

REALTIME FILE

*****DISCLAIMER: This text is not a verbatim transcript. Communication Access Real Time Translation (CART) is provided in order to facilitate communication credibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings.*****

>> Meghan Schaal: Okay, I'm going to start the webinar and take us live so you can get started. Thank you, guys. Anything you say or do at this point can be seen and heard by the world.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you, Meghan. Sounds good.

Good evening, everybody. Welcome to round two. As head of the Commission, I'd like to welcome everybody to the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission Town Hall Meeting at 5:02 pm.

This Zoom webinar is live streamed on YouTube, on the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission YouTube Channel. For anyone in the public watching who would prefer to watch via a different platform than they are currently using, please visit our social media at @redistricting MI.

Our live stream today include closed captioning. Closed captioning, ASL interpretation, as well as Spanish, Arabic, and Bengali services will be provided for effective participation in today's meeting. Please e-mail us at redistricting@michigan.gov for additional viewing options or details on accessing language translation services for this meeting. People with disabilities needing other specific accommodations should also contact redistricting@michigan.gov.

This meeting is being recorded and will be available at www.Michigan.gov/MICRC for viewing at a later date.

This meeting is also being transcribed, and those closed caption transcripts will be made available and posted on the Michigan.gov/MICRC website as well as written public comment submissions. There's also a public comment portal that may be accessed on the website where you can submit your comments in writing to the Commission.

Members of the media who have questions before, during, or after today's town hall should direct those questions to Edward Woods, III at woodsE3@michigan.gov.

For the purpose of tonight's town hall meeting, I'll turn it over to Executive Director Woods.

>> Executive Director Edward Woods III: Thank you, Commissioner Eid. I will share my screen. There we go. You're able to see the screen?

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: We can.

>> Executive Director Edward Woods III: Wonderful. Thank you. We're excited to have the public engage in this opportunity. This is basically a lesson learned from the last one where the public suggested, you know what? It would be great if we had more time to understand the maps and so the Commission has agreed to this virtual town hall to explain the maps; so that the public can be more informed so that when we have our public hearings taking place in June in Detroit, the week of the tenth of June, it could have a selection or tell their preferred map and if they like it as is or if they think some tweaks need to be made for whatever reasons to improve the map. They can share that with the Commission that week.

So our purpose is to educate the public on the 12 maps being considered by the Commission. Each of the 12 maps will be explained. This is not a deliberation. This is just an explanation of each of the maps. The Commission will take public feedback again during the public hearings; and then after that, the deliberations WILL start as it relates to which map the Commission will advance forward. So once again, it's an education on the 12 maps. Six are collaborative, and six are individual. You will hear more about those maps this evening as the Commission shares those maps, sharing how they comply with the court order and then also the seven ranked redistricting criteria.

We want to share how -- I hit that -- and then answer questions and obtain feedback.

Unlike the regular meetings of the Commission, this is a town hall meeting so this can be an exchange and engagement. So if you have questions, the Commission will answer the questions as it relates to the map. So this is very informal. It's a dialogue but is an opportunity for you to get your questions answered as it relates to the 12 maps being considered by the Commission. So we welcome feedback. We encourage you to call your family, your friends, your community, anyone associated with this redistricting process in the Southeast Michigan area so they can register their feedback and their concerns with regards to the maps; but most importantly, during this virtual town hall, we're just trying to explain and make sure that people have clarity so that when they do register their feedback, they can select the best map possible that represents themselves as well as their community of interest.

But the Commission, once again, the Commission will make the decision as it relates to ensuring that whatever map is selected follows the court order and the seven redistricting criteria.

So once again, thank you for engaging with us. Feel free to call others to engage with us as well, and we hope that this is a meaningful exchange to provide more information about these 12 maps. Thank you again.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you, Mr. Woods.

As you just heard, the Commission has forwarded 12 maps to the court for public consideration. These include six collaborative maps and six maps submitted by individual Commissioners. For the collaborative maps, we have named them after bird names. They're as follows: Cardinal, Crane; Dove, Finch, Heron; and Starling.

The individual commissioners who submitted maps are Commissioners Curry, Kellom, Lange, Orton, Szetela, and Wagner.

Tonight we have requested from Commissioners to present a designated time to make the timing work. We will try our best to accommodate those schedules. Commissioner Wagner, I believe, you requested to go first tonight?

>> Commissioner Erin Wagner: Yes, please. Thank you, Chair.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Go ahead. We have Mr. Morgan with us again. Thank you for being here.

>> John Morgan: Okay, Commissioner Wagner, I will bring up my screen and bring up the map.

>> Commissioner Erin Wagner: Thank you, Mr. Morgan.

Originally I started with Mr. Scannell's map, based off Linden and with Mr. Morgan's help. And number 9 -- if you could scroll up to the north -- we brought it out of Oakland, right? And we added Utica and a percentage of Royal Oak to balance out the population.

The rest of Royal Oak we moved to 10 and all of Hazel Park went from 10 to 7. District 7 takes the rest of Detroit from 6; 6 takes Garden City to 5. District 5 takes more of Taylor, and 4 takes more of Allen Park. The balance went to 7 in Detroit near Greenfield and Schoolcraft. It's race blind, partisan blind, all of that good stuff.

Came up with parts and fairness for 5.2% Republican, mean, median, 3.4, efficiency gap 1.9. Seats-to-vote ratio is 21 and 17 with 2.4 going towards compliance. The VRA districts are 1, 3, 7, 8.

And I thank you very much for your time.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you, Commissioner Wagner. I'm sure the public appreciates the explanation. Since we started with an individual Commissioner's map, would any other individuals like to go right now? Commissioner Lange, I see your hand.

>> Commissioner Rhonda Lange: Yes, I'd like to go because I'm having Internet issues right now so better get it done.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Yeah, let's get it in while you're still with us. Sounds good to me. Can we pull up Commissioner Lange's map?

>> John Morgan: Okay. Okay, Commissioner Lange.

>> Commissioner Rhonda Lange: This started off early on as one of the collaborative maps. I don't believe that map was ever named. There were other commissioners that did District 5, District 10, so I took that collaborative map and worked on it to kind of look at the Detroit area and then some of the surrounding areas. So basically Detroit area we have Districts 1, 3, a good portion of 6, and 2.

District 1, I'll start out with, started out as a down-river rendition of a district. Based on public comment. When the VRA was done, District 1 was not a performing district so I made minor changes to that district, which included putting Melvindale with Dearborn. We had public comment from the Dearborn community that Melvindale should be included with them so that was moved in. And to make up for that population, I grabbed population from above the Dearborn area and put that into District 1. So while it is not like the original version of my maps, it was a minor change that could be made without changing a bunch of other districts.

District 2 encompasses Dearborn, your Dearborn Heights, and Garden City. District -- Melvindale and Allen Park. Sorry.

District 3 we have Highland Park, Hamtramck, Banglatown.

District 6 is pretty much -- oh, what am I trying to say? I tried to keep it Detroit-centric so district 6, while there is some of Redford, I tried to keep the majority of the district within Detroit.

One of the things we heard about our first go-around in mapping was Detroit wanted to be represented by Detroiters and they felt that with the districts being drawn out they were not given that opportunity to have somebody represent them that knows the area, knows their needs; so by trying to draw some districts specifically in Detroit, I think that is accomplished here. I used roads kind of as guidelines and points, whether that be U.S. highways or roads that are familiar within the area.

I did my best to keep neighborhoods whole within the Detroit area. I think people can relate to neighborhoods so trying to keep those whole was a goal.

As we move farther up into the other districts, you'll see District 12 was left the same. That was a community of interest that we had public comment about being the Lakeshore community. I kept that the same, along with District 9 was kept the same from the original Linden plan.

There were changes to District 10, although I did not do those changes, though. I don't know, honestly, what the reasoning was.

District 11 was changed. That was one of the districts that had to be so when we look at District 11, we have the East Side of Sterling Heights, Roseville, Fraser, and part of Macomb.

District 7 -- Oh. I'm skipping. Sorry. District 7 I changed initially that was to be a community of interest centered around the Jewish community. When we did the RA, that is also a VRA performing district. Livonia in district 13, there were changes done initially to make Livonia whole again so you have Livonia whole in 13 and that kind of wraps around and goes up to some of the Orchard Lake area.

That was done because of District 7 in population. I had to split off part of that West Bloomfield and Orchard Lake area for population reasons.

As far as the map goes, it is VRA compliant, Districts 1, 3, 6, and 7 that are compliant. It is compliant with a partisan fairness. The numbers are pretty much the same as most maps. I believe 2.4 with a 21-17 ratio, which most of the maps you will see have that also.

When I drew it, I drew it completely race-blind. I drew it completely blind of politics and party. I did not copy any maps. No map submissions were used on my part in those maps so there won't be candidates having an advantage.

What else can I say about it? It is reasonably compact and -- what other criteria am I missing here? I guess that pretty much sums it up. The other data is available on the website if they wanted to look, and one other point that I'll make in closing was I only ended up changing 11 Districts, which was very minimal, I believe. Commissioner Curry's map only changed 9 and the rest I saw changed more than that so I think as far as sticking to the original Linden, it did a pretty good job while making the required changes. And that's it.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you, Commissioner Lange. I'm sure the public appreciates that explanation. Commissioner Szetela?

>> Commissioner Rebecca Szetela: I would like to present my map now if possible.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Sure.

>> John Morgan: Okay, just a moment. Okay, Commissioner Szetela, this is your map.

>> Commissioner Rebecca Szetela: All righty. So I built this map based off of Commissioner Curry's Detroit Districts. I did make a few changes. So 1, 3, and 8 are the Districts that were built off of her Districts. I did change 3 to bring in Ferndale for that LGBTQ community of interest that we had identified. That was public comment number F-3493, just for public reference, when I reference these numbers, these are from our public comment portal identifying specific maps or communities of interest that we received online.

So because of that change, I had to adjust the border in 1 a little bit and so I pulled Southgate out of 1. Otherwise, this is pretty similar to what Commissioner Curry had done. District 2 is, this is what we're kind of calling the preferred community map for Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Melvindale, that we received comments about from the Middle Eastern/North African community. Excuse me. I have a little bit of a cold so I'm

coughing. That's community of interest C-1510. So it preserves that community of interest between Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Melvindale. It includes Allen Park, another community of interest we received from a group of teachers who teach in both the Allen Park Districts and felt that they would be better served by being placed with Dearborn because they also have a large number of non-English first language speakers and that being able to have representatives who once the needs of those particular communities would be helpful to them.

In terms of District 4, this keeps Romulus whole and also keeps Van Buren Township whole and Belleville with Sumter and Huron in mostly the down-river communities. It takes a slightly different configuration for 5 and 6 than some of the other maps. Instead of having 5 and 6 sitting on top of each other, they are sitting side by side.

So 5 includes Canton, Plymouth, Northville, and Novi, as well as Wixom. District 6 includes Westland, Garden City, Inkster, Wayne, all of Livonia, and a small bit of Farmington Hills needed for population. It also preserves a community of interest we have in Westland and Garden City, submitted by Meredith Kennedy, P-5527.

I'm sorry.

In to reference in District 1 we have the Latinx community submitted, C-2453, included in that map.

In District 3, we have the Banglatown community of Hamtramck and surrounding precincts cut together. And that is P-1206, C-6211, and P-4107, all identified communities of interest.

District 8 keeps Rosedale Park, Minock Park, and Grandmont together, community of interest W-9474.

And then District 3 also keeps together Morningside, East English village, Cornerstone, which is C-826. Those are different communities of interests I was looking at in drawing those areas.

If we move to Districts 7, this is very similar to the original Linden configuration. I did pull out Birmingham and put in to 9 so there's a little change there; and then the border of Bloomfield as well. The reason why I wanted to keep District 7 this way is because we received feedback, specifically from people in Pontiac, who liked this configuration and felt it best represented them and their community of interest to be paired together with Southfield. This also taking advantage of being able to add in Oak Park in with Southfield as well and preserves that Jewish Orthodox community of interest that we had received comments about, which is C-1803. That is united in this map and kept together.

District 9 preserves most of the original District. There's a little bit of the west side of Sterling Heights taken off; but in general, Troy and Western Sterling Heights, the Chaldean community of interest, is kept together. And then also Rochester Hills and

Troy with the Sikh community of interest is kept together so those two communities' interests are preserved.

District 11 is modified to take into account the rest of the Chaldean community, recently identified by a real estate agent who said Eastern Sterling Heights, Fraser, Rosedale is another area where the community of interest existed; so I placed those communities together as well. And then I believe 12 is -- did don't believe it's changed. There might be minor changes but should be the original 12, Lakeshore District there as well.

And it does have four VRA Districts, according to the analysis. Those are 1, 3, 7, and 8.

It has partisan fairness scores that are within the specifications of all the other maps that we have so good partisan fairness scores; and was, like I said, primarily drawn around Commissioner Curry's design of Detroit and then the remaining kind communities of interest and outside communities we were looking at as well was drawn race-blind and partisan-blind as well. I think that's it. Thank you.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you, Commissioner Szetela. Do we want to do the other two individual maps right now while we have done three? Do we have Commissioners Curry or Kellom with us?

>> Commissioner Juanita Curry: I'm here. Commissioner Curry is here.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Wonderful. Let's pull up Commissioner Curry's.

>> John Morgan: Okay, Commissioner Curry, these are what you drew and these are the Detroit area Districts you began with; and as Commissioner Lange noted, I think there were fewer changes in some of the other Districts like District 5 is exactly the same as the Linden.

>> Commissioner Juanita Curry: Mm-hmm. Okay. Well, when I started my map, I wasn't anything about race or anything that would go against the VRA for unique contiguousness so I dealt with trying to keep the population straight and really worked in the districts that they -- 1-6, 8, 10, 11. They said those were the main districts that were talked about so I gathered in the different races -- well, not races so much but the different, like, Dearborn and Dearborn Heights together and Hamtramck together -- I can't hardly see my letters now, John. I don't see on here.

>> John Morgan: Okay. Sorry. District 3 here is most of the eastern part of Detroit and then Highland Park and Hamtramck, like you're saying.

>> Commissioner Juanita Curry: Can you hear me, John?

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Yeah, we can hear you, Commissioner Curry.

>> Commissioner Juanita Curry: I can just see Wayne -- okay, now I can see it.

Okay, I want to keep Highland Park, Hamtramck, Detroit, River Rouge, Lincoln Park, and Ecorse more or less together with -- because we're always, we're more contiguous together, Melvindale, Allen Park together because they're contiguous.

I mainly was concerned with keeping the population and the VRA together so that everything would come out pretty decent but thought it would be what the people wanted and what I thought would go well together. That's what I did. As far as partisan fairness, I tried to look at the efficiency gap, 1.9 Republican, and the seat vote 2.4 for the Democrats. It seemed to come out pretty decent. Everybody seems to be kind of content with it. So that's what I tried to do. That's what I did. Questions? Any questions?

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: I don't see any, Commissioner Curry.

>> Commissioner Juanita Curry: If not, it seem to have came up pretty good. It's not -- it's pretty neat where it's running slower. But if there's any questions, you call -- you all can ask.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: I think we're good for now, Commissioner Curry. Thank you very presenting your map. I'm sure the public appreciates that presentation.

>> Commissioner Juanita Curry: I like it. Thank you.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Okay. The final individual map was submitted by Commissioner Kellom. She has asked me to present it on her behalf. If anyone wants to jump in as well, you're more than welcome to.

>> John Morgan: Okay, Commissioner Eid, I have the map, and looks like Commissioner Lange had her hand up.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Commissioner Lange?

>> Commissioner Rhonda Lange: I had a quick question. You said this was the last one? What about Commissioner Orton's?

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Yes, we also have Commissioner Orton's?

>> Commissioner Rhonda Lange: Okay.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you.

>> John Morgan: Okay.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: So what we're looking at now is Commissioner Kellom's individual submission. This was, or this is, I guess, the same thing as the Phoenix Collaborative Map. However, the Commission elected not to send this forth as a collaborative map so she sent it forward as an individual instead.

I'll go in order of the constitutional criteria. It does have four VRA districts: 1, 8, 6, and 3. The districts are contiguous. As far as the districts themselves and the communities that encompass them, you can see that the Detroit area districts are little different than what

we have on some other maps but also in a way similar to the Heron and Old Hummingbird map. You have a District 1 that incorporates many of the down-river communities, River Rouge, Ecorse, some of Allen Park. Then it goes up into Detroit proper along the river up through the east side.

District 8 stacks pretty neatly on top of that, encompassing the rest of the East side and middle portions of Detroit and include both Hamtramck and Highland Park in that District. District 6 encompasses Livonia, Redford, portions of the west side of Detroit. District 3 include Farmington, Farmington Hills, Southfield, Oak Park, Royal Oak Township, and the last remaining portion of the city of Detroit.

That covers those VRA Districts.

District 2 encompasses Dearborn, Melvindale, Dearborn Heights, and goes westward to include Garden City and Inkster. In this version, both Romulus and Taylor are whole, and if you want to zoom out for a moment, John?

So this District 4 goes south to incorporate Romulus, Taylor, and rest of the down-river communities from Southgate and Wyandotte south to Monroe.

And on the District 5 makes a "C" shape incorporating Westland, Canton, all the way down to Sumter and Huron Townships.

That brings us to District 7, which can include Waterford, Pontiac, and goes -- as well as Auburn Hills. That is a configuration I heard folks advocate for when we were originally doing this area a couple years ago now; but it goes south into Birmingham and Beverly Hills. District 9 looks pretty similar to the original 9 configuration but believe it also include Clawson and has that Sterling Heights split East to west on Van Dyke that we have in a few of the configurations and encompassing the Chaldean CLI.

You have a District 10 that include areas essentially right on top of District 8. Some of Oakland County, some of Macomb County, most of Warren and Center Line and, you know, the neighbors of Oakland County being Madison Height, Royal Oak, and Ferndale. So while 11 is a Macomb County center, the district incorporates the remainder of Sterling Heights East of Van Dyke, as well as Fraser, Roseville, Eastpointe.

District 12 doesn't look too changed from the original Linden configuration. Mount Clemens is in there and think the only difference the two neighborhoods of Detroit on the south end, the Jefferson/Chalmers area.

And then if we go westward to 13, this one is also similar to the original Linden configuration. I do think it goes a little more south, if my memory serves me correctly, but it include West Bloomfield, Orchard Lake through Commerce, Watts Lake, Novi, into Plymouth. It also includes Northville.

And then if you can scroll to the top for 23 and 24, a couple of these counties in their configurations changed from 23 to 24 in order to make those changes in 7, 9, and 11.

As far as the partisan fairness metrics, this map has a lopsided margins, test of 3.8%, mean, median difference of 1.5%, and efficiency gap of 0.8% and seats-to-votes ratio of 22-16, 5% towards the debt.

I think that about covers it for this one. Again, that was Commissioner Kellom's map. Originally, the Phoenix map submitted individually by her is an individual submission.

All right, Commissioner Orton, you want to finish us up for the individuals?

>> Commissioner Cynthia Orton: Sure. Thank you.

Okay, so for the redistricting criteria, the population is in balance, as we can see up there, and for VRA, race was not considered when drawing this map, and the VRA analysis was performed after it was drawn. There are four VRA Districts, which are 1, 3, 6, and 7.

I started out with the Crane map and just made a few changes to that so there was discontinuity so it's graphically contiguous. For the state's diversity and communities of interest, I'll go through each District.

So John, if you want to zoom in to 1. District 1 contains the Hispanic COI --

>> John Morgan: Sorry; one moment, please.

>> Commissioner Cynthia Orton: Okay. I think my screen is lagging behind yours so sorry if I'm not saying the right thing at the right time.

>> John Morgan: No, the AutoBound closed on me so I have to reopen it.

>> Commissioner Cynthia Orton: Okay. So District 1. The Hispanic community of interest includes Corktown, Mexicantown, the areas of River Rouge, Ecorse, Lincoln Park, and contains Southgate.

And then going up, it contains the neighborhoods of -- well, several Detroit neighborhoods but including Midwest and up to Bethune and Dexter/Linwood.

Then District 2 is the MENA community of interest we heard a lot about, including Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Melvindale, Allen Park, Warren avenue, and most of Warrendale.

And District 3 is a Detroit District with Highland Park and Hamtramck, including Banglatown, East Detroit keeps the neighborhoods of Cornerstone Village, East English Village, Morningside together. It includes Gold Coast.

And District 4 keeps the COI, the community of interest of Belleville and Van Buren and Sumter Townships along with Huron and keeps Romulus whole with the area south, which was requested by public comment. It keeps many of the down-river communities together.

And District 5 is Canton with Plymouth and Westland and also includes Garden City and Inkster.

District 6 is West Detroit with Redford and include neighborhoods of Brightmoor, Bagley, Barton, McFarland, and others.

And District 7 has that community of interest of Southfield with Pontiac that we have heard about and District 8 has, contains Oak Park, Huntington Woods, Pleasant Ridge, Ferndale, Hazel Park, along with the western portion of Warren. And Center Line.

District 9 keeps the Chaldean community of interest together with Troy and west side of Sterling Heights, Rochester, Rochester Hills.

District 10 is the bottom of what used to be the long, skinny district, of 10 and 11 so. Eastpointe, Roseville, Fraser with the east side of Warren and the remainder of Sterling Heights and a little bit of Clinton Township.

And District 11 is the northern part that used to be those two Districts. So it has the rest of Clinton Township with Macomb Township and most of Shelby and everything north of that.

And 12 preserves lake St. Clair, Lakeshore community of interest.

And 13 keeps Livonia whole and keeps Farmington and Farmington Hills together and includes Northville and part of Novi.

There were slight changes made to 23 and 24 to move the population around; so altogether I changed 13 Districts. Some of them only changed slightly.

And so then this was done starting with Crane, like I said, and then just changing for a few communities of interest, with just some small changes I wanted to give a different option. So it doesn't advantage or disadvantage any political party. The partisan fairness numbers are in line with the others. They are -- let's see. Lopsided margin is 5.1, mean/median is 3.4. Efficiency gap is 1.9. The seats-to-votes is 21 Democrat, 17 Republican, so 2.4% to the Democrats. And it doesn't favor or disfavor any public official or candidate. I didn't take any of that into consideration in this map so it reflects consideration of county, city, township boundaries where possible and tried to keep those together if it didn't conflict with population or community of interest. I think that's all.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Wonderful. Thank you, Commissioner Orton.

All right. Well, let's move on to the collaborative maps. We'll just go in alphabetical order, starting with Cardinal. Commissioner Weiss, you want to take the lead on this one?

>> Commissioner Richard Weiss: Yes. Chairman Eid and I will go over the details, mostly Chairman Eid. This was created using the Linden map and taking out the contested Districts of 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, and 11, Senate districts; and then subbing in the

House Motown Sound Districts in place of the Senate districts to create at least three to four Representative districts in one Senate district, depending how the lines were drawn.

What this did is actually gave us four VRA Districts, which are 7, 8, 3, and 1. John's got the map up now.

We did an overlay before; I don't know if it's necessary again to show what it looks like using the Rep Districts.

Mr. Eid, would you like to go into more detail, please.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Yeah. So as you said, this version tried to combine some of the Motown Sound Districts in Detroit and combine three or four of them in order to make a Senate District.

For example, District 1 is a combination of Districts 1, 9, and 7 from Motown Sound; District 3 is a combination of 4, 5, and 8 from Motown Sound; and District 2 is 2, 3, and 15 from Motown Sound. We just kind of tried to put them together for the Detroit area.

For the suburbs, we just used the collaborative districts that we made previously to going on Detroit. That's why those are similar to what you see in some of the other maps.

You do have a split in Romulus here between 4 and 5; however, Taylor is whole so that's something. Then you have that in 4 as well going from Taylor down through the rest of the down-river communities and also including Sumter with Van Buren Townships.

Going north to District 13, we're now in the suburbs where we have some of the same District configurations as what is in the other maps; but you can see you have a 13 that has West Bloomfield and lakes with Farmington, Farmington Hills; some of Commerce and Novi.

You have Northville here crossing the county line but that's because the -- that's how that township is drawn. It's drawn across the county line but is whole within six, which includes and incorporates Livonia, Garden City, Redford, a little bit of Detroit.

For 7, you have some of the Oakland County Townships like Southfield, Royal Oak, Berkley, Huntington Woods. You have them together as one, which we have heard about; and then in 3 as I said, we tried to approximate the areas of Motown Sound that we put in south of the eight mile boundary to incorporation the COIs there.

If we go a little more north for District 9, you then have a configuration of Pontiac being with Auburn Hills into Birmingham and Rochester Hills.

For 10, you have Sterling Heights being whole here along with Troy down into Madison Heights; and for 11 you have Macomb County centered District, taking in what is left of Macomb Township down through Fraser westward into Warren. And with 12 in here, I

believe it's unchanged from the Linden map, keeping that Lakeshore community that we have spoken about.

As far as the partisan fairness, you got a 3.6% lopsided margins number; mean/median difference of 2.6%; efficiency gap of 0.8%; and a seats-to-votes 22-16, 5% towards the Dems.

We did not look at racial data when making this and did not look at incumbency data or anything like that. We just used the Motown Sound overlay to make the Detroit Districts and there are no discontinuities -- and yeah, that's about it for this one.

We'll move now to Crane. Commissioner Vallette, if you want to talk about this one?

>> Commissioner Janice Vallette: Okay. I just wanted to go through the redistricting criteria, and we're going to start with population and voting rights about. The population on all the Districts are within the population deviation. Race -- I'm sorry, John. I didn't let you get the map up.

Race was not looked at in the drawing of this map. The VRA analysis was performed after the map was drawn. There are four VRA Districts. The next criteria is geographically contiguous. Discontinuity check was performed and no discontinuities -- what is that word? Dualities or whatever so everything was within the guidelines.

And then through the community of interest and the state's diversity with District 1, the down-river communities of River Rouge, Ecorse, Lincoln Park were together. The Hispanic COI including Corktown and Mexicantown were together.

Neighborhoods of the Midwest up to Bethune over to Dexter/Linwood.

MENA community of interest including Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Melvindale, Allen Park, all of Warren Avenue and most of Warrendale were together.

District 3, Highland Park and Hamtramck including Banglatown, East Detroit, Cornerstone village, East English Village, Morningside. It also includes the Gold Coast.

District 4 keeps the community of interest of Bellevue, Van Buren, Sumter Townships along with Huron and keeps Romulus whole and with the area south, which was requested by public comment.

It keeps many downward communities together.

District 5, Canton put with Plymouth and Westland and includes Garden City and Inkster.

District 6, West Detroit with Redford, include Brightmoor, Bagley, far west Detroit.

District 7 include Southfield with Pontiac, and that was a public comment for that COI.

District 8 keeps Livonia whole, keeps Farmington with Farmington Hills together, Northville, and some of Novi together.

District 9 keeps Chaldean community of interest together with Troy. West side of Sterling Heights, Rochester, Rochester Hills together.

District 10, Oak Park, Huntington Woods, Pleasant Ridge, Ferndale, and Hazel Park were all together.

District 12 preserves the lake St. Clair Lakeshore community of interest.

District 13 keeps Milford and commerce together with Walled Lake.

23 keeps Highland and White Lake together.

District 24 keeps most of Clinton Township and Shelby Township with Macomb Township, originally in the north part of the district.

There was no disproportionate advantage to any political party and the partisan fairness numbers that report was also ran, no favor or disfavor to an incumbent, elected official, or candidate. We did not take any income or candidate into account while drawing this map. Reflect consideration of county, city, and township orders.

Where possible, we considered county, city, and townships' borders with population or community of interest considerations. And it is reasonably compact and we do have compactness scores. If anybody want to see those reports, I'm sure that Mr. Morgan would be more than happy to pull them up.

Any questions?

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: I don't see any, Commissioner.

>> Commissioner Janice Vallette: Thank you.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Well done. Thank you for explaining that map. I'm sure the public appreciates it.

Okay. Next up we have Dove.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: So let's start right now. We're looking at Dove. This map has four VRA Districts. Those are 1, 3, 10, and 7.

As far as the Districts themselves, you can see a few differences here. This District 1 goes from Ecorse up to the East side and upper East side, just south of Parker Woods in District 1 and include Hamtramck but not Highland Park in this configuration.

Highland Park is instead with District 3, which incorporates the northern, northwestern portions of Detroit.

District 10 include the northeastern portions of Detroit along with Warren, Centerline, and Eastpointe.

And 7 has a similar configuration to the original Linden map, having a District comprised of Pontiac, going southward to Bloomfield Hills, and down into Southfield.

Now, Birmingham is no longer included and is instead with District 8, which has many of the Oakland County neighborhoods from Birmingham out into Royal Oak, Berkley, Huntington Woods and this configuration include Ferndale, Royal Oak Township, Oak Park, Hazel Park, and goes south of Eight Mile.

The District 2 include the Arab-American MENA community of interest. Instead of -- this one include the usual Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, Melvindale, Allen Park. It also include Lincoln Park, but Taylor is not included in District 2 in this configuration; it is instead in District 4.

We can scroll south.

So in this configuration of Dove, you have a District 4 that is comprised of Taylor and going south into the remainder of the down-river communities until Monroe. It has parts of Romulus, but Romulus is split in this one. Huron and Sumter as well.

District 5 now has Van Buren, parts of Romulus, goes northward to incorporate Canton and Plymouth.

Going north, that gives us District 6 comprised of Livonia, Farmington, Farmington Hills, a little of South field if Redford, portions directly south of it, Garden City and Westland.

The District 13 is also close to the original Linden configuration, incorporating West Bloomfield with the Lakes and going through Novi into Northville.

I believe it doesn't go quite far south as the original 13.

And then if we go to the East side, we have a District 9 that is once again similar to the original Linden configuration, comprised of Rochester Hills, Troy, and the west side of Sterling Heights. Sterling Heights is split around Van Dyke road.

District 11 then taking the rest of Sterling Heights and goes southeastward into Fraser, St. Clair Shores, and down into taking the Grosse Pointes.

That leaves us with District 12, which incorporates the northern portion of that Lakeshore COI including mount Clemens and the rest of the border communities of Lake St. Clair.

As far as partisan fairness values go, this one has a lop sided margins test of 6.2%, mean/median difference of 2.5%; and efficiency gap of 4.6%. Seats-to-votes ratio of 20-18, 0.2% towards the Republicans in this one.

Anyone have anything to add about this configuration?

Okay. Let's move to Finch, and Commissioner Andrade, I believe you want to take the lead on this one.

>> Commissioner Elaine Andrade: Yes, sure. So Finch has a very long history -- finch has a long history and goes back to April 24 and has had many hands on it to this point.

So Finch meets all the criteria that we have set for ourselves in and government's constitution has set for ourselves. It has four VRA Districts: 1, 3, 7, and 8.

This configuration is somewhat similar to Cardinal but in the Detroit area, we have a little bit more exaggerated C-shape to 1, which takes in the Latin/Latino communities in the down-river communities of interest.

It has the central District 3, which keeps Highland Park, Hamtramck, the Banglatown together, and has a little bit of the river front and then this 8 has a bit of a strange configuration with a little bit of the riverfront and keeps Morningside and English Village together but goes right up into Eastpointe and most of Warren.

Now, we have heard some of that portion right along the Eight Mile boundary in 8 is a community of interest on both sides so that makes some sense there.

If we go back down to 2, District 2, that we have kept Melvindale and Allen Park in with Dearborn, which has been requested. This one does not have the area of Warrendale in it but we can't do everything, and this is just one configuration that tries to meet some of the needs.

In 4, we've got this with Sumter, which has been requested together in a community of interest for infrastructure reasons but have split Romulus, maybe not the best of things.

Then we go up to 6, which is very similar to Cardinal and going up to 7 is very different. We have taken that long District 7 and made it kind of reasonably compact with the Royal Oak/Berkley/South Oakland area, which makes some kind of sense.

Then there's 10. A commenter liked this 10 because it made sense to keep the Districts together in the Chaldean communities of interest together.

District 9 is different, Pontiac in with Rochester Hills, and then 11 has been changed to be mostly a Macomb District with Roseville, Fraser, and part of Warren. So that's pretty much the districts.

The partisan fairness scores on this one is a lopsided margin of 3.7%, mean/median of 2.7%, efficiency gap of 0.8%, seats ratio of 22 Democrat to 16 Republican, 5.0%. That's pretty much it and slightly different configuration and will give somebody, will give the public something to consider. That's all I have.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Wonderful. Thank you for that explanation.

Okay. What do we have next? We will go to Heron. I believe Commissioner Callaghan presented this one. However, I don't see her with us today so I'll see what I can do. Commissioners, if anyone else would like to jump in, please feel free to do so.

This Detroit area has some similarities with the Hummingbird that the Commission did not advance as well as Commissioner Kellom's individual map in this area.

I'll start with the VRA Districts. It has four.

District 1 goes from those down-river communities that we have spoken about and goes up through the river up through Belle Isle, taking most of Detroit south of Hamtramck and include down-river parts that go more west to include Melvindale and Allen Park in this configuration.

District 8 includes the remainder of northern Detroit and almost sits on top of District 1 and includes Highland Park and Hamtramck.

District 6, then, has a configuration that incorporates Livonia, Redford, Detroit.

Finally, District 3 has Farmington Hills and Farmington along with Southfield, Oak Park, Royal Oak, part of Detroit, and some of the Oakland County Communities like Berkley and Huntington Woods.

That covers the VRA Districts.

Now if we can go to district 2.

This 2 is a little different than what we have seen before and has Dearborn and Dearborn Heights and then goes westward into Garden City, Westland, Inkster.

District 4 here is a little less wide than what you see in other Districts and has Taylor in its totality paired Southgate and Wyandotte and rest of the down-river communities through Grosse Ile up to Rockwood right above Monroe.

District 5, then, include the rest of the Wayne County townships like essentially from Canton to Huron, including the parts of Westland not in District 2.

If we can move north, we have a different configuration on District 9 in this one and does not include Pontiac like some of the other District 9s that we have seen. Instead -- I'm sorry. I got a little tossed up because I thought that 7 and 9 was flipped, but I'm seeing it now.

This District 9 includes Bloomfield, Birmingham, and goes eastward to Troy and then south through some of the other Oakland County townships like Royal Oak, Madison Heights, Ferndale.

District 10 is then a Macomb County centered District with almost all of Warren, Centerline, and most of Sterling Heights. It looks like the split here is quite on Van Dyke, and more of Sterling Heights is incorporated into District 10 in this version.

District 11 then includes Macomb Township and includes Mt. Clemens and goes south from Fraser and Eastpointe, taking up the remainder of Warren but does not cross Eight Mile.

likewise, District 12 changed a little because of that Mt. Clemens change, so while this District 12 has all of those Lakeshore communities that we have heard about, it also include two neighborhoods of Detroit.

And then District 7 in this version has Pontiac, Rochester Hills, a smaller portion of Sterling Heights, and Oakland Township.

And 13 includes -- so 13 is similar to the original Linden configuration. It might be the same, but it include West Bloomfield, the Lakes, remainder of Farmington that is not in 3, and then it goes the other way to incorporate Novi down into Plymouth.

I believe to accommodate some of those changes in District 7, 23 and 24 were also changed. Just let me double check that.

Nope; never mind; the changes are in 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12; but 23 and 24 are unchanged from their original configuration. 13 is also unchanged from its original configuration.

For the partisan fairness values, on this one we have a 3.8% lopsided margin score and mean/median difference of 0.3%, and efficiency gap of 0.8%. Seats-to-votes ratio 22-16, 5% towards the Dems.

That's about all I have for this one, folks.

>> John Morgan: Okay. I guess there's one more, then?

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Starling.

>> John Morgan: All right.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Commissioner Muldoon, if you will take the lead on this one.

>> Commissioner Marcus Muldoon: Okey-doke.

>> Commissioner Marcus Muldoon: It has four VRA-performance Districts: 1, 3, 7, 10. 12 is mostly intact from the Linden map except for a little bit of population had to be adjusted at the north end to put Fraser into 11.

Taylor is split in order to adjust the population to keep Van Buren, Belleville, and Sumter together as some people requested in public.

Dearborn, Allen Park, Melvindale, Dearborn Heights, and Warrendale are kept together in 2.

There were no race taken into account when we drew population all within check.

Lopsided margin was 5%; mean/median was 1.2. Efficiency gap was 1.9s. Seats-vote ratio is 21-17 with 2.4 to the Democrat side.

Two districts cross the Eight Mile border a little bit but tried to keep Detroit together where we could. And I think that's it.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Wonderful.

Well, that is all the maps. We would like to thank Mr. Morgan for helping walking us through those maps and we might need to bring some of them up again, depending if anyone in the public wants to see it.

>> John Morgan: Okay. I'm here.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Wonderful. I'd like to thank all the Commissioners who participated in presenting either their own map or helping present a collaborative map. It's always good when we hear from a bunch of different voices.

We'll now move on to the public Comment and Q&A portion of this meeting. If there are no objections -- are there any objections, Commissioners?

Seeing none, we'll proceed.

Individuals who signed up or raised their hand who said they would like to provide live, public comment to the Commission will now be allowed.

I will call your name, and your staff will unmute you. If you're on a computer, you will be prompted by the Zoom app to unmute your microphone and speak. If you're on the phone, a voice will ask you to press star 6 to unmute.

Please note if you're experiencing technical or audio issues or we do not hear from you for three to five seconds, we will move on to the next person in line and return after you are done speaking. If your audio still does not work, e-mail redistricting@michigan.gov and help you troubleshoot so you can participate during the next town hall meeting.

You will have 90 seconds to address the Commission. Please conclude your remarks when you hear the timer.

Just as a reminder, we are -- this is a public hearing, not a normal business meeting with the Commission. If we would try to keep the comments to things regarding the mapping presentation that everyone just saw, I would appreciate it.

We have one person who signed up in advance, and then I see two more folks in the waiting room.

So first up is Mr. James Gallant.

>> James Gallant: Thank you, Mr. Chair. James Gallant, Marquette. These are my opinions.

These are deliberations. You're going on and on, questions and answers, should have gotten little written explanations, called it good, no questions and answers, and you wouldn't be having these deliberations.

You know what? I don't think you folks actually can be trusted to follow some simple rules and instructions by Mike Brady. You wouldn't do it. Now, it appears that you're conducting closed-session meetings by electronic communications right here at public meetings through winks and nods and chat, text messages, and what not.

Commissioner Eid and Szetela said if you have agreement, not ask questions about the rules, no points of order, probably no more objections because YOU simply DO NOT yield and just vote as you promised. It's not all that complicated! Unless you all are absolutely colluding on an agreed-upon breach to circumvent our constitution and contradict our state of being in this country.

So please read Robert's Rules of Order, pages 49-51, unanimous consent, chapter 4. In case you have never read it before, you may say guess what, you didn't read, and you make it up on the fly -- if not, maybe we can get the Supreme Court to order all the witnesses to read the rules in question onto the court record. It's very simple. And it seems like you're institutionalizing by --

(timer)

-- general consent Marxist style communism.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you, Mr. Gallant. We appreciate your comments.

Is there anyone else in the waiting room who would like to speak? I see two people in there, Linda Appling and Steve Walker. If you have comments on the maps or have questions, feel free to use the "Raise hand" button on Zoom, and we will work to get you on.

Department of State, do we have anyone else signed up to speak tonight, or was it just Mr. Gallant?

>> Meghan Schaal: Just Mr. Gallant.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: All right. Well, we have no one to speak right now -- Commissioner Lange?

>> Commissioner Rhonda Lange: Since we have no one to speak right now, can I raise a concern at a town hall? We're not raising business; but I have a concern, again, we're not getting the input that we're looking for.

Again, started at 10:00 a.m., had just a few, and now we're into the evening again, and I'm just concerned. I just want to express that. That's it.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you, Commissioner Lange. I certainly hope that we do get more folks to participate, especially at in-person hearings that are coming up.

Please know we are trying to promote these, especially in-person hearings, and, you know, it is my hope that we do get that feedback because we need it. The only way we can get this right is if we have public input, and now is the public's chance to come out and tell us what they think of all 12 of these proposals.

So we have no one right now. Let's take a break. Let's come back at 7:00 and then hopefully can have some comments from 7:00 to 7:30 before ending for the night. Thank you, Commissioners.

(A break was taken.)

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Looks like we do have somebody. Let's give everyone a minute or two to come back up.

All right. It looks like we do have somebody who wants to speak. Yousif Family?

>> Yousif Yousif: Hello. Can you hear me?

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Yes, we can. Good evening, Yousif.

>> Yousif Yousif: Hello. Nice to talk to you again, Commissioners. This is Yousif Yousif and hasn't been as involved in this process since 2021. It's nice to talk to you all again and thank you for your hard work. I think some Commissioners are welcome. As a Chaldean, I like the draft proposals Troy, Rochester Hills, in one district. I think the Dove map had that. Please vote to have this consideration. My concern is if Sterling Heights, Troy, Rochester are with another area, which will throughout our Chaldean voices. So thank you, Commissioners. I understand this is very challenging work and do not envy your jobs. Thank you so much.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you, Yousif. We appreciate your comments.

There is one person in the waiting room. Linda Appling, I don't know if you had a question or comment on which map you prefer, but if you do, feel free to raise your hand, and we will get to you. Commissioner Lange?

>> Commissioner Rhonda Lange: Yes. there was a comment in the YouTube chat. They were a little confused, it looks like, because they stated they couldn't find the "Raised hand" icon. It asked if you could explain the stats you had used. It doesn't say specifically what stats they're talking about, but maybe you could give them kind of like an overview of our, I don't know, partisan fairness or maybe VRA or what we have to look for while we're waiting for any other comments?

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Yeah. So there are number of stats that we use. So we can just do a very quick overview.

For the VRA numbers, we have an analysis that has been done that looks at the number of voters in the primary pool for a given election year. You can find that on our website. If you look at the PDF that has some of the districts in blue and some of them in green, the green are the VRA-compliant Districts. Those are the ones that I believe have the primary voter pool in the primary election, specifically, taken into consideration.

For the partisan fairness measures, we have four different measures. We have the lopsided margins test, the mean/median difference, the efficiency gap, and the seats-to-votes ratio.

We had a presentation on May 16 by Dr. Lisa Handley that went over in some detail what each one of those were, how to use them, and some of the pros and cons of each.

For compactness, there are also a number of different measures that we use. There are three, actually: the proper score, the Schwartz score, and the reox score. Those all measure the compactness of the districts.

We use population deviation. That's in the AutoBound Edge software that tells us the population population deviation on a district-by-district level and also on an overall map level for the whole state. None of our population deviations are over 2.5% for any individual District. That's a basic overview on some of the metrics that we use.

Commissioner Orton, do you have something to add?

>> Commissioner Cynthia Orton: I just thought since we have time and we have Mr. Morgan here, maybe we could share his screen and -- or anyone, I guess, could -- and kind of show how to navigate from our web page to, for instance, the VRA map that shows the blue and the green or the different stats.

>> John Morgan: Sure. I can do that. Let me share the screen first.

So throughout most of the presentations, we look at the maps in the AutoBound Edge program here. You know, the advantage, if you will, is we can zoom around -- oops, and it crashes on me -- we zoom around and look at the maps.

On the website here, it's the 2024 Draft Proposed Senate Plans.

Then these are the names of the plans and there's a plan ID number. Then, again, the bird names are here. The individual plans don't have the bird names. They have the initials of the Commissioner that sponsored or drew them.

And then under the "Plan Attachments," you can look at the information that goes with them.

Commissioner Szetela's plan is a map report that shows a static but clear view of the whole plan in this area.

Then the compactness scores are here and shows the report from AutoBound Edge, the polls we measure, and you will have -- let's see -- the length, width, shorts, convex. You have the compactness measurements and in this case, a lot of times we're just comparing it to the Linden plan; and therefore we can say that none of the changes you made have made the plan, you know, have made the districts less compact than the Linden plan for a single district. That's a lot of times what you would look at in the compactness.

The partisan fairness indexes are here. There's four different tests: lopsided margins, mean/median, efficiency gap, seats-vote ratio. Each of these measures a different aspect of the partisan composition of the plan and believe this was discussed a little bit

before. It's really of the plan as a whole rather than individual districts, although there is information about individual districts.

The population information will show the deviation. So if the ideal population size for each district is 265,193 and then in this case this plan's District 1 is off of that by 631 people -- so it's slightly underpopulated -- whereas another is 270,000 and overpopulated by 5,000. That's still within the plus-or-minus 2.5%, generally the area or number that you are looking at.

The VRA analysis map shows Districts in green have a majority of Black voters in the Democratic primary over the 2018 and 2022 primaries. In this case, there are four Districts that meet that criterion here.

And then in the last section, there's the VRA data that goes behind that so you can look in a little more detail at how those districts performed. Again, in this map you have four Districts that performed -- 8, 3, 1, 7. On the map, it's showing the Black turnout in the primary is greater than the white turnout in the primary for 2018 and 2022 in these four Districts but not in the other Districts that are in the analysis. In some case cases, there may be one District that does not meet the yes-or-no test on the Black primary voters in the Democratic primary are greater than the white primary voters but could be close so sometimes you would look at these numbers and see how they perform.

There's other metric in the estimated primary pool, which is another thing that is included in the analysis. It's estimated here in this district the pool of potential primary voters is 37% Black and 29% white, for example. That's in District 7 in this map that we were looking at here. Those are all available on the website.

And then for those that want to look also, there's a comparison to the Linden plan. This will show you the red outline is the Linden plan; and the themed colored outlines are the plan in question.

This is Commissioner Szetela's District 6 in this purple; whereas the Linden District was in this red line area, which was Livonia through Redford into Detroit; whereas this one is all of Livonia in this District, along with Westland, Garden City, Inkster, and a little bit of Farmington Hills.

If you within want to download this as a file, you can do that. Various programs will allow you to view that. Even something as simple as Google Maps would allow you to view that as an overlay if someone wanted to do that.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you for that, Mr. Morgan. Could you also show how to get there from our main web page?

>> John Morgan: Maybe. I use a shortcut. So one second.

So in my case, you know, I will have the -- there's the MI Districting Plans.

That's where that is. If you're going to do a main search -- okay.

>> Commissioner Cynthia Orton: I don't see your screen.

>> John Morgan: I was just bringing that up.

>> Commissioner Cynthia Orton: Sorry.

>> John Morgan: No, I was doing the search first. Let me bring the screen up next.

Okay. So this is the main website, Michigan.gov/MICRC.

And then probably "Draft Proposed Senate Maps" is on the top of the page. This gives you some of the information there.

Yeah, that's one way to get at it. It looks like you can get it through the Mapping Process portal as well. That is another way to get at it.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Great. Thank you for that.

>> John Morgan: Yeah.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: I hope that answers the question for whoever was in the YouTube chat.

Commissioner Lange?

>> Commissioner Rhonda Lange: Sorry. I just can't stand the silence. Maybe we can go over some of our upcoming dates again? Just so if anybody watches the replay they will have those again, too, including our next town hall, and then our in-person meetings.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: That's a great idea.

I'll start with in-person public hearings. The Commission has three in-person public hearings coming up. This is the public's chance to come see us and give testimony in person, which map configuration you like best, and give your thoughts about the configurations to the Commission. At these meetings, we will have big blow-ups of all 12 maps, as well as the original Linden map and the old configuration gone in the 2010 Census cycle. Hard copies of those for everyone to, you know -- hard-copy posters of them blown up so everybody can get a good look at them. These are taking place on June 11, June 12, and June 13.

On Tuesday, June 11, we will be at Detroit Cass Tech High School, 2501 Second Avenue, Detroit, Michigan, 48201.

On Wednesday, June 12, we're going to be at Martin Luther King High School, located at 3200 East Lafayette Street; Detroit, Michigan, 48207.

And on Thursday, June 13, we're going to be at Renaissance High School, 6565 West Outer Drive; Detroit, Michigan, 48235.

At each of these meetings, we will have people there all day so no matter what shift folks work, there is time for them to come out and give their testimony.

The first is 10:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m.; then 1:30 p.m. to 3:00 p.m.; and finally 4:00 to 7:00 p.m.

So essentially we will be there all day from 10:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. and as long as you're there before 7:00, we will make sure to get you in and hear what you have to say.

We also have another virtual public hearing taking place next Thursday, June 6. That will be the same style as what we had today where folks will have the virtual presentations of the maps and hopefully hear from a few more people than what we heard from today.

Mr. Woods, did I miss any dates?

>> Executive Director Edward Woods III: Not at all, Commissioner Eid. Thank you for the promotions. There will be some build-up. I know there's a Millennial Roundtable you might want to talk about that's coming up that you're participating in?

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Yeah. We have a ... we have a Millennial Roundtable taking place next Wednesday, June 5, from 6:30 to 8:30 p.m. to do some promotion around millennials and hopefully get some more voices involved. There are also many different community meetings happening in the next couple of weeks to try to make sure that the public is as informed about this process as possible.

>> Executive Director Edward Woods III: It's probably good to remind people you can still comment on the public comment portal if you're listening or the mapping portal. You can put comments directly on those as it relates to the maps; so please visit the mapping portal when you see the different maps and plan attachments Commissioner Eid referred to that have the different measurements.

There's also a place where you can provide comment to relate to your community of interest. So please feel free to do that on the mapping comment portal, or if you want to submit written comments, you can do that on the public comment portal.

So just wanted to let you know if you do not want to come in person or do not want to come online, we do have portals available where you can register your comments for the Commissioners' consideration. If you do not have that, you can also mail your comments to MICRC Post Office Box 30318, Lansing, Michigan, 48909.

If you don't have Internet access, if you do not have Internet access, you can mail, once again, MICRC, Post Office Box 30318, Lansing, Michigan, 48909, for those who have no Internet access. You're more than welcome to do that as well.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: I will just add if you can't make it in person on June 11, 12, or 13, we will also have the ability to take virtual comment at those meetings as well. However, I do encourage folks watching to try to show up in person. It is always nice to be able to put a face behind the comments and interact with the public in person during the meetings and seeing those posters really give you a better sense of the

different proposals that we have. But if you can't make it, you can participate virtually as well.

All right, everyone. Do we have any acknowledgments or announcements tonight?

>> Executive Director Edward Woods III: I just thank all of our ASL, our closed caption, and our translators once again for being with us.

For quite a long time. It's a lot of effort, time, and energy that goes into this, as I have discovered, and scheduling; and just making sure that we're inclusive as possible with anyone that wants to communicate with the Commission, for them to do it so flawlessly and consistently and so well, just want to recognize them and let them know not only they are appreciated but respected because it's always the little things that make a difference and it's just great to know they're there and they're consistent and that we appreciate them. So thank you to all of our interpreters, sign language interpreters, our translators, closed caption team that works with us.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you, Mr. Woods. I certainly agree with that acknowledgment. It's hard to put these meetings on and takes a lot of folks to make that happen. We appreciate everybody who helps make that happen.

Commissioner Szetela, I see your hand?

>> Commissioner Rebecca Szetela: I would like to give a shout-out to EDS, Kim, Ken, Lisa, and the team for doing the information and getting us the graphics and data on the website in a way that's easily accessible to the public so they can review everything. It is really appreciated. Thank you.

>> Executive Director Edward Woods III: Let's add Ryan Taylor to the mix.

>> John Morgan: I was going to say the same thing. Ryan is usually behind the scenes and doesn't get that recognition but will see him in-person.

>> Kim Brace: I agree. I agree.

>> Commission Chair Anthony Eid: Thank you. I'm sure that shout-out is appreciated. It takes a whole team to put this on, and every member of that team is valuable.

All right. Well, we don't have any more comments and is 7:30 so we'll adjourn for today. See you all next Thursday at our next virtual public hearing. Once again, June 11, 12, and 13 in person. Bye, now.