

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

MICRC

20240116-900 Meeting

Captioned by Q&A Reporting, Inc., [www.qacaptions.com](http://www.qacaptions.com)

>> CHAIR ORTON: As Chair of the Commission I call this meeting of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission to order at 9:00 a.m.

This Zoom webinar is live streamed on YouTube on the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission YouTube channel.

For anyone in the public watching who would prefer to watch via a different platform than they are currently using, please visit our social media at redistricting MI.

Our live stream today includes closed captioning. Closed captioning, ASL interpretation and Spanish, Arabic and Bengali translation services will be provided for effective participation in this meeting. E-mail us for Redistriction@michigan.gov for additional viewing options or details on accessing language translation services for this meeting.

People with disabilities needing other specific accommodations should also contact us at Reidistricting@michigan.gov.

This meeting is being recorded and will be available at [www.Michigan.gov/MICRC](http://www.Michigan.gov/MICRC) for viewing at a later date.

This meeting is also being transcribed and those closed captions transcripts will be made available and posted on the Michigan.gov/MICRC website along with written public comment submissions

Members of the media who may have questions before, during or after the meeting should direct those questions to Edward Woods, III, Executive Director for the Commission, at [WoodsE3@Michigan.gov](mailto:WoodsE3@Michigan.gov) or 517-331-6309.

For the public watching and the public record I will turn to the Department of State to take note of the Commissioners present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Good morning Commissioners.

>> Good morning.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Please say present when I call your name if you are attending remotely announce during roll call you are attending remotely and unless your absence is due to military announce your location stating county, City Township village and the state you're attending the meeting remotely from. I will start with Commissioner Elaine Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Here from Imlay Township, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Donna Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Attending remotely from North Carolina.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Juanita Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Present attending remotely from Detroit, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Anthony Eid?  
>> COMMISSIONER EID: Present remotely attending from Detroit, Michigan.  
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Brittini Kellom?  
>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Present attending remotely from Detroit, Michigan.  
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Rhonda Lange?  
>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Present attending remotely from Reed City, Michigan.  
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Steven Lett?  
>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Present attending from Lee County, Florida.  
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Marcus Muldoon?  
>> MARCUS MULDOON: Present from Lincoln Park, Michigan, remotely.  
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Cynthia Orton?  
>> CHAIR ORTON: Present attending remotely from Battle Creek, Michigan.  
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Rebecca Szetela?  
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA:  
>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: She is having technical difficulties.  
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Okay, thank you, Executive Director Woods. We will get her when we come back around. Thank you. Janice Vallette?  
>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Attending remotely from Highland Township Michigan.  
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Erin Wagner?  
Richard Weiss?  
>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Present attending remotely from Saginaw Township, Saginaw Michigan.  
>> YVONNE YOUNG: We want to go back to Rebecca Szetela?  
I'm going to go back to Erin Wagner. Madam Chair, we have 11 Commissioners present. We have a quorum.  
>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you Ms. Young.  
>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome.  
>> CHAIR ORTON: As a reminder to the public watching, you can view the agenda at Michigan.gov/MICRC.  
I entertain a motion to approve the agenda.  
>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So moved.  
>> CHAIR ORTON: Moved. Do we have a second?  
Seconded by Juanita Curry. Is there any discussion or debate on the motion?  
Hearing none, all in favor please of adopting the meeting agenda please raise your hand and say aye.  
>> Aye.  
>> CHAIR ORTON: Any opposed raise your hand and say nay. Okay hearing none then the ayes prevail, and the agenda is adopted.  
Without objection, MICRC Executive Director Edward Woods.

Ill will review the public comment guidelines. Hearing no objection please proceed, Mr. Woods.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you Madam Chairperson. Addressing the Commission all comments shall be directed to the Commission as a whole not anyone individual or Commissioner. Speakers are requested to address items on the agenda or topics relevant to the redistricting work of the MICRC. Speakers are requested to indicate if they are speaking to a particular District which District they are speaking to. No person other than members of the I'm sorry the Chairperson may warrant a person an opportunity to speak for failure to be germane for vulgarity and redundancy within their comment. Speaking for over the allotted time or failure to address remarks of the Commission. Any person making I'm pertinent may be requested to stop speaking. The Chairperson may take action to address such remarks which may include a warning, a clarification, a request for the person to stop speaking or to be ruled out of order. If any person becomes loud or unruly the Chairperson may say out of order and forfeit the opportunity to speak further and that person may's their remarks. Any attendee or whoever is speaking at the direction to the Chairperson for breach of the peace actually committed at the meeting will be dismissed.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Director Woods. I actually thought that we had a discussion earlier on when we were mapping first time that not just the Chair, but any Commissioner could do any of those things.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Right but the Chair would be the one who is hosting the meeting when someone is speaking at the mic, as far as the Commissioners they should follow the code of conduct like all of us should.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, thank you.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Excuse me I would like to Madam Chair I would like to acknowledge Commissioner Rebecca Szetela's presence.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Calling in remotely from Wayne County Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you so much you now have 12.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Janice Vallette will facilitate the public comment portion of today's agenda.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: We will go to the agenda topics of the meeting, no objection we will proceed with the public comment.

Individuals who have signed up and indicated that they would like to provide live remote public commentary to the Commission will now be allowed. I will call your name and our staff will unmute you. If you're on a computer you will be prompted by the Zoom app to unmute your microphone and speak. If you are on the phone, a voice will say the host wants you to speak and prompt you to press star six to unmute.

I will call on you by your name or by the last four digits of your phone number. Also please note if you experience technical or audio issues or we do not hear from you from three to five seconds we will move on to the next person in line and then return to you

after they are done speaking. If your audio still does not work you can e-mail [redistricting@Michigan.gov](mailto:redistricting@Michigan.gov) and we will help you trouble shoot so you can participate during the public comment period at a later date. You will have two minutes to address the Commission. Please conclude your remarks when you hear the timer.

First in line to speak for the public comment is Mr. Galant. James Galant.

>> Can you hear me now?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes.

>> Okay, Madam Chair, I don't know who that was speaking, but it did not sound like Secretary Benson. And the Constitution requires Secretary Benson be the secretary without a vote. You cannot have a meeting without a secretary. This is a violation of a fundamental, parliamentary common law in America. And I think that we have a little more -- now, you need to have a motion before you have any debate. That's Page 366, line 8, shall be no debate before there is a motion with a proper second to prove a specific action, and approve the maps. You should have it first. It's completely backwards. Commissioner Szetela said you were -- I think she mentioned how the agenda's changed. You used to give and let members have more discussion and amendments before you made the motion for approving the agenda. That was true. And, guess what? That was a violation of the rules. And now it appears we have more evidence that Commissioner Eid is actually, and I believe it is, that he is affiliated with the democratic party. Because Mr. Steve Liedel, how did he get Steve Liedel to be his attorney?

That guy shows up. He is a top-notch lawyer. I see him at the state board of canvassers meeting. And he is the one that suggested on the behalf of the client it appeared, this is my opinion, that he appeared to say that parliamentary law does not apply to public bodies in Michigan because you're not parliamentary bodies, which is completely insane, a dilution, because it's -- the Attorney General acknowledges parliamentary law. And the Secretary of State has instructed you, you have to follow parliamentary procedure in Robert's Rules of Order, that was your one instruction. You must follow Robert's Rules under our Constitution. So please consider that, Madam Chair, that you are the one responsible now. You are the one -- like she said, you are the one assigning the floor. You don't even know what the rules are here because you don't know the backdoor deals that these people have all made and then just telling you what the answer is. You are the Chair. You are supposed to be telling them, and that is how it works in America. So I think you need training. I think we all need training on Robert's Rules of Order and the motion first, second, discussion and then vote every single time. It always has to happen. It always has to be there.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Your allotted time has ended. Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Robert Dindoffer. Please allow a moment for our staff to unmute you. You are now unmuted.

>> Can you hear me?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Go ahead, yes.

>> They folks. It's good to speak with you all, and to the new Commissioners I'm glad to have you. And I hope that you are as excellent as the Commissioners who departed.

I for those who don't know and for those who do I have spoken up on behalf of my home community and trying to obtain representation that reflects the community of interest where I live which is suburbs along Lake St. Clair specifically the Grosse Pointe communities but including all the suburbs along Lake St. Clair. And I think that specifically as you all are mapping, my current State House District is District 10. Includes all the Grosse Pointes. And the waterfront heading into downtown Detroit. Which I thought reasonably represented a community of interest in terms of waterfront communities. However, as the District has been struck down, and the neighboring District 11 includes a sizable portion of St. Clair shores, I think an even better community of interest could be represented in a new District that includes the five Grosse Pointes and the portion of St. Clair shores that is currently in State House District 11. I would have over 89,000 residents, which is practically perfect. In terms of the size of a District. And in terms of community of interest I don't think you can do much better. Thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line Christopher Gilmer Hill.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: That participant is not present.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Well, that concludes our public comment. Please feel free to e-mail public comments to the Commission at [redistricting@Michigan.gov](mailto:redistricting@Michigan.gov). We appreciate everyone who offers public comment in whatever way you choose and invite you to keep sharing your thoughts especially if you would like to share ways that the -- sorry, it's ended. I don't know. Thank you everyone for public comment.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Commissioner Vallette. Next on the agenda is presentation impacting mapping. Without objection, I will ask MICRC secretary Sarah Reinhardt to facilitate this item. Hearing no objection please proceed Ms. Reinhardt.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Good morning Commissioners. As we are returning to mapping sessions, I thought it might be helpful for us to quickly review your previously adopted mapping process and procedures. It's been some time since we've gone over this. As it was most recently adopted December 28th of 2021. And pertains to specific time periods of mapping during your initial phase of mapping. In 2021. So we can revisit this really quickly and go through some key terms and definitions and then if the Commission would like to adopt this mapping process again, you're welcome to do so. If you have changes or adjustments that you would like to make to it, you are also welcome to propose that and discuss that at this time.

So without further ado quickly before we get started I see that Commissioner Wagner has joined. Commissioner Wagner can you share where you are remotely from.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Attending remotely from Charlotte, Michigan.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Thank you.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: I will now share my screen. So can everyone see the mapping process and procedures?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Great, all right so as you can see we start here with key terms and definitions. Many of these you are already aware of but there are a few that I would like to go over just so we are on the same Page regarding map versions and definitions as we ascribe specific definitions to some of these versions of maps here. So draft maps are initial maps drafted by the Commission prior to public hearings. Alternate drafts are a draft map put forth for consideration by an individual Commissioner during the draft map period.

And I think those are really the only two that I wanted to go over today.

>> CHAIR ORTON:

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: I think you are all familiar with this but just to rereview for the Commissioners and members of the public there is Constitution we required mapping criteria in rank order, Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Would you just repeat what you just said about the individual versus collaborative?

Was that a change or are you just rereading what it was originally?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Yeah, just rereading what it was originally. We provided these definitions so that when we are referring to the different versions of maps Commissioners would understand like where they originate from and where they are kind of, are in the process of being developed. So, again, this is just kind of at this point like proposed definitions for the Commission's review based on what was previously adopted. But if you would like to propose a change to that you are welcome to. And I have even a word document that I can bring up after we go through all of these or go through this document and if you have any proposed changes we can make them like right now. So just to revisit draft maps our initial maps drafted by the Commission prior to public hearings and alternate drafts are draft maps put forth for consideration by an individual Commissioner during the draft map period. And there's a little more on that in the process later that might help clarify the difference between the two.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: But again these are new definitions that you added?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: No, these are from.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: The original.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Yes, correct.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Yep. All right, so this is your mapping criteria in rank order. And number one districts shall be of equal population as mandated by the United

States Constitution and shall comply with the Voting Rights Act and other Federal laws. Districts shall be geographically contiguous island areas are considered to be contiguous by land to the county of which they are a part of. Districts shall District the state's diverse population and communities of interest. Districts shall not provide a disproportionate advantage to any political party. Districts shall not favor or disfavor an incumbent elected official or candidate. Districts shall have consideration of county and boundaries and shall be reasonably compact. As a reminder, there was a helpful table in this guide that contained the ideal District size. And as you can see the asterisks here indicates districts counts set by the Michigan Constitution so for State House these are the numbers we are looking at with the outlining deviation that I believe the Commission selected last time.

So these are out dated mapping schedules from 2021. So we will kind of skip through those. And we will come here. So this is the mapping session process that as I said the Commission had previously adopted. So these are things that would occur prior to a mapping session that Commissioners it's recommended for you to do before coming to a mapping session so first is research and review of the particular area that the Commission will have or will be mapping. And considerations for that preparation include reviewing the constitutional criteria that we just reviewed. Reviewing COIs from public comments so communities of interest COIs. Reviewing any like census data that or population data that pertains to that particular area. In considering also are there any additional communities of interest that should be considered that are not mentioned in public comment.

Also, it's helpful to familiarize yourself with the area generally with landmarks or geographic or top graphic details.

So another option that Commissioners have prior to a mapping session is to develop their own draft maps. So Commissioners can but are not required to make their own individually drafted maps for sharing during a public mapping meeting. Individual maps again are not required, totally optional. So don't feel like you have to draw an individual map before every mapping session but you're welcome to if you would like to. To maintain public transparency and trust Commissioners should not share individually drafted District maps with other Commissioners or collaboratively draft District maps prior to the public meeting. The Commission is constitutionally required to draw District maps during public meetings. And Commissioners who have produced individual maps they intend to share with the Commission during a public meeting just notify your staff EDS which is your mappers. And the secretary, which is me, at least one day prior to the scheduled mapping session for that area. And that's just so we can upload your map online so that the public is able to view it and that way when you're presenting it during a public meeting the public also has access to it by that time.

So that's before a mapping session. So this is really the process for during a mapping session. And again most of this here has to do with the 2021 timeline so I will

skip that and go just directly into one. So the first thing during a mapping session when we first start the Chairperson will announce the area and District types. So for today's mapping session for example that would be the Detroit area and House maps that would be worked on. Once that's announced for just like the public record and public knowledge so they kind of know where we are at which sounds like you know that will be mostly where we are at for the most part during this period EDS your mappers will open and display the mapping software to show the area being discussed. And any time we resume from breaks, the Chairperson will repeat that information for the public benefit.

Collaborative line drawing sessions. So you all may be familiar, remember this process from when we did it way back when. The Commission will choose an area to begin with or an area in the districts that you have been assigned to redraw. And you may consider within that area a densely populated area versus lessly populated areas or barriers. It's really up to you to pick where you would like to begin. So the collaborative mapping process is how you go around between Commissioners to draw the lines. So this will really follow this a similar process to how roll call votes are done where we start alphabetically and go in order of Commissioners. So the first Commissioner would be selected by alphabetical order to begin drawing the first District line. Commissioners can pass if they would prefer not to go. But you're encouraged not to as this is a collaborative mapping session. So each Commissioner is encouraged to contribute and take a turn.

And Commissioners can also elect instead of drawing a map from scratch if they have an alternative District map that's been drawn by different Commissioner or if they have a draft map that they have created prior to the meeting that they would like to start with as kind of a base line, they are welcome to do that.

So that Commissioner who is selected to draw that District will begin the line drawing process. And the Chairperson or Vice Chairperson will help facilitate the discussion between Commissioners regarding the placement or adjustment of proposed lines. And the Chairperson or Vice Chairperson can instruct EDS to make proposed adjustments based on the discussion of the full Commission.

So, again, these are just some considerations to keep in mind whenever drawing or adjusting lines. And after the initial District line is drawn subsequent District line drawing may require the Commission to go back and adjust lines. As you all know when you draw the new lines it impacts all the lines around it so that is always something that is possible for the Commission to do.

As the lines are being drawn you will review proposed COIs. And you will consult with any consultants that are available as you're drawing the lines or after lines are drawn. In keeping with the process.

As you're going I may prompt you with questions about why lines are being drawn a certain way. Or as you're going you may describe your thinking behind drawing the



lines. So that our staff can keep record of like rationale for why lines are drawn a specific way.

And then Commissioner Szetela this is the piece about alternate drafts. If you recall Commissioners often when lines were being drawn had thoughts about, well, like what if we draw it a little bit differently after districts were drawn. So an alternate draft is what we are referring to when Commissioners -- after a District is completed. If a Commissioner would like to see how it would look drawn a little bit differently they can request an alternate draft. And you can do that one of two ways. You can do it by asking EDS to assist withdrawing an alternate draft live during a meeting. Or if you have the software on your computer, you can draw it yourself and then submit it to be publicly posted.

So if you were to do it during a public meeting, it would go a little bit like this. After the Commission finalizes a single District upon recognition by the Chairperson or Vice Chairperson the individual who requested an alternate map may direct EDS on how to draw their alternative map of the District. The drawing of an alternate map will not occur simultaneously with collaborative mapping. So you would need to wait until after the District that you're wanting to draw differently the collaborative session for drawing that is done and then request an alternate be drawn.

Again, or you can do it on your independently on your own computer with mapping software.

And then this is has to do with the naming convention for how different districts will be named and recorded since there are multiple versions it's helpful to keep track of it in this way.

And then this just says the above process will be followed until all areas are completed.

All right, so that is the currently adopted collaborative mapping session. Do any Commissioners have questions or proposed edits?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I assume you will take all the past dates out of that.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Yes. So I do have a kind of updated version that has taken out all of the older dates. Can you see my screen? Correctly?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: So if you were to adopt this, this would be adopted as of today and I would update this date. So this is a very simplified version of that document. Which links to your current mapping session schedule. And has all of that information as it was just listed with the exception of any old 2021 dates that were included.

So if there were parts of the process that you wanted to change you can either adjust them here in this document and then adopt the document as amended or the process as amended. Or, of course, as the Commission did frequently during the last mapping

session you can always vote to, you know, suspend the process and make adjustments through that means.

>> MR. WOODS: Commissioner Orton?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Sarah, yes.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Our local counsel, Nate Fink, is on, if he is able to provide feedback, please.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Go ahead, Mr. Fink.

>> Nate Fink: Thank you. Good morning, Commissioners. I appreciate the opportunity to speak with you very briefly.

The document that was just presented, the mapping process and procedures I know is the document that had been adopted by the Commission during its 2021 mapping sessions. And to the extent that anything in this document or frankly any other documents that you're considering suggests and says explicitly or implies that you would be considering race in your line drawing process, you must disregard that. And as the Commission has already voted in its last meeting and as the Court has explicitly instructed, the Commission shall not consider and draw lines based on race during this mapping process.

And we can do perhaps a review of the mapping process document itself and provide some suggestions that are appropriate. I'm not sure there is explicit references here that are of concern. But to the extent that there is in any way an implication or a suggestion that you should be or can be considering race in this line drawing process, you must disregard that and not do so. And so I just wanted to make that statement right here. And beyond that, you know, there is discussion of communities of interest. You can still absolutely of course consider communities of interest. But in doing so you cannot consider consideration while considering those communities of interest. So I just wanted to make that statement. We are certainly here to support you in any way that we possibly can but just wanted to jump in on that point.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you.

>> Nate Fink: Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Does anyone have any questions for Nate or Sarah?

Okay, I just have a question. So before we would adopt this document, local counsel wants some time to review it and bring it back to us. Question?

>> Nate Fink: Was that posed to me?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> Nate Fink: Yeah, I think if we are given an opportunity just to provide feedback and there may be just some minor tweaks overall and say just be conscious of the fact that you cannot consider in the line drawing process. So, yes, the answer to your question is that we can have an opportunity to do a review of that and we can propose some suggested changes that for the Commission's consideration.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> Nate Fink: And, you know, the document just in sort of reviewing it as it's here live it says that you have to comply with the V RA, which is not part of the consideration at this time. As you know the Commission voted to do the line drawing, so called race blind. And then at the end there will be a review of the map. That is before it is issued for public comment and submitted to the Court there will be a VRA conducted. But as you are moving through this process and starting your mapping today, you cannot have that as part of your consideration. You cannot be considering race. And so we do want to make sure that we address those issues in the document before it is formally adopted for your mapping process that you're going to be going through.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay so we will revisit this after you had a chance to review.

>> Nate Fink: Thank you. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So next on the agenda is our collaborative mapping process. So it looks like maybe Election Data Services looks like we have Kent Stigall with us. So without our documents saying exactly how we are going to go about the collaborative mapping process, I guess we will just begin and if people have recommendations they can make those. It looks like Kim Brace has a hand up? Do you have something to say?

>> KIM BRACE: Yes Madam Chairwoman thank you. I want to point out one thing from the Court order that has been pointed out to me from the attorneys. The Court said in redrawing the boundaries of these districts, will be avoidably affecting the boundaries of other districts. We therefore expect that the districts for not only the unconstitutional District but also other districts as reasonably necessary will be redrawn. I know there was a question earlier and last week of whether or not we can touch other districts. And I believe it's been pointed out to me that the Court was rather clear that as reasonably necessary you could end up making changes, at least in terms of adjacent districts. That's the one key point that I wanted to make sure that everybody was aware of.

The other piece is that we have done a lot of different things, even since last Thursday for your benefit. And Kent will show that on his screen too. I have it on mine in addition. And so we have access now to neighborhood boundaries within Detroit, Wayne County. And we can turn those on-and-off. As I believe I mentioned to everyone on Thursday, we have the updated precinct boundaries from the state, and we can turn those on-and-off. The spreadsheet itself has been updated. And if I can share my screen before Kent ends up doing his, the -- all right. Can you see my screen?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> KIM BRACE: The screen itself right now shows and is focused in on the Detroit, Wayne County area. The districts that have been identified by the Court have been basically erased from this draft map as a starting point. But as -- I've noted the Court already has said that nine and 13, while it's not Judge confirmed, we can end up making some degree of changes as reasonably necessary. Can be done.

So we have, as far as the spreadsheet itself, we have on the bottom we have just total population. There is no racial data here at all. And so we are just looking at total population. As I also indicated to people last week, we have been updating the data set for the political data. And we have an updated index that we have created with contest including the 2022 contest. So if you recall from two years ago, we had created various indexes as well as a composite score based upon the various contests. And indeed as we look at composites now we have the Governor's race from 2022 in the data set and the Attorney Generals race and those are the key components and the Secretary of State's race.

So that is all now feeding into the composite score. In the updated index.

We have kept the original index without 2022 so that we've got comparative capabilities. If anybody has a question on that front. But basically it's the updated index that we would end up being utilized for the map drawing. As well as the overview that just shows total population.

With that, I think Kent is going to be leading the main part of drafting. But I will be happy to answer any questions you might have.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you. Are there any questions for Mr. Brace?  
And I see Erin you're on the phone. I won't be able to see if you have a question, so you will have to chime in.

Okay, Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Well, Mr. Brace, do we still have the bellwether election data available?

Or are we also not going to be using that?

Does that count at political or race?

>> KIM BRACE: No. That counts as political. And so those bellwether and data is there within the system.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so Kent Stigall?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Hello. For some reason I don't know, I don't have the updated active matrix with the election data in it. I may have just overlooked it in the e-mails, Kim.

>> KIM BRACE: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I know that is recent so I may have just missed it this morning or last night. But at this moment if we start drawing plans immediately, we will get those added in at lunch or something. But starting out I don't know if it's -- we really need to have it. That's it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, thank you. Okay, so is there any other discussion on mapping process that we will start before the document is agreed upon?

Okay then I guess we shall begin.

So.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Cynthia.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Who is going to go first?

Who is going to go second?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I believe we are going to start alphabetically.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: And Secretary of State, are you going to, like, help us stay alphabetical and call on the next person?

Or.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Yeah, absolutely. So beginning alphabetically the first Commissioner to draw would be Commissioner Andrade.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I'm going to pass. I'm having Internet difficulties.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: The next commission then would be Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I know the recommendation is not to pass but being the newbie here amongst you seasoned mappers I am going to pass and watch a round go through before I jump in and lead the thing so I will pass.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Great next up is Commissioner Curry?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Juanita, are you online?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Actually I'm not seeing her.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I see her name.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: She might have stepped away so perhaps we can go to the next Commissioner and return to her. Next up would be Commissioner Eid.

>> COMMISSIONER EID:

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela, you have your hand up?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I do. I have some concerns I'd like to raise. As you know Commissioner Eid had previously worked for two organizations that had lobbied the Commission. And at the time the second place came up Michigan voices. The comments were made by many Commissioners that they would be concerned.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So you have raised these concerns, and we are going to deal with them later. But we can't stop the mapping process.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I understand we can't stop the mapping process however I would like a motion that Commissioner Eid could not collaboratively map, and I would like to state my reasons why.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I'll second that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: We have a motion and a second. So.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Just to give the background on what my concern is. Is, one, it's the two previous segments of employee with API votes Michigan and also with Michigan voices both of which lobbies heavily and you know that creates a conflict of interest potentially with map drawing at this point. My other concern is the fact the

notice against him is pending in a typical public body when you have a person with allegations against them that person would be on administrative leave and not be able to continue their job while the investigation is taking place. I think that this should be the same here.

The other concern I have is with respect to the order that the three Judge panel issued. They had significant concerns with Commissioner Eid's testimony in that case. They found him to be not credible and evasive. They are aware of the notice that is pending before him. And my concern is that if we allow him to continue to draw into these maps that it could potentially be grounds for that three Judge panel could be a factor against our maps. Because of the concerns there. Like I said we are not in a situation where we are just approving things anymore and on our own. We have two have a Magistrate look at our work and a three Judge panel and ultimately have the discussion. So I think the concern is there.

And then in terms of his right to draw, we don't actually have a right to draw under the Constitution. There is nothing that provides that. He has a right to vote, and I certainly think he should continue to have that right. But I don't think he should be allowed to draw on our maps. So to clarify what my motion is it's to prevent Commissioner Eid from directly or indirectly making changes to collaborative maps. By indirectly he is not allowed to engage in past practice which has been to text, talk to, communicate message other Commissioners to make changes for him so that is my motion. And I would like a roll call vote on this motion as well.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I oppose this motion. Clearly, a person who has this petition to vacate certainly has not been found to have done whatever it is they have been claiming to have been done in order to do that. Furthermore, it's somewhat in Congress that the person, Rebecca Szetela, who filed this motion to vacate is the same person who basically went to the Plaintiffs with her concerns after having set here and mapped with us as the cheer leader and the -- had the baton leading the racial profiling that the Court claims that we did, which I for one don't feel that we did. The whole story wasn't told. Now, the Court can determine credibility, or not.

They seem to base credibility on Anthony Eid's issues with Wayne state. It's unfortunate that Commissioner Szetela's credibility was not brought up from her legal entanglements with one of her at the time coworkers on supposed entanglements with that where the Court found her not to be credible.

So having said all that there is no reason legally or procedurally that Commissioner Eid cannot continue to vote to draw maps and have his knowledge presented. Certainly, he is familiar, one of the persons who is more familiar with the Detroit area than most of us. So I oppose this motion.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I just want to say that, you know, the way that Commissioner Szetela is framing events is not concurrent with reality. The -- there's absolutely nothing wrong with me taking a nonpartisan, non-for profit community position a year and two years after we are done drawing maps. Now, that is my opinion. You guys can come up with that discussion later. But there was never a conflict of interest. There isn't currently a conflict of interest. Perhaps if I was currently employed by one of those organizations Commissioner Szetela would have a point. But I'm not.

I'd like to remind this Commission we had four lawsuits. I defended this Commission in all four lawsuits, and we were successful in three of them. And that was not easy. It was a hard task. I often was the only Commissioner putting my name and my butt on the line defending these maps that by the way Commissioner Szetela voted for. So I appreciate it, Steve. And let's just take the vote.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Sorry about that. I'm driving. I echo Steve's sentiment. There are several things that Commissioner Szetela could have been nailed for and it's unfortunate we are even in this in the mapping process to make digs at issues that as you Chair and will be addressed at a later date. It's an attempt which is my personal opinion to save face from incorrect map drawing that effected the City of Detroit as Commissioner Lett alluded to, that was led by Commissioner Szetela, championing it was okay.

There is even a recording of her trying to silence me. So, again, and this is no disrespect to you, Commissioner Szetela. I don't have an issue with you. What I have an issue with is an inappropriate nature of these things coming up. And at this time we are supposed to be a collaborative body. And if you have a particular challenge against Commissioner Eid I would appreciate if you would handle that on your own time and through appropriate professional procedures rather than get folks to rally behind you to come against Commissioner Eid or any other Commissioner. Or get folks to I don't like the way you are manipulating the process. And transparently like I said I would be driving to Olivet, Michigan this morning which I'm doing. I can't safely map. So I would appreciate if Commissioner Eid along with that to do it in the spirit would be able to do this process and do it well. Then I will be very, very limited in my contribution and then we will have to exit the meeting. I think it's a waste of our time. While it seems this could be something that is directed towards Commissioner Eid it makes everyone look bad. And it's a challenge on everyone's integrity. So I hope that we can cut these concerns and Commissioner Szetela I hope you can return to the woman I worked with early on in this process. Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Thank you, Chair Orton. I do think Commissioner Szetela makes some good points as far as the previous maps being brought up, how

she led the Commission. Each Commissioner has their own voice. Each Commissioner chose to draw and chose to vote on whatever maps they did. Nobody was holding a gun to anybody's head. So to kind of lay that on her because she was the Chair I don't think is right.

As far as the conflict-of-interest part goes, I found out about a lot of this way after the fact. But there is the fact also that Commissioner Eid lied twice and said the jobs were clear with legal and when we discussed it with legal they said that that did not happen.

So I do hold some concerns about the honesty. And I'm not trying to throw him under. I know I'm a party to the other papers too. I'm just saying I do have concerns. And I'll just leave it at that. Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: I think it's time we just start drawing the maps. Just like we are supposed to be doing. I think that we need to put aside the things that are going on about Commissioner Eid and Commissioner Szetela. Everything everybody said is true. There are 13 of us. You act like Commissioner Eid is going to draw the maps and they are going to be just how he wants them or just whoever you guys think he is in cahoots with, and we are just going to sit by and let it happen. Let's just do what we are being paid to do. Let's just draw the maps sed Szetela I call the question so motion to discontinue discussion.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Seconded.

>> CHAIR ORTON: There is a motion and a second to discontinue discussion. All in favor raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Any opposed raise your hand and say nay. I see one nay, two nays. The ayes have it. So now there is a motion on the floor to will you restate Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Certainly motion to provide Commissioner Eid from directly or indirectly drawing the collaborative maps. And I ask for a roll call as well.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right. So Secretary of State roll call please.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Commissioner Orton?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Our local counsel is prepared to provide a legal opinion if you feel that it's needed before the vote.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Sure, go ahead, Mr. Fink.

>> Nate Fink: I apologize. A little technical problem here. There is a process for addressing concerns regarding the qualifications of Commissioners to participate. In fact, in this instance that process has been initiated. And the Commission is moving forward to review the allegations that have been made by Commissioner Szetela. Without prejudging that, and I express no opinion for purposes of this legal opinion



regarding that, there is no constitutional process for partial periodic removal of somebody from participation. The Commission is a Commission of equals. And a Commission that should be participating fully. If somebody should be removed, they should be removed entirely through the constitutional process. There is no constitutional process for partially removing people based on the perspective of one Commissioner. That said obviously you should go forward with your vote. But I do not see any legal basis for challenging an individual Commissioner for any basis, on any basis, without following the proper removal process which has already been initiated in this case. Even if it weren't initiated this process would be out of order.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So then I have a question, Mr. Fink so then do we just -- is this process out of order and we move on, or do we need to do the vote now there is a motion and a second?

>> Nate Fink: I'm in an ironic position because I'm not the parliamentarian.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Should I speak to the parliamentarian.

>> Nate Fink: If there were a point of order which I can't make because I'm not a Commissioner. If there were a point of order I believe that the parliamentarian would speak to that. On the other hand the entire issue would be moot if there is a vote and this is voted down. All that said, if there were a point of order I think the parliamentarian presumably would speak to it. If not if the parliamentarian sought advice again I would indicate as I have now on this record that there is no legal basis for the relief that's being sought right now.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Well to me it seems pointless to take a vote if we are not or don't have the power to vote on this. So I will call point of order and ask for, parliamentarian to interject.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Points of order fall down to the judgment of the Chair. So as Chair you would rule whether or not something is out of order.

>> CHAIR ORTON:

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Point of order.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Point of order is that based upon the representations of our counsel, David Fink, that this motion is improper and out of order and thus I believe the Chair should rule.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I agree. Yes. So that motion is ruled out of order and let's move on.

So we were at Commissioner's Eid turn to begin drawing. So Mr. Stigall will you put the map back up?

And I believe this was brought up by Mr. Stigall at our last meeting. But if you would just refresh and just give us from your point of view, having experience in mapping, a point of beginning, where would be reasonable to begin drawing?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. Commissioner Orton, so just looking at what you're looking at the white area is unassigned, and it had previously been the districts that the courts found essentially illegal. My opinion is and now the Court has clarified it that the surrounding districts are going to have to be edited. The depth of which you do such is, you know, of course up to each and every Commissioner. But to me it's blatantly obvious nine has to be you know maybe trimmed back. 13, you know, cutback in such a fashion so that you have a contiguous, you know, one massive area to write your new District in. For example you may choose to unassign this area here of four. Just so that you have all of it. Maybe the southern part of nine and you know the east and then the west side. Or delete them out altogether. I don't know, that is each individual's or collective Commission's decisions so that you have a block of area to draw in rather than essentially restricting yourself to draw in a U-shaped. If you do it in a U-shape, or if you delete 13 and nine and let's say half of four and get started, you still probably want to start, you know, in a corner or even to the southern part and go around. But being collaborative, again, you know I don't know how long each person is going to get or where they want to work. Maybe the first Senator or Commissioner wants to start down there and go around Dearborn, River Rouge or southern Detroit I don't know. Maybe they want to be up in Oakland County. That's my opinion, you know, when I was just looking at it is to cleanup some of the -- give yourself some area to work within. And you can always reference what is already there.

With that said, Commissioner Eid, where would you like to begin?

I can't hear you.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I'm thinking, thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I didn't see you either.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: You are good my friend you are good. It's nice to have you with your southern charm joining us on these meetings.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Let's start in Royal Oak. I kind of see a few different blocs that we can do. And, you know, my opinion, I'll tell you folks right now, I don't think we should change much of what we already have. I can understand nine and 13. But you know, there are significant communities of interest in most of these surrounding areas. So what I'm going to do, you guys can change it because it's collaborative, but I'm not going to mess with the other districts just yet. I'd like to see if it's possible, see what we can come up with without changing the districts that, you know, were deemed not to be illegal. And go from there. So let's start with Royal Oak and you know I kind of see a block there on the top left if we just go horizontally across. And you can take.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let's name that, start with 7 or do you got a number in mind?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: That was 7, 8 and 14, correct?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Correct.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: So since it's the most furthest out into Oakland and Macomb County let's actually go with 14. Because around it is like you know you got six, 57, 19, I see over there so.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So this is you know river Oak do you want to assign that?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Assign that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want to put, I'm not telling you what to do. Do you want to put all of that?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Do that and go horizontally. Maybe not that far down. Let's stop at that Township line between Madison Heights and Hazel Park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, this is six and change that color to maybe like I don't know an orange or something?

>> CHAIR ORTON: While he is changing color I see Commissioner Callaghan's hand is up. Do you have a question?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I do. Just being a newbie here I'm just trying to understand where we got to where we are a little bit more. Looking at this map my first question that comes to my mind is why are five and six these long skinny maps and why does four have that arm that's just like kind of sticking out in the middle of nowhere? What was the reasoning behind that?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I can speak to four if you would like.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes, please.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: So districts 15, 3 and 4 were made to be a community of interest districts for the Arab American community in Dearborn and Dearborn Heights. What we did is we looked at the primary election of the Governor's race in 2018. And I believe we still have that data. And because there's no census data for people of Middle Eastern descent, we use now election to help us determine where that population was because there was an Arab American candidate in that election, Mr. Abdul El-Sayed. And having 15, 3 and 4 configured in the configurations that we voted on two years ago that he ended up winning the democratic primary election in those three districts.

So that's how I remember it anyway.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lange, do you have an answer for Commissioner Callaghan?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I do. The Commission decided to draw long skinny lines to kind of resemble spokes in order to get the Black voting age population down to in between a certain percentage point. That's what our lawsuit was about that we lost. So what you're seeing in five and six is a result of that. That's it.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That's what I thought. So I'm wondering, I can see the community of interest in the Arabic community, that one kind of makes sense to me I just didn't understand the arm sticking out. Five and six don't look like very good

districts to that. Being a newbie, looking at this, it doesn't make sense. I know it touches on other things but to keep those two I don't know about that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela, do you have an answer for Commissioner Callaghan?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I was just going to echo what Commissioner Lange said. Five, six, 16, 17, 18, 11, 4, all of those districts were drawn. If you notice they stretch from Detroit out into the suburbs. And the Court held that all of the districts in the Metro Detroit area, all of them were based on racial gerrymandering. So all of these districts have that same configuration which is why I was advocating last week that they should all be changed.

And then in terms of Dearborn area we received maps from access, which is a nonprofit community group in Dearborn that focuses on advancing the rights of Arab Americans. And this map currently does not align with those community of interest maps that you were provided by access because of the changes that we made. So I think we should change the Dearborn area as well.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, you guys still have your hands up if you didn't know. And, Commissioner Eid, you may proceed.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay, where was I?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Madison Heights is here.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Do you have a population number somewhere?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 14, yeah, can you read it?

It is small.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I see it now, thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You are halfway and 50/50 there ants.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I'm seeing like a box. Like a horizontal box there that we can just add in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm looking on the number on the left with 20,000 and we need to get to like 40 something.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Let's put a centerline in there. Again this is obviously the first one we are drawing. I fully expect.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Centerline.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Edit this and trying to see what is possible.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is there and at the number.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Let's assign that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So 14 is now right within .22% within .22%.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Well, that is fine with me I think. Looks like there is another box under there if someone wants to take over but I think that is a good starting point.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela, you have something else?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't have anything to add to it.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry, I forgot to take my hand down.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Can we turn on the communities' lines that we had before where we can see.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Communities of interest or neighborhoods?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Well we don't have communities of interest, but we have neighborhoods, right, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I have a question about that. Is this the neighborhoods that we used last time or is it a new neighborhood map?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think this is one that came from I reloaded it. I believe it's the same as -- it's the ones that we used in the Court case. Were they identical to what we used during redistricting?

I just loaded them in. I was told to load them in and what we needed.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I just wonder where they came from.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So Kim Brace has the defining, you know, I get Senate loaded up and what we are using. I believe these came from during the Court case.

>> KIM BRACE: We ended up obtaining the neighborhood maps. There were actually to sets of neighborhood boundaries that we obtained, and I think Kent has both of them. This one is the shorter one. And easier to read, I found in looking at both of them.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So this is what am I doing?

So this is the master plan. And the name of it, I'm going to turn it on and try to get some different color that we can recognize.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Steve, are you asking to compare it to the old districts we passed or to -- the neighborhood lines were only for Detroit. And we are up in Oakland County now.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Right asking for neighborhood lines so we can see what they look like wherever they are. So we don't have Oakland County we don't have Oakland County.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right now it appears there is some difference between the master neighborhood list and the regular neighborhood. I don't know like the green is the what they call master plan neighborhood. And then the Black is neighborhoods. Kim, can you help explain the disparity of the differences?

>> KIM BRACE: From what we understand, we ended up finding these in two different places. So I believe that Edward has scheduled for tomorrow the Metro cog people coming in to talk about neighborhoods. Am I correct, Edward?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Not at all. Tomorrow is EDS is going to speak about the updated mapping data. And the City of Detroit, not the Metro cog, planning department we are trying to have present on that day.

>> KIM BRACE: On that day, okay.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: The easy answer is we don't have neighborhood maps for 14.

>> KIM BRACE: Certainly not outside of Detroit.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Thank you.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Just so we are clear the one from the neighborhood department will be Detroit.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I was just thinking it would be important for us to know where those came from, where the lines came from and decide which one we want to follow. Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That was my question is I mean really we got two neighborhood maps that are clearly noticeably different. Which one is more valuable to this process?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right. Commissioner Szetela.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Or the blue lines. You can see there is more neighborhoods in this -- the Black line layer.

>> KIM BRACE: That's correct, yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So.

>> KIM BRACE: We will continue to investigate and see what we can find out. As well as we will touch base with the other counties to see if they have any neighborhoods in their counties.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So the Black lines are the ones we used mapping before. The difference of the two maps is the blue line shows a neighborhood cluster and showing neighborhoods clustered together. If you look at the Grosse Pointe area in particular you see those neighborhoods, if you could drag it a little to the left so we can see near Grosse Pointe.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Grosse Pointe is not identified at all.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Not Grosse Pointe but the neighborhoods next to them. See the corner and East English Village and morning side and east Cornerstone and notice the blue is putting those together as a neighborhood cluster and the Black is identifying each individual neighborhood.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm sorry, Rebecca, that may be just due to labeling. Let me get the different.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: The Black one is the one we used the last time around because it's more detailed.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I understand, I had it mislabeled momentarily ago, Rebecca. It does not say cluster now. But like right here it says all of this is Chandler Park in the blue layer. The Black layer is Chandler Chalmers, Chandler Park and Fox Creek; but, yes, you are right about it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so if I remember correctly, Commissioner Eid's turn was up, and we were seeing if Juanita was here to take a turn. And in the meantime Commissioner Lett, you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes I have a question up in 14 that we just did. Does anybody know the neighborhoods up there? Or communities of interest or can provide further information regarding what Anthony just drew?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Can you repeat that, Steve?  
Your connection broke out.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes what do you know about 14? Are there community of interests up there that anybody, not just you but anybody's aware of or neighborhoods that we're aware of. I'm sure there are. That we could enlighten us as far as the 14 you just drew?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Well you know I can say, you know, Royal Oak and Madison Heights have some similarities. But at the moment I'm focusing on, you know, seeing what's possible population wise. I certainly think this can be edited to perhaps include, you know, you could take out centerline for example and include Ferndale or something like that. But, you know, being an I guess a suburban and Royal Oak and Madison Heights are in the community similarities.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think we are ready for the next mapper. Commissioner?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Checking to see Commissioner Curry if you are available. Okay, the next Commissioner up would be Commissioner Kellom. I recall she mentioned she was driving and is not available for mapping. But I'll check with her, Commissioner Kellom, are you available for mapping?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I can be creative because I'm driving, I think that I'm going to direct it to the shore community like St. Clair farms and Grosse Pointe, that would be the easiest thing for me to do right now. And I will pass my turn to somebody else. So I would like to start right under District 62. So St. Clair shores and like the elbow District. So.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Something like this?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Uh-huh.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Of course it's not going to modify 62 right now.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Exactly. Grosse Pointe shores, Grosse Pointe Farms, Grosse Pointe. So.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So what I need to do here is make sure I don't get any of 62. So something like this?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: You can include Grosse Pointe Woods. Grosse Pointe, farms.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: And then I can't see the numbers, so you will have to help me with that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Oh, sure, right now we are at 86,000. Let's assign this and just see how it works. I'm going to lock District 62, so I don't inadvertently edit 62. I thought I had already done that. But obviously I had not.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Fine with me as long as it's fine with other Commissioners.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What I was just trying to do is keep from getting any of 62.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes, so not to color outside the lines, I got you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So now 10, is just needs, you know, approximately 5500 to be ideal.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Can you put back, restore in the neighborhood overlay?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Thank you. So.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, I'm just making sure I can hear you.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: No, that is me humming and thinking.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's just water. We are not going to get into details of clearing this up.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: That little upper piece that is really north of that eight mile where your mouse is.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's 2900.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay, I'm going to stop there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So 10 is at 2.77% under. So it's within general specs. So I would just like to take a moment to point out to the entire Commission that at this point we have ten to the east and 13 to the west. On either side of this geographic area which means when you go to draw the districts you may have to edit 13 and/or 10. Because you're blocked in so.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes and I'm not sure I had the I was disconnected earlier but I heard some discussion about five and six, I think what I missed. But what I did hear between Commissioner Callaghan and Szetela is it's also my opinion that five and six need to be erased and redone. So as well, I mean, nine as well. It's smack dab in the middle of everything. That is my two cents, and I don't know if that was the totality of the discussion.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: As Commissioners, you know, you start wrapping up, completing a set, in other words get further into this plan, you know, you can start I'm sure some Commissioners will be making edits to the stuff going around. The Commissioners that have done this in the past, you know, fully are well aware that as this starts getting done, there's going to be changes going around, kind of in a spiral. You know, not that, you know, 10 and 14 aren't perfect and should stay exactly that



way. I'm not saying they should be edited. I'm not saying they shouldn't be. I'm saying as you get through the whole area there will be adjustments made somewhere at different times.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Commissioner Lett, do you mean to have your hand up?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes, I do.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: What is -- these are hard to read. It looks like the snaggle today in the middle is in Harper Woods; is that correct?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is Harper Woods where my cursor is hovering, the boundary is Harper Woods.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: As I recall and certainly I'm sure somebody will refresh my memory; Harper Woods was kind of on the line of whether to go with the points or go with Detroit. Am I correct on that?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: You are, this is Commissioner Kellom. There was some expression. That's why I was debating where I wanted to go or if I was even going to stop before that little tooth as you call it, Commissioner Lett. But I didn't take too much of it. There's something that can be done below the eight-mile line as well as north going into East Point that would be valuable in my opinion.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Kent, do you know what that little snaggle tooth is?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That little point right there?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is part of Grosse Pointe. It was part of a precinct.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we can go in here and get the road names. This is Chester Street right here. Howard. Stan Hope, Arthur. We were drawing these districts by voting precincts. So.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: How many people are in there?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: In this smaller area?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Just that little snaggle tooth.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just going to select them and have it show that way we don't have to do the map. That area right there has 323 people. Now, if you wanted to go down through here, something like that, that area is 845. But.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I guess my point being here that what I'm calling the snaggle tooth it just looks odd. It doesn't appear that it was -- it's needed to the population. And if we bring it back in to the main north-south line, it would look a lot better. Certainly one of the comments we've heard repeatedly is we got these crazy districts. So that would be one we could square up.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I'm sorry Commissioner Lett I thought you were talking about a totally different part of District ten that I just drew. My apologies.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: No, I'm still talking about the tooth.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: No, I was looking north. I was not looking at this Section. Now it's large I can see what you are talking about. I would be in agreement with that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's a precinct. So or it's a 2020 precinct. I'm trying to see if it's a -- I'm trying to cutoff the -- trying to find out where the boundary is for the 22 precinct. I really can't tell if it's under there, or not. Let's see. How to go about that because, you know, if it's.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So that is actually part of Grosse Pointe Woods.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's what it appears to be.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It's part of Grosse Pointe Woods so if you cut it off you are taking a sliver of Grosse Pointe Woods and putting it into Detroit or Harper Woods as it would be.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: This is one of those weird situations where it's not us that's making the shapes weird but it's actually how the townships are configured. And you can see that around Michigan that the actual townships aren't always drawn in a, you know, in a perfect manner.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah. Yeah. So but the Township, I'm trying to make sure I'm looking at the Township boundary correctly. The Township is, yeah, that's the Township of Grosse Pointe Woods. So tooth there where we have the pointer finger sticking out is if you did that you would be splitting the Township, splitting the precinct. So.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: We should leave it for now. I don't see a reason to split up a Township unless we need to.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: May I suggest we just continuing on, you know, and as you have signed this unassigned area, you know, just seeing what you have to do, you know, to make the numbers work.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I agree Kent, I'm unreliable too so I won't be gazing anymore.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You are surrounded by unassigned area. Just continue with your process. And as you all know you're going to go around and around on this stuff. And see where it takes you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Kellom, you're satisfied with that for right now?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes, I am.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

So the next Commissioner to draw is.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: That would be me.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Yes apologies that would be Commissioner Lange.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay I was actually hoping that we would have had more public comment today from the residents of Detroit. But since we didn't, I'm going to ask if Commissioner Szetela, since she has a good knowledge of the Detroit area, if she would help me during my turn to make sure that I get areas that should be together, together. So Commissioner Szetela, are you willing to do that?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, so I think should we start around East Point or what do you think?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I would put East Point into Detroit.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay so, Kent, if you could.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right let's make this District 12. Does that make sense to you guys?

And as the Township of East Point.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: And what areas, Rebecca, do you think would go with and kind of give me an understanding of why.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would pull down Harper Woods and that sliver of Detroit next to Harper Woods. So we did receive public comment saying that East Point wanted to be with Detroit. And that was actually covered in the Court's ruling as well, that it was put up into a predominately white Macomb County District. So I think we need to put it in Detroit. I would turn on the neighborhood maps so that you don't break up that East English Village, morning side, Cornerstone neighborhood group and then I would just kind of start moving further into Detroit until you hit population along that line.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, so.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Harper Woods the public comments were pretty conflicting. Some said they wanted to be with Grosse Pointe some said they didn't want to be with Grosse Pointe so I think putting them together with East Point and some of the other neighborhoods in Detroit would be consistent with that request for community of interest.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Does Maple is Maple ridge an area you think would fit in with this particular District?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, that is Maroze, Moseley underneath as well.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Kent, if you can take those two areas and add them, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The Maple ridge and Maroze?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm doing whole precincts which may split neighborhoods. For example them being Yorkshire and I'm going to sign that we can always go to census block and take those areas out. As of right now you still need 18,000 even with parts of Denby and Yorkshire. Let's not forget this is still unassigned.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I pulled down unassigned but not Cornerstone English village and morning side because I think it will put you over population.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What about Eden gardens here a little bit?

It's kind of -- I'm just highlighting it. I'm not doing anything yet. Now that cuts down in there. So we probably want to I will assign that and unassign it. I will change it back. I'm not doing it. Let me get it.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Kent, is there a way for you to select those three neighborhoods and see how much population is there?

Because maybe it makes sense to put all three of them up into this District.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right now we need 60,000 so I will highlight as much as I can.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It will be way over that. It will be way over 6,000.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me get on precincts. I'm sorry. Get back over here. So yeah, that is 7,000. And then that's 8,000.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Continue with the plan of keeping those three neighborhoods intact but not in this District and then continue pushing down along the line you were following. I know we will be overlapping into other districts, but we are going to have to adjust boundaries any way so.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, so my question, just reiterate what was that you wanted to do?

Now, this is part of East English Village from here.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would pull that out.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, okay, so let's do this.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Those three neighborhoods were very clear they wanted to stay together during public comment.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We got a little bit of a hole here. So these two blocks would have to be part of Yorkshire Woods, I mean over here or over here?

For some reason they think most of the precincts over here, but those two census blocks are in Yorkshire Woods.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Should we keep them with Yorkshire then? Just so that it's compact?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think we would have to to make it contiguous.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Contiguous.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is a strip on Interstate or highway you can put into this District. And it would still be contiguous. This would be connected with that, but you would have an odd shape.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Let's put them in Yorkshire Woods, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

So right now we still need 7500 people. So my question was: Where do I go from here?

Because.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would continue I can't read it because it's small Eaton gardens and so where we are at on that population wise.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's 2000. So that is a whole precinct there. Now that modified 13 a little bit.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That's okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: But there, again, you know. So.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: That gets us within the population guidelines, correct?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, 12 is in the -- within the guidelines. 13 now we have skewed 13 a little bit because we trimmed off some.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Uh-huh.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: But and nine, you know, you can still give up some or. Or nine could take on some. So then you back out and you can kind of visualize, well, we need to add something to 13.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Not a huge number but significant.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Communities of interest that you recall correct, Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: If Commissioner Kellom is still on the phone I would ask her to weigh in as well.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I'm still here and didn't speak up because Commissioner Lange only asked you, however. I would say something if I didn't disagree. I think you are correct in your assessment with morning side, I know you are correct in your assessment with morning side and communities. Maybe, what was that?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So it's southeast point with harbor Woods and east of harbor Woods.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I don't think it's problematic at all.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: So that sounds I guess we will leave it there then. And next person.

>> CHAIR ORTON:

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Next would be Commissioner Lett.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay, let's go northwest. Up in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me get the District labels back on here. I lost them somewhere along the line. I don't know why -- I don't know why the districts aren't -- let me save what we have done because we always run the risk of things disappearing and I don't want to. Yep. Get back over here. And the name of this plan right now is just we finished up with of course you guys will give it a name that makes sense to you. Okay I'm sorry who is up?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Me.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Mr. Lett?

We have, you know, this Roseville area unassigned surrounded by districts. This little Section here south of harbor Woods, west of Grosse Pointe unassigned.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I want to go up to the northwest. Underneath where Anthony went.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Hazel Park area, the southern part of Oakland County. I guess we are going to call this 7, 8, something, I don't know.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: What do we have up in the upper left hand, yeah, where you're at. What is that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is the remainder of Oakland County and it's 38,000 people.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: It's Ferndale, Steve.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: What Anthony?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Ferndale and Hazel Park is in there too.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Is that right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Ferndale and Hazel Park right here. Do you want to assign that?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Does it make sense to put Ferndale and Hazel Park together?

Anybody?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I mean, I think it does. You can go either way. You can put Ferndale with Royal Oak which makes a lot of sense or Ferndale with Hazel Park which also makes sense. You know, Ferndale is kind of like, I don't know, people call Ferndale like the.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Becoming Royal Oak. A lot of times it's the up-and-coming Royal Oak.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Younger bougie Royal Oak.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So Royal Oak is to the east?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: North, north but I mean we've got this isolated Section over here to north. That's just hanging out there. It's going to have to go somewhere and it's either going to push things north or push things west. As soon as you do something with the orphan little square out there, whatever you are doing over here in northwest is going to change. Or you're going to squish down to the south, one of the two. I don't know how we are leaving those places hanging out there to mess everything up later on.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: What was, Kent, what was the population in Ferndale?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This area contains just 23,000 including Hazel Park, put it up around 38.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: And immediately to the east.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Hazel Park.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: If you include that, all of that, which is the remainder of Oakland County is 38,112.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: If you go straight east, what is that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Now we are up at 60,000. Approximately two thirds of a House District. You still need a third.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: All right and go south underneath Hazel Park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Under Hazel Park or fern?

This area or this area?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: This area there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is underneath of turn Dale. And that takes a little piece of six. Because we are using whole precincts, we cannot do that or go ahead and let it take it.

That is 65,000.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: What's our number we need?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 91.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let's bring on the neighborhood layer because I know that comes. Let me get the neighborhoods back here. So where did it go?

All right, let me get the neighborhood. I don't know why the neighborhoods disappeared, but they did. Yep. Just a moment, I'm sorry, I'm going to get this. Where is neighborhoods?

I got it. For some reason it dropped it out. I guess I should have saved it. And, all right, I'm going to change the colors back to blue so it's what I'm used to seeing I guess. So I got the neighborhoods in blue. So, yeah, this area and underneath here is Green Acres, State Fair, Nolan, Palm Woods, Sherwood Forest.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: All of those are in the City of Detroit, south of eight mile.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Correct.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Does it make any sense to put any of those up into I guess is that Oakland County?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, sir. And this is Hazel Park, and this is Ferndale.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: And you said where are we at population wise, 60,000 or thereabouts.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 65 you need approximately 26 more.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So we can either come further south or we can steal from six or we can go up to Anthony's 14, which would then we would have to do that. So my question is: Does it make any sense to put any of the neighborhoods bordering eight mile, up into Oakland County?

Anthony?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is Macomb County here.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think it's the most northern neighborhoods I think it makes sense. You know, if we recall from the population shifts, a lot of people did move from Detroit into these southern Oakland and southern Macomb County areas. So I wouldn't go too far into Detroit. Because we still want some Detroit centric districts. But if it's just these like most northern neighborhoods I think it's defensible.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: For the neighbors just for the sake of COI. Communities of interest. But that is my opinion. Historically the ones that are being moved if we are relying on what Detroit citizens have said as well as the basic geography in the neighborhood, Green Acre, Sherwood Forest, Palmer Woods, Gracy District, that would be meeting Palmer Park. Those are things that traditionally have stayed together. So just sharing that piece of history.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Thank you. Appreciate that. So I think our choices are either to go back up into 14 or over into six. How many we got? How many did we put in 14 to start with, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We haven't done anything yet. I've been assigned, you know, you didn't say assign anything. So this area here. And this is Macomb County over here. This is Oakland. And Ed, dummy, if we go with this right here, you want to assign that, so you start at 60,000?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Well, yeah, you told me there were 60,000 in there. I don't know where we want to assign. What I'm saying is what is in 14 now?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is Royal Oak -- population wise 14 has it's ideal really. It's 202 people under ideal. So I just assigned southern Oakland and southern Macomb to 7, that's what has been highlighting the whole time and you still would need 31,000. I'd like to point out when you go to six, you know, it is a tall vertical. It's only two precincts or three precincts wide at some point. So if you go east, you can't go very far before you cut it in half.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela, do you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I was just going to say if he wants to go into Detroit I would echo what Commissioner Kellom said about pulling in Palmer Park because that was actually presented to us as a community of interest. It's an LBGTQ community and wanted to be combined with Ferndale. If you don't want to do that then I think continuing to go west makes a lot of sense because you've got these very small area that has been divided off. So that's all.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I guess I thought I don't disagree with that, putting Palmer Park with Ferndale if that's the case. I thought Brittini was saying that to keep those areas in Detroit, IE south of eight mile. Was I wrong in that, Brittini?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: You were not wrong. I do think that if you're going to do that Palmer Park could be an exception just not some of the other communities that were originally highlighted, if that makes sense. But I would have to double check. I



know that, you know, Commissioner Szetela is correct. That is an LGBTQ centered community. So there would have to be some benefit there. However, the rest of what I think still stands.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: In order to put Palmer Park if I'm reading the map right we have to include Palmer Woods, green acres and most likely.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: That's the tricky part. I would not put all of those communities together.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Andrade, do you have something?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes, I was wondering about like you're going into Oakland County, Macomb County and down into Wayne County. Is there any way to not split it between three separate counties?

Maybe keep it in just two?

The spanning Ferndale down into the University District, Palmer Park, Sherwood?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Kent, going up into 14, right along the northern edge of seven and whatever county that is, where we have the, yeah, that area. In other words, take that area up to the whatever the roadway is that makes that straight line.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is 696. I see what you're saying.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Not that roadway. Back down, it's just a surface street.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Here?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: No.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Right there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That's eight mile.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Eight mile is below. That is eight mile?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight mile is right here and isn't that the county line, that is ten mile. That is ten mile right here. And at this point.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Go ahead.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That road is Stevens right there.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Take that square that is ten mile and go south, see what that does, yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me get it so it's good. The precincts go further than that. So let me go back up here. Yeah, that is all you know one precinct, so we need to split precincts is that what you're suggesting?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Not yet. How many people live in there?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 5800, 5900.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And that would put 14 well under populated.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: That's okay, I will let Anthony worry about that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Anthony, do you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Just some recollection. I recall at the trial I think it was one of the state reps I think it was Mr. Lamar lemons, and someone correct me if I'm wrong but said something along the lines of ten mile I think he said ten mile being like the new eight mile whereas far as people move. I don't know if you remember that, Steve. I know you were there. But there was something said along those lines so if that helps at all since we are talking about ten mile and eight-mile road.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: No, I'm keeping north of eight mile and wanted to know what that road was. I don't know that we want to split a precinct. So let's put that back in. Highlight that for us.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela, did you have something to add?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I was going to advocate we not split precincts because I believe the Secretary of State asked us not to because it makes the work they have to do more difficult. So if we can avoid it let's try to avoid it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm displaying the 22 precincts as well. So.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So what I was looking at, what you highlighted first, I take it are two precincts.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Now, yes it's two precincts, they are vertical in shape. It would be this area.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: And you said there is about 6800.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: In both. Let me get this.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I have a question about that. So when in the software when we choose voting precincts, are we not doing the current precincts? We are doing old precincts?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Correct. So what we would do is because you see this census block here changed from one precinct to the other. So we would assign them based on the old ones because they are mostly correct. And then just add in the whatever census blocks need to be added in.

>> CHAIR ORTON: They have not changed very much.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Correct, that is why I'm using the old ones. Obvious you see two old precincts make up a new precinct because they combined them.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Precinct boundaries are often adjusted to follow how Senate Congressional lines. So I'm guessing only of these precincts were modified more recently to follow the District boundaries that were previously passed by the Commission.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: All right let's add in the precinct immediately to the east of what you have highlighted.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, so together see now we don't have to move any census blocks but that is 5889 and you still need 31,000. So that would make very little difference. If it helps at all, earlier I put all, just to see the population, all of the

unassigned area and Oakland County that made up just about one District. In other words, all of this, part of 14, Oakland and this part of seven Oakland together makeup about a House District. But it's very different from what we are looking at. So.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I want to take a look at the area immediately north of ten mile and to the west of what you have highlighted in those two precincts. There you go. Up in there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that is another precinct. Now we are up at 8500. Like I said you need 31,000. So, yeah, it being most all of this area. I'm just going to highlight quickly for example, that's I mean that's about what you would need. No. You would need to add a little less than that to make seven a House District, a little bit less, like you see there what I'm saying?

How much you would.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yeah, I see.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You would have to add quite a chunk of 14.

In fact, pretty close to most of 14 or a significant chunk of 14.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay you have highlighted there, what's the total on that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'll go back and do it again. This is for reference purpose. I don't know anything about the geography or the neighborhoods and this is like looking at numbers. Like that right there if you add that area to seven, population wise, that's about a District. But 14 would be you're just transferring the problem from seven to 14 because now 14 would be that many low. It would be 30,000 low.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I know but it's my turn to draw.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's right.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: What about, okay, anybody, what about if we leave it there, are we -- what about communities of interest, would the highlighted portions? Anybody?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think I said earlier if you want to include Royal Oak with Ferndale it makes sense. What you have highlighted here is like I think it's like half, I don't think it's the whole of Royal Oak. I think you still have those three precincts there that could be entered in. And then you could consider like taking centerline out on the east side and maybe adding that to seven. Or you could go down and cross eight mile and put those communities that Commissioner Kellom was talking about, you keep them together but put them in seven. It's really your choice. Because you're going to have to come up with population now out of 14. But I think you know that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So right here, that's all Royal Oak and that is 24,000. You would still need more population to make seven ideal. And of course, that, yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Well, let's take seven and make it appropriate for population. And then we will just have to come back to 14 at least for the time. Because I don't want to go back and don't want to go south of eight mile.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So put Royal Oak into seven?

Yes?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, and now we need 16, 700 more.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: What you pick up Royal Oak. Which would be?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That would do it about right there. Want me to assign that?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Sure.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Now seven is pretty close to ideal. Within 1%. And 14 is 33% off, 30,000 off. So you need to add -- I'm not -- 14 at some point needs to have 30,000 more people added to it.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yep. I'm good with where we are at. Obviously we are going to have to deal with 14 and that may mean we got to go north or east or west or somewhere else. I don't know. We knew we were going to have to do that so let's move on.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Next is Commissioner Muldoon.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Probably need to address Roseville area where it's all hanging out by itself. Which would be able to go to 13.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that area is 41,000. You're saying put that into 13?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Well.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's got to get assigned at some point.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Instead of later trying to clean it up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Or 12 whatever it has to flow across, and the population is down in Detroit. That is the unassigned population.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah, I guess go to 13 and then.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Take off the bottom of 13 and see what the population is then, if you want.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You got two ways of doing it. It does not matter the number because you can always renumber. You can put it in 13 or 12.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: 13 and take off the bottom like Cynthia said and see if it brings the numbers to where so it's at least assigned to something and not go back later and try to readjust around it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we can -- well, let's just highlight this area down here and see the total population that we are chasing. So approximately 23,000. So all of that Detroit area would have to be unassigned, you know, and then you still have work to do on.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: 13.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 13 would still have to decrease and 12 could take some people. So you can unassign this. I'm just going to give it the number eight for right now. How does that sound?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Make it District eight and then, yeah, continue on, trying to figure it out. That's not District eight, I'm not suggesting that. It's merely a holding place for that population while you do 13. Does that make sense?

I'm not drawing that District. I don't mean to be. So now 13 is still needs almost 14,000 people. 13 needs to come down almost 14,000 people. 12 could take some of them.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: But 14 also still needs 30,000 people, right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: And I don't know that area all that well. I don't know if anyone else does. To where 14 can come over into 13.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is the centerline is right here. I'm going to Zoom in a bit so we can get a better look at roads and stuff. This is ten mile. This is Hoover. This is 11 mile or 66. This is Veteran's Memorial Park. Rink Park. Or Reinke. That is 12 mile all the way up there. And 14 needs population like you.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Right so if we were to take that Section just above centerline and add it into 14.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Like that area there?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And we put that into 14?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Bring the population, at least get it closer.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So 13 still needs to give up about 7400. Now whether their gain this is Wayne County down here. You can continue moving population into 14. Look at that and I don't want to ignore and 58 could still take some population up in this area. So you can shift some of this over to there.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: But 58 is a whole lot closer than 14 as far as population.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Absolutely. I'm just throwing out there, you know, the possibilities. Even ten could take some. So, you know, if you had a community of interest or, I don't know, whatever, you could move some in to ten because ten could still hold some numbers. 14 does need 24,000 plus.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: If we went straight east of centerline with the communities in that area.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Like this.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is a precinct and that is another 3300. And we can move that to 14 even. And 13 now technically is within 5% it's 4.48% high. So I think I heard someone say at one point you know plus or minus 5%. I don't know. You guys, that's yours to keep up with that. Is that true?

Y'all are doing plus or minus 5% on these maps where you try.

>> CHAIR ORTON: That was our rough goal.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Still.

- >> CHAIR ORTON: We didn't want to be beyond that for sure.
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: Because 5% in either direction.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Plus or minus.
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: 2.5. So there are plenty of districts we drew a rough copy and fixed it. I think we did that actually at the end.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: It would be pretty easy to put 2000 people into another District. All the way around it anywhere just about. So I'm not saying easy. You have a lot of options, might be the better terminology.
- >> MARCUS MULDOON: Theoretically 14 just needs adjusted.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. 14 is you know, it still is going to cause major renovations in this area around it.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Are you satisfied with that Commissioner Muldoon, or are you still working?
- >> MARCUS MULDOON: No, you are good.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: So next is my turn. Can you kind of Zoom out on the whole area, Kent?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want me -- I'm going to turn off the new precincts just I said that, and I think I did exactly the opposite. There we go.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: On my screen I think there is a big delay with what you do. I think I would like to go to the unassigned part between 9, 12 and 10, that little pocket there.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Needs to be addressed. If you can assign all of that.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: I know that's a.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Valuable asset at this point. I need to get the names back up there. I'm going to save it real quick while you are studying it just to make sure we don't lose what we got. That is Cornerstone village, you can read it.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah. Can you start a new number, whatever number?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Give it 11 because that's not being used.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Choose the whole unassigned area and morning side to see how much the population is.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is general and need to move the blocks and approximately 23,000. 24,000. Want to start with that?
- >> CHAIR ORTON: People can chime in if they know more about this community but what I'm seeing might be good. Is move down, choose Chandler Park, Fox Creek.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Up Chandler, the precinct of Chandler runs on up into here.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, choose all of that.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can unassign it once you get going with it.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And that runs over there, which I don't think we want to do.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Can you, okay, what is the population there?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me get this screen straightened out.

This area, of course, we are getting all the way down in here but still it's just a starting point that area is 32,400.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so assign that just as a starting point.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You can't see it so I will give it another color.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Those two census blocks I will put back into 12.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes, please. So let's make it a new color that is good.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: A couple census blocks we are splitting up a neighborhood and we go back and change those once you get most of what you want.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, so I'm not familiar with this area other than the comments that we've heard. So I'm wondering if Brittini or anyone else thinks that it would be good to move into nine and take some of that kind of that corner that's sticking out there towards 11 or to move down towards.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: River bend and palmer.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Anthony?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: We have the public comment today that talked about the old District ten and that District was this area plus what Commissioner Kellom drew area, drew earlier. And the comment said that they thought it was a fine community of interest. That's one comment.

>> CHAIR ORTON: You're talking about with the Grosse Pointes?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Well, the previous District had this area here plus the Grosse Pointes, that was the previous District and we had the public comment earlier today that said it's a community of interest, but I believe they said with St. Clair Shores, which is what Commissioner Kellom drew. So if you take the remainder of it and, you know, do something with it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Which was river bend and.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: It's like the unassigned areas right now. You know, the downtown, these are downtown Detroit areas on the river front.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: The closer areas to the river you know you have the river walk but this is all the downtown area until you get to the Grosse Pointe area which is already assigned.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm sorry I'm just trying to highlight so you can see some numbers.

>> CHAIR ORTON: That's what I was wanting to see.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Numbers for you to.

>> CHAIR ORTON: The square right there I would like to see the numbers and Rebecca you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah I was just going to suggest because you have eight kind of sitting there, I think that is eight in the brown, that has too little population, why not just take the bottom parts of nine, put them into I think that's 11 and then you can take that excess from nine and put it into eight and you should have two districts if you do that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Are you saying something like this?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, Kent how much do you have highlighted right now? What population?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All that is 40,000 and 11 needs more like 60,000. So even if you put all of this into 11 you still have quite, well, I'm just going to go down and get this whole thing.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I would like to see this population.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All those numbers that is 51,000 and you would still need about 8 more thousand after that. I mean of course this is downtown and the whole area in there would give you approximately 83,000. You would still need a chunk of people to add to it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so just for a first try, add that, assign that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right.

>> CHAIR ORTON: To 11. And then.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: My mistake I just made that 12. Let me redo all of that. I mean these are drafts. Edit it all back like it's supposed to. I don't know what that error message is all about. So bear with me. 11 now needs 7800.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, the -- go to downtown Detroit. Yeah, just that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes, start right there. And select some. And let's see population. Just kind of straight across.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me clear that out here.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I don't like the last.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm sorry. So this is 5,000 people and you're getting into the ballpark of it. Are you talking about maybe just more or less?

>> CHAIR ORTON: That's kind of what I'm seeing on my screen right now, but I think I'm delayed. Yeah. Assign that. And then it looks to me like.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is assigned and now 11 is minus 2.51%.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Looks like Rebecca and Anthony do you have something to add?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, I'm good.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I was going to suggest if you are going to be going into nine, instead of taking that east side of nine and putting it into 11 I would maybe go



further with what you just did and taking the rest of the downtown, midtown and medical center areas.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: More like that or something?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah and then putting nine, you know, more of nine back to how it was. But that's just my suggestion. I think it makes a little bit more sense community wise, yep. Right now the community of interest I think is quite good in nine because it has, you know, the midtown, the new center areas, the University areas, and some of the Bengal, API community of interest. But if you wanted to go this route you put midtown and medical center with downtown. And the rest of the river front. Which also makes sense. So that's whatever you want to do.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Nine right now is mostly Hamtramck and Bangladeshi not University District.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Kent, what is the population you have selected right now?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Sorry, we need the precincts don't match up to neighborhoods.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right that's what I'm seeing.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I was kind of trying to figure out we need to cut this, do it by blocks. You got a minute?

We will do it by blocks and try to have it follow neighborhoods better. Because I know that's the goal or a major consideration. Like all this. But you get into are you going to follow precincts or neighborhoods, you know?

>> CHAIR ORTON: At this point I think neighborhoods, at least it follows pretty closely.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. So it's slower doing these blocks as we are all well aware. But we want to follow neighborhoods, this is what we got to do. And the medical center. Right there is 7500 people. And they make 11 over. I got to fix that because a little piece of 12 right there. It would be over by a certain amount. But.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Well.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We could take Jeffries off and then we would kind of be more like it, I think.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think instead of that, the part that isn't assigned yet, don't assign that, it looks to me like we need to finish out a neighborhood. It's in nine, right, McDougall? It's south of McDougall. It looks like it needs to be assigned in 11 just to finish out that neighborhood. And then I see another one too..

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't know why it keeps doing that. I'm concerned. Where are we at?

What are you looking at?

>> CHAIR ORTON: So that one, yeah, where your cursor was to the left to finish out that neighborhood by the park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, and midtown is this all midtown right here?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I can't tell where that line is if that is a pink line.

- >> COMMISSIONER EID: It is, it's the red line.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: So we are breaking up that neighborhood right now?
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: Yes.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This part is midtown.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: So can you put all of those blocks in with nine so that they are with the rest of midtown.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, yes. So nine.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: There still is, maybe not, I think there is a little one there but, yeah.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is unassigned in a neighborhood. So it's a median of the Interstate. Or a highway or whatever. And I saw something right here. I don't know what it was. But there's something in there. Is that better?
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so I'm going to say...
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Actually, McDougal Hunt crosses right here, I believe. Am I wrong?
- >> CHAIR ORTON: I can't tell the difference on my screen between the neighborhood lines and like where the District lines change so I can't tell.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me do this real quick and I will be able to tell. We will know. Yeah, this McDougal hunt comes all the way down here.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is not the neighborhood. So, yeah.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: So nine needs to go up. Okay, so assign the rest of that McDougal hunt neighborhood to nine. Please.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Your nine says it needs 32000 people. Did we move that many?
- Let me recalculate this report.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: While you're doing that Anthony you have something?
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: Just a quick question Kent you just drew a triangle.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah.
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: What did you push to do that I can do squares or selecting individual blocks or precincts.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, that is a special function that will cost you a lot of money. Or I can just tell you. This edit tools select box, select polygon point to point to point to point then you double click. And it does that. Did you catch that?
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: Thank you. That's pretty cool.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah. Normally when I use it the line is much thinner. I don't know why it's thick right now. I will get that fixed later. Especially if we do blocks along the river you can click, click, click, click, click. Okay.
- 11 is good. Nine does the 32000. Let me -- I still want to do this.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: And can you Zoom out.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So nine needs 32000 because.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Can you Zoom out a little bit?

MR. KENT STIGALL: Nine is 32000 down and 11 is 2.5% down. So.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I wanted to get the unassigned portion assigned. I don't know if I'm real happy with this. I'm sure it will be changed as we move along. So we can move on.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It had to be done.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So next is Commissioner Szetela.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay, so Kent, can you kind of shift the map north a bit so that I can see Lincoln Park?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Up, yeah, you want to come down to River Rouge Lincoln Park.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes and can you Zoom in a little bit?  
Just trying to orient myself here.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is Allen Park, Lincoln Park. That's the river or whatever. Rouge.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Do you happen to have that communities of interest map that I had sent out like a week or so ago?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I do not.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay I will free form it. So what I want you to do is I want you to select, and I'm sorry the print is really small, that one unassigned area just to the east of Dearborn.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: East of Dearborn.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right where your mouse was just.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The neighborhood.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, we are going to take that too, but I wanted you to go further down in that pocket, yeah that area went Boynton and River Rouge?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, all those.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Sorry about that, I'm getting there. Start with that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Just start with all those areas. I don't know if you have a District number in mind.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I did one because that is where one was and two and there is three.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So if you can pull the map north so we can go a little further south. I just need to see what is down there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Ecorse, Lincoln Park.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So can you select the just above where the number two is that precinct right above it so just above that?

Right above it, yep, right there. One over.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Not this one?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm trying to, yeah, take that one too and the one next to it. One to the west of it. I'm sorry I should give you better directions. That's all right. I'm sorry.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So this is Highland Park right here.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah I'm trying to grab Lincoln Park because I'm focused on the Hispanic community of interest. So parts of Lincoln Park are part of that. So I'm trying to grab parts of Lincoln Park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Start there.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: There and go above it and grab that spot.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Up, I get new and add so you want to go east here?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All the way to the river?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: All the way to the river, actually not all the way. You see the yellow streak coming down?

I want you to stop there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right there and add a little bit to the bottom of the two precincts you highlighted and grab full precincts right there and one over.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This one?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep. Okay, and then you can go ahead and add those in to one. Then we will pull back up into Detroit and start selecting easterly towards to meet towards this, yep, there you go.

>> Mr. KENT STIGALL: Delray and all that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Corktown.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I want you to grasp that precinct that is northerly right now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Not that one. Let me clear this. I'm getting a little bold. Play town Michigan

>> VICE CHAIR SZETELA: Yep, play town. Grab the neighborhood above it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Midwest?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Assign that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Population?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 26,000 and we need 32.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Go further south and grab the two precincts below that are not highlighted yet.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right here where my cursor is?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's 33,000.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We needed 32?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Add those in.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's splitting neighborhoods, but you can clean that up as you go.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Now Central southwest is this kind of cut up based on the precinct boundary not because we were moving blocks.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Can you select that and see how that extends and what the population is, do both of those.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Those two pieces are 2900.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We are what right now?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: 23 undertake it back 500 over so actually this would fit and then you can work with.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah so put that in. And if we need to we can take the precinct above it off.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This one or Midwest?
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Let's take off one of Midwest.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can take that off more or less.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Where do you want to put it?
- Unassign it?
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Just unassign it for now, yep.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: District one is 2.23 high 2000 people high.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: What is it percentage wise?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: 2.23 high.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 2.23 so we are below 2.5. So that's good. I'm done.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: So next is Commissioner Vallette.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: One point.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: District two right now is split in half, dis-contiguous so I don't know if Commissioner Szetela would want to deal with this now or later or.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So what is this?
- This is an unassigned area?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: No, District two we divided into two pieces.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would say leave it for now because we are going to have to come back and make adjustments later anyway.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Sure.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I'm wondering if maybe we want like two different options at this point. I mean, District two, the one that was just changed, it wasn't I don't believe it was even challenged and if it was challenged it didn't, you know, it was not ruled unconstitutional. I think we are kind of getting to the point where it might be changing a little much. Now it is Commissioner Szetela's turn so she can do what she wants. But you know we can make options. We can make copies too. I'm concerned with now we have to fix two and it will change the whole map.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think Commissioner Szetela is finished but at any point when it's someone's turn they can start a new map. I think we will end up with several options.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: May I respond Chair Orton?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Sure.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: This is a District that goes in Detroit and was originally District one because that is the District we were trying to maintain a Latin X community of interest on so I'm redrawing that District along those same lines to honor the community of interest and also includes portions of Detroit so I think it's perfectly within scope. We are going to have to adjust boundaries throat the Metro Detroit area. That is reality and the Court specifically contemplated that. I mean this is almost a mostly Detroit District honestly.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I guess I just kind of wanted to echo what Commissioner Szetela just said. At the beginning of our meeting even Mr. Brace talked about how with the lawsuit that the Court said there is possibilities that other districts would have to be changed. And if we really think about it, when we were doing those districts, to get the BVAP to within a certain percentage points we did kind of mangle a bunch of communities of interest. So I don't see a problem with it. Especially when this is our first draft anyway. Thanks.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, Commissioner Vallette.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: I'm going to pass.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay then Commissioner Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I'm kind of hand strung because I would be drawing blind so if Commissioner Szetela is open to it if she could be my eyes that would be wonderful.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I would be willing to help.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So Kent I this I think we need to fill in the open District between what Cynthia created and what I created so can we pull down the map a little so it's more Central.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I have a point. It seems to be.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Uh-huh, did you save?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, what I was trying to do is fix, it came back. So give me a moment to save everything.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, please do.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And down here somehow we selected a precinct up there. And it moved the -- see how we are getting these funny things through here? That is a corner block.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: The waterfront and always have to go back in and adjust it because it randomly assigns.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is what I was doing when it errored out. It's no population just that water line. Just want to make note of that for everybody who can see what we are doing. I was not changing numbers. District number.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I think we probably need a new District here.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just looking at how many people. How many people is it?

We have unassigned a million people still. So what District are we missing?

Nine needs to be much bigger. I'm just trying to figure out what ones that we got. 1, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14. The reality of it is we are actually not missing area. Okay? This doesn't seem right and shows District two as being way over size. That can't be right. We took area away. Let's rebuild this plan.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I was going to suggest that you rebuild it and save it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I knew something like okay.

Oh, yeah, the number, something is definitely askew. Okay much better. No. This doesn't seem right. That can't be right.

>> CHAIR ORTON: It does not dis-contiguous districts.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to do census blocks and I'm going to connect. I see what the problem is. All this is.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It's showing as a different District.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Two places.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: How is that possible?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's not. So we are going to back up here and reinvent and just do it, that goes to one. That goes to one. And I was getting those errors, and I don't -- I'm just going to temporarily, of course, I'm going to assign that is a single precinct the Ecourse into one to see if it takes us. It still doesn't make any sense why two has.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Is there a District two somewhere else?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Not that I'm aware of. I mean, we are going to.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: And you've rebuilt the plan?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. That's all of two. We took so much out of two it doesn't make sense it could possibly be.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Overpopulation.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm hoping somebody that knows what they're looking at, so all the dis-contiguities are down along the water. I'm going to exit out and come back in. I mean.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't have any...it's your basic back up and start over kind of thing. As we all know we don't want to get too deep into this and have all the numbers erroneous. So I don't know if you guys can hear me. I guess you can.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah we can.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to rebuild it once again because the matrix is still well off.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Commissioner Orton do we want to take a break for ten minutes while he does this and come back?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Sure.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Does anyone need to take a break?

>> CHAIR ORTON: We will take a break and be back at 12:00 Noon.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Don't we have lunch coming up, or is it at 1:00?

>> CHAIR ORTON: It's at 1:00.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay.

[ Recess for ten minutes ]

>> CHAIR ORTON: If we have everyone back, we can resume mapping.

>> KIM BRACE: Commissioners, I was on the phone with Kent before and then Ryan came in to help him. So I don't know if he is ready yet.

>> CHAIR ORTON: We will resume as soon as we get Kent back.

>> KIM BRACE: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I am back. What I did is I had to delete District two and recreate it.

So there must be some dicey geometry in that geographic area. For whatever reason, it bit us. So we will get that worked out. If we run into it again or rather we don't. At lunch I will contact Fred and pass along. We had a problem right in the area. The numbers look pretty correct now.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Would you share your screen again so we can see?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It just works so much better when you do that. So, like I said, two now is about the way we left it. One is pretty much the whole precincts. Is that not what you were expecting, Rebecca?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No. That looks good. Do we have an open District?



Right now?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Technically, no.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay, so can you just to kind of open things up take that part of eight that's north of nine and just for now reassign it to nine then we can use eight for this District I'm going to try to create. Does that make sense?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 8, 9 and 2 are the ones missing all the people.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There again I know you know this but for the Commissioners maybe that haven't been studying or haven't had as much experience two is down 33,000 people. So at some point that's going to have to be made whole. And somehow, somewhere.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Any way so eight we will go that area of eight now is going to become nine.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: They may put nine over.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't think so. Nine is way down. I mean, it could, but I don't think so. Actually you are still going to have to add quite a bit to nine.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Then we can go back and use eight for this area. What area of eight or I mean.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We will start at the river front and just go up. I think we will probably need to go up to Highland Park and grab that part of four and put it into this new District. That kind of robot arm.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah. We will start with that being eight. So come all the way, okay, let me -- is this.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Just bring it up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And tell me when you want to start.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So just keep going north and basically if you can see just outside of where four is that area called Highland Park I think that is probably where we will have to go up to.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to make it eight a different color so we can distinguish it.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: See it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Know where it is.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So go straight up this vertical road here?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep, grab that part of four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You know, just shout at me if I'm getting out of bounds. Something like that?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep and I would just grab all of Highland Park. Yep and just put that into our District eight. Is that eight?

And let me know where we are at population-wise.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight is it shows as being 28,000 under.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 28,000 under so just go easterly grabbing the additional precincts.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We are starting to split up neighborhoods. Let me change this up a little bit.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: What is the population on that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 26,000.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We are trying to add how much?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 28,000, but this is deattached here. So do you want to go and take this area here?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, let's go ahead and do that. And we might need to back off that other area that is shutting out. What is that now?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 30,000. That will put you.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Over.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, over but within it's 2.3% over.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay, all right. So I just leave it and I think that is good. Erin I know you can't see it but it's river front up to Highland Park seated between two other districts.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just getting the neighborhoods up here.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I apparently I have to unmute myself. I said as long as it's contiguous Rebecca without outing edges everywhere I'm fine with it.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Next is Commissioner Weiss.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Okay, I guess, Kent, could you scroll out a little and bring up some of the totals?

I'm just trying to figure out where we need to go. Obviously we have open area which we need to fill in but go ahead and do whatever you need to do.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, what we have here is number two is down 33,000. Four is down 10,000. Nine is down 16,000. 14 is down 21,000. And the unassigned is so the unassigned is almost 41,000. So you see the unassigned area right here?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes. All right. Let me look here. See what we've got.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Two is in two pieces.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yeah I'm not sure what to do about that one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: But that population that needs to go into two right now is all the way up here.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yeah, right. Okay, let me look a little bit more here. Let's see District 14 is down -- what I wanted to do is try to do something with 14 and 13 up there. But I'm not sure what's the best approach. What I was thinking was taking on District 13 on the bottom left corner that is straight down piece to the left. To, yeah, right, no, no, in the 13. Go down to the left a little more. Too far young man. Right there. You just went by it. Just over a little bit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right or left over.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Left over the next precinct yes that one. What is the population on that one?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It is 3400.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Okay, so if we take that off of 13 and add it to 14, yeah, would you go ahead and add that in, quick?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: To 14?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Sometimes I wonder, 3400.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes, okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep, so 13 population wise is ideal, within 641 people of ideal.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes. Now, I take 14 is still short.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 17,000. Want to display the populations for the precincts?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yeah, if you could, that might help some. I don't like the looks of that too well. But I guess I don't know what else to take a shot at. If anybody has any suggestions, I'm more than happy to have you speak up, please.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Looks like Anthony has a suggestion.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Anthony, speak up young man, don't want, it's not necessary.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: 7 or 14?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: 7 looks good but 14 is a little bit short there by 17 so my thought was maybe to go down and grab some of nine. I don't like the looks of it though. And add that to it. Because 13 is real good also. So unless we were to take some of seven. Give me a thought here.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: What you could do is take the like the part of 7 Madison Heights that is currently in 14. You could assign that to seven and then you can unassign the Wayne County districts of seven and put them into 14. And if you do that and if you do that it will do a couple of things it will fix the population and we had somebody say earlier they would rather see these districts straddle two counties instead of all three.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: It makes it two, one is only in Oakland County and the other being in Wayne County and Detroit.

- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Okay Kent would you do that, please?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: I know from experience if you take Oakland and put it in seven it makes a District.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Okay.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is that what you're saying look at it?
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: That's what Anthony suggested. I'm trying to fix 14 up and it looks like maybe that would work once we do this.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is that what we are talking?  
Put this into seven. And then take all of this and put it in to 14?
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I believe that's what you said, Anthony, isn't it?
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: It's your turn Commissioner's. I don't want to steal.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I'm asking for help because you know this area more than I do.
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: If you do that and take a few of the northern Detroit neighborhoods it would work.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't want out of bounds are we talking putting this into 14?
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Let's try that and see what it looks like.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: 14 still needs 15,000. Seven is 3.35% under. So seven still needs some. 14 still needs, you know, a lot. So you know, what I was hearing saying is either take 14 into seven and seven down into Wayne or take seven into 14 and 14 down into Wayne. Is that what I was hearing?
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Hold on, I'm looking at the numbers here. I don't want to go below that, but I guess we will have to. Take off down below seven to the left 1600. Yes, right there. Put that into seven, please.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, both of those or one of those?
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Actually do both.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Or more.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: It keeps us within the I think about a thousand less than we would, not quite.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Seven is .94% below.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Okay, I'll keep that. And then on let's see 14.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: 14 needs 15,000 plus.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: If we go down to the right corner of 14 there, District 772, take that. The next one 1306. 15 and 15 and 584.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep. All right that's 4200. Need another 10,000.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Add the.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Commissioner Weiss just a suggestion so if you follow the western edge of Macomb and go to Hamtramck that was a Bangladeshi community of interest the west side not where you are and isn't nine under as well?

- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, nine is.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes nine is under quite a bit.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You can put that into nine and just go south on the west edge and then you're preserving that community of interest which we have record of being requested to be kept together.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Kent, would you do that then, please.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just highlighting, and you guys do what you need to do.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid, you had something as well?
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: I was going to say Commissioner Weiss instead of using the block numbers you might be better served to pull up the neighborhood overlay and use that.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: That would make sense.
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: Instead of precinct numbers.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: That is true. Kent, could you do that, please?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Butler, Pershing, Farwell. I'm sorry. I keep getting off track here. Just it's just, you know, I'm coarsely doing it and not doing it as maybe as neat as it should be done.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Do what you need to do. You have a good eraser, I know that.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: So and there's a little piece in here that is in nine. I don't know if you would want to -- Hamtramck is this area in here. But this area right here.
- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Madam Chair.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.
- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I want it to be known for the record that I'm back.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Noted at 12:30. Thank you, Commissioner Lange.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This area we are looking at here it's 16,000. And that pretty much fits the number for 14 that you're looking for. I'm not saying if you wanted to do it.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yeah, go ahead and put it in and see what it looks like.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: So now 14 is 1.35 high. And you might need to go in here and move some blocks around to make neighborhoods whole. But that is the big picture. Like this area is still unassigned.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: What if we take off Davidson there and unassign that. Take that out of 14. That will bring my number down a little.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep and now 14 is .59% over.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Right now to Davidson what is that little block there, right next to grant?
- Yeah, that little bitty one there.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: That was just part of -- this is one precinct right there here.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Okay I just wanted to make sure.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's splitting a neighborhood so you will split precincts. How about this, is it, you know, Krainz Woods is here, these blocks would go with this District.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Sure, go ahead.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All these blocks would stay together to make districts whole.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Fix Krainz Woods.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So grab these blocks and District 14. I'll call it one. How about this. So. That neighborhood line doesn't fall right on a census block. So six and one half dozen another. But District 14 now is within .05%.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Okay, I guess I'm satisfied with that. Any comments anybody would like to make some changes accordingly?

Because I do not know the districts or the neighborhoods down there obviously. So I would need to rely on Commissioner Eid and Commissioner Szetela and Juanita and Brittini, I guess Brittini is not here but any comments, please?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Well, I wonder maybe you already addressed it but that little triangle.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I was thinking about that. How many is in there, Kent, do you know?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It can't be many. Let's go here and see what we got. I will select them. And, you know, it's, you know, 111. So.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Oh, yeah, that will put me over a little bit further.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You're still.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Not much.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: .07% and it's a whole neighborhood. So you know.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I guess I will leave that that way. I'm done. Thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Whoever is next or whoever I would suggest assigning these neighborhoods at least. You know, either to nine or 14. Sherwood, Norton, Olivet and Krainz, just because they are...

>> CHAIR ORTON: Becoming an island. So Commissioner Andrade is next.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I'm going to abstain. Pass.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Passing, okay.

So Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, so I'm going to take you up on that suggestion. Let's take the isolated communities on the east and assign them to nine. Nine is missing people?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: And four is missing people.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I've kind of gone a little bit further and all of that. So that's, you know, stick those in nine. I mean, they need to be somewhere you can always put them back down.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Nine is what is the number.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Nine is 4800 under. I suggest I moved this part of this neighborhood into nine. It probably should go back into 14.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Thank you. I need to get better at selecting districts. Okay.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Can you Zoom out just a little bit?

I want to see maybe not quite that much but I wanted to see what was left and who is missing people so far. We got four and nine are too small still?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Correct, as is two.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay so maybe move the map up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Two and four, okay.

Two is down here. Let me cut this neighborhood layer off again so it's easy to envision or see. So you got two well below. A third of a District needs to go down in this area. And here's that population. Four needs 10,000.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Let's add some people to four. We got some open spaces there. We can take up there and give a jagged edge and take some off of five and six and make it reasonable and expand five and six over to the right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is your call.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, I don't want to make foursome zebra going up the screen. Take across the top there. The unassigned area and square it up. And see what that gets us. And then if I need to add in some more, I will take some off of five.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep so that is really not going to have much of an impact on it.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: No, but I mean the green is kind of jutting where the green tooth is sticking down, and you have unassigned space over there and let's go ahead and put that into four. What does that give us now?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All that into four?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Four is 2.53% high.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: And six is still within it's .8% high. So six has not been particularly impacted. Five is 1.24. Nine still needs, you know, 5,000 people. And really the issue is down there at two. That's 33,000 people that need to be.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I could try to fix two, but Commissioner Szetela had a specific goal she was going after down there in terms of a community of interest I'm not familiar with. So I don't really want to mess with that. I will let her figure out how she wants to handle that issue. But we still have this unassigned space here. And some of

it needs to go to nine. And some of it needs to go, well, is it only nine that is missing people other than two? I don't care about two.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Thine could use 5,000. Eight is a little over. Seven could the numbers are good. I guess what I'm saying is seven could hold more people. Nine has to have more people. And the unassigned values right now, it's -- we have only 1300 -- no, that is not right. We got a lot more than 1300 unassigned.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Bear with me just a moment.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: May take on it and I don't know what anybody else's process put the population in a District where it needs to go as contiguously as possible and will jigger around the actual borders but let's assign it to a District. If nine is missing some take the ones on the northwest corner that go up like an angle and put them in nine. Let's put it there and see what happens to the numbers.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I need to turn the neighborhood layer back on. That area. That's 10,000 people. And nine needs not nearly that many. So.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Gets it so far north.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's 5,000 and nine needs about 5,000. And that is a split neighborhood. Let me turn the neighborhood layer back on. So you would probably want to get all of the neighborhood in there.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Go ahead and do that. That's fine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's, all right. I would like to fix the neighborhood right here.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Does anyone know of one specific community of interest that is compelling for the unassigned region that needs to be kept together or are these neighborhoods that are contiguous?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No. There was nothing that I recall from here. The Palmer Park, which is just west of there, was a community of interest. But that wouldn't be implicated here because it's further over. So you're not splitting anything that I know of as a community of interest. I don't think there was anything from this area.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Why is it doing that?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, so if you Zoom out just a little bit, I can see if we take stuff from two what that is going to impact. That was probably going to mess up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Made the movement is kind of herky jerky. But, yeah.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I mean all the other neighborhoods besides two and nine are where they need to be. So I don't want to mess with two. But I'm trying to figure out if we put stuff in there, what is going to get -- what is going to lose population so we know where we put the other population that is still waiting up north, where will it need to go? And I guess I don't know the answer to that until we figure out what happens to two and how much that impacts things north of it or west.



>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I think we still have a lot of cleanup further north. And then the changes will kind of push down towards two. So.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Want to do north first?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Well, I mean it's not my turn but when it comes to my turn I would honestly combine five and six into making like an Oakland County District and Detroit District so that the portions of five and six that are in Oakland County are together. And the portions below are together as well. And that will start to push down some changes kind of through four. And then you know we've got that 16, 17, 18 configuration where we have half of Livonia with Detroit, half of Redford with Detroit to adjust.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: So process question to the Commissioner here. We are right now very focused on population, very focused on communities of interest. At what point and how do we evaluate the political impact of our redistricting?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: When we are done.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: So that is when we are done. No, I think the last thing we do is evaluation against the Voting Rights Act. When do we look at what the political considerations are?

This question. I don't know how you guys have done it before.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You can't run partisan fairness on a map that is not complete because the map is not complete you can't run it. You have to have all the population assigned in order to run it.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: The process is to get population assigned and putting community of interest together and do political analysis and adjust from there?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, so let's see what do we have?

We have nine left that still need some population.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid, do you have something that might help?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I would just like to add I don't think that is the only way to evaluate political fairness. I mean we had seven districts that got shut down. And we know we have the data of the partisan makeup of those districts. It might help to have a list of the partisan makeup of those districts which we can get. And compare them to the districts that we are making. In real time. And, you know, make sure it's the same, it's never going to be exactly the same, but you can have an approximate list of the makeup of the districts.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I think that would be helpful. I agree.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I would like to note I'm just assigning these water blocks to District ten so that it looks like we expect it to look. They were just unassigned, shouldn't have been any population.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Callaghan are you still working on your turn?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm finished but I would like to understand how we are doing political analysis and when that comes into play. So do we have a consensus how we want to approach that?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Personally, I like doing it by population and the communities of interest, the neighborhoods that we know and then take a look at it once we get that done. Kind of naturally. And then see what we need to change. But.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: We can discuss it.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I'm not pushing one way or the other, I'm just trying to understand the process.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I was just going to echo that because communities of interest and population contiguous boundaries are all earlier ranked criteria. Partisan fairness is number four. So it's pretty far down the list. So I mean certainly individual Commissioners are welcome to kind of look at historic data and, you know, look at the numbers here. But I think the primary focus that we followed, and we probably should follow today is get a map done then we can run the partisan fairness on it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Next is Commissioner Curry.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY:

>> CHAIR ORTON: Are you there, Commissioner Curry?

Commissioner Curry, if you are speaking you are on mute. So we can't hear anything. So let's move on to Anthony Eid and we will come back and see if Commissioner Curry is thereafter.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: You know I would really like her to have a turn.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I would too.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Second round, I'm more than willing to wait until we get her on. I think her input is pretty valuable at this point. And we have lunch at 1:00. So.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I mean I can go but I would rather, you know, do we give it a few minutes to see if we can get her on?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Unfortunately Commissioner Orton and Eid, we have not been able to make contact. We will continue to try but that is where we are.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Let's see if Mr. Fink has something.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Mr. Fink?

>> Nate Fink: Thank you, Madam Chair. I thought I might jump in now while we hopefully are able to take some time here to connect with Commissioner Curry. I just had a couple things I wanted to mention. As a follow-up to the discussion that we had earlier about the mapping procedures document, we've done a review of that document and I wanted to mention over all there was I think maybe some uncertainty about whether or not there is a document that is still controlling the mapping process. And the

document that was reviewed was adopted by the Commission a couple years ago now, back in 2021. And as a public body and ongoing public body that is still what is controlling this process and you are following that process by going through, you know, Commissioner to Commissioner and taking turns and following that process. And so that's there. And as I indicated I wanted to hopefully offer some suggestions for some changes that the Commission could consider in light of the Court opinion that we received in the Agee case. And specifically I would recommend that the Commission remove references to RPV and consultation with VRA Council through the mapping process as we know there is the review of the completed maps at the end by VRA Council in the new process that you've adopted. But to the extent that there are references to, I'm just pulling up the document right here, input from RPV and VRA consultants through the mapping process, it would be my recommendation that the Commission remove those references in the mapping process and procedures document. So I just wanted like I said jump in now and make that recommendation. There are some specific citations or specific Page numbers and references that I can provide to you. But, again, it would be my recommendation that the Commission take that up and modify and amend the document to be consistent with the process that I believe the Commission tends to follow going forward.

And additionally, this particular document that is the controlling one references that the mapping process was for the procedure of the time period of August 20th through October 11th, which was of 2021. Obviously that's no longer applicable so I would also suggest that the Commission amend that in addition to the, you know, obviously the detailed schedule that has a number of dates that are no longer applicable. But were only applicable to the 2021 mapping time period. So I just wanted to offer that.

Additionally, Kim Brace appropriately provided the reference to the Court's decision earlier this morning where he discussed the fact that the Court acknowledged that the Commission may need to modify districts that were not struck down. But I want to emphasize that the Court said that the Commission should only do that where reasonably necessary. So we need to make sure that we are cognizant of that and aware of that and that the Commission keeps that in mind during its mapping process. So the Commission needs to provide and should be providing a rationale as to why it would be reasonably necessary to make modifications to maps, to districts that were not struck down by the Court. I just wanted to provide that as a suggestion to the Commission as it's moving forward.

And that's all I have for right now. Happy to answer any questions.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you. Are there any questions for Mr. Fink?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: This is Mr. Fink; Commissioner Orton can send me the changes so I can get them out to the Commission so they can have a clean and updated copy.

>> Nate Fink: Yes, I will do that and talk to you offline. I just need a word version of the current controlling document.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Sure.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Anthony, did you have a question?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: About the document and I have a little bit of a process concern I would like to talk about. Is there -- one moment. I think there's a little bit of a difference between like us helping Commissioners out with -- when it's their turn. Like how Rebecca just did with Donna for example. I think that is totally fine. Or how I did with Richard a little while ago. But I also don't think it's fair if we have one Commissioner no matter who it is getting multiple turns because other Commissioners are giving them their turn. And that goes for all of us. It's not directed at any one person. If that were me myself I would be saying the exact same thing. So I'm wondering if there is anything in our process and encouraging folks you can ask for help but actually drawing, you know, the districts when it's not your turn, it makes an unfair scenario where we are not all equally weighted in this. And I just wanted to point that out.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think what the document says is that people are encouraged not to pass and yet that is an option to pass. That's how it addresses it.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: What I'm saying do we want to keep it like that or maybe look into that?

I do think there is a little bit of a fairness question on I don't think anybody should have more of a say than anybody else. We should all have an equal say. I'm not sure if we continue going down this path if that's what's going to happen.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lange, do you have something to add?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes, ma'am I do. In the first mapping process there were actually times when Commissioners took multiple turns before it was my turn and drew several districts. We are supposed to be working on these collaboratively. If I'm not familiar with an area, just as I did the first time when we mapped and I asked Commissioner Witjes to help me because I was not familiar, there's nothing wrong. And I'm sorry if somebody is going to get their panties all up in a bunch because I asked a particular Commissioner, and this is what it is again. I have the right as Commissioner exactly, everybody's voice is equal. I asked for help from a particular Commissioner and then even Commissioner Kellom came in. So to sit there and try and put into our rules that a Commissioner cannot ask another Commissioner, you don't like the District I drew, change it your turn. But my turn I should be able to do what I want. And that's all I got. And I hope that didn't come across too snotty because that was not the intent.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I mean this is supposed to be collaborative. We followed the same process the last time around. We would have people asking for help. Many of the Commissioners are not from the Detroit area. They don't know the

Detroit area just like Richard did on his turn, he asked for help. And other Commissioners who are from the area chime in. I think that's normal. And I think all you have to do is look at our progress today. I mean we mapped most of Detroit. Just in three hours. We are working together. So I don't think we should be kind of staking out claims and you know, trying to put guardrails up on who can help and who can't help. The important thing is to get the maps done and get them done quickly. We can create alternative maps if you want to but silly not to use and tap resources because somebody wants to you know resource guard which is what this sounds like.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, I'm going to stay out of this little squabble and direct my question to Mr. Fink. I wonder if you could give us some specific guidance on what the Court might mean when they say reasonable?

What kind of reasons would they find acceptable?

Because sometimes the legal term is not necessarily layman's terms so any guidance here you can give us I would appreciate.

>> Nathan Fink: Thank you, Commissioner Callaghan. I think Commissioners Szetela and Lett would appreciate if I could come up with the answer to what reasonable means. I reference them because they are also lawyers. It's difficult for me to give you, you know, I think clear guidance on that frankly. I think just sort of think of like kind of what a reasonable person would think in your circumstances, right? Like if you are just going out to a District that doesn't need to be touched and you just think that it wasn't done fairly during the last around but there is no reference from the Court about that and it was not struck down and there is no reason to take or move population around, to help with one of the unconstitutional districts and that circumstance for example that might not, you know, be reasonable. But if you've got, you know, for example a couple of districts here that are right in the middle of a couple of or a few of the what the Court has deemed to be unconstitutional districts, I could see that the Court would, you know, would be reasonable to assume that the Court might receive that as reasonable to tweak those districts that are within that.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: For example I can understand where the districts that are in the middle we say it's reasonable and had to chop those and kind of make sense to me for redrawing the specific Districts. Is it reasonable to make changes when you say we point to criteria and say for example I'm strengthening a community of interest, I wanted to strengthen it the first time around and didn't get it through and try to use this opportunity for rejiggering the strength and should be stronger the first time around, is that a valid reason to change a District?

>> Nathan Fink: I hear what you're saying, Commissioner Callaghan. And, again, I don't know that I can give a definitive answer to that question. But it would seem to me that it's more reasonable to adjust Districts that are right in the middle that you really have no choice but to tweak whereas if you are going outside of these, you know,

further out where the justification is that you just didn't like how those districts were drawn the last time around and the Court did not strike those down, it seems to me that, you know, again, speculating a little bit that that would be it would be less reasonable to be you know, tweaking or going beyond tweaking of districts that the Court did not strike down as unconstitutional. Unless you have some reasonable basis. And you find it to be, and you establish and determine that it's reasonably necessary in order to address the Court's concerns related to what the Court has deemed to be unconstitutional districts.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, thank you, that was helpful.

>> Nathan Fink: Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Rebecca you have something else.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry I just had to unmute there. So, yeah, Nate I would take a slightly different interpretation looking at the Court's order, the language they say we therefore expect the District lines for not only the unconstitutional districts but also other districts as reasonably necessary will be redrawn. They didn't limit us to abutting districts. They did not limit us to where it was required based on changes to an unconstitutional District and frankly I think given they said that the entire Metro Detroit area was a racial gerrymander and had race predominating in the drawing of it including districts adjacent to the ones marked unconstitutional I think actually what the Court is looking for us to do is just fix this map. Because otherwise we are opening ourselves up to submitting a new map, leaving this District five and six side by side. You know, pulling Oakland County into Detroit. That that District is immediately being challenged by somebody else. So you know I think a reasonable solution if people are concerned and don't want to change those outside maps or outside districts I think a reasonable solution would be let's create alternative maps. Let's have one we limit to the districts in question and have another one where maybe we take it a little broader and let the public comment and go from there. I don't think the Court's order requires us to just limit to unconstitutional or the ones abutting it as well. That's just kind of my opinion, thanks.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Well, I mean the buck has to stop somewhere though. You know, if we start changing everything then everything is going to change. I mean we will have downstream effects that go into a lot of districts. So you know, it has to stop somewhere. I do like the idea that Commissioner Szetela just brought up. Let's have, you know, the route we are going on so far today is you know, I think a lot of changes. We could have another map that is a map of, you know, only the districts that were shut down. And we can have maybe another one where it's, you know, the districts that we have been talking about. You know, that big hole in the middle, nine, 13, 5, 6, you know, something like that. You know we can have multiple options but I do

think changing too much isn't good either because we already voted on these districts. And they are legal districts. They were not struck down.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah. My thought is it's good if we have multiple maps that show a different approach to doing it. And we can see what the public thinks. Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: It's analogous to the famous Potter Stewart decision. I don't know what reasonableness is, but I'll know it when I see it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I like that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Do we.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: To Commissioner Curry maybe?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Do we have Commissioner Curry yet?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: I don't believe so.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, I'm going to suggest that it's nearly Noon. So good time to take a lunch recess. And maybe we will have Commissioner Curry when we get back. Commissioner Szetela do you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I saw is a text from Edward we are on break 3-6 is that for today or is that a different day?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Today we are 1 to 2 is lunch.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Seeing meeting appointments Commissioner Szetela for the rest of our time before we do it. And that break from 3-6 is when we are in Detroit.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay, thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So I would entertain a motion for a recess.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So moved.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Second.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Moved and seconded. All in favor raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Any opposed raise your hand and say nay.

The ayes have it and we will be back from lunch recess at 1:00 or 2:00.

[ Lunch recess until 2:00 ]

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Folks, should we sign off and sign on or leave it on and on mute does anyone know?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: I would recommend leaving it on but it's up to you but either way works.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Leave it on Sarah?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Yes turn off your video and microphone.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Thank you.

[ Lunch recess until 2:00 p.m. ]

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Hi, Cynthia. Can you see me?

Can you hear me?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes, I was muted. Yeah, I'm glad you are here.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I was up all-night hurting, and I took medicine this morning when I punched in and sat on the bed a minute and I fell asleep. I have not been asleep all night and I was exhausted. So here I am. I'm still tired.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I'm glad you are here.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What did I miss?

Everything?

>> CHAIR ORTON: We started mapping. So it's your turn actually when we come back in one minute.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Hey Cynthia you can't see me but when I try to unmute and start my video it says you cannot start your video because the host has stopped it. Do you know what that is?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Stopped it.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: You accidentally left your video on during the break, so I turned it off for you. But I give you permission to turn it back on again.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: She always has our back.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Cynthia I think I want to see what is going on first.

>> CHAIR ORTON: We will give you a chance to look at it and ask questions.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Oh, boy.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah, I'm glad you made it.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Why?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Now you can have your turn.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't need a turn. You probably know how to do all this by yourself.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I see nine of us, so I call this meeting of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission back to order at 2:00. Will the secretary please take roll?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Certainly Commissioners. Yvonne if you got this.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: I got it I'm sorry. My mouse needs batteries. My apologies. Commissioners, we are going to be taking roll call and if you would just say present and if your location has changed please indicate that. If it has not just respond by saying present, please. Elaine Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Present.



>> YVONNE YOUNG: Donna Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Juanita Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Anthony Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Brittini Kellom?

Rhonda Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Steve Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Marcus Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Cynthia Orton?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Rebecca Szetela?

Is that Rebecca trying to come in?

Janice Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Erin Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Present attending remotely from Eaton Township.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Wagner earlier you shared Charlotte, Michigan.

Would you like me to change that?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Yes, please.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Okay, Richard Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: I'm going to go back to Rebecca Szetela. Madam Chair there are 11 Commissioners present. You have a quorum.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So we will continue working on the Detroit area of the State House map. And it is Commissioner Juanita Curry's turn. And I think she would like to take a look, Kent, see where we are.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL:

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Is it possible, Madam Chair, that I could see the map before we do anything to it if you all have changed it?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm not familiar with numbers. Can you put the townships and whatever in?

The names in?

With the numbers?

MR. KENT STIGALL: This is Hamtramck right here, Highland Park, Grosse Pointe. I'm going to -- let me you can't hardly see 11 so I'm going to change the color of 11 so we can see it. So but this is what has been done so far. What I did, Commission, was I just copied plan one, I just made a copy of it. This is called plan two. It's exactly where we left off. Just for sake of organization more than anything.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah, I don't want to see where you left off because I wasn't there. I would like to see it prior to you all doing anything to it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, let's back up here then. And.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Juanita, are you talking about with the districts in question removed?

Unassigned?

Is that where you want to go back to or to see the whole thing?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: To see the whole thing with the names in so I can tell. I'm not too good on the numbers per se. I mean, I need to see the numbers. But I'm not good on just seeing the map without the names with it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me go back to Hickory is where we want. And I don't -- okay.

I don't see Hickory. So give me just a moment to get back where we were. I don't -- I meant to get rid of all these plans that have no business being in here. This is just old stuff. I want to bring in the Hickory plan. I thought it was there.

In fact, I was certain it was there, but obviously it's not. So where is it?

Where is...

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: If it's too much for you to go backwards.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm sorry, I don't understand why I don't see it. Hickory, Hickory, Hickory. It says it exists. So let's do this.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: And this is, Kent, the map that we are changing? Before.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'll bring up the original Hickory map.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Is this the map they voted out?

Voted down?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It will be, yep.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: As soon as I... this will take a moment to load up. I don't know why it wasn't showing up. I'm a little...concerned about why things were not showing up. But... this takes a minute or so. But this is the plan, Juanita, exactly as it was built. This is what the courts found to be not legal. So downtown Detroit. There is River Rouge down at the bottom. Southern part. Ecorse, Lincoln Park, Melvindale. And then 7, 8, 14, 12, 11, 10, 1 were all found to be illegal according to the courts. They also we brought up early and mention that the courts did write this and correct me

if I'm wrong that they recognized that adjoining or other districts would have to be modified as well as the seven mentioned. Which was slightly different from what the original discussion was, saying that only the illegal districts may be modified. Did you catch that earlier today when they were talking about that this morning?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't think so. But can you darken the letters in this map?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, actually that is kind of impossible to do. Where are we going?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay let's see.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is Detroit. This is River Rouge down at the bottom.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Why are they so far apart?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We are zoomed in fairly close. That is Ecorse, River Rouge.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I can see it now.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Juanita, when we started we had him unassign all of the districts that are in question. And then we could kind of see where we were going to need to create districts. He could do that on a new map if you want to start new. Or we have the map that we were working on.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'm just trying to get an idea why we got kicked out the box. Why they didn't like the map. Once I can see that, then I can tell where my little input. Detroit seems so little. I mean, we seem smaller than River Rouge. Which part is Detroit, the purple or the beige?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: One is the purple is District one.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Is that Detroit?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Downtown Detroit is here where I'm circling with the cursor.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: We are purple, and do we go all the way into nine?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Nine is up in here.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Is that Detroit?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes and then this part is eight. This part is ten. I can bring up the neighborhood layer if that would be of any assistance.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So the red lines, which, you know, it could be hard to read any of these. But it gets very busy. So that's the Boynton neighborhood.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I can't see it. Whatever color that is, it totally blew me out.

I can't even see that with my glasses.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Looks like a foreign language.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Can you see the Black lines?

Is it darker?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Can you see the northern border of Detroit because it's not showing up here. Maybe if you move the map down just a little bit you can see the top of the City and you can kind of figure out where it is on the map.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So up top here it's eight mile. That line up top is the eight mile. This is the -- down at the bottom, this is the Delray area.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah, I can see that. So what am I supposed to be doing?

>> CHAIR ORTON:

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Would it be helpful for somebody to walk you through changes? There were changes Down River and obviously the unassigned places were moved into a lot of different districts and there were some changes across the top. So someone who is really familiar with these districts and what we changed.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm very familiar with Detroit and Lincoln Park, Melvindale. Allen Park. Ecorse, River Rouge and on and on. I am familiar and have been here for over 60 years. What did they do disconnect Detroit from the rest of everything?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I know you are familiar with the City, that is why we are so excited you are here.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Donna don't you be that excited. Because, you know, I'm not an expert.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I just know where we are in Detroit. And Detroit wants to what?

What is their story is that they want to be what?

Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is pointed at me. I haven't studied.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, Detroit doesn't want to be where it is on the map, is that what it is?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think the other Commissioners could weigh in, you know, much better than I could on this. But what we are looking at is the plan that the courts threw out. You know.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can you circle those places that they threw out for me?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep. That would be the purple area here. And let me slide.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can you circle those with some kind of other color?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, well, I'm going to turn off the neighborhood layer just to get some of the busyness out. But.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You took the letters. I need the letters. I need to see that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

So this Boynton, Oak wood heights Carver and river ridge.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What is Boynton? I only know a Boynton school.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The neighborhood where the cursor is circling has been defined.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That is obviously where I live. I'm in that area.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is the southern part of District one. District one was, in fact, one of the districts they found to be illegal.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I agree if we are way up there, and Boynton is way down there I agree with them.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: But yet they didn't say anything about two or three or four. But also added in their recent I guess statement they recognize adjoining districts may or as necessary be modified as far as that goes whether it's lots of districts or just some of the districts adjoining District one for example. That is up to you, for the Commissioners to decide.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Let me deal.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela do you have something to help.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I will ask Rebecca because she is good with maps.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Kent, can you kind of back out a little bit so we can see Detroit a little better?

So the gist of the Court's ruling is they were unhappy with the extent to which we used race to draw the previous districts, specifically how we stretched Detroit out into the adjoining suburbs by Bruce Adelson and Julianne Pastula and trying to redraw the Detroit area and got a pretty good start this morning. If you can pull it down a little further, Kent, I think that might be helpful. So Brittini started out withdrawing the St. Clair showers area and put Grosse Pointe and St. Clair showers together. And for, this is the old map.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Basically that's what we are working on, trying to redrew the districts focusing on Detroit and keeping communities of interest and neighborhoods together and got most of the east side of Detroit draft districts done this morning and we are just kind of continuing to work kind of northwest up towards, you know, the border of Detroit on that side near Redford so that is kind of what we were doing this morning. Is just throwing race out the window and not considering it and drawing Detroit centric basically.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Redford Detroit is not too contiguous with southwest Detroit and north Detroit.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Not too contiguous but they do kind of go in and out of there. Northwest Detroit. Southwest Detroit, northwest Detroit and we go through

Redford a lot, but we are not that contiguous. Northwest Detroit, southwest Detroit should be kind of together with perhaps Ecorse and River Rouge is there any way we can do that?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Do you want to look at what we did this morning and see if you want to work on something?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay to do that I need to close this map. Because this is the original. And open up a copy of what we what they worked on this morning. So let me close this. And this plan right here, you know, just number two is a copy of what we did this morning. So that there is some.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That is not helpful.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Organization.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Scroll in and District ten the orange colored one now is the five Grosse Pointes plus St. Clair Shores next to that we drew District 12 which is lime green East Point harbor Woods and a few neighborhoods along there. Cynthia drew that kind of purple District I think it's 11 that has morning side Cornerstone and East English Village together with kind of going down to kind of the core of Detroit there and Jefferson Avenue districts. And then you know we just kind of worked along and did District nine which is just above there, that is in the purple. That includes Bangla Town, District eight which is kind of a middle Detroit District that includes Highland Park. District one which includes the Latino community is the purple one. And then the other kind of light brown one that's right next to eight is Donna worked on that one a little bit and that is also parts of Detroit as well. That is kind of where we left off is just kind of moving through Detroit and if you can pull it down a little bit, Kent, so we can see the open area. And we just have.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That's too far.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: See the white part in the center that is kind of where we left off.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What area is that, that is not Detroit.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That's Detroit, that is Palmer Park area just south of eight mile so Palmer Park and trying to remember what else is along there.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Woods just north of Highland Park, the neighborhoods north of Highland Park.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can you kind of make it just a little bit smaller so I can get an idea. Can you kind of outline all of Detroit right there, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just going to kind of highlight the downtown area.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You need more than the downtown area.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Just a reference. I think I'm going to do that. I say that. But this is Hamtramck.

- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I can see Hamtramck.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: See across the top that horizontal line, that is eight mile.
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm familiar.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: So there is Hazel Park right across Oakland.
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Not interested.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Then we come all the way down the southern part that is the River Rouge Township right here.
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Highland Park and what else?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Highland Park I'm going to label the precincts. Let's do the neighborhoods because that tells you about more about where it's at I think.
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Especially for people that know the area. So that is the Black line. So eight is from the southern part is Mexicantown, Hubbard Farms, Hubbard Richard, north to Highland Park and including the cultural center, Midtown, Chadsey Condon, Jamison, LaSalle Gardens, Virginia Park, Nardin Park, Russell Woods, Dexter Linwood.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So did you want to change something from the districts today or do you want to try to draw a new District?
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't like what I'm looking at, but I will just wait a while. Let me wait. I got to put it together in my head.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You will make us do all the hard work?
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No, because I think yours is going to get tore up a little bit.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Probably.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: As we look at it right now, without a doubt, there is no question by law District two right now is in two parts and it's short 33,000 people. So, you know, that's that. And the unassigned area are the two obvious issues geographically not having to do with anything political or anything. It's just a fact.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Juanita, if you want you could start a brand new one.
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't want to start right now. I will wait.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Want to pass.
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't quite like the way it is now. So instead of messing it up maybe I will start a new one, but I will wait until you guys' finish.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Then it's Commissioner Eid's turn.
- >> COMMISSIONER EID: Is there something in particular you don't like?  
If you don't like you can change it.
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Even if I change it it's probably not going to stay, you know. And I just better wait. I better wait because right now it's not helping me out that much the way it looks now so I will wait.
- >> COMMISSIONER EID:

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Cynthia Madam Chair.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes, I did. I think we are waiting on Anthony.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay, well you know I think we have already gone down the road of changing more than what I think is reasonable. You know, I think that we should at least try to see if we can figure out a way to do this without making such wholesale changes especially Down River. So, Kent, can you -- I like what we had so far with seven and 14. Can you copy those to a blank like another copy of this, a blank one?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Copy this and delete districts out is that what you have in mind?

Or do you want to start with an empty plan for lack of a better word and.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: How about this, sorry, I don't mean to interrupt go ahead.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And put seven and 14 in there.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think that's what I'm thinking. Let's start with the plan with the unassigned areas like we started with this morning.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah, there you go.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will just name these. This is three. This will be the.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: To confirm two is the one you just had open and three is the new one?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. Two is just a copy of what we did this morning. So somewhere this afternoon if somebody wants to edit a copy.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think the pathway I'm taking is before break we talked about having a few different options, right?

One with more wholesale changes which I think we have been you know kind of doing this morning. And then I think we can have one with, you know, just the districts that were struck down and another one with like, you know, reasonable changes. I'd like to think this would be a more reasonably, you know, reasonably changed map. But so I'll let you do your thing.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, let me back up here. I need to -- I'm going to bring in, so this is the original Hickory plan. I'm going to turn off neighborhoods. So when you say that, do you want to like delete out all the illegal plans?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah, what did we start with?  
We started with one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, okay, so we will go here to District manager, and we will delete out, yep. That's what I thought you were saying. One, seven, eight, ten, 12, 14. So there is the unassigned starting from scratch. And seven is predominately this area and 14 was predominately this area.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Right. So given that we have to reasonably change some of these districts, I also think it would be reasonable to get rid of, you know, nine and 13.



And, you know, I think I don't really want to change four but I do think it would be reasonable and people have already changed it. So let's get rid of that too.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All of four or part of four?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Four, nine and 13.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So this is, you know.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: That looks like a beautiful canvas to me.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Exactly. It's just a large, open area. I guess the only thing we did, this Section of four over here kind of goes up into there. But I mean.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think we can work around that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can put that partially back or somewhat back or whatever it is you want to do.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think what this does is so it addresses all of the Court ordered districts as well as the three Districts that I think most visibly impact the drawing of those districts. So can you now lock the other ones?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, yes. If this is a little bit slower 5, six and 16. Six. 16. And then 56, 57, 58. And then 61 and 62. My intention was to lock all the districts that are touching the unassigned area. And I think that's what we have achieved.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay, now, can we see, I think, you know, we had a few in the previous map that we were just working on that I think could fit in to here rather nicely. Is it possible to copy some of those districts from the previous map into this one and specifically I believe it was 7 and 14?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: To copy the districts in, I'm not sure how I did that before. I just don't remember right at this moment. What I want to do is to bring up that plan as an overlay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Wasn't seven the open one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I got it. Ryan sent me a little reminder here, hey, dummy. So do that. And that is the original and that is what we were working on. I sent him a little while ago. So there is so I could use that for selection if I remember correctly. It's like using the overlay.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: That's actually interesting more of those that the other map fit in with this than I thought. That's interesting.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Say what we did is, you know, we would have to Zoom in to get 14 to follow.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I would just like to make seven and 14 work for now given that those were the ones I worked on earlier today. Now, what this does is it gives us some guardrails, folks, that allows to make the districts but not make such radical changes. And I think, I don't know for sure, but I think it's and it might be possible if we go this route to make it work with population. Make it work with communities of interest and make it work with about equal political fairness numbers. Given that we are not making such, you know, we are changing what we need to. And this took off three more

districts than what the Court said. But that's what I'm thinking. And obviously if it goes to anyone else's turn you can go back to the other map if you want. And/or make a new map with different locked numbers.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You can see right here we bring up the neighborhood layer now so you can kind of see that we tweaked 14 to follow neighborhood boundaries right here. So that's probably really and right there. That's what we need to do now is what I'm pointing out. I had not done that.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: How is seven on population?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Seven is .94 low percent and 14 is .76% low so to make the neighborhood whole, isn't going to hurt the population.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: For seven I'm just trying to figure out if it's necessary to even have that part of Wayne County in it at all. Like we are taking that out and would it cause a big shift in population, how many people live there?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: A lot of people live there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm trying. Not a lot. But it is 2200 people. So it's percentage wise it would still be within, you know, desired deviations.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think remove that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want to remove it, do you want to unassign it or put it in six?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Six is locked. Now it's there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Absolutely. I'm getting these little weird errors again which I don't like. So, all right, there is seven. And it's at negative 3.35.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: All right, I think that's good for now. Can we Zoom out? And how is 14 on population?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 14 is .76% low. And, you know, what we have been doing we've been making these neighborhoods whole in one District or another. And this neighborhood is split and this one is split.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay, can you Zoom out?

Richard, I see you had a hand up.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes, if I'm not mistaken on District 14, the gardens and the wood areas were filled in to complete that neighborhood or whatever that is District precincts. So that would bring your number on 14, I believe, a lot closer to 0. .

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's a handful of census blocks.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Why are those broken up?

Assign them to make them whole.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: They were I think in the other map.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is whole. We were doing whole precincts is the reason why they were left hanging.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: So let me get this straight you're telling me unless I'm confused making the neighborhood whole breaks a precinct?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Well.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Precincts and neighborhoods have you know virtually no relationship. You see it all over the place. It's two different.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah, well that creates an interesting redistricting discussion. Because what do we choose to do?

Do we choose to keep the voting precincts whole?

Which would probably make election administration a little easier. Or do we choose to make the neighborhood whole?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Well neighborhoods are communities of interest and that is on our list, precincts are not on our list.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Well the Secretary of State also specifically said in her filing that the more precincts we split the harder it is for her to meet her deadlines. So the Secretary of State requested we not split precincts.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I don't know what to do about that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: We can't do both.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Precincts, I mean it's at the Secretary of State's office the precincts, mapping those out?

Is that something the Secretary of State's office controls?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Would you repeat that, Donna? You were breaking up.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Who defines the precincts?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Registrar.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Is it Secretary of State's responsibility to define the precincts. Are we working for the Secretary of State or not?

Whose criteria trumps it says communities of interests so if she needs to change the precincts to match that maybe we should do.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Have we received that they are communities of interest and keep them together?

I don't think we have.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is why I asked early on in the process where is the definition of communities of interest and do we have a common understanding of that. I haven't seen that. Maybe it exists and I just don't know where it is.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Director Woods, do you have something to say?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Sarah Reinhardt has her hand up with regards to that and Bethany James Galant the chat says one at a time as relates to sign language interpreters. Love the enthusiasm and engagement but it does make a difference when it's one at a time. Thank you, Commissioner Orton, appreciate it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Sarah Reinhardt?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: To answer your question it's not the Secretary of State's office that sets precincts boundaries. That responsibility is left to local clerks. So as you know there are over 1500 local, City and Township clerks across the state that determine precinct boundaries within their jurisdictions. Furthermore, the reason why the Commission is encouraged or the request was made to not divide precincts as much as possible is because when the final districts are handed over to the Bureau of Elections for entering into the qualifying voter file it takes a lot more work and time for information to be entered when precincts are split. But, with that said, Commissioner Orton's point about constitutional criteria is absolutely correct. That is your defining criteria. And as you are all aware precinct boundaries are not part of that. So while we certainly encourage you to consider precinct boundaries as it will impact the timeline for using these districts in elections, and the duration it will take the BOE to meet that timeline, you need to prioritize constitutional criteria. Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you for the clarification. Richard, your hand is up. Do you mean for it to be up?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes, as I stated before I believe in the first map we did, the gardens, that little space to the left and the Woods a little space to the right were included in that District. So if Kent could fill those in and then assign those to the District, I believe you will find that the number will come down quite drastically and we will be very close. Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Through the Chair to Commissioner Callaghan as it relates to the community of interest question that you just asked. That was a common frustration early on in the process too. We truly didn't have any defined what is a community of interest. It was all left up to consensus of the Commission. So you kind of have to look at what the Constitution says.

It could be something to do with heritage. Or, you know, church life or if there has been we have literally gotten a list of hundreds of different things. But there is no set definition of what a community of interest is. And I hope that helps. I know that was one of my struggling points during the mapping process so just wanted to put that out there.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Well, one of the things that Rhonda, they were talking about communities of interest was the any kind of recreation or worshipping services or stores, those were some of the communities of interest they named out. But can I just try this and see what this looks like?

And if y'all don't agree that is fine. Kent.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So just a question. Anthony, are you working on 4 and 17 or are you good?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Trying to normalize the population for 4 and 17 and if Juanita wants to help.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I just want to look what this looks like if I throw this together.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: What Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What I want to do with the map right now.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Do you want to do anything else Anthony?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Assign the neighborhoods that Commissioner Weiss was speaking of and then I'm done. Now, because we deleted District 13, there is more room on the north and eastern side of this as well. So you could look at not even including Wayne County here possibly. But I'll let somebody else take that up.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, Juanita?

Take it over.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Some justification real quick. I think this does make a whole lot more sense with District 7 and including role Oak with Ferndale and Madison Heights. People call Ferndale the new Royal Oak and I think this being an Oakland County District also would be considered a community of interest. Not so much in it being Oakland County. But you know, similar communities being within the county.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, Juanita, go ahead.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: We got a lot of participation from River Rouge and Ecorse and MC and I went to hear them and wanted to put Ecorse and River Rouge in District one. You can keep them together. Can I see how that looks?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. Ecorse and River Rouge. And in this plan, Commissioner Eid requests we lock, that I lock the districts that weren't deemed unconstitutional. So two right now is locked. Are you saying unlock two and edit two?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY:

>> CHAIR ORTON: Or you can start a new plan, Juanita.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Well, whatever, whichever one would fit. If we could put Ecorse and River Rouge in District one and maybe put Melvindale and move it to probably with Lincoln Park in two. And then let's see what it looks like. And then if we have to probably move a little of Dearborn into three. And let's see what that looks like.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right. Could I have some direction here?

Or am I going to unlock two?

Or go to a new plan?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Anthony.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What would you like to do, go to a new plan or what?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: The whole point of this one is to have all of them locked except for what is reasonably able to be changed while fixing, you know, what the core said. I think what we have locked does that. So we have the other map that we were just working on that we can go back to that has all of the districts unlocked. So I would

say either go back to that one or, you know, start a third copy. I don't know why we would start a third copy if we already have one that is unlocked.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So if I go back to number two then that's basically where we left off this morning, which is this is where Juanita came in initially. You know, this plan has two, split into two parts and is significantly underpopulated. And it has under -- unassigned population in the northern part of Wayne county. Those two things have to be remedied even to consider this a whole plan. I mean it's not. It wouldn't do any analysis on it the way it is now. So Commissioner Curry, you know.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can you move Melvindale into Lincoln Park to two? See what that looks like?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, will do that. And Ecorse go one with River Rouge?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

And then.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: And then probably move a little of maybe Dearborn into three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, just one second let me get Lincoln Park into two and Ecorse into river with one into River Rouge?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, do you see where we are at here?

So two right now is Allen Park, Lincoln Park and Melvindale.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That's pretty good.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And District one is Ecorse, River Rouge and going north.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three is well, actually two right now has a good population 1.7%. Three needs 10,000 people. I think the other day when we were talking about this we took the southern part of four and put it into three and pushed four on up. The southern part of four is, you know, more of Dearborn.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is that not what we were.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think so.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want to do that, Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

I'm just going to take a chunk here and then you can evaluate, you know, that is 35,000. That's way too many people. So let's just take like that part. 7800. 17,000. I'm going to assign that and then make edits to the same. Take that, put that back into four. So you got this puts District three 1.38% over. As is two. Four needs 10,000.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Then can we adjust number four to make it a little bit more compatible?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You know, the suggestion would be, well right now one still needs 19,000 as well.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So, you know, up in this area in some fashion, you know, the lower part of 11, 8, 4. One needs more people. Let me bring up the neighborhoods.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah, that would help.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah. So we have -- we could take and finish out maybe District one would you want to put Mexicantown Hubbard form Central southwest. Basically south of Michigan avenue pretty much.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Let's try Hubbard farm and Central something and look at that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That area into one, please?

Oh, I did that wrong. Sorry.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You did it wrong, yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I punted that one for sure. So this is Michigan Avenue and this needs 12000 people. This is Condon, Chadsey Condon area.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: How many in that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That whole area is 4,000.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Right there is only, okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that right there is 7,000. You still need quite a bit more. That is getting close. And that would get it pretty close right there. Add that -- if that was into one and that's everything pretty much south of Jeffries freeway, Grand River.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah. Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do you want that into District one?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah. Uh-huh.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So now District one is 1.11% low. And two is 1.7 over percent. Three is 1.38%. And four needs about 9,000 or 9 to 10,000. But one, two, three and four the numbers aren't too bad. Anything else, Commissioner?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Not right now. Let me think about this. So how do I look to you all?

Commissioners?

Hello?

Are you all still there?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I don't hear any objections, Juanita.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is a good sign.

>> It looks good.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: The only thing I will say is I think it messes with three quite a bit. I'm a little concerned about that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: It messes with what?

I couldn't hear you.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: District three.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Not that much.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: You could take like the rest of Dearborn that is in District Four and then work your way up with District Four into the unassigned area perhaps. You know, we had a lot of people that said they want that area to be with Dearborn. But, you know, to go either way.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Are you satisfied for now, Juanita?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm satisfied.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, then next up is Brittini Kellom.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Hello, everyone, again.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Hello.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay, Kent, what do we have just that open space?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. We have four and eight heavily underpopulated. And this area unassigned.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You saw that we changed three and four to.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I did not see that. I literally just entered the meeting minutes ago.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Commissioner Curry edited one and two and then adjusted three and four. So one and two would work. I think to make it brief. But one and two have changed right down here. Three changed and now four has to -- four is very changed but it needs to continue to have edits. So looking at four, eight and unassigned, that area. Here's your neighborhood layer.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep. Can you read the screens?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yeah, I'm going to Zoom in a little. Okay, so I mean obviously we can start filling it in. Green acres state fair no land.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: My question is like four is surrounded at this point. Do you want to you know go up with four and across and then go up with eight or do you want to go across with four and you see what I'm getting at?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: No can you say it again I'm sorry. What is four at population, what is happening with four?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, yeah. Four needs.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Some folks.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It needs 10,000. So.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: What is the main one?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is what I was going to do is highlight some.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: You can go into Pilgrim Village. That is an odd shape the way it juts out like that.



>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Pilgrim village is a neighborhood.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I'm looking at that little baby tooth. That's what it looks like, a baby's first tooth that comes out into Pilgrim village and kind of splits it and is there a reason for that, if there was a reason.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So you want to assign this?

That is 8600 people. That gets you, you know, somewhere closer to the number because you don't assign it to one. You definitely don't do that. I know that for a fact. Fix this. And four and assign that. You know, the neighborhoods split a little bit right here, so you probably want to fix that up.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Uh-huh. Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: At the block level and I will Zoom in even more. But if we select this, you know, that's part of the precinct. So the question is: You know, the fact that, all right, let me get back over here to blocks. So this we put all of most of Beauton into six. Want to move that into six?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Pardon me?

That little square you said move that into six?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, because to make it.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yeah, that's fine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: But you are splitting precincts so it's one or the other.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Curry your hand is up, did you have something to add?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Just checking.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm sorry.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Like District three is 1.38% high. And eight needs a lot of population. So, of course, you probably will want to make these.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Needs population, yeah, Marvin Park, Pilgrim village can go to eight or sorry that's what I was looking at.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let put all of that into eight. You know, just to keep your neighborhoods whole. I'm just asking. I'm not telling so much.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I already said, yes. I said yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Trying to be careful and not step out of bounds. And I put those in six, which is totally wrong. And if it comes back I'm going to fix it. Four is a little under and eight needs quite a few. Just assign all the remainder, unassigned to eight, is that what we are talking about doing?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I feel like I'm forced to do that. I'm still driving. So it's a heck of a District.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let's just put the numbers in there and start adjusting so everything is assigned, you know.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That's good.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we are not wondering about what's going on. Because I suspect, you know, at some point these districts got to be balanced out.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That looks good.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: It looks horrible you have Palmer Woods with hill.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's too much population. I think it's going to have to...this is taking too long.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yawl need some new CPUs in those computers, Kent.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's really not all that old is the problem.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Feel free to save liberally, Kent.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Oh, yeah, just waiting for it. Part of the problem we had earlier was the VTD and some of you may recall this from earlier, a couple years ago. The VTDs and the Township boundaries not matching up perfectly. That's not our fault. That is between the state and the Census Bureau. So, yes, I'm passing the buck.

I'm just assigning, you know, the remainder here just to... I see some little pieces missing but we will clean it up as we go. This part of garden homes neighborhood, do we want to put that in seven?

This remainder area up here?

Those census blocks I feel like it has been done and should be with garden homes in District seven. That's a question.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I can't see it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, some of the garden home census blocks are not assigned. But most of garden home neighborhood is in District seven. Shall I assign those unassigned blocks to District seven?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

I'm sure there are some census blocks floating around in here that, well, District eight is 10,000 people too big. Which we kind of knew was going to happen. So you got to take some of them out and redistribute them across. For example ten, District ten, well, that is out of the way but it's 2500 under. 9 is .02%. So some could get shuffled over in nine. Some can get shuffled into seven, six, 14. But essentially, you know, eight has accumulated you know 9900 extra people. And 12 is under populated. So, you know, it's 3 point something. I will turn off the layer. So yeah, 12 is hemmed in by 13, 9, 11 and 10. But 12 technically is, you know, you need a thousand people. 3.63 high. And 11 needs technically it's at 3.5%. So it needs some. 9,000 people in District eight needs to be redistributed in such a fashion to improve 12 and 11 at the least and 4. Four needs, you know, some.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I think I'm comfortable providing commentary, but I want to pass my time because I'm physically driving. I'm not in the passenger seat and I don't see and thinking about the community of interest I don't see, I think that whole area needs to be like reconfigured into more bite sized chunks. In the area in eight and

nine that are pretty problematic. And, yeah, I can't think of a way right now to make the adjustment. Like one that I'm actually comfortable with if that makes sense, Kent. And to the other Commissioners. Sorry.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay so we will go on to the next Commissioner and you are free to make commentary whenever you like. So next is Commissioner Lange.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, I think I'm going to go a different route. But I see Mr. Fink just popped on. So I'll give him the floor for a moment.

>> Nate Fink: Thank you, Commissioner Lange. I was just hoping to have the opportunity to jump in and reiterate the importance of following the seven ranked criteria that are in the constitutional amendment and I believe they were shared again by Ms. Reinhardt from the Secretary of State's office. So I just want to reiterate the importance of following those and while you can be, you know, aware and cognizant of the precinct lines, those are not included in the seven ranked criteria. And I think Ms. Reinhardt indicated as much when she said that. She of course acknowledged that it does create some challenges for the Secretary of State's office in terms of implementing the new lines that you will be providing. But it's of the utmost importance that you follow the seven ranked criteria in the Constitution. I don't think that is inconsistent with anything miss Reinhardt said. And I believe Ms. Reinhardt dropped into the chat here another link to the ranked criteria as well. Which is on Page three of the constitutional amendment. So thank you. I appreciate you sending it over to me, Commissioner Lange.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you. Commissioner Eid, did you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I was going to make a suggestion to Commissioner Kellom. You could open up more of Detroit in District Four and more of Dearborn in District three. That would go with the communities of interest quite well.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think she is driving so maybe next time around. Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes, Kent, could you bring up the first version of the map and make a copy of it, please?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will take this out and bring up the original version. Let me see here. That was this one. I'll copy it. And we will just call this -- we need to get 1A. Someone has to keep track of all this, right?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, this is where we left off with two pieces of District two.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And unassigned.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: What I would like to do is I would like to combine District five and six south of eight mile.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, what are you going north of it?

The reason I ask which one do you want to be five and which one do you want six?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Five south and six north. Give me just a minute. I will put this and previously was and it was either in four or unassigned the 1069. I will bring up the layer, neighborhoods because I know.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Your hand is up, is it still up or?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Sorry, no.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I need to change the color of five so I can see it. Five is going all the way down there. Exact sometimes. Yeah. Let's see here. I need to assign those blocks or rather these blocks to keep them split in the neighborhood. That's the way it had been previously. This area right here had been in four. Getting it back to where it was when we -- okay.

Of course it takes longer when you are doing blocks. But that's what you have to do to keep them splitting neighborhoods. Okay, and the northern part or at least up through here would be six. Is that what we are working on?

So five and six are each -- it doesn't really make sense. Five and six are both a little bit large. But I must have picked up some areas I didn't intend to get picked up.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Looks like I got some of 56 out of here. I will wait for my screen to recover. So what part of 56 did I get?

Does anybody really not remember?

This area, was in the area in 56, Ryan?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: No. That was in five.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What part of six?

I picked up 56 in some of this area. Or something because five and six are both.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: The top of this was not 56.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That was right there?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Correct.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, I will put it back in there.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: How much are they over by I can't read the numbers.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 4.7 and 4.6%, 4,000 each.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: One is big, and one is small?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: They are both over. I got a piece. I picked up some from somewhere and I'm trying to figure out where it came from. Seven, eight, probably from eight. Anyway, let's see here. I'll have to bring in another map and overlay it so I can see. Nope. Is Hickory over here?

I need to delete out of all these. I mean I don't see it. Okay, there is that error or something. No, it's right there. All that area is unassigned is what it is I think. So we are getting an error now and I don't trust really any of this map now.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: That puts five almost on the money.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep, this is what I'm saying, this should get it there. And.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: How much is eight under?  
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, six is still.  
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Over.  
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 4,000 over and I'm trying to see where I made the mistake.  
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Is seven on the money?  
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is 56 is supposed to be right there.  
>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: 7 is 7948 Rebecca.  
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So it's over. So five at this point is right. I don't know why six is so high. I mean, that could be right though. No, that could even be correct. So right now five is a little small. And six is a little big.  
>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I mean, Zen is a -- seven is a little under so you could take some out of six and put it in seven.  
>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Take some out of six and put it in seven and if we need to take some out of seven to balance it out more?  
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Sure.  
>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Are any of the areas, where are, any Commissioners, do you know if there is any communities of interest in six or seven that do not want to be split?  
I just want to make sure if I take some out of six it's not breaking up a community of interest.  
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: May I offer maybe a suggestion?  
Unassign this part of seven and down here and just take it out of six and put it into seven that why seven does not cross over eight mile. If it needs to put it in seven.  
>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: That is what I was planning Kent. I wanted to be sure I didn't split up anybody's.  
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It was to get the numbers right if I remember correctly but I could be wrong.  
>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay let's unassign those two.  
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.  
And then you can do something like assign some either or both you know what I'm saying, something up here to get it back.  
>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay so seven is that 88 right now?  
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Seven is 3,000 short.  
>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay so why don't we, yep, why don't we do that one the 2464 the one your pointer is on and that will bring it.  
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 600 people.  
>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: So we are good there.  
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And five is there.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Five is short too though isn't it?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Five is 2000 under.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Can we take the 1600 and add it to five and it will get the numbers closer?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. But I'm going to turn on the neighborhood layer again. Because you're getting into that. But all of garden homes, I'm going to highlight it. Just so you get all of the -- you could put all of that in there and that way all of that neighborhood is in that one.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes, if we can keep the neighborhood together I would appreciate that. Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's where we are at five is .36%. Six is 1.92.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: And the reason I wanted to do this is that there was public comment about, you know, Detroit and the eight mile being kind of that divide. And not running up into other counties. So that's more or less why, to keep everything kind of compact and together and to honor public comment that we got. So I'm done. Thanks.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, next is Commissioner Lett.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT:

>> CHAIR ORTON: You're muted.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: What do we have directly north of eight in the white area?

It looks like it's just sitting there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right now this plan is all of that area unassigned, and District two down here is 33,000 people short, and District two is in two parts. So these people, this population number essentially should be more down towards two or in two.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: What is the population of 27?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, two is missing 33,000. And 27 -- that can't be right. All right. I got to fix this plan. Because something is wrong. 27 hasn't...

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Take your time.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 27 has not changed and shows having 34,000 too many people. So I need a minute to figure this out. I'm going to stop sharing. And fix it. Get the numbers right. And come back. Are we okay with that, Commissioners?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, you do that. Commissioner Eid in the meantime do you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: You talking to me?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I was going to say that area is the area Brittini filled in the other version of this. This is the third version now, Commissioner Lange made a copy and changed districts five and six from the Hickory map. But that didn't include the changes that we made this morning.

>> CHAIR ORTON:

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: She worked off the morning's map. I don't understand.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I don't think so because District two on this one that he is working on right now is not the same as what Juanita did on her turn.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: She had a different map. She worked on map two.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: No, she worked on map one, I'm pretty sure. I could be wrong, all three, we have three maps, the original, the one with the change and the one that Rhonda just created.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Rhonda's is A1 or 1A, the one from this morning is one. Two was a copy of one. And then Brittini do you recall what number map you were on?

Was that three?

Three.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So, Steve, which map are you wishing to work on?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I have no clue.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Throw a map up there, Kent.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I guess I thought I was working on the latest one, which has two split, so we got to fix that. We got one unassigned up above eight. So we got to fix that. And I don't know which map that is.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'll be back in just a minute. Let me fix this stuff.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: We've been at it an hour and a half. Let's take ten minutes to catch up.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So we will come back at 3:45.

[ Recess until 3:45 p.m. ]

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Did we take a ten-minute break Cynthia?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes, we are back in less than a minute.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, I didn't know and had to get some milk. Like a little baby I had to go get some milk and I came back, and everybody was gone.

>> CHAIR ORTON: As soon as Steve is back we will keep going.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah, yeah, this is long days, aren't they?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Long days.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: When do we meet again?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Tomorrow.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Tomorrow. I'm looking at my notes.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: From experience it seems like we are always done, just laughing.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I had to laugh. Donna you are funny. We are done at 6:00. What is it?

Quarter to four?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Donna how do you like it so far?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: It's interesting. I feel like I have the fire hose turned on trying to figure out where everything is at and catch up with you guys who have been doing this for so long. So I felt a little behind the eight ball with a little anxiety producing for me. But I think I'm coming up, to speed. I find it enjoyable so far.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think you're doing well. So keep up the good work.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Well thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm learning a lot from you guys, so this has been a great meeting. I had no idea how this actually worked so today has been really helpful to clarify some things for me.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That is good.

>> CHAIR ORTON: We are back and I don't see Kent on yet.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You okay, Cynthia?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Need a drink. When Kent comes back on with his map, we can continue.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm here and thinking about walking out. Did I say that out loud?

They should be calling me any minute now. What?

Don't say that.

>> KIM BRACE: I'm listening to you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Don't talk like that. I don't understand. See 27 now is starting to change.

>> CHAIR ORTON: We don't see a map.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't know why any of this is going on is the problem. Well, it has to do with the VTDs and the Township boundaries, not aligning perfectly. But when and where it happens, still we are not sure. See like 27 is at 23,000. And I don't know why.

>> KIM BRACE: Do you want to share your screen, Kent, so we can all see what you're looking at?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, not really but.

>> KIM BRACE: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: But here we are. You know, the District 27 we haven't done anything with it. Knowingly. And we are down 23,000 people. And two is down 33,000.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What?



>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is a lot of real estate unassigned. What I need to do is 6,000. What I need to do is I still have a couple of ideas.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So what I'm going to do is unshare my screen and keep working on it. If there is anything else y'all can do for a few minutes while I clean this up, it would be greatly appreciated.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That is up to Madam Chair.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I don't know of anything else we can do. Sorry, I couldn't hear that.

>> COMMISSIONER CALLAGHAN: Could we move up the agenda of the executive report and go ahead and have that?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Edward are you ready to share your Executive Director report?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Unfortunately not at this time. We are trying to tidy some things up with Detroit. But great suggestion, Commissioner Callaghan. But in between and I think we are a couple things away with regards to that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so Sarah Reinhardt says she could show the public comment portal. Go ahead, Sarah.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Hello Commissioners. I'm happy to share that the public comment portal is now reopened for members of the public to submit their comments or draw a map. So while we are waiting, it might be helpful as a refresher for Commissioners who have used the public comment portal before or for new Commissioners who maybe this is their first time using the portal or seeing it to sort of walk through what the portal features.

So, first, I'll note that if you go to the Commission's website, which is Michigan.gov/MICRC. From the main Page there's a really great link to the public comment portal right here. So you can just click right on that. And it will take you right there. So it takes you to the gallery Section. So I will start just from the home Page because it might be a little easier to introduce this to some of our new Commissioners or members of the public who haven't used it before.

So this is a portal where members of the public can submit a couple different kinds of public comment, written public comment. They can also draw their own map to submit to you, District maps for your consideration. And they can also map on a map draw their community of interest for you all to view. And all of the comments that were contained on the portal previously are still here. So if you're interested in seeing what's been previously submitted, you scroll down to the gallery Section or click gallery here. And you can see all of the comments that have been submitted thus far. For example, this is a written public comment that's been submitted. Here someone submitted a Congressional District map for the Commission to review. Here someone provided a link to a different web Page for you all to view. And members of the public don't have to

submit an entire map. If they just want to draw their own District. Like this person has, you can see that here. So there are lots of different options for members of the public to provide feedback directly to the Commission.

So if you wanted to search for something, for example, if you wanted to search for Detroit, if you wanted to see stuff happening or that people have commented on or mapped about in the City of Detroit you would click on that advanced search button that I just clicked on. And for keyword you can type in Detroit. And you can see there are 804 matches that feature the City of Detroit either in the comments, in the link or in the map itself. So it's a great way to review information that's been provided by the public. It's a great way to review communities of interests that have been submitted. There we go.

And you can also change the view for how comments are displayed here as well. So if you are a member of the public wanting to submit a comment, you just come to the top here to submit a comment. And then here is the submission form. You can fill in the title then you select here which type of comment you would like to submit. So let's say you wanted to submit a community of interest, there's really great directions here that go over how to submit or how to draw a community of interest using Districter.org, which is an online map drawing, like, web-based software. And there is even a video you can watch that will walk you through all the steps for creating and submitting your map.

Another feature that you may recall is that for maps that have already been submitted, people can comment on them. So you may see comments here. So for COIs or various maps that people have submitted, if someone else "Like"s it they can comment on there and say I agree with this one or I support this one. Some of them do have comments and some of them don't as you can see.

So, yeah, this is the public comment portal. The last thing I will say is that we have this draft plan Section which is where historically after you all create plans during your mapping sessions like today I know there were a number of drafts that were produced. We upload them here for the Commission to view. And for you all to view and download at the shape file level if you would like to. So if you click on that you're taken to this Page here. See there are lots of comments on some of these here that the Commission have already created.

And then I believe that if you click view in districter you have the ability to actually download it from this Page. And this is the online map drawing software that I mentioned earlier. So that is the public comment portal. That concludes my presentation, and it looks like Kent is done. Does anyone have any questions before I hand it back to Madam Chair?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm trying to raise my hand.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Go ahead.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Is the public map drawing tool is it using the same data that we are using in the map drawing tool that we are doing in our meetings here? Or do they have different data?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: They have the same data, yes.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: We work directly with MGGG lab out of Tufts University and have the same software that your map drawers are provided.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Thank you.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Ms. Reinhardt. Are you ready, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we are semi recovered. I do now know is 27 the number is fine. I don't know why that showed up differently. What we do know is there is a mismatch or a misalignment of geography that is causing these districts down in the District two, one area to basically not calculate correctly. In other words, you know, a VTD line, CCD line do not align and it causes errors. So with that said we can kind of work around it. And when this error pops up, just kind of work our way through it. Fred is working on it right now, trying to get a work around. It's not -- you know, it's beyond a really high control. So we are trying to figure out a work around.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay will you share your screen?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Always wanting to see what is on my screen. Okay, here we go. So two is in two parts. Eight is up here hanging out by itself. You know, four and three are at least in the ballpark. I guess technically if y'all want to be, you know, plus or minus 2.51%, 5% four needs to come down a handful of people. And I see something hanging out right here on five. I probably should fix. But anyway, Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Is this the one I worked on, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: No, Commissioner Curry, it is not. This is.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That's okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The original early version with Commissioner Lange's five and six change.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: All right, thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: You're muted, Steve.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Sorry. How many are in the unassigned area now?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I mean, at some point the unassigned area that that quantity, that 33,000 people, well 31 I think it is, needs to be brought all the way do you know here. There's no getting around it. I mean, you can put some in five, some in eight, some in nine, some in four but it's coming here eventually in one way or another.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay so if I remember correctly we wheel around to get it down there, right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do what?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Wheel around to get it down there. So we've got 31,000 in two, both twos together have how much?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: They have a total of 58,372. They are short 33,240.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay, let's start moving the unassigned down to two. And however the most expeditious way to do that, I will leave it up to you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, well if it's up to me and I will just start kind of like what Brittini had was, you know, put a chunk of it in to four. Maybe a chunk of it into eight. Maybe some of it into nine. Of course they will all be over so they are down here where we can work with it.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Sure. Why wouldn't we put -- can we put it all into eight?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You can. But see, right, but you see how, okay, we will put it all into eight. And start there.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Kent, before we do that, can we get the neighborhood overlay up?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. Actually it's up there now. It's the red lines.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Red.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I didn't turn on the names.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And they were Black. So and that's not right.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: You got the names up there now?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: They are whole neighborhoods right at this moment, but I will assign it.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: They are.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And closely turn on the population numbers.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I screwed it all up, but anyway I will fix it.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Juanita, can you see those names?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Does it make sense to put all of that in with eight?

Understanding that when we get down to the south of eight we are going to take a lot of numbers out. But it would leave those neighborhoods in with eight, which Martin Park, Pilgrim village, Highland, would those go together without causing a riot?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: It may cause a small riot because they are quite a little distance from Finkle and Dexter. You know what I'm saying they are a good ways from the Finkle and Dexter the upper, across this Black line is I'm very familiar with those areas. And I'm very familiar with the ones up under there like Dexter and all of those. But they are quite a distance away. They are not in the same neighborhood at all. Grixdale and Palmer Woods and all those is right off of Woodward. And Dexter is right

off of Dexter and Joy Road. And they are quite a distance away. So I don't know if they would be contiguous.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Well, I mean the change we made, they are contiguous now. We will talk off on the south end and put that in with two I guess.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: With two?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: We've got to bring two up and make two contiguous. We have it split now. So I'm just generally asking if that would make sense to put the northern neighborhoods in together then move the numbers down to two. See two is down there at the very bottom.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah, I know where two is. I don't know, Steve. I don't think I would kind of do that.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: This is Commissioner Kellom. I'm having connectivity issues. So that is what I was saying when I was originally working on the District. The northern part of eight is fine. Palmer Woods, the ones that you named, those are okay. Ideally that District would kind of stop shortly after Highland Park. But it would not go as deep as in the areas that Commissioner Curry said. So even if you put the southern part of eight into two, you would still.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Distance.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Get rid of Virginia Park. LaSalle Garden and talking about new center area, to give you an idea, new center area is where we met at the Cadillac building. And Palmer Woods is by the old state fair. That's what you're talking about planning that big of a distance for a District. That is what we are talking about when we are talking about communities of interest. So if you do that, you just have to know actually what that entails.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Well understand the southern part is going to be added to two. So it's not going to be there.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Quite a distance.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: How much I would cut eight in half. I don't know how much of the center part we are talking about. I'm talking about what ideally would work for a District that involves Palmer Woods and right after that 87-Livernois Woodward area.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That would not go with Dexter.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: He is saying he is going to take that out.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: We have to come up with 58,000 people to put in two. So take people off the bottom. Move them through one.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You can, gee.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Looks like Kent is on the phone so maybe he is getting some issue help or something. So I see a couple hands so Commissioner.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Probably ordering pizza.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Burden on the fly. Actually that was Mr. Hejazi suggesting and one thing I thought about is taking CCDs or the -- I refer to as the Township boundaries and not using them to edit with. That may fix these errors we are getting. We will still see the CCDs, I think. We just won't the computer won't be trying to merge the data, the numbers together. Any way we will deal with that here shortly. We still have this unassigned over here. This Gerald Mary row. Do you put them in four or put them in five and take some of four, I mean some of southern five and put in four. Because y'all are focused on eight. But, you know, four and three the way Commissioner Curry did her edits, she put Melvindale and Lincoln Park into two. And then that took care of two. And now I think she put Ecorse into River Rouge.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Population eight gets distributed amongst one, four and three. That's kind of the way Commissioner Curry did it in her map.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Steve, before you go on we have a couple hands that have been up so can we get those?

Commissioner Szetela?

Go ahead.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, that's what which us going to suggest number one figure out what we are doing with the empty segment between eight and five and take districts from the bottom of eight and put them in one and take districts from the bottom of one and put them into two and it solves the problem. You are just moving population through eight down to two which is what I think Steve was contemplating.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I thought that's what I said.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I thought that is what you were going to do.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Callaghan, do you have something?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I was going to say too if there is concern about having District eight go down below Highland Park, that is the top of eight would then be too far from the bottom of eight you could take part of the bottom of eight off and remediate the problem down here with precinct two. But could you also carve that little corner of nine off and add that into eight?

Up on the northern Section and then have nine expand below Highland Park?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, Steve, what do you want to do?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: First off answer me this where should I put unassigned area in four, five or eight?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: How much is in the unassigned area?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It is approximately, approximately, hold on, that many. It is quite a few. Approximately almost 7,000.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can you keep them in five?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, five is already over.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Oh, okay.

.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I am thinking you put them in four.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You could drop them down, yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And/or put them in five and take some of these and put in four.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Possibility.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't necessarily know.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: That would square up five.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That would make it look okay.

.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Want to do that for now?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I agree.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Then maybe take some of these and put in because five is over a chunk and then, you know, something like that. Put those in four.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yeah, see what that does to two or four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Five is at 1.15% over. And four is like 8,000 over. But that means your population is eight and four that are high.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Just that one little point where you just moved things from five to four that it broke up a neighborhood.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, this little corner right here should probably go back into five.

>> CHAIR ORTON: That's what I would think. Keep the neighborhood.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes, keep the square.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Put it back into five.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: What is that diagonal road?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Right here?

Or there.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think it's Grand River.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay.

.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This road here is Grand River, route five, Grand River Avenue, yes. So, you know, you come down eight and like I said, Commissioner Curry put Melvindale with Lincoln Park into two. And then you know, took chunks of Dearborn and I think Commissioner Eid said something earlier when she was doing it taking more of Dearborn and putting it in three. Because a significant part of Dearborn is now in three.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Then you got a lot of Dearborn, I mean, we might not touch that though. But I think it would work out okay. Because they are so close. They are almost contiguous.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I mean, once you get down here you don't have many options. You can't go across the river. Here it's two, three, one, four, eight, altogether in this general area.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Somebody call up Canada and say they take some.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, Steve.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Too cold in Canada. It's much warmer on your side of the river.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay, put what Juanita did back, I guess is a good word to say and see where that leaves us.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: If I remember right this is about how I went. Don't want to do blocks. Precincts. I think that all went in to two. And Ecorse went into one.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: River Rouge.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think that's the way it was because Ecorse from River Rouge and two is now good. Three is okay. Four is high. And eight is still high. And one is real low. So that still doesn't look right. But.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We still need to put some of eight into one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, you just made room for it. And, yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, where is eight at on there?

So I can see?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight is behind all the neighborhoods there.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Could you change the color of either seven or eight since they butt up against each other?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, I will change seven to something different. You got eight high. Seven could take some of it. Well, yep, it's almost inside the county, eight mile.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: How many do we have in eight now?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight is 30,000 high.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: And one is how low?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Around it up to 20. But see something isn't working right because four is also 8,000 high. There again I think the table has -- we have hit another error in, and it's generated because those numbers don't make sense to me.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Is there something down the list we are not seeing that is low?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We have not touched anything so it shouldn't be, that's the thing.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Shouldn't be.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Because we are looking at 37,000 high in two districts while we are 20,000 low in one. Well, 11 and 12 is the problem 11 and 12 are 3.51 low and 3.63 low. That's, you know, it all adds. Ten is also 2.771. So 10, 11 and 12 are all on the low side. And by the time it gets over to 8 and 1 it's added up to 6, 8, 9%.



>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Here is my question. If we are looking at eight, and looking at one and adding split the difference 25,000 into one and taking 25 out of eight, how would that look to make that saw tooth on the northeast side of one, what would that look like to get and even that out?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You can't do a straight trade between eight and one and have it balance out. Other districts have to take some of it. You can't, you know, you split the difference and they both will be 6 or 7% over.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay but that's a start.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, I'm trying to say 10, 11 and 12 are all, you know, 2.77, 3.51 and 3.63 low percentage wise. So just a couple precincts you know or neighborhoods going across. Suggestions anyone?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I want the, one, I want to take out of eight and put into one along the crooked line and try to even that line out.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right here?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR ORTON: And Commissioner Callaghan, did you have something?  
You're muted.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I think Commissioner Curry has already said this, but District eight is just huge, right?

It goes from the top of Detroit almost down to the river. So it seems like the whole bottom of eight needs to come off and get what you need to one and swing the rest of it back around up to 12 and 11 and what other one up there was short. I can't remember but there was one that was short, ten I think. Almost the bottom half of eight needs to be distributed around in both directions to even up those numbers.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, I think that is where he is going. Commissioner Eid?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Juanita, maybe you have a suggestion where it might be nice to cut Section eight off at below Highland Park or something.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What is this right here?

MR. KENT STIGALL: You know whether you put that into one or.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Or eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Or 11, I don't know, that is the southern tip.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Kent, what is this?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That highlighted area is the very southern tip of District eight. It actually is touching the Detroit River.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No, but I guess I mean the name. What City or precinct or whatever this would be called.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is Corktown, Hubbard Richard, Hubbard Farms, Mexicantown, that is those neighborhoods there. West side.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Mexicantown is like almost downtown.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: So where is downtown Detroit at?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is downtown right here where I circled.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can't you kind of move it a little bit this way into downtown Detroit?

Or somewhere.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That could go to 11 or like north Corktown is in eight and then.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Or take it that way because both of them are kind of adjacent to each other.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You got north Corktown and Corktown.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah either way.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You could.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Corktown and Mexicantown are not that far from each other.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: They are all Hubbard and Richardson is between them.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid do you have something that could help this?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I mean I think what Commissioner Callaghan is saying and I think what Commissioner Kellom said earlier is true. This is a massively large District. I mean, you're going from essentially where I am right now in Woodbridge to all the way to the county border, which is a lot. But that's not why I had my hand up. I'm confused at these numbers. How did we take a whole Township out of number -- out of District three and District three still is okay on population?

Because you took out of three but.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah. And I don't see how -- I don't understand how we did it. That is what I'm saying the numbers didn't make sense to me earlier.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: We need to make sure the numbers are right. That is what we are basing these decisions on at the moment. See what I'm saying? We took Melvindale out of three and put it into two. That's a significant population. But three, the Dearborn District.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Dearborn is three times the size of Melvindale.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We took two, four, six, eight, over 13,000 people out of here. And where did we add them in?

I thought we were going to add them up here. All right. We got to rebuild these numbers. Give me about ten minutes to do it because now I know what to do. I'm going to change the config so that it shouldn't happen again, okay?

We don't have another choice.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: All you need is ten minutes Kent? Are you sure you don't need more than that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: When I say ten it means 20.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: It's 4:30 what time are we supposed to stop at 6:00?

>> CHAIR ORTON: 6:00.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will do this and get back as soon as I can to let you guys know.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you. Director Woods, do you happen to be ready to share your report with us yet?

You are on mute.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Please give me a few more minutes.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Strange how those numbers are all off.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm not sure we should continue because of the numbers because the whole process is to get the numbers right.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: One thing it's long.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Commissioner Orton, if there are some glitches with the software maybe we can just end the meeting and I can give my report tomorrow. That way I want to make sure I have better numbers so the Commission knows exactly where we are. As the Commission did do last week with regards to Kristin Taylor, she is available and on board. There has been a contract that's been drafted by that's been looked over and we updated the contract we had before and had the contract reviewed by local counsel. And so Kristin Taylor is on board. And she's on board at a rate of \$30 an hour.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, thank you.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: If there is any questions I can take them at this time.

With regards to my report I have a couple more items.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Does Commissioner Szetela.

>> CHAIR ORTON: You are muted.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I did not have a question with Edward's report just a question about the map so when he is done I will hold until then.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Commissioner Eid, did you have a question?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: So is the public comment portal open for submissions right now?

Can people submit?

>> CHAIR ORTON: That's what she said.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Sarah Reinhardt did say that. Okay second thing we are, if anyone has not gotten back to me with regards to arrangements for Detroit next week, I need you to get back with me ASAP. We are trying to get all of that knocked down. I also need you to respond to an e-mail from Kristin Taylor with regards to

dietary restrictions. So if you have any dietary restrictions, if you can e-mail her back ASAP we are trying to get all that nailed down as well for tomorrow. And then the Court order does require us to have a public hearing after we submit the maps. On February. And so we will be -- and we have to have it done by February 23rd. So we will probably need to look for a date. I will bring a recommendation at our meeting on Thursday. And then also at our meeting on Thursday I will be working with our local Council to provide you with a draft for your consideration for the proceedings. And then comment within a week later so that people have time to look over it. And so that will give Commissioner Eid the week that he needs prep to provide whatever response he would like to provide to the Commission. So once again we will provide a draft this Thursday for the Commission's consideration. But vote on it next week. And go from there. And let me see if I'm missing anything else.

That's it. So once again if you can or if you have not gotten your lodging rates get those to me and please, please, please respond to Kristin Taylor as relates to dietary needs for food. Thank you so much.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, thank you. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes so with respect to the maps we worked on today, this is probably more for Sarah but are these maps going to be available on the I think it's MGGG portal or are they going to be available on the draft maps portal that was run by EDS that we previously used?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Great question. I will converse with your Executive Director to iron out how we did it last time. With issues we are encountering with the software at this point, I'm not entirely sure if they will be available tonight, or not. But we will certainly make best efforts to get those to you. And I'll discuss with your Executive Director where we are going to post them for public access and Commissioner access as well.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: And I think Kent mentioned they were going to be e-mailed as well the shape files is that still kind of the plan or just waiting to see based on software issues?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Kim Brace is on the line Commissioner Szetela and would be a better person to answer those questions since he has the contract. Mr. Brace, please.

>> KIM BRACE: Yes. I'm attempting to contact Fred and Kent and Ryan to get a better idea in terms of the issues. We were working in terms of getting the files together to go to both Sarah as well as the site that we are hosting. And we are working to see where we are on that side too.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you, Mr. Brace.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Mr. Brace if you can make sure I know so we can alert the public through our social media channels. I already have got a media call with

regards to that as well in terms of it being available, that would be extremely helpful, thank you so much.

>> KIM BRACE: Yep, no problem.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm wondering is there a naming convention for the maps? I'm looking at they're named after trees Hickory, Chestnut and today there were numbers 1, 2 and 3. What is the convention?

How do I find them and kind of categorize the maps that are there?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Sarah, are you going to answer that?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Sure. So the tree names that you see were for the, I believe, the definition would be the draft proposed maps that were more final versions created in 2021 for the Commission to vote on for adoption. The tree names were selected because those are trees that grow in Michigan. So we wanted to provide those special names because it would be easier for the public to comment on them as opposed to saying map 1.16.24. They can say the Hickory map.

For these draft maps that you all are working on, because they're going to be evolving over time, we've developed a draft map naming convention which is included in the mapping process and procedures but I'm happy to also copy it in the chat. And that is how we keep track of which draft is which. So I will make sure to connect with Kent so we can get those appropriately named. And I also track the different versions in my log that I keep as you all go. So we can keep track of which draft is which.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, so the names that we used today 1, 2 and 3 are just temporary names?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so when we come back tomorrow, Steve can continue his turn with the map. And are there any announcements?

From the Commission?

Commissioner Callaghan I assume your hand is just still up.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: We made some good progress today. Good job everyone. Let's try to keep this energy going.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so as the agenda items are completed and we are kind of at a stop still and we have no further business that we can complete right now, a motion to adjourn is in order.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So moved.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Second.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Moved by Commissioner Lett, seconded by Commissioner Weiss. All in favor raise or is there any discussion?

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

No, okay all in favor raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Any opposed raise your hand and say nay. The ayes have it and we are adjourned at 4:35 p.m.

Thank you everyone.