

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

MICRC

20240424-1600 Meeting

Captioned by Q&A Reporting, Inc., [www.qacaptions.com](http://www.qacaptions.com)

>> CHAIR EID: Good afternoon Commissioners. As Chair of the Commission, I call the meeting of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission to order at 4:02 p.m.

This Zoom webinar is being live streamed on YouTube on The Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission YouTube channel.

For anyone in the public watching who would prefer to watch via a different platform than they are currently using, please visit our social media at Redistricting MI.

Our live stream today includes closed captioning. Closed captioning, ASL interpretation, and Spanish and Arabic and Bengali translation services will be provided for effective participation in this meeting. Please E-mail us at [Redistricting@michigan.gov](mailto:Redistricting@michigan.gov) For additional viewing options or details for accessing language translation services for this meeting.

People with disabilities or needing other specific accommodations should also contact Redistricting at Michigan.gov. I'm sorry that is [redistricting@Michigan.gov](mailto:redistricting@Michigan.gov).

This meeting is also being recorded and will be available at [www.Michigan.gov/MICRC](http://www.Michigan.gov/MICRC) for viewing at a later date.

This meeting also is being transcribed and those closed-captioned transcriptions will be made available and posted on the [Michigan.gov/MICRC](http://Michigan.gov/MICRC) website along with written public comment submissions. There is also a public comment portal that may be Accessed.

Members of the media who may have questions before, during or after the meeting should direct those questions to Edward Woods III, Executive Director Of the Commission at [WoodsE3@Michigan.gov](mailto:WoodsE3@Michigan.gov). I will ask the secretary to take the roll, please to note the Commissioners present.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Good afternoon Commissioners. I will go ahead and take roll and please say present when I call your name. If you are attending the meeting remotely please announce during roll call you are attending the meeting remotely and unless absence is due to military duty state the county, City, Township or the village and state which you are attending the meeting remotely.

Elaine Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Present from Imlay Township, Michigan.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Donna Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Present, attending remotely from Mexico.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Juanita Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Present attending remotely from Detroit, Michigan.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Anthony Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Present, attending remotely from Detroit Michigan.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Brittini Kellom?

Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Present attending remotely from Osceola County, Michigan.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Steven Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Present; attending from Lee county, Florida.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Marcus Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Present from Carrollton, Michigan.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Cynthia Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Present attending remotely from Battle Creek, Michigan.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Rebecca Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Present; attending remotely from Wayne County, Michigan.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Janice Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Present; attending from Highland Township, Michigan.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Erin Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Present; attending remotely from Eaton County, Michigan.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Richard Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Present; attending remotely from Saginaw Township, Saginaw Michigan.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: I'm going back to Britt Kellom with 12 Commissioners present you do have a quorum.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Department of State.

We will move on to adoption of the agenda.

As a reminder to the public watching you can view agenda at [www.Michigan.gov/MICRC](http://www.Michigan.gov/MICRC). I would now entertain a motion to approve the meeting agenda.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So moved.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I second.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion and a second to approve the agenda. Is there any discussion? Executive Director Woods?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yes, if a Commission would not mind amending that motion, we need to remove the minutes as well as the appeal to the United States Supreme Court.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: May I ask why on the Supreme Court again?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Sure, negotiations are still taking place and they are incomplete.

>> CHAIR EID: Are there any motions regarding this matter? Commissioner Lett? You were muted, sir.

Still muted.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I will motion we remove those two items.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, so, all right, there is a motion to amend the agenda to remove items 6A and which is appeal of the U.S. Supreme Court and 7A minutes for April 28th from the agenda that has been made by Commissioner Orton and seconded by Commissioner Muldoon. Is there any discussion on that amendment? Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I'm curious on the Supreme Court again. Are we being charged for anything with every delay that is going on? I mean, I'm kind of curious what we are trying to move to. I haven't had an update, so that's why I ask.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Like I guess I would I think I can answer the question if I know what really the question is. What is it you're looking for to be charged for? Does that include something you're specifically concerned with?

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I guess I'm asking because I don't really know the direction that this Commission is thinking they are going to go because I haven't had an update. So if there is a negotiation being made for a certain thing, are we still paying our attorneys to negotiate and these costs? I mean, we've heard it from Mr. Scannell repeatedly about the costs we are incurring prolonging this. So I guess I'm just trying to get my head around figures. What are we looking at? How much longer is this going to be prolonged? Do we have big dollars going out for these negotiations? I mean, what is the status?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Well, we are -- Mr. Chairman, presently the status is that the attorneys are negotiating as has been said previously in closed session with the Plaintiffs regarding attorney fees, expenses, expert witness fees, et cetera. So they are working on our behalf in order to obtain a settlement that would then as far as litigation goes stop or minimize further attorney fees and expenses as far as the litigation goes. Now, to answer the question are we paying something for this? Absolutely. We are not getting free attorney services. So our attorneys are billing for the work they are doing on this. And the Plaintiff's attorneys are billing for the work they are doing and adding it to that bill. And so that's where it stands. I guess that is what is happening. If there is something else that you would like to know along those lines I would be happy to try to answer them if I can.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange, do you have a follow-up question?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Just one last question. Are they close? I mean, you obviously had the update. So can you tell me, are they close?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I can't tell you that.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, is there any more discussion on the amendment to the agenda? I do not see any more hands and we will move on to vote. All those in favor of adopting the amendment to the agenda to remove items 6A and 7A please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Any opposed?

>> Nay.

>> CHAIR EID: The ayes have it. We will now move back to the adoption of the agenda as amended. That was made by Commissioner Lett and seconded by Commissioner Weiss. Is there any more discussion on the agenda? Seeing none we will move to vote. All those in favor of adopting the agenda as amended please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Any opposed?

>> Nay.

>> CHAIR EID: The ayes once again have it.

We will move on to the public portion of today's meeting. Commissioner Lett, I see your hand is up.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I don't know why it's going up. I'm taking it down. I have taken it down three times now.

>> CHAIR EID: It's all right, Commissioner Wagner I see your hand as well.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Point of order I believe. Can we address Mr. Gallant's and Mr. Scannell's time, please? We keep cutting them off every single time they talk. Attention.

>> CHAIR EID: Is that all, Commissioner Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Yeah, I'm just hoping that the same two people that consistently show up for public comment get the same treatment as everybody else. So.

>> CHAIR EID: They can for sure finish their thought. We got to also keep it to the 90 seconds. And I'm about to go over that.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Correct, excuse me, however, we have consistently every single time someone is talking have allowed them to finish their sentence. We have. However.

>> CHAIR EID: Allow them to finish their thought.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: That would be awesome going forward from now we can let them finish their thought and then address possibly giving them some time, some future thing to address the Commission, please.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, without objection we will begin the Public comment pertaining to the agenda topics portion of our meeting. Is there an objection?

Individuals who have signed up and indicated they would like to provide live remote live public commentary to the Commission will now be allowed. I will call your name and our staff will unmute you.

If you are on a computer you will be prompted by the Zoom app to unmute your microphone and speak. If you are on the phone a voice will say the host wants you to speak and prompt you to press star six to unmute. I will call you by your name. And please note if you are experiencing technical or audio issues or do not hear from you for three to five seconds we will move to the next person in line and return to you after you are done speaking. If your audio still does not work e-mail [redistricting@Michigan.gov](mailto:redistricting@Michigan.gov) and we can help you trouble shoot to participate during the next public comment session. You will have 90 seconds to address the Commission and please conclude your remarks when those 90 seconds are done. Please allow a moment for our staff to unmute you. We have three public comment speakers today. The first being Mr. James Galant.

>> Can you hear me, Mr. Chair?

>> CHAIR EID: Good evening Mr. Gallant.

>> Thank you very much. This is James Galant, Marquette Suicide Prevention Coalition. These are my opinions and thank you very much for the consideration. And I would like to say that the time Robert's Rules of Order says your business items you only of the members yourself you get to speak twice ten minutes each time unless you go to committee of a whole. I believe what you are doing right now is suspending the rules and going into a complete committee of the whole in Robert's Rules you already proved it and extent more informal consideration and then you come back with a right accommodation to the full Court, and they work on your recommendation. Just like you are doing now except you are violating the rules no discussion before a debate or debate before you can have a motion second and are just jumping right into it. I would ask you please Mr. Chair to please schedule something with a special meeting for a public hearing and go over the rules because they are fatal breaches to the Commission. You know, I'm going to the Supreme Court if we can't work this out, I'm sorry. Just to get case law. Get it figured out. And so please do that. And how about you give me ten minutes privileged comment on a couple meetings like Commissioner Wagner asked for and we can keep the discussion going on if they want. Do this for me, I have been waiting 20 years for this day to debate this issue with you Mr. Eid. This is serious. I believe you are the guy that can save democracy in America because this is Marxist style Communism you are doing and you are -- this is the current practice of

what we have been doing, that you started. No, you didn't you started Robert's Rules and had acting Chair Commissioner Lett, and they are supposed to be in Robert rules go to beginning of the book and says acting Chair until the Bylaws committee comes back with rules of procedure but did not do rules of procedure for four months.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Mr. Gallant we hear you and you know we continue to try to improve on our use of Robert's Rules of Order.

Next up is speaker number two, Ms. Jamie Roe.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: That participant is not present.

>> CHAIR EID: Then we will move on to the final speaker, Mr. Anthony Scannell.

>> Thank you so much Mr. Chair and MICRC. Good afternoon. The Supreme Court, well, I don't have a lot to say about that. I think it's all been said. Okay, and then the one comment about yesterday's meeting looking at the application and was it lived up to, what was promised to be done on the application. But I think all the same things could probably be found in the code of conduct and the rules of procedure. So if we want to take an action there you can probably, I don't know, submit a resolution before the Commission to reprimand so and so. Or vacate the office. But I don't think you would probably want to do that. I don't know, maybe you do. Any way I like what the other Commissioner did yesterday or today earlier today starting with the template with the blank enjoined districts and erased 2 and 7 too. I think that makes a lot of sense just for visually looking at it. And, yeah, I will leave it there, thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Mr. Scannell. That concludes public comment, please e-mail comments to the Commission at [redistricting.gov](http://redistricting.gov) or use our public comment portal which has now been reopened. I think I saw one new comment on there from our meeting last night. Again that can be found on our website [www.Michigan.gov/MICRC](http://www.Michigan.gov/MICRC). We appreciate everyone who offers public comment in whatever way you choose and invite you to keep sharing your thoughts.

Next up on our agenda is mapping. Pursuant to rule 4.10.5 of our rules of procedure, and our adopted mapping process and procedures, without objection I will ask Commissioners who want to revisit a map or take a turn to draw to now speak. Is there any objection? Hearing none we will move on to the mapping process in accordance with our rules. The last Commissioner to draw was Commissioner Szetela. Commissioner Szetela, do you have anything to add?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, if John could bring up the map, I'm assuming it's John, yep, I see him. I just want to go through kind of what my thoughts and thinking were on the way I draw that map for the record, so we have a record of it.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

Okay so this is the map with today's date, version four, the map you worked on earlier today.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, so just to kind of run through what I changed here, I had deleted out District 2. The reason for that was what I was seeing yesterday



when we were drawing is that one and six are challenged districts. And by simply deleting them out they are sort of boxed in by having two sitting in the middle. And that was pushing us into a funnel where we were effectively redrawing District one which was a challenged District and District six extending District six back out into Detroit because that is where there was space and District one following that same configuration we had before with Taylor Allen Park, Lincoln Park, river rouge and E cores and what the Arab American asked for as well breaks up the log jam we had and a little different configuration and makes a more Detroit centric District one and allows six to focus on the suburbs and allowing the western edge of Detroit where you have the Brightmoor neighborhood in particular to be a more Detroit centric District instead of being put in with the western suburb of Livonia. So two now has Dearborn, Dearborn Heights which asked to be together and Melvindale which was another community that asked to be combined with Dearborn and Dearborn Heights and are in the map. Allen Park we had a group of teachers from Allen Park and asked to be included in Dearborn because they share a portion of a school District and apparently similar concerns about challenges and issues with students where they felt that pooling their resources together could assist in getting the type of assistance they need in those districts. So I think that forms a nice community of interest there. By keeping one, pulling out Lincoln Park and Melvindale with one you are able to preserve is Latino community of interest we were asked to keep together and includes Hamtramck and Bengali and keep Morningside, East English Village and Cornerstone up in the corner as well and hitting three communities of interest and mostly Detroit focused District. Same with District three, it's keeping Rosedale gardens and Dexter Linwood and then that rouge park as well. I pulled off three precincts and put them in Dearborn because they have a high percentage of Arab Americans, that is Warren Dale neighborhood there and yeah so I move those into two because we needed population in two and balances out a bit. With respect to six in order to remove and make six more of a kind of suburb District you have to go north and south to balance that out so I went south into five and pulled that community of interest there which is the Native American community of interest we received before from Meredith and I pulled that into six along with a little bit of Southfield above Redford as well just for balancing population. So now you have a more kind of suburb focused District. For Canton I brought in Plymouth and Plymouth Township which asked to be with Canton, I live in Canton and Plymouth and Canton share a school District and received commentary about keeping the communities together and otherwise keeps the kind of general formation of five except we go down to Van Buren Township and a little of Romulus and that is for population purposes. And because that number one District had been redrawn Taylor is now able to go in District Four and be kept whole, which I think is good there. I left District 8 and 10 largely the same drawn by other Commissioners. I changed 11 and 12 and my focus there was really a concern about partisan fairness and how the other map will play out with other districts being

changed. I did not see the results I wanted on that and will play with it more but that was the focus there was making sure the map is fair from a partisan fairness perspective because I don't know if you remember but from the map drawing earlier 12 and 11 were kind of two key districts where we can adjust the partisan fairness previously so that is kind of a concept there. The map is focusing on keeping Detroit communities together. Keeping those communities of interest together, drawing districts around them and what we had previously received as communities of interest and trying to preserve those as much as possible. And then having to reposition some things because you know in order to change six and one in particular we kind of needed to adjust some other districts as well. So that's my thoughts. If anyone has any questions I'm happy to answer them.

>> CHAIR EID:

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: John, is it possible for you to rerun the partisan fairness using the original data we were using with the Linden map?

>> MR. MORGAN: I believe that's possible. Also some Commissioners have their hands raised.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, Commissioner Lett? I saw your hand first.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes, I was going to ask the same thing that Commissioner Szetela just asked about the partisan fairness numbers once again. And I would like to see the Linden overlay on Commissioner Szetela's efforts.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, to do that and then I see your hand, Commissioner Curry, once we do what was just said, I will get to you right after.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I would like to speak right now, please.

>> CHAIR EID: Sure, go ahead.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, I'm just wondering with respect to Rebecca, this is your map that you're doing as a whole or just Rebecca is that what you're doing?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No. I will be submitting my own map that will be a bit different from this.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: This is not your map here?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

Well, you know, you were speaking as if you have designed the whole map but that is fine. I thought maybe you wanted that map to go through for you, maybe not.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No. It's collaborative.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay so we can still work on it, right?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Absolutely.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, I'm just trying to get some understanding.

>> CHAIR EID:

>> MR. MORGAN: If I understand the request you want to use the original index that does not include the 2022 results.



>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right because I want to see how it changes.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, so that's possible to do the original index is here. So we can run it that way. As for the Linden version of that, I would have to rerun that as well. So I can first run the original index on this version format and see what that produces.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Wouldn't we have the original index out on the portal?

>> MR. MORGAN: Quite possibly, but I don't have that at my fingertips. I would have to rerun.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: But if you have access to that or someone else does then that is fine. Okay, so let me do that for the report. Original index. Okay, so it does not want to do that. Just a second. It's because it's open, it's going to create a file by the same name. So let me take a moment and rename those files so this one here, open that.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: While he is doing that can I ask another question, Rebecca?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes go ahead.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: This map here has anyone else worked on it besides you?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 8 and 10 are the original districts that were drawn earlier or yesterday I think it was. But, yes, they were not drawn by me. And six was the District that was drawn by Commissioner Lange that I redrew.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You were all over the map and thought it was your personal map you wanted to go through but since other people worked only it and I don't know where I was at but that is fine. Okay, how many more people have not worked on a map today? If I may ask.

>> CHAIR EID: We have three more people that still have to do their first turn. Commissioner Vallette and Wagner.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: She has been on it so long that is why I thought it was her map.

>> CHAIR EID: I hear you. I think she is just about done, and we will move on to Janice after.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, give me a moment here. That is the updated index. I'm just going to look here at District one. So it's 436. Okay, so one way you can just quickly verify that they are the different indexes without getting into the data is when Dr. Handley redid this, the number of total votes was reduced because of the way the calculations were made to equalize over the different years between the Presidential and non-Presidential years. So this is an indicator that this is -- it's different and actually it's not quite what I was expecting. But it should be what we asked the program to do.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So this is the one with the 376,000, this is the old index we were using?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay so under the old index version four is 4.9 lopsided margin.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes for republican.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: What is the mean median?

>> MR. MORGAN: 2.0.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: Efficiency gap is 3.1 and the seats-vote ratio is 20-18 and negative .3 or positive .3.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Positive .3, okay, all right. Thank you.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay and again these numbers are different, okay?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes, thank you.

>> MR. MORGAN: And you can compare that to the previous version of the Linden map, or I can run that if you need to see that sooner than when you reference it yourself.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I actually looked. So we have the publication data from when we originally published it, the original Linden the lopsided margin was 4.5, mean median was 1.2. Efficiency gap was 3.3. Seats votes was 20/18 with a .03 percentage favoring democrats so the seats votes was the same.

>> MR. MORGAN: It would be because that is based on the share and the percentage for the statewide vote is the same.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: All right that is very helpful, thank you.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Steve wanted to see the overlay of Linden on top of this.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay and it looks like Commissioner Curry is looking to be recognized again.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry is your hand still up?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm sorry, I did not take it down.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: Dark blue are the Linden districts, so this dark blue line is Linden District one. This is District two. I'm a little lost on three. So one comes up here so three is here and let me put the labels on. I lost where District 3 was.

>> CHAIR EID: Three is the one that runs up north to Madison Heights.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I have a question here when we are mapping I mean you can show the population, you can show us neighborhoods that is all very helpful can you show us partisan data so we don't have to create a map in the dark and run it through and say oh, that is bad or that is good, how do we know what is impacting what as we are drawing?

>> MR. MORGAN: There is a way to show partisan data if the Commissioners want to look into that. You can put it as a label if you just want to see a specific race. Or I don't know if -- I don't think I can show the index or the partisan average. That is not -- I don't believe the software will do that. So the partisan fairness would be calculated afterwards. So just as an example, if you look at District one this is the population. If you go to the index you can see that the total District one, can composite including 2022 data is 93.75 democrat and 6% republican and all of the political data, although this may be truncated but the political data is there. But that's the total District. In order to see, for example, a Township or a voting precinct, you can put that information in as a label or there is a way to do a form a theme or gradation to show some sort of shading or strength of again a specific election.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is what I want to say a heat map, right?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes, the tools are, they can do something not quite a heat map but something like that that we have been using before.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Is it just me or does anyone else think that would be helpful?

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I think one thing we have to be careful of, we cannot favor or disfavor one side or the other. Nor can we favor or disfavor an incumbent or a challenger. And I just think that we are going with that suggestion that we maybe tiptoeing up to the line on that.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I think I'm going to echo what Commissioner Lett said. Normally when we are drawing we basically let the politics out of it and looked at the main criterias and kind of adjusted as needed without bringing it in. So I have to agree. I think that may be getting close to the line.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I also think it's just practically difficult to be looking at a District-by-District basis. Because you would have to be simultaneously tracking what or how you are trying to tilt the District one way or another and one would run us into potentially prohibited behavior and two it's logistically difficult to kind of look at that individual District data and the way we have always historically done it is to have a complete map, run the partisan fairness, and then if you need to reevaluate from there. And those spreadsheets can help you do that. If you dig into the data on them. So I think kind of looking at the individual competitiveness of a District is not number one particularly helpful. And isn't probably going to help move us closer to the ball because it's just one data point out of 38 in this particular map.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Fink, do you have something to add to this conversation?

>> Mr. Fink: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think the Commission should keep in mind that the guidance that you have been provided is to the greatest extent possible avoid

any significant changes to the partisan fairness of the new map you are drafting relative to the prior map. And to Commissioner Callaghan while you have heard from other Commissioners perhaps the partisan data as you are mapping may not ultimately be helpful as you go through this collaborative mapping process, if you think looking at some of that information may be helpful as you just sort of you know, experiment for lack of a better word, I don't see any issue with trying that. And, you know, if it does not work it doesn't work. But it may be worth trying. Thanks.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I think we will get to that point. I think right now it's a little early to do that just because we only have a couple examples right now. And as we organically draw and get some maps with different partisan fairness numbers it usually becomes rather obvious what areas you need to focus on in order to get those partisan fairness numbers to equal out them to what we need them to equal without a map that is disproportionate one way or the other. So I certainly for whatever reason I think then it would be appropriate to look at that data like Commissioner Callaghan and Mr. Fink was just talking about. Commissioner Szetela, is that a new hand?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, I'm sorry.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, and I do see Commissioner Lange, you just put yours up as well.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yeah, I also want to stress we need to make sure that we look at the ranked criteria because communities of interest do out rank. And the last maps that were drawn in many areas, communities of interest were split all in the name of partisan fairness. And I'll be honest that is something I have a hard time swallowing; the partisan fairness is important. However, people were promised for this proposal about getting to select their representatives and having communities of interest. And so then when communities are split all in the name of partisan fairness it's kind of like a little bit of a kick in the gut I think. And it has been to a lot of people. So I don't know. I don't know what the answer is. But I guess we will figure it out.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Yeah, I just want to echo what Commissioner Lange just said. It seems like last time we went through and did communities of interest and then split a bunch up for partisan fairness, but it is ranked below communities of interest. So I think we do need to keep that in mind. And we have to come up with a map that we can all agree on or enough of us can agree on and vote for.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay so we have this overlay up now.

>> MR. MORGAN: Right. So as we were just going over that so one is here, Commissioner Eid you pointed out that District 3 went up to Madison Heights. There is District 3. And 4 is relatively unchanged. Taylor was added to four. And then there was some adjustments made over here in the west.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Van Buren Township.

>> MR. MORGAN: Van Buren and it was not jumping to my mind as fast as it should. And we talked about the changes at 10 and 11 but 12 was also changed on this map. So 12, the previous version of 12 included the Grosse Pointes. And St. Clair Shores.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I have a question.

>> CHAIR EID: Go ahead, Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: So this map here is one of the old ones we did, right? And Rebecca is just working on it, is that what we are doing here with this map? We pulled it up from before. No?

>> MR. MORGAN: My understanding is that Commissioner Szetela took the map that was worked on yesterday and made some changes, starting over in District 2 and 6.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay but you will keep the map that some of us worked on yesterday, right?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes, that has been saved.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, thank you. Because for one that when we talked about well I will save it. I will save it. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Commissioner Lett you asked for the overlay, are you good with that? Can't hear you.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I wanted to see what the changes looked like, so, yes, that is good. I'm set.

>> CHAIR EID: I will comment on the actual map. I do like how some things are on here and it includes Melvindale with the Dearborn and Dearborn Heights District and I kind of like this configuration with four and five, Taylor being where it is, Livonia being where it is. I think there are some good ideas here. Sterling Heights sticks out to me on top as still being split up. Maybe later we can look into that and change around, you know, 7, 8, 10 and go from there. But I do like that configuration of the southern end of it.

Okay, Commissioner Szetela, does that complete your turn?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: All right. We will now move to Commissioner Vallette..

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE:

>> CHAIR EID: We have a few different maps now, Commissioner. We have V4 that Rebecca just worked on. We have V3 that Cynthia was working on earlier. We have V2 from yesterday. Or you can start your own.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: I would like to go to three, the one Cynthia started.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think she worked on the one all three of us worked on, is that the one Cynthia started?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, so V3 Cynthia worked on it earlier. It has the six districts that we must change. Then it also included she deleted a few more districts 2 and 7 and some of 9. And I think with her turn she made changes to Districts 4 and 5 in that area. And that's where it left off. I think Commissioner Orton you started this so correct me if I'm

wrong, but you deleted a couple districts, kind of like what Commissioner Szetela said earlier. So we are not boxed in and have a bigger area to work with.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Right.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay, if anybody has anything to add, please just tell me because I'm not familiar with this. But I'm going to start a new District. And.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: A new map or a District?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: A new District so I think I will start up there by nine in Pontiac.

>> MR. MORGAN: Just to be clear nine is not a complete District. It's only 40% of the District as drawn.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay, so 40%. So how much more would I need, John, to make that a complete District?

>> MR. MORGAN: 165,000. And to help out here let me put the Township names on for you.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Thank you. Commissioner Orton has her hand raised.

>> CHAIR EID: I'm sorry, I did not see. Go ahead, Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: John wondering if you can change the color of I think it's District 24.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: On my computer anyway it's just really hard to see.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange, I see you as well.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Sorry, I was just going to make a suggestion. And I don't even know if it's possible to do. So it would be to Commissioner Vallette. Up in that area you have I don't know how many of them fall in there but you have a community of interest that revolves around Southfield, West Bloomfield, Farmington, Huntington Woods and Oak Park, they are a Jewish community and I don't know if it would be possible or if that stretches out too much to include. We did have public comment in the past about that. That was going to be one that I was going to look at and see from a community of interest on my next turn.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: With race.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: You are in that area. I don't know if you want to take a look at that but just bringing up a community of interest that was talked about in the past.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay, could you say what cities those were again?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: There was Huntington Woods. Oak Park. Farmington. West Bloomfield and Southfield.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You mentioned those are Jewish by the interests on it was because they are Jewish? I thought we had to stay away from race.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: That is a religion, religious.



>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That is race. Not religion, that is race. So if you go by that they are going to knock it. If you are going to use that as a one way to name all those different.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: In order to make nine complete District by population, the only way to really go is -- is 24 okay?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes, 24 is not effected Commissioner Orton only took a portion of nine away and deleted all of seven. The District 7 was basically from Pontiac to Southfield and that was not an enjoined District.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay so I do remember the people in Pontiac said they kind of wanted to be with Southfield. They felt they had more in common with Southfield than other communities. But I thought that it was Bloomfield Hills they did not and it's like right in the middle of them. So I don't know how we would get Pontiac and Southfield together with not including Bloomfield Hills.

>> CHAIR EID: I don't mean to interrupt Commissioner Vallette, but I do see Mr. Fink with his hand up, I would like to acknowledge that real quick.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay.

>> Nate Fink: I don't mean to interject, it's a bit of an awkward time but on this discussion of ethnic groups or else or racial groups I think during the House mapping process, remapping, redraw process we made it clear can't use any racial data particularly, you know, the data that had been used during the initial mapping process. But the Baker folks came on and spoke to the Commission when there was questions about the extent to which you can still have discussions about you know, different communities of interest, and that may touch on ethnic groups whether it's religious or even have some kind of racial component to it. But that's acceptable. You can't predominate in your discussions. And so if there is a consideration about a particular ethnic group or particular religious group or again even a particular racial group some of that discussion is okay.

But you just can't have it predominate in terms of looking at having racial data on the screen and that sort of thing. So I just wanted to mention that as sort of an overall comment. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay and I saw Commissioner Wagner's hand raised.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Yes, thank you. I was actually going to ask my Commission was I thought I heard Juanita say that Jewish was a race.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I did not say Jewish was a race. I said she used the word race and had all that in common.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I got you.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You can't use that race for communities of interest.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: No, I was thinking it's more of a culture, but we did honor the Arab American culture and fair we honor the Jewish culture as well.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You have to honor the Black culture.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Honey that is what we are doing and what is why we are here.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't want to argue, and I have not raised my voice.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I'm being nice.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Wagner does that conclude your comments?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: It does thank you Eid aye Commissioner Callaghan, I see your hand.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah, I'm curious being a new Commissioner and maybe someone can enlighten me what is the basis of affinity between Pontiac and Southfield?

>> CHAIR EID: You know, I think it could really there are multiple ways to do this area. I grew up in Oakland County in the Orchard Lake West Bloomfield area.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Me too.

>> CHAIR EID: You're from Farmington Hills correct.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: You can put it with Southfield or Rochester Hills or with Troy, you can put it with Waterford, and you can go a lot of ways. I would not really be beholden to making it be with Southfield. But there certainly were people who did say their community of interest is Pontiac and Southfield. I think you've had a lot of different people say a lot of things in Oakland County. So Janice, it's your turn. I think at least in my lived experience, you could go a lot of ways. And what do you think, Commissioner Callaghan?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Let's start.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I think you could be old comments I need to go through and come up to speed.

You said there is a lot of comments from people around the different groups and interest in Oakland County. It's very large and diverse county I'm sure there are people pulling a million different ways. I'm just trying to understand Pontiac and Southfield are not exactly geographically adjacent. They have several communities between them that makes it hard to put those necessarily together. I wasn't sure what the basis for the affinity was between Pontiac and Southfield and if people said there was one I would be interested in what that was.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah I was just going to say keep in mind that part of that was drawn or was driven by the configuration of other districts we struck down, so we have the kind of chutes and ladders, people were calling them or chimneys going vertical. And you know it caused a marching effect with other districts. So I think that's part of it. I will look on the portal to see specific comments about Pontiac. I don't remember anything specific with Pontiac and Southfield, but I will refresh my memory on that, but I think it's a function of we had District 11 going north south and District 10 going north south and District 8 and 7 and were kind of stacking along.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I see. Thanks that is helpful.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay Commissioner Vallette. It's your turn. You heard from a lot of people, say a lot of things but the pen is yours.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay, I would like to put like Auburn Hills and Pontiac in with nine.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, let me lock District 23 and 24. Should not be a problem and don't intend to change those.

>> MR. MORGAN: This appears to be part of Waterford and you want to include that I assume?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: You need 70,000 more people approximately.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: So you have Bloomfield, Bloomfield Hills, Birmingham, Troy. Or you could go into 24 or 23 if you wanted to do that.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: I will take Bloomfield and Bloomfield Hills.

>> MR. MORGAN: The Township is not contiguous, but I will assign it for the moment.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Thank you.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay and now District 9 needs 21,000. Birmingham here that is about 21,000.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay so take that.

>> MR. MORGAN: Then that District 9 is 32 people off the ideal number.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay I'm done here.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Crew did good.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, thank you Commissioner Vallette.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: Next up is Commissioner Wagner. Hello, I have a couple questions. Mr. Morgan, could you tell me does Dave's redistricting import to Autobound edge?

>> MR. MORGAN: So not directly. There is some issue with the geography that Dave's redistricting app uses. It does not align with the 2020 census geography easily. So you have to do an export of it and then we have to import it. So doing that on the fly would be difficult but there are ways we can work it out. I will probably confer with Ryan if you wanted to do something like that.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Okay then one more question. Maybe Rebecca or Anthony, Commissioners, I'm sorry, I wanted to get Commissioner and Chair and your last names in there and be all like Mr. Gallant but any way did either of you happen on April 18th when Ms. Reinhardt sent us Anthony Scannell's map did any of you work on that and put it in Autobound edge by chance so we can pull it up and run analysis? And I apologize for my dogs.

>> CHAIR EID: I did see the map Mr. Scannell submitted. I've not opened Autobound end at all regarding the Senate redraw process, so I did not do that.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Commissioner Szetela by any chance did you, sorry, through the Chair.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I did actually look at it and I did actually pull it in. So I can send the shape file to John.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: And he can pull it in.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah but I did actually read his comment and pulled up his map and looked at it and pulled it in.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I figured you had. Thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so my question, if I may to Commissioner Szetela.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: You say you imported that as a full plan into Autobound?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay and then would you want that to be created as a full plan in Autobound or to use as a layer? As a reference?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So the way I normally would do it and the way I did for Scannell's map I bring in the Linden and delete all the districts where he will have overlap and import in his districts.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes, but you have already done that. So.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right.

>> MR. MORGAN: When you send me the shape file I can create a plan that is that. Then it will exist in -- on my computer as that plan. And then.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Absolutely.

>> MR. MORGAN: I can have that as that plan and then I can also use the shape file as a layer so you can use it as a reference.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Absolutely.

>> MR. MORGAN: Is that something you want to work on with this time now or is that something you prefer to do when we are done.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I cannot send it to you right now, but I can send it to you overnight and then we can, you know, it's still Janice's turn so I don't want to be hijacking her turn. So.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yeah, I'm done. My turn is over. Whoever is next. I think it's Erin.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, she was going. We can't hear you Commissioner Wagner.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Second I'm not Janice Commissioner Szetela. And second of all.

>> CHAIR EID: We lost you.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: She has been having some technical difficulties so I'm sure she might come back shortly.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's give it a second, technical difficulties do happen. I think her screen just dropped off. Commissioner Szetela, did you have something to add?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Lord, Jesus I swear, I forgot what I was doing.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: A mapping.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: A motion to pull Mr. Scannell's map forward to run analysis on that and bringing that forward for consideration for the public. Please and thank you. And if I can get a second on that, that would be awesome.

>> CHAIR EID: Can you repeat your motion? I'm a little confused.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: They want somebody outside to turn in a map.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: No, I would like to make a motion that after Rebecca does that tomorrow morning we bring Mr. Scannell's map forward and run an analysis and see how that stacks up and how we think about it and go from there. And I apologize for my dogs, y'all.

>> CHAIR EID: Oh, that's okay you don't need to apologize for the doggies. There is a motion on the table to bring forward the map sent in by Anthony Scannell for publication; is that correct? Commissioner Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Sorry, yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, is there a second?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Points of clarification.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I got a point too.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, go ahead, Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, when you say to bring it forward, repeat the motion for me, please.

I want to make sure I understand it correctly.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Great mother in Heaven. I don't know about y'all but I'm tired. My brain is a little fried. So I would like to move that once, and I'm counting on Commissioner Szetela to send her shape file to Mr. Morgan tonight so that tomorrow morning we can bring that forward, run partisan fairness and all that other good stuff y'all like to look at so we can see how that stacks up for consideration for Michigan's public, please.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Is that from Mr. Scannell?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Yes that is from Anthony Scannell Lange LAN finish the point of clarification so it's not necessarily to move it forward, just see the evaluation on it, correct? That is the motion?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I think considering that Commissioner Szetela was the only one to run that, I would like to see it. I pulled it up in Dave's redistricting but could not do the Autobound during our break. So if that helps y'all out at all.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I just think we ought to try to stick to the rules of mapping before we go pull in things from outside. That should be the last result.

>> CHAIR EID: So hold on everyone. Take a breath for a second. There is a motion on the table. And it was said to bring the map forward. But what I just heard was a little different.

do you simply want that map to be -- to have the data run on it? Or do you want to actually it to be like.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I would like to see the data. Personally I plan on bringing it forward tomorrow after we see the data. As long as it's square, I think we can do that.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, there is a motion on the table. There has to be a second first. So does anyone -- first off does anyone have a second?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I'll second it so we can get to what exactly we are doing.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay so now we will have discussion on the motion, thank you. Commissioner Szetela, well it's Commissioner Wagner it was your motion, I will let you go first. Do you have any discussion you would like before we vote on this?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Well, no, but I see Commissioner Curry's and Commissioner Szetela's hand up so let's hear what they have to say and let's get on with it.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I'm just curious does she even need a motion for this? Because in the past when someone has wanted to bring in a map on their turn like Commissioner Lett brought in the one I believe it was from Michigan State and that became the Trillium and I brought in the one from Promote the Vote and that was the Peony. And I don't see why she would need to make a motion to do that. It would just be her turn, so I think she is giving us notice that this is her turn, and she wants to bring in Scannell's map and have it be analyzed. So I guess it seems like a deviation from our past process to have a motion over something which is her right to do as a Commissioner.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Can I speak to that for just a hot second?

>> CHAIR EID: One moment let's let Commissioner Curry respond first and respond to that Commissioner Wagner.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: If it's all legit I'm for it but if it's not legitimate where we can do that, then I'm against it.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Wagner? Your response?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Since it says in our rules we can bring forward a public map, it's in the rules. So whatever you want to do y'all.



>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Is it supposed to come in later on or does it come in while we are mapping?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: It comes in when one of us decides to bring it forward.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Do you want to use that map? Or what are you bringing it in for?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I would like to see analysis on it.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can you look at it tonight or...

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Point of order.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm asking because I'm in dialog.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Having a conversation.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Dialog and I was still talking with someone. I thought they were having a dialog with me.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, Mr. Fink, I see your hand.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Thank you, Mr. Fink.

>> Nate Fink: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. As I said I think more than once during the House redraw process. I think there is overall risk in the Commission ultimately putting forward a map that's been drafted outside of the collaborative process. That said, as Commissioner Szetela noted, during the House redraw process there were a couple of maps that were brought forward by different Commissioners that had been drawn by outside groups or individuals. Ultimately of course the Commission did not adopt one of those maps. But, you know, I don't see an issue, if the Commissioner wants to use their turn to bring forward some outside map to be considered, I suppose that's fine. But I would caution against the Commission ultimately using a map that was drawn by an outside individual or group as the basis for a collaborative map that gets drawn from that. So thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Mr. Fink. Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Mr. Fink, while you are still there, thank you, so as you said the keyword I think is collaborative. But you said there is no harm in her bringing it forward. If she liked the reading of the map or the -- how the map looked she would also have the right to bring it forward as her own, would she not, since each Commissioner gets to present one map? I just want clarification.

>> Nate Fink: Commissioner Lange, do you mean to just offer that as like an individual map? Lange LAN correct.

>> Nate Fink: I don't see a problem with an individual Commissioner offering, you know, whatever mapping they are going to have to explain to the Commission, the basis of that map. And why you think the map should go forward and why you think ultimately the Commission should adopt a particular map. I mean the fact is that if any individual Commissioner presents an individual map that was not drawn collaboratively, there's no way for anybody to know exactly how that map was drawn, whether it was drawn by an

individual Commissioner or by someone else and provided to the individual Commissioner. I don't see a particular problem with offering that as an option. I think we are you know that is a little further down the road here in the process in terms of when we will actually be or when the Commission will actually be deciding which maps to put forward and frankly which maps, individual Commissioners will decide to move forward. But.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Constitutionally that would be allowable, correct?

>> Nate Fink: I think it would be. I don't see any limitation on that. Each individual Commissioner is entitled to provide a proposal, an individual proposal of their own. But, again, with the understanding that given particularly now when we have this clear instruction from the Court to draw these maps, this map race blind when we don't know how any individual or group map or any external map was drawn, if it was not done in this collaborative setting in public, live streamed on YouTube, then we don't or we won't have that clear, clean record of like we had frankly with the Motown Sound map that the Commission ultimately adopted and the Court approved. If you have some outside map whether it's presented as an individual map or however it comes in there is risk associated with that.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: We don't want to get sued; taxpayers don't need to be paying out no more money.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry, I see your hand. Did you want to add something?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: My hand is not on my screen right now so I can remove it.

>> CHAIR EID: No worries. I do see Commissioner Orton has something to say as well.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Beg your pardon.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Since we are in the discussion part, this has kind of been said by others, but I don't see or I don't have a problem with looking at Mr. Scannell's map, I have looked at it personally. And, you know, someone can bring it forward if they want. But I just feel like this motion is premature to -- since we are going to have a chance to analyze things. I don't believe we are doing any VRA analysis at this point. So we would just be analyzing what it looks like and partisan fairness I guess. Which we can do but I don't understand the motion really.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I'm going to have to agree with Commissioner Orton. I think the issue is not everybody has seen the map yet; the public especially has not seen the map yet because it was just sent to us. So what I would recommend, Commissioner Wagner, is bring it up in the shape file. So we can show it publicly. You know, or draw it right now like how we have done it in the past. And then do the analysis. That's what I would think probably would be the best way to move forward. But there is a motion

and a second, hold on one moment. There is a motion and a second on the table that we are going to need to vote on. But I would recommend that, you know, you try to do one of those things Commissioner Wagner. Bring it up and submit it tonight so that Mr. Morgan can pull it up and then we can run the analysis and you can see whatever data you want to see.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Seriously I just had tons of computer issues and you guys are still on this topic.

>> CHAIR EID: Opened up a can of worms.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: My brain is fried. I'm going to probably bring it forward any way so I just figured collaboratively we could collaboratively look at it but if y'all don't want to do that peace out. But whatever.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Can I make a recommendation, Commissioner Wagner? If the Commission agrees, could we forgo Commissioner Wagner's turn and that way they would be able to bring forth the shape file for John to get uploaded and then let Commissioner Wagner go first tomorrow with the map that she wanted to bring forward? Would that be acceptable to Commissioner Wagner and the rest of the Commission? That way she doesn't lose her turn. We would go on to the next one. They can bring the shape file in tonight, and she can do what she wants to do.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That wouldn't be her turn would it.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Motion removed. This is BS. Just saying. Y'all, whatever.

>> CHAIR EID: All right one moment.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I'm doing it anyway. Rebecca, send it to me please.

>> CHAIR EID: Keep it professional and we are trying to work with everyone.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: No, no, no.

>> CHAIR EID: There is a motion so let's bring it back to order folks. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I mean I'm happy to send it to you as well Erin, but I think based on what Mr. Fink said you don't need a motion to do this. This can be your turn. So you know, we don't have the document that you need right now. I think what Rhonda has proposed is perfectly reasonable you have your turn in the morning and bring the map in the morning. And then that is your turn is bringing forth that map and then we can do the analysis. But I don't think you need a motion for this. And I think Mr. Fink confirmed that because this is what other Commissioners have done in the past. So.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: That is fine. I will just do that. Thank you, Rebecca. And everybody thanks.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Is that true, Mr. Fink?

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Fink your hand is up.

>> Nate Fink: Again, during the House process we had a couple of outside maps that were brought in. Sort of I think experimental. And ultimately the Commission did not move forward on those maps. It certainly did not adopt any of those maps but in terms of this moving turns around thing, I just want to, you know, the Commission's mapping processes, or process and procedures document does say that you should, as chairman Eid indicated at the beginning of this mapping process selected in alphabetical order. I'm quickly looking at the procedures as drafted right now. I don't see anything that allows for or talks about moving turns around. I think the Commission should take some kind of vote or make some kind of motion if, in fact, you want to reorder or sort of hold off on a turn for next time.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Lange point of clarification?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: There was a time when Commissioner Kellom missed the mapping and the next day she was in she was allowed to map out of turn, so I don't think it's unreasonable. And there was not a motion when that happened. So I think that it's fair to treat every Commissioner the same since it's been a precedent in the past that it should not be an issue. That is my point of clarification.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, we've heard a lot on this. Commissioner Wagner said she wanted to withdraw her motion. It was made and seconded. I don't think the motion that was made was necessary or proper in the first place so I'm going to rule the original motion out of order. And I will now entertain a motion if you guys want Commissioner Wagner to go first tomorrow, after we can get the shape file in, someone wants to make a motion for that we can go forward.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I don't think we need it because there is precedent, but I move that Commissioner Wagner get to go forward first tomorrow.

>> CHAIR EID: Is there a second?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay is there any debate on the motion?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I would like to know why every single time Commissioner Szetela, Commissioner Lange or myself do something map related y'all jump on it and try to squash it immediately and at the beginning and it's still going on.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm from trying to squash anything but for the rules we are here for and trying to get an understanding. We have been advised, you know, I wish you would have brought the map today. Anybody can take their turn whenever. We get more than one shot at these maps, you know. We have a while to be working on them it's not like we only get one shot at it. You will get another turn.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I was being considerate of all my fellow Commissioners because I know I personally had not brought it up on auto bond edge to see the schematics of it. Drop it and let's just go on.

>> CHAIR EID: Please wait to be acknowledged by the Chair before speaking.

Commissioner Szetela, I see your hand.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I will say as someone who was Chair when the last time we mapped we did allow people to kind of jump the line or move up and move backwards based on individual circumstances. We had people who had doctors' appointments or whatever the case may be. So there absolutely is precedent for this situation. I don't think there is anything unusual about it. I know we had this come up before where something has not been posted 24 hours ahead of time and that is a rule we always honored and made the accommodation of moving someone's turn because they wanted to use something that had not been sitting on the portal 24 hours before the meeting. So I don't think we need a motion for this. I think it's our past practice but I'm certainly in favor of it, if it does not pass then Erin you ask to put Anthony Scannell's map and question can spend an hour doing what would take ten minutes with the shape file.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Commissioner Szetela. With respect I'm currently the Chair. And I would like to follow, you know, the rules as they are written, and I want this motion to be voted on. I think everyone right now what we are trying to do is work with you, Commissioner Wagner, so you can have your turn. That's what I'm going to vote yes so you can go tomorrow. But I think if you had it today, right, we would not be having this issue. We will do it tomorrow. And that's all I got to say. Is there any more discussion on the motion to let Commissioner Wagner go first tomorrow when we are mapping? And by then we will have the shape file up so she can look at the map she wants to look at. All right seeing no further discussion, let's move to vote. All those in favor of the motion please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Any opposed? The ayes have it.

Okay so let's just somebody please send that in to John Morgan so he can get it up and we can go from there tomorrow. Take a look at the map.

Next up on the mapping list is Commissioner Weiss.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Finally. Mr. Morgan, would you please bring up I believe it's number three. I think you just had it up, yeah, that's it right there.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so Commissioner Weiss do you wish to continue? This is three that was just adjusted by Commissioner Vallette.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes. What I'm looking at is I'm going to go down and play with District 6. I believe we are short 109. It looks like, if my figures are right, if we take Redford and Dearborn Heights, that should put us pretty close.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Excuse me.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, and while I'm putting this moving Redford and Dearborn Heights, so just to clarify for my own purposes, I will be getting a shape file from Commissioner Szetela, and I will have that available before the session tomorrow morning. That is the intention is that correct? To Commissioner Eid I guess?

>> CHAIR EID: That is correct.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: When we start up mapping together it will be Commissioner Wagner's turn and we can take a look at that map that was submitted and go over the data and have a good time with it.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, I should be able to have that ready if I get the file from Commissioner Szetela with no problem. Okay so this is what will be added to District 6, Dearborn Heights the actual boundaries as you see there. And that is 112000 people. So that will put you close to where you want to be. So.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Looks pretty good.

>> MR. MORGAN: That District 6 is within the population tolerance.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Okay, sounds good, I'm done, thank you. All right, thank you, Commissioner Weiss. That was a speedy one. Very efficient. Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I just have a question for Commissioner Weiss. I see the numbers turned out real well and efficient of you and considering the fact we received so many public comments about Dearborn and Dearborn Heights staying together, is there possibly another area that might fit into that community of interest why it's better than Dearborn Heights just a question?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: You just had to ask me that, it's kind of ugly and it's correct. I just tried to get the numbers in. I was trying to finagle around it how I could get it to look halfway decent, but I have not come up with anything. I have been playing with going up above further and coming down. We are going to end up splitting up a bunch of things. I guess if we have to do it we have to do it, but I did not really want to do it. But if you would like to change it, I'm more than happy to have you help me.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I'm not saying I have a better solution it was just a question.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Your question is correct. I don't like it that well but like I said we can go change it. I'm still looking here, I may jump in later, never know.

>> CHAIR EID: Well if you want some options to help, you know, you could go into Southfield and go under nine. You could go into you could put like Plymouth with Canton and how Commissioner Szetela did. And then rearrange some of that District as well. Or you could just go east of Redford. Those are like geographically some different options if you wanted to keep going.



>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: That is what I was looking at is Southfield, putting them together. And then but and maybe using Redford in there but that might be too many. Let me see here.

>> CHAIR EID: It's your call or you can leave it.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I will let somebody else play with it for the moment. I may change it later. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Callaghan and Commissioner Orton.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Thought of putting Farmington Hills back together and taking what is right now the upper part of the numbers are really tiny and I think it's five and we got Commerce and Wald Lake and northern part of Oakland County and putting Farmington Hills back together which right now is rather split up and Northville over with Livonia and Redford and moving things that way? I mean I just have not seen a map that is drawn that way. Farmington Hills is always carved up, I'm not sure why that is.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Would you like to try that?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: It's not my turn so.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Doesn't matter, I'm asking for help.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Sure, I would like to try unless someone knows it has been tried and it does not work.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Give it a shot and see what happens that is what we are doing here is playing around. So go ahead.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah so if the yellow District is five, right, with Novi, Wald Lake, Commerce, you know, West Bloomfield, West Bloomfield and Farmington and Farmington Hills share a school District or partially share a school District and have community ties there.

>> MR. MORGAN: That is District 13, Commissioner Callaghan.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Is that one we are not changing, or has it been changed yet?

>> MR. MORGAN: It has not been changed yet but you wanted to there it is 13. Five makes this one here.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Right, with well I was really trying to I want to keep Livonia together, but I don't know why Livonia could not go with Northville and Plymouth and take it out of yellow District Orchard Lake west village Walled Lake Farmington Hills and Novi into one District.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so in order to accomplish that one possibility would be to undo the last move that Commissioner Weiss did with Redford and Dearborn Heights.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah, right. I think I don't know about Redford, but Dearborn Heights should probably not be there.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay. Commissioner Szetela do you have something to add?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Redford and Livonia may have to stay together because the District will go horizontal instead of up to Oakland County.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I was going to say she is going to go way over population based on what she described is any only thought.

>> CHAIR EID: Well let's give it a shot and see what happens.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: The numbers I can't see the numbers. They are just too small on my screen.

>> MR. MORGAN: The first thing you said is let's unassign Dearborn Heights.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I think it probably should be later put in with Dearborn.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Sorry Richard.

>> MR. MORGAN: At the moment including Redford you need 59,000 more people. And what you were talking about doing potentially was making Farmington Hills and Farmington in District 13 and then taking out Plymouth, Northville and Plymouth town Township and Northville town Township.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Right so put Northville, Northville Township together with District six in Livonia. Then West Bloomfield, Walled Lake, that northern part of Oakland County with Farmington Hills.

>> MR. MORGAN: So the first thing is the Northville and the Plymouths. Okay but I have 13 locked. Which I will unlock now. So we will come to the question in a moment but the village City of Northville straddles the county line so you will have to consider that in a moment.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Right we will deal with that later.

>> MR. MORGAN: District 6 is overpopulated by 12000. Then you wanted to take all of Farmington Hills and Farmington out for the moment?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: For the moment, yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: It will go into 13.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: Focusing first on six. So then six is under populated by 48,000. So and then 13 of course will be over populating. But not at the moment, because actually that's not true because we took a different portion of 13. So 13 is close as it stands. But six needs more.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Can we see what the top of 13 looks like?

>> MR. MORGAN: It has the Lake communities here. The smaller Sylvan Lake, Keego Harbor, West Bloomfield, part of Commerce, part of Novi and then all of Farmington Hills and Farmington. And you need a little bit more population from somewhere or there is an unassigned area over here in Southfield. There's Northville in Wayne. And then you also potentially have communities in 23.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So I don't want to touch 23 so maybe take Northville.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, so that is so just this portion into 13?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: That is within the tolerance for 13. And then six needs 51,000 more.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So can six go either east or north?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes. You have Detroit, which is here and you have Southfield, which is north.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: What do you think, which way should I go east or north?

>> CHAIR EID: I wonder to Commissioner Weiss and Callaghan, is there a way to kind of combine like what you did with District 13 and District 6 with what Commissioner Szetela did in District 5? I think she had mentioned putting Canton with, yes, that area Plymouth, there we go. Is there a way to kind of marry those two changes together?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Sure. I'm good with that.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela, your thoughts?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah. Like I mentioned Plymouth and Plymouth Canton share a school District, so I think it makes sense to have them together.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, let's do that.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay that would take away population from six which needs to gain population.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Six has got room to go east or north. It's not pinned in.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

All right. So you want to add to five. The Plymouth, yes?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: Five is over by 40,000. Six is under by 88,000. And then you still have the Northville question here.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Northville doesn't really have that many people, right?

>> MR. MORGAN: No, it's whether you want, again, the community itself straddles the county line. So if you wanted to put it all in six or currently it's all in 13 or split it along the line, there are options.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay so that to me is a detail we can tweak at the end. I think six needs to get fixed first. And if five is too big then it needs to get fixed as well. So Commissioner Szetela take suggestions there for what you think would be the best thing to do. Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm sorry, I'm not in front of the computer but looking at my phone and it's a little small.

>> MR. MORGAN: At the moment Commissioner Szetela let me tell you what is in five. All of Canton, all of Westland, Garden City, Inkster and Wayne and a portion of Van Buren and all of Plymouth and Plymouth Township and it needs, sorry, it's over by 40,000. So you could retreat Van Buren and just added Plymouth and retreat from Garden City or Inkster.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: What is the District above that the green one.

>> MR. MORGAN: Six and currently under populated, Commissioner Callaghan or sorry Commissioner, yeah, was looking at going north or east.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Five is over and six is under is that right? Am I understanding that correctly?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: By how much.

>> MR. MORGAN: Five is over by 40,000 and we just added the Plymouths which took it over. But that was by design. So.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: On edges if you will have a split in Van Buren, which could be taken away. Or Garden City or Inkster on the eastern side.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I'm wondering where the missing 40,000 people are and 40,000 over in five and 88,000 under in six, that seems like...

>> MR. MORGAN: The reason is there is a great deal of unassigned territory in Southfield and Wayne County, Detroit.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay, so if the question is how to fix five I would grab that same area of Westland I moved earlier to because that is the community of interest of the Native American population so it's basically, yeah, you are right on it, John, because that is the area I was moving before. So I would start along there and go across towards Garden City. Keep in mind Garden City is a separate City so if you can avoid maybe taking that or it's 27,000 there. So we are bringing down.

>> MR. MORGAN: Garden City all of Garden City is 27,000. We are looking to lose 40.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I would, how many people are in the precincts right above Garden City? Is it above 13?

>> MR. MORGAN: Take it away and 5, 7, 10, yes, about 13.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would take those precincts plus Garden City and move them to six and should solve five for you.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That helps six too.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay is that what you would like to do?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes, please.

>> MR. MORGAN: So these precincts. Nine. That is 15 and can take back one of those if we need to and assigned it from five to five so let's try that again here. Okay so that takes five at just under negative 1% deviation sorry six, five, five is fine. So five has all of Canton, all of Westland, all of Inkster and Wayne and Plymouth Township and Plymouth and then a portion of Van Buren. And then six, if you don't take Dearborn Heights you would then look at Southfield and Troy potentially.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So what do we need in six, 45,000?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes, all of Novi is 66 which you didn't want to do. Then you have Southfield could be split or Detroit could be split.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I don't know guys what do you think Detroit or Southfield? I can take the block in Detroit and then I mean because we can do neighborhoods there, and you are not really splitting things, but Southfield is splitting a whole Township. A whole into. Let's try Detroit first.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay did you want the neighborhoods on?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Well I would rather not split neighborhoods.

>> MR. MORGAN: So, yes then?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah. Commissioner Weiss, do you have any feedback?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS:

>> CHAIR EID: I think he may be frozen. There you are.

>> MR. MORGAN: We are over by telegraph read here so you've got Brightmoor and the extreme western part of Detroit.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: All right, so I guess start plugging communities, neighborhoods to get to the 45,000 that we need. Is there a community of interest there I need to be careful about? Right at that edge? I don't think so.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Brightmoor is a neighborhood.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Go to the west of that, right?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Well above Brightmoor.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Next to Brightmore is the Rosedale Park neighborhood and I don't know how far you will go over.

>> MR. MORGAN: 21,000. So if you go up to the county line, 26,000 you said you did want Brightmoor and you might want Rosedale?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I was saying don't take Brightmoor just to be clear.

>> CHAIR EID: I would agree with that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Brightmoor has challenges and it's probably not fair to put them with the suburbs.

>> MR. MORGAN: So this represents 35,000 of the 45, not including Brightmoor except for a piece I can take back out.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: I'm going to adjust this part of Brightmoor to be unassigned. It takes a minute to unassign. It does not let me unassign groups. Okay so Brightmoor is unassigned. So now we need about 12000 into six. So if you want to stay more on the north side, up here, in that area, okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes, please.

>> MR. MORGAN: I will take that out in a moment here. Okay so that will put you right on population and then I will take out this neighborhood split. So with that adjustment you're within half a percentage point deviation on the negative side. 1312 and I will turn off the neighborhoods for a moment so you can see things better. Okay so this version of District 6.

>> Looks better.

>> MR. MORGAN: Back to the Northville question if you wanted to do something differently with that you could. But otherwise you've got Northville Township, Livonia, Redford, portion of Westland Garden City and portion of extreme northwest Detroit.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm not sure where Northville Township should end up just yet. But to me that is a knit detail. Once we get the map filled in we can clean stuff up like that so I will just leave it for now.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Looks good.

>> MR. MORGAN: We adjusted 13, 5 and 6. Commissioner Weiss your thoughts?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes, that is good. I like it, looks better than what I did.

Thank you, Donna.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: You know I like it too. I think with District 13 in particular part of the reason why it looked the way that it did before is we were trying to because of how some of the other districts were located and we had a big push from the West Bloomfield Orchard Lake area to be somewhere with the lower portion of Oakland County with whether that is Novi or Farmington Hills or Livonia. I don't think that matters too much as long as it's somewhere lower and not up with, you know, like Waterford and White Lake and those areas and I think you also Livonia is still hole and we heard from previously so and I like it too. And you unsplit up Farmington and Farmington Hills is the whole point. So, good job and good collaboration between you all. Commissioner Weiss do you have anything else to say or does that conclude your turn?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I would say I'm done. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, so everybody has had one turn so far except for Commissioner Wagner who will be going first tomorrow. And we will now go back to the beginning. And start with Commissioner Andrade.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, so let's continue with this map and try and fill in what we are missing.

>> MR. MORGAN: So as a reference, just to give you an idea how many districts have yet to be drawn, it looks like there are six to seven Districts that are yet to be drawn.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay I'd like to start under nine and east of 13. I don't know what District we will call that. But if you can take in all of Southfield, Lathrup, Troy, Lawson. You want all of Southfield?



>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: Then connect up to Troy.

>> Let's see how much we have going east from Southfield. So Southfield village and City and Lathrup is 95,000.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Add Berkeley Huntington Woods, Oak Park, Pleasant Ridge.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay you want Royal Oak yet or no?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: No, not yet.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

Now the Royal Oak at the bottom is a Township. So use and go east with Royal Oak. Yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: Here?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: And you said you wanted Clawson?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Sure.

>> MR. MORGAN: You need 46,000. So I think Troy is split. But the balance of Troy would probably be more than you would need. You have Madison Heights, Ferndale, Hazel Park and Royal Oak available. Or you could go into Detroit.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Madison Heights so, sure. And Hazel Park.

>> MR. MORGAN: That puts you on the money not taking Ferndale and Royal Oak. Ferndale and Royal Oak are a little less than Madison Heights.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I'm going to leave Ferndale because they talked about wanting to be associated with Palmer Woods and that is in Detroit. So for now if seven is on the money.

>> MR. MORGAN: It is.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Then let's stop with that one.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Boy, I'm not sure I want to get into Dearborn unless Commissioner Szetela wants to help me out on the Dearborn, what was one and two. Certainly we can take, and this would be for two Southgate Lincoln and Allen Park and Dearborn and Dearborn Heights and Melvindale.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, so you want that to be District 2?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Right.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I think you will go over population with Lincoln Park on that, but you can take part of Lincoln Park.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Does that go into one and leave out Lincoln Park for now.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I think you will have to take part of it to get your population right.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yeah, you can take part of Lincoln Park. You can take a portion of Detroit.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yep.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: There is a very high Arabic population in the neighborhood Warren Dale at the north part of Dearborn. If you wanted to try to grab from there, that might be a good area to go.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, that sounds good.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Keeping that community of interest together.

>> MR. MORGAN: Did you mean Lincoln Park, Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, I'm talking about north of Dearborn.

>> MR. MORGAN: North of Dearborn in Detroit.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: If you can turn on the neighborhood map it may be helpful but it's the precincts lining the edge of Dearborn Heights and the top of Dearborn, that is pretty much Warren Dale.

>> MR. MORGAN: Warren Dale, yeah, it's these right there, these three.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

Start with just these three and go to the whole neighborhood potentially.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Depends what she wants to do, if she wants to split the neighborhoods. The two precincts in the Warren Avenue community and then those I think it's three or four across Warren Dale are, you know, pretty strong community of interest Arab American community there especially along Warren so I would kind of start there and see what the population is and then see if you want to go further.

>> MR. MORGAN: You are looking for 20,000 people. You probably would need all of this.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.

Does that sound good to you?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Sounds good to me, yep.

>> MR. MORGAN: This will be about half I think. That is 13. So we will start with these five first. Okay so that is two, four, six, eight, ten, and you are 6,000 under. So do you want the Warren avenue portion or Warren Dale portion?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would go to Warren Dale.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yep.

>> MR. MORGAN: It's almost on 1300 under. So you can take a little more up to the neighborhood boundary. You could also let's see, this would be one more.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: If you can put the whole neighborhood in unless it's going to massively put you over.

>> MR. MORGAN: It should be okay.

It won't be over.

>> CHAIR EID: Where is joy road here?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It's right at the border. Yeah it's the top border of what he is looking at.

>> MR. MORGAN: Of Warren Dale, there is joy road right here.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> CHAIR EID: If it helps, Commissioner Andrade, there is a community of interest map that was referenced quite a bit. I think it's our most referenced one actually. It's C1510 on our website that is -- it goes over this community.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: It's pretty close to what you are doing now.

>> MR. MORGAN: Warren avenue neighborhood is split but let's see where you are at on population. Okay, we will check that. Yeah, you can take a little more if you would like to.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Can we take, yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: Balance of the Warren avenue neighborhood.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: Garden view here as well?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: I'm going to have to pause here I think because it does not appear to be updating. So it is still showing me at 1300 under. No, it's not. I was looking at the wrong one, okay.

So that was too much. My fault for looking at the wrong number. So I'm going to back out of garden view. And then back out of that last portion of Warren and let me pause here and reconsider that. Okay.

So before taking Warren avenue I was looking at District 6 and wondered why it was not moving because we are doing District 2. So at the moment it's about 4,000 people over. Positive 1.5. So if you take all of that it would be too much. You can stick with that. You could take a little bit more or just stick with that.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: If we could, okay, take the rest of Warren avenue neighborhood out.

>> MR. MORGAN: So the dividing line that I discussed earlier was Warren avenue itself, right? So this is the area that was identified as being predominately Arab.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would leave that with Dearborn.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, all right.

>> MR. MORGAN: This is a major thorough fair in the area.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It's the commission District for that area.

>> MR. MORGAN: So you're good in District two and I will turn the neighborhoods off. Okay and then six and two are green. Just adjust the color so you can see it a little better. Okay.

All right, so drew District 2. And I'm previously 13, 6 and 7 so 7 is up here and now take...

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, what are you thinking? I think Commissioner Andrade might have dropped off.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: A lot of technical difficulties today. We have been going over two hours and I know we don't have a ton of time in the meeting and how about we take a five-minute recess to get her back on and take a quick break. Any objections?

Commissioner Curry, we can't hear you.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Did you say take a five-minute recess?

>> CHAIR EID: That is what I said.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Is that enough time?

>> CHAIR EID: Want a ten-minute recess?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: At least, a ten-minute.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Or stop early so we can go.

>> CHAIR EID: How is everyone feeling? We have Commissioner Andrade back so that is good.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm tired. I hear somebody else say they were tired.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's keep it moving and I'll try to get us out of here a little faster then.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay good-bye I'm gone.

>> CHAIR EID: I said let's keep it going.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry, can you just mute your microphone? We can hear some background noise. Thank you. Okay, I think you dropped off there for a moment, Commissioner Andrade.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Morgan was going over the changes to District 7 and District 2.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: And I am willing to pass on to the next person.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, the next person up to draw is Commissioner Callaghan.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Wow, that turn came around fast. Let's see what do we have left here? How many more districts two, three?

>> MR. MORGAN: Five.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Five.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a big and we have most of Macomb still unassigned and almost all of Detroit still unassigned.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, I wouldn't necessarily go up into Macomb myself. To me it just seems logistically easiest to start from the hard physical barrier of the river because you can't move that. And move west. So maybe let's do that. Start there on the river front. Doesn't much matter to me if we are going south or north. I guess we can start south.

>> MR. MORGAN: Would you want to take the Township Lincoln Park, River Rouge and Ecorse?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes and what District are we building here, one?

>> MR. MORGAN: District 1 generally is in that area.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: So we will start off with the Wayne townships then you will be in Detroit.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: We will give you 55,000. Do you want the neighborhoods on yet or go Guy roads?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Go by roads for a little bit and take the whole area and certainly through Corktown I think.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So Mexican town, Corktown.

>> MR. MORGAN: Put that much in and it will take you about 100,000.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is a hundred thousand there?

>> MR. MORGAN: That is, yeah, a hundred thousand and you need 162.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: We have to have a lot more then.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, thank you. I guess take downtown.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: All the way up to 75 there.

>> MR. MORGAN: Right here is 75.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: What is the one the intersection in that corner there, is that 96? 94? I get them all mixed up. They are like a big circle there.

>> MR. MORGAN: They literally are a big circle there.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Used to drive too me crazy when I was driving downtown every day, I could get lost in a hurry.

>> CHAIR EID: I would suggest Commissioner Callaghan to me Woodward would make a little more sense and 75.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: I hate to perpetuate the east side and west side debate.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, that is fine. Right there in the middle.

>> MR. MORGAN: So you don't want this portion in?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: If we are doing the Woodward divide I suppose not. After that we will have to head north.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay it's not exactly Woodward and it's following the voting precincts but it's about there.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN:

>> MR. MORGAN: That is basically the same 110,000 people basically. So you need 150 more, 150,000. And you have all of this area of Detroit.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah, so head, keep following along the border of two, heading north.

>> MR. MORGAN: Does that work?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yep. Where are we at there?

>> MR. MORGAN: You need 126,000.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay so you're going to have to go I think, start curling around the top of Dearborn maybe and keep heading north.

>> MR. MORGAN: Do you want the neighborhoods on at this point or no?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: You want the neighborhoods north of Dearborn?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes, please. What is that Fiskhorn, Plymouth and, yeah, go up, that is probably enough.

>> MR. MORGAN: You need a hundred thousand more people.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So you're going to have to take the whole just keep heading north.

>> MR. MORGAN: North.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes. Unless someone else has a better suggestion.

>> CHAIR EID: I like what you are doing. It kind of combines almost in a way District 1 and District 5 from the Motown Sound map. If you recall on that map we also kind of put the Down River Areas around Dearborn. So you could either go more eastward and try to keep going westward until the joy, Schoolcraft area.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Well, where is -- can you move that population thing out of the way just a tiny bit? What happened to Woodward. So go over to Woodward.

>> MR. MORGAN: Wood bridge, sorry, Woodward?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes and take those neighborhoods in. So that would be to the east.

>> CHAIR EID: Woodward road.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yeah.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm sorry I was not clear there.

>> MR. MORGAN: Do you want to put Wayne state in?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I suppose.

>> CHAIR EID: You could if you are having downtown, usually I think of Wayne state, mid town, youth center, tech town being, you know, all pretty similarly related. The question is do you want them with this District that you are making that is like a Down River plus Detroit District or do you want it on an east side District?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Maybe we should go west over the top of Dearborn all the way to the other District over there. Wrap one around the top of that.

>> MR. MORGAN: So you want to go all the way to Brightmoor or not?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I don't know how to draw this. Anybody else have a suggestion? I can't.

>> MR. MORGAN: Take off the neighborhoods again.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: You could just follow the expressway there and then put the neighborhoods together that are being split.



>> CHAIR EID: Try for it and keep going in the direction you are going, and we will see how it looks and we can change it later if we don't like it.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, so go west over to District 6.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, hold on a second. Assign those first. So you still need 53,000 people.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Can you so go ahead and take the north part up there.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so you still need 27,000.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: You got that little finger sticking out, sticking to the west.

>> MR. MORGAN: Right here.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: Needing 24,000.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, so see that, what is that triangle right there? Schoolcraft road? What is that road?

>> MR. MORGAN: Grand River.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, so, yeah, take that under Grand River.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: The neighborhoods basically I guess.

>> MR. MORGAN: Sure, we will put.

>> CHAIR EID: Put the neighborhoods up, it could help.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Maybe Zoom out just a little bit so I can see what we got so far.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay well then let me turn off the neighborhoods.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah, just for a second.

>> MR. MORGAN: Sure. When you go to Grand River you can imagine what that will look like.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Right, so I guess what I'm trying to do at this point is make this a not make it an oddly shaped District and it's wraps around two which is a community of interest but I would like to kind of connect the upper northern part with the other part that is hanging down the other side. So however much we can take in that square that's kind of carved out to make it look less like a crazy snake.

>> MR. MORGAN: Do you want me to take the areas south of Grand River?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: South of Grand River, yep.

>> MR. MORGAN: This neighborhood is primarily north but it splits right on Grand River. So we will come back to that one.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yep.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I just have a question about the shape of this District. It seems like we are trying really hard not to just go along the waterfront. Is there a reason that those two sides should not be together? It seems like since they are both on the water they would have something in common.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: From my perspective, no. I just was doing a try of going north instead of going along the water. But I don't have a specific reason for that. If this looks too crazy we can undo it and take it back that way instead.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: It was just a question, it just seems like this is a lot of work, we have to work really hard to get something to work and I don't know if the other way would be easier and potentially better. I don't know.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Surprising how big it gets from the Senate to get the population. The House Districts were so much smaller. It was a lot easier. So this one is pretty stretched out I would agree because you are Down River and all the way up north. Which seems a bit of a stretch.

>> MR. MORGAN: The moment you are within 8,000 people of 0. Within 2000 people.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay I'm going to leave it for now and we can adjust. I mean.

>> MR. MORGAN: Do you want to bring it closer? There are a couple more that are like these areas here.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Sure.

>> MR. MORGAN: You need a little more population, so you have the option of taking all of this Grand River, a portion of this Grand River. You also could make adjustments over here around tech town and the University place.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Take all of the Grand River District, yep.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

Okay.

Did you want to stop there or finish this neighborhood?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: We are minus five. Yeah, you can finish that neighborhood.

>> MR. MORGAN: All right, I will get this done quickly. It will take you to 4500 under. 1.7 and there you go. Turn off the neighborhoods. All right, so there you go.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, I'm good.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, could you talk a little bit about why you drew it this way? I think you wanted to combine the Down River communities with the west side of Detroit.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Sure. Exactly. Trying to keep to the to your point the normal split of west side, east side that has always been there is communities of interest. So to the west side of Woodward and then going up as north as far as possible as needed to get the population to complete the District. So downtown and Corktown and Mexican town and leaves the east side and some of Wayne state, midtown, other places to be a separate District that are not grouped with Down River.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, thank you. I'll just return for a moment to Commissioner Andrade. Could you speak a little bit about how you drew 2 and 7 the way that you did? Or that part.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, I mean seven we had talked about Southfield. Keeping Southfield and Oak Park and I know that area pretty well so that seemed like a commonsense kind of thing to do for 7, to keep that together. And in two I really liked what Commissioner Szetela did. And I was trying to kind of replicate that with her help. By the way thank you, Commissioner Szetela.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You're welcome.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: And keeping Dearborn and the communities of interest in Dearborn together, so that was my point with those two.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, thank you.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Thanks.

>> CHAIR EID: That will conclude mapping for today.

We will start tomorrow off with Commissioner Wagner and then move to Commissioner Curry. I do see a few hands up. I saw Commissioner Curry's hand first.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I have a question. So we're not under that lawsuit where the people that were suing for Detroit to get more, what was it that they needed more of? We're not under that this time around, is that right? Under the lawsuit where we had to kind of please the people that took us to Court. Are we under that? On this.

>> CHAIR EID: This is the remedial process for that lawsuit.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: It seemed like.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Fink, can you speak to that.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can I finish.

>> CHAIR EID: I'm sorry I thought you were done. Let's let Commissioner Curry finish.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Just trying to find out if we are still under that umbrella because if we are we are doing everything but that on this map. And I thought you was going to let us out early since we didn't take a break.

>> CHAIR EID: I'm trying. Mr. Fink can you answer Commissioner Curry's question?

>> Nate Fink: Mr. Chairman I think you were correct, and you said this is a continuation of that process. Commissioner Curry, the previous mapping that we were doing over the past couple of months was related to the redraw of the State House map that was ordered by the Court. And this is the redraw of the State Senate map that was ordered by the Court from that same lawsuit. So this is the continuation of that. And it sounded like you perhaps don't like this particular map that is being drawn and of course you should convey those thoughts. And you guys will have your deliberation process as you continue on through this initially the mapping process and then you will discuss the maps that you like and you don't like and all of that. But, yes, this is a continuation of the Court ordered redraw of the Senate map.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry, if you are speaking we cannot hear you.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No, it's not that I don't like the map, it seems like we are not hitting what we are being sued for. We are not getting to the things they are

suing us for. It's just, you know, but other than that, you know, I was just wondering were we still under that pressure to do what the courts want us to do when it came to the other map and this one. Because it just seems like we are not thinking about it on this one. So I don't know. I'm just asking a question. I'm through with that.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Commissioner Curry. Commissioner Szetela, I see your hand.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah I just wanted to state for the record that the Scannell map has been compressed and put in a shape file and sent over to John Morgan and Edward and you Anthony as the Chair and also Erin Wagner and should have it in your in box. If for some reason you don't have it, John, shoot me a thought and I will resend.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, I got it. Thank you, Commissioner Szetela. Okay we will now be moving on to the Executive Director's report. There is an Executive Director report today and without objection I will ask MICRC executive director Edward Woods III to provide the report. Is there any objection? I do not hear any, please proceed Mr. Woods.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: In assisting you, Commissioner Eid as Chair and getting the Commission out early we can talk about the Executive Director report on tomorrow. And I'll just remind everyone that we are meeting tomorrow from 10-1. And also from 4-7. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Can we just hear the Executive Director's report tonight, so we have the extra time tomorrow to map? We had a long conversation earlier that took up a lot of time so if it's just a quick report, can we just do it tonight?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I mean there is really nothing to update other than the meeting, Commissioner Lange. It's just a standard agenda item so I don't really have anything pressing to share. So and I don't think I have that much tomorrow to be honest with you. So just giving you guys your time back and cooperation with the Chair.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, thank you Commissioner Lange and Executive Director Woods. We will now move on to acknowledgments or announcements, are there any and announcements? All right I do not hear any. We will now move on to adjournment. As the items on the agenda are complete the Commission has no further business, a motion to adjourn is in order. May I have a motion, please?

>> So moved.

>> CHAIR EID: All right well I heard Commissioner Weiss first this time. I guess Commissioner Lett left us a little while ago, that is why. So we moved the tone a bit and a motion by Commissioner Weiss and a second by Commissioner Callaghan is there any discussion on the motion? Hearing none we will now vote. All those in favor of the motion to adjourn please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> CHAIR EID: Those opposed please raise your hand and say nay. The ayes have it and we are adjourned at 6:48 p.m. and we will reconvene tomorrow at 10:00 a.m. See you all there.