

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

MICRC

20240116-900 Meeting

Captioned by Q&A Reporting, Inc., www.qacaptions.com

>> CHAIR ORTON: As Chair of the Commission I call this meeting of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission to order at 9:01 a.m.

This Zoom webinar is live streamed on YouTube on the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission YouTube channel.

For anyone in the public watching who would prefer to watch via a different platform than they are currently using, please visit our social media at redistricting MI.

Our live stream today includes closed captioning. Closed captioning, ASL interpretation and Spanish, Arabic and Bengali translation services will be provided for effective participation in this meeting. E-mail us for Redistriction@michigan.gov for additional viewing options or details on accessing language translation services for this meeting.

People with disabilities needing other specific accommodations should also contact us at Reidistricting@michigan.gov.

This meeting is being recorded and will be available at www.Michigan.gov/MICRC for viewing at a later date.

This meeting is also being transcribed and those closed captions transcripts will be made available and posted on the Michigan.gov/MICRC website along with written public comment submissions.

Members of the media who may have questions before, during or after the meeting should direct those questions to Edward Woods, III, Executive Director for the Commission, at Woods E3@Michigan.gov or 517-331-6309.

For the public watching and the public record I will turn to the Department of State to take note of the Commissioners present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Good morning Commissioners please say present when I call your name. If you are attending the meeting remotely please announce during roll call that you are attending the meeting remotely and unless you are absent due to military announce your physical location by stating the county, City, Township, or village and the state from which you are attending the meeting remotely. I will begin with Elaine Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Present from Imlay Township, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Donna Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Present from attending remotely from Mount Arie, North Carolina.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Juanita Curry?
Anthony Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Present. Remotely attending from Detroit, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Brittini Kellom?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Rhonda Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Present; attending remotely from Reed City, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Steve Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Present attending from Lee County, Florida

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Marcus Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Present; attending remotely from Lincoln Park, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Cynthia Orton?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Present; attending remotely from Battle Creek, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Janice Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Attending remotely from Highland Township, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Erin Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Present; attending remotely from Eaton Township, Michigan.

>> Richard Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Present; attending remotely from Saginaw, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: We have ten Commissioners present and we have a quorum.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Welcome.

>> CHAIR ORTON: As a reminder to the public watching you can view the agenda at Michigan.gov/MICRC. I entertain a motion to approve the agenda.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: So moved.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Second.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Moved and seconded all in favor of approving the agenda raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so that takes us to -- we have public comment guidelines, I believe, with Director Woods. So hearing no objection, Director Woods, can you read our public comment guidelines, quickly?

Oh, you are muted.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Sure, thank you so much. Good morning, everyone. How are you?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Good.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Good. Our public guidelines for the public, I just want you to know you have two minutes. But the main thing here is to make sure your

comments are not directed to any individual Commissioner but addressed to the Commission as a whole. Once again, it's addressed to the Commission as a whole. No slanderous remarks defamatory remarks or anything like that. If you are deemed out of order, the Chair will do so and may remove you from speaking as relates to remote public comments or ask you to gather yourself and continue with the amount of time you have left. But, once again, civility to de quorum is the hallmark with the interaction with the Commission. Thank you so much.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Mr. Woods.

So Vice Chair Janice Vallette will facilitate public comment portion of the agenda.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: We will begin the public comment pertaining to agenda topics portion of our meeting. Hearing no objections we will now proceed with public comment.

Individuals who have signed up and indicated that they would like to provide live remote public commentary to the Commission will now be allowed. I will call your name and our staff will unmute you. If you're on a computer you will be prompted by the Zoom app to unmute your microphone and speak.

If you are on the phone, a voice will say the host wants you to speak and prompt you to press star six to unmute. I will call on you by your name. Or by the last four digits of your phone number. Also please note if you experience technical or audio issues or we do not hear from you from three to five seconds we will move on to the next person in line and then return to you after they are done speaking. If your audio still does not work you can e-mail redistricting@Michigan.gov and we will help you trouble shoot so you can participate during the public comment period at a later meeting. You will have two minutes to address the Commission. Please conclude your remarks when you hear the timer.

First in line to provide public comment is James Galant.

Please allow a moment for staff to unmute you. James Galant, you are unmuted and invited to address the Commission. Mr. Galant?

>> Yes. Can you hear me?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes, we can.

>> All right. James Galant, Marquette Suicide Prevention Coalition. I think the bottom line here, what I'm talking to you about is no one member should be able to effectively amend the maps as in progress, the collaborative maps. And then the next member unilaterally amend or even throw out that map completely. And that completely undermines our Constitution and our one question at a time thing and the Robert's Rules of Order. Madam Chair, if you don't have working knowledge of Robert's Rules of Order, please pass the Chair to another member that does. Because every time that hearing no objection thing comes along, that is supposed to be published in the minute. That is an actual decision made. There are dozens of decisions being made here and not being listed in the minutes and that's a violation of omebeck and rules of procedure.

You still have not entertained a motion to approve the maps and while dividing the question. You have no question. You have all day, you had no motion, you had no real question. So this is a perfect time to lay it on the table. That is on Page 198. Please open your book that you are supposed to have of Robert's rules with you, Madam Chair. Page 198. Lay it on the table. So if you motion to approve all the maps and then you motion to lay all the maps on the table, then you motion to bring them back one at a time. You want to talk about 13, talk about 13. Don't be 13, 2, now we are on 6, now we are everywhere. No. One question at a time is our parliamentary common law in America. So please do that, Madam Chair, because this is...I think we will just go straight away to the Supreme Court if you folks don't just start, you know, following your duties. And please add to Commissioner Eid's problems that he is facing. It appears he institutionalized Marxist-style communism. It's our Commission's governmental function by allowing Ms. Hammersmith's application to move forward as the Chair of the subcommittee when Commissioner Lange testified that her vote didn't reflect her personal opinion. That's in the transcripts. So please, Commissioner Lange, I would ask that you folks would allow her to, you know, add amend that or Szetela, that was a pretty good operation, you know, allegation.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Your allotted time has ended. Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Robert Dindoffer. Please allow a moment for our staff to unmute you. Mr. Dindoffer.

>> Can you hear me?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes.

>> Oh, thank you. Hey folks first I wanted to thank the Commission for in the most recent draft maps from yesterday drawing the Lake St. Clair suburban State House District. I think it really very well reflects the community of interest in the area. So thank you.

I did want to address I think there was a question about one precinct in Grosse Pointe Woods that is on the northwest side of Mac Avenue which is typically the dividing line in the area. But there's one precinct that basically sticks up into Harper Woods and I think there was a question about and maybe I'm wrong whether that belongs more with Harper Woods or more with the Grosse Pointes and St. Clair Shores. And you know, just my two cents, one guy's opinion who lives in the area is that it belongs more with the Grosse Pointes and/or in St. Clair Shores than with Harper Woods. Although there are connections obviously. It's right there in the midst of Harper Woods, the Lake front park, that all the folks from Grosse Pointe Woods use, is actually located on the Lake within the municipality of St. Clair Shores. And they actually pay municipal taxes to St. Clair Shores for police, EMS and fire. I know my stepdaughter actually by way of background was a lifeguard trainee there so I kind of know about this. In any event the linkage with St. Clair Shores is stronger. But you know, the tough part is it's a small District in the State Senate District all three communities are together. And it's just

tough. You have to make a pick I suppose. And my vote for what it's worth is the Grosse Pointes plus St. Clair Shores. Thank you. Appreciate your time.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Lisa Jevens.

>> My name is Lisa Jevens. I'm a longtime volunteer with voters not politicians. I was one of 6500 who came together to pass the redistricting amendment in 2018 to ensure that citizens are in control of this process. I was happy to have the opportunity to participate last time and I'm glad to be able to do so again.

I'm confident that you will bring your valuable experience of the past two years to bear this time around. Thank you for your continued service and welcome to the new Commissioners. The first point I want to make is that all 7 of the criteria in the constitutional amendment can and should be achieved in balance with each other. I notice that you've determined that the VRA compliance criteria and the partisan fairness criteria can only be evaluated at the end of your process, so it seems you are essentially drawing maps blind to the two very important criteria. In order to achieve the results that you are obligated to do, you are going to need several sets of maps to consider just like last time. I'd like to point out that our Constitution says that you the Commission have the exclusive authority to adopt maps. That means that you can draw them yourself, but you certainly don't have to. You could instead spend some time reviewing maps submitted by the public and especially those that already come with data, analytics and District summaries that would give you time to review the community of interest information you already received in addition to what you are hearing from the public now. That would also give you the opportunity to adopt maps that address the problems identified by the Court and maintain or improve compliance to the other criteria. That's it. And I want to thank you again for this very important service to our state.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Dylan.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: That participant is not present.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay next in line is Michael Higgins, George.

>> Hi, can you hear me?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes, we can hear you.

>> Hi, I just wanted to, you know, the mandate for partisan fairness is so important in this process. I think it's a really important criteria. I know the Commission has to redraw these districts because of the Court order. But the fact of the matter is the previous maps produced very competitive races where votes, you know, results were within hundreds of votes, right?

Going either way. I have a lifelong resident in Fraser and that was a really exciting time in 2022 to see all those competitive races. My neighborhood was knocked on six or seven times my door was knocked on which was something that was really fun to see.

All these candidates out there competing in these competitive districts. I just want to, you know, underscore that you guys already have driven already drew a State Senate District for the Lake St. Clair community of interest. I don't think it's fair to create another community of interest with St. Clair Shores and the Grosse Pointes together. You can pick and choose communities to help you achieve other goals including partisan fairness. And partisan fairness is more important than county, City, lines, pretty shapes. Putting singular to the Grosse Pointes I think is a detriment to partisan fairness mandate. And I don't think you really need to redraw the whole Metro Detroit area to solve the issues. You can do it without touching you know everything and just focus on the districts you need to redraw that the Court has struck down. If you do have to make edits elsewhere you should do a way that improves partisan fairness rather than making it worse, which putting St. Clair Shores and Grosse Pointe together would make partisan fairness worse. And that's pretty much it.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Kevin.

>> Well, good morning.

Can you hear me?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes.

>> Okay perfect, fantastic. Actually that was my son who was on there a second ago. Super proud of him. Actually I'm super proud of where we are and what we are doing with the commission. I was a big supporter of getting this through, so we didn't have so many gerrymandered districts throughout the state. And the work you are doing is very, very important let me say that. One of the things that got talked about here just a moment ago that I wanted to touch on was the fact that using outside data and maps by other entities that you can use, my thought was in this broad context is like get somebody from Canada to draw our maps. Let them look at the data. You guys look at it. That way we take as much of the personal partisanship out of it. Get a Canadian for God sakes. But really along the lines what George was saying is that partisan fairness was really what drove this thing. You know, well, us in Wisconsin, something is going on there excuse me, George is texting me I shouldn't swear. I didn't know there was a swear word in there. Any way we need to have partisan fairness. That is what this really is about. I know you have a real fine line there with what's going on with the racial equality issue in the City of Detroit. But really look at the districts that the Court struck down. That's where you should be focusing your efforts. And remember the partisan fairness is I think number four on your criteria. Keep that in mind. And, yeah, just focus on those maps, the districts that got identified by the Court. And I wish you all the best. You're in my prayers. And I am moving this state toward a better and brighter future based on the work you guys are doing. Work all together. I'm not going to quote Roberts rules or anything like that, I appreciate that guy from where

he comes from, not down in the weeds that much. Keep up the good work and let's get it done.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Thank you for addressing the Commission. Next in line is Nor Elma-Hamid.

>> Good morning, everyone. I appreciate the opportunity to address you. As you work on redistricting I just wanted to highlight the importance of speaking to the state's Constitution. I believe it's crucial to prioritize fairness and political representation when mapping. Also believe we need to focus on the political data to make sure we don't end up with a map that is even more unfair than the original. And that's it, thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Thank you for addressing the Commission. That concludes our public comment. Please feel free to e-mail public comments to the Commission at redistricting@Michigan.gov. We appreciate everyone who offers public comment in whatever way you choose and invite you to keep sharing your thoughts.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Janice. Before we move on to the presentation portion, I believe Nate Fink is bringing back our mapping process document that he reviewed. Or they reviewed. So, without objection, I will ask Mr. Fink to present that. Hearing no objection please proceed.

>> Nate Fink: Good morning Commissioners. I believe Mr. Woods is going to share his screen. So we reviewed the -- it's actually a document that the Secretary of State's office had proposed revisions to the mapping process and procedures. To be clear, the revisions that have been made to this document that's now up on the screen, while the table of contents still includes the topics all the way down through adoption of final maps, this document here you see eight patients your actual current controlling document is I believe 22 pages. At this point to get it done as quickly as possible and presented to you, the suggested edits and what I'm recommending you adopt focuses just on the mapping procedure. So I believe it goes down through draft proposes.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Madam Chair, I apologize, Mr. Fink. I just have a quick question did we receive this updated copy in our materials for the meeting? Because I didn't see it.

>> Nate Fink: I'm not sure if this document had been shared. But the substantive. I can present the substantive changes and circulate a copy to you if you want. But I don't know, I don't think you have seen a copy of this particular version.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I would like a copy of it, please, thank you.

>> Nate Fink: If it's okay with the Commissioners I would like to walk through it and I can just explain to you, the substantive changes are focused exclusively on the issues related to consultation with the V RA and RPV consultants in light of the Court's ruling, it's our recommendation that we remove the provisions from your current mapping procedures that discuss consultation with VRA consultant, RPV consultant through the mapping process. As you know, you've adopted a procedure that will do your mapping process without consideration of race and then there will be a check on the VRA issues

at the end. By VRA counsel. So the document here just removes those references to consultation with RPV and VRA consultants through the -- and just for the new Commissioners and frankly old Commissioners it's racially polarized voting and focused on racial which you should not be considering through the mapping process here. Then it also just adjusted or took out what was now an old schedule from 2021. And I believe Mr. Woods, if you scroll up I think you had already shown it there, there is a link in the document here to a schedule of the current mapping sessions.

And that's really it. So, again, I would just recommend that the Commission adopt this. And we will need to clean up the table of contents. And then soon, in the next day or two, we can present another document that will include the deliberations and public comment Campaigns. We didn't include that in this document because we just wanted to focus on the mapping Campaign we you are obviously doing right now. As I mentioned yesterday, you already have a mapping process in place, you are following and were following throughout the day yesterday for the collaborative mapping. But we recommend that you go ahead and adopt this amended procedure that again just removes the references to the consultation with VRA and RPV consultants through the mapping process. Happy to answer any questions.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Are there any questions for Mr. Fink?

Okay, so do people want a copy to look over themselves?

Or were you able to see enough?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I would like to review it myself. We do have a policy that says any materials that we get especially if we are voting on them we get at least a 24-hour notice. So rules are rules. I would like to maintain the rules.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So if we get that sent out to us today, we can look it over and have a vote tomorrow. Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I was going to say what you just said, Commissioner Orton.

>> Nate Fink: If I may, that is perfectly fine but just to be clear the Commission should not be at all considering consulting with VRA Council or VRA consultants or RPV consultants through the mapping process until the end when the VRA check is conducted. As you are mapping today, you know, you shouldn't rely on any reference to that in the old mapping procedure. I don't think it was not an issue at all yesterday during the mapping process, but I just wanted to mention that. So we will circulate that and then you guys can go ahead and hopefully take it up tomorrow.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, thank you.

>> Nate Fink: Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So next on the agenda is presentation impacting mapping. I believe that is Kim Brace today. So without objection I will ask Kim Brace to facilitate this item. Hearing no objection, please proceed, Mr. Brace.

>> KIM BRACE: Thank you, Madam Chairman it's a pleasure to be before you again today. I want to give you some updates from yesterday. If you recall Kent had problems in terms of the geography that was being assigned and it looked like there were double assignments. We've discovered the problem and fixed that problem. It was an overlay between the Township boundaries and the precinct boundaries that were there in the census' files, Tiger files. Those have been corrected. Kent's machine has been corrected. My machine has been corrected. Anybody doing mapping, it is now an updated database and so we shouldn't have that problem again on that side.

I'm going to share my screen because I've got some other pieces to that. So it was the problem was really down here where we first saw that, which is where Kent was having the problem in District two and District one. Again, it was Township boundaries and precinct boundaries right at the border of Wayne County. Which is why we didn't see that when we were within Wayne County in that regard.

So the other thing that we have done, updated, you'll notice that my mouse is a larger arrow. For those people that are blind like me, I needed that a long time ago and I've increased my mouse. I have asked Kent to increase his mouse so we can see that. I believe one of the Commissioners was asking about that.

The other thing that I wanted to point out to you, and Kent is aware of it, the active matrix that is along the bottom of the screen. There is a capability in the active matrix to Zoom the numbers. So you can see how this is getting larger and larger. And since Kent takes that spreadsheet and puts it to the side, we can get that high Zoom capability on the numbers so that you can read those too. You don't have to rely only on Kent saying this number or that number, how many people it needs. But that will help, I think, some of the map drawing capabilities. But that is one of the functions within the active matrix tab in the software.

So those are changes that we've done and implemented for this -- for the ongoing mapping system.

The other thing that we have done since we met last, yesterday, is that we have taken and updated your website and the my redistricting website. With the plans that were drawn, the four plans that were drawn yesterday. And we will continue to do this everyday. People in the public and the Commissioners can see that on the MICRC website in mapping process. And in the mapping process, there is a draft map. If someone clicks on draft maps, it will go to the my redistricting website. And on the my redistricting website, it shows in order of when the plans were created, here's the last four that we were creating yesterday. And those are there already on the website. And that's Congressional, State House's right here. They are sorted right up at the top for those four plans.

Anybody can go in here. And bring up the comment link on that side. And that brings up the plan itself that allows you to do comments about the plan. There is also a link on how the comments can be done. And that is right here in show me how. Show me how

brings over to a PowerPoint that Fred Hejazi has done. And I'm going to let this run so that people can see how the comments can be done by people in the public as well as Commissioners of what this is. And you can clearly do multiple comments on a plan and different areas of the plan on that side. And this will show you how that works so this is using the state of Washington. As an example. And you can end up on the right-hand side, you can add comments. So if you go into an area and you want to do comments on a specific District or an area of the state, again, each of the four plans are updated and in the system you can look at and comment from the standpoint of your considerations. You can search for your address and where you might be located and how that shows in terms of the plan itself. Where your home is. On that regard. And this tool lets you add a comment, and it comes up with the comment box that lets you fill in your information, your name, your address, your City, State and Zip Code. Your e-mail, your phone number if you want somebody to call back. And the comments that you might have at this specific location. So what you're doing is you're putting the comments on to the map. So that Commissioners can see where those comments are. And the public can see where those comments are.

So you can end up then adding your comments, what you think in terms of the particular District. Or the overall plan. It doesn't have to be District specific. It can be the overall plan. It will seek to verify you when you do this so it will send you an e-mail that you will need to verify that it is you. You use that e-mail address. And there's a link there to get back to verifying your comment. And when you do that then your comments are added to the master list of all the comments on the particular plan.

It's relatively simple. And easy. Again, you can do it with any particular plan. I can open up this particular plan that you ended up drawing yesterday. And I can see what you did and how it Campaurts. You have across the bottom the spreadsheet with the total population, voting age population. And excuse me my voice is going. And the deviation for the districts in terms of the plan. You can Zoom down into the plan. You can do it both as map as well as satellite. So that shows as an under lay. In case you want to check where the church is or whatever your interest is on that side. And you can Zoom all the way down into the area and it gives the overlay in colors of the District. That's defined there. Your comments can be added, and you can end up adding them to the map as I said before. To see exactly where your comments might be. And they get listed in the comments field. And that stays with the plan so that the Commissioners can review it and the public can review it.

So that's how the my Districting system works in terms of bringing in comments from the plan and as I said we loaded up all four plans we were creating yesterday. And this site will be updated later on in the evening each day that the Commission is drawing and create something. Or how many plans there are. So it's one of the things that we are doing and updating so that everybody has the information.

That's the overall kind of thing. So you can do any of the plans or whatever you want.

I think I'm available for comments.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Mr. Brace. Are there comments or questions for Mr. Brace?

Seeing none, oh, Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Thank you, Mr. Brace. My only comment is, and I think this was, this is an issue we ran into last time, there are multiple places where folks can comment. There's the public comment we had before the meetings. There is written public comments. There is the Michigan mapping.org website. Now we have this website as well. Is there any way to put all of those in one place just to make it easier for us to view all of the comments?

And, if not, you know, we might want to think about do we want all of these tools or do we want to just focus on a couple of them so that, you know, we can get everything?

>> KIM BRACE: That's ultimately your guys' call on that side. We can deal with pretty much anything that you want but we wanted to set this up so there can be comments on this particular map. And everybody could see it. So that's what we had done before. And this is how it was utilized last time.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Thank you for the presentation, Kim. I like your program. The last time around it was very helpful for me. It allowed people to put pins in, so I knew exactly where they were talking about and kind of put the comments with the area and I found it very useful, more so than other comment things we had. So thank you for that.

>> KIM BRACE: No problem.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Anything else?

Okay well thank you very much.

>> KIM BRACE: Okay, I will return the control to you guys.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So next on the agenda is the collaborative mapping process. We will continue mapping the Detroit area of the State House map. And it's currently Steve Lett's turn. So do we have Kent?

Or.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm here.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Kent is there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I was waving. I'm finishing building the last plan. We renamed each plan that we worked on yesterday to the naming convention that was specified by the Commission. Commissioner Szetela sent a plan in. We uploaded that. We uploaded them all in. I'm going to share my screen. Okay, I'm already, yep, I have the power to share. I will share it. Yep, can everybody see my plan at this time?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So this should be, this is the new expanded matrix with the political information on there. Election results. I have not studied it. It's straight from

Ryan's workstation to mine. But it just shows you how the plan you're currently looking at is original, number one plan yesterday, which, I mean we can load up any of them. This is just the last one I had. Notice the cursor is significantly bigger. Number one we left it kind of hanging with some unassigned stuff. The fixes we brought in appears to have a bunch of problems in it that need to be fixed. I am just now seeing. See the unassigned areas?

That I don't know why it's there.

>> KIM BRACE: I think that's partly the problem that we were having with your system yesterday. In assigning the townships and the precincts together.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So there are all kind of artifacts here. So let me open up, okay, that's the last one we worked with right here. I'm going to Zoom in just a little bit here as soon as everything catches up. Assuming it catches up. Okay, this one is, yeah, this isn't out of whack. But this is basically, you know, this is the one where District two is split in parts. And this is unassigned. Let me go back. That is four. I'm going to continue looking at the other plan. So you guys can decide where we are going to start again. When I renamed them I had a hard timekeeping track of which one was which. And this is three here.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I have a question.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes I'm sorry.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: This is Commissioner Andrade. On your list, the last three letters are CLL. I take that is collaborative.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, ma'am.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I don't see the one that Commissioner Szetela sent to you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That would be this one right here. RAS.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Uh-huh. So this three was kind of where we left it.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I'm not seeing the last one that we were doing had five and six combined and one District with five being south of eight mile and six being north. That is the last one we were working on when time ran out.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, I'm looking for that version. It should be here.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It's version two.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, I think it would be that one. Screen sharing has stopped. Autobound went away. I don't know why. Let me reopen Autobound. Trying to load all the new data and rebuild the plans and post them up and give them new names. Moving fast, trying to get it all done and I'm going to load up this version two. I'll share the screen as soon as it comes back. Okay, okay, let me share this now. Can everybody see that pretty well?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, so, yes, this is kind of the ending, I believe, yes, it was. We were just looking at one, three, four, and eight, you know, adjusting populations and ten, 11, and 12. Commissioner Lett, as you recall, it's like it's, you know, 7, 14, 5, 6 are pretty good. But there is still a lot of edits to be made. Just balance everything out.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Callaghan, do you have a question?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes. There was just a demonstration on how we could make those population numbers a little bit larger, so they are readable. Could we try that out?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. I thought I had done that. But obviously -- is that better?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: A little bit but not a lot.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can keep Zooming in. It just shows less, the further we Zoom in.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Put it to the side like you had yesterday. Even if I can see the whole spreadsheet if I can't read the numbers it doesn't do me a whole lot of good.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You are exactly right.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is a lot better on my screen. I don't know about everyone else's.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Fine more me.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela, do you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I thought yesterday we were planning on what was discussed before we took the break was moving the population from eight into one because there was a big disparity there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right. And that is what is showing up on the screen here. And the way we were talking about doing it is ten, 11 and 12 and 9 need some numbers. Three, the way we did it with Juanita was more of Dearborn went into three. So and 3 is 12% down and 3 can take considerably more and all of eight you can't go on 12 and fix it but a chunk of Dearborn, more of Dearborn goes into three, and more population with 10, 10 needs some and you take some from 12 or 11 into ten and some from nine into those and eight, you wheel it around this way to the right and some of eight will go down here and then the balance will go to one. So whether you do one first or three first or four first or ten, 11, 12 doesn't really matter.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Looks like Sarah Reinhardt has a hand up.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Yes, hi Commissioners. If it's helpful I wanted to point out one of the features on Zoom also allows you to Zoom in on the screen that's being shared. And I kind of took a screen shot so that I could show you what I mean. So I'm going to share my screen just really quickly. I can't unfortunately screen share while

Kent is screen sharing. So I just took a screen shot of myself accessing the menu so you can see what I'm talking about here. So whenever Kent is screen sharing you should see something at the top that looks like this that is a green and Black kind of drop down. Do you all usually see that when he is screen sharing?

Great. So if you click the view options button you can adjust the Zoom ratio and you can Zoom in on the screen and it will make the image much larger. It will change the mouse to a little picture of a hand and you can click and drag on the -- I'm and to pan over if you want to and adjust what you're looking at and it might be really helpful because it will definitely enlarge the text and the images, although you will be zoomed in on a particular area you can adjust what you're looking at by clicking and dragging so I hope that is helpful. Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Sarah. Okay, Kent, you can share your screen again. Can you see the screen?

Is it visible I assume?

So you can see one is 19% down, 3 is 12% down and four is eight high and District eight is 26% high. And ten, 11 and 12 the goal has been to be under 2.5%. So 10, 11 and 12 need population. You know, you can it does not really matter whether you make one ideal and then three and then, you know, four and then 10, 11 and 12 or whatever order you want to do it, it's the same result. Some population goes to 10, 11 and 12. A chunk of it goes to three, a chunk of it goes to one.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay Commissioner Lett, take it away.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Thank you. Just what I want to do, number one, in following the Court's guidance my turn here at mapping, I'm number one considering equal population as the primary goal. Number two I am concentrating on making them contiguous. And number three I will consider communities of interest and in the Detroit area without input from other Commissioners which I certainly welcome I will be concentrating as much as possible on neighborhoods in the Detroit area which I will assume make some consideration of a community of interest. I will not consider an advantage to any political party or person. I do not look up the political party that is in those districts, nor will I consider or favor or disfavoring any person or candidate since I don't know who they are representing there. Certainly I can look them up, but I won't do that. So to keep myself out of trouble I will attempt to consider county, City and Township lines though in the City of Detroit we are basically looking at neighborhood lines. Having said all that, I want to look at eight and my goal in that is to take from eight and transfer some of that population to number one, District one to even out to bring some order to the northeast line which is rather jagged. So, Kent, if we can kind of straighten that line out as best we can which there looks to be a road there. I don't know how that Campaurts with precincts or blocks or whatever we are using. But it looks to me we kind of follow that road and take away from eight, maybe we will make a little progress. So that is what I would like you to do.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Michigan Avenue is across here where my cursor is. Are you saying take everything south of Michigan avenue and put it in one?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I'm talking about the road that runs from the northwest to the southeast ending in Hubbard Richard.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Grand River.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Put all of this into one. I'm going to select it and then you tell me if that's what you want to see. This area?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay that is approximately 7700. You still need approximately 10,000.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Go up into Chad See, Condon area.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You need all that technically.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I don't.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to clear it. I'm sorry. This?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Right, that's correct. And the area directly north of Condon, that area.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We need the census blocks to do the neighborhood. I'm going to assign that and continue. You still need we will pick up the census blocks as necessary. You still need approximately 4600, 4700 to be ideal. Would it be -- this is part of Corktown right here. That is north Corktown.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: That doesn't look bad let's put north Corktown and Corktown in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And that's 2900 so you want to go to core City. Trying to get the whole anybody's where I'm headed. I think that is whole number and that is going to be pretty close shall I assign that?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We are approximately 1,000 under, 1,000 persons.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So we need to find out about 6, 700 more people and zoom back out.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Looks like that little corner of north Corktown is split off due to the road. So.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That area right there, if you want I can get the whole neighborhood based on census blocks is what we are looking at doing.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I don't know that it would be included with Corktown. Well, Anthony, you got something on that?

>> COMMISSIONER EID:

>> CHAIR ORTON: I'm saying just that little corner right there. That little triangle but go ahead, Anthony.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah, I think for this configuration that you're doing you are close enough. I would not cross that road if you don't absolutely have to. I would not go into midtown or wood bridge. So I think you are close enough.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I hate to say it right now my system is not showing blocks as an option to bill districts if Ryan or Fred can suggest to me why it is not it should be right here. Or right there it's not an option. I'm sure getting things done this morning I miss clicked something. We can continue on and hopefully Ryan or Fred or both will send me a message here momentarily and let me know what I need to.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Well, other than.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Actually I know where it's at. Commissioner Lett, I'm sorry, go ahead.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Wait a minute, my screen is going a little goofy. You see the north Corktown neighborhood.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: There is a triangle. There you were right on it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: That.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That needs to go in North Corktown. What I was just saying for some reason my system at this moment can't access blocks to draw districts. I know what it is I can pop out and fix it. I say that before I know for sure what it is and I'm waiting for Fred or Ryan to say idiot this is what you did wrong.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I have a question. Sarah, I have a question.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Sure.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: We seem to be able to somewhat control our own screens as you have indicated. Can we -- do we is that independent of what Kent is doing?

Or are we battling ourselves with Kent when we are trying to do that?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: No, it's independent so I mean Kent can still move around the screen that he is sharing. But how you Zoom in on the screen is independent of what any other Commissioner and Kent is doing.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay, well.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: And if you would like to reset it you just go back to the top to the view options and go to the Zoom ratio and select fit to window.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I will see what that does. At any rate, that takes care of that side of one. Now, let's, Kent, Zoom out somewhat. I keep losing screen. The -- what I'm looking at is up in the north kind of northwest but really straight north and there is a little corner up there that we might be able to straighten out to make this you can't see my cursor.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What District.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Top left of one right there you are there that little corner, right in that area if we can.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Turn on neighborhoods, so, yes, that is a split neighborhood.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: That is part of the bar ton McFarland neighborhood?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I assume.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL:

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Either that or Davidson, Schoolcraft.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that's, this precinct overlaps the neighborhood boundary here but it's not a great big number.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: We don't need a great big number. What number is it?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Those two precincts are 1400 then we split some out, you know, remove census blocks and it will be less than 1400.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: If we do 14 and we are down ten that puts us over four and that's under 5%.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right now you are at 1.1.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Under a thousand is 1.1?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Minus 1.1 percent we are a minus 1010 people as it is right now.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay, add that in and let's see what that does. .43.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: All right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I want to get census blocks back and can trim it to fit the neighborhood and it will probably be more like, you know, .4 or something because.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: They will be good, and we want to keep the community of interest neighborhood together as much as possible and can trim that off down to The Street that is going up there, whatever that is.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Like I said right now I don't have access to census blocks.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: As we go through this process and get that loaded back in whenever, you we can go in and tune them out but right now it should be just handfuls of people. Not significantly skewing your numbers or anything.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay and now eight is still over.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 8.2% over or 7500 people. You know, and four is also 6,000 over. Three is 12% under so, you know, somewhere right in there between 8, 4

and 3 you can get it pretty close. 10, 11 and 12 still needs some to be under 2.5%.
But.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Let me look at four and this plus a thousand. And see if we can add some to three from four. What is.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is 153 right across here. This is Dearborn I guess proper is what the label is Miller Road, Oakland Road. I know yesterday we were taking chunks of it here.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Michigan avenue.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The I need to get the populations up here. I'm going to turn labeling on.

>> KIM BRACE: Madam Chair, while Kent is doing that I had one other piece of information to pass on to the Commission. We also investigated neighborhoods in surrounding counties yesterday while you were meeting to see if there was anything neighborhood shape wise in Macomb and Oakland County. And they have reported back to us that they do not have a shape file or neighborhoods to find. So it was an idea from yesterday but not for those counties, getting neighborhoods. I just wanted to report that to everybody.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Why is that not showing up?

I don't know why the population totals are not showing up either. Kim, if you can check with Ryan or Fred as to why the pop top, that is not showing up. Am I doing something totally ridiculous or?

>> KIM BRACE: I will check with Ryan, yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah because the population number should be showing up here and I don't know where the blockks are right now so it's calculating correctly. So, something, we need to rebuild the plan. Any way.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Ready?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, next thing.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Here is where I want to try and I want to add, take some out of four and put it in three and right where your cursor says Dearborn. I want to consider taking that and putting it in three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that brings three still needs 6600 people. I'm just going to highlight these until we get the numbers, and they are showing up. That is 7800. And you're looking for 6600. So if we clear that that is 2800. That's 5300. Excuse me, all of that is 8,000. This is 5,000. So that is 7800 and would put you approximately, you know, 1200 people over rather than under.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: We don't want to do that. And I don't know if you want to start it like that. Those are our numbers, zooming in and out it changed it. So you got 3 the 200, round numbers 2500, 2800.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So with the configuration as it is right now we are still we haven't made any of those changes, so we are still 65 almost 6600 under on three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That would have you approximately, you know, 1100 under if you did both of those, if you did both of these you would be approximately 1200 under. It just, you know.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Let's take those, add those in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You are 1.42 under. 1.42% which is 1300 people. Let's bring up the neighborhoods again. I don't want to lose track of that. Right now you are pretty much. Trying to make sure we are, yep, that is a neighborhood boundary there. This little piece is outside of this neighborhood is split right here. But so, you know, this neighborhood is split over here. 16, I know this is getting further and further away from the illegal districts. At the same time, you know, it would be like 16 is a little big so you can make this Franklin Park neighborhood whole, you know, to get population in three.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay, that makes some sense, back with the neighborhood of Franklin and park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can select it and just see.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Looks like Commissioner Eid has a comment?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Well you know you are right on the order line of Detroit and Dearborn here. So you could put more of Detroit into four and more of Dearborn into three. If that's what you wanted to do.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Say that again.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: You're right on the borderline of Detroit and Dearborn. Do you see that block line in District three, that kind of -- it's a weirdly shaped City. But it goes around and then up a little bit to where the cursor is now but then on four where you see the neighborhoods, that's Detroit. So what you could do is put more of Detroit in four and more of Dearborn, the northeast Section into three.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: We can do that, but I was looking at putting Franklin back together. That would do at least in my mind a couple of things. Number one it even makes the District more square. And puts Franklin back. How many would that entail, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That part of Franklin is 1200. And there again I can't for some reason get the census blocks. To count them unfortunately. Like I said something is wrong with it, but that part is 1100. So it's probably, you know, that many more. So you would be over if you make all Franklin whole.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Let's take the not the yellow highlight and take the green that's next to it so take off the yellow and put in the green.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I need to get out of it. Like I said I can't use census blocks and right now and District three is within 190 people within this moment. We get census blocks back and you can come in here and start making neighborhoods whole.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: If census three is that close that is enough right now, one or two percent.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Heavily involved.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So that took care of three and eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And four is now 3600 under. Under. So you can take a chunk of what is now eight and move into four somewhere. How, where, you would want to do that. Five is a little over, 2.26. You can move a piece of five.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I'm happy what I did next.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Into four. It's just what you want to do.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So next up is Marcus Muldoon.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I guess let's make four whole or right population by taken from.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You got two options and can take some from five because five is a little over. You can take it all from eight depending on but yet four is under 5%. Eight is 8.2 high. Okay.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Like I said take it from eight. The east side between four and eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just getting some numbers, that is a lot, that is 5,000. You could ballpark and there I need to get census blocks involved to unsplit these neighborhoods which we will be able to do.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Kent, how long do you think it will take you to get these blocks? Can you do that over lunch or during a break?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm sure I can. I'm going to get an update here as I look at this. Okay, so a couple things here I got a suggestion we take a little technical break and get it done. It should only take a few minutes, but I had not seen this before, so this always is something I had not seen before, yeah, whether it's going to be five minutes or 15 minutes, I'm unsure of. Or 30 minutes as far as that goes.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Unless there is objection from one of the Commissioners I think we should take a 15-minute break and come back. See if we can get the census blocks because I think it will be more efficient.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We have to at this point.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I don't see any objections so we will be back at 10:40.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Thank you. I will see you then.

[Recess until 10:40]

>> CHAIR ORTON: It's time for us to return from our break. Do we have Commissioners here?

Looks like we do. And do we have Kent available with the map?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Excuse me, Madam Chair.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: In order for us to have our roll correct, we had two Commissioners that came into the meeting late. And I'd like to correct our roll if you don't mind, please.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Please, go ahead.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Juanita Curry?

>> CHAIR ORTON: You're muted Juanita.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: I'm calling roll because you came in a little late.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Present; attending remotely from Detroit, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you, ma'am.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Welcome.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: And also Rebecca Szetela.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm here present attending from Wayne County.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you Madam. Thank you Madam Chair.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I don't see Kent.

>> KIM BRACE: Madam Chair this is Kim. I've been on the phone with Ryan and with Kent. They are still working on Kent's machine. And so that's what we're waiting for. Ryan says maybe another ten minutes.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Madam Chair at this point I think we're going to need a little bit more than ten minutes just to kind of ascertain what is going on and how to move forward. I know we have a break at 1:00 and I want to be respectful of the Commission's time. Is it possible that we could regroup at 11:45 and kind of see where we are and then that should give them more than enough time to identify and hopefully remedy the problem?

So that we can use a little bit of the time we have before 1:00 if that's possible and the Commission is willing.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela, do you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Do we have to draw by blocks?

I guess we did not use the block feature often last time and did it at the very end when we were trying to reduce deviation. Can we work without the blocks in the meantime so we can get stuff done and come back and fix blocks if we need to?

>> KIM BRACE: You could end drawing with larger levels of geography and not worry about the edges until, you know, after, you know, 11:30 or something like that. But Ryan and Kent need to be working on his machine right now. So Kent is not really available to draw at any geographic level right now.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I think the larger problem is compatibility with software and the computer so that is really the bigger challenge that we are facing right now. It's not trying to find another alternative way because in the computer can't receive the update, if the computer is unable to do that it's kind of limited. And I just think it's going

to take more than five, ten, ten to 15 minutes. I don't want to nickel and dime what is taking place here. So we could have a check in at 11:45. I think that would be helpful.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay Commissioner Lange, do you have a comment?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Why don't we just take an extended and rather than coming back at 11:45 let's come back at Noon or 12:30 and just take an early lunch and then continue the rest of the day.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, I think because it was posted that our break would be 1:00 to 2:00 I think is what Director Woods is concerned about us, you know, not being here during that time. People are plan are the public is planning on us coming back at 2:00 but I could be wrong. Do you have a problem with that Director Woods?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I would defer to the parliamentarian to make sure that is something we can do. I'm not totally sure.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: Sure so the meeting was posted today from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. and while the agenda does say there will be a break for lunch from 1:00 to 2:00 p.m. the Commission is able to adjust that as it sees fit. But Commissioner Orton is right, and that the public has been told that the meeting will resume from 2:00 to 6:00. But again you all are able to change that as you see fit, thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lett, you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I was wondering if Edward would have his report available now. I know yesterday we had talked about it, and he said he had to get some things finalized so maybe that is a possibility at this juncture.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I'm waiting for one more quote, Commissioner Lett, with regards to the Huntington Place. We have the wireless and the hard wire, but I need to see whether or not that's going to be sufficient as relates to the process next week when you bring your computers to Cobo Hall or Huntington Place, and once I get that figure I'll be ready to go.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

So.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: But I can make myself ready to go at 11:45 so at least when you come back you have something to do so we are not waiting for them. I've been in contact with them this morning. I just don't have the number yet.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

So why don't we do, well, I will suggest what Commissioner Lange suggested, I think just taking a break, take our lunch early, come back at Noon, is that what you were saying Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: That would be fine. It just doesn't make sense to leave for an hour, come back, everybody get situated, work 45 minutes and leave for another hour. It does not seem like a very good use of time.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right, so does anyone have a problem coming back at Noon?

Okay, then we will recess until Noon, and we will try and take it back up again and hope we have mapping capability.

[Recess until Noon]

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Hi, Cynthia.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Hello.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Hi, dear, how are you?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I'm good how are you?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm good and staying cold and my body is hurting and it's this cold weather does not agree with my body aches.

>> CHAIR ORTON: It's very cold.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Hi. How you doing?

Lord have mercy. You know who I'm trying to say.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Are you talking to me?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes, dear.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: I'm good Juanita, how are you?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm hanging in there and trying to keep myself together and not doing so good.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I'm sorry to hear that.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm glad to be here too especially in the land of the living.

>> CHAIR ORTON: It looks like we have enough people, so I call this meeting of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission back to order at 12:01 p.m. And I see that we have Kent on. Hopefully we are ready to go. And it is Marcus Muldoon's turn.

>> MARCUS MULDOON:

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Kent has his hand up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me take a moment to clarify a little bit. We got the blockks back to work on it. To clean up so you could put whole neighborhoods and such together. But also in the process we lost the use of CCDs or the Township boundaries for now. This is the plan that Commissioner Lett was working on. It has a proper name now. Today's day, version one. When I reload it and rebuilt the plan the numbers changed a little bit. But I took the time while we were out to address a couple things that were brought up. We got the census blockks back. And Commissioner Lett Franklin Park had been split. And used census blockks to make Franklin Park entirely into three, north Corktown that little triangle right here.

>> CHAIR ORTON: You're not sharing your screen yet.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, do you know what, I need to do better about that. The neighborhoods that were split and we couldn't fix them because we couldn't use census blockks, I took the time while we were out at recess to try to completely recreate what Commissioner Lett had done. And that is make all of Corktown in one, those five

people. This little area in here, this Midwest neighborhood was split. And then Franklin Park was split between four and three. So Commissioner Lett, if it's okay with the rest of the Commission, to kind of look at what I recreated or tried to recreate exactly what Commissioner Lett had done or the Commission had done before we recessed. And another little piece was these water blockks were actually in 11. West side industrial neighborhood was split. I don't know if it was any people moved, or not. I can look at it and see. But this neighborhood, yeah, there was no people moved. But this area here was in 11. And I think this 0 population or maybe it was just these few right down here. Were moved. I can't recall for sure. But anyway, those were some little things and I think it addressed what Commissioner Lett was trying to achieve earlier. If not I can undo it all.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Kent, how many maps are we trying to redo? As many as we like or is there a certain amount we are trying to do?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, that is up to you guys.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, it's up to us Juanita.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: We didn't put a number on it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I just wanted to clarify, you know, what we did outside of while we were closed. Basically rebuilt the plan so that we could use blockks to unsplit neighborhoods. Which would be five people right there and those in Franklin Park. Is that -- does that make sense to Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yeah, Kent, it appears to be close to where we ended up by C3 is 1.11. And one is 1.16. Well within the equal population figures. And not taking in any way, shape or form race, which has been forbidden by Judge Kethledge. All the areas contiguous it appears to be relatively compact, though I don't know that we have our compact data available right now. So that is what I was trying to accomplish, which was something that looked more compact, contiguous. And attempting strongly to keep neighborhoods together, which I consider a community of interest in these districts. So, as I said I'm good with that. And the next person who is Marcus is up.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I have a question, Steve or Kent. It looks like on the very north tip of District one that neighborhood is infringed upon a little bit. It's split a little bit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It was?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I want to look that up and I want to explain this. This neighborhood boundary does not follow a census blockk boundary. So what I did was to the best of my ability these have 0 population, these census blockks.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So everything -- now this one has population. The thing is this census blockk, according to the neighborhood map, these houses on the right-hand

side belong in Midwest. These houses on the left-hand side belong in Barton McFarland. So with that said, this census block either has to be in Midwest or in Barton McFarland. I left it in Barton McFarland because that is -- I did not change where that was.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What area is Barton McFarland?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right here where the cursor, Hammerburg Plainfield. This is Joy Road.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, I know where Joy Road is.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So basically this census block has to be in four or in one and either way.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think it would be better in four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Or split.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Probably would be better in four. You think, Steve? Take your speaker on. Turn your speaker on.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I sound a lot smarter when it's off. Yes. I would put it in four because the northern part of that area has 0 population. It looks to be industrial.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Inverted, yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So that's good. I'm good with that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, Marcus?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I guess we will go back to adjusting eight down into four with like Russell Woods and four could still use some.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, precincts. So in this case again we were talking about earlier, we have blockks now. So let me Zoom in and do census blockks so we don't split the neighborhoods. And there again it looks like, you know the boundary of the neighborhood splits the census blockks, so it needs to be decided which District to put those census blockks in. You can Zoom in and kind of maybe tell which side of this line has more dwellings. So.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Russell Woods and Norton Park to make it, the population enough.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

Then four gets real big but we will just do it.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: At least the population is closer to where it needs to be.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We are trying to make the whole neighborhoods like this, we have to use census blockks then it gets more tedious and time consuming. I guess that's my way of asking y'all to bear with me for a moment. We will put that in four. So four now is slightly, you know, it's 2.74% over ideal. So commission Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: What should we choose once everything is final, right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Was that directed at me?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah, I guess so but once you finally go through and adjust the neighborhoods that will change a little bit?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, right now the whole neighborhood Norton Park and Russell Woods are in four. Yeah, so at this point if you adjust the numbers and in that particular area you would be splitting the neighborhood so whether you want to take -- add a different neighborhood in and take one of these out. It's all.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Or if you take Russell Woods out it actually is 1300 people, right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's 1300 plus.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I can highlight it, then you can see what you want to do. Like not that. Got to go back to blockks. Right there is 2253.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Let me put that back in eight. It will eventually have to come back out of eight but.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

So I got to reselect everything. So there again this census blockk right here has 55 people in it, yet it's split by the neighborhood boundary. So you know, you have to decide, do you want this census blockk in four or an eight?

And I'm going to Zoom in a little bit so you can kind of see underneath of there, there is more dwellings what appears to be dwellings.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Are they north side?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah. My guess is the majority of these 55 live on this side.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And the same way with 38.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: That would end up in four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah. It would be a matter of do you want.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah, I'm sorry it would go to eight, sorry.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Leave it where it's at?

Sorry.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: It would come out of four then. Majority of it is in the other side.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Same way with 38?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do you know here it seems like it's the other way around.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So it's just a matter of -- so, yeah, and now four is at 163 -- .18% over.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And eight, you know, is 4.91 so that is significant.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: And I think we can take part of eight into I think it was nine that was or I'm trying to remember nine or 11 that was low, yeah, 11 was low.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You got 10, 11 and 12 so it's this whole cluster here, however you want to do it.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I don't know that area all that well so I will let somebody else. Go to the next person.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay well next person is me. Let's see. I guess I will just continue with eight to try to shave it down so I will bring some over to nine and skip some over to the other districts that need it. Can you make the pop out a little bit, the populations a little bit larger.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The table?

Yes.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Wrong way. Nope.

>> CHAIR ORTON: That is good. Thanks. Okay, so.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I can point out this little wade neighborhood right now is split between 12 and 11.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay and 12 needs some. So does 11. Okay let's start back with eight. So Juanita or anyone that knows that area well, if I'm moving the wrong area, and you think I shouldn't, please speak up. But the little portion, I can't really read those words but just south of here. Yeah, like that portion that is sticking out. I'm thinking.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This?

>> CHAIR ORTON: No, down.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is brush park or something, yeah.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay so midtown and brush park, would those be okay to move in to 11?

Juanita?

Oh, you are muted.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Probably because brush park what is the other one you said.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Midtown.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Midtown are not that far apart. It may be a mile or so but it's not that far from each other.

>> CHAIR ORTON: They are okay with downtown you think?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think, brush park I believe is closer to downtown or behind downtown or somewhere up in there.

>> CHAIR ORTON: What about medical center which is next to midtown.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Medical center is in the heart of downtown so that is up near brush park and stuff and probably should be together.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Do you have a comment?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Put medical center with midtown so then you are not splitting Wayne state.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I see Wayne state is up in the next neighborhood area and it should all go together?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I would think, yeah.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Kent, why don't we try doing that from Wayne state down and medical center over.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You will put them in 11 or 9?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Let's put them in 11.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So I'm just highlighting precincts right now.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Tell me when to stop. That precinct goes up into Wayne state. So now I need to get out of precincts and do census blockks. This is just the precincts are so big. I'm going to assign that to 11, correct?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes. Then I will go to census blockks and get the remainder of those. If I say something that is, you know, shout out, no, that's not what I meant or something.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think I'm a little delayed on my screen from what you are doing.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will pause before I assign anything. I'll get it highlighted. And each going to evaluate the value of it. So you have this is the remainder medical and midtown. That's 2200. That's a census blockks that are highlighted.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay move those over to 11.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

So now 11 is getting, okay, I think are you looking at these numbers over here?

>> CHAIR ORTON: So 11 went up by a lot.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight went way down.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So there is a lot in midtown.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's not saying you still can't do some work nine in there.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Can you Zoom out just a little bit so we can take a look?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: See a little bit, there is nine. You know, 12 is still down. 10 is down so I guess 11 does need to be up if you are going to move stuff to 12 and 10 or something.

>> CHAIR ORTON: That is too much from eight. If we want to keep those parts together there is really no other thing to take from eight in that direction. So Anthony, you have a comment?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah, I would disagree with making that change you just made. I mean, I personally think midtown and the medical center go much more with the Woodbridge, tech town, youth center, Wayne state, cultural campus areas. And

there's more in common in those areas which is where I'm calling in from right now than there is from, you know, the downtown and up river up to Belle Isle areas. I see those as, you know, two -- while they are similar and both in Detroit I see them as two distinctly two different things. One being.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That's true.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: The University area that is comprised of you know Wayne state and then the students live in midtown. And work at the medical center and live in Woodbridge and go to the DIA and the library and the cultural center. And stuff like that. Versus downtown which is more of, you know, where the offices are. Where the downtown nightlife is. And stuff like that. So and it's too much anyway as far as population. So I would maybe look for a different area.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So, Kent, can we take those back out that we just put in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, ma'am.

>> CHAIR ORTON: And I think look in a different area. Juanita seemed to agree with that.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Uh-huh, I do.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think I did notice is this brush park neighborhood is split between eight and 11. I don't know if that is something you want to.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, we should address that. Juanita, do you think that is more downtown?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I can't hardly see these letters or the numbers but eight is what?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Well, he is talking about brush park right there.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: It's split between downtown and midtown districts.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Brush Park I think is closer to the downtown area if I'm not mistaken. Do you agree, Anthony?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think it could go either way. That's really kind of on like the border of where I would consider that split. If it makes sense for population, I think you can put it in 11. But I think it should be whole no matter if you put it in 11 or 8. So try putting it in 11 and seeing how it, you know, what happens. But I wouldn't go into midtown or the medical center.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Right.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so, Kent, yeah, the part you have chosen right now put that in 11 and zoom out just a little bit so we can see more of eight in the area.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You have about a thousand people moved. Just move the needle in the right direction for both districts.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

So the ones that need population are mostly 11 and 12. And still needs to come from eight mostly. So zoom out a little bit. Okay so I think it's going to have to go through

nine probably. So I know that we had communities of interest talking about Highland Park area. But I don't know the specifics of those neighborhoods around there and what kind of goes together. Rebecca, you have your hand up?

You are muted.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I with was going to say why don't we look at the map for Bangla Town if you want to go through nine if that is your intention because I'm pretty sure there was one other precinct outside of that kind of curved one that was part of Bangla Town.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

Does anyone have the map of the Bangla Town community of interest?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm searching for it right now.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Talking about this one?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I can't see that.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I didn't think you could.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What is the question?

>> CHAIR ORTON: We are trying to figure out the outline of Bangla Town community of interest.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Well, Bangla, how do you pronounce that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Bangla Town, I have it.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, so remember they wanted to stay kind of in Highland Park and towards the northwest. Not northwest but the northeast area. They want to kind of stay together when we had the town hall meeting with them.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right but I'm not sure the names of the streets or the neighborhoods that that would encompass.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: It looks like it's already in nine.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, there is an entire Section that's not. Give me a second. I will share my screen. The entire west precincts they included in their Bangla Town map are not on there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This part of Highland Park, is that Davidson freeway there?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And then you cross over, what is it a park or something in here Massachusetts.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That's a neighborhood.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So, Kent, could you stop sharing for a second so I can share?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay carry on.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So right here is what I'm talking about. Can everybody see that?

So you see there is this kind of hooked precinct right here. These four are on the outer edge. They are deemed as part of Bangla Town.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I can't read the names Rebecca can you?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm trying to increase it for you.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So that would cut what we have as a neighborhood on our outline. It would cut that neighborhood. I'm thinking.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: This was a specific request for a community of interest.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I know, I'm just saying it does not match the neighborhoods that we have the outline of.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think though they are really on the north end more or less, aren't they?

Because in Highland, Hamtramck and Highland Park are closer to the north end. I'm not sure. I can't see it hardly.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So what is that bottom road?

That I can't tell.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I can't either.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That's the Ford freeway.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Isn't that Hamtramck, can City of Hamtramck.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Hamtramck communities of interest.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Bangla Town the north of Hamtramck.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: There was an area or neighborhood over there they were venturing in to and wanted to be part of that. I think it was in the northeast area, close to Hamtramck or Highland Park or whichever one they are in.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So just need to figure out some of the names of the roads so we can try and get the right port in.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You need me to Zoom back up again?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Well, what is the, let's see on this southwest edge, what is the name of That Street?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: This is the Etsyl.

>> CHAIR ORTON: The one going north so John.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: John R is this boundary here.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

So.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is it the north end neighborhood?

There is a big chunk of it and Arden Park maybe.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't think it's Arden Park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The junction and mid bury park.

- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm going to stop sharing so Kent can share again.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: I at least recognize where we are talking.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Wagner, do you have a comment?
- >> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Yes, I was just wondering if Ms. Reinhardt had that, that she could had a link too she can share in chat. And then we could pull it up individually while Kent shares his screen, so we all have it.
- >> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: The community of interest?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is the curved road that is grand Boulevard. She was referring to Etsyl and isn't that it right there?
- So that was the curved part. So at least in the map, what I was looking at, this north end what is called north end neighborhood I define it and looks like that was what she was referring to from this area here kind of where I move my cursor.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Right. Yeah it does look like it. You're muted Rebecca.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Up to 10SN to John R to the south to the Etsyl. Can you select by precincts?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That is what they use in the R they use precincts.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me just select some and it looked like this, and I don't know how far exactly but those are precincts and what is highlighted splits mid bury and Milwaukee Johnson and gateway.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: I don't know about Arden Park and gateway.
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm not familiar with gateway. I've heard of Arden Park but not gateway.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is the John R Road right here the boundary of the precinct so that is John R right here.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It would be the northbound boundary. I would like to see if it goes to Tenison.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Where do we want to go here?
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Isn't Tenison near Highland Park?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is Woodland. I don't know what it's called, the north boundary. It's a dotted line, that is something I can't really make it out. Right here is Oakland and Oakland Street.
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Oakland is a neighborhood too.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: A line between Tennison and woodland.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: So I think the northern boundary was Tennison on their map.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is a dotted line if you Zoom in. I don't know what it is. Is that the.
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: It's a railroad.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It is a train track.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Boundary of Highland Park because there is Highland Park right here.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Where is Tennison compared to the top line?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will Zoom back. It's right north of it just a bit, I will Zoom back in, in a moment. It's right in front of that pointer right there. That is Tennison. Let me make sure. That is Tennison, yeah, just north of it and that is woodland just south of it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: My screen is showing me something different so I'm seeing all of Detroit area.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do you Zoom in or zoom out?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I did not, no.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Now I see the highlighted.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is a lag and trying to go slower the green line above it is Tennison.

>> CHAIR ORTON: What you have highlighted right now, put into nine or...

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Splitting a lot, that makes the numbers good for eight, however.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I would like to clean those up. So.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Vast majority with the lower three neighborhoods are in nine.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right. So would you please go to blockks and put the rest of the three neighborhoods into nine first.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's doing it slow it doesn't wait for me to Zoom in and out. Zero population. So cleaning out the little hanging out districts I mean blockks. I will slide up here so Arden park.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, can you, let's see, okay, for -- Zoom out just a little bit. I can't see where we are. Okay gateway I'm thinking that take the part that got a nine and put it by blockk back into eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Unless anyone sees that really should be in nine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Neighborhood boundaries splitting census blockk and it comes down to where it looks like these two census blockks looks like there is more dwellings that are on the nine side of the District than on the eight side. There, again, so whatever you want to do with the blockks.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Arden Park I'm thinking should go to eight. But, Juanita, what do you or anyone, what do you think? Any thoughts?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I got muted for a minute and I took my mind out what you were thinking. Can you repeat your last sentence?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Arden Park right there is split between eight and nine. I'm thinking that it should go back into eight. Along with gateway is in eight and so I'm thinking Arden Park should be in eight, but I don't know.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm not too familiar with the kind of back -- that seems like the back end of -- I'm not too familiar with that area.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You have to balance the population so just balance the population.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think it's fine.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So Kent by blockk try to make Arden Park all in eight looks like it split since the blockks again.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Six and one half dozen and the other but we can Zoom in there again kind of look at the number of dwellings and choose which side. Can you guys see the little gray dots?

Are representative I think of dwellings.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I say leave it there for now and we can revisit it if we decide it needs to change. So nine is the one that has the population, so I want to scoot some over to the other side.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes this is one, the southern part is one neighborhood. If you move in the forest park almost has to either go with that or, it doesn't have to but appearance wise.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, can you select like Dougall Hunt and see what the population was where your cursor was down there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes I will get the proper tools.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Anthony, you had your hand up.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Just a quick comment and Kent remind me if I'm wrong but due to how the census does things with their new privacy differential, the census blockk population isn't necessarily 100% accurate; is that correct when we are talking about what when we did this after the census came out but I don't know if new information has come forth.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Technically that is correct but we have to keep in mind that there are so many census blockks that, you know, when you talk about census blockk let's say that has 50 people in it, you know, it might be off by one but I think the chances are more like one in 10 or 15,000. So, you know, they build in noise so you technically can't home in on exactly who or what lives in that census blockk. If it's a small number. I mean, you actually can't. But yet it's so scattered about. I mean if you're moving a few people, it might be one here and one there but not statistically as far as I know an issue in any fashion.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Juanita, you have your hand up?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes I'm concentrating on this forest park. There is a lot of apartment buildings there with a lot of people in them. And I think that's what is near there, around there is apartment buildings at Forest Park, right behind the medical center, near the medical center and around the medical center is all kinds of apartment buildings.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So this part, this part that Kent is attempting to highlight right here do you think that would go okay in 11?

Which has the downtown and then up the river.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah, we can try it and see.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 1642.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It kind of cuts off forest park.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right, so move what you have highlighted and Forest Park into 11.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is almost 2000. Forest Park is small geographically; but there must be townhouses, condos.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: There are, there are a lot of apartments there and stuff.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so 11 is good.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is 0 block here. I'm just going to assign that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Oh, yes, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: To something. Not that. We're going to put that in 11 just so that it appears better.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, that's good. Okay, can you Zoom out?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Further?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, so I can see.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I know we have a lag here and now I'm looking at 11 and 12.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So it looks like 10 and mostly 12 need some population. And where is it coming from?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 12 definitely needs something.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So I will leave that for the next person. I do see up there at the top of 11, next to 12 it looks like we have some split neighborhood in Wade, but I don't know what the geography is there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: One can help -- one is on the positive side. And five is on the positive side. But it's over there a ways now. So there may be you may choose to do more ripple or you know circular movement of population but.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay I'll let Rebecca try and solve that last problem.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: All right so Kent I just request you save just because we are working it. Can you create a clone of this map for me, please?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, I also have the plan that you sent in if you want to.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, I don't need it. Thank you though.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Copy it and we will start over, not start over.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Let's not start over please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That plan is version two.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So this is just the 17, version two.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: COL and I may have stated it stands for collaborative.

Version two, open.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So if you can scroll into the west side of Detroit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I am, there.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I know, you got to be patient. So just the 3, 4, 15 and I think that's 16 in the corner that we are seeing right now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It confuses me why it does stuff sometimes. There is some where we left off with.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I want you to Zoom into three and four a little bit. Put it a little more Central. Then you said you cannot right now select by Township?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Correct. And tradeoff blockks for townships. I think that's going to be all fixed by the time we get finished lunch or take a break. That's my understanding. I'm not swearing to any of it because I'm not doing it.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Can you Zoom in just a touch because I want to rebalance District Four and District three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Put Dearborn back into Dearborn but I'm trying to see where the border is.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I should be able to layer. I'm trying to think where that layer -- it's CCDs. Well, it would follow precinct boundaries, wouldn't it?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

So these big numbers are the precinct boundaries. There is Dearborn. Did it go up this way?

Didn't it?

It was somewhere.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So Dearborn kind of pops up and comes back down.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Up here.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Then it comes back down like that or something like that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Let me just Zoom in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All the way up there, I don't know.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I will Zoom if on mine so I can grab something. You need to go to Tireman is what you need to do. It's a lot harder if you can't do it so starting with four where that area in four is right that you kind of have your cursor in can you start selecting the precincts and we will go to Tireman, which is the road right across and start selecting precincts and I will be able to tell you. Tireman is a west-east road.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This road here.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Further up, the next one up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Here is Tireman.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I want to go all the way up to Tireman.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Here.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That area in four right now I want to put in Dearborn because that is Dearborn.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So you want to put that into three correct?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: And I think you need to go up one morrow. Just double check the road but I'm pretty sure. That is Tireman.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You are good there so Zoom back out. Okay so now I want you to go over into kind of the purple area and above the purple area you see that kind of area just to the west of four?

That's part of Detroit that I want to put back into Detroit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Turn on the neighborhood layers?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It will help and show the border between Dearborn and Detroit if you do that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: If you can pull the screen over a little bit so we can see that border.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So, yeah, so what are we looking for now?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So the areas that you currently see neighborhoods that's Detroit, that has been put in a Dearborn District, I want to put that back into a Detroit District so all of that you are going to put back into Detroit so anything that is currently in the Dearborn that is not in Dearborn we will put back to Detroit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: When we want to put it in four right now?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

I have to do the rest by blockks because when I select precincts over here, now that works. When I select this precinct, you see how it goes all the way up into here?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes, that's all right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Part of that is coming out of 16.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That's okay.

Just go ahead and assign it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It drops 16 a bit. But any way there we are.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So four is over population. Three is under population so let's go back down into Dearborn a little bit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We will need to carve out 15 and shift things a little bit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is a, okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Uh-huh. Again I want you to take the areas Dearborn in the center next to where the number 15 is and start putting them back into Dearborn.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Where it says 16-12?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Uh-huh.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I want you to stay north of Michigan avenue which is the diagonal line that is coming up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That runs all the way down.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Base is Michigan, and you are good so go north of there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's a split.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep, just keep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do we want to go all the way to 26?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, no, no, that is Dearborn Heights.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I got you. Let me clear, start over here.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That up and down strip is actually Dearborn Heights.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay so all of that goes to four, right?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Uh-huh. So to...

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Three, yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Continue this way like this?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes. Hold on, let me look at what I'm looking at here. So if you can find Ford Road for me, we want to kind of continue.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: About where is that?

Up here?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is Warren right here at the cursor.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That is too far north.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think that's it.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: There it is 153 Is Ford Road. So that is going to be the northern boundary. All right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do we continue?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We need to take out the diagonal near Michigan avenue and put those into Dearborn.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This here?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep. And you are going to do one more.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Another?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep 1471.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep right there and that should be good. Let's see.

Updates.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So three now is.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We went over.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Way over.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: How did that happen?

We were just.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well we went in, those two are.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Add that back to 15.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Or 30 some thousand, yeah. So three is 9,000 over. Is that making sense?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, it's not.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Because it's not changing as you are adding and subtracting those districts.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Did we do, you know, was I supposed to clear that one and that one?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Hold only.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: They are irregular shapes. I'm just wondering if you had them.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Just looking here.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: See the irregular shapes down here too?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: My computer locked up on me give me a second.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do you recall if the diagonal lines were in 15 maybe.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Give me one second, Kent sorry I have Autobound edge open at the same time and it's causing problems so give me one second. I'm going to shut that down.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah I see errors popping up in this plan.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: What are you saying you are rebuilding it?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes and I saw a couple errors pop up which concerns me.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Especially since the numbers are not making sense.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah it should be adding up about right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And they are well off. Okay just to make sure, this narrow piece here, is that the way it's supposed to be?

Does that look familiar?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes because that is Dearborn Heights.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, and this snaggy piece down here is the way it's supposed to be.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We will see once you get the rebuild because when you moved it out it did not make any change in the numbers, and it should have.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So right now three is 9% over.

It needs to come down.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right, so we are going to put but that doesn't seem right to me. I still feel like something is off there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Because Dearborn has about 100,000, 110,000 people in it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: But that is all right and we can put some of that back into is a -- 15 if you follow the diagonal line.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We will doing bit blockks and it will tell us what is going on right quick.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep, yep, this should be at least a few thousand going into 15.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Uh-huh. And it's, okay, yeah, it did it opposite of what we thought it was going to do, am I right?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep. So can you grab that lower neighborhood at the very bottom of the diagonal you just followed and put that into 15 as well?

Yep, right there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So 15. Is this starting to make sense number wise?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So we are still a bit over, right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So grab the next neighborhood above that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. You want to do just like along this, I'm asking, because that would do, you know, precincts again.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is only 111. And like that?
That's 2400. And you want to try taking this whole Section here?
Or.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I think that is going to be too much population.
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, you know, three now is at .88. And.
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That is fine, and we are fine there.
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I have a little stray piece. Let's just assign that.
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So it doesn't come back later. Okay, .88. All right, next?
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: All right so then we are going to go to the northern part of Dearborn Heights and bring in that neighborhood right there. East-west Detroit neighborhood.
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay what area this?
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That part that is in four we will put into 15 so we are trying to rebalance 15.
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This part.
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That is River Rouge and the east-west Detroit neighborhood. If that's not enough we will take part of Warren Dale.
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Split precincts. 15. And it did go into Warren Dale, and we will cleanup as necessary. 15 is still way down.
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So take part of Warren Dale. How much is that population wise?
So that is too much.
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, that is a little too much.
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I would take the southern part of Warren Dale rather than the whole thing.
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's 8500.
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That's perfect so put that in.
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right there add it. Oh, we probably should put this part of Warren Dale. Let me rephrase that. Warren Dale is split up here.
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Want to reassign the census blockks back to Warren Dale?
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: How much population is it?
. .
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let's take a quick look. Probably a fair number. A thousand people.
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Is.
>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We could do it by whole precincts.
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would actually rather not to throw off four because four is pretty close.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Actually, four is still 2500. Yeah, it would go up.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It would go up and I would like to grab blockks and put it in 15 and the three districts will be balanced.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So what if we did this?

Let me clear it. You know, I'm listening for your suggestion, but I was just going to take -- follow the pattern up above.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: And take the next row of neighborhoods, next row of houses, yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is a thousand. And we could come down here and get the whole precinct. We might be splitting a neighborhood, but you are doing a whole precinct any way.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Try that and see how it balances.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You know, so four is at 4. 15 is 1600 over.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: They are all within our range, so I think that's good.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And you could slide and do four out of 15. Any way so you are going to leave it like that?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Next is Janice Vallette. You have a comment.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I would be remiss to say how strongly I disagree with these changes. As I said yesterday I think we are going -- we have gone far beyond what can be considered a reasonable change to the districts that have been struck down. Now we are looking at not only are we looking at districts that are three and four districts west of what were shut down in districts four, three, 15 and a little bit of 16. But in my opinion as this Commission's only Middle Eastern member it completely disenfranchises what hundreds of people told us. That is my opinion. Commissioner Szetela can make her map and we can question side later and know the opinion and consider it because we are going from three potential districts where that is supported in Arab American District to one. I understand maybe going to two and I kind of like what you did, Rebecca at the beginning when you were putting more of Detroit with four and more of Dearborn in three. Given the changes that went with four that makes a little bit of sense. But once you went more westward, in my opinion, I think it's a disservice. And I think it's too far out anyway from the reconfiguration we have to do.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I disagree with you completely. I grew up in Dearborn and lived there for 35 years. What this map is trying to honor is the Arab American community in Dearborn and Dearborn Heights. Which is where they are mostly distributed. So previously this District was divided 50/50 Detroit and Dee born which I don't think honors either community of interest and Detroit would be with Detroit and Dearborn with Dearborn and reunified the communities we previously split. Keeps portions of Dearborn that can't fit in door born because it's too populated in door born heights and you have door born and Dearborn Heights and it grabs areas of Detroit with

high Middle Eastern along Warren Dale and Warren Avenue and the east-west Detroit neighborhood. For the contrary this is better for the Arab American population and consistent with the community of interest maps that access submitted to us, so I think this is a much better map for Detroit and for the Arab Americans as well.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Well, obviously, I don't know Detroit well. But my personal opinion on it we hacked up so many communities of interest the first time around. I say give the people what they want. That's what we heard over and over again. So any map I don't care what map, if it fits what the people specifically the people of Detroit want, I say go for it. That's it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: And we will hear what they say about this. It looks like Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah, that's why I really regret the decision that we made earlier, not to have someone from the outside the Commission go through and do an analysis of what the public comments were from the beginning of this Commission all the way to now. Because I haven't had the privilege of attending any of the town halls. Or we are under a really tight deadline to get this finished and I can't possibly, nor can the other new Commissioners possibly go back and listen and rereview all the town halls and 30,000 public comments and all comment they made on any map they submitted and synthesize that information and make much use of it. So it's really regrettably we don't have a good synopsis and summarized version that was put together for the Commission to use then we would be able to look at the public comments and figure out what really did we hear from the public on this is this is the drop or the mapping that they would prefer from a Detroit perspective and from an Arab community perspective or are we really doing away with the community of interest. I don't have any way of knowing that. That's unfortunate so if anybody can provide me some guidance there of how we can get to a good understanding of that from where we are at this point in the process, I would appreciate it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, well, I will say we will have various public comments coming in. And they will be able to put the dots on the map that we are working on, all the different maps we are working on so there will be information coming in. And I think that we can synthesize some of the heat maps and public comments that we had from before for you. Nate Fink, you have your hand up.

>> Nate Fink: Thank you Madam Chairwoman. I just wanted to reiterate comments I made yesterday about the importance of focusing on making changes to districts that were now struck down only as reasonably necessary. I'm going to read to you directly from the Court's order. The Court's order of January 11, 2024. The Court said that the Court expects the District lines not only the unconstitutional districts but also other districts as reasonably necessary will be redrawn. So just I think it's very important to stay focused on that. I hear concerns that some Commissioners are expressing about

perhaps issues that certain Commissioners feel like we are not addressed, were not responsive perhaps to public comment during the last round of redistricting but the Court did not say redraw of the entire state or region. It's instructed this Commission to only redraw districts that were not struck down as reasonably necessary. Just wanted to reiterate what I mentioned yesterday, said it again today. I will probably say it again in the future. But I just wanted to mention that. Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

Rebecca you have something else?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: The interpretation of what is reasonably necessary is obviously subjective. I believe these changes are reasonably necessary. Commissioner Eid is welcome to disagree. That's exactly why I asked to create a copy of the map before I made these changes so that we would have two maps going forward. So I think that's a reasonable approach and then we can let the public decide. I mean they will put that out there as well and make their own public comments like I said I think this is a better set up for the community of interest in Dearborn, Detroit and Dearborn Heights.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yeah, I just want to say when listening to the Court case, I specifically remember one of the Judges also stressing focus on communities of interest. So if we are fixing the spokes and we are replacing it with community of interest, I think our odds are pretty good. And that's just me. But the Judge did say focus on the community of interest aspect.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: What Rebecca said is right. That's totally fine and we can respectfully agree to disagree, and we can have different opinions and it's not the worst thing in the world. I will tell you that. So maybe we can, you know, hear what the public has to say. But as far as this word necessary goes, it's not necessary. You can fix the districts. You can redraw them without making all of these changes in other districts. So my understanding of the word necessary, you know, I grew up in a house that spoke both English and Arabic and Chaldean, so maybe my understanding of the word necessary is not right. But to me necessary means you have to do it in order to achieve compliance. Now, I don't know maybe we can get an opinion on what, you know, what the word necessary means. Because that seems to be where there is confusion.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, we are ready to continue drawing. It's Commissioner Vallette's turn.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: I pass.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So then it is Commissioner Wagner's turn.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Hello. I am really not feeling well. But I would like to know if Commissioner Szetela and Commissioner Curry will help me with Detroit, please. And thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I'm happy to help. I want to continue along the same lines of what I've been doing. We are just going to work on this map. And so we are going to go to the very west edge of Detroit until we have 16 and 17. This again it's districts where we stretched in the suburbs to try to reduce the Black community. I want to make this a more Detroit centered District. So I want to take 17 and bring it do you know mostly in Livonia and Redford and want to bring 16 up. And I particularly want to preserve those neighborhoods of Rosedale Park, Minick and Westwood. So, Kent, can you see the border of where Redford is?

I think it's pretty clear when you had it zoomed out. If you leave the Detroit map on it makes it more clear, the neighborhood map.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I have the neighborhoods up there.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Basically the entire area in green under 17 we will start converting over to we are going to convert the area of 16 over to 17 and bring 16 up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, so Evergreen and north Rosedale and Rosedale Park are all going to be part of 17, is that what you're saying?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No they will be part of 16. So instead of having to districts pancaked on top of each other they will be side by side.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: O'Hare, Evergreen and five points is going to be part of 16?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So I will start with that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, you will find the Detroit neighborhoods.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Weiss, you have a hand up?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes, I do. Listening to all the comments, I understand Rebecca you would like to do these things, so my suggestion is you take this present map that you just did. Make a copy. Then turn around and take the map and now make these other changes you would like to make so and my thinking we have maybe three or four or five different maps already done and made up with different configurations of the communities that need it to be fixed and ones that did not need to be fixed. Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON:

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I appreciate the suggestion, but I think that's what Anthony was trying to do yesterday with his map where he was pulling out districts. So I would just encourage him to focus on that. I do have individual maps I'll submit individually but go ahead, Commissioner Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I'm sorry Commissioner Szetela. Commissioner Weiss thank you for your opinion and this is my map drawing turn and I'm fine with this and paying attention so thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I don't have a problem with Rebecca doing this what I wanted her to do because she is going to take a map that by the looks of it all the

numbers are green. Looks like a pretty good map. Keep that as a map to turn in and now take it and add these changes which would give us another map to turn in to the public.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: This is already a copy though Richard. It's already a copy.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes but you're going to take this and change it again. That's my suggestion. Is you've got the one.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You want to make a third copy?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes, ma'am, that is my thinking. Just a thought.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I agree with Richard. I think we should take a copy of the map that Rebecca ended up with before she started on these changes for her third turn today. And so then we can have comparisons as we go. It might not be a bad idea.

In fact, to create those copies after everybody finishes their mapping then we know where everybody finished up.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It's actually not my third turn today. I had my turn and Commissioner Wagner is asking me to help her with her turn so that would be a second.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: We know how that works Rebecca.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So, Kent.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: The snide comments.

>> Order.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Snarky.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Point of order we do not need to talk over each other. We are here to map and it's fine and Kent can make copies so we can have a record of where we were at different points. That shouldn't be offensive to anyone. Commissioner Lange, do you have something else?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Thank you for that Madam Chair. I want to stress that too. I think we've all had a lot of stress and in fighting going on. We are trying to be respectful in this process. I feel like we are trying to be respectful. So let's limit the digs. But if you want to take one you can take one at me. I got thick skin.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I also I think we should let people have their turns. I mean Commissioner Lett you had a very extensive turn today you were drawing for I think almost two hours and not once did anyone stop or interrupt you or criticize what you are doing. I think I'm entitled to the same courtesy as is Commissioner Wagner.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: As you said let's not be snarky.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It wasn't snarky I'm asking you to be respectful. Mr. Stigall, can you please create another version of this and then we will start doing those same changes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL:

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Kent, you are muted.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I was just saying one thing about having extra copies, you know, God forbid something happens and my machine at least we can revert back to a previous stage. That's the way I look at it anyway. I make copies all the time. Backups.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: For those of us whose reading glasses are not the greatest will you just tell me what you are renaming this too, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is version two and my computer just shut down. I like having a backup or something. Every few hours. Now it's back. This plan is 011724 under score V3 collaborative map. I am sharing a screen. Double checking.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Can everybody see what we got going on here?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Go back up to 16 and 17?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to cut the neighborhood layers.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Because that will help.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So the best I recall is all of these Detroit neighborhoods that are currently in 17 we are going to put in 16?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The next step was to take this portion of 16 and put it in 17?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Something like.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would not go that far. That's all right, just go ahead for now. We might have to adjust that a little bit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Clear selection. I'm going to start right here. Something like that?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And 17. I don't know why it's doing the precinct in two pieces. Something like that go into 17?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I would go ahead and put that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 6,000 for this, that goes in 22 and we can go and get that back.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We will go here right now and put this back into 22.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Or leave it like it is.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Let's just leave it for now. Does it throw off 22? No. We will leave it, so we don't split up precincts and continue to go down, yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we are going to 25 is right here. So.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that is 8,000 so now 16 is 4% low and 17 is one and a half high.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: One and a half high.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to change the color of 25.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I know, it's hard to tell.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me change it again, 25. So where you are at is 16, 17. 17 is high.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We need about a thousand from 17 into 16 so let's go up to that corner right where Redford is and at the bottom of that neighborhood. Yep. South of six, up higher.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: See where Brightmore is? I want to go up and to the left of Brightmore, so that is south of six neighborhood.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Up in here.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: See the neighborhood south of six.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: South of six.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: South of six that neighborhood underneath there is part of Redford, and we are going to put into Detroit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This whole precinct?

>> CHAIR SZETELA: You would have to select the precinct. I think that is going to be too much.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, those blockks are 1300, so the whole precinct might fit.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep then just do the whole precinct.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that is 1500. Does that work for you?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep, put it into 16. Can you zoom out so Erin can take a look?

What do you think, Erin?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: So far am I still muted so far it looks fine to me Rebecca. So we seem to be fine. I'm just looking at the variations and population on those two like 16 and five I don't know if we are going to or just as we go or what.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 16 is a little high so we need a bit more population. So let's add a little bit more, Kent.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: From 17?

Looking to see if there were any other split neighborhoods. Directional.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Go underneath because that is part of Rouge Park complex and may not be a ton of people there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is a funny precinct.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will move it on down by blockk. That is only 147.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That might be enough so add that in and let's see.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Gets you below 255.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: 17 you don't want to take too many out of there so okay.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: All right, look good Erin?

It's balanced now.

- >> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: It does look good, thank you.
- >> CHAIR ORTON: Okay next to draw is Commissioner Weiss.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: All right Kent I think there was an issue in District 12.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: 12.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Blow that up. I think it's a little under. There might be a way to tweak that I guess. If you could bring that area up.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Briefly, you know, one is a little high. Eight is barely low. Nine is barely high. Ten is low. And 12 is low. So.
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: This that area, the area of Wade County I guess that is or Wade District or Wade neighborhood, whatever that may be how much is the population there?

Because it looks like we have a few that are in 12 that could be or could be in 12 or all of wade could be in 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That part of, let me get over here and get to it, I don't know if, yeah, let's just say.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Put all of Wade in 12 and see what happens. Make it simple.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Sure. That is Raven Dale sorry. Back up. Actually now number wise 12 is 1.2 low. 11 is 205 low. And 10 is 2.77. So really you're just if you wanted them all, if it was important 2.55%, 10 is the only one that needs a handful of people to meet that criteria.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Ten is way over to the right and I thought that was the area that was already drawn.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It is but we can't take people from Canada. They frown on it.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yeah that was already decided they wanted that as a community of interest I believe. That is a Grosse Pointe area.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah it's from Grosse Pointe Park, north of St. Charles. 13 has 641 people at high. And ten is 2500 low. I mean, you can do something there. 11, yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: If we were to take, let's see, go into 13, please, on the right-hand side, just above 12, that little corner right there. How many is that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let's see. That is 1700.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Put that into 10 or 12 excuse me.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: In the 12 or 10?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: No, into 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 12 is 581 high. 13 is 1.2% low. And ten is 2.77 low.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Like I said I don't want to mess with ten because I thought it was set as a community of interest. I don't like the number in ten but I don't know what we should do about that. So I guess since I see all the green, I'm not that happy I guess I'm done.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Then it is Commissioner Andrade's turn.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Can we go back to the map that Commissioner Orton was working on.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Today?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: No, this morning.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Previous to plan or two previous to this plan, something like that.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Was in the first one today, is that right, Commissioner Orton?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think so.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I think so. I think we only had one. I'm not sure we completed the east side of that one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, we will see it here in a moment. Let me get these neighborhood layers straightened out. Where we are at. I guess that was the last place we were is down in this so 10, 11 and 12, 1, 8, with the districts down in Detroit.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes, so basically have to do what Commissioner Weiss was working on and I see that 12 is low. So if we could do a similar, yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do what Commissioner Weiss did?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Add that in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We got 12. And my interest here is just to have a complete map like so everything works. We are 12 and it's 1.26 low. And what else are we looking at?

Nine is pretty much .25%. 11 is 2.05.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: So nobody wanted to touch ten. Maybe someone could remind me if you Zoom out a little bit because they said that ten was pretty well set. But is Cornerstone village untouchable that whole part of Cornerstone village? Does anyone know?

>> CHAIR ORTON: If you took that whole neighborhood that's defined there as Cornerstone village I think it would be too much population. They kind of go together.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Oh, okay because I couldn't remember if Brittini had said morning side and East English Village which makes sense to me and Chandler Park, those all make sense but I'm not sure about.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All you really need is a couple hundred people to get it to 2.5 or lower. You don't -- I mean, you know, the basic goal.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: If you took the top half of Cornerstone village and put it in ten, would that work?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let's see what the whole precinct looks like I don't know. The whole precinct is 1,000 so that would make 11 too low then we drop back and look at it from the perspective of blockks. And that's just 18. Still on 18.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I thought that one Section you had highlighted was 1700.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It was. I'm sorry, the reason why which did not speak to that, I kind of left that out 1700 would have been a big number to take from 11 is what.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I see. It would fit into ten well.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah but it would mess up 11. We can do that if that's what you want to do.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Weiss has a hand up.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I didn't think of this to now, what if we go north and keep us along the shoreline I believe that is area or District 61. I don't really know what's up there. So if we were to check that out, maybe there is a possibility of taking some population from there and putting it in. It was 61 or what is that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 61.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: 62. What is the population?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It is a thousand under, which is 1.17%. So, yeah, you can take a couple, few hundred people.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: If you stole a few from 61, put them into 62, bring that up a little then you may be able to take a few from 62 and put them into ten. I guess maybe that might work. I'll leave that to you, thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Those three little census blockk are 550 people. That is a lot of people out there but anyway.

Or you can just do -- I'm just waiting for direction and clicking.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: The populations are way up; I don't know what the populations are down in 11 and 12. If you can move that back down again on your table.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay I'm sorry.

>> CHAIR ORTON: And Commissioner Eid has a hand up.

>> COMMISSIONER EID:

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: You can also do, if you wanted to, you could do a little bit of 13 over there as well. We are redrawing 13 anyway.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Right, so.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just clicking on stuff. I'm not making decisions of any sort like that is 133 people. I don't know how many you really need to kind of to lower it two tents. 1% is 900. And you only need to go down a little bit, so I mean like.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Well, I know we are going to.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Four or 500 would get it to your 2.5.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I still kind of like taking some out of Cornerstone village and then mixing it a little bit with 11 and 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is 140. Want to put those into 10?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Sure.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You need another hundred or so to probably get it down.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay why don't you take the -- that whole Section down to -- I know it's 1700 and we will have to work on 11 then.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Put that area into ten?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And this is just some stray blockks and probably like the median in the red. And no population change really, just appearances so there is you know so 11 needs roughly, whatever, 12.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Can we Zoom and see more of 11 now?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And you can move from nine or whatever or eight or even one.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, I really like one of those.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is a stray census blockk in District eight. I don't think that was the intention.

>> CHAIR ORTON: You can fix that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think we intended to have that blockk in nine. It's 32 people but for the sake of dealing with it later, okay.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Any suggestions on that eight, nine, anything from eight or nine that can go into -- can you Zoom in a little bit on that cultural center?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This part of the town east appears to be a split between nine and eight.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: And you can put that into nine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's not showing any population. Which is concerning. There is no population. That's what it says, it must be a park. Is it a commercial area?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Andrade a lot of industrial. I think the incinerator was over that way.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It will look better if we put it in nine, but it doesn't move any people.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Just in case of future development.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's a situation if somebody looks at it from split neighborhoods, you know, there is really no reason for that neighborhood to be split with 0 population. All right, I'm sorry. Okay.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: So five is still a little high. We can start doing that ripple thing. Can we go north of nine?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: North of nine and 14 is .07% high. Four and five have.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Airport Section that is all airport and can't be very much population in there. Is that true?

No.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Precinct is 752. 42. It's not a lot of people for the geographic area but.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: More than we want to take out of nine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, and it like if you did that, you know, can we Zoom out just a little?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is a delay, so I Zoom out and I'm asked to Zoom out and that's too far so.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Weiss has his hand up.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Numbers here and if I caught them correctly when Kent was Zooming in and out Gratiot town, Kettering, if you take that out, I think it's around 1400 people. And take it from nine and put it into 11, that might balance that out a little bit. Or is it more than that?

I think it's around 1400.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yep, that's what it looks like.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Thank you.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Let's do that. Thanks.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Then we can unsplit this neighborhood but yeah that is 1400. Put that into 11.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: 11.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: May I suggest putting these census blockks back into District nine?

Because that is the neighborhood boundary is right there.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So now we have nine at 1.29 low. 1 is at 1.57. And 10 at 1.68. So each is less than -- they are within 2%.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, I'm done.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Weiss, your hand is still up, is it still or again?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Sorry I'm old, got to forgive me.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid did you mean to have your hand up.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: The overall deviation is below 5% which is a good thing.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, thank you. Next to draw is Commissioner Callaghan.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So I have a general question on this map. How many districts did we change that were not challenged districts, that were not Court ordered to be changed?

>> CHAIR ORTON: So from my understanding.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Four and nine and I think it was 13 from the original maps that were challenged that were like in the middle, what were those numbers?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What was not challenged that are edited in here are 13, nine, two, three, four, five, and six. It's basically all the -- not all, that's not true. But a lot of the districts that are touching are surrounded by the, quote, illegal districts. So, again, it would be starting at the bottom left it would be two, three, four, five, six. And then 13 in that. And if I'm not correct somebody else please speak up.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid your hand is up.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Two things. One that is correct. Commissioner Callaghan, the other map, the other copy of the map has seven and 14 with the other districts locked. That may be what you're talking about. But this one has those three changed. Nine, 13 and four as well as six, five, three and two changed.

My second comment, and I don't know I don't think this would count for your turn, it shouldn't but you know this is a completed map. I think we should run the partisan fairness analysis and see if we are going down the right track or not in that regard.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is what I wanted to do. That's kind of the thing I've been waiting to see.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I should say I have not run the partisan fairness matrix at all right now. So I'd have to ask one of my wing men to do it.

Or do you want to take lunch, or did you eat lunch earlier?

>> CHAIR ORTON: We already did lunch and we have not had discussion about if we will run partisan fairness numbers after each you know when we finish a map or wait and run them all at the same time that we do VRA analysis. We just have not discussed it.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: It seems to me if we built five maps and are terribly partisan unfair then we really haven't accomplished much. One of the driving forces behind the Commission is to get nonpartisan maps so that is very important. It would be nice to know as we go rather than getting to the end and going oh, shoot we did them all wrong.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What we do have sitting in front of us is.

>> KIM BRACE: Madam Chair, this is Kim Brace. I believe that there is an opportunity to speak to some of the Commission on Friday about partisan fairness and some of the history of it and what goes into it. So I would urge waiting until some of that training takes place for everyone.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Are we meeting on Friday?

>> CHAIR ORTON: No, I think there is training for new Commissioners.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay thank you.

>> KIM BRACE: Yes, that's correct.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I wasn't aware of that.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I was not aware of that either.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is interesting. I can point out now we have some election results in the matrix. It's not VRA. I'm not offering any legal advice, but you can look at general, you know, just kind of get an idea. For example, District one in the 12, 16 and 2020 elections, you know, and in 2020 they voted 86 plus percent by 2016, they voted you know 90% for President Clinton. And in 12, 93% for Obama. And there's statewide elections if you want to, you know, kind of put an eyeball on it. And if you have a particular District of interest, you can look directly at it and, you know, draw whatever conclusions you want. That is District 11 there.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay Commissioner Eid's hand is up.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah, I don't think there is anything stopping us from running partisan fairness analysis. I think that we have the tool as far as I know. We can run it and know what we are comparing it to the original Hickory map so why wouldn't we run it to see if this map you know, is going in the right direction or not? Alternatively, we could use the data that he showed us and count the number of districts that went one way, compared to what was in the old map. But as far as I recall there was nothing in the Court opinion preventing us from using partisan fairness. In fact, I think.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is what we were supposed to use.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: And race is not in partisan fairness. Maybe the Fink firm can speak on that if we can run it. I don't want to get us in trouble, but I think we should run it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm not going to say I can run it right at this moment because I have not looked at it, I'm assuming that my wing people have run it. But I need to send them a plan or they need to make sure my reports and stuff are running, and I do it correctly. Either way it does not take a five-minute break and I will run it. To make sure it works. However you want to do it. Or whether you want to just run down like these Campausite scores, you know. And do District one is 90% democrat.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Mr. Fink's hand is up, and we will hear what he has to say.

>> Nate Fink: Thank you Madam Chairwoman. I don't see anything at all in the Court's opinion that would suggest that you shouldn't be looking at partisan data. Through this process I think I understand there will be some you know some training for the new Commissioners about or some information provided to the new Commissioners about partisan fairness, and you know, some of that information. But I think that it's perfectly appropriate thing for the Commission to be looking at partisan data as you're going through this process, conducting the partisan fairness analysis. I understand the information is on the active matrix right now we are looking at now is sort of more raw data. But as Commissioner Callaghan noted I think you said you are supposed to create a nonpartisan District. That is not entirely true. I think you are supposed to have fair districts from a partisan perspective. And I think it's appropriate for you and for other Commissioners to be asking to get that information, provided to you as you go through this process. And in as much of a real time fashion as you can so that you're sure that you are comfortable you are creating fair districts as you go along. And so that's just my two cents for now.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay thank you, Commissioner Eid?
Something else?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Is the data any different than what we used last time?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I believe they added the 22 data in, but I don't know for sure. I see that Kent is gone.

>> KIM BRACE: Madam Chairman.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes Mr. Brace.

>> KIM BRACE: I testified already to you we have added the 2022 election results. They are on the spreadsheet. And our intention is to share those and, I just lost power, and share the information with you. But I do want to try to get the -- all the Commissioners up to the same speed.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay Mr. Fink your hand is up again.

>> Nate Fink: Yeah, I don't want to sound like a broken record but to an extent I probably will. I wanted to just reiterate I think it's important that the Commission be considering the partisan information on an ongoing basis through this process. And I think that's what the Court wants here. Can't consideration but you really should be, and really must be considered the partisan information so to the extent you can get that as you move forward and not wait until the very end to take a look at that, I think would be the prudent course would be to get that information, consider it real time to the greatest extent possible as you're going through this mapping process.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you. Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Sorry I'm not trying to monopolize this conversation. I kind of had a technical question though. How can we have 2022 election data when we have the 2020 census results?

Having folks move between 2020 and 2022 and also previously we used a Campausite of ten years of election history and did it from 2010 to 2020 in a Campausite score. Is it now 2012 to 2022?

Or is it 2010 to 2022 creating a 12-year Campausite?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Mr. Brace?

>> KIM BRACE: Yes, the Campausite actually never had 2010 in it. As I remember. Because we were dealing with the entire decade. And that set of precincts which started in 2012. That's normally what we end up doing. We have now added the 2022 election results to that 2012 through 2020 Campausite. And we've created some new Campausite scores reflecting the entire time period on that side.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay so from my understanding of what you said it's 2012 through 2022?

Kim.

>> KIM BRACE: Kim that is correct.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: It's not hard to figure out and can explain the numbers to the new Commissioners pretty quickly if they have questions.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Rebecca?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So, Kim, I actually have a question for you. So from a data perspective we originally were testing our plans for partisan fairness using the original data se you just referenced. If there is a new data set I'm assuming we can also rerun the Hickory against that new data set because obviously if the data set is different the numbers would be different and can't make apples to apples comparison if we are using two different data sense does that make sense?

>> KIM BRACE: Yes and indeed we are planning for that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR ORTON: When would we be able to do that?

>> KIM BRACE: We are trying to pull some of that data together so it can be there for the beginnings of the orientation session. And then be able to brief the overall Commission next week when we are meeting.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I have a quick question, please. Mr. Brace, we didn't originally have the new data you were just discussing from 2022. And I was just wondering why are we using it?

If somebody can explain we are using that instead of census data. I understand everything was changed and everyone has moved around and just wondering where we are legally wise and using that data. Thank you.

>> KIM BRACE: We are using the 2020 census data. That has not changed as I testified already. We are simply adding additional election results so that one can look ultimately down on the spreadsheet, and you can see where things change throughout

the decade. The spreadsheet shows for the offices, for collectively and individually for the individual candidates for the offices.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Does that answer your question Commissioner Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Not really but I will go with it for now, thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I just think since Mr. Brace has indicated that data set is still something under construction I think we should hold off running partisan fairness because we could be unintentionally biasing interpretations of maps if we are not comparing apples to apples in terms of what the data is we have available.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: You know, I guess that is my question. Is it under construction or are we just waiting to brief the new Commissioners on it?

Because you know, forget about orientating the new Commissioners to the data. Is it available?

If it's not available today when will it be available for us to actually run the report that we were doing previously?

>> KIM BRACE: The data.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Just a second, Mr. Brace, looks like Director Woods has his hand up.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yes, I reached out to our local counsel so we can get some clear direction on what is expected from the Court. And we will have that information to you quite shortly.

So if we want to take a break or move on, that would be great. But we want to have definitive answers to the Commission with regards to the data and data sets that will be used.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Well, actually I think it might be time for a break. We have been going a little over a couple hours. So why don't we just take a ten-minute break and come back at 2:15. And then we can move on.

[Recess until 2:15 p.m.]

>> CHAIR ORTON: It's 2:15, assuming we have Commissioners back and it is Commissioner Andrade's turn. And I see that Kent might be there. I think maybe we are waiting to hear from Nate Fink first real quick about data.

>> KIM BRACE: I'm sorry Commissioner. Were you talking to me?

I was on the phone.

>> CHAIR ORTON: No, I think we are waiting for Nate Fink.

>> KIM BRACE: Okay, great, thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I am back. We have the tables. And what -- where do we stand?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think we are waiting to hear from Nate Fink real quick. But I'm not 100% sure.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Excuse me I had the volume down, what was that, where am I at?

What am I doing?

Should I share screen?

>> KIM BRACE: We are waiting for Nate Fink to come in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Vallette, you have your hand up?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: While we're waiting, Mr. Brace, can you answer Commissioner's Eid's last question?

Is the program not ready?

Are you still getting the information you need for it?

>> KIM BRACE: No. It is ready in terms of data. We have as we've said the 2022 election results and they have been incorporating in and are available down at the bottom of the spreadsheet. We are working with people to do the political fairness side of things. And that's not quite ready yet.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay, we can have Mr. Fink come on now.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Mr. Fink?

>> Nate Fink: Thank you, Madam Chairwoman and Commissioners. So just to be clear, as I've said a few times now you can absolutely and should be considering the partisan data as you are doing the mapping process. The question that was posed to us if you can use the 2022 data and the answer is yes we think you should and it's fair game to use that. If there is concern I think there might have been concern expressed by the Commissioner about and forgive me I don't remember who it was but it's sort of an apples to oranges comparison so to speak if you're looking at the prior maps or the districts that were struck down and trying to compare them from partisan fairness and partisan perspective to the maps you're now drawing that the recommendation would be that you run the old maps, the Hickory map, through the same partisan filter so to speak. And so you can use the 2022 data, which I think Commissioner Szetela was actually suggesting was a possibility. But that you run Hickory through -- with the 2022 data and then you have an apples-to-apples comparison with the product that you produce or the product you produce right now. But the bottom line is it's fair game to use the 2022 partisan data.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, thank you for the clarification. Commissioner Szetela, you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah. I just wanted to confirm with that what Mr. Fink said was accurate that yes that is what I was suggesting is that we should be making sure we run the Hickory through the new data set we have so we are comparing fairly rather than running and comparing it to Hickory and saying it is better or worse and they are not run on the same data set so thank you for that, Nate.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, so it is now Commissioner Andrade's turn. And, Kent, you can share your screen.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I already had my turn. We are on Callaghan.

>> CHAIR ORTON: You are right, Commissioner Callaghan sorry.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: From what I am seeing on this map I don't really see a point diddling with this one any more until running analysis and see how close we are. I mean, do you just start over and do another map?

Is that the process here?

>> CHAIR ORTON: We have some other starter you can work on or we can have Kent pull up the political races data and we can look at those. Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I just have a quick question I agree with Commissioner Callaghan this map here looks like it's finished, at least finished in the sense that it works. I guess my question is how many maps do we have and out of those how many of those are actually in a sense if you want to call them done with the numbers all look good or in the green and an acceptable. If that is the case why don't we run some of the partisan fairness right away?

Thank you.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Richard I agree with that. I really want to see the partisan fairness at this point or not go with another one. That said I would not mind having a map and make it a goal to minimize changing the other districts. I know we changed some of this one, some of the ones in this one can we map a map where we don't do it with the exception of the maps plopped in the middle of everything. The districts plopped in the middle of everything.

>> CHAIR ORTON: We had one started.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: We had one started and I can't represent which one it was or where it went.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: That might be a good idea.

>> CHAIR ORTON: We do have one of those started where we did take out nine and 13 that were right in the middle and then the arm of four, I believe.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Arm of four.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So, Kent, if you can look at that one. And Commissioner Eid, you want to say something?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I was going to say the same thing. Yesterday I -- we started a map that had the seven Districts that were struck down plus districts nine and 13 changed which were the ones in the middle and also I think I don't necessarily like it. I think we agree four will probably be changed so the seven that were struck down plus 9, 13 and four and we didn't get very far into that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: If I remember correctly the other districts were locked.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: All the other districts were locked making it so you can't change them.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Can we get back to that map?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We should be able to. I will have to go and find. Was that plan three or four?

Something like that. We just.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I actually looked on what got uploaded last night on to our portal and I didn't see that map for some reason. So I think we had three or four on the portal last night and none of them excuse me, had the districts deleted out like that. So I don't know if somehow it got over written, or miss named or maybe didn't get uploaded to the portal, but it was not out there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Did we develop it quite a bit and became into something else?

I mean, see what I'm saying?

I don't know. I would have to look.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I don't think so.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can start, it won't take but a couple minutes to.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I believe it's version three was not changed very much from yesterday.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will open this up. My question is are we going to lock not lock it literally, but are we going to leave this as is?

Are we going to edit this plan any more or are we going to make copies and do edits at any point?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Well I think for right now we are leaving it as is because Commissioner Callaghan wants a different one. Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I do have a small change to this configuration that's similar to what Commissioner Szetela did at the start as far as making the top left portion of four go more into Detroit. And the bottom or the top right portion of three go more into Dearborn. Because we took off Melvindale out of three, I think, you know, I think once you do that I think that would be the way to go. I can do that on my turn.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is version one that we started with this morning.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me close this plan. I want to make sure I get it right because we if change this and tomorrow we are wondering where that went. So today we worked on version one, version two, we've done three different plans today alone.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay Commissioner Szetela, you have something?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah I was just going to say that Commissioner Eid can make those changes on his turn and that Commissioner Callaghan should be allowed to progress with her turn in the order we normally follow.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That's okay Commissioner Eid can make the changes he would like to make. I'm fine with that please do.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Juanita you have your hand up?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm just trying to recall which one did I work on? What number was it, one, two or three?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Today?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think it was yesterday that I did one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will go back and not sit here and tell you I can remember which one is which.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I think it was two Juanita, I think it was number two from yesterday.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay just wondering.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Since this is St. Most complete of all our maps can we possibly change what we are calling it at this point so it's not so difficult to remember which one we are looking for?

Thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is the naming convention we were given, EDS was given from Sarah to use it's a date, it's a version, it's a House District and it's collaborative. That's the abbreviations. If a member, Commissioner member wanted to do a plan on their own and they gave it to me, it would be similarly named this with their initials on it. So this does have like that's yesterday, January 16, '24, version two.

>> CHAIR ORTON: The one you just closed is called?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 11724 version one.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Version one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That was the original plan we started with today. And we got three totally different, I say totally different, they might be somewhat different. Today's plans. But to answer the question the name given was defined by Sarah Reinhardt.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So Commissioner Callaghan, go ahead and do what you would like.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So I would like to go back to the map that has the districts locked. The one with the exception that we started with the exception of districts nine, 13, and four that we were going to change. And see what we can come up with, if we try to take that approach. I'm not sure what map that was.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't either so I will look at them and see which one it was. And this is not it. Commissioner Szetela mentioned she didn't see an upload which leads me to believe it got replaced or.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: If you look on the portal we have version one, version two, version three and version four and none show the districts cleared out that Commissioner Eid cleared out, so I don't know what happened to it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So what I suggest is.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Do we start a new map.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We start a new map and do these things and delete the districts and lock the districts and just I don't know how we missed it.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: To add Kent in case you have it somewhere on your directory, I believe it was version three when we were working on it yesterday. But it does not correspond to what version three is out on the website so.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, I understand. So what I'm going to do is make a copy of the original Hickory plan. And you know the naming convention we have been using. If I can remember it correctly underscore House Districts. It's going to be collaborative; I understand so. We will just and then I will go in there.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid, you have something else?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah, I think he's on the right track. We did add districts seven and 14 from the map we were just looking at into it after it was created. So if you want to recreate it, lock the districts, and then re-add seven and 14 from the previous map it will put us to where we were at yesterday.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So did we delete out nine and 13?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: 9, 13 and 4.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: We changed the arm on number four. We didn't delete all of number four.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: No, we deleted it.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that is nine and 13 gone. So now we delete the illegal ones as well plus four. And that is seven, eight, and 11, 12, 14 and one. So this is all the illegal ones erased, including, but also including four, nine and 13. Four was right here. Nine was in here and 13 came from up here.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay so as we start this map, can I respectfully ask the rest of the Commission to keep in the plan with what I'm trying to accomplish here that is don't change the other districts if we don't have to when it's your mapping turn. And either run this one into a wall and figure out we can't get there or until we get to a valid map. If you don't want to work on it and put I aside and work on another map that is fine but let's keep this is a map we won't change the districts until we find out it can't be made to work that way.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So, Kent.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Is that okay to ask that?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, it's great. Kent, you could just lock the other districts like you did the other this one. And Anthony is that what you are saying? Do you have something else?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: That is why I asked yesterday.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Right.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Make a copy of it and do this. To have one, a map of not no change but of reasonable change. And I think looking at those three districts is reasonable change. But I also used my turn to bring in districts, the districts seven and 14 that we just made on the previous map into this one. And that's where we left off on this map yesterday. So how you did that, Kent is you overlaid seven and 14 from the map we were just looking at on top of this. And it worked out. And that's where I ended my turn yesterday. Then we moved back to another map.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Let's go ahead and do that today and put 7 up there Royal Oak and mad sand heights and Oakland County and 14 was next door in Macomb County, right?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Take the square unassigned from Oakland County and we will make that one 14.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right, this was seven.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yep and 14 was right next door to that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes and we can match them up perfect as soon as we -- how much further did it go?

I can go look at it but.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm not sure. Commissioner Eid, do you remember?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I'll pull up yesterday's meeting and double check.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: If you want to we can go ahead and put in District ten as well. And we can probably just make that match what we have done pretty closely on the map we just finished because that seems to be a strong community of interest and that was a pretty easy one to put in place.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, it just stopped. Autobound stopped running so I have to restart it. Looks like 14 still needs 15,000. 14 came down into here.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah I'm looking at it right now. It looks like it took a couple of those neighborhoods past eight mile. I'm seeing Butler, far well and it's kind of hard to see over YouTube.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to cut the neighborhood layers. That is the way it was done. Just moving egregiously slow.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That looks kind of familiar, right.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That shape is pretty close to it.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah and you can go from there. It's close enough. We will change these around.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah, yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: If you want to save this as where we ended yesterday, it would be a good idea.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I bet we crossed into here.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I think we took sure wood in if I remember correctly.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It may not be right. We will just do that. But that is splitting Norton at the moment and go to blockks, unsplit the neighborhood but that is probably I don't know if Norton was in there at all.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is pretty close. We are getting started and not dilly-dally around with the details. Go to the shoreline and put District ten in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: St. Clair Shores down to Grosse Pointe, it was supposed to go?

.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. That wasn't part of it. I will get that out of there in a moment. And that was in there. What more?

See, I don't -- I know that was there.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That was included in ten before.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think it went straight down that line.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Straight down the line.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All of Harper Woods was in ten?

No I don't believe it.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Went on the East Point line. So the East Point.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: No, East Point was 12. I don't have the CCDs in here now but.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That little triangle or four sided whatever that thing is right there, right there was included. The one below it but not that one. It's not quite right but.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Ten still needs a lot of people. Was this in it?

This was in it, wasn't it?

Was it like that?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: It came straight down. I think that's how it was. It extended.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I mean we can go and look at it. I don't know if that was in there, or not.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That was there. When it comes down to Cornerstone village this was a corner on the northeast side that was included.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Not in the original. That was one of the edits that was done to make because ten was a little bit short and I'm thinking this precinct was not in it. Well.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Let's hear what Commissioner Eid has to say.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm sorry.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I was going to ask Kent do you have the overlay or make an overlay of the last map and put it on top of this so we can have the exact placement?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I think that's what we had last time now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, that number looks familiar.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is the right number. That is the right shape now. But, yeah, the overlay would be helpful.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 2.77% is what it was. So let's see here, a shape file. Yeah the red lines are the overlay I threw in there. That's why ten is 2.77. At the end this morning or day we added this in to get it below 2.77.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And we can look at 14 now and see that this was not in 14. This was in 14, so we can do that if you would like. Or leave it the way it is or somewhere in between.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: You can put that in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: My question is unassign this and put this back?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

And then we put this in 14.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Then let's go ahead and put 13 in as well.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me clean this up and go to the blockk layer to do that. Sorry folks, rough today. That will be the beginning of 13. Unassign that. Now I want to assign these parts of Conic Woods through 14.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: 13.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That was actually 12.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is not 13, 13 should be beside 14 up above eight mile.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to make this 12. I'm not saying it's 12. I'm just giving it a placeholder for the time being.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Is that where you wanted him to go Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No, I actually want to go up and do 13, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I mistakenly made this 13. So I'm just kind of getting that cleaned up so we can go do 13. That 12 means nothing. It's just a placeholder. 13,000 this is the area you wanted to make, is this 13?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That matches the previous 13. That ignored 12. Right now.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: The last one I want to try to at least get a start on, not to finalize but get a start on is eight. And Juanita asked you to kind of help me out with this one if you could please. I want to start at eight mile and go down.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Roughly where it has been previously, like up in this area?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah but last time we drew it long to the river. I don't want to do that. I would like to cut it off, maybe keep the northern neighborhoods that there are together but not take it all the way down to the river so through like Highland Park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, I'm just going to select these precincts and if it doesn't match up with what you want to do just say so. So starting up here.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Sure and Juanita if you have any words of wisdom here that would be helpful.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

You were mentioning Highland Park, and you know Highland Park is not up there near eight mile.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No but it's not down at the river either so I'm not sure how far eight needs to go to get enough population in it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We need to get this area over in here.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Well you need Oak Grove and Sherwood and probably the baggily area and Pembroke.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I have it labeled as 13 so let me get this straightened out. I should point out that two looks like it's six. I will have to do blockks up there because of the way it's laid out.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That's good.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is just a start. Now I need to go and use blockks to get, you know, the remainder of those little pieces cleaned, fixed.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay but we need to go a little further south, right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. Just before we get down there because to even make it a legal it has to be connected so...do we want to take Highland Park or go around Highland park?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Go around Highland Park. .

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I need to put this little piece back into 14.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You can have some Highland Park.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Juanita you will be the next mapper and why don't you take over and do this District eight?

How about that?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Well, we will see. I'll do as much as I can justify.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is Highland Park. Are we going to go around Highland Park or through Highland Park?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think you ought to go around Highland Park, if you can. You can't go through it but Palmer Park, across the street is Highland Park so I don't know how you would do that, but they have nothing in common. They are not contiguous.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm sorry, I don't understand.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What did you say, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL:

>> CHAIR ORTON: Have you saved recently?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Huh?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I wondered if he saved. It looks like something.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Tell him to take a screen shot.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Exactly. All right, select box precincts. Do you want to go over into this area?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This one?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Can't get all of it. Can you take it up by Pembroke and the park, what is this eight mile?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is eight mile up here.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Above that Ferndale?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No, right up in here. To the left, going west.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Diagonally.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Bagley and Fitzgerald and 7-Mile and Greenfield and to the left of Blackstone and Greenwich, west.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, I'm looking for there is Norton Park.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: West.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That area?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah. You're down near Dexter. I don't see the...that really doesn't go with the upper part of that. If you go west, to the left of.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Greenwich, Black stone, Pembroke.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Go west.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Those are locked because they were districts we were asked not to change.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You asked me. So I'm done. You all can figure it out. I know what goes together with those. I know the other ones don't go with that. So.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Curry, do you want Commissioner Curry, do you want to work on a different map that those districts aren't locked?

So you can put a District that you think goes together?

You are muted.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No. Let Ms. Callaghan, is that Donna.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes Donna.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Donna go ahead and give it a shot. I would do it. You all said it shouldn't be touched so I'm going to leave it alone.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, so I agree with you, Juanita, that those communities if we were starting from scratch would belong together. But since I'm trying to do one where we are not starting from scratch and just see what's the best thing we can get out of doing it that way. So I guess at that point in time you kind of need to take in Highland Park and those other eastern neighborhoods to get the numbers in Section eight up.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Well you know Highland Park is a ways from Bagley and Highland Park.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I need people.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 30,000 and have to unassign it. Do you want me to do that and see what you have?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Sure.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is roughly 5,000 over. But we could take some of this out, take some of that out. Unassign it basically. Or you can take, you know, however you want to do it, you can take some of that out.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid's hand is up.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: There is a Bangla Town community of interest here somewhere. Where exactly is that falling?

Has that been cut in two?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't think it would fall into this area here though, Donna. I think it's more further in Highland Park and more northwest, not northwest but on the east side.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Bangla Town where he has his cursor highlighted.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I don't know if we are going far enough east for that, but Commissioner Eid has a hand up.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I was going to mention the same thing. I think instead of going southeast into you are getting into that Bangladesh community of interest that is around the Bangla Town, north campus of the Charles area. We kind of accounted for that in the map that we just did if you put the overlays on. So I would suggest going southwest down Grand River instead of southeast.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, so let's try that. So take those highlighted communities off and move over closer to Grand River. So that would be down where it says I think Boston Edison if I read it correctly. Petosky, Jamison, those communities.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: First, I need to unassign that right, is that the intent?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Want to make sure I'm not getting off track. So now we still need to get this little area into something. Eight I guess. Census blockks. So.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm not sure go ahead and take that one and after that I don't know if it's better to run down Grand River or over Grand River. So maybe at this point I will pass this off to someone else who knows these communities a little bit better than I do down in the center here.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Next in line since Juanita had her turn, unless you want more turn, Juanita.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Well, I know those communities. But I think what Kent said something about he didn't want to connect them that far, Kent.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: No, no, no, I didn't say anything.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm sorry, what stopped you from going more to the north, northwest?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The discussion was that District six is locked because that is not an illegal District. If I recall correctly Commissioner Callaghan is interested in drawing districts without touching any of the.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I see now, I see what you're saying.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: You can start your own, Juanita.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You know, I'm tired. I'm tired of fooling with this right now. My back is hurting. I'm getting restless. So.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight is 11,000 short.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You can put the Boston Edison and the historic Atkison, can they go together with that?

Virginia Park?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can get them in there.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: And how much would that bring you?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We need to clean up and get the neighbors, we don't have to some of the neighborhoods are split right now so we clean the neighborhoods up, you are still 8,000 down, want to put Jamison and Virginia park in there with them?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: And la sale gardens and if you need more you can almost put Wildemere Park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And now are we going to assign that and unsplit the neighborhoods, that will take a bunch of people out. So I'm going to assign it and then like right down here to left is half of a neighborhood and you don't want half a neighborhood I'm sure.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So I go to Edison.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You can't add Petosky and Otsego.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can. I'm trying to do one piece. Some of the neighborhoods are split around here. I thought maybe we would unsplit them and see what you got, whether you wanted to add more or less, I don't know.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Let's not split them and see what we have.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is kind of what I'm just doing. I think neighborhoods, no, I'm missing some here I suppose. Let me get those. I was going to say they are unsplit. Try to make them...

>> CHAIR ORTON: It looked like the north bend neighborhood to the right was split as well.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is what I was looking at to fix. So that is an unassign. And there is a little bit here that needs to be fixed. Well, here we are at this split blockks there so whatever side you want it to go on. I think we got the same thing down through here. Like those blockks are split down the middle by the neighborhood boundaries. I don't know, however y'all want to that is how those are done, and District 8 is 1.26% over. And it the south side of the District is LaSalle, Wildemere Park, north of eight mile. West to northwest Littlefield neighborhoods and eastward through Highland Park. And north end is still split. Let me get that not for a lot of people. So now your eight is at 1.1% of ideal. Petosky, Otsego is not in District eight. It would make the numbers go up. You can make other edits and you want that in there.

>> CHAIR ORTON: What do you think, Juanita?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY:

>> CHAIR ORTON: You are muted.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Those couple of streets you just named are kind of down. So I think I would make them go down. The last few streets you named, joy and Otsego.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes District is at 1.1% above. We can add those neighborhoods in. And see where those or that neighborhood is going to add 24 roughly 2500 people to District eight. Do you want to do that?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah, let's try that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: District eight is 3.2% high, you know, above ideal.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah, that's not bad.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is there an area that you maybe want to put in a different District?

You know, around like Virginia park is included. Piety Hill is included. Arden Park. They are fairly small neighborhoods, but they are in District eight.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Weiss' hand is up.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Juanita, I like your changes, but I think if you want to get your numbers down, if my math is good.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Didn't you say, Kent, the numbers were okay?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: No, they are a little high 3.52. If you take Arden Park, take that out of eight, and was at Piety hill take that out and Virginia park, I think you will be a lot better number wise.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Let's try that then.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So what was that?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Piety hill and Virginia park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What is the number now, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Just a moment, please. I got to undo what I did. So that precinct runs all the way up there, so I need to do it differently. I do it by blockks now. But, yeah, the number is going to be.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Better.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Better. Okay, is that the desired result right there?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think it is, yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The numbers are less than 1%. .73%.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That looks pretty good.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Pretty good number.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah. I like that.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Next Commissioner is Commissioner Eid.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: So I like going down this path with the map. I think it's a good idea to have one that doesn't have as many changes as some of the other ones we looked at. After we were done yesterday, MSU, our friends at their political science department that have, you know, sent us comments once in a while kind of did the same thing. And you can check the public comments to see what they did. But I think at minimum it shows that it is possible to reconfigure the districts like this. But so I'm kind of in a conundrum. I would like to stick going down this route, but I do have relatively minor changes on the previous map we were working on so let's go back to that one for now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is version four. Let's see, the last discussion on the plan we were dealing with was, which is that one right -- which one -- what was the last one we were monkeying with?

This one?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Wouldn't it have been three?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No. So there's version one was the one Elaine was working on. Version three is the last one I made changes to Livonia and Detroit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I know, this plan we were looking at it. And/or was it this plan she looked at. Help me here, folks. Was in the last one we were editing, and you were saying leave alone and make copies of it?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think open V one.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think the last one isn't it Donna?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I think it's V1 of today's date.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: My question is do you want to edit it, or do you want to make a copy of it?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: No, I want -- I've only made one District in this map. So I'd like to.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Make a copy of it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So this is.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah, this is the one I'm speaking of.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is the one we started with this morning.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Right. It has changes to the seven districts that were struck down plus nine, three and 14 plus six, five, three and two.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Two, three, four, five, six.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: So this is the one that we have been kind of collaboratively working on. I only had one stab creating District seven when we first started. Like I said I have what I think are minor changes that are similar to what Commissioner Szetela did in her copy and it's just switching around only of the population from three to four. And, you know, making sure it's equal. So can you put the neighborhood overlay on?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is it there now?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: So Franklin Park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Where are we at, Franklin Park.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Precincts.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay Franklin Park, select. It goes up into 16 a little bit but we can make edits to that with the blockks. So that what do you want to do with Franklin Park?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Put it in four. I don't want to change 16. I think that is too far from where we are supposed to be changing districts. So back into 16.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, one of the positives is it unsplit a neighborhood. So that goes back into 16, correct?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: How much did that make three short by?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three is down 9,000. Well 9600.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay let's go to the Dearborn areas of four that are connected to three. And let's put some of those precincts back in three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

This one?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Sure.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just highlighting them, this one?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Let's go to the right. Let's try to make them even. Let's do both of them.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that is 5800.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: So let's take the 4,000.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 9900. And three needs 9600. So.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay so let's put that into three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Garden view and Warren. Let's put those into four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three is now down 11,000.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay, so let's, again, do the same thing, take more of Dearborn, put it into three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Again, just keep where do we want to go from here?

Three is 6200. Which would be, well, no, I'm sorry. Well, you want to put this part into this part of Warren Dale back into three.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Well, that's part of Detroit. So first let's try to get more of -- the whole point is to have more of the Detroit area is with Detroit and the more Dearborn areas be with Dearborn. We still have some precincts in Dearborn on the top right there where the curve is. That's 3,000, so let's assign those to three. Again let's assign those last three also to three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So you need to remove 8,000. You need to take 8,000 out of three. I'm just going to highlight them because this is where you're headed. And that is 12000.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: All right. Let's assign those to four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Four is 5600 and three is 4400 under.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay so let's normalize that population. We can -- let's put since Warren Dale is currently split a little bit, let's try to unsplit it. Well, I don't know. How much is in Warren?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All of Warren?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah, Warren Ave neighborhood.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Kind of got a little carried away there. Clear selection. Precincts. That half of Warren is 5300.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay but what if you were to do the whole of Warren, that way you can -- so the whole of Warren in three and the whole of Warren Dale on four, that way the neighborhoods aren't split.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, we will just do it then. The only thing is.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I don't know if that works population wise, but we will see.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 2, 4, 6, 8, 9,000, it's going to be pretty close. We have to do it at the block level is the kicker on it. So put that in three. Then we will put it and put that in four. Want to put garden view. I'll put that in. Like I said we will get down to where you are to do it by blocks to make the neighborhood.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I'm trying to make the population work now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three and four are getting really close. Four needs to take some of three. I'll just do it by blocks and it will take but a second and you will have an accurate neighborhood. So those are your neighborhood. And you are off but three is 1700 short. Four is 2800 over. That is whole neighborhoods.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: If we have to normalize those between each other just a little bit, so do you want to go down to blocks and do that at the bottom of Warren Dale?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is where I'm kind of headed with...you could do a whole precinct or you can do it block, whatever.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: If we can do a precinct, let's do a precinct.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think that does it right there. I'm not saying it's, you know, ideal. But you know, that is 2200. And.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's pretty close.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Pretty close. All right. It's below 5% and both are below 2.5%.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Both below one, so yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I'd like to look at some data now. Now, I never got -- I don't know. It kind of got answered but it kind of didn't get answered. We need to be able to run the political fairness tool as soon as possible. Do we know when it's going to be available specifically the tool that lets us look at efficiency gap, mean median difference?

All that stuff.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I can't comment or shouldn't comment.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Can somebody comment?

Rough estimate?

It doesn't need to be exact.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just saying, you know.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You just work here, Kent, right?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Kim Brace?

>> KIM BRACE: Yes, Madam Chairman, we are close to having that there. And I'm hoping we will have that by the end of this week.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay I'm going to push you to, you know, try to get it before that. If possible if you can. Let's make sure it works and works well.

>> KIM BRACE: That's what we are checking.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: There are some other data I would like to look at though. Can you pull up the election data?

I see Commissioner Szetela had her hand up. I don't know if.

>> CHAIR ORTON: She took it down.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I was going to say Kim said by Friday, but he chimed in, so we are good.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay try your best, Kim, we are counting on you.

>> KIM BRACE: Absolutely we are working on it.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Not like we have two weeks to do this.

>> KIM BRACE: Yeah, I know, I know.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay, I'm interested in a couple things from this table.

The first thing I'm interested in is the 2018 primary election that had three democratic candidates, Governor Whitmer, Shri Thanedar and Abdul El-Sayed because we don't know where the Middle Eastern population is because there is no check box on the census. Hopefully that changes but right now there is not. So we don't have an exact location of where those people are. So we got to rely on other forms of data. Such as this. And such as the public comment and maps that folks have submitted to us.

Now on the Hickory map there were three districts that had El-Sayed, the Middle Eastern community of interest candidate winning. So I'm wondering if there are two or three now. And it would be between districts 15, three and four. You know, before we look at this can I get confirmation from Nate that we can look at this now?

>> CHAIR ORTON: While we are waiting for Mr. Fink to come on I'm wondering, Kent, can you lock the District number column so that we can more easily read that down the spreadsheet?

And, Mr. Fink, can you answer?

>> Nate Fink: Thank you. So as I indicated you can look at the partisan data. There is nothing from the Court's opinion that precludes you from looking at partisan data. You shouldn't be considering race through this process. You shouldn't be looking at racial considerations at all in this map drawing process. To look at the partisan data you have and the partisan fairness data which you will get. It's appropriate.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Election data is partisan data.

>> Nate Fink: Right.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Szetela, you have your hand up.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, so, Mr. Fink, when we were previously looking at maps, we were told not to look at election data because we were told it was a measure of competitiveness which is not one of our seven ranked criteria and we were instructed not to use these numbers except for VRA purposes so has that advice changed?

>> Nate Fink: Our interpretation of the Court's ruling here is that you can consider partisan data. Certainly the partisan fairness analysis you will get on the hopefully as early as Friday. And you can consider the partisan data in the meantime, election information that you're referring to right now. You know, one of your criteria that you're considering is that districts shall not provide a disproportionate advantage to any political party. A disproportionate advantage to a political party shall be determined using accepted measures of partisan fairness. I think it's appropriate to consider this data as you are going through the mapping process. You are going to get the actual partisan fairness information you can analyze and determine whether the maps are compliant with or the extent to which the partisan fairness measures measure up against the maps that you're drafting.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay, I'm just confused because those particular fields he is looking at we were using for VRA purposes before.

>> Nate Fink: I don't mean to cut you off they should not be used for VRA purposes. This is not for any sort of VRA analysis at this stage at all. It's to the extent that it can be or provide assistance for the partisan fairness measure, then I think it's appropriate to consider. But certainly not for at this stage any sort of VRA purposes. It should not be considered for VRA purposes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, that's not what I was suggesting I wanted to be okay election data is used for partisan fairness purposes and I think you have done that. Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON:

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay so can we see that, please?

Thank you, Nate, I appreciate it. What are we looking at here?

>> Nate Fink: I apologize Commissioner to the extent this is used to analyze the partisan fairness; I think it's appropriate. Again, it should not be used to determine racial makeup or anything like that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That's actually what I'm concerned about is it's being used as a proxy for race. He wants to look at El-Sayed to see racial Campausition of a District and I'm just concerned that is not appropriate.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I'm trying to make sure that trying to see how many districts that we draw support the community of interest that supports a community that we don't have any data for for where they are located. I would not consider it erasing. I would consider it 100% a community of interest thing because this is one of the largest communities of interest in the state. According to the Detroit free press recently, it was

over 300,000 Middle Eastern folks across the state. And given that there's no data on them except for what is submitted to us in the public, making sure our districts support that community I think falls under communities of interest.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You are using it as a proxy for race is what you just admitted. We have plenty of abundant data from access and other members of the community who submitted maps indicating where there were Arab American neighborhoods and communities of interest, so we do have that data. I'm just concerned this is venturing into the area of where election results are being used as a proxy for race. So I've stated my objections.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Those are your words not mine. I'm not using it as a proxy for race. I will go with your opinion.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Mr. Fink?

>> Nate Fink: You have to be careful about gearing into talking about racial considerations in the map drawing. You can't do it. I don't want to frankly shoot from the hip on this. I mean, if we've got this data, if you are saying that in your previous map drawing you were advised not to use it at all during this process, I know that there were different considerations because obviously there was a whole different discussion going on related to VRA considerations. But what I would suggest to you, Commissioner Eid, is perhaps you table this issue right now and using this data for your current turn essentially and then I can get you a more definitive.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: That's fine.

>> Nate Fink: Clear answer on that rather than shooting from the hip on.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Nate.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: That's fine. That is why I asked. I just want to make sure we are doing what we are supposed to be doing. Okay, can you exit out of this table? In my view previously we had three districts that supported the Middle Eastern community of interest. Now I think we have two. But if that is what we have to do that is what we have to do. I think the two as they are configured now are quite good. So can we look at the election data for District ten?

The partisan election data?

Okay it says it's about 50.95% democrat. 49.05% republican.

I just wanted to look at it because this District you know might be one to look at when we run the partisan fairness numbers. Given that, you know, that the two communities of interest here, one is with St. Clair Shores, and one is with Harper Woods. So, you know, now it looks rather competitive which is interesting. When we run the full score it might be something for us to look at. With that I will end my turn.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, next is Commissioner Kellom. I don't think she is with us still. So Rhonda Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay Kent I want to go back to version three, please, since that is the one I worked on from the beginning.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I've been muted the whole time. Commissioner Lange do you want to start with a duplicate copy or work on this plan?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Let's just -- there were already changes made to it today, correct?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Today's.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Version three.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Let's bring it up and we will work off it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm waiting for it to load all the way up. There we go. Let me set the layer map. I wish it would maintain its...okay, Commissioner Lange, where would you like to.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: If you could scroll to active matrix so it gets the numbers more around the area?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. Yes. Yes. That's what we are looking for.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, and then.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The only one, if I remember correctly, the only District that is, you know, outside of 2.5% plus or minus is ten. Numbers wise. So.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, I'm also going to ask Commissioner Szetela to help me for the community of interest aspect of it. So I don't break up any communities of interest. If she is willing.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep, I'm willing to help.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, so I'm thinking two options here. I'm thinking looking at ten or I'm thinking earlier ones I did the five, six to make them more of a compact and not the skinny areas. Again, I know there were a lot of areas that were built out because of trying to acquire what we were. Looking at the overall map, I'll take a suggestion. What I want to do is have one collaborative map finished today on this version if we could. So Commissioner Szetela, if you could kind of point me a little bit on your thoughts, is there any communities that still need to be put together that may have been missed?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Is it possible to turn the neighborhood map off? I just find it distracting.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, it's a lot to look at.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: And can you Zoom out a little bit?

So if you want to fix for this version of the map that, you know, the last two remnants of that stretched out brick structure and bacon-mander19 and 18 and stretched Southfield and combined it with Farmington and Farmington Hills and same with upper Farmington Hills and stretched it into Southfield and Bingham Farms. You might be able to do the

same thing I did in 16 and 17 and readjust those. And then it will eliminate the remnants of that.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Did the public comment, because we received a lot, did the public comment kind of justify that when these were stretched, did it have negative public comment about it that areas that should be together weren't together?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Absolutely Farmington and Farmington hills in particular there was a lot of public comment because they wanted to be together, and they are actually split into three separate Districts in this map. The same thing with Southfield we took parts of Southfield and broke it up and put it with Farmington and Farmington Hills instead of keeping it consistent, together.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, Kent, can we Zoom kind of bring it south a little bit or bring the map down and then Zoom in so I can get a good look so I can see all of, yeah. Okay, then this is what I'm going to do, if you bear with me. I'm going to take the.

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: I'm so sorry for the interruption. I wanted to note that Commissioner Kellom has joined the meeting.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Oh, thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I think I want to take the line -- Kent, can you Zoom in just a little bit for me, please?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Where at Bingham, Bingham Farms or Farmington Hills?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Right now I think I want to add the Farmington and the Farmington Hills and make that 19.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, so I'm just -- this area?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes all the way over is the line I was trying to see if there was A Street name or anything.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, I'm going to just 19, just come across there. And not select them. Move all that into 19?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And these are.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Then I want to get those, yeah, those little ones there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is a precinct by itself, one single precinct and looking to see. Yeah. And then.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay and then if you can kind of, let's see.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want to put -- is your intention to carry 18 more northward?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I think it would require it to go a little further north in order for numbers to equal out.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that is 18,000.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, add that in for a second.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to go all the way up just so that it's complete. That's 30,000. And right now 18 is 33,000 short.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: What do you think, Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I would put that together.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Put that together.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep, the other alternative you might have is maybe not go so high because Southfield kind of comes up. You've got the area kind of the traditional map block I think it's 160 acres so there is Farmington or Franklin I'm sorry and Bingham Farms are part of that so if you just get all of Southfield I think that is important to put Southfield together and maybe start going up higher from there.

>> Nate Fink: May I jump in?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I'm not quite sure what the area you're saying Commissioner Szetela so can you kind of point or help Kent.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: He has it right now is what I was suggesting yeah. You are grabbing the other parts of Southfield.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Let me jump in, looks like Mr. Fink wants to say something.

>> Nate Fink: Again I'm going to be a broken record here on this. But the Court has instructed the Commission to only redraw other districts to the extent reasonably necessary. These districts appear to be fairly removed from the seven districts that were from a geographic perspective from the seven Directors that were struck down. So I would just caution the Commission to heed the Court's instruction an order that the Court -- that the Commission only adjust the non- -- the compliant districts or at least the districts that were not struck down as unconstitutional where reasonably necessary.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I hear you, Mr. Fink. And with all due respect there were districts and communities of interest that were split up in order to try and get that VBAP where it's needed to go. I will take what you're saying into consideration. But just as our previous General Counsel used to tell us her advice was just that advice and we could do what we wanted. So I will take into consideration your advice. But right now on this particular map I want to see what it looks like to fix the areas that were completely affected by trying to get the BVAP into a certain percentage. So if there's communities of interest that can be put back together, I want to try and do that. But I do understand what you're saying.

>> Nate Fink: Okay thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Kent, can we go back in there, kind of bring that up, please?

Okay so we got all of Southfield. So Rebecca you're saying I should add that into 18? Or.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes, reunifying Southfield.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Kent, could you please do that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So now we are, you know, each District is plus or minus approximately 5,000 people.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay so I need approximately 5,000. I'm having a hard time seeing names of anything. Are there any that -- I hate saying the term go with one District compared to another.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So if you get up into the eastern corner, that was districts right there the precincts are really kind of funky because that is kind of the edge of Birmingham. Yeah, see, so you get a weird District.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is one precinct, yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So if you select both.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Both precincts.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That will work.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Assign that?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep, if that is good with you Rhonda?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes, I'm about the collaborativeness here right now.

And so that puts us perfect almost, right?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay I say leave it.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, I'm done. Thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, next Commissioner is Steve Lett.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay if we are working on this map, Anthony has his hands up.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I would like an overview real quick to keep things organized what is the name of this map?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 11426 under score V3.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: House District collaborative.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: How many districts have changed on it so far?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I mean, one, two, three, four, five, six, seven, eight, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19. Does that cover it?

Basically one through 19. Yes. Somebody else weigh in if I missed something. I don't know if 26 changed, a tiny amount, it may have, a few blockks may have got moved I don't know.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: What was the name of the one that was being used before Commissioner Lange's turn?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: The same map.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, it would be one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, well, okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: She asked you to open up V3 specifically so she could work on this map.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I asked if we wanted to make a duplicate and edit. Yep.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: So we got -- we have a lot of maps now. But I'm saying we have this one. We have V1. And then what was the name of the one that Commissioner Callaghan was working on that had the least amount of changes to the other districts?

I'm just trying to make sure I have the names right.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It probably makes sense to write them down Anthony.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: That's what I'm doing.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I can also -- let me open up this V4. It was V4?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we can put information in the tags or in the description. But to do that, you know, it may be, you know, a good practice that at some point you know when you get a lot of changes in a map just to save that, make a duplicate and go on to more changes. But that is totally up to the Commission. As to how they want to, you know, do that.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Can you clone that then Kent so the changes that are currently made are saved?

Why don't we just do that to save it if anybody else wants to work on it, or they can choose to go to another one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What I will do is just close this plan and whatever y'all tell me to do I'm going to do. And this again is V3. So whether you guys decide to lock a plan, and you know from here forward and copy it and edit it you know that is y'all's call. I don't know how you want to proceed with that. If somebody tells me to edit it we will edit it as I'm told.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Lett is up.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: My turn?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay the changes that were just made by Commissioner Lange she made off from a prior or now it's changed. I want to go back to the that she started with.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Therein lies a problem. That map has been changed. So we would have to make a duplicate of this and undo it.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay, works for me.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So what we can do is. We are not. This will be there is already a version four out there right?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So this is going to be five, okay?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Then we unwind what was done. Which shouldn't be a big deal. I say that. I don't remember. So auto bound just decided to shut down. That is why it's not being seen at the moment. So.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: It doesn't like me.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think it's just so much you can only do so many edits before it wants to take a little nap, which I understand. Okay so here is 18 and 19. And what I'm curious about is the plan history right here. There is none, okay.

Wondering if it brought in the old stuff. To the best of my ability I'm going to try to unwind it and you know and somebody correct me when I make mistakes, all right?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay.

Start with 19. We can do overlays and see how it does.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will assign it and chase it after that. So 19, they need to switch a significant number. Anybody want to weigh in on what it was that -- no?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I don't think anybody made changes to 18 or 19 previously, had they?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let's do this.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Kent, I think the very far left, go over with your cursor to the left more, that long one, underneath it I think was part of 19.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This right here?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: No, to the left, to my left, well as I'm looking at it to the left. Nope. A little -- up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: You see where Farmington Hills is. Go over to the very edge. So, yes, that I believe was part of it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, I believe that's right, yes, it rings a bell because it was an odd little shaped thing. So we will put that -- and I can do that overlay. But if that hits the number that's probably what it is.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid, you had something?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I was just going to point out that it's essentially a Hickory map for these two so if you have an overlay of the Hickory map you can get an exact.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: But I do have it. So I have it somewhere, somewhere, somewhere. Oh, there is the little piece that is supposed to be in 19. That should do it, I guess. So was there...

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: That's where we started?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Just about.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep, there you do.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Okay, that's my drawing. And the reason that I'm doing that is twofold. Number one, we are not to be talking about the race and the changes

that Commissioner Lange made she specifically said was to address a BVAP in other words a Black voting age population to make some adjustment for that. So now we've introduced race back into this map that she drew. And, two.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Point of clarification.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I'm not done. You can talk all you want afterwards. I'm not done. Number two, these two districts aren't even close to what we need to do. We have to change one, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12 and 14. The closest one looks to be eight, which is a couple of Directors districts removed. These are not reasonable districts to change. There is no way that these districts need to be changed. Therefore I'm changing them back and that's my map. I'm done.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yep, actually I didn't say that the changes I made were for racial changes. I said that there were multiple districts that were affected by what we did to get to the BVAP, so it had -- the changes I did in 18 and 19 had nothing to do with race. It had to do with getting communities of interest back together that were split. So I just want that clarification that they were split because of what the Commission had done previously. That's it.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, Mr. Fink?

>> Nate Fink: Thank you, I was just going to say there shouldn't be any discussion of the BVAPs or racial considerations here. The Court was very clear about that. And, you know, to the extent that there is a discussion about, again, just my advice, prior issues and other districts being affected by issues in the -- what the Court has deemed to be unconstitutional Districts, when you're addressing and redrawing this map, you can't take into consideration race. I'm going to be saying that a lot. I've said it already a number of times and so discussions about BVAPs in any context should not be factored into the mapping process. That's my advice to you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay thank you. So the next Commissioner is Marcus Muldoon. Is there a map you would like to work on?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I will go back to the map where everything was locked out except for what had to be changed.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think that is version four. Am I right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: My apologies where are we at?
What do we want to do?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I think it was four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Version four.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I guess we will start down by over by three and try and put four back together.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is all unassigned.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me get the layers so I can recognize them again. Go to where.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Northwest corner to put four back together.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I see what you are saying, this whole up here.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Grand River and Plymouth and all that back.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Sure. This is part of St. Mary's too that was missed.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I need to go to the block layer and do that because the adjoining part of that BDD is locked. And there may be some other like this, stuff, that is similar.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I think all that was part of four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to get the neighborhoods if that is cool with you.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I guess keep assigning this area.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: McFarland was part of four in the Midwest. I don't remember how far east it went.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We will get to a certain point where you start figuring out exactly what it has to be. It was right there this is the Midwest and 0 populations and stuff so except for this one right here. Remember Zooming in right here?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah, it was all 0.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Most of the dwellings appear to be This Street.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Still got to do this. I don't know how to -- how did I mess that up. So four is about halfway there so I think four had.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Four had come down into Dearborn.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three and 16 are locked. So it came down in here quite a ways. I tried to get the total.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Midwest or clay town whichever is closer to population.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I can't remember. Do you know what?

This is a split oh, that is right this is six, so we are not messing with six. That is why it's different. So this is the plan where you don't want to edit any.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You got to do what you got to do. So do you want to do all of midtown or?

Well, actually you can't do either. You may be able to do all Midwest. I don't think you can do all of them I mean that is 15,000.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: 5,000, 6,000 extra in there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we could do Midwest.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to assign it and back up. I'm going to make four a different color so I can see it next to eight because there is some discrepancy. So

change. Like right there was in eight with a neighborhood line and I'm assuming you want to put those back that would be eight in the select box. These go into four. These get unassigned.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Make it pretty close.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Looks like it. That is pretty close. Four is now less with .61% under ideal or absolute perfection.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid, do you have a comment?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yeah, I just wonder if you would consider maybe aiding like the Schoolcraft area to this District Four. It's currently an eight. But I do remember there was some talk about like the Schoolcraft Davidson area being similar to the McFarland area just south of it.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: That area isn't it locked out?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: No it's in eight and you would have to change eight which I believe Donna work on earlier. So it's just a suggestion. I mean it really could go either way because I do like how right now you are below Grand River. But I just remember there was talk of that previously. I don't know if Commissioner Kellom or Commissioner Curry want to chime in on that if my recollection is correct or not.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's approximately 9,000. So you would have to back out 9,000.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Well if somebody else wants to change it that is fine and make the whole District so we can keep trying to make that just fixing the problem.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Since we are in the middle of working on this, we could come back to this. But I think our interpreters need a little break. So.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I'm done.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Let's take a break and take one more look at it and move on so let's come back at 4:35. 15-minute break.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Okay.

[Recess until 4:35 p.m.]

>> CHAIR ORTON: Do we have our interpreters back?

>> MS. SARAH REINHARDT: I believe we do.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Great. Okay and Commissioner Muldoon is here so Kent, do you want to share and we can continue?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, I will. Sure. Okay.

And here we are. And neighborhood layer. Everybody is looking at my map, who is up?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I'm all good.

>> CHAIR ORTON: You're good with that?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Before we move on Commissioner Kellom, we had just passed your turn when you joined so I'm wondering if you would like a chance to work on this map. I can explain. This is the map, this particular one, is the one that everything was frozen except for the districts that we need to change plus nine and 13 that are kind of there in the middle and then number four which Commissioner Muldoon just put back as much as possible. Do you want to take a stab at it?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: This is larger on my TV.

>> CHAIR ORTON: There is other maps as well that you can choose from.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yeah, I tried to loosely follow. But to be perfectly transparent I don't know exactly what has been done. It just sounds like we have a lot of different versions for a lot of different reasons. So.

>> CHAIR ORTON: And you can start your own if you would like.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: This is where we started to draw and heard what Commissioner Lett said and it made sense to me. What is the map where it seemed as though we as a Commission were drawing the most collaborative?

Is it this one?

This version?

Like folks were generally okay with?

Or is it another version?

>> CHAIR ORTON: This one we just started basically back again. And we worked on something like this yesterday, but it didn't get saved somehow.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay.

>> CHAIR ORTON: And then the one that Commissioner Lett was working on is a different one than this. Commissioner Szetela, you want to say something?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah so version one is the one that kind of everybody already had filled in the Detroit area on. And then this version is basically clearing the Detroit area back out. So if you're looking for where you were working on District eight yesterday, that's version one. If that is helpful.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Just for clarification, Commissioner Kellom, I started this map to kind of address the legal advice we were getting from Mr. Fink, that in his opinion we should not be touching or changing districts that were not directed to be changed by the Court unless we absolutely have to to get the other districts to work out. And so we haven't really done one that fits that criteria yet. So I thought it might be to start one and see what it would look like if we can work through it so that is what this one is supposed to represent.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay.

I'm at a loss because I think I referenced this yesterday, I understand our attorney's advice and I respect you, Mr. Nate Fink, as counsel. I also think that there is some room and reason to change slightly some of those areas because they give us more to

work with in the Detroit area in terms of communities of interest. I know he has his hand raised again.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, Mr. Fink.

>> Nate Fink: I apologize Commissioner Kellom. I just want to clarify one thing. And, you know Commissioner Callaghan said my advice was not to touch the districts I think it was unless it's absolutely necessary or something like that. I apologize to Commissioner Callaghan if I was misquoting you, but the Court's instruction is you shouldn't touch the other districts unless it's reasonably necessary. I can't give you a clear definition of what that means. But you're permitted to touch the other districts and to modify it, so I want to say that, and you heard it a lot but were not here earlier today and wanted to mention that again so thank you.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Kellom, this is the one that Commissioner Callaghan started and requested that we just leave those other districts locked just to see what we could do with this we can do that or start a new one.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I don't know if I want to start a new one today but that is where I'm leaning towards because I think we need a perfect mix. I don't think we need to chunk out districts and it's me chunking out and sharing what I think maybe needs to happen here. It's not that we are, you know, chopping through districts, but for instance five and six still look problematic to me. With what we have currently in eight, that to me is a sweeping District that is not respecting communities of interest. And when I say communities of interest because I know we say that term a lot I literally mean some of the neighborhoods should be cut in half like more of the southern part of eight should be somewhere else. And then I think some of the other maps were made of observing my friends as a team and doesn't make for a good collaborative map as well. It's a bit of a mess honestly. I think I'm going to use today in essence of time this map for just brainstorming because personally I think at some point like I said I want to start with a fresh map and carve out my own suggestions for Detroit, praying that, you know, you all will take them like some of the things I overheard today as I was in my other meetings. So I think -- so I think I want to play around with eight meaning take some of the population out and restructure eight. And then since this is locked and an experimental map we will at least have one map where it feels safest. I still don't think this would be the best map for us to submit for the public or for the courts. So that's my Ted talk on that.

So I would take out lots of things. I would take out Virginia Park, LaSalle Gardens, Wildemere Park, Petosky, Otsego, the park and Jamison, and the whole....

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want to put those in a District number?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: However it makes sense because there is an empty space there so they can be completely.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And we have lots of open District numbers.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: So you can be placed in a District number. Sorry.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Nine doesn't even exist right now.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Uh-huh.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that is the example. And in previous plans and in the Court plan that is Hamtramck there and nine was in this area. Whatever you want to do, just tell me what you want to do.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: So remove gateway, Arden Park you can put them in another District for right now but I'm saying take it out of eight. These neighborhoods, Kent.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to name it nine.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We are just doing the pre. So we will just call that nine for now. And Arden park.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Boston Edison, Dexter, Lynn wood.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Hold on one second, Kent.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, at some point to do the whole neighborhood I need to go to blockks. And just kind of, you know, get the whole neighborhoods in there.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I wonder for nine can you include Dexter, he is not talking to you, Dexter, Fenkell, Oakman. Littlefield, Grand River, northwest, Davidson, Schoolcraft. And then come back east for nine and get Piety hill. Virginia park. That little piece, yep. You can get and include new sensor commons, new center. Let me slow down. Boston Edison, is that piece, is it split?

It looks like it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, it is.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yep. Please pick up Boston Edison.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And tech town there is a little bit of splits down here and we got a little bit right there. Well, in some of these cases the neighborhood boundary splits the census blockk so that census blockk the neighborhood has to be split because of census blockk came out in a split. But anyway so eight it still needs you're not quite halfway to eight or halfway to nine either. They both need 40 to 45,000.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay, you could move in. I really don't want to do that. Can you Zoom out for a second, please?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. Yes. You are looking at the numbers and I don't have to tell you.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: You can tell me the numbers because I'm not simultaneously looking at the numbers.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight needs 40,000 and nine needs 45,000 so collectively they each are half a District approximately.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't know.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I might have made a poor choice with this map, but we will see. It's simply because eight really needs Oak Grove direction and Bagley and more of Fitzgerald and Mary Grove but there is no possible way six should look like that. Then we have Hamtramck dangling.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, you know, I'm just saying, for example, 14 and seven are still editable. You know, you can still make edits and you can still make edits to four. And you can push that away. Rather than eight going west, you know, eight could go east. I don't know. South.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: That's not impossible because eight could include North Campau, Davidson, Krainz Woods, Conant Gardens, Farwell, Pershing and Butler.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do something with 14.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Where is 14 up there?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is up like Sherwood and nor wood and Conic creek are unassigned.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: That may be too far east. I'm not sure. For now what numbers do we have for 14?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 14 is, you know, a perfect District .19%.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: What is happening north of 14?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So 14.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: 14.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Total number of 14 is, you know, ideal.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay.

I don't know if I want to play with that just now. Okay go back south. For eight, include --

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid and Commissioner Callaghan have their hands up, Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I just have a question and a comment. I'll start with a comment. I think you brainstorming like this is really good so we can see what is possible. It's not set in stone yet. But so I think it's really good that, you know, taking a stab at it. But my question is: What do you think about Schoolcraft being with four instead of nine and then you can do whatever you want, go on to 14. Do you think you want to go in 14, go into 14, there is more room to fix it later. I brought this on the last turn. I think I recall people saying that they considered Schoolcraft to be along with McFarland even though it crosses Grand River. I just wanted your opinion on that.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I don't remember specifically. Is this something someone submitted during public comment?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I don't know honestly. I think it's something we talked about and debated at one point. But I was wondering if you knew. If you don't.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I mean I know that area. I just don't know, I don't personally know, and I have not heard people's connection, I know the Davidson school.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: It was not talked about.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Hi Juanita?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Hi.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay, I will have to Zoom in and really look and start looking at some streets because that's when -- that's a deep list, which that is fine. 96 is over there. Yeah. I know where that area is. I just Callaghan hi Commissioner Callaghan.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Section 12 there are blockks to the right of what Section is there. They are just placeholders and not in a District so if you try to look at eight going to the east those little blockks of 14 were not put in 14 but we can move those elsewhere and the green box from 12 are just place holders. It's not anybody's work.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Thank you. Eye -- ideally as being an exercise, sure, because I don't have much control of the left side or what the west side looks like. But, okay, so I will just kind of leave the mess for someone else. But for eight, take Butler, Pershing, Conant Gardens, Krainz Woods and Farwell.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: And then.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The precinct is a lot bigger. We will clean it up as I go. But put all that into eight and cut it back. So eight has a mile to go do we want to put Farwell in there?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Remainder of Krainz Woods.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That took part of Davidson, but we can strip that out as we go. You still need 24,000.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Kent, you're the bearer of bad news.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: No, no, I'm just a reader of lines.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes, and numbers and all that good stuff. Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So do we want to continue with this?

Well, Davidson, I don't know.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I can pull Davidson and north Campau, North Campau is a risk but I'm going to stop there because I think Hamtramck should go somewhere else. But, yes, you can include into eight Davidson and north Campau. Commissioner Callaghan, did you want to talk to me?

Or talk to the group?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No, I'm good.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay, your hand.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I will put my hand down, sorry.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: It's okay.

Don't apologize..

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Just going to get the rest of the neighborhoods at the block level.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: And the piece the population and looking at the little strip in north Campau.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right there. We also have this little piece here in grant.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay, you can pull that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All of grant, or some of grant?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: How much in grant?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You need 22000 people.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Too far east.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And grant.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Pull grant and Sherwood.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Probably should keep in mind 14 needs a bunch of people but that is.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: That is what I said, I'm being guilty and leaving it for someone else to fix. So.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And Sherwood.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: This is east-west. It's okay.

It's not okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You got to get 91,000 people in there.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Uh-huh.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I would think it would be hard to have 91,000 people. But are in the same neighborhood basically. So eight still needs 18,000.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I'm going to bounce around again. Can you go to nine?

I want to pull some from four into nine the area that Commissioner Eid was talking about.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You can put or take people out of nine and put it in four? Or take people out of four and put it in nine.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Four into nine so Barton McFarland and that other half, yes, exactly.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

I'm going to continue some blockks.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: That's fine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Because it seems to be...now that is unpopulated. We have been over that a couple of times. But that neighborhood line doesn't follow the census line so.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we are looking at this. Four needs 10,000. Eight needs 18,000. Nine needs 35,000. Excuse me.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Can I pull into nine north and Russell industrial. I don't want to do that. Never mind. I don't want to do that. Can you go east so I can see what's over there?

And go scroll up a little bit or go up towards eight?

Okay, yeah, that's good. For nine can you take in north inn and Russell industrial?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: And then.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me unassign that right there.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I grabbed a little piece of Hamtramck and of course I did not intend to do that.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: For eight can you grab Hamtramck, Bangla Town and Buffalo Charles -- Hamtramck.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to assign that and take out what should not be in there.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: That's fine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Didn't mean to get that. So I have to do blockks to get all of Buffalo Charles. Kind of swings out there wide. Do you want that? That is zero people, so it really doesn't matter.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Take gateway and Arden Park and put them in nine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Where are we at?

Put those in nine. I see, yep.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Can you Zoom out after you are done cleaning up that spot, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I did that wrong. I got one little blockk out there sitting there, waiting to be in the wrong place.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay the eastern part of eight, can we remove that? So Sherwood, grant, I'm just looking at the numbers now. I'm going backwards. We can take out Farwell, well let's start with Sherwood and grant in eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight, yes.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

Okay I hit the wrong key. That will do that.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Be nice, be kind to yourself.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So eight still needs to reduce.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: By a lot.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is that right?

I guess Hamtramck has a lot of people in it.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: It does. Take off Farwell and see what happens. I don't know how many people are there.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Brittini, some need to go back into seven that we took out. That's above eight. Just as a reminder.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I don't want to put it back in seven. Those neighborhoods should not -- I mean it's not the worst thing in the world but thinking of where we are now with what we're tasked to do, I think I don't think that is a good choice. So if someone else ends up putting, I'm not the resident expert of Detroit. But those communities, you know, Ferndale is right there. On the other side you have Livernois, eight mile, that whole area. They are still very different communities. They share some commonalities but, yeah, I mean I love Ferndale. But it's a different community than green acres and state fair area and all that. Now it is like a stair step but, you know.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is the neighborhood.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I know. This is me just talking out loud.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Oh, no. I'm commenting out loud, it is what it is.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: We could put shell not and chunk of Mary Grove into nine. But or take out Butler and Pershing, but I don't know if that would make a big difference. Well, it's only.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Commissioner earlier that well it's somewhat low.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Maybe that will inspire some minor changes to those of western pieces. Okay, try putting Chalfonte and that piece of Mary Grove into nine and see what that does with the population. And then I will be more than happy to turn my -- give my turn to someone else.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Crossed over the line a bit right there. I will go back and get that.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Thank you. I appreciate you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That little piece needs to go back to nine right there. So eight is now at 1.6% hi. And you know the southern tip is the southern part of Hamtramck. Highland Park, the north side is eight mile.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Uh-huh. Okay, I'm satisfied.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Commissioner Eid, do you have a comment?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I have a question. I'm wondering what you think, Brittini, about like the Palmer Park area?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: It's a lovely area.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Yes, you know, I drove through it a couple weeks ago actually. It was lovely. I do recall, you know, we got comments about that like LBGT of interest. And it's certainly in Ferndale. You just have to drive through Ferndale to see that. I believe it also included Palmer Park. So I'm wondering if I'm just wondering your opinion on that.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: So I mean historically, yes, Palmer Park has a population of trans, other, you know, I already named the T so LBGT Q population. But there are other folks and communities that live within Palmer Park. So using that one piece of the COI to try and connect it to Ferndale, that might be a stretch. I think my recollection of folks from the LBGTQIA+ community when they came to speak, they were saying they have ties to Ferndale because Ferndale has a population and affirmations that is right there on nine mile and Woodward so that is a safe space for people in Palmer Park like transitional housing in Palmer Park. Ruth Ellis center that is a space for that population. Do you understand what I mean?

So it's like that's what you would be merging those communities for in my mind. When you also have Palmer Park tennis courts residential communities, there are other things in Palmer Park. Does that make sense what I'm saying to you, Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: It makes complete sense and I appreciate your opinion. I think it's a valuable one.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: So I don't know. I wouldn't want to disrespect. If there is something I don't know, you know, I'm a cisgender heterosexual female. So if there is something I don't know about the community and why they would need to be with Ferndale, which also would mean that we would bring other parts of Detroit in those communities into Ferndale. Yeah. I don't know that information. I just know that the Sherwood Forest University District, that chunk right there on seven mile, the seven mile Livernois Woodward area that is a strong, the 48221 community is a very, very strong community. And once you start stripping that.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Let me say something.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Go ahead, Commissioner Curry.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Palmer Park was at one time a very diversified neighborhood for that LBGT neighborhood people. And now in the past year and a half, two years, it has significantly changed. I had a building right there in the heart of that, right on the next street up palmer Woods where they had a lot of that there. But it's changed. And you got a significant number of people, normal, regular, everyday people that's in those apartment buildings. And all up and down that area. And people that are professionals and everything. So that LBGT, whatever that is, that has kind of moved on a little. A lot. And it's not quite as bad or as good as it was in the past three or four years. Just wanted to add that.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM:

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay, Brittini?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.

>> CHAIR ORTON: You said you're good with this?

Or are you still thinking?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: No. I'm not still thinking. I mean, I know that eight could come down more. But, yeah, I mean, the only other thing we could do is to take out Krainz Woods or to put garden homes in seven the way that I see it. There's not really much wiggle room. We all know that we are not to split Hamtramck at all. So that's not an option. I thought about putting Hamtramck, making another District for that. But then that doesn't really make much sense when I needed to fix eight. So, yeah, we could eliminate one more neighborhood, which would be, yeah, like I said Krainz Woods or Davidson, Davidson might take too many people, I'm not sure. That is about it. I don't know what Buffalo Charles has.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I'm sure this is not our last stab at this area. So.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yeah, that is why I'm out.

>> CHAIR ORTON: So we have a request from the map drawer to wrap it up soon.

So Director Woods, do you think we move on to your Executive Director report and wrap up early or do we need to keep going?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Sure, why not.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you so much.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you, Kent.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: As we wrap things up. This plan here since we are in the middle of working on it did we want to post that to the website tonight?

I know we are going to post the others because we moved from them. I feel like that's the direction. I guess the direction I'm asking is: Do we post all the plans including this one?

Or do we?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I personally think that would be helpful because then we can hear if there is any comments on them, we know which direction they are going.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Including the one we are looking at?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I think so. Anyone else?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yes, I think we should have all the plans, thanks.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I agree.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will do that, and I will be listening and not watching.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: You can be excused we are just talking logistics.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You all have a good evening and see you in the morning.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Okay.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Commissioner Orton, are you ready for me?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes, please, Director Woods.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Is everyone able to see my slides?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I think Commissioner Curry's hand is up. Okay. For Monday from 10-3 and from 6 to 8:30 we will be at the Huntington Place in ballroom A. That's the old TCF Center. And the formerly known or better known as Cobo Hall. So once again we want to give time for people to get there. We start at 10:00. Three of the times are the same as they were shared last week. The only thing different is the actual location. Ballroom A was the same spot where we had the meetings last year.

On Tuesday, starting at 9:00 to 3:00 and then going again from 6:00 to 8:30 from ballroom A, I wanted to see if you guys feel comfortable with that time, or do you think we should move that to 10:00 knowing you had a long day before? So this is the time we shared last week but now that we have this opportunity what do you think is best for the Commission?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Move it to 10:00.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Is there a consensus for that?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Take a poll.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I see a thumbs up, that is fine so we will move that to 10:00. And I will share that with Michigan Department of State for the posting. So 10:00 to 3:00. 6:00 to 8:30 on Monday and Tuesday. Once again Monday and Tuesday and hopefully that will make it easier for us to get downtown.

Just a second, I'm going. Are you able to see the next day move?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yes, we, Thursday.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Okay.

I got three screens going on right now. All right, Wednesday, Thursday, we are going to be in person for the whole week, but this will be at the Cadillac Place. 10:00 to 5:30 and 9:00 to 5:30.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: So Thursday, January 25th, at the Cadillac Place you're going to start at 9:00?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Correct.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You know I won't be there at 9:00. I'm just letting you know that right now.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: And then on Friday, depending how much we get done we would do the same. If we get done early then the Commission can make some adjustments. We've already discussed the remote plan for the following week. I just want to talk to you a little bit more for budgeting purposes. If you remember about the budget, just kind of want to know where everybody is at, professional contractor is Kristin Taylor. That is the budget for her that we had to add. Audio visual at Cadillac place for three days. That's 11,598.71. I have that confirmed. And then we have the mapping portal is 50,000. And this is to get it back on Amazon server. When the Commission stopped mapping it went to a slower server. Now that we are back up mapping we need to get to a faster server. And so it's available from Amazon. The

second thing is we obviously had to get the racial data moved from the public and others for the plan so all the racial data per the Court order had to be scrubbed from the data. In addition we had to reload the systems of previous plans. And then the support time for EDS people consultants during the map drafting process. So this is the reason for the 50,000 for their mapping portal. And I received this information from Kim Ball Brace from EDS. The lodging is an approximate, but this is for our lodging. Not just for us but also our consultants, just for next week alone I'm going to repeat next week alone is 8200. And so our travel budget has significantly gone up. Just wanted to make sure everyone is aware of that from what we proposed. And then obviously the mileage, parking at Cadillac place and the per diem to be determined. Commissioner Curry your hand was up.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: On the travel and lodging rather it pertains to who?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Hotel costs people who are staying in Detroit next week.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Am I in that group?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: No.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Thank you.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Would you like to be in that group?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No, that's fine. I will stay at home.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Huntington place these are the costs we have confirmed. Ballroom A will be 4,000. The Internet will be 1500. We -- this is both days and security will be about 2400. We will have to people in the room and one in the registration area. We are still waiting for pricing from audio visual. That will come back. Parking is at 22 and we are waiting to see if that is the best group rate. That is an increase from 7 over by 7 from two years ago. To approximately two years ago we paid 15 for the group rate so we are just trying to see if that is the best price we can get. Food will be based on consumption.

At the last meeting the Commission meeting last week we talked about pending litigation, the request for additional funding from the Michigan legislature as well as the Court order from a special master. And that the Commission's budget did not consider in person meetings and increased costs for pending litigation. The folks at Baker Hostetler will be with regards to the cost. And so these are budgeting because these are potential costs that I'm aware of. And that we can share for Grofman looking at 160,000. He is one of the special masters. We have two. So that's why we are looking at 320. And then as you know at the last meeting Commissioner Eid had legal counsel represent him during the public comments as relates to his notice to vacate proceedings. There is a clause in the rules and procedures that if he wins that particular thing, I should say and does not remove, that there is a process for payment to his attorney. And so that is a potential unknown that I want the Commission to be aware of.

If there is any questions, I can take them at this time with regards to the budgeting.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Any questions?

Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER EID: More so in regards to the meeting, not the budgeting. You know, the weather is going to be pretty chilly next week. It's not going to be the best. It's going to be better than this week thank the Lord. But can we make sure to reach out to the venues and make sure there is some accessibility there for people that might have a hard time union the sidewalks and stuff like that?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Sure. Okay then last but not least working with the Chair we are required to have a public hearing once we approve the maps and submit them to the Court. On February 2nd. And so we want to recommend that public hearing on Thursday afternoon and evening in Detroit on the 15th of February. And obviously for those who are unable to make it we will have a remote option available just like we are for our meetings next week. I saw Commissioner Eid's hand up Commissioner Orton but it went down so I think.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Go ahead.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: No, it's up. When is -- just remind me when is the final adoption date?

Do we have one?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS:

>> CHAIR ORTON: We have to have our, that one public hearing before February 23rd I know.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Right and we have to have the drafts into the Court by February 2nd.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Correct.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: I assume we will vote on you know the final vote is going to be after the public hearing.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Correct. I don't have it in front of me, Commissioner Eid. So let me get it for you and share it with everyone.

>> COMMISSIONER EID: Okay, thanks.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you, Mr. Fink.

>> Nate Fink: I just brought up the scheduling order and can share the dates. We have February 2nd is of course the date, some of this will be repetitive and I apologize, and I will try to talk fast through that stuff. The House maps are due to the Court and to be published on the Commission's website on no later than February 2nd. And the Commission is to hold a public hearing and open its public comment period, to conclude no later than February 23, 2024. And that does include a public hearing in Detroit to solicit community input. And then the Commission shall adopt and submit to the Court a final remedial House plan no later than March 1st, 2024. And then there's some other dates related to briefing and objections that can be filed in the Court. And I can or I can send around the scheduling order again so that I don't bore everybody with all the

specific details on the record here. But that's the date, March 1st, in answer to your question.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Commissioner Orton, I'm just making sure with regards to the date that I can go ahead and move forward.

>> CHAIR ORTON: On February 15th.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yes.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Anyone have an issue with the public hearing in Detroit.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: We are starting in the afternoon because Commissioner Orton each and every one of you may, may, have a special Valentine and we don't want to ruin your evening.

>> CHAIR ORTON: I don't see any objections to that date.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you very much. That concludes my report.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Thank you, Mr. Woods. Are there any announcements from any Commissioners?

Not seeing any. We've completed the items on the agenda. And we have no further business so a motion to adjourn is in order.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So moved.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Second.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Moved by Commissioner Lett and seconded by Commissioner Weiss all in favor of adjourning raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR ORTON: Any opposed raise your hand and say nay. The ayes have it and we are adjourned at 5:35 p.m.