

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

MICRC

20240514-1000 Meeting

Captioned by Q&A Reporting, Inc., [www.qacaptions.com](http://www.qacaptions.com)

>> CHAIR EID: Good morning, everyone.

Everybody hear me okay?

As Chair of the Commission, I call the meeting of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission to order at 10:01 a.m.

This Zoom webinar is being live streamed on YouTube on The Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission YouTube channel.

For anyone in the public watching who would prefer to watch via a different platform than they are currently using, please visit our social media at Redistricting MI.

Our live stream today includes closed captioning. Closed captioning, ASL interpretation, and Spanish and Arabic and Bengali translation services will be provided for effective participation in this meeting. Please E-mail us at [Redistricting@michigan.gov](mailto:Redistricting@michigan.gov) for additional viewing options or details for accessing language translation services for this meeting.

People with disabilities or needing other specific accommodations should also contact Redistricting at [Michigan.gov](http://Michigan.gov).

This meeting is also being recorded and will be available at [www.Michigan.gov/MICRC](http://www.Michigan.gov/MICRC) for viewing at a later date.

This meeting also is being transcribed and those closed-captioned transcriptions will be made available and posted on the [Michigan.gov/MICRC](http://Michigan.gov/MICRC) website along with written public comment submissions. At that website you will also find a Public comment portal that may be accessed by visiting the website which is [www.Michigan.gov/MICRC](http://www.Michigan.gov/MICRC).

Members of the media who may have questions before, during or after the meeting should direct those questions to Edward Woods III, Executive Director Of the Commission at [WoodsE3@Michigan.gov](mailto:WoodsE3@Michigan.gov). I will For the public watching and the record I will turn to Department of State to take note of Commissioners present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Good morning Commissioners. Please say present when I call your name. If you are attending the meeting remotely please announce during roll call you are attending the meeting remotely and unless absence is due to military duty state the county, City, Township or the village and state which you are attending the meeting remotely.

I will begin roll call alphabetically with Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Present remotely from Imlay Township, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Present attending remotely from Mexico.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Attending from Detroit Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Present remotely attending from Detroit, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Present remotely from Osceola County, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Present attending from Grand Traverse County, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon? Commissioner Muldoon I see you, but you are on mute.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Present; Carrollton, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Present attending remotely from Battle Creek, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Attending remotely from Wayne County, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Present from Eaton Township, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Present attending remotely from Saginaw Township, Saginaw Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: I will go back to Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Present from Detroit Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: And, again, Commissioner Wagner?

Mr. Chair, you have 12 Commissioners present and you do have a quorum.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: We will move to adopt the agenda.

As a reminder to the public watching you can view the agenda at [www.Michigan.gov/MICRC](http://www.Michigan.gov/MICRC).

I'll entertain a motion to approve the agenda for today's meeting.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So moved.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: Motion by Commissioner Lett and seconded by Commissioner Weiss to approve today's agenda. Is there any discussion on the motion? I don't see any

hands so we will move to vote, all those in favor of the motion to approve the agenda raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Is there any opposed? please raise your hand and say nay.

The ayes have it and the agenda is adopted. Next on the agenda is public comments. Without objection Commissioners we will begin public comment pertaining to agenda topics for today's meeting. Is there any objection? Hearing none we will move forward.

Individuals who have signed up and indicated they would like to provide live remote public commentary to the Commission will now be allowed. I will call your name and our staff will unmute you.

If you are on a computer you will be prompted by the Zoom app to unmute your microphone and speak. If you are on the phone a voice will say the host wants you to speak and prompt you to press star six to unmute. I will call you by your name And Please note if you are experiencing technical or audio issues or do not hear from you for three to five seconds we will move to the next person in line and return to you after you are done speaking. If your audio still does not work e-mail [redistricting@Michigan.gov](mailto:redistricting@Michigan.gov) and we can help you trouble shoot to participate during the next public comment period at a later meeting.

We have four folks who signed up to speak today and the first being Mr. James Galant.

>> Hello, can you hear me?

>> CHAIR EID: Good morning, Mr. Gallant we can hear you.

>> James Galant Marquette suicide prevention coalition and these are my opinions. Chair Eid and other Michigan independent citizens redistricting Commissioners, and other distinguished guests welcome to today's matinee of the wizard of oz. In Michigan the United States of America. Now I got a spoiler alert Chair Eid I believe that you are the wizard of Oz. You're the one that is pulling the strings to manipulating the levers over and above your constitutional duty under your oath of office to power the Constitution. This consensus decision making rules and procedures all of them I believe are standing null and void according to the rules because you did not follow the rules to change the rules right from February 20th21. You didn't do that. So please hire a-parliamentarian to give written analysis of the rules and advice but to Chair the meetings. That would truly make this a nonpartisan because you have the powers in position here. You just reestablished, didn't you the custom that now the General Counsel is allowed to facilitate at the direction of the Chair. The agenda items that are not before the Commission. There is nothing before the Commission. There is no motion. There is no second. Because you reestablished that because Commissioner Szetela called a point of order, when the custom fell to the ground, but you revived it at the next meeting and Commissioner Szetela did not call a point of order, I guess she worked that out.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Mr. Gallant. Next up we have Mr. Anthony Scannell.

>> Thank you, MICRC, good morning to you. And I don't know I enjoyed that public hearing, that was fun at Wayne State and all the other ones but now we only have 90 seconds, not three minutes so I got to cut it short. But the last map I think that was being worked on at the last meeting, that one was just terrible for me because the City of Lincoln Park was being split up. I just think it's too small. I don't think it's necessary. So I know it's just a spit ball and just an idea but that last map you can put that in the circular file from the last meeting. So I'm looking at District 1 mostly, a little bit two, a little bit four, but looking at District 1 I would say there were 13 maps that have this configuration that puts the northern Down River cities and links that up with like southwest Detroit. But not all of it. And then downtown Detroit and then way up to the east side of Detroit and that is a majority of the completed maps on the portal. 13 of them. Not my favorite configuration so I wouldn't choose any of those. We had nine maps that have a kind of different configuration but never mind, thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Mr. Scannell. Next up to provide public comment is Molly Brennan.

>> Hello.

>> CHAIR EID: Good morning Molly.

>> Good morning first I would like to thank you for the redistricting maps to more accurately reflect the State of Michigan voters and fairer maps. Legislature should closely reflect the voters, Farmington Hills and county Oakland and school District. I chose to live within them. They have a direct impact on my living and my well-being and I feel heard within their governance. I would like to feel my state Senator will listen to me and will advocate for my community and therefore for me and my community will not be an orphan within its District. Only one of the proposed 2022 kept Farmington Hills together the rest separated Farmington above I 696 and below I 696, an artificial barrier and/or fingers south of 696 from all three of my communities, school District, City and county and I feel my voice and interests will not be listened to because they are basically Livonia and Wayne County. I ask as you tweak the maps you keep cities and counties like mine and specifically Farmington Hills together and not let a highway separate out of the school communities and marginalizing us within a new District. I ask you keep the main goal always in front of you with map ends with a legislature in reality will as closely as possible reflect the people and communities of Michigan so all of us can feel listened to, heard and advocated for. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Ms. Brennan. Next up to provide public comment to the Commission is mayor Robert McCraight.

>> Good morning everybody can you hear me?

>> CHAIR EID: Good morning we can hear you.

>> First of all I want to thank you for taking time to serve on this Commission, the task in front of you is not an easy one and appreciate the hard work and effort here. Several

of the maps that have come out affect my community City of Romulus citizen District 4. And we've already taken a hit if our State Representatives by diluting our voice by having the first redistricting have three representatives and it's watered down the voice of the City of Romulus. I'm concerned about doing that again at the Senate because Romulus have several nuisances and House Detroit Metropolitan airport. And with housing we need to make sure Senators are familiar with the intimate details in Romulus. 36 million people annually go through DTW and there are some different nuisances and hardships associated to our community of housing that airport. 80,000 jobs are associated with the airport. I want to make sure you are not looking at the 25,000 rooftops in Romulus and the people that live here, much more goes on and a state Senator who is familiar with the nuisances in the City of Romulus and our growth. We continue to struggle with State Representatives now because now we are watered down and I hate to see that happen at the next level and the Senate so several of those maps like I said have shown a clear division in the City of Romulus and put the northern half of Romulus with communities that don't have similar interest. We want to make sure Romulus remains whole and under one State Representative. One thing to add City Council did pass a unanimous resolution to request this Commission to leave us whole in District 4. Thank you for your time.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. We do have a question for you from one of the Commissioners. Commissioner Szetela, I see your hand.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah that is actually what I was going to ask what he snuck in at the end is if he had a choice obviously he is saying keep it whole but if he had a choice of going south into District 4 or north up into Wayne and Westland area and he said he would prefer it to be in District 4 so thank you.

>> Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you for providing comment to the Commission. That concludes our public comment for today's meeting. Please feel free to e-mail public comments to the Commission at [redistricting@Michigan.gov](mailto:redistricting@Michigan.gov) or visit our public comment portal that can be found on the website or at [Michigan-mapping.org](http://Michigan-mapping.org) to provide written public comment to the Commission. We appreciate everyone who offers public comment in whatever way you choose and invite you to keep sharing your thoughts.

Next up on the agenda is items for consideration 5A proposed 2024 mapping deliberations State Senate process. If there is no objection I will ask local counsel Nate Fink to facilitate this item. Is there any objection, Commissioners? Hearing none we will move on by unanimous consent. Please proceed, Mr. Fink.

>> NATE FINK: Thank you chairman and good morning Commissioners. This is a document that has been updated in very minor ways from the Michigan House remedial deliberations process simply to update the dates really and excuse me note that this is for the Senate and not the House. But other than that it's essentially the same

document that the Commission had approved for the House remedial process.

Commissioner Szetela's hand is up.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Were we sent a red lined copy of this document so we could see what was being changed?

>> NATE FINK: I'm not sure. I think that Director Woods, I know he sent the PDF of this version. I can send around or share a red line if you want just to show you the changes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would appreciate that, thank you.

>> NATE FINK: Sure. So other than that, like I said, it's just the changes to the dates and updating it to reflect this is for the Senate and not for the House.

So I can either I mean we can discuss the basic gist of it, but you all had an opportunity to review it and now it's up on the screen obviously. But we have the different terms, Director Woods if you can just scroll up a little bit. Scroll up. There, all right. The we had draft maps which that is a defined term in the mapping procedures document, which are collaborative maps, alternate drafts which are individual maps drafted by individually by Commissioners. And then the proposed maps are the maps that the Commission sent forward for public comment. In this case they will be submitted to the Court, not for the Court's review but pursuant to the Court's order we are required to submit the proposed maps as we send them out to the public for feedback and comment. We also submit them to the Court like we did during the House remedial process.

So I would recommend that the Commission adopt these, if folks would like to see a red line before doing that I can circulate that and excuse me, it looks like Commissioner Lange you have a question?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yeah, I just have something, it doesn't it kind of pertains to the document, but it doesn't. I'm seeing the dates on there. And honestly I have a conflict with the 21st. It's going to be very touch and go for me. I have something that I cannot miss. Unfortunately. I'm going to try and call in, but my time from at like 9:30, 11 and 4:00 p.m. will be very intermittent so I'm hoping we can, I know the times were changed for that date also. So I just want to put that out there in advance for the public that unfortunately scheduling has kind of thrown a big old boomerang at me, but I will do my best to be there when I can on the 21st. So just wanted to put that out there.

>> NATE FINK: Commissioner Szetela what is the question?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: What is the schedule on the 20th? I have not seen where it is displayed.

>> NATE FINK: Director Woods, can you scroll up? There is the deliberation schedule.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Do we have a time for these or is it just a specific date?

>> NATE FINK: I believe those times were set. I know last week the Commission had modified some of the timeframes. And so I would actually defer to Director Woods. I have a list of what the original schedule was.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Sure. The time was extended from, it was 10-3. But the Commission voted to go 10-5 on Monday, May 20th and eliminate the 5-7:30 p.m. time. So Monday May 20th it will be 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. with a lunch from 12:30 to 1:30 and then on Tuesday we will follow the same schedule. 10:00 a.m. to 5 p.m. with a lunch from 12:30 p.m. to 1:30 p.m.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm not available the morning of May 20th at all.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Okay, so Commissioner Lange, can you, I think you said 9:30 what was the other times you mentioned?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: The other times 9:30, 11:00 a.m., 4:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m. I'm just looking at my schedule again. I don't know how much time I will have in between those times. Like I said, I will have to be a phone in, and I'll do my best.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: So when you say 9:30, 11:30 and 4:00 p.m. are those.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: 9:30, 11:00, so 9:30 a.m., 11:00 a.m., 4:00 p.m. and 7:00 p.m.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Okay during those intervals of time will you be gone 30 minutes, or do you have a known time for that?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I do not have the known time. That's why I was bringing it forward. I will phone in between with what time I have. I'm not sure exactly what the time constraint is going to be. So I'm going to try and attend as much as I can. And see if there is some kind of arrangement I can make where I can at least when I'm not available maybe be able to listen in. I don't know. It's something I'm going to have to work out.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Okay, thank you for that. Commissioner Szetela, you said the morning of the 20th you're not available at all?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: So when does the morning end for you? Because we start at 10:00.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I could be available for the afternoon session starting at 1:30.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Okay thank you, Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah I just want to get clarity on the times because I'm looking at my calendar and it's not in agreement with what you said are the times. So on my calendar there is an invite from 10-1 on the 20th and from 5 p.m. to 7:30 p.m. Are you saying those are not the actual meeting times?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: That is correct. You should have received an update from Kristin. If that has not happened I will make sure that goes out but that is the time that was voted last week.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So those times on the calendar need to be updated otherwise I won't be at the meeting. I live and die by what my calendar says.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you for that. Commissioner Szetela, is your question answered or do you have something else?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: No issue there. So just a recap to follow-up on Mr. Fink in terms of what the Commission voted for, Monday May 20th, from 10-5. Tuesday May 21, 10-5. They also voted that the collaborative maps would be done by 3:00 p.m. on tomorrow so we can send it for VRA review. And the Commission also voted at the alternative maps would be turned in tomorrow by 3:00 p.m. so they can also go for VRA review, which will take place on Thursday so just want to reiterate what was voted.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I have one question on that. You said that the alternative, which I'm assuming that would be independent maps or individual Commissioner maps, will you clarify for me, do those individual maps need to be presented in a meeting before they go on for analysis?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Not at all.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, thank you.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: But they do need to be in tomorrow by 3:00 p.m.

>> CHAIR EID: So everyone is clear for the public, I know this process might be a little confusing but what we are talking about now is not the final deliberation. We are sending draft maps to the Court on that are due on the 22nd of May. If you recall last time around I believe we sent 10 or 11 maps to the Court for review and we will hear from the public and enter our final deliberations at a later point.

>> NATE FINK:

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Fink?

>> NATE FINK: I would recommend the Commission adopt the proposed remedial Senate remedial, the deliberations process document that we just shared. And I can see a red line and I see Commissioner Lange's hand up, is that the question, Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes, thank you.

>> NATE FINK: Okay, if you would like I can put that together and perhaps you can take this up to vote a little bit later today, if that is okay.

Or I don't want to speak out of turn Director Woods.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I have the red line.

>> NATE FINK: Okay, great. As you can see the text that is in red is the new text and it was just placing the Michigan House at the top and swapping out the dates which were default and earlier dates related to the House remedial plan. So nothing changed substantively at all in the recommended process. So with that I would recommend that the Commission someone move to adopt these plan processes.



>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I move we adopt the process as presented by attorney Fink.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion by Commissioner Lett, seconded by Commissioner Orton to adopt this Senate deliberations process. Is there any discussion on the motion? Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, every single time we have this happen it's the same lack of responsiveness to the same request I make. Any time we are making a change of policy I would like to see a red line with the proposed Document 24 hours ahead of time which is our rules so I can see what has changed. I should not have to take your PDF, convert it to a word document and run a comparison in word to see the changes that are made. I make this request every single time and every single time we try to get looking at red lines during a meeting instead of having them provided in advance. It's not professional. So I'm going to vote no just because I have not gotten this Document 24 hours before with the red lines so that I can see what was changed and consider them. Rather than being rushed and pressured to do it on the fly in a meeting.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Commissioner Szetela. Is there any more discussion? We do have on there that both of the collaborative and individual maps are due tomorrow, 3:00 p.m. just want to make sure everybody knows that. Okay, hearing no further discussion we will move to vote. All those in favor of the motion to adopt this process please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Any opposed please raise your hand and say nay.

>> Nay.

>> CHAIR EID: The ayes have it and the process is adopted.

Next up on our agenda is mapping. Without objection I will ask the Commissioner to return to its collaborative mapping process as pursuant to our rules of procedure and mapping policies. Is there any objection, Commissioners? Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: No objection. I just have something to ask when you're done.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, is there any objection, Commissioners? All right seeing none we will move on to mapping. I believe we have John Morgan helping us today from EDS.

>> MR. MORGAN: That's correct.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Morgan it's always a pleasure to have you.

>> CHAIR EID: We ended last week with Commissioner Andrade so we will be starting back up with you and Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Thank you. Before we get started in the mapping, I don't know if Kent Stigall is here today. However, I would like to ask that Commissioner

Wagner worked on an individual map and unfortunately I'm not going to give out her personal information here. She is not able to be here today for reasons again that I'm not going to say. So I'm asking that her individual map please be submitted for VRA review today if they could get that to her. I do know she worked with Mr. Stigall so I don't know Mr. Morgan if you have a copy, if you don't, if you could please get with Kent and get that forwarded, it would be greatly appreciated. And that's all I've got, looking out for my team member.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Morgan?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes, let me address that directly. I do have a copy of Commissioner Wagner's map. Kent Stigall sent it to me. And I can send that forward for VRA analysis with the next group of maps we send forward. If that is what you would like me to do. And it sounds like based on the earlier discussion that it's not required for that to be shown publicly in order to do that, is that my understanding correct?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: That would be my understanding.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay and then if I could just to update on the status of maps that were requested to be sent forward. Most of the maps that were worked on were sent forward for VRA analysis. And my understanding is that Dr. Palmer accomplished most of those maps, if not all of them. And just to review this for the Commission's benefit there were 24 maps that were complete maps. It may have been said earlier that there were 18. And one of the maps that was listed as complete, sorry, one of the maps was, had a deviation of 6.82. And that map is May 9, version 2 and it corresponds to map 374. So I'll have to confirm whether or not that was sent forward. I think it was not because we have a little bit more time to make an adjustment. But the remainder maps were sent forward. And then the other notes that I see here are that the two plans that Commissioner Lange, that were listed, that Commissioner Lange drafted, version one and version two were listed as complete on the my Districting site. But they were replaced by versions three and four. And those were sent forward for VRA analysis. So I think, you know, just in making clear of what was sent forward. I think it was just one plan that was not, and I do have the spreadsheet that shows all of the maps that were complete and the ones that are incomplete, so I can review that if needed. And looking at the notes it looks like this version May 9th, version 2 was sent forward. But it is -- it does have the deviation, a higher deviation than generally we have been wanting to use. So with all that, I will stop talking.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Mr. Morgan. Somebody today wants to use their turn to look at that map for Commissioner Wagner, I think that might be a good idea just so we can see it. But free to use your turns how you like.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Mr. Chair before you get started, I don't have a hand on my screen where I can use that little yellow hand so I'm using my hand. I just wanted to ask Mr. Morgan to send mine in for VRA too.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes, that was done. And I believe Dr. Palmer has those results which will be shared when we review the other results.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Thank you.

>> MR. MORGAN: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: And we have 24 complete maps so far; is that right, Mr. Morgan?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes, 24 complete maps and again I have a spreadsheet of that, and I also have the ones that are incomplete and their numbers.

>> CHAIR EID: Right, now some of those 24s are like later versions are duplicates and then improvements to those like first batch so we should keep that in mind when we are thinking about how many maps we want to send to the Court. Later, next week after we get the VRA analysis. But that is a decision for a later day. Now we can continue mapping and try to improve and fix any issues that we may see. So let's get started with that. And we are going to continue with Commissioner Andrade.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay so, Mr. Morgan, if we can pull up 042904 version 7. And this is a map that we started at the end of our last meeting, public meeting on Thursday. And I believe it was started with by Commissioner Vallette. And what we are trying to accomplish in this one is to bring together all the things we have heard from public comment kind of try to get the best version. With that being said, there are two districts that have not been mapped, District 11 and 10. And five districts that need significant numbers of population added in, District 7, 5, 3, 2 and 13 all need population.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, let me double check the date of that and the number, please.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: So you said it was what date?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: 050924 version 7.

>> MR. MORGAN: I see, I got it. That's why I didn't see it because it's incomplete. So give me a second and I will pull that up.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: I loaded all these other plans and so that one fell lower on my list of plans so just a moment. All right. 0509 version 7 so I have it opened, and I think what we would normally do is copy this and give it today's date. Is that what you would like to do Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes, please.

>> MR. MORGAN: Today's day May 14th, collaborative version one. Okay so just to review that is correct there were two districts that were completely unformed 10 and 11 and several Districts that are still out of alignment, notably 2, 3, 5 and 7.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: And I believe 13.

>> MR. MORGAN: And 13, correct.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, so I would like to start with creating District 11 and if we can go to the top and start filling that in. Southern Macomb County down to I think I will go down to Fraser and west to the line that's nine.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so you are proposing the southern portion of Macomb Township and then the western portion of Clinton Township, the eastern portion of Sterling Heights and down to Fraser?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: We might need more or a little less, I'm not sure.

>> MR. MORGAN: I will just change the color here; District 11's default color is similar to the unassigned colors. So that is the portion of Macomb Township. And for the moment I'm going to lock District 12.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: That is the Clinton Township portion. And that takes it up to 166,000.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay then moving west, towards District 9.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay the Sterling Heights portion here?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: At this point District 11 is 29, almost 30,000 under populated.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay then down to Roseville.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, so all of Roseville is 49,000 so you want a portion of Roseville?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: 2209, 2237 for example?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yep, yep.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, and these are the populations, not the precinct numbers. Okay and then these are the next row would be 4103, 3353, 4175 and 2083, that's the population. Want those and that takes it up to 13 mile. And now it's 4.4% under, 12000, almost 12000. Continue in Roseville or more?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, 37, 3534, 1536, 4327 is that what you would like?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: All right so that takes you to half a percent over, 1419 over.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay and then we can do 10, which would be at this point, yeah, just start moving from East Point west through Warren and that little bit down into Detroit.

>> MR. MORGAN: So you are looking at all of East Point and you want all of Warren for the moment?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: And Centerline Township internal?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: So assign those to 10. Then you have these precincts of Roseville.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Right, yes, both of those.

>> MR. MORGAN: These unassigned precincts in Detroit.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Correct.

>> MR. MORGAN: There is an unassigned precinct here in Sterling Heights.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, I never liked how that is just Sterling Heights. Will that fit into 9?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Can we put it in 9?

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

All right so nine is 310 over so it was able to absorb that precinct.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay and then for 10, if we could.

>> MR. MORGAN: Putting the townships on so it will show population of Madison Heights is 28,000 and Ferndale is I'm sorry Hazel Park is 15,000. Ferndale was 19,000.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Let's put in Madison Heights and Hazel Park. Okay that is 43,000. And then I put it in the 9 of course, hang on here and just clear this. I thought so, I need to unlock District 9 even though it said it assigned it to 9 it may not have done that so just a minute here. Okay so first thing I'm going to do is just reassign that precinct in case it wasn't actually assigned. Madison Heights, Hazel Park. Into 10. So that shows 10 at 6,000, 70 under negative 2.29 and let me save this. Okay so it still shows some unassigned territory there and that appears to be essentially an old District but if we add up the deviations here that's going to probably approximate that whole District.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Right.

>> MR. MORGAN: 28, 49, 51, 92, 104 so it's not just this but this unassigned area and this unassigned area in Taylor and this unassigned area in Detroit adds up to that amount of population.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Right, okay.

My thought at this point, which would be the simplest thing to put that unassigned between 6, 10 and 9 into 7. Okay 7 needs 110,000. Basically. But.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay all of this portion of Oakland County for the moment into seven?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: So let's do that. So we will start with Birmingham since that is most approximate to seven. Then it looks like there is a portion of Southfield Township I think. Yes. Do you want that next? These are three precincts?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes, put that in.

>> MR. MORGAN: Then we have Clawson, Royal Oak and Berkeley.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, put that into seven.

>> MR. MORGAN: Clawson, Royal Oak and Berkeley, okay.

And it looks like this is probably a precinct of Troy. Is there? Would it go back into nine?

>> MR. MORGAN: Looks like it could.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Put it back into nine.

>> MR. MORGAN: I'm going to confirm and select a Township and just show without assigning the whole Township just to show that I believe this is a portion of Troy. All right so, yeah, that is a portion of Troy so let me clear that and we will put it in as a voting precinct into nine. Okay so nine is about a thousand over so that is still within the tolerance. And then switching back to townships for a moment. All right, so we have Huntington Woods, Pleasant Ridge Royal Oak and Oak Park.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, I know that is going to put seven over. But I think we have to start moving things around in a circular pattern down to six and three and where we are missing more. So if we can put that in. And if any of the Commissioners I believe we worked on six at the end of last week. Is that true? Is that accurate? I think.

>> CHAIR EID: We were configuring it to go in that L-shape direction.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Because I don't want to mess with it.

>> CHAIR EID: Feel free to mess with it.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: No, because there was I believe Commissioner Callaghan was working on that with you, Commissioner Eid. And again, I know like three needs population. Five needs population. Two needs population and 13 needs population. So, you know, maybe we could put some of Southfield into, wait.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Wouldn't it be easier to start or to make adjustments starting at the river front since that is a hard boundary? I mean the river is the river. You can't go that way. So for those districts down there you can only go out bound towards the suburbs. So if you get those river front districts set population wise and COI wise then the other districts can morph around those. I mean the ones Down River you are in water and can't go anywhere else.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Commissioner Callaghan that is what I was going to say. I think and it also depends on what Commissioner Andrade are trying to do with your turn. When we started this map, yes, I agree that it was an attempt to get the best of both worlds. But also we were trying the idea of trying to wrap districts so when you start in the suburbs like for instance what you did with ten so far, you're creeping across an area that mimics a lot of the other maps already. Instead of using Warren and eight mile together so like using but you can obviously you can do whatever you want to with your turn. So instead of merging I think that is eight and ten so.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, I was under the impression that Detroit was kind of set in a different configuration and then we were going to work around that. I'm not, you know, what we do with ten is you know, it's not set in stone. I do like that 11 is more of a Suburban area rather than creeping into the urban areas. And then, again, we have

the area in between 12 and 1 that's not assigned, that needs to be assigned. And Commissioner Kellom, I thought maybe you would know where that would go or.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: So I guess at least my personal way of drawing a map, it's harder to follow behind once someone starts drawing in a way I would not have drawn. So I would just give suggestions because we ended at six. Well really we ended at two and trying to figure out how to wrap Taylor and by you starting in Macomb you're squeezing, you know, you are moving from a different direction so you will and now it's going to be different.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: The river front is not complete and two is not populated. We got a whole swath of land there between 1 and 12 that is completely unassigned. We have down there the Taylor and Allen Park area is not assigned. I mean, on one side of those districts you have the Detroit river. You cannot go that way. And so we need to figure out for my mind just trying to solve a puzzle, I would always start at the river front and move outward. Because if you leave trapped places at the river front you don't have any place to put them and unless you redo everything. So to me it seems like it would be easiest to figure out where we want one, you know, two, four, what are those districts going to look like and go out to the outer limits then start wrapping things around. But that is just how my mind works.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, I thought we had started with one. I thought we had started getting rid of one, two, three, six, eight, and.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: There is a gap there down at the river front.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: What Commissioner Vallette did with her turn is took an overlay of the Detroit area and started to fill in the Detroit area. But then I raised my hand, and we have the public comment from Gary Moorehead, this is my recollection so we kind of paused on directly mimicking the Detroit area and started to create these wrap around areas so that we could have about four Detroit centric districts. So one, two, eight and three weren't really done. That's why we were moving into Taylor. Taylor is unassigned because that's where we left off.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay and then six got.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Reconfigured that is what Commissioner Callaghan worked on. It got reconfigured so we could wiggle around 5, 3, 2 and so were moving into Detroit but we repossessed 6 so that we could do Detroit work.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: So why don't you guys just delete 10 and finish 2 and then you can go from there.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I mean it's up to everyone else.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, no, that is good.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That's a good idea.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I don't know we have to delete it. We can just ignore it for now and go back down to it.

>> CHAIR EID: Right.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: So if we switch off and complete Taylor and that area because, again, two needs 75,000 people. Three needs 34, 35,000 people. And five needs 27,000 plus people. So.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So my first question would be if we look at District 1 does that look where we want one? Do we want one to stretch across the river front and go almost up to 8 Mile or do we think we could pair District 1 back and give some of that upper northern part of Detroit over to District 8, right? So if 3 can come down below it or are we going to like chop one and not have it go as far Down River and give that to District 2. We need to figure out what we want District 1 to look like first and go from there.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: And I defer to Commissioner Kellom.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Well, we are not referring, we are all drawing and learning together. That is the point of this. I don't want us to keep doing hot potato.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Bad choice of words.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah, so for one I would suggest you could kind of keep this, what do I want to call it? The northeastern part of one. But I think that would have to be adjusted. And I would can we Zoom into the map? Sorry, I will enlarge my screen too. We have lots going on now.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm having a hard time seeing those numbers too.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I would put Ecorse, River Rouge and leave Lincoln, Allen Park, Melvindale and put that in District 2.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Two, yeah.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That will also include Warren Dale and that will satisfy what we heard about COI, literally. That is putting all of those areas together in terms of let me be specific the Mena population and Arab American, Arab population. So, again, I would if we are talking about one I would do River Rouge, Ecorse, I would come along that side.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: What about Lincoln Park?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I would put Lincoln Park Allen Park, Melvindale into two.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Can we make that change?

>> CHAIR EID: You will be a little over with population with two if you put in Melvindale and Lincoln Park.

>> MR. MORGAN: And Allen Park.

>> CHAIR EID: Well, yeah, Allen Park, Melvindale.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is why I was wondering if Lincoln Park would fit. I don't know. But for sure we should put Melvindale and Allen Park.



>> CHAIR EID: That would be a good start I think.

>> MR. MORGAN: Commissioner Andrade, do you want me to put which towns where, please?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Melvindale and Allen Park into 2.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

Do you want all of Allen Park including the unassigned area like that?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: What about we will have to split Lincoln Park, aren't we?

>> MR. MORGAN: Depends. You can put it all in one, all in two and split something else. You have options.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Commissioner Muldoon, any thoughts on splitting Taylor versus Lincoln Park?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: We split Lincoln Park in a couple, and it made sense the way we have it split, I think. Rather than splitting Taylor.

>> CHAIR EID: I see Commissioner Orton and Commissioner Szetela.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yep.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Why not try putting -- the Lincoln Park is already split. But the part that is assigned in number one into two and see population wise how that is. Because I know Commissioner Muldoon, you know, made that split there as to how he thought.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, let's try that. Let's put Lincoln Park in with two.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So what are you doing with the Jefferson Chalmers neighborhood because I feel it needs to be addressed are you putting it in one or absorbing it someplace else.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I agree, it needs to be addressed.

>> CHAIR EID: Jefferson Chalmers is the one on the east side of this District that is not assigned in between 1 and 12.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Basically what we do is we figured out where it was a suggestion of what was meant to do with Allen Park and started moving to a different area again. So we need to kind of stay, the reason why we were making that decision was to better draw one.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right but if you are worried about running over population so then you are deciding by default Jefferson Chalmers, is going into 12?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I'm not saying that. I'm just trying to mediate where Detroit goes wrong every time.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Which is where? I don't even understand that comment.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Just keep going.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So we've already actually drawn this exact District before on another map which is why I'm kind of questioning what is happening. So including this very same cut out on Lincoln Park.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Do you have a section Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I don't know why you worry about population on the west end where you have this area that has to be assigned somewhere on the east end. It feels you need to address that first and if you put it in 12 it's going in 12 but cutting up Lincoln Park which is a relatively small City doesn't make a lot of sense to me to do that honestly versus I would rather not cut a small City than cut a big City like Taylor. I just think it's more damaging when you cut a smaller City than when you cut a bigger City. But again I just don't understand why we are leaving that area of Detroit unassigned while continuing to kind of cut up Lincoln Park because it seems ultimately you will have to come back to it. The only place you can put it is 12 and if you don't want to put it in 12 you put it in 1 and make decisions about what you are doing with the western border.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: And I agree with Commissioner Rebecca.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: We are trying to put Lincoln Park in with to?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: To Commissioner Szetela's point we have this orphaned piece of land hanging out up there and it's going to move the population numbers for either 12 or 1. So if we want to put those little neighborhoods in District 12, fine. But if we are going to put it in one let's put it there now so we can see what it does to the population numbers and then we can make the west end correct.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Commissioner Orton has her hand up.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: One thing we do have a number of holes that all of them have to be addressed whether it's east end, west end, north end all have to be addressed eventually. And whether we move the population, I think the population should go into one and then change maybe something in eight. But that's for a different time. At this point let's try and fill the holes that we were worried about down on the west end. Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I agree I was just going to kind of say that and we are taking population out of one and putting it in two so that can fit into one easily it's whatever order you want to do it in.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Two definitely needs population.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Andrade do you want to continue with what you are doing on the west end?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: If we can put the rest of Lincoln Park and it's split now and put that in to two.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay when the rest of Lincoln Park do you mean the portion that is in one or unassigned?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: The portion that is in one switch it over into two.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

So you have room to put the rest in two as well.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, let's do that.

>> MR. MORGAN: When you say the rest of it do you mean Lincoln Park?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That is what I first suggested.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I'm sorry, I couldn't help it, it was unavoidable.

>> CHAIR EID: Warren Dale isn't in it yet. There is a little bit of Warren Dale in it but not the whole thing so I was saying that is why I've been asking folks to let us know which of those two are a better fit because you can't fit both with population.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Right.

>> CHAIR EID: Good for now.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You can even come off that top part of two so that is more neatly fitting against the boundary, yep, that little part, John, up to the north of two.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yep, I agree.

>> MR. MORGAN: Agree to what?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: What Commissioner Kellom said, the north part of two making it if you Zoom in.

>> MR. MORGAN: This is the City of Dearborn right here?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Not that area but to the left of that where you see it.

>> MR. MORGAN: This is Warren avenue and six precincts of Detroit along Warren avenue.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Those six precincts can go into three, three needs to be adjusted but that is what I would recommend.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah so that is Warren Dale, Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I was going to say that's splitting the COI for Dearborn because those are the exact neighborhoods that are on the border between Detroit and Dearborn that have a very high Arab American population and asked to be in Dearborn and there in the first place. Warren Dale does go a little higher than that but south of Warren is where the predominant Middle Eastern population is.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I understand who is there. That is why it was a suggestion. And I was saying that because of the population. So it was a suggestion. I wasn't saying she had to do that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: But the population is fine and within specification so why would you take them off?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: It's a suggestion Commissioner Szetela.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay so let's Zoom out and figure out what to do with Taylor. I'm sorry John if we can go to the top part of one and put that unassigned area in one.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: Commissioner Szetela's hand is raised.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry, I just forgot to drop it.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: So with the five needing population and three needing population, is this workable? By moving three west? Five down south?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Doesn't one still need population?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yep.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: One is going to squeeze in eight or four.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: And if you remember we are going to try and make ten different, right now ten is just a placeholder, and we can work with 8 and 10 into one. Plus we have that whole big unassigned area, so we have some work to do up there. But we need to figure out what to do with Taylor. And try to comply with making sure we don't split up Sumpter Township, Van Buren and Belleville and trying to keep Romulus whole. So whether Commissioner Szetela, Commissioner Eid has any suggestions there I would be happy to turn that over to you guys. And I know what you are thinking, I already made a mess out of it and now you got to come in and clean it up but that is okay.

>> CHAIR EID: I was not thinking that at all.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Commissioner Szetela was.

>> CHAIR EID: No, I don't think she was. But so you have Taylor. And you have a low population on five.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Commissioner Szetela has her hand up too.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I mean no offense, but I think this is a dumpster fire.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: See I told you.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: The problem is you have this massive population of Taylor that you don't really have any good options to put it. I mean you could pull off Wyandotte and put it into one. That still is only 25,000 people. It's not going to balance out Taylor. So then you're just having to go further south. You know, pulling maybe Grosse Ile and river view. But I'm just not really feeling that as being part of a Detroit District. I think it's just stretching too far. Otherwise you could try to reposition Canton, Westland or even two but then you're just creating more problems there because you are going to have to split Romulus and you will have to split Van Buren to do that. So you know, I just think that it's kind of you boxed yourselves into a corner with what you have done. The Detroit District that needs population, it would be very challenging to rearrange all of this and still preserve communities together that, you know, go together. And honestly I think like I said we already have this basic configuration already in an earlier map from May 8 and May 9. And we balanced all those considerations then. So this is not creating something new. So I guess my question is we already have 24 maps, what is it we are trying to accomplish here that has not already been accomplished other than this wrap around District we now have in six, which there are

other ways to accomplish it other than messing up everything down here and having to make hard choices that don't need to be made.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Right, and the idea was to move in a circular manner just like the wrap around 10 into 7 to 6 to 5 to 4. That wrap around. But I thought people needed to have some resolution with the southwest end of this map. So if you want to keep going on it that is fine. Otherwise we can scrap it. I'm good with Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Again, another suggestion, you could take that piece of Wayne, Romulus and Taylor and I believe this is what Commissioner Szetela said and use south gate Wyandotte river view, Brownstown, Trenton, and wood haven and keep going south. And then you would have Van Buren and Sumpter together. You would have like this chunk that you could go westward and then extend north to get some population. So and from my recollection those are my suggestions. The only other thing you could do is make a copy of Commissioner, I think it's Curry's map that was a little bit closer to this and try to make those tweaks, a large part of the issue is because we started with the overlay from a map that myself, Commissioner Muldoon and other people made suggestions on and it was a harder configuration to try and do that. Then you could push one up into District 8. Make that little piece in District 8 connect to East Point. But that's what I see here.

>> MR. MORGAN: I think Commissioner Curry had south gate and Lincoln Park with one I think.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah, I know it wasn't exact. But I just think the map we started with is a harder start point to try and create the wrap districts without taking it.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: When I did my map I did not think of anybody else's map when I worked on mine. So I don't know how you all are mentioning my map. I just did my map by myself.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Right, I didn't say that.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm not trying to accuse nobody of anything. That is why I'm being very quiet. I'm just listening. I'm just saying what I said. I'm not trying to get an argument with nobody this morning.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, well, I know when we saw Commissioner Curry's map we liked a lot of things about that. So we can just let her stand because we do, it's a nice configuration and we can go with that one. I went with Commissioner Szetela I think. I think this is pretty much a hot mess.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah, it's a hot mess.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: And not something that we can apply what we were hoping to do on to it so that being said unless anybody else wants to work with this I'm willing to.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Scrap this.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I'm willing to say let's scrap it. And Commissioner Szetela? I see your hand up.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm sorry, when we get to my turn I will pick it back up because there is some changes I think that can be made to kind of adopt it. But I'm going to wait until my turn just because.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Well, I don't think that would be necessary. I think there is plenty of maps out there that like as you said previously are very similar to this. And we don't need more of the same.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: You know, the problem is squeezing districts into a confined area and trying to come up with 25 different ones, you're not going to do it. You're just not going to do it and not changing everything else. Commissioner.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I was simply going to make a comment. It's not so much about the map but the fact that we have 24 maps already. I'm concerned that we are going to overwhelm the public if we want, you know, good feedback on the maps on, you know, possible little changes we can make here and there and if they have to try and go through like 24 maps to get that feedback, I'm worried that we might be overwhelming them by doing too many maps. So if I were to make a suggestion, if there was a specific change that somebody was looking to make maybe pull one of the 24 that are done and concentrate just on that so we are not adding, you know, five more maps or whatever to make it a little not that the public is not capable of looking at all of them. But I know when I go through sometimes it's even confusing for me to be like I just looked at this map but what map was it and trying to compare it to another map. I'm just bringing up a point of concern that I hope we are not overwhelming the public with too many maps. But I do believe that there should be, you know, options.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: And I agree with that. There is too many when we go into deliberations I assume that is what we will try and pare down the ones that are very similar, you know, with tweaks on them and pick the best ones and go with those. But I will say, again, this was an attempt to make something that was significantly different than what we had done before. And it was an exercise. And obviously it was a bit of an exercise in futility. So, that being said, Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I also agree with Commissioner Lange, but you also just said kind of what I was alluding to. I think the idea behind this map was two things. One in process and instead of us taking turns and drawing Suburban areas first to start in the Detroit area and to create those four districts that are plus or minus created here. And then wrap around. So it was an effort so that the public could see one map that was created with all 13 voices, that was one important thing to happen without giving that. The second part of that was to take in suggestions that reflected for once in our history, not necessarily agreeing on everything, but helped us have a unified way of listening to the public and for that to be reflected. I'm not really sure why I'm being

misunderstood today but my suggestion, the hardship we had with this map is we started with a map that was far from the wrap around and this. My suggestion in using Commissioner Curry's map is because it was closer to the goal of what we are trying to do here. So we abandon it, fine, but I just wanted to add verbal clarity to what the point, and opportunity here was two things. Process and also the actual outcome of having a collaborative map that closely mimics all the suggestions, which minimizes public confusion and the fact that we have 24 maps.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: And Commissioner Kellom, so would you, I personally like that we are all working on this map like you said. Would you suggest that we kind of scrap this one and try the process based on Commissioner Curry's map? Maybe would that work?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: So I mean, that was my suggestion. Commissioner Szetela suggested that she would stake another stab at it on her turn. I don't think it needs to happen on anyone's specific turn, I think it's an exercise of us all working together but we all have to be willing to do that and to stay in the process. No matter what that looks like. So if we can agree as a Commission not just with me spearheading that I agree, fine. But I don't have a problem with the map being scrapped. I just had a thought of why it was.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Wasn't working.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: A little bit more difficult.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I just think we do have plenty of maps that are collaborative and that we've all worked on. And you know I don't want to create the impression in the public that somehow that is not what has happened. We have different maps and obviously there is a desire for a different configuration here. But, you know, we've worked together to get 24 maps which I think is impressive and you know some of them are going to get dinged on VRA. That is just reality. We know that is what is going to happen. It started out last time and we started out with a lot and then got down to five. There are still other options out there. And like I said we have done this configuration in one already. It's in two other maps. It's nothing new, it has been done before but it's the way that it's currently designed it's just creating problems which I think are going to be difficult to overcome.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Szetela when you say this configuration can you define that for me?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We moved it the with last line with Lincoln Park but this District one is in two maps with a part of Lincoln Park with the key at the bottom and the exact same layout for one. So and it is in other maps like I said.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: District one is not finished though also I will add that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right but if you go back to the 0508 version 4 map it has virtually that same District, but we also had very, very different District Four and five

and six. And so you know, like I said it's been tried again, and it just doesn't really work great. But because again you run into these blocks of population basically that then you have to make a choice as to where to put them.

>> CHAIR EID: You know, I kind of like how 1, 2, 3 and 8 look on here. It is similar to what is in some other districts. Three is a little bit more concentric. But you know, in some of those other maps we have one that goes down into Wyandotte and South Gate. I kind of think this configuration was stopping at Ecorse is preferable. When you could just put Taylor in four and then start working on five to get the population. It's workable. So I think Commissioner Andrade if you want to stop for now I can pick it back up, Commissioner Szetela can pick it back up, Commissioner Kellom can pick it back up and we can continue from there. But I do think there are some good things.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay if you think there are good things, then and I believe Commissioner Callaghan is next, would you like to take the torch? Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Sure, if I do this collaboratively here.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Then I will pass my turn.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Excuse me, please, Mr. Chair through the Chair Rebecca your hand is still up, is that a good up or do you need to take it down?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, I had an additional comment.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I was going to say we basically have if you go back, and I actually printed out all the maps over the weekend and put them altogether in order of how they evolved. If you go back and look through our maps you really kind of have two core configurations for District 1. One is kind of a flatter configuration like this where we are staying below a certain line. And the other is where it wraps around District 2. So that's common throughout almost every map we have. It's one of two configurations. Most of them include Lincoln Park, some do, some do not. But in general that's just the configuration that we have. So this is very similar to what we already have. District 3 I actually really like. And I think that is not something we have really incorporated into a lot of maps, that combination of Redford with the west side, northwest side of Detroit. And then District 2 has remained largely the same. In most of the maps as well. So we've got Dearborn, Dearborn heights that little bit of Detroit south of Warren and Melvindale and Allen Park and variations on Lincoln, Lincoln Park. But you know there are limits on what you can do within the scope of population and restrictions like water and things of that nature. So, yeah, I'm not necessarily thinking that there is anything here that is significantly different from what's been done before with the exception of three and I would say six. Those districts are a little different. But other than that this is much of the same of what we already have.



>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Morgan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So your take on that would be? I mean given that three and six are different you like three do you think this is a map that is worth pursuing or no?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Well, I think we could I mean you can pursue it if you want to. Like I said we have 24 maps, so I don't feel we need to do another map at this time. You know, what would you like to do? Because I think the three is a little different but I also feel like we have a lot out there already.

>> CHAIR EID: So you know I will just say we keep saying we have 24 completed maps, and we do. A lot of those maps are just updates to other maps like you know, and a lot of them, for example, we put back together Northville. Right? That is a whole other map on top of a previous map. So I think while it's true there are 24 that are complete, as you just pointed out Commissioner Szetela, there are really only, I would say three or four wholly different configurations. The one that kind of looks like this, the one that wraps around Dearborn, and we have a few that Commissioner Weiss and yourself worked only as well that have a little bit of a District 8. And now this one has a little bit of a District 3. So while there are 24 maps I really think there is a lot less than that when you take into account a lot of those maps are just updates of what we started with. All right I see a lot of hands.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: My hand was up.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Kellom, Commissioner Szetela, Commissioner Andrade?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Okay, I'm the broken record here and that is fine. Because I think we need to eventually get back to mapping. But I will just say I echo a little bit Anthony of what you just shared 24 maps including one that myself and Commissioner Muldoon worked on for a very, very long time. And other maps that were quickly edited and drawn because Commissioner Szetela can draw a map within five seconds. And then maps that we reviewed and liked Commissioner Curry, Commissioner Lange made suggestions. But I think, and I will speak for myself, this might not be of value to anyone else, I think this map and it does not again we could restart this map in a more clear, more blank canvas, I don't care about that. I think this map is about the process in which we are drawing and the fact we are drawing starting with an area that typically gets drawn last. There might be, I'm not trying to say that other methods were bad, I don't want anybody to be offended, so when I say collaborative I mean this is the map, to my recollection, where I have heard the majority of Commissioners voices. That is not only important for the Court. That's important for the public. That they are understanding that and that is important to me as a Commissioner. That we are all making an effort together to draw. So this is why I like the process of this map. And think that it stands out among the 35,000 maps that we

have. There is something different that is happening here, and I think it goes to say a lot for the Commission. I'm not going to say that again.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, I just wanted to point out, well, first of all thank you, Commissioner Kellom. I agree. I like working on the maps more together. But District 3 actually needs 35,000 people. So by the time you're done configuring it, it might not look like 3 anymore, the ones you like.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Morgan?

>> MR. MORGAN: The only thing I would say is it's been said is that this configuration of 3 is very similar to Commissioner Curry's map and this fact it has Redford in with the western Detroit, that's all.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I just, again, think we have worked on all these maps collaboratively. I don't think there is anything unique or different about this map than any other map. It's just been a process where people chime in where they have something interesting to say about the area. It may not be all Commissioners and that's to be expected, someone who is not from the area may not chime in, but I don't think there is any particular, there is snow -- no special sunrise but we have a map with significant population discrepancies that needs to be fixed. So at this point let's just get back to mapping and decide what we are doing with this.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, so Commissioner Callaghan, the floor is yours. Do you want to continue with this or look at something else?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I think what I would like to do is for now close this map and open up Commissioner Curry's map.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so we will close this map and open up her map. Do you anticipate editing it or do you just want to view it first?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: We can just look at it.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You're not going to edit mine.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No, we are not going to edit it but there is talk about how the downtown Detroit area is a very good base possibly as a jumping off point for a different kind of map and just wanted to pull it up and see what you have done there as a base starting point.

>> MR. MORGAN: You can put it as an overlay on this other maps.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Let's look at the maps first. Overlays drive me crazy.

>> MR. MORGAN: And also while I'm doing this Commissioner Curry your map is unchanged and it will remain that way. They are just looking at the map.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That's fine. Thank you.

>> MR. MORGAN: You're welcome.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Is somebody out there?

>> MR. MORGAN: I'm just going to change the colors of 6 and 2 so they are clearer. So the numbers are different of course but the configuration, this Redford and western Detroit is what you were talking about. And then you can see District 2 is configured with about half of Taylor. And then Allen Park, Melvindale in with two. And then South Gate, Lincoln Park, Ecorse and River Rouge are in with one.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, follows the same.

>> CHAIR EID: It's almost the same but Taylor is split on to and one includes and goes south into Lincoln Park and South Gate. That is the big difference with one and two here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I was going to say I like the configuration we were just looking on for one as a personal preference. I'm not a huge fan of maps that wrap one into six. But I think using this map as a reference, the numbers but if you can go along like we did and into more in District three and keeping Hamtramck and Highland Park whole. There are things you can do on the what side is that, western side of one where it could bleed into three instead of it wrapping off. Because to me it creates an issue for the Down River communities of interest that go all the way up until 8 Mile. I think that is way too broad for my Detroit District if we are thinking about how to use some of the suggestions for this map for another map.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So you would say start with this map and change one, so it does not wrap up to the north, it actually stays along the river front?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Uh-huh and I would not include South Gate.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry, I was on mute. Can we have ten and three changed to different colors? I'm just having a hard time judging where that borderline is between the two of them.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: And over all I actually like this map and I like the District 6 and District 8 and how they wrap around like that. I think they are good options.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah for community of interest it's not because of what it does to three.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: You like six and eight?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Uh-huh.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Well.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Just if we are trying to come up with a map that has that wrapping concept that accomplishes this. So what communities of interest are being split up in three?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: So it's a representation thing. It's not a split. You have bell aisle, the gold coast, with Hamtramck and then Highland Park, Highland Park is its own municipality inside the City of Detroit then you have it with the northwest side

of Detroit. And then you also have the east side there. So that is a pretty chaotic District when we are talking about different communities. Morningside, Yorkshire Village, that community close to Grosse Pointe, as we heard and you are talking about Palmer Park, Palmer Woods, Green Acres, Schultz, with Bagley and then you are sweeping in different ethnicities and different communities that are in Hamtramck, Bangla Town, like I said then you have Highland Park which is also come out and expressed their issues. And then you have the part of the entertainment District and midtown all in one District. That is chaotic as a Detroiter. So we have a District like that. I'm just all those different communities it's not even about a split, it's about slamming people together.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, can you move this map down just a little bit and let me see the northern suburbs? Okay this is good. Yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I'm curious what Commissioners Curry's thoughts are on three. Because she drew this so obviously she had some thoughts on three and six and one. Do you think that these are cohesive good districts? I assume you did not draw them if you didn't think so.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I thought so. But if you all don't like them, that is fine.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That is abated comment. This map is not being brought up to critique the map. It was just supposed to be a starting point.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Brittini, I'm not trying to argue with you. I said I liked it. I don't have to look at it.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That is why I was trying to say that.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You got the nerve to say Highland Park and Hamtramck they so close together why wouldn't they be.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: This is not about Commissioner Curry's map and let's stay focused.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That is why you didn't pull it up.

>> CHAIR EID: Please everyone bring it back and Commissioner Callaghan's turn to look at the map and we are looking at it.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: With abated comment by Commissioner Szetela to activate.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Commissioners.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Point of order.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Commissioners I want to remind you the public is watching on YouTube and when you speak over each other it's difficult to hear. Please wait until you are acknowledged by the Chair. Thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm going to pass, thanks.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Commissioner Eid I have my hand up.

>> CHAIR EID: Go ahead Commissioner Szetela.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: It's not abated comment. I think you are taking this very personally Commissioner Kellom. Commissioner Curry is a Detroit and I value her opinion and she drew this. It's not inappropriate or baited to ask her person. I happen to agree with her. I think that, you know, Highland Park and Hamtramck are not an unusual assortment. You know, and again this is offering different options out there. And I actually think she does a good job of balancing the concerns of those communities in four along with the structure of Detroit to create a different option for what is out there, so I like this map Commissioner Curry.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I just want to say this it was not about Commissioner Curry's map. It was Commissioner Callaghan's turn, and she was looking at this map to see where to start drawing. Commissioner Szetela, if you don't want to participate in the collaborative process instead of every time you raise your hand to say what you don't like, don't speak. Because it's not collaborative.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Commissioner Eid can I have a point of order?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I'm sick of it, I'm sick of you doing that.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Point of order, Chair, please.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I'm sick of her.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Please mute Commissioner Kellom.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's mute everybody. We have a point of order, who had it?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I did Chair thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: We both did actually.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay go ahead.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would just request that Commissioner Kellom be instructed to behave with civility and professionalism and stop attacking fellow Commissioners.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange, was it the same thing?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I'm going to withdraw my point order. I would just like us to proceed.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, so I just wanted to get back to this map. And I understand what Commissioner Kellom was saying. I don't think she was trying to say you needed to -- Hamtramck and Highland Park shouldn't be together, but that Highland park and Hamtramck together are a unit, but do they really go with the gold coast? Do they really go with Palmer Woods? Do they really go with English village? And this is a great configuration. But it's there, we have it, let's try something a little different for one and three. And maybe 10 and 11. That is always my thing. But let's get on with mapping, thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I suggest that you all can just take this map and just move on and do one by yourself or do one together and leave this alone. I don't, you know, I just did a map. And looked like it's bothering folk. I drew a map by myself wanting to put something out there but everybody else is drawing a map I don't tell them I don't like this, and I don't like that. That is the map I drew. If you don't like it move on and draw one on your own. That's what you should do. Don't tear mine up because you don't like it. Just draw your own map. I did something I wanted to do because I don't like all this interference. I do not get with all this craziness. I just wanted to do something by myself. So if you all don't like it start one of your own and do it, configure it, do whatever you want, I'm tired of the arguing. The arguing is getting on my nerves.

>> CHAIR EID: This map is out there. Nobody is changing it. We might create a copy later. But no one has done that yet. And I think a lot of people do like it. So Commissioner Callaghan, still your turn, did you say you wanted to end it?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No, I pass.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I just want to be clear just like I said before, I like Commissioner Curry's map.

I said that when I saw the map. I was not critiquing the map. I was following the exercise that was led by Commissioner Callaghan and she asked a question. That is all I was responding to. So if nobody is going to advocate for me today I will advocate for myself.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You changed the map.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry please let Commissioner Kellom finish.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I never said I did not like the map. She was trying to draw and starting to draw an area and asking for suggestions and we were using this map as a base. Your mapping brought up Commissioner Curry's mapping brought up; I don't have to personalize it by saying you was not to critique the map. It was going to be used as a starting point. Unfortunately another question that was posed, a statement that was said and it started to be what someone liked and disliked. It was not to have Commissioner Curry's map be critiqued.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You critiqued it.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Oh, my goodness.

>> CHAIR EID: I think there is just some misunderstanding what is going on. That's okay.

We can get back to it.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Leave it alone.

>> CHAIR EID: Move.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You don't like it fine.

>> CHAIR EID: There will be multiple turns for everybody to take a map and make a copy and change it. So thank you Commissioner Callaghan. Commissioner Curry, you are next. Would you like to make any changes to anything?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No, and I pass. Thank you. Let these well to do people know what they want to do. Let them do it.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Commissioner Curry. Okay then it comes to myself. All right, John, is this available as an overlay?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes, Commissioner Eid, it is.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, good, can you go back to, so you can close this.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Geez.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's open map 379.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so that is version 859? Sorry May 9, version 8.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, that is correct.

>> MR. MORGAN: Do you want to view it or make edits to it?

>> CHAIR EID: I don't know yet. Let's just view it.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Excuse me please Mr. Chair through the Chair Commissioners if you are not speaking at the moment, if you could put yourselves on mute, we are getting some background noise from somewhere. Thank you so much. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. I thought I saw somebody's hand up just now but.

>> MR. MORGAN: That was Commissioner Orton.

>> CHAIR EID: Go ahead Commissioner Orton.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I was going to ask you, I don't happen to have it on my list, but I was going to ask you where the map came from but now that it's open I think I recognize it.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, this is the one that was the collaborative one we all have been kind of updating this whole time. It's the one with the three Detroit configurations, one that has the Down River community with, you know, wrapping around Dearborn. And a District 3 that includes Highland Park, with Hamtramck and other parts of Central and northern Detroit. But doesn't go quite all the way to the coast and to the border between District -- between you know where Grosse Pointe is. So I like this District three a lot because it doesn't go all the way but it's still incorporates, you know, much of the Detroit area with Hamtramck and Highland Park. And I recall some comments last week at our Southfield hearing I believe it was the Reverend who advocated for that kind of District but then it also has a District 8 that has the rest of Detroit along with parts of Warren and Centerline as well as East Point. So I'm kind of trying to think about how to turn like I'm looking at District 6, trying to see if maybe we can incorporate more of Detroit into that. Or maybe take off the southern part of one because it does go down I think a little too far into Wyandotte and I think Wyandotte would be better served in District 4. And

then also taking a look at the Romulus area and seeing if we could figure out a way to either put that in four and five. Four or five, I don't think it matters which one it goes in but if we can figure out a way for it to be in one without splitting up Taylor, I think that would be good. So let's just start by, so, yeah, now we will need a copy, John.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yep, no problem.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's start putting Wyandotte into four. So this is kind of where we are having trouble. Romulus has a large population. We put it in five that makes for very low in a way that probably is not configurable. So I don't really see much of a way to do it without splitting up Taylor but I know we don't want to do that so we probably need the discussion we will have to split something up, is it the Van Buren Belleville Sumpter configuration? Is it Romulus like how it just was? Is it Taylor or do we include Wyandotte with District 1? I would love to hear some opinions you know, specifically on that. Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I would like to hear from some residents of Taylor because I know we heard keep Taylor whole a lot from Anthony Scannell but then I noticed in the map that he actually submitted Taylor is not whole in his map. So I found that real interesting and I would love to hear from residents of Taylor as to, you know, if there is a good place to split or what needs to be whole. That is just my wonder.

>> CHAIR EID: I do remember the first time we went around doing this. A lot of people didn't like what we did with Taylor if we look at the whole 30,000 public comments, but you know I kind of like what we did before with Romulus, however we heard today from, you know, the mayor from a pretty impassioned public comment. We will have to split something up and the question is what. We do have Lincoln Park there too. Oh, I thought that was in one on here. It's not. All right can you add that to one, Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: What about doing Dearborn Heights, Dearborn, Melvindale plus Taylor? Is that going to be overpopulation, or will that be close?

>> MR. MORGAN: Well, if you take out Taylor from four and then you added some of Lincoln Park to four, that would probably do what you want.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That's what I was thinking actually.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I have thought about that too. But I don't know if that would serve the community well. I mean the communities come out and told us they kind of like this configuration that we have. But it is, you know, it's a possibility.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Chair Eid Lincoln Park is so small, why would you split it?

>> CHAIR EID: I'm not talking about splitting Lincoln Park, I'm talking about putting the whole thing in one which is how it was in the other.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah, because it's not large at all.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?



>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I don't think we have had a map that has the configuration with Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Melvindale and Taylor the public could comment on, I don't think we have seen that because you can swap out Lincoln and Lincoln Park for Taylor and are keeping Taylor whole and not splitting it, Lincoln Park and Allen Park would go down with four and you would still have I think, just spit balling the numbers two complete districts.

>> CHAIR EID: Since this configuration is already out there, let's give it a shot and see if then I do want to work my way up to six and include more of the areas east of Redford with six, if possible. So let's try that with two and see what it gets us.

>> MR. MORGAN: So we have Romulus whole in five, which you did, and we are a little bit and are 21,000 over in 4 so you want to take all of Taylor out and put it in two?

>> CHAIR EID: Right, and you are going to put Lincoln Park and Allen Park in four.

>> MR. MORGAN: Right then you also have done Wyandotte.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah. Yeah, I don't know about it because I do think Allen Park and Lincoln Park should be in either one or two. I don't feel super strongly about which one. But going down into four.

>> MR. MORGAN: If you put them in four you are over but if you put in Lincoln Park you will be right on the money.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, let's just do Lincoln Park then.

>> MR. MORGAN: Over on two, you have Melvindale, which should even out two. Or something else.

>> CHAIR EID: Do we think there are any parts of Taylor that can go in four? Southern precincts of it? And four is right on the money. What if you put the southeastern portion, a few of those precincts from two into four, just bring the population down on two a lit bit.

>> MR. MORGAN: Allen Park or Taylor?

>> CHAIR EID: What do we think Commissioners? Would it be better to take a little bit of Allen Park or Taylor?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I think Allen Park.

>> CHAIR EID: That is helpful, thank you Marcus. All right, Allen Park it is.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so you will push the population higher in four.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay are you trying to -- not trying to take all the surplus from two yet.

>> CHAIR EID: Just trying to get it to green.

>> MR. MORGAN: Trying to get two to green.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: So you need 12000 to do that and that would take four to 10,000.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: You still have one.

>> CHAIR EID: I think what we will want to do is try to get it to six. And then go a little bit eastward. We will have to go westward. We will have to go eastward on six and eastward into eight and eastward into, I'm sorry eastward into three and eastward into eight. So but we got to start here so let's, well, what do you think, John?

>> MR. MORGAN: So you are not contemplating taking Melvindale out of two?

>> CHAIR EID: No.

>> MR. MORGAN: So you are splitting Allen Park here.

>> CHAIR EID: And add those precincts into four.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: But keep it south of 94 and Allen Park, Southfield, 94 area.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yeah, I think that is right. So that is what happened and on the high end of four and two is really close. So you've got two precincts up here in Detroit, which are the Warren avenue precincts.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's add those into one for now.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

Population on two is okay.

You are still over on five because of Romulus.

>> CHAIR EID: Uh-huh. All right let's circle around to five.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so at this point.

>> CHAIR EID: Actually I don't want to do that. I want to circle around to one.

>> MR. MORGAN: It's already split in Westland and then you are talking about taking one into three or six?

>> CHAIR EID: How about we put the rest of that part of Detroit and Redford, let's just do Detroit into one so the parts east of Redford that are currently in six, put it in one.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay you probably won't be able to take all of it, but let me look at that. It's close, yeah, that might work, okay, these are relatively small.

I'm going to select it without assigning it. So that's going to be, say, 40,000 almost or 42000 if you take all of it.

>> CHAIR EID: All right let's go ahead and do that. We will have to come back here after we fix five.

>> MR. MORGAN: So six is under and right now you've got, let's see, you got your Northville, Plymouth is all whole and looks like Westland is split. So five is over so you have up to 10,000 people from 5 and Inkster is a lot more than that, okay.

Yep 26, okay.

>> CHAIR EID: What if we were add, five is already over so yeah it's really Romulus because my gut is telling me to put Garden City in with five and take six eastward. And edit from there. But we are already over population on five. Because what I heard from the previous mappers they liked that District 6 that included Redford, some of Detroit, possibly with Livonia or we could go up into or do like the, yeah, do exactly what you are highlighting. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So the mayor of Romulus today said they wanted to be in with four. That was his last comment that he made.

>> CHAIR EID: He did say that. But there is already Romulus is large population and we are already okay on four. Unless you were to flip it. Because what is that 25,000 people?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yeah, and we've got remember we split Allen Park. So you're holding 10,000 of Allen Park in four right now.

>> CHAIR EID: I don't see a way to put it into four while keeping Sumpter, Van Buren together. Because that has more population. Maybe we can try it. Put Romulus in four. Okay now that strip on five let's make it go down to the two southern townships.

>> MR. MORGAN: This way?

>> CHAIR EID: What are we at four and five.

>> MR. MORGAN: Four needs 13,000.

>> CHAIR EID: Four needs 13,000 more.

>> MR. MORGAN: Uh-huh.

>> CHAIR EID: Can you Zoom in on four?

>> MR. MORGAN: You got Allen Park, but I don't know if you want to do that.

>> CHAIR EID: I would rather take from five at this point and we can edit five. That Township there, the name is escaping me, but it has about 17,000.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, that is the strange one Wayne Township within Wayne County, interesting. Let's put that into four.

>> MR. MORGAN: All right.

>> CHAIR EID: Four is okay.

Generally how are we feeling about that kind of shift with four and five? Obviously five is going to need to change along with six and we are going to push it eastward into one I think. And then one into three. And then three into eight. I think that's kind of what we were trying to do earlier. But we couldn't figure out a starting point. So this kind of gives us it a little bit. Now, however, with five you are going from Plymouth all the way down to Sumpter even if we take Plymouth out of there, that could work with it being Canton, down with Sumpter and east into Inkster. It's an option. But I would love to hear thoughts. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I don't think Sumpter Van Buren and Belleville should be together. And I think Sumpter and Van Buren are probably going to want to be with Huron and Romulus not to the northern developed suburbs with Plymouth, Canton, Westland and Inkster. I just don't think anyone in that area is going to like that configuration.

>> CHAIR EID: Can you repeat the first part of what you said?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That Sumpter, Van Buren and Belleville are better fits for Romulus and Huron. And being tied in Down River. When you start pulling them

up and then even pulling Wayne in with Down River, that just doesn't make any sense honestly. Totally different areas. I think the better configuration is keeping Plymouth, Canton, Westland, Wayne, and Inkster together, maybe going north on five and reconfiguring around Taylor so that you can keep Van Buren, Belleville, Sumpter, Huron and Romulus together in a more Down River centric District.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I tend to agree. I mean, that is how we had it originally. But Romulus was split. I think we are going to run into problems with, you know, where do we split? We will have to split something it seems. Unless does anyone have any ideas of a way to do this without splitting these?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You're probably going to have to split Taylor or Romulus, that is the choice.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: And you know, we do have other configurations where Romulus is not split. So I mean they are out there already on the maps that we have done where we have Romulus whole with Taylor actually. But that involved a different configuration of two where we didn't have Taylor with two, we had South Gate or Lincoln Park with two. So.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, and I don't out of those choices splitting up Romulus or splitting up Taylor I would probably go with how we had it on Romulus. At least there we used the expressway in a way that to me made sense for the reasons that were stated last week. And I don't love Taylor being with District two here either, so I don't know about this. But let's see what we got. Let's keep working at it and see if we can configure it into a way that at least works. So let's go between six and one now. So we are going to add in parts of one into six.

>> MR. MORGAN: So again we took a big bunch of one away from six. So now you want to put that back into six, some of it?

>> CHAIR EID: Actually could you overlay Commissioner Curry's map on top of this?

>> MR. MORGAN: It will be pretty different there, but I see what you are saying.

>> CHAIR EID: I just want to see how that District six was in it.

>> MR. MORGAN: District six is red land and this sort of a square in this part of Detroit, but I will bring it up.

>> CHAIR EID: Do you know what I'm sorry. Never mind, don't even do that. The map can you delete this and just go to the map we were working on earlier that Commissioner Andrade had?

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so continuing that map from where it was?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: I tried to work something out with Romulus, but it doesn't work. At least not right now.

>> MR. MORGAN: Close plan. Again this is version two for today so just go ahead and delete this. You're the only person that has worked on it.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, you can get rid of it.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: It was worth a shot.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so then we are going back to today's date version one. Let me open that.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay now can you put Commissioner Curry's overlay on to it?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes. So the dark blue lines are Commissioner Curry's.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, let's see and is three okay? Three needs population, right? And how about eight, does eight need population as well?

>> MR. MORGAN: No, eight is okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Eight is okay but we will have to add to three and we will have to take from eight in order to and then in order to make room for Taylor. Okay, and then can you Zoom out a little bit too? And let's see what the Sterling Heights area is looking like here. It's still split in this one. Can you put East Point with eight, please?

>> MR. MORGAN: All of East Point?

>> CHAIR EID: Yep. Now look at District 1. District 1 is over if I recall.

>> MR. MORGAN: District 1 is under.

>> CHAIR EID: Still under. And this is kind of the Senate districts are very large, right, so I think we are going to have to combine communities, but we have to find a way to do it that makes sense. That is why I kind of like the one that goes around Dearborn because it combines the southwest Down River community while keeping it whole with parts of Detroit who, you know, I think that makes sense but this is a different one that is, you know, it goes up through the river which can also make sense. It's just different populations. Either way you are combining parts of Down River with parts of Detroit. The question is how do you do it? I do like how on here it's pretty much just Ecorse, River Rouge, yeah, just Ecorse and River Rouge. I think when you go into South Gate and Wyandotte, I mean it could work but I think it's a little, I think those communities are better in four.

>> MR. MORGAN: Well right now you are under 49,000 in one and Lincoln Park is what 40.

>> CHAIR EID: Right but we just added population to eight, right?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay so let's look at the border between one and eight. Because they are about the same Delta between the two. All right and let's we can turn off the overlay for now. I wanted to see how District three looked. And we are going to have to take some of eight, put it into three and some of eight and put it into one and go a little bit north on eight. I do know Taylor is unassigned here. In my opinion we should just overlay some of the other parts that other maps that have Taylor in four and just copy

that. Because I think most of us like the districts 4 and 5 we have on some of the other configurations. But now let's just work on one, three and eight. So between three and eight, let's see, actually what do we think would be a little better working on three first or working on one first? Any thoughts? Okay let's do one then. So the Midwest neighborhood, that seems like an obvious place to add to one. So, yeah, let's add Claytown and Midwest to one and after that it's 12:30 so we will go on break. So.

>> MR. MORGAN: Do you want me to try to align with the neighborhood?

>> CHAIR EID: If you could that would be good.

>> MR. MORGAN: The census block here splits but we can take that out.

>> CHAIR EID: That is probably going to go into three after. But it is 12:30 and we do have a scheduled break at this time. So let's break. We will be back at 1:30.

[ Lunch recess until 1:30 p.m. ]

>> CHAIR EID: All right everyone welcome back I will call the meeting of the Michigan Independent Redistricting Commission back to order at 1:31 p.m. Department of State if we could get a roll call, please.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Absolutely, Mr. Chair. Good afternoon Commissioners, when I call your name, please say present. If your location has changed from this morning's meeting please share your current location. I will begin alphabetically with Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry? Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom? Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: I'm, present but it said the host has stopped my video.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Okay I will get back to you after roll call.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Okay.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Wagner? Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: I'm going to go back to Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm sorry I had it on but I'm-present thank you.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom? Mr. Chair you have 11

Commissioners present. You do have a quorum.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, let's continue down the path we were going on. We are going to return to mapping. Mr. Chair before you begin I would like to ask the Commissioners if you are currently not speaking or called on by the Chair to please mute your microphones. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Ms. Young. All right, Mr. Morgan, we all ready to go? Do you need some time, it's okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: No, I'm good.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay let's pull back up what I was working on before the break.

>> MR. MORGAN: All right. The last thing I did was move the west neighborhood into one including these blocks here.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, and three still needs population as well, right? Yeah, well so that whole area of bar ton McFarland you can put that in three. Thank you.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Chair I would like to acknowledge for the record that it looks like Commissioner Kellom has joined. If you are there can you say you are present for the record?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Present.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Is the area north of 8 Mile here assigned or unassigned? It's unassigned. All right, that is useful for later. So do you see those areas of Schaffer, Schultz, yeah, that whole square there that goes from Schaffer to Schultz let's put that in three. Okay, three is okay.

So.

>> MR. MORGAN: Do you want this little bit of Schultz?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, please. Okay, now one needs 30,000 people. What's the population of Ferndale, above there on eight?

>> MR. MORGAN: Ferndale is about 19,000.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, let's assign that to eight. And you can put Royal Oak Township in there as well. Okay now we are going to go to the other end, and we are going to take from eight and put it into one because one needs about 30,000. Let's go we were the last thing I had you do before break was the Midwest neighborhood. So let's go right back around there. Yep and we can put up the neighborhoods. Okay so all of these areas west of Hamtramck, all of these neighborhoods, yeah, so Boston Edison, Russell industrial, all the way to Goldberg and Nardin Park, let's put all those in one. And please

if anyone would like to chime in please do. I'm trying to get the Detroit areas of this map so then later someone can take it and try to configure the wrap arounds that we were talking about when we left off last week.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: No, I think that looks good Commissioner Eid and assume you're going to do something similar along one and a little bit of what you are etching out into what is that, eight, the northwest side of one too. So I think that makes sense.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, you can either do that or you could do Oak Park into eight. Just depending on where we are at. But I'm trying to keep Hamtramck and Highland Park together.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I would.

>> CHAIR EID: In eight. So we are kind of going around it. Okay, that works nicely. Boston Edison is in there. Yeah, that is fine.

>> MR. MORGAN: I don't know if you want to adjust on Dexter Linwood and we also have this issue here where I don't think you want to do that. That's the whole precinct. And you can split it along the neighborhood, but I think there's, I think the block splits.

>> CHAIR EID: Is it split now? It looks like a different neighborhood.

>> MR. MORGAN: So there's almost no people here.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, well you can leave it where it is then in eight for now.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, this would be reuniting pole town if you put that in with one and it's only 52 people.

>> CHAIR EID: That is part of pole town. Thank you for that clarification.

>> MR. MORGAN: I think, yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: It looks like it has its own outline. But, yeah, it's part of pole town. Hamtramck assembly plant.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes. Okay so eight now, let's look at so one is okay, two is okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so the question is do you want to align the Dexter Linwood into eight?

>> CHAIR EID: Please.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, there we go. We got one missing here. Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: So three has the Redford Detroit configuration, two has the Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Warren Dale, Melvindale Allen Park, Lincoln Park. That is fine. One goes from Ecorse to the east side. That is fine. We need some more on eight, right?

>> MR. MORGAN: And you're also down 40,000 on 10.

>> CHAIR EID: I'm not worried about 10 at this moment. That can be expanded outwards later. I want to get the Detroit parts right on my turn then we can go, and the next person can look at that or they can look at putting Taylor perhaps in four or five. And copying what we have on some of the other maps for that configuration. That unassigned area above eight, so that is Oak Park, what are we looking at for population there?



>> MR. MORGAN: Oak Park I think is 58. And then you got about 6,000 up here. So, sorry, not Oak Park I was thinking Royal Oak so Oak Park 29 and this is 6.

>> CHAIR EID: That gives us just under 40 so let's put that in.

>> MR. MORGAN: Into what?

>> CHAIR EID: Into eight.

>> MR. MORGAN: All right.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Commissioner Eid, I had my hand raised but I don't think you saw me.

>> CHAIR EID: Go ahead.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I had a quick question. No, I was thinking what do you think about that going into six instead of that going into eight? It might not make that big of a deal.

>> CHAIR EID: Six, I mean, I like crossing over 8 Mile with those communities specifically, how we did with the House maps. I think there is a lot of folks that have moved from those northern Detroit neighborhoods into specifically Oak Park, Royal Oak Township. So it could go either way. I don't feel strongly about it.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Me either, I was just posing it as a question. Okay go ahead.

>> CHAIR EID: If you want to change that you are more than welcome to. I really don't feel strongly about it one way or another. Once somebody does something to ten you might have to do that right?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Right.

>> CHAIR EID: So how it looks there.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I just wanted to hear your thoughts so thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: It does it in a way that makes sense, crossing over a little but not too much into Oakland and I do like how it has East Point as well. The only thing, the only question I really have, can you put the neighborhoods back on? Can you go a little bit more south? Yeah, so I guess my comment is, you know, this area of the east side that includes Cornerstone village, Morningside, English Village, up through Maple Ridge and into East Point, that is kind of like where I'm thinking about if we do something between one and eight. But we do have other configurations that have that east side going up with East Point and Warren and Centerline. So I think it's okay for now. In this configuration. But that is something to consider.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I personally like that. I can't speak for other Commissioners. I also like it because it's a different choice for the members of the public to interact with.

>> CHAIR EID: Right.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: And I think having those neighborhoods more, what I'm going to describe as centrally located as opposed to putting it more north makes it better for the communities of interest.

>> CHAIR EID: We can leave it and see what people say. Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I think it is interesting and it's different and that's good. Different is always good. That is something we hear from the public a lot. I'm kind of curious were any aspects of it taken from maps that were put in on the portal? Because I see a couple features that look familiar. So I'm kind of wondering if maybe it's incorporating some communities of interest from maps that were submitted online.

>> CHAIR EID: I'm not looking at anything right now. I'm kind of just doing it free hand. But I mean the COIs are what we have been doing this whole time and I think we know them pretty well by now. So, yeah, I don't know what is on the portal or what is not on the portal right now but I'm just doing it free hand. I think six was from the portal, from our last meeting. Or if not from the portal from the public comment.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay I'm just trying to get a feel for the different communities of interest that I may have missed. I know I'm trying to watch the portal for possible things that may have been missed. And this one is definitely unique. So I was just curious if there were other communities of interest maybe that I didn't know about. Trying to keep them straight with all the public comment is hard.

>> CHAIR EID: It is. I do think a lot of the same ones from the House maps apply here with that District 8 that goes into Oakland County a little bit, but in a way that makes sense for those same reasons. You have LBGT community with Ferndale going southern into Palmer Park and Palmer Woods. Another option would be to put them in three and move eight below but I think it's fine for now. Where are we at with eight? It's overpopulated a little bit.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yeah, and then you still got the issue with ten. So, for example, ten needs 40,000 and you got East Point as 34. And then you have got, you know, if you look at this, it looks like all we have are down districts but that is because Taylor is unassigned.

>> CHAIR EID: Right, right.

>> MR. MORGAN: So you should have that is the Taylor part of Taylor, yeah, I think actually there is definitely going to be an issue here that's going to require us to rebuild this plan at some point. Because if you see it's 58,000 unassigned and the population of Taylor is 63. So there's a geography issue sometimes in this area with Royal Oak Township I think. Or, yes, or yeah, I think that's what it is. So at some point we are going to have to export this as a shape file and bring it back in to get that back in alignment.

>> CHAIR EID: Gotcha. We will worry about that when we get to it. So eight is a little over, right? At this point?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, how is seven? Seven is right on the money. Is that Southfield Township that is 2000 people?

>> MR. MORGAN: I think it's Huntington. I will double check. Yeah Huntington Woods that one or Pleasant Ridge.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, can you put Huntington Woods back in to seven? Then we may have mis clicked there. When adding Oak Park to it.

>> MR. MORGAN: I think it was unassigned.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, that's what happened?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yeah. Okay, all right, so now eight is just a little over. And seven is still okay, that is good, I do think Huntington Woods would be better in seven with Royal Oak and Berkeley.

>> MR. MORGAN: 5, 10 and 13 are off.

>> CHAIR EID: Uh-huh. What did we do with 13 here because Farmington and Farmington Hills are in six?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, we will have to look at that and probably go, probably go eastward would be my guess. Or taking Canton. And then meld it into Taylor but probably eastward. Okay, I just want to get the Detroit districts done first, those four, one, two, three and eight. Where are we at with eight?

>> MR. MORGAN: Eight is just a little over.

>> CHAIR EID: Just a little over. All right, okay I know we were using ten as a little bit of a holding District earlier. We were debating if we should delete it or not, but we didn't delete it so let's just put a little bit of eight into ten and then the next person can go ahead and work on it. It should only be one or two neighborhoods.

>> MR. MORGAN: This is from Detroit?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, into ten.

>> MR. MORGAN: Or you could also go potentially into three.

>> CHAIR EID: I kind of look how three looks and ten needs some anyway so.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so in this area here you took ten.

>> CHAIR EID: I think those three neighborhoods. Let's do Nortown first. I don't want to go into Buffalo Charles.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay it looks like Connor creek is currently split. You could split it more or you could move to another area.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's just put all of Connor creek into ten.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

We are stuck in a looping. Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Do what you got to do.

>> MR. MORGAN: All right, what was lost if anything? There we go. It wouldn't let me close.

>> CHAIR EID: Does Huntington Woods change in here?

>> CHAIR EID: No, I have to check this area and I think everything more or less is up to date.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, so we are talking about putting Connor creek in ten.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: I think the Nortown changes didn't get put over.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes, I see that. Okay so that is the voting precinct, so we have to use blocs.

>> CHAIR EID: It's always so interesting to me that the precincts don't match up with the neighborhoods.

>> MR. MORGAN: Well, they are different things. The precincts align with the election process. The neighborhoods are different.

>> CHAIR EID: Uh-huh.

>> MR. MORGAN: All right I'm stalling for just a second while I get this set up and I will say way back when we talked about hierarchies and how certain geographic elements fit into hierarchies and you can have different hierarchies within the redistricting process. So that is going to go into ten.

>> CHAIR EID: So eight is good. One is good and two and three are good. Okay, that does it for me, folks. I think now that that is taken care of, if somebody wants to finish this up and either look at the suburbs or at Taylor that probably would be the way to go. Thank you, Mr. Morgan. Appreciate the help.

>> MR. MORGAN: Yep.

>> CHAIR EID: And next up is Commissioner Kellom.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM:

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Chair, it looks like we just lost Commissioner Kellom. Never mind, she is here, she just moved on my list.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I'm like I think this is me, Megan. I'm looking at the map, but I also need to say something. Let me try to gather my thoughts. So I would like to.

>> MR. MORGAN: Do you want the map up while you speak?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: It doesn't matter. This is a point of personal privilege sort of. I would like to apologize to the public if they were thrown off or member of the Commissioners including translators today if they were bothered by the back and forth that went on. And I'm saying that especially because I'm the Vice Chair even though that means that nothing really, I'm just helping Commissioner Eid facilitate the meetings. But I will say that I was fed up between having to defend myself and other Commissioners from passive aggressive comments that have gone unchecked, and I have a high interest in collaboration, and it appears that a certain Commissioner enjoys stirring the pot and then sitting back and watching us all.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Point of order.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Let the ball out, I need to say this.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Point of order. Just unsolicited, uncalled for attacks on other Commissioners that she never gets checked on and they are not passive they are direct, Chair I ask you to enforce our rules, please.

- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I'm going to finish. I'm going to finish.
- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Point of order please.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I need to finish.
- >> CHAIR EID: I will let you finish but we do have a point of order.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I'm not going to be silent.
- >> CHAIR EID: I'm not saying to be silent, try to keep whatever you have to say you know.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I did not say a Commissioner's name either.
- >> CHAIR EID: You didn't. Let's not make situations and try to move forward with the mapping.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: So what I was going to say today's micro aggression and I can educate some of you if you don't know what a micro aggression is especially as a Black woman my micro aggression is driving a wedge between myself and the only other Black Commissioner and that is what blew my top so this is meant to be a collaborative process and that cannot happen without Commissioners truly listen and work together so I will advocate for myself and other Commissioners but I'm not going to deal with her comments that are going unchecked.
- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Point of order.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That is all I have to say, and I would like to take my turn.
- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Point of privilege.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I have a point of order and personal privilege. We have rules of conduct. She doesn't need to say the name. It's obvious who she is talking about. There were no attacks on her in any way shape or form.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: It was a micro aggression.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Can I finish please, I am speaking, I let you speak. I simply asked the other Detroit Commissioner on the Commission what her feelings were about her own map because of her map.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: The only other Black woman.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Attacked Commissioner Kellom.
- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Point of order.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: She will not let me talk; this is the problem.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You're not going to make me invisible.
- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Point of order, please.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: There is another micro aggression happening.
- >> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Excuse me, excuse me, we have a Chair. He is trying to establish order. We also understand that people want to talk and express their perspective. We've asked repeatedly, repeatedly for the sake of the interpreter to go one at a time.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Back to my point.
- >> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Excuse me.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Go ahead.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I want to make sure Commissioner Eid whatever your ruling is, what you decide to do we need to do it, but we have to follow our code of conduct and we also more importantly have to follow the requests of our interpreters to adequately sign language this meeting. And it cannot happen when people are all talking at one time. And it's a shame that we have to enlist the Secretary of State to mute everyone so that we can do it all at one time. So once again we are going to ask everyone to respect our Chair. He has been more than patient and lenient in trying to give everyone an opportunity to have their say. He is not in an enviable position. So we can't do this correctly, folks we are putting ourselves at risk and the opportunity that we have in terms of drawing the maps. So, please, let's go one at a time. And respect our Chair as we have asked numerous times before and I know that you all want the same respect when you were Chair because I worked with you as well. So let's make this a win-win for everyone. Thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I made a point of order which was not ruled on earlier. I made another point of order. Every time we have a problem with people yelling at each other during a meeting it's integrated by Commissioner Kellom every single time. And it needs to stop. Nobody is attacking her. Nobody is attacked Commissioner Curry. We are just trying to understand the maps and every single time she dissolves it to the person and throw shade at other Commissioners who she doesn't like for whatever reason and needs to stop. Chair I'm asking you again to enforce the rules against Commissioner Kellom because this is the second time today she has personally attacked me rather than attacked my work. And my work is to get the maps done and frankly I'm a person who generated more maps than anybody else and I'm the best at it so you can at least give me the courtesy of letting me do my job.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Commissioner Szetela. Does anyone else have anything to say? Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I hope we can move on at this point. I have been on the other end of these comments myself. And I just want to get these maps done and be done to tell you the truth. I'm tired. Mentally tired at this point. I just want to move on. And I just want the rules to apply to everybody equally. Even if it's me, myself, if I do something I want the rules to apply to me equally as it should everybody else. So that's it, that was my point of order and I'm done.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Commissioner Lange. I also would like to move on. Let's get to mapping, please. Commissioner Kellom, it's your turn. Let's just get to mapping. So pick up where you want to pick up. Everyone said what they want to say. It's all there. It's over now. Let's get back to the job at hand.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't have anything else to say. What she said was on the record and the public can hear just like what I said was on the record so now we have best mapper award. We can -- I'll look at the Taylor area.

>> MR. MORGAN: Commissioner Kellom, do you want me to bring up the map you were just working on?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes, please, John, thank you.

>> MR. MORGAN: One moment. Okay so this is the map. There is Taylor unassigned and as I pointed out earlier, the population of Taylor is greater than the amount that's unassigned. So that tells me that there is a geographic misalignment and the way we fix that is I export the shape file and reimport it over this plan, and it will adjust that problem. So I can do that now if you would like to readjust it before we start mapping.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes, I'm going to take a short turn anyway so, yes.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so give me a moment to do that. So, again, I'm just exporting it as is. I exported it and I will right that. I don't like that. Just a moment. Sorry about this. It will take a second to get this reset. Okay and I'm expecting when we are finished with in the unassigned population should equal the population of Taylor. Or we will find another area that is unassigned. It still didn't adjust that. Okay. Okay, I see, all right, we are fine. Taylor is split in this configuration. There is two precincts of Taylor that were put into four. So sorry to take the time to do that. But that's why it was off.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: No, you don't have to apologize.

>> MR. MORGAN: We are all set.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay, what am I going to do? Can we put Taylor into four right now?

>> MR. MORGAN: We are putting unassigned portion of Taylor in four, there are two precincts of Taylor or three that are already in there.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM:

>> MR. MORGAN: Now you have all of the population is assigned so there is no longer any question about that. Four is overpopulated. And basically the districts that are under populated are 13, 10 and 5. So 13 and 5 are over here and then ten is over here. So have to move population to those districts.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Can I grab, so four is let's look at four.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so four is overpopulated by 62000.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay can you Zoom in? I want to see the cities. You can put, yeah.

>> MR. MORGAN: One second. That is Sumpter, Van Buren and I think. I want to be sure though. I want to take Huron, Sumpter, Van Buren and put that into five, excuse me.

>> MR. MORGAN: Van Buren, Belleville and Sumpter is that what you mean?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Sorry, I didn't name Belleville.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: For right now. And Huron.

>> MR. MORGAN: And Huron into five?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes, this will need some analysis but I'm going to...

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: And then can we go north? I want to see this little tip of Canton. Probably I should have made, whatever, I will deal with that later. I really should have made that a part of 13 and left 5 the way that it was just for numbering sake. But that's fine. Five has too many people. Yeah, I want to do that in the reverse. Can we go back to how five was? I'm going to start in a different direction and do what I just did but put that in 13.

>> MR. MORGAN: That takes us back to where four is.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay, can you assign Canton to 13?

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Van Buren and Sumpter. Belleville too. Now it's over.

That is fine.

>> MR. MORGAN: Did you want Huron as well?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes and I can go back and try to fix this. Can you Zoom into the Plymouth, Northville area? Okay can you unassign the area that is right above Novi, just north of Novi?

>> MR. MORGAN: The West Bloomfield area?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Just that little piece. Can you unassign that from 13, right above the word Novi?

>> MR. MORGAN: Unassign, okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't know where I want to put it yet.

>> MR. MORGAN: When you say piece do you mean a precinct, a Township?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I should be specific, the precinct I believe.

>> MR. MORGAN: Here, I will put the townships on again for reference. So there is Wald Lake and Wixom and Novi Township and the Northvilles are here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: So the one.

>> CHAIR EID: What are we looking at with 13?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I'm over so I just want to take, I'm using this because I have the Zoom view of the map. So I think these are one, two, three, four, five, five precincts that are right above Novi and unassigning those. Where they are going to go for right now, I don't know.

>> CHAIR EID: You're over a lot on 13 so you need to take out a lot more than that to get it where you need it to be. But why do you put Canton in 13? Can't it go into five? With how five is? If you look at the difference between 13 and 5, they are about the same. One is over 125,000 the other is under.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Sure, if you want me to be truthful, the turn that you just took those are the changes that I was going to make, so I don't have to -- I never have



to when it's quote, unquote my turn be the sole voice that I hear. Don't have to take a turn like that. So I'm not against that. Van Buren.

>> CHAIR EID: I'm sorry, I have to step away for a moment because I have a work order.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I'm at work and looking at three different monitors, four if I include our meeting. So I wanted Taylor to go in with Romulus and Wayne into four. So I like four. And so then I went on to at first I was messing around with five and didn't like the way that was going. Excuse me. So then I started with District 13.

>> CHAIR EID: Uh-huh, uh-huh.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Just catching you up to what I was doing.

>> CHAIR EID: What I would suggest is Canton is almost 100,000 people. It's a large City so I would start putting that in five and then figure out where else to go in five to get that right and working your way up.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah, that is the way that I was going initially. Okay, John, sorry to bring out the big eraser again.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I'm going to undo what I did keeping four the same and I'm going to pass to the next person.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Commissioner Kellom, I just wonder what if you just took Canton, Van Buren and Sumpter and Huron you know so it would be like a C and put all that in five. I think the population might turn out about okay. And then that might leave 13 closer.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: That could work. I would put Wayne, sorry, Wayne Township in five as well and put Huron in four. But because they are about the same. They are both 17,000. But either way it works.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: You should never second guess yourself.

>> MR. MORGAN: I don't mean to be an auctioneer but I'm hearing Wayne in five, Huron into four, do I have Van Buren and Sumpter into five and Canton into five? Okay. So let's start with four. Huron into four. Wayne into five. Okay, this is not going to work exactly. But we will see. It's going to be close. Okay, so four is okay, five is over by 34,000. And 13 is under by 32000. You were contemplating making some changes to six. You could split Canton, or you could split Westland off of five to give you the population you need for 13, whether it goes into 6 or 13 directly.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I'm going to stop and pass to the next person.

>> CHAIR EID: Progress is made and Taylor everything is at least assigned now, and the population deviations are a lot closer to what we need to make this type of configuration work. Is there any thoughts about Wayne Township and if it's better with five or four in this type of configuration?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That was my initial, one of the things I was thinking about is maybe Wayne belongs more with the community of Romulus. My only, I have many experiences in Metro Detroit. I cannot speak to the Wayne Romulus area outside of the airport and visiting a close friend a couple of times. But.

>> CHAIR EID: Uh-huh.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think Wayne and Romulus ought to be together.

>> CHAIR EID: So if you do that you would need to then do what Commissioner Orton said and put Huron with five, making like a C shape. So that's kind of the debate, do we want to make that kind of shape? Huron is a rather it's a large land area but a small population.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I shared my opinion, Wayne and Romulus meld well together, but I defer to people who are more familiar with the area. I think the C could work.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, then do it.

>> MR. MORGAN: You're saying have Wayne be with four. Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Four is still okay, it's an even population swap. Okay, making progress, folks. Making progress. Okay thank you Commissioner Kellom. Next up we have Commissioner Lange.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, my turn is going to be quick again. What I would like to do, John, is could you bring up Commissioner Wagner's map? I know she is not here to present it but since it's going to VRA I would like to be able to at least see it, maybe there is something in there that the Commission could find interesting. I'm sure next week maybe she will be able to tell us what districts she drew and why. But I'm really curious to see what she drew.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay so this is the plan that was received from Commissioner Wagner. And just before we move any further along, let me just adjust six and two colorations.

>> MR. MORGAN: Configuration wise you have a District one that includes Ecorse, River Rouge, Lincoln Park, a portion of Allen Park, Detroit, up to bell aisle, the first west neighborhoods, District two is Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Melvindale, looks like most of Allen Park and then you've got the entire Warren Dale and Warren neighborhoods. District three Highland Park, Hamtramck, the English town, west end, East Point, four has a split in Taylor here but otherwise it's similar. Romulus is in five. Canton is in five, Westland is split between five and six. Six has all of Livonia, Redford, a small portion of Detroit, Farmington and part of Farmington Hills. Seven is most of the west end of Detroit and then includes to Berkeley, Oak Park, Ferndale, and not Hazel Park. We have eight which is similar to the configuration of seven the previous Linden seven from Pontiac, Auburn Hills, Bloomfield, Bloomfield Hills and Southfields. Nine is almost the same as the Linden nine, not exactly. It has less of Oakland township. It doesn't have the one precinct split off and I believe it takes in Clawson but not Utica. Ten is all of

Warren and Centerline. Most of Roseville. Madison Heights, Hazel Park and Royal Oak. 11 is all of Macomb Township. The portion of Clinton that was not in Linden District 12 which appears to be the same in this plan as Fraser, similar split of Sterling Heights we saw, and Utica goes to 24. 13 the Plymouths are in 13. And I think that covers most of the districts. Commissioner Lange, does that help?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: It does, and can I have you just kind of scroll out just a little bit? I just want to see kind of the big picture. And one last thing, could we do an overlay of Linden on it too? Just so I get an idea of that?

>> MR. MORGAN: So Linden one which was a District that had to be changed included Taylor to this portion of Detroit. District 3, so there is the Linden overlay.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I think it has the aspects that are the same in some of the maps we have done and has some different aspects in it so I look forward to her getting back and telling us what prompted her drawing, so thank you, John, that is all I wanted to see.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you for bringing that up, Commissioner Lange. I wanted to see it and there is some good ideas in there. So thanks for bringing it up since it's being put out for VRA review. Okay Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I pass.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, how much time do we have? We have about ten more minutes or five more minutes of mapping. So after Commissioner Lett is Commissioner Muldoon.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I am going to pass right now also.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Okay, the map, so this was not what I was planning on doing but the map that several people have worked on today it still has some holes; is that right?

>> MR. MORGAN: The population is not in alignment. But all the population is assigned to a District. I can bring up the one that was just worked on, and you can look at it at least.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: So this is the state after Commissioner Kellom finished her turn. So this is that C shape in District 5. And then these districts, let's see, so District 5 is over by 33,000. District 10 is under by 36,000. District 13 is under by 32000.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Do those numbers make sense?

>> MR. MORGAN: Not exactly. There is a couple of things to consider. Some of the districts that we have been working with in the past have been underpopulated. So, for example, many times you will end up with a District one or a District three that might be two and a half percent under. In this case you have some of the districts are over. Not in all cases but District six is on the high end, seven is on the high end, so that could

account for some of the population. We could also I don't think there is a problem with the program because I just loaded the shape file and that's the situation. So for example if you were to take a District all the way to the lower end you would have surplus population you could use to make an adjustment.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Okay, well, you can see that someone could finish that fairly easily. But I don't think that is what I want to do with my turn. So you can close this one.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

And so I'm interested in I'm looking at Commissioner Curry's map.

>> MR. MORGAN: At this point do you want to look at it or do you want to make changes?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Make a copy just in case.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, if you were to continue in the morning, then this copy might take tomorrow's date.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Right, so I don't know how you want to do that.

>> MR. MORGAN: We will give it today's date and then we deleted the version two that Commissioner Eid worked on either so this could replace it, or you can call it version three or use tomorrow's date version one since we are going to run out of time here shortly.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: If no one sees a problem with using tomorrow's date version one, I'm sure we will go over to tomorrow, is that good? Okay.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Just to be clear Commissioner Curry your map is still there. This is just a copy. If we make any changes it won't be changing yours. Could you change the colors either of nine or ten?

>> MR. MORGAN: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: And maybe 11 while you're at it because that one always bothers me.

>> MR. MORGAN: Okay, I may adjust 11 again later but there you go.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Thanks.

>> MR. MORGAN: Starting out all the districts are within the deviation. You have your Detroit area districts and so one is South Gate Lincoln Park Ecorse River Rouge, this portion of Detroit. Three is Highland Park, Hamtramck and this portion of Detroit. Six is this portion of Detroit and Redford and that accounts for all of the Detroit area except for this portion of Warren and Warren Dale which is in District two.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Okay.

Can you Zoom down so I can see all of four?

>> MR. MORGAN: So four has Wayne, Romulus, Van Buren, Belleville, Sumpter, Huron, the Down River community, part of Taylor, Wyandotte and then South Gate.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: So is four the same as Linden was?

>> MR. MORGAN: I don't think so. I think South Gate remember sorry Linden moved Taylor with one. I can put Linden over if you want.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Yeah, will you do that? I like how I believe that Commissioner Curry didn't change things that didn't need to be changed as much as possible anyway. I liked that.

>> MR. MORGAN: Four differs from the Linden for with part of Taylor going in there and then South Gate going out. That is the primary difference. Five for the moment is the same. 13 is the same. Nine is the same. 12 is the same.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Okay, before we start getting into something because I will just get started and forget where I was should we stop now, Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Why don't we think about what you want to do with this tonight, come back in the morning.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: With a game plan and we will go from there.

>> MR. MORGAN: Sorry, just to clarify no changes were made at this point. So I'm not going to upload this tonight since no changes were made to Commissioner Curry's map at this time.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Okay thanks.

>> CHAIR EID: All right Commissioners that concludes the mapping portion of today's meeting. Next on the agenda is approval of the minutes. We have minutes from May 2, May 7, May 8 and May 9. These draft minutes have been provided to the Commission before this meeting and have been posted on the website. Is there a motion regarding the minutes?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I move we adopt all the minutes as presented.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion by Commissioner Lett. Seconded by Commissioner Muldoon to prove the minutes from May 2, May 7th, May 8 and May 9. Is there any discussion or edits to the minutes? Seeing no discussion we will move to vote. All those in favor of the motion to approve the minutes please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Any opposed please raise your hand and say nay.

The ayes have it and the minutes are adopted.

There is an Executive Director report today. If there is no objection, I will ask MICRC Executive Director Woods to provide his report. Is there any objection, Commissioners? Hearing no objection please proceed Mr. Woods.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you, Commissioner Eid. Just want to highlight what the Commission has done last week. And also share about our upcoming public hearings, the second round of public hearings. But then I know that Commissioner Lange as well as Commissioner Szetela had some concerns with regards to the

schedule. And I just want to make sure that I have everyone else's concerns as well. So that we can develop our mapping process in terms of getting approval to move maps forward so we can have as many Commissioners as possible, preferably all 13 to participate in that discussion. So just as a recap last week the mapping deliberation process which you approved today was sent Wednesday, May 8th as the House process. It was reviewed by the Commission in its meeting on Wednesday, May 8. And then it was sent Thursday, May 9 as the Senate process. It was also sent yesterday, May 13th as the Senate process and voted today. We also selected, provided you with the selection of a final map sent Wednesday May 8 as the House process and will be updated to change House to Senate and reflect the dates approved by the Commission in its mapping schedule. Once again this is the final map that will not happen until June but wanted to give you the information when it was presented to the Commission. As far as the upcoming mapping schedule, we have a mapping schedule taking place tomorrow May 15th from 10-3. With a lunch break from 12:30 to 1:30. I want to make sure we are all on the same Page, that all completed collaborated maps as well as individual maps must be submitted by 3:00 p.m. Once again just want to reiterate so everyone is aware of what is the expectations are is that all the maps, whether they are collaborative or individual maps are due at 3:00 p.m. We have coordinated a schedule with both our partisan fairness and our VRA experts so that they will have enough time to do these maps, analyze them and provide a report to the Commission on Thursday. So the VRA analysis, we will have our attorney, VRA attorney mark Braden and expert Dana analysis max palmer will do that as soon as we are done with the public feedback and following the VRA analysis we will hear from Dr. Lisa Handley, Dr. Max palmer and also Dr. Lisa handedly with regard to partisan fairness and take place at 11:00. So the idea is for you to get your questions, get the analysis and the feedback and then after you break back from lunch following the advice of our legal counsel Nate Fink as relates to changing the maps if you decide to change them at all.

On May 20th the Commission voted to go from 10-5 p.m. Our VRA analysis person will be available and attorney on standby between 10-5. They will be available. However, our partisan fairness person is not available. They have a previously scheduled appointment in another jurisdiction with regards to partisan -- regarding analysis that they are working on in another case. But both of them will be available on the 21st. And so the idea once again the idea is to get any and all conflicts that Commissioners may have so that we can make sure that as many as possible preferably 13 can be a part of the vote that moves the maps forward. Just wanted to share that again so if you can e-mail me so I can have those times and have it all on the record the conflicts we can come back with a schedule. I want to remind the public of the public hearing location, this is when you will look at selecting a map it will take place June 11 at Cass Tech and June 12 at King and June 13 Renaissance and the time is

10-12:30, 1:30 to 3:00 and 4:00 to 7 all of these places just like before have free parking, have free parking. So just wanted to share that with the Commission. If there is any questions with regards to the report, I can take them at this time.

>> CHAIR EID: It's not really with the report, Mr. Woods, but for I know there are a lot of engagement meetings this weeks with various different community groups, it would be very helpful to have a flier of the meeting dates and locations for the second round of public hearings.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Eid you will get it today. It's already done. We finished it yesterday.

>> CHAIR EID: That is great, I have another one later today.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I will send it to the entire Commission and got it done and updated the language and will be sending that off to get it translated in Arabic Bengali as well as Spanish.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you for that.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: If you have a problem on the 20 or 21st we are trying to make sure we get all 13, all 13 at the meeting. Please let me know. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Mr. Woods. Are there any acknowledgments or announcements today? Just like to give a shout out to our sign language interpreters and ASL interpreters and translators. Today might have been a little hard but we appreciate y'all sticking with us. With that said, the items on today's agenda are concluded and the Commission has no further business. Motion to adjourn is in order. Is there a motion, Commissioners?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So moved.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion by Commissioner Lett, seconded by Commissioner Weiss to adjourn for today. Is there any discussion on the motion? Hearing none we will move to vote. All those in favor please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Is there any opposed? The ayes have it and we are adjourned at 2:57:00 p.m. Thank you, everyone.