dummy section with the slant side up. Place the prepared hydraulic mortar in the mold in three layers of approximately equal volume. Rod the bottom layer as thoroughly and deeply as possible. Strike off the top of the specimen. Cover, cure, and test according to ASTM C 882.

G. *Modulus of Elasticity in Compression* - The modulus will be determined by using either 4 inch x 8 inch or 6 inch x 12 inch cylinders. Cast and test according to ASTM C 469. The cylinders will be loaded in compression and the strain read at a minimum of 5 equal intervals between 400 psi and 2000 psi. The reported modulus will be the average of the results at these intervals. The specimens will be at least 28 days old at the time of testing.

H. *Thermal Coefficient of Expansion* - The specimens and length of comparator will conform to ASTM C 490. The specimens will be 2 inches x 2 inches x 11.25 inches with an effective gage length of 10 inches. The coefficient will be determined from readings taken at 0°F (-18°C) and 104°F (40°C).

1. The specimens will be wrapped in an insulating material and conditioned for 96 hours at each temperature. If the measurements are taken at room temperature, they will be taken within 15 seconds of removal from the conditioning environment. If the specimen fails to return to its original length after the final measurement at laboratory temperature, the test will be repeated.

2. Use the following equation to determine the coefficient:

$$\frac{L_{104} - L_0}{10 \times 104} = \text{in/in/°F} \qquad \left(\frac{L_{40} - L_{-18}}{254 \times 58} = \text{mm/mm/°C} \right)$$

I. *Initial Plastic Shrinkage* - Use ASTM C 1090 to determine the initial plastic shrinkage.

J. *Surface Scaling* - Make two slab specimens 6 inch diameter x ¾ - 1 inch thick. Cure specimens for 28 days prior to initiation of testing. Install metallic tape dikes around the perimeter so that the dykes will pond water. The specimens will be conditioned in a freeze-thaw machine conforming to ASTM C 666, Procedure B, or subjected to daily freeze-thaw cycles. The daily cycle will consist of 16 to 18 hours in a freezing environment measuring 0°F to 16°F (-18°C to 9°C) followed by 6 to 8 hours at laboratory temperature. The testing and ponding schedule of specimens undergoing either procedure will consist of:

1. Precondition specimens by ponding at room temperature for 24 hours with fresh water.

2. Subject specimens to 12 freeze-thaw cycles while ponded with fresh water.

3. Subject specimens to 24 freeze-thaw cycles while ponded with a three percent solution of sodium chloride (NaCl).

4. Subject specimens to 12 freeze-thaw cycles while ponded with fresh water.

5. The depth of the ponding solution will be maintained at approximately ¼ inch. Each time the ponding solution is changed, all loose scale will be carefully removed, oven dried and weighed. After the scale has been removed, the slabs will be rinsed with water prior to ponding with the fresh solution.

6. The accumulated total of scale volume per unit area for each slab will be determined as follows:

$$\text{Scale} \quad = \frac{\text{Dry Weight of Scale, g}}{\text{Dry Bulk Specific Gravity x Ponded Area, cm}^2} = \frac{\text{cm}^3}{\text{cm}^2}$$

K. *Working Time* - The working time will be the time measured from the addition of the mix water to the point when the material is no longer workable. Conduct the test at standard laboratory conditions using a minimum of 1.5 quarts of material.

5.42.07. Physical Requirements

To be completed by independent testing laboratory:

Table 1: Test Results with Maximum Coarse Aggregate Extension

		2 hour	4 hour	28 days	50 F-T cycles
Compressive Strength, ASTM C 39 min. psi (MPa)	Required	2000 (13.8)	2500 (17.2)	4500 (31.0)	N/A
	Actual				
Slant Shear Bond Strength, ASTM C 882 mod., min., psi (MPa)	Required	250 (1.7)	375 (2.6)	1500 (10.3)	1500 (10.3)
	Actual				
Modulus of Elasticity, Compression psi (MPa)	Required	N/A	N/A	[4.0 - 6.0] x 10^6 (27,580 -41,370)	N/A
	Actual				
Thermal Coefficient of Expansion in/in/°F (mm/mm/°C)	Required	N/A	N/A	[5.0 - 8.0] x 10^{-6} ([9.0-14.4] x 10^{-6})	N/A
	Actual				
Initial Plastic Shrinkage, max. (%)	Required	0.10	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Actual				
Surface Scaling, max (cm^3/cm^2)	Required	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.01
	Actual				
Min. Working Time (minutes)	Required	10	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Actual				

* Please include actual mix design quantities used for obtaining these results.

I hereby certify that the above information submitted is actual physical laboratory test data obtained according to the requirements specified in the Qualification Procedure and Testing Procedure for the product.

Person Responsible For Testing: _____ (Signature)

_____ (Print Name)

Laboratory Name and Address:

_____

_____

_____

Date Tests Were Conducted: _____

Telephone Number: _____

Alphabetical Index
To 2020 Material Specification Numbers
In The Material Acceptance Requirement Table

-A-

-B-

-D-

-E-

-F-

-S-

-X- -Y- -Z-

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
401.03E End Section Grate for Culverts	VI	-	-	-	See Standard Plans for Sizes.
401.03E Precast Concrete Headwalls	Gen Cert	-	-	-	24” or less. For larger than 24” construct per Section 706 of Standard Specifications.
404.02C Underdrain Outlet Endings	VI	-	-	-	See Standard Plans.
501.02 Asphalt, Release Agents	VI	-	-	-	
NOTE: Must be approved by the project engineer. No fuel or oil-based agents.					
502.02B Overband Crackfill	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (502.02B).
603.03B2 Adhesive Systems for Grouting Dowel Bars and Tie Bars for Full-Depth Concrete Pavement Repairs	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (603.03B2).
Note: Use for grouting to existing concrete in the same direction of traffic in the same lane as the repair. For grouting lane ties (deformed bars positioned transverse to the direction of traffic located between traffic lanes) select from Adhesive Anchor Systems for Structural Anchors and Lane Ties (712.03J).					
706.03K4 Expansion Joint Devices for Bridges	See Remark	-	-	-	See project plans for list of approved devices and details.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
706.03S Penetrating Water Repellent (Protective Coating for Concrete)	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (706.03S).
707.02 Bushings for Pins and Link Plates	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (707.02).
708.01 Structural Prestressed Concrete Construction	Fabrication Inspection	-	-	-	See Chapter 5 of the Structural Fabrication Quality Manual for Approved Supplier List and section 2.2. See Special Instructions.
710.03D Waterproofing Shotcrete	VI	-	-	-	
712.03A1c Abrasive, Low Dusting	Gen Cert	-	-	-	See Section 715.02.
712.03D Epoxy Mastic	VI	-	-	-	
712.03J Adhesive Anchor Systems for Structural Anchors and Lane Ties	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (712.03J).

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
712.03K Structure Expansion Anchors (Mechanical Expansion Anchors)	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (712.03K). Pull-out testing is required see MQAP Manual Section 3.03.
712.03L Mechanical Reinforcement Splicing	VI See Remark	1 per project	2 splices	-	See Special Instructions. Must be a Qualified Product (712.03L).
712.03X Grout Under Masonry Plates	VI	-	-	-	
712.03Y Embedded Galvanic Anodes	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (712.03Y).
713.02B Sealant for Perimeter of Beam Repairs	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (713.02B).
715.02 Coating Systems for New Hanger Assemblies	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (915).
715.02 Abrasive, Low Dusting	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (715.02).

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
715.02 Abrasive, Steel Grit	VI	-	-	-	Verify uniform profile after blasting of 1 to 2.8 mils per SSPC.
716.02 Abrasive, Low Dusting	VI See Remark	-	-	-	See Section 715.02.
803.02B Detectable Warning Surfaces	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (803.02B).
804.01 Glare Screen	VI	-	-	-	Included in Concrete Spec.
808.03C Temporary Fence Materials	VI	-	-	-	
810.03O Bridge Sign Connections	Fabrication Inspection	-	-	-	See Section 2.4 of the Structural Fabrication Quality Manual.
811.03D1 Waterborne, Liquid Pavement Marking Materials	VI See Remark	-	-	-	MQAP Manual Section 5.13. Must be a Qualified Product (811.03D1).
811.03D2 Low Temperature Waterborne, Liquid Pavement Marking Materials	VI See Remark	-	-	-	MQAP Manual Section 5.13. Must be a Qualified Product (811.03D2).

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
811.03D3 Regular Dry Paint, Liquid Pavement Marking Materials	VI See Remark	-	-	-	MQAP Manual Section 5.13. Must be a Qualified Product (811.03D3).
811.03D4 Cold Plastic Tape, Preformed Pavement Marking Material	VI See Remark	-	-	-	MQAP Manual Section 5.14. Must be a Qualified Product (811.03D4).
811.03D5 Thermoplastic Liquid Pavement Marking Materials	VI See Remark	-	-	-	MQAP Manual Section 5.13. Must be a Qualified Product (811.03D5).
811.03D5 Thermoplastic, Blocks Rumble Strips and Snowmobile Crossings	VI See Remark	-	-	-	MQAP Manual Section 5.13. Must be a Qualified Product (811.03D5).
811.03D6 Thermoplastic, Sprayable, Liquid Pavement Marking Materials	VI See Remark	-	-	-	MQAP Manual Section 5.13. Must be a Qualified Product (811.03D6).
811.03D7 Polyurea, Liquid Pavement Marking Materials	VI See Remark	-	-	-	MQAP Manual Section 5.13. Must be a Qualified Product (811.03D7).
811.03D8 Modified Epoxy, Liquid Pavement Marking Materials	VI See Remark	-	-	-	MQAP Manual Section 5.13. Must be a Qualified Product (811.03D8).

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
811.03D9 Preformed Thermoplastic, Preformed Pavement Marking Material	VI See Remark				MQAP Manual Section 5.14. Must be a Qualified Product (811.03D9).
901 Cement	Appr Mfr	See Remark	10 lb	45 ton	See Special Instructions, see MQAP Manual Section 2.06.
901.06 Slag Cement	Appr Mfr	-	10 lb	-	See Special Instructions for Cement.
901.07 Fly Ash, Pozzolanic Admixtures for Concrete	Appr Mfr	-	10 lb	-	See Special Instructions for Cement, see MQAP Manual Section 2.07

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
902 Coarse Aggregates	Test	See Remark	50 lb	100 ton	See Special Instructions Refer to Chapter 3 of the Procedures for Aggregate Inspection Manual for sampling information. See Current List of all Prequalified Aggregate Suppliers at Construction Field Services Web Page. Actual Current List of Prequalified Aggregate Suppliers web page address: https://www.michigan.gov/documents/mdot/MDOT_Prequalified_Aggregate_Supplier_List_691933_7.pdf
902 Dense-Graded Aggregates	Test	See Remark	50 lb	500 ton	
902 Open-Graded Aggregates	Test	See Remark	50 lb	100 ton	
902 Granular Material Class I	Test	See Remark	50 lb	100 ton	
902 Granular Material Class II (Subbase), Class IIA and Class IIAA	Test	See Remark	50 lb	500 cyd	
902 Class II (Abutment B. F.)	Test	See Remark	50 lb	100 cyd	
902 Granular Material Class III	Test	See Remark	50 lb	500 cyd	
902 Granular Material Class IIIA	Test	See Remark	25 lb	100 cyd	
902 Fine Aggregate	Test	See Remark	25 lb	100 ton	
902 Mineral Filler for HMA Mixtures	Test See Remark	1 per project	1 qt	10 ton	Refer to Section 902.11 of the 2020 Standard Specifications.
903.01 Air Entraining Admixtures	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (903.01).

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
903.02 Liquid Chemical Admixtures	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (903.02).
903.03 Latex Admixture for Concrete	Appr Mfr	1 per lot	1 qt	-	
903.04 Calcium Chloride Concrete Accelerators	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Note the chemical composition.
903.06 Membrane Curing Compound	Test Data Cert	1 per lot or batch	1 qt	200 gal	
NOTE: Curing compounds must not be used after ONE year from manufacture. Date of manufacture must be clearly printed on the outside of containers.					
903.07A Interim Curing (Linseed Oil Based)	Test Data Cert	1 per lot or batch	1 qt	50 gal	See Note for 903.06 above.
903.07C Insulating Blanket	Test Data Cert	-	-	10 sheets	
903.07D Polystyrene Insulation	Test Data Cert	-	-	-	
904.03A Asphalt Binder for HMA Mixtures	See Remark	See Remark	See Remark	-	See Special Instructions.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
904.03B Liquid Asphalt (MC)	Gen Cert	1 per batch	See Remark	-	1 gal from the top and 1 gal from the bottom of tank.
904.03B Liquid Asphalt (RC-250)	Gen Cert	1 per batch	2 qt	5 gal	
904.03C Emulsified Asphalt	Appr Mfr * See Remark	See Remark	See Remark	-	See Special Instructions.
905.03 Bar Reinforcement (Uncoated)	Appr Mfr *	1 per project per mfr per size	See Remark	500 lb	See Special Instructions.
905.03 Bar Reinforcement (Epoxy Coated) 1. Bar 2. Epoxy Coating Companies 3. Epoxy Coating Material (905.03C)	Appr Mfr * Appr Mfr * VI See Remark	1 per project per mfr per size	See Note	500 lb	See Special Instructions. Epoxy Coating must be a Qualified Product (905.03C).
905.03D Bar Chairs and Wire Ties for Epoxy Coated Steel Reinforcement	VI	-	-	-	

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
905.06 Welded Steel Wire Reinforcement (Mesh)	Appr Mfr *	1 per project per mfr	See Remark	500 syd	See Special Instructions.
905.07 Strand for Prestressed Concrete	Appr Mfr *	1 per heat	2 pcs each 60 in long	-	See Special Instructions.
905.08 Tendons for Post Tensioning of Box Beams (Prestressing Strand)	Appr Mfr * See Remark	1 per heat	2 pcs each 60 in long	-	See Special Instructions.
905.08 Tendons for Post Tensioning of Box Beams (Post Tensioning Bar)	Test	1 per heat per project	2 pcs each 30 in long	-	
906.04 Structural Steel	Fabrication Inspection	-	-	-	See Section 2.3 of the Structural Fabrication Quality Manual. See Special Instructions.
906.05 Foundation Piles (Steel H Piling and Special Sections, Steel Shells for Cast-in-Place Concrete Piles and Pile Points)	Test Data Cert	-	-	-	
906.05 Pile Cutoffs	Gen Cert	-	-	-	

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
906.06 Steel Piles (Temporary and Permanent Steel Sheet Piling)	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
906.07 High Strength Steel Bolts, Nuts, and Washers for Structural Joints	Test	1 per dia per length per heat per project	3 assemblies	-	See Special Instructions.
906.09 Shear Developers (Studs)	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (906.09).
907.03A - C Woven Wire Fence (Woven Wire Fabric, Barbed Wire, Smooth Line Wire)	Test Data Cert	1 per project per mfr	Full width of roll 5 ft 6 ft 4 ft	400 ft	
907.03D Woven Wire Fence (Steel Posts)	Test Data Cert	1 per project per mfr	1 post	25 posts	
907.03E Woven Wire Fence (Treated Wood Posts)	See Remark	-	-	-	See Section 912.07B.
907.03F Woven Wire Fence (Gates)	VI	-	-	-	

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
907.04A Steel Chain Link Fence (Fabric)	Test Data Cert	See Remark	5 ft full width of roll	250 ft	1 per height and/or mesh size, per project and 1 per mfr per project.
907.04B Steel Chain Link Fence (Tension Wire)	Gen Cert	1 per project per mfr	3 ft	500 ft	
907.04C Steel Chain Link Fence (Post for Fence and Gates), (Pedestrian Fence and Structure Fencing (Steel))	Test Data Cert	1 per project per mfr	1 post	25 posts	See Special Instructions.
Note: An alternative zinc/clear coat system will be allowed for pipe sections only. This alternative coating system shall comply with subsection 907.03D of the Standard Specifications for Construction.					
907.04C Steel Chain Link Fence (Top Rail), ((Horz. Rail) (Pedestrian Fence))	Test Data Cert	1 per project per mfr See Remark	5 ft	250 ft	See Special Instructions.
907.04D & E Steel Chain Link Fence (Gates, Fence Fittings and Hardware)	VI	-	-	-	
907.05A High Tensile Wire Fence (Wire)	Test	1 per project per mfr	3 ft	250 ft	

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
907.05B High Tensile Wire Fence (Treated Wood Posts)	See Remark	-	-	-	See Section 912.07B.
907.05C High Tensile Wire Fence (Hardware)	VI	-	-	-	
907.06 Protective Fence	VI	-	-	-	
908 Castings, Manhole 908.03 Malleable Iron 908.04 Steel 908.05 Gray/Ductile Iron	VI	-	-	-	
908.07 Sheet Lead	Gen Cert	-	-	25 sft	
908.08 Sheet Copper	Gen Cert	1 per consignment	13 in square or equivalent area	25 sft	May be accepted in field if weight requirements can be documented.
908.09A Tubing, Steel Railings (Base Plate, Angle, and Non-Tubular Post Elements)	Fabrication Inspection	-	-	-	See Structural Fabrication Quality Manual Section 2.3.
908.09B Tubing, Steel Railings (Rail Elements and Tubular Post Elements)	Fabrication Inspection	-	-	-	See Structural Fabrication Quality Manual Section 2.3.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
908.09C Tubing, Steel Railings (Hardware)	Test	1 per heat per diameter per project	1 assembly	-	See Special Instructions.
908.10 Hardware for Timber Construction	VI	-	-	-	
908.11A Guardrail, Steel Beam Elements, End Sections	Appr Mfr *	1 per project per mfr	1 piece at least 1 ft length	125 ft	Including Anchorage, Bridge, Shoes, Departing End Terminals.
908.11A Guardrail Approach Terminals	Appr Mfr *	-	-	-	
908.11B & C B. Hardware C. Steel Sleeves, Soil Plates, Bearing Plates, Backup Plates	VI	-	-	-	Item supplied by guardrail supplier.
908.11B Wire Rope	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
908.12 Steel Posts for Beam Guardrail	Appr Mfr *	1 per 1000 posts or fraction thereof	1 post	25 posts	

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
908.13 Reflective Washers	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Inspect galvanizing, dimensions and type of sheeting.
908.14 Anchor Bolts, Nuts, and Washers	Test	1 per heat per diameter per project	1 assembly	-	See Special Instructions.
908.14D Anchor Bolts and Nuts for Other Purposes	Gen Cert	1 per heat per diameter per project	1 assembly	-	See Special Instructions.
909.01 Recycled Rubber Adjusting Rings for Manholes and Drainage Castings	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (909.01).
909.03 Watertight Joint Systems	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (909.03).
909.03 Gasket, Compression (O-Rings)	VI	-	-	-	Part of Watertight Joint System.
909.03 Gasket, External Rubber Type	VI	1 per lot or shipment	18 in length full width of gasket	-	Part of Watertight Joint System.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
909.04A Reinforced Concrete Pipe	Appr Mfr *	1 percent of number of pcs of each size	See Remark	5 pieces of 42 in or smaller	See Special Instructions.
909.04B Reinforced Concrete Elliptical Pipe	Appr Mfr *	1 percent of number of pcs of each size	See Remark	5 pieces of 42 in or smaller	See Special Instructions.
909.04C Non-Reinforced Concrete Pipe	Appr Mfr *	See Remark	See Remark	10 pcs	See Special Instructions.
909.04D Precast Concrete Box Sections	Appr Mfr * See Remark	-	-	-	Spans 20 ft. and greater (measured from inside of exterior walls, parallel to the roadway centerline) require QA inspection. QA inspection may be required for spans from 10-20 ft. Box = 4 sided. See section 2.1 of the Structural Fabrication Quality Manual. See Special Instructions.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
909.04E Precast Concrete End Section for Culverts and Sewers	Appr Mfr *	1 percent of number of pcs	Full size units	10 pcs	Strength test by coring or cylinders, VI dimensions and conditions. Test for air content. .
909.04G Precast Concrete Three-Sided or Arch Culverts	Appr Mfr See Remark	-	-	-	Spans 20 ft. and greater (measured from inside of exterior walls, parallel to the roadway centerline) require QA inspection. QA inspection may be required for spans from 10-20 ft. See section 2.1 of the Structural Fabrication Quality Manual. See Special Instructions.
909.05A Corrugated Steel Pipe	Appr Mfr *	See Remark	See Remark	-	See Special Instructions.
909.05A1 Corrugated Steel Sheets (Galvanized)	Gen Cert	See Remark	See Remark	-	See Special Instructions.
909.05A1 Polymer Coating, Galvanized Corrugated Steel Pipe	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Coating must be from Qualified Products List (909.05A1).

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
909.05A3 Coupling Bands	Gen Cert	-	-	5 pcs	
909.05B Corrugated Aluminum Alloy Sheet	Gen Cert	See Remark	See Remark	25 sheets	See Special Instructions.
909.05B Corrugated Aluminum Alloy Pipe	Gen Cert	1 per 1000 ft	See Remark	250 ft	Sample Size: A 6 in by 3 in (minimum) section cut from the end of the pipe avoiding the seams. Do not damage coating.
909.05C Steel End Section	Gen Cert	-	-	4 pcs	
909.05D 1. Steel Pipe (Jacked-in-Place)	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
909.05D 2. Casing, Steel Pipe	VI	-	-	-	
909.06 1. Corrugated Polyethylene Pipe (CPE/HDPE), (Smooth Lined Type S)	Appr Mfr*	See Remark	See Remark	100 ft	See MQAP Chapter 3.10.
909.06 2. Corrugated Polyvinyl Chloride (CPV) Pipe	Test	1 per 1000 ft	See Remark	12 in. dia and over, 100 ft	Over 12 in. dia- one 10 ft and one 6 ft. length plus coupling.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
909.06 3. Plastic Pipe Class A, B and F Bury (CPE/HDPE & CPV)	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (909.06).
909.06 4. Smooth Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) Pipe and Fittings for Sanitary Sewer	VI	1 per 6000 ft	1 piece, 5 ft in length	-	Sample must include bell end of pipe.
909.07A Pipe for Underdrains Smooth Perforated Plastic Pipe (PVC)	Test	1 per 2500 ft or fraction thereof	5 ft length	250 ft	Sample must include bell end of pipe.
909.07A1 Pipe for Underdrains Acrylonitrile-Butadiene-Styrene (ABS)	Test	1 per 6000 ft	1 piece, 6 ft in length	600 ft	If bell and spigot joint, sample from bell end.
909.07B Pipe for Underdrains Corrugated Plastic Tubing (Perforated and Non-Perforated) (Wrapped and Non-Wrapped)	Appr Mfr * 4-, 6-, or 8-in dia	1 per 5000 ft sample from coils	See Remark	250 ft	Sample Size, one 12 ft length plus coupling. For perforated tubing wrapped in fabric, tie fabric securely in place before cutting sample. Do not disturb fabric after cutting.
909.07C Outlet Pipe for Underdrains 1. Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) Pipe	Test	1 per 2500 ft or fraction thereof	5 ft length	250 ft	See 404.02C for Underdrain Outlet Endings. Sample must include bell end of pipe.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
909.07C Outlet Pipe for Underdrains 2. Corrugated Steel Pipe	Appr Mfr *	See Remark	See Remark	-	See Special Instructions for 909.05A,. See 404.02C for Underdrain Outlet Endings.
909.07C Outlet Pipe for Underdrains 3. Corrugated Aluminum Alloy Pipe	Gen Cert	1 per 1000 ft	6 in x 3 in	250 ft	See 404.02C for Underdrain Outlet Endings. See 909.05B.
909.08A Bridge Deck Downspouts	VI	-	-	-	
909.08B Culvert, Downspouts 1. Corrugated Steel Pipe	Appr Mfr *	See Remark	See Remark	-	See 909.05A Corrugated Steel Pipe.
909.08B Culvert, Downspouts 2. Corrugated Aluminum Alloy Pipe	Gen Cert	1 per 1000 ft	6 in x 3 in	250 ft	See 909.05B.
909.08B Culvert, Downspouts 3. Corrugated Polyethylene Pipe (Corrugated Type C) (CPE/HDPE)	Test	1 per 1000 ft	See Remark	<12 in. dia up to 250 ft ≥12 in. dia up to 100 ft	A sample consists of one 10-foot-long piece, one 6-foot-long piece, and one coupling.
909.08C Bridge Deck Drain Extensions (Polyethylene)	Gen Cert	-	-	-	

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
909.09 Cold Applied Pipe Joint Sealer (Mastic)	VI	1 per shipment from a single container	1 qt	-	
909.10 Drainage Marker Post	See Delineator Posts	-	-	-	
910.03A Geotextile Blanket (incl. Filter Bags)	Test	See Remark	See Remark	See Remark	See Special Instruction 910.03. Anticipate up to 28 calendar days for the testing of geotextile samples.
910.03A Knitted Sock Pipe Wrap	See Remark	-	-	-	See 909.07B Certified with Corrugated Plastic Tubing.
910.03B Geotextile Liner (Rip-Rap, etc.)	Test	See Remark	See Remark	See Remark	See Special Instruction 910.03. Anticipate up to 28 calendar days for the testing of geotextile samples.
910.03B Heavy Geotextile Liner (Rip-Rap, etc.)	Test	See Remark	See Remark	See Remark	See Special Instruction 910.03. Anticipate up to 28 calendar days for the testing of geotextile samples.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
910.03C Geotextile Separator (Woven, Non-woven)	Test	See Remark	See Remark	See Remark	See Special Instruction 910.03. Anticipate up to 28 calendar days for the testing of geotextile samples.
910.03D Stabilization Geotextile (Woven, Non-woven)	Test	See Remark	See Remark	See Remark	See Special Instruction 910.03. Anticipate up to 28 calendar days for the testing of geotextile samples.
910.04 Silt Fence Geotextile (fabric only)	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (910.04). See 916.02 for sampling and acceptance instructions for Silt Fence (full assembly).
910.05A Wall Drain	Test	1 per 2000 ft or less	See Remark	250 sft	See Special Instruction 910.05. Suppliers must provide separate samples of filter wrap geotextile and polymer core.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
910.06 Road Grade Biaxial Geogrid	Test	1 per lot per shipment	1 pc 6 ft long full roll width	-	Sample must be rolled not folded. Include lot number and/or roll number, name of manufacturer, and the product or style number. Anticipate up to 28 calendar days for the testing of geogrid samples.
911 Water	Test See Remark	1 per source	1 qt	-	Water approved for drinking by the Michigan Dept of Public Health may be used without sampling and testing.
912.05 Structural Timber and Lumber	Appr Mfr *	Each Charge	22 cores See Remark	-	48 cores if treatment is creosote.
912.06 Timber Piles	VI	-	-	-	
912.07B Treated Wood; Fence Posts, Guide Posts, Guard Posts and Mail Box Posts	VI	-	-	-	
912.08 Wood Posts and Blocks for Guardrail and Highway Signs (Dimension Sawed)	Appr Mfr *	Each Charge	22 cores	-	Cedar post need not be treated.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
912.08Q Recycled Plastic or Rubber Guardrail Offset Blocks	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product. May only be used on Steel Posts (912.08Q).
912.09 Timber for Rustic Construction	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
913.03 Clay and Sand Lime Brick and Block	Test	1 per 250,000 or fraction thereof	6 pcs	1000 pcs	
913.03C Concrete Brick	Test Data Cert	See Remark	6 pcs	1000 pcs	1 from each 10,000 bricks or fraction thereof; 2 from lots more than 10,000 to 100,000; 3 from each lot over 100,000.
913.05 Concrete Block	Test Data Cert	See Remark	4 pcs	1000 pcs	One from lot of 10,000 or fraction thereof; 2 from lots more than 10,000.
913.06 Precast Reinforced Concrete Units for Drainage Structures (Tops, Risers and Sump Bases)	Appr Mfr *	1 percent per size	See Remark	10 pcs total	Submit QA cylinder test results and core samples. Submit sample 1-3 sq.in. from wall of unit if absorption is required.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
913.06 Precast Drainage Structure Adjusting Rings	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
913.07 Precast Concrete Bases, for Drainage Structures	Appr Mfr *	5 percent of total	-	10 pcs total	
913.08 Structural Tile	Test	1 per proj.	6 tiles	1000 tiles	
913.09 Slope Pavement Blocks	Appr Mfr *	1 per 25,000	6 blocks	1000 pcs	
914.03 Bituminized Fiber Joint Filler	Test Data Cert	1 per 1000 sft or fraction thereof	2 ft See Remark	150 sft	Sample for structure to be at least 5 in. wide. ¼ in. filler need not be sampled.
914.03B Recycled Rubber Joint Filler	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (914.03B).
914.03C Closed-Cell Polypropylene Foam	Test Data Cert	1 per 1000 sft or fraction thereof	2 ft See Remark	150 sft	Sample for structure to be at least 5 in. wide. ¼ in. filler need not be sampled.
914.04A Hot-Poured Joint and Crack Sealant	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (914.04A).
914.04B Backer Rod for Use with Hot-Poured Joint Sealant	VI	-	-	-	

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
914.05 Joint Spall Repair Materials	See Remark	-	-	-	See contract documents as applicable.
914.06 Epoxy Resin Adhesive and Temporary Seal (Crack Injection)	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (914.06).
914.07 Transverse Pavement Joints 1. Dowel Bars	Appr Mfr	-	1 bar	1200 bars	Bond Release Agent must be a Qualified Product (914.07A). See Special Instructions 914.07.
2. Dowel Baskets (Load Transfer Assemblies)	Appr Mfr	-	Full size unit	100 assemblies	Field Inspection required, MQAP Manual Section 3.04. See Special Instructions 914.07.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
914.07A Coatings for Dowel Bars 1. Epoxy Coating Companies 2. Epoxy Coating Material 3. Bond Release a. Bituminous Material b. Alternate Bond Release Agents	Appr Mfr * VI See Remark Gen Cert VI See Remark	1 per project per mfr - - -	1 bar - - -	240 bars - 20 gal max -	 Epoxy Coating must be a Qualified Product (914.07A2). Must be a Qualified Product (914.07A3).
914.07C Dowel Bar Expansion Caps	VI	-	-	-	Caps must conform to Standard Plan R-40 Series.
914.08 End-of-Pour Joint Devices	VI	-	-	-	
914.08 Deformed Bars 1. Bars 2. Epoxy Coating	Appr Mfr * VI See Remark	1 per project per mfr	 -	500 lb	 Epoxy Coating must be Qualified Product (905.03C).

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
914.09 Straight and Bent Tie Bars for Longitudinal Pavement Joints (Lane Ties) 1. Bars 2. Epoxy Coating	Appr Mfr * VI See Remark	1 per project per mfr	2 bar	500 lb	Epoxy Coating must be a Qualified Product (905.03C).
914.10 Bolts for Structure Expansion Anchors	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
914.11 Preformed Waterproofing Membrane and Joint Waterproofing Membrane	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (914.11). Do not use on Treated Wood Materials.
914.12A Elastomeric Bearings	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
914.12B Elastomeric Leveling Pads	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
915 Bridge Coating Systems	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (915).
916.01A Cobblestone	VI	-	-	-	
916.01C Riprap	VI	-	-	-	

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
916.02 Silt Fence (full assembly) Note: Sample to include identifying markings of fabricator. Indicate on sample ID description of markings. Note where markings were found.	Appr Mfr *	See Remark	See Remark	500 ft	1 sample for the first 3000 ft or fraction thereof; 1 sample for each additional 10,000 ft or fraction thereof; 1 piece 12 ft long by full fence height include 2 attached posts and lath. See 910.04 for acceptance instructions for Silt Fence Geotextile (fabric only).
916.07 Turbidity Curtain	VI				
917.03 Nursery Stock	VI	-	-	-	
917.04 Balling Material	VI	-	-	-	
917.05A Wire for Bracing and Guying	VI	-	-	-	
917.05B Hose for Bracing and Guying	VI	-	-	-	
917.05C Stakes for Bracing and Guying	VI	-	-	-	
917.07 Compost	VI	-	-	-	

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
917.09 Chemical Fertilizer for Grass Seed	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Provide the bag label, showing the guaranteed analysis.
917.11 Grass Seeding Mixtures Grass Seed Varieties	VI See Remarks VI See Remark	1 per lot per shipment	1/2 lb	1100 lbs	For projects that include more than 5 acres of seeding, see Grass Seed Testing Special Provision in contract. Varieties of seed must be Qualified Product (917.11).
917.12 Sod	VI	-	-	-	
917.12A Pegs for Sodding	VI	-	-	-	
917.13 Mulching Materials for Nursery Stock	VI	-	-	-	Only shredded hardwood, wood chips or wood products not allowed.
917.14B1 & 2 High Velocity Mulch Blankets and Standard Mulch Blanket	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (917.14B 1 & 2). High velocity - netting 2 sides. Standard - netting 1 side.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
917.14C Mulch Anchoring - Latex, Recycled Newsprint, Wood Fiber, Guar Gum, Other Tackifiers	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (917.14C).
917.15 Weed Control (Herbicides)	Test Data Cert	-	-	-	
918.01 Flexible Metal Conduit	VI	-	-	-	
918.01A Electrical Conduit, Rigid (Galvanized Steel)	Gen Cert	See Remark	6 ft, include coupling, if applicable	400 ft	1 sample for 2500 ft or fraction thereof; 2 samples over 2500 to 10,000 ft; 1 sample for each additional 10,000 ft.
918.01B & C Electrical Conduit (Polyvinyl Chloride) Schedule 40 and 80	Gen Cert	See Remark	6 ft sample w/ bell end incl coupling	400 ft	1 sample for 2500 ft or fraction thereof; 2 samples over 2500 to 10,000 ft; 1 sample for each additional 10,000 ft.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
918.01D & E Electrical Conduit (Polyethylene/HDPE) Schedule 40 and 80	Gen Cert	See Remark	See Remark	400 ft	1 sample for 2500 ft or fraction thereof; 2 samples over 2500 to 10,000 ft; 1 sample for each addi-tional 10,000 ft; 6 ft plus a sepa-rate section consisting of 2-18 in. long pcs. connected by the joint.
918.01F Electrical Conduit (Rigid Fiberglass)	Gen Cert	See Remark	6 ft sample w/ bell end incl. coupling	400 ft	1 sample for 2500 ft or fraction thereof; 2 samples over 2500 to 10,000 ft; 1 sample for each additional 10,000 ft.
918.02 Grounding System	VI	-	-	-	
918.02C Grounding Rods	VI	-	-	-	
918.03 Electrical Cable	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
918.06 Precast Concrete Handholes and Manholes for Electrical and Telephone Connections	Appr Mfr *	1 percent per size	-	10 pcs	
918.06D Light Weight Composite Handholes	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (918.06D).

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
918.08 Light Standards (Steel and Aluminum Light Standards)	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
918.08C Light Standards, Frangible Transformer Bases	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (918.08C).
918.09 Luminaries	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
918.10A Tower Lighting Units	Fabrication Inspection	-	-	-	See Section 2.4 of the Structural Fabrication Quality Manual.
918.11A Guy Wire	Gen Cert	1 per size	3 ft	-	
919 Steel Sleeves for Wood Posts	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
919.02 Signs (Permanent)	See Remark	-	-	-	General Cert must be attached and inspected at project site.
919.02A1 Metal Sections (Extruded Aluminum)	VI See Remark	-	12 in. long and full width of section	-	Mill Cert must be submitted to the Project Engineer.
919.02A2 Plywood	Gen Cert See Remark	-	-	-	Grade mark on materials serves as certification.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
919.02A3 Aluminum Sheet	VI See Remark	-	Min. of 12 in. square	-	Mill Cert must be submitted to the Project Engineer.
919.02B1 Reflective Sheeting	VI See Remark	1 per run or lot	See Remark	1 roll, for less than 3 in. in width	Must be a Qualified Product (919.02B1) 4 pcs each 12 in. square. For rolls less than 12 in. width, at least 7.5 ft.
919.02C Sign Hardware	Gen Cert See Remark	-	-	-	Identifying marks on items may serve as certification.
919.03A Delineators, Aluminum Reflectors	Gen Cert	1 per shipment per color	21 pcs	25 pcs each color	
919.03B Delineators, Reflective Sheeting Reflectors	Gen Cert	1 per shipment per color	2 pcs each color	25 pcs each color	
919.03D Delineator Posts, Steel	Gen Cert	1 per project per mfr	1 post	80 post	
919.03D Delineator Posts, Plastic	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (919.03D).

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
919.04 Steel, Galvanized Sign Posts	Test Data Cert	1 per project per mfr	See Remark	20 posts	Sample 30 in. length min. length. Posts for temporary signs may be painted.
919.05 Wood Sign Posts	Appr Mfr *	Each charge	22 cores	20 posts	General Cert to Construction Field Services. See 912.08.
919.06 Steel Column Breakaway Sign Supports	VI	-	-	-	Mill Cert must be submitted to the Project Engineer.
919.07 Cantilever Sign Supports	Fabrication Inspection	-	-	-	See Section 2.4 of the Structural Fabrication Quality Manual.
919.08 Truss Sign Supports	Fabrication Inspection	-	-	-	See Section 2.4 of the Structural Fabrication Quality Manual.
920.02B Standard Glass Beads	Gen Cert	1 from each lot	2 lb	500 lbs	
920.02C Wet Reflective Optics	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (920.02C).
921.02 Messenger Wire and Span Wire	Gen Cert	1 per size	3 ft	-	

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
921.03 Traffic Signals and Mounting Assemblies	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
921.05 Traffic Signal Strain Pole	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
921.05A Strain Pole Band Clamps	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (921.05A).
921.08B Traffic Loop Sealant	Gen Cert	2 from each lot	Tubes	-	
922.02 Temporary Traffic Control Temporary Signs	See Remark	-	-	-	See MQAP Manual Section 3.07.
922.02B Temporary Traffic Control Reflective Sheeting (Signs)	See Remark	-	-	-	See MQAP Manual Section 3.07.
922.02D Temporary Traffic Control Sign Covers	See Remark	-	-	-	See MQAP Manual Section 3.07.
922.03 Temporary Traffic Control A. Cones B. Drums	See Remark	-	-	-	See MQAP Manual Section 3.07.
922.03E Temporary Traffic Control Type III Barricade, Reflective Sheeting	See Remark	-	-	-	See MQAP Manual Section 3.07.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
922.04 Temporary Traffic Control Temporary Concrete Barriers (TCB)	Test Data Cert See Remark	Each project	-	-	Contractor must provide certifications and documentation confirming the TCB provided meets the requirements of subsection 922.04.A of the Standard Specifications for Construction.
922.04A Barrier Reflectors Temporary	See Remark	-	-	-	See MQAP Manual Section 3.07.
922.04A Barrier Reflectors Permanent	VI	-	-	-	
922.06A Temporary Traffic Control Temporary Pavement Markings; Type R and NR Tape	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (922.06A).
922.06A2 Temporary Traffic Control Temporary Pavement Markings; Paint	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (811.03D).
922.06B Temporary Traffic Control Temporary Raised Pavement Markers	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (922.06B).

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
922.06C Temporary Traffic Control Pavement Marking Cover	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (922.06C).
922.07A Temporary Traffic Control Lighted Arrows; Type B and C (Solar Assist)	See Remark	-	-	-	See MQAP Manual Section 3.07.
922.07B Temporary Traffic Control Warning Flashers and Lights; Type A, B, C	See Remark	Each project	3 each type	-	See MQAP Manual Section 3.07.
922.07C Temporary Traffic Control Portable Changeable Message Signs	See Remark	-	-	-	See MQAP Manual Section 3.07.
922.11 Temporary Traffic Control Sign Paddles and Vests	See Remark	-	-	-	See MQAP Manual Section 3.07.
922.12A Temporary Traffic Control Dust Palliative 1. Calcium Chloride Solids	Test Data Cert	1 per project	5 lb	5000 lb	
922.12A Temporary Traffic Control Dust Palliative 2. Calcium Chloride Solutions	Test Data Cert	1 per project	1 qt	1000 gal	
923 Watermain Materials	Gen Cert	-	-	250 ft of pipe	See contract documents as applicable.

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
1005.02A Standard Mortar and Grout Mixtures	VI	-	-	-	
1005.02B Non-Shrinking Mortar and Grout, Type H-1(Non-Metallic) Pre-Mixed	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (1005.02B).
1005.02C Admixture for Expansive Grout, Type E-1	Test Data Cert	-	-	-	
Note: Certification to include manufacturers recommended dosage per sack of cement.					
1006 Prepackaged Hydraulic Fast Set Mortar	VI See Remark	-	-	-	Must be a Qualified Product (1006).
Misc. #2 Culvert, Cast and Ductile Iron	Gen Cert	-	-	250 ft	
Misc. #3 Clay Pipe	Gen Cert	See Remark	See Remark	10 pieces	See Special Instructions.
Misc. #5 Galvanized Slotted Drain Pipe	VI See Remark	-	-	-	MDOT approval of Design is required. Coating thickness checked at project site.
Misc. #8 Corrugated Galvanized Steel Structural Plated	Gen Cert	1 per 100 plates or fraction thereof	1 piece at least 3 in. by 3 in.	10 plates	

Materials Acceptance Requirements					
See Miscellaneous area at the end of table for materials deleted from the 2020 Standard Specifications for Construction. * Must be tested unless provided by an Approved Manufacturer. ** When the Basis of Acceptance is not “Test”, the sampling criteria below may be used when there are concerns with material quality.					
Spec. No. and Material Name	Basis of Acceptance	Sample Frequency If Required by the Engineer**	Sample Size	Maximum VI Quantity	Remarks (QPL Reference)
Misc. #9 Aluminum Alloy Structural Plates	Gen Cert	-	-	-	
Misc. #13 Pavement Warning Strips	VI	-	-	-	
Misc. #14 Bituminized Cotton Fabric and Fiberglass Fabric	Gen Cert	See Remark	1 piece full width of roll, min of 3 ft	5 rolls	1 per 100 rolls (50 sft per roll) or fraction thereof; for lots of more than 100 rolls - 1 sample plus 1 for each 500 rolls or fraction thereof. Do not sample from first 3-4 ft of roll.

********** See following pages for Special Instructions **********

Special Instructions

708.01 Prestressed Concrete

Fabrication inspection is required for all prestressed miscellaneous bridge products, prestressed straight-strand bridge beams, and prestressed deflected-strand bridge beams. The following steel components incorporated into prestressed concrete beams do not require fabrication inspection: dowel bars and sole plates.

712.03L Mechanical Reinforcement Splicing

The contractor must make test splices, witnessed by the Engineer, on the largest bar sizes that are to be spliced. See Bridge Field Services Advisory (BFSA) 2012-03. Test splice consists of 2 pieces of reinforcing bar joined by the coupler with 12 inches of bar exposed on each end of the coupler.

901 Cements and Fly Ash

Samples of fly ash and slag cement must be accompanied by a sample of the Portland cement with which it is being used. The plastic lined cement sample bags furnished by the laboratory will hold 10 pounds when full.

902 Prequalified Aggregate Suppliers

Quality Assurance tests will be conducted by Department personnel at the frequencies stated in Chapter 3 of the MDOT Aggregate Supplier Program, found in the Procedures for Aggregate Inspection Manual.

904.03A Asphalt Binder for HMA Mixtures

If the Asphalt Binder is supplied from a source currently on the Approved Asphalt Binder Certifier List, a certification meeting the requirements of Section 2.04.05 of the Materials Quality Assurance Procedures Manual is required. See the contract documents for sampling, acceptance, and daily monitoring requirements.

If the binder is supplied from a source that is not currently shown in the Approved Asphalt Binder Certifier List, the asphalt binder must be sampled, tested, and approved for use prior to incorporation into the project. See contract documents for sampling, acceptance, and monitoring requirements.

See Current List of Asphalt Binder Certifiers at Construction Field Services Web Page.

Instructions to Construction Field Services web page: From MDOT home page (michigan.gov/mdot), click on about MDOT (left side), then click on Highway Field Services, and then click Construction Field Services. The Approved Asphalt Binder Certifier List is under Resources & Publications.

Actual Current List of Approved Asphalt Binder Certifier web page address:
http://michigan.gov/documents/AppBinderCertlist_49824_7.pdf

904.03C Emulsified Asphalt

Size of Sample – For CV Sampling see Section 2.05.06 of the Materials Quality Assurance Procedures Manual. For all other sampling see contract documents for criteria. Submit the samples in plastic containers only.

905.03 Bar Reinforcement (Uncoated)

Sample size must be 2 pieces, one 24 in. and one 36 in. Sample ID must include the name of the bar manufacturer, lot number, and heat number. Mill Cert must be attached to the Sample ID form.

905.03C Bar Reinforcement (Epoxy Coated)

Sample size must be 2 pieces, one 24 in. and one 36 in. Sample ID must include the name of the epoxy coating company, epoxy resin trade name, name of bar manufacturer, lot number, and heat number. Mill Cert must be attached to the Sample ID form. Epoxy coating must be a Qualified Product (905.03C).

905.06 Welded Steel Wire Reinforcement

Sample size must be one-piece, full width of fabric with two transverse wires. Longitudinal wires must extend 6 in. to 8 in. either side of transverse wires. Sampling not required when larger wire is less than 0.13 in. (w1.5) in dia. Include on sample ID the size that the wires are supposed to be. Sample may be folded or cut into approx. 3 ft. sections. If cut, pieces should be wired together and identified. Mill Cert must be attached to the Sample ID form.

905.07 Strand for Prestressed Concrete

Do not obtain sample from within 5 feet of the end of the reel. Mill Cert must be attached to the Sample ID form.

905.08 Tendons for Post Tensioning of Box Beams – Prestressing Strand

Do not obtain sample from within 5 feet of the end of the reel. Mill Cert must be attached to the Sample ID form.

906.04 Structural Steel

Fabrication inspection is required for all main and secondary bridge members pay items listed in 707.04.

Fabrication inspection is required for structural steel and pipe bridge railing pay items listed in 711.04.

Fabrication inspection is required for the following structural steel bridge rehabilitation pay items listed in 713.04: pin and hanger replacement, bearing stiffeners at temporary supports, retrofit structural steel, and structural steel welded repairs.

The following structural steel components do not require fabrication inspection: plate washers and pier nosing.

906.07 High Strength Steel Bolts

An assembly is typically a combination of a bolt, nut, and washer but may be any combination of a bolt, nut, washer, lock washer, etc. depending on the project specifications. Mill Certs must be included for each component of the assembly and be attached to the Sample ID form. Plate washers are not required to be sampled and tested.

907.04C Steel Chain Link Fence-Posts for Fence and Gates

An alternative zinc/clear coat system will be allowed for pipe sections only. This alternative coating system shall comply with subsection 907.03D of the Standard Specifications for Construction.

907.04C Steel Chain Link Fence-Top Rail, Horizontal Rail

An alternative zinc/clear coat system will be allowed for pipe sections only. This alternative coating system shall comply with subsection 907.03D of the Standard Specifications for Construction.

908.09C Tubing, Steel Railings (Hardware)

An assembly is typically a combination of a bolt, nut, and washer but may be any combination of a bolt, nut, washer, lock washer, etc. depending on the project specifications. Plate washers are not required to be sampled and tested.

908.14 Anchor Bolts, Nuts, and Washers

An assembly is typically a combination of a bolt, nut, and washer but may be any combination of a bolt, nut, washer, lock washer, etc. depending on the project specifications. For Type C, D, E and J cantilevers, the sampling frequency is 1 assembly per heat, per diameter, per foundation, for a max of 3 per project. Full length bolts are required for testing but if the total length is less than 20”, a second sample must be submitted for testing. Non MDOT standard plan bolts require shop drawings along with a Mill Cert attached to the Sample ID form. Stainless steel anchors bolts

are not required to be sample and tested. Plate washers are not required to be sampled and tested.

908.14D Anchor Bolts and Nuts for Other Purposes

A General Cert is acceptable for stainless steel anchor bolts, pedestal foundations for pedestrian signals, push buttons, controller cabinets, and Hawks signals and repeaters. Anchor bolts for all other purposes require testing. An assembly is typically a combination of a bolt, nut, and washer but may be any combination of a bolt, nut, washer, lock washer, etc. depending on the project specifications. Plate washers are not required to be sampled and tested.

909.04A Reinforced Concrete Pipe
909.04B

Size of Sample - Full size units for strength test. For absorption tests, 26-inch square to 81-inch square in area from the wall of each piece of pipe tested.

Number of Samples - One percent of the number of pieces of each size.

Reinforced concrete pipe 42-inch diameter and larger may be tested by coring. Size of core will be 4-inch nominal diameter (but not less than 3 ¼ inches actual). Up to 1 percent of the number of pieces of pipe for each size, but not less than 3 pieces, will be selected for coring. One core will be drilled and tested from each of these test pieces. Reinforcement will be inspected prior to incorporation in the pipe.

909.04C Nonreinforced Concrete Pipe

Size of Sample - Same as reinforced concrete pipe.

Number of Samples - One percent of the number of pieces, but not less than 2 pieces of each size except that at the option of the department the following sampling schedule will apply for 4 inches through 24 inches in diameter sewer pipe for quantities of 500 or more:

Sampling Schedule - Per the following:

Concrete Pipe	Number of Samples
500 to 1,000 pieces	6
1,001 to 2,000 pieces	8
2,001 to 5,000 pieces	11
Over 5,000 pieces	2 samples per 1,000 or fraction thereof

909.04D Precast Concrete Box Sections

The following structural steel components do not require fabrication inspection: plate washers, connection plates, and connection angles used to connect culvert segment, headwall, and wingwall.

909.04G Precast Concrete Three-Sided or Arch Culverts

The following structural steel components do not require fabrication inspection: plate washers, connection plates, and connection angles used to connect culvert segment, headwall, and wingwall.

909.05A Corrugated Steel Pipe

Size of Sample - A 6-inch by 3-inch (minimum) section cut from the pipe. The sample should be taken from the end of the pipe avoiding the seams. Care should be taken to assure the coating is not damaged during sampling. Mill Cert must be attached to the Sample ID form.

Number of Samples - Per the following:

Diameter of Pipe	Quantity Represented (maximum)
12 inches or less	2500 ft
15 inches through 54 inches	1000 ft
60 inches and over	500 ft

Less than 5 percent of the quantity in the above table may be visually inspected.

909.05A1Corrugated Galvanized Steel Sheets

Size of Sample - One strip the full width of the sheet and 3 ½ inches in the direction of the length of the sheet. The strip may be cut from the end of the sheet for material coated in coils. If the sheets were individually coated after being cut to length, as indicated by heavy accumulations of zinc at one end, the sample strip shall be cut from the end opposite the heavy accumulation and after cutting 4 inches from the end of the sheet. Mill Cert must be attached to the Sample ID form.

Number of Samples - Per the following:

Diameter of Pipe (max)	Length of Sheet	Quantity Represented
12 inches or less	44 inches or less	2500 ft
15 through 54 inches	50 to 175 inches, approx*	1000 ft
60 inches and over	190 inches and over*	500 ft

*Larger pipe may be made from combination of shorter sheets. Less than 5 percent of the quantity in the table above may be visually inspected.

Note: Normally each heat and thickness is to be sampled. Exception may be made where quantities are limited and/or mixtures of heat numbers are excessive.

909.05B Corrugated Aluminum Alloy Sheets

Size of Sample - A transverse strip full width of the sheet and at least 3 inches in length cut from the end of the sheet.

Number of Samples - A sample shall be taken from each of 3 different sheets for lots weighing 5 tons or less, from 4 sheets for lots weighing more than 5 tons and less than 10 tons, and from 5 sheets for lots weighing 10 tons or more.

910.03 Geotextiles

Sampling Frequency - Obtain samples to represent the required quantity of geotextile according to the following schedule:

Geotextile	First Sample	Additional Samples
Blanket	500 to 1500 syd	7,500 syd or less
Liner for Riprap	500 to 1500 syd	5,000 syd or less
Separator/Stabilization	500 to 1500 syd	25,000 syd or less
Liner for Heavy Riprap	500 to 1500 syd	4,000 syd or less

Maximum for VI - 500 syd (4500 sq ft)

Size of Sample - Sample must be a minimum of 75 sft, taken across the full roll width. For rolls over 15 ft wide, sample must be a minimum of 5 ft long, taken across the full roll width.

Geotextile samples submitted for testing must be identified with the lot number and/or roll number, the name of the manufacturer, and the product or style number.

Notes:

Geotextiles must be unwrapped one full roll circumference prior to sampling.

Geotextiles must be rolled, not folded, and shipped in a manner to prevent creases in the fabric.

910.05 Drainage Geocomposites

Size of Sample –

Filter wrap geotextile sample must be a minimum of 75 sft, taken across the full roll width.

Polymer core sample must be a minimum of 6 ft long, taken across the full roll width. Coupon of the assembled drainage geocomposite must be a minimum of 1 ft long, taken across the full roll width.

Notes:

Manufacturers and suppliers must provide separate samples of filter wrap geotextile and polymer core for testing. These samples must be taken from the same production lot as the assembled drainage geocomposite intended for use on the project.

Include a coupon of the assembled drainage geocomposite when the separate components are submitted for testing.

Assembled coupon and component samples of the drainage geocomposite submitted for testing must be identified with the lot number and/or roll number, the name of the manufacturer, and the product or style number.

914.07 Load Transfer Assemblies; Dowel Baskets

Field Inspection required per Chapter 3.04 of the Materials Quality Assurance Procedures Manual. A Powerpoint in Division 602 of the Construction Manual has been created to assist with the field inspections. Form #0553 is to be used to document the inspections.

Assemblies must meet the requirements of Standard Plan, R-40-H.
All shipments of load transfer assemblies will be accompanied by proper certification documentation.

- Certification from steel (dowel bar) manufacturer.
- Certification from epoxy coating company.
- Documentation of epoxy coating.
- Certification from assembly manufacturer.
- Documentation of bond release.

When shipment is made to a project, each bundle will bear a legible tag with the following information:

- Assembly manufacturer name and plant location.
- Control section/project number.
- Lot number or other identification that will also be shown on the accompanying certification.
- Supplier and/or contractor’s name.

Misc. #3 Clay Pipe

Normal Sampling Frequency - One percent of the number of pieces, but not less than 2 pieces of each size except that at the option of the department the following sampling schedule will apply for 4-inch through 24-inch diameter sewer pipe for quantities of 500 or more:

Sampling Schedule - Per the following:

Clay Pipe	Number of Samples
500 to 1,000 pieces	6
1,001 to 2,000 pieces	8
2,001 to 5,000 pieces	11
Over 5,000 pieces	2 samples per 1,000 or fraction thereof

Size of Sample - Full size units for strength test. For absorption tests, 26 inches square to 82 inches square in area from the wall of each piece of pipe tested.