

MICRC

20240520-1000 am Meeting

Captioned by Q&A Reporting, Inc., www.qacaptions.com

>> CHAIR EID: Can you guys hear me?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: I can but there is some background noise just so you know.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, there is going to be some background noise today unfortunately. I will do what I can to mitigate it. Is it audible?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: I want to check with Bethany and Rebecca that you will be able to effectively interpret with any background noise. Were you able to hear, Anthony all right?

>> Can you talk again? I'm sorry.

>> CHAIR EID: Good morning, test one, two, three.

>> Say some more, try again.

>> CHAIR EID: Hi Bethany did you have a good weekend? What is up Juanita?

>> You sound fine to me.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Thank you, I just wanted to check with you before, okay, let's see how many we have got. We still need to wait for a few more folks to sign on and I will let you know before we go live. Just want to update you we still only have seven Commissioners, so we don't have a quorum so I'm going to wait for a few more folks to join.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Good morning everyone.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Good morning, Janice, looks like we just got to nine Commissioners so I'm going to go ahead and start the webinar and then take us live. Anything you say or do can be seen and heard by the world now, thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Good morning everyone.

As Chair of the Commission, I call the meeting of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission to order at 10:03 a.m.

This Zoom webinar is being live streamed on YouTube on the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission YouTube channel.

For anyone in the public watching who would prefer to watch via a different platform than they are currently using, please visit our social media at Redistricting MI.

Our live stream today includes closed captioning. Closed captioning, ASL interpretation, and Spanish and Arabic and Bengali translation services will be provided for effective participation in effective participation in this meeting. Please E-mail us at Redistricting@michigan.gov for additional viewing options or details on accessing language translation services for this meeting.

People with disabilities or needing other specific accommodations should also contact Redistricting at Michigan.gov. This meeting is also being recorded and will be

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

available on our website at www.Michigan.gov/MICRC for viewing at a later date.

This meeting also is being transcribed and those closed-captioned transcriptions will be made available and posted on the Michigan.gov/MICRC website along with written public comment submissions.

There is also a public comment portal that may be accessed by visiting the website which is www.Michigan.gov/MICRC.

Members of the media who may have questions before, during or after the meeting should direct those questions to Edward Woods III, Executive Director of the Commission at WoodsE3@Michigan.gov.

I will for the public watching and the record I will turn to Department of State turn to Department of State to take note of Commissioners present.

>> MS. YOUNG: Good morning, Commissioners. Please say present when I call your name. If you are attending the meeting remotely please announce during roll call you are attending the meeting remotely and unless absence is due to military duty state the physical location, county, city, township or the village and state which you are attending the meeting remotely.

I will begin roll call alphabetically with Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Present From Imlay Township, Michigan.
Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Present from Mexico.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Attending from Detroit, Michigan.
Commissioner Eid?

Present from New York City.

>> MS. YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Good morning. Present; attending from Detroit, Michigan.
Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Present remotely from Osceola County, Michigan.

Commissioner Lett?

Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Present; Carrollton, Michigan.

Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Present attending remotely from Battle Creek, Michigan.

Commissioner Szetela?

Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Present from Highland Township, Michigan.

Commissioner Wagner?

Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Present; attending remotely from Saginaw Township, Saginaw Michigan.

Mr. Chair at roll call you have ten Commissioners present. You do have a quorum.

>> Commissioner Eid: Thank you, Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome.

>> Commissioner Eid: Commissioners we will now move to adopt the agenda. As a reminder to the public watching you can view the agenda at www.Michigan.gov/MICRC. I will entertain a motion to approve the agenda.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: So moved.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Second.

>> Commissioner Eid: Thank you, we have a motion by Commissioner Weiss, seconded by Commissioner Muldoon. Is there any discussion on the motion? Seeing none we will move to vote, those in favor to adopt the agenda please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> Commissioner Eid: Any opposed please raise your hand and say nay. The ayes have it and the agenda is adopted. Without objection we will now begin today's public comment pertaining to agenda topics of today's meeting is there any objections, Commissioners? Seeing none we will proceed with public comment.

Without objection we will begin the public comment portion of today's meeting pertaining to agenda topics.

Individuals who have signed up and indicated they would like to provide live remote public commentary to the Commission will now be allowed. I will call your name and our staff will unmute you. If you are on a computer you will be prompted by the Zoom app to unmute your microphone and speak. If you are on the phone a voice will say the host wants you to speak and prompt you to press star six to unmute. I will call you by your name or last four digits of your phone number.

And please note if you are experiencing technical or audio issues or do not hear from you for three to five seconds we will move to the next speaker in line and return to you after you are done speaking. If your audio still does not work e-mail redistricting@Michigan.gov and we can help you trouble shoot to participate during the next public comment period at a later meeting.

You will have 90 seconds to address the Commission today. Please conclude your remarks when you hear the timer.

First to speak is Anthony Scannell.

>> Well good morning Michigan Commission, independent citizens Commission, Anthony Scannell joining you remotely. And I don't have too many comments on the maps. I thought that analysis was really, you know, right where you guys needed to look with the green map, the maps with the green districts and the blue districts. And so I hope we could see more of those kind of maps today. And I don't know, seems like the more I talk about the community of interest that I'm a part of the further away MICRC goes towards that direction. So I am just going to keep my mouth quiet on that

one. And I was looking for like a list of the bird names. I took it down myself, but I don't think the public would have that unless they watched the whole meeting. So hope you can put out a list of bird names. I was looking at different comments on the mapping comment portal, and it seems like every map that has a comment, that got a bird name they are pretty much all negative comments except for I think 351, Starling version one I think that had a positive comment but all the rest of them were negative so I don't know. Interesting feedback there. And just interested to see what public comment presentation, what that agenda item is about too so thank you very much.

>> Commissioner Eid: Thank you, Mr. Scannell. We appreciate your comments. Next up to speak is Mr. James Galant.

>> Hello, can you hear me?

>> Commissioner Eid: Good morning James yes we can.

>> Good morning James Galant Marquette these are my opinions. I would like to thank you Mr. Eid as the Chair for finally coming full clean on the idea that you're using unanimous consent to make hundreds of decisions that you're not even telling everybody what you are doing. Now that would be in chapter four paragraph 58 to paragraph 63 in your book Page 59. Under minutes in chapter 48 on Page 466 it says the minutes have to have the fact the Chair and secretary are present and the absence of whom the secretary would be who filled in for the secretary. By unanimous consent you yourself have borrowed the vote by unanimous consent. Hearing no objections bullying these people. In order to approve things like the roll call establishing a quorum wait a minute, the people the State of Michigan voted ten million people voted to say Secretary of State is going to call the roll and establish a quorum at the meetings and you 13 by unanimous consent she is not going to do it. You are providing her the leave not to be here or at the meeting either. You then approve the agenda as presented. Not as proposed by Secretary of State. Secretary of State provided an agenda that does not list the minutes and not according to rules of procedure an order of business and providing leave for agency as Commissioner Kellom would have and providing agree she does not have under the Constitution or you don't have under the oath of office to provide her with that. That is the problem here.

>> Commissioner Eid: Thank you, Mr. Gallant I do appreciate your comments. Next up to speak we have Carolyn-Lowe.

>> Can you hear me now?

>> Commissioner Eid: We can. Good morning.

>> Okay, my name is Carolyn-Lowe and reside West Bloomfield, I'm a secular Jew but maintain a strong Jewish identity and represent a type of Jewish person on the spectrum with many other Jews. Orthodox, conservative reform and reconstruction Jews just to name a few categories. Each group is based on a shared set of Jewish values but has its own form of worship and lifestyle. Despite unfortunate stereotypes and tropes we are diversified politically economically and geographically while our values

and beliefs are based on Judaism like Blacks Asians and Latino and LBGT community, we are not a monolith. Like all Americans we desire to be treated fairly and treated as individuals. When I voted for prop two I did so with the understanding it would make the legislature more equitable. I believe policies and laws would more accurately reflect constituent interest and concerns. Creating District based on religion or ethnicity is counter to fairness and the worth bigotry and partisanship. The Commission should consider the direction it takes creating Districts. Follow maps 349, 350, 3 seven 1 and 3 seven 6. Thank you for your time and consideration.

>> Commissioner Eid: Ms. Lowe can you repeat those four?

>> Those four maps.

>> Commissioner Eid: Please.

>> 349, 350, 3 seven 1 and 376.

>> Commissioner Eid: Thank you and I invite you to use our public tool, that would be useful. Next up to speak is Mr. Chris Gilmer Hill.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: That participant is not present.

>> Commissioner Eid: Thank you. That brings us to Mikal-Goodman.

>> Hello, can everyone hear me?

>> Commissioner Eid: We can good morning.

>> Good morning so I am Mikal-Goodman a City councilman in Pontiac resident of Oakland County and organizer who has done work in the state with races and campaigns. And I have a really decent perspective on a lot of these things. And I really want to hammer home the point of the City of Pontiac should be in a District that makes sense for itself. That is a very vague term to use but for a long time before this Pontiac had long been disenfranchised within terms of the political leadership because of where it has been included with. That includes the City of Rochester as currently being proposed. For a very long time a lot of the political power that is in a very working class, working class both in terms of background and in terms of finances, a very strong BIPOC community. Currently has been better represented by the maps that was done the most previous redistricting, so last cycle. And I feel like going in any other direction besides keeping with essentially and I would say center Oakland/northern Woodward community of interest would be counter to what we are doing as we are trying to, you know, increase equity and representation. I think including Pontiac with the City of Rochester is not good idea for the presentation for the long-term. I think 371 is probably the best option with some changes.

>> Commissioner Eid: Thank you Mr. Goodman

That concludes today's public comment, and we appreciate everybody who comments and invite you to comment in the future. Visit our public comment portal found at www.Michigan.gov/MICRC. And invite you to further share your thoughts.

Next up on the agenda is items for consideration 5A, public comment presentation. If there is no objection, Commissioners, I will ask Executive Director Woods to facilitate this item. Is there any objection? Seeing none please proceed Mr. Woods.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you so much, Commissioner Eid. Good morning Commissioners. Just wanted to share with you that we are very grateful to the University of Michigan center for this tremendous report they did, the University of Michigan center for local, state and urban policy that is run by Tom Ivanko. We reached out for an aggregate of the public comments that were submitted to the Commission and we have three distinct people who are here to present. And I would like to introduce the three people. First is Elizabeth and if you can wave your hand so they can identify who you are. She is a policy analyst helping the Michigan independent citizens Redistricting Commission incorporate public comment in the Senate map redraw process. She earned a Master of Public Policy at the Ford school and holds a BA in psychology from Westland University. She is interested in social policy at the state and local levels with a focus on equity and access. Elizabeth thank you for your help and being with us today. Our next person is Danielle Hamer can you please wave so they know who you are? Thank you, Danielle, she is a policy analyst conducting analysis and reports to support the integration of public input in Michigan's redistricting process. She is a newly graduate graduated Master of Public Policy from the Ford school. Danielle has a background in state and local budget policy. And is focused on economic Justice, housing and education. Danielle thank you so much for your help and thank you for being with us today. And last but not least we have Edward Plot known as Eddie. He is a coordinator for the close-up public comment team. A BA in political science from rice University and JD from Michigan law school. Fortunately for you Eddie our Spartan is not here right now but I'm sure he will see the presentation. And the attorney on the Commission Steve Lett. He did a note on the Commission's approach to communities of interest in the Michigan journal of law reform set to be published later this month. Once again thank you Eddie for your work. And helping the Commission out. And without further ado Eddie I'm going to turn it over to you for the presentation.

>> Thank you so much Director Woods and good morning Commissioners thank you so much for having us. I will go by Eddie for the purposes of this presentation to avoid too many Edwards bouncing around. But we submitted the report yesterday evening. We went through the 174 comments from 89 individual commenters submitted from about mid-March through the Commissions mapping meeting to start analysis but adding comments from the meeting from the different public comment portals. Across the comments were a range of nearly 700 topics or points addressed in the comments. As I'm sure you noticed in the public comments. Often it will touch on a number of topics and our goal is to best distill the key findings from those comments into our code base. Now I'm not a software person so the hairs on my neck stand up with coding but

it's straightforward. We are just applying sort of a summary description for the kinds of things that come up in the comments. Now, the process is pretty straightforward and set it out in the methodology Section of the memo but pull comments from the public mapping portal, my District portal where residents can comment directly on the draft maps from the Dr. Duchin's public comment portal where people can submit their draft maps or a written public comment and from the meetings themselves from both your public hearings and the public comment at the start of these mapping meetings. We put these in a database and categorize them with the name, where the commenter is from if they provide it, if they are representing themselves or they are representing a group then any relevant links like a shape file, draft map et cetera. Then we assign the codes I was talking about and fall in five general categories, region and that is region they are either the region they are from, if they suggest they are from a particular place in Michigan but also if their comment is about a region in Michigan I will also code that comment as well. We will code if they talk about a specific community of interest. We code comments about the mapping process. Comments about the mapping substance. And then other miscellaneous comments that might come up like reoccurring themes we have codes for. We need the complete code base and attached the memo and frequency of each of those comments. Maybe a good example how this might work from a comment I'm sure the Commissioners have heard over the last couple years is from a Dearborn resident and argue they are part of a Middle Eastern and MENA community of interest and asked the Commission to please keep Dearborn hole and their community of interest in the map and code it as 113 for the region for Dearborn, Dearborn Heights, 201 for the MENA community of interest. Ten to prioritize keeping the community of interest hole and 11 prioritize keeping the jurisdiction whole. For the purpose of this memo we talked with Director Woods before working on it and so this memo's focus was about what sorts of communities are people advocating for, what sort of communities do people want protected in the maps. And the key thing we really drew and most of the comments really touched on this theme and touched on the community and jurisdiction question. As the Commissioners have noticed often people frame their community with an easy reference point, a key touch stone being their Township, a jurisdiction near them that Dearborn is a great example that I'm a part of this MENA community of interest and that community of interest is best reflected by the jurisdiction balance of Dearborn.

So the most common trend that be discovered as we were working through were comments that basically said please keep my jurisdiction whole. It reflects this part of my community. And here are the other jurisdictions near me that best relate to that, thank you, Director Woods, that best relate to my jurisdiction. I want to be with these jurisdictions and communities but not these jurisdictions and communities. So I'll pass it off to Elizabeth who will talk about how we worked through this data.

>> Yeah, thank you so much for having us here. So to talk a little bit more in depth about our analysis, our data review. We did three rounds of review using the code that Eddie mentioned. As our first pass to manage the data and also help eliminate the bias, we used AI to code and following AI best practices we simultaneously did a human check of this coding as we went in this round. Then comments were given a second full human check review. By another person. Then as a third and final human review as Eddie mentioned we did additional analysis of certain themes. And so we did another round of sub coding for comments that were previously coded as mentioning jurisdictional lines or communities of interest or both. This involved coding for what comments were requesting such as keeping communities and jurisdictions whole, splitting them, moving them, et cetera. And we also coded to specific regions in this round. And then additionally we further analyzed comments that were coded as mentioning partisan fairness. And so now we can talk about each of the three counties that we did an in-depth analysis of the jurisdictional and communities of interest analysis.

>> Hi everyone, I will open by talking about Wayne County. So all of the commenters around 27 residents discussed communities in Wayne County. Generally of these respondents, commenters aimed to unite areas with shared racial and ethnic demographics. And advocated for districts to take into account shared infrastructure and economic communities, thanks for putting up the report.

Many emphasized the importance of keeping the City of Detroit whole although we know it's not possible given District to population limits. But also several talked about the possibility of splitting Detroit into fewer districts.

Many respondents cited this would prevent diluting the voting power of Detroit's large African/American population and being a really important piece of that advocacy.

Around five comments, which was a significant chunk of the Wayne County comments advocated for keeping the Down River communities along the Detroit river together. In a bit of a half and half split. Some of these respondents requested these communities combine with Detroit. Talking about the fact that the entire area is part of the industrial belt and therefore faces similar environmental concerns. And kind of another half of people speaking about Down River communities said that Detroit should actually be kept separate due to differing economic conditions so not entirely a consensus on Down River communities. And their attachment to Detroit

Arab American and MENA communities largely centered in Dearborn where another key concern within the Wayne County comments. Multiple comments urged to keep areas like Warren Dale unified with Dearborn in the same District. And a significant chunk of comments also highlighted Romulus recommending the City stay whole, intact due to the airport centered economic community and unique needs and need for familiar representation.

I'll pass it off to Elizabeth to talk about Macomb County.

>> Great, so in terms of Macomb County there were 18 comments that mentioned the county. And they generally advocated for keeping jurisdictions and communities of interest together. And in terms of jurisdictions, comments advocated for Warren to be kept whole. Citing the need for more representation. Comments also wanted the lakeshore communities to be kept together. Citing their approval of District 12 of the old Linden map. Macomb County comments also advocated for the separation of Detroit from Macomb County districts rather than combining across the 8 Mile Road, which Eddie will touch upon this topic in how Oakland County comments talked about it. Which was a little bit different.

In terms of communities of interest eight comments from Macomb County mentioned protecting communities of interest in the redistricting. And these primarily came from, or these primarily mention the Chaldean community. And over all advocated for the community to be kept together by keeping the old Linden ninth District intact. I will pass it off to Eddie for Oakland County.

>> Yes so I will pick up right there 13 of commenters of the 89 were, it goes up thank you from Oakland County with a number of repeat commenters. Very similar trend here with the Chaldean community. A lot of obviously the center of the community at least based on the comments is in Sterling Heights but the community Chaldean community is spread out in Oakland County and a lot of approval for the former Linden ninth District with Rochester, Troy grouped with Sterling Heights to preserve the community. Again the comments generally trended towards communities tied to Township communities insisting on these communities being kept whole. Generally in Oakland County the communities within these townships especially in southeast Oakland County near or closer to Wayne County and Detroit diverse communities and the townships wanted to be kept whole. There were not a lot of comments, there were only four comments but a pretty consistent theme southeast Oakland County townships, I give a general list of the repeat players that get mentioned a lot but these townships insisted they want to be kept whole to preserve communities and advocate for public services together and wanted to be kept with each other understanding they themselves are not large enough to form a State Senate District. And Elizabeth mentioned this, but there was less specific aversion in the comments to crossing 8 Mile Road and crossing into Wayne County closer to Detroit. They mentioned greater cultural affinity with northern Detroit and wanted to be kept with like the townships that were near them be kept whole but were more -- again, this is not a lot of comments but open to crossing and being combined with 8 Mile. Citing often there is greater mobility and economic connection between these communities. And I think we will conclude our discussion of like our findings with Danielle talking about partisan fairness comments.

>> Thanks, Eddie. Yes so this is moving away from the county specific comments. Of all the respondents a significant number of comments related to concerns regarding partisan fairness and competitive districts which we coded together. Many of these

comments call for transparently communicated and easily understood metrics of partisan fairness. They also cite specific competitive elections, crucial in Macomb county ship. Shelby Township and Sterling Heights with many people emphasizing that the districts should align with communities formed around major infrastructures for example a few commenters referred to infrastructure around mound road.

Comments within the category of partisan fairness cited Detroit and Dearborn emphasizing importance of designing competitive districts that in addition to accommodating racial and cultural makeup of the communities also promote fair elections reflective of diverse populations. I'm sure Commissioners are somewhat familiar with the publicly submitted map which is kind of an example of this prioritizing rather than factoring in race and instead creating Black districts that offer a more balanced partisan mix. There was also support specifically for maintaining compact districts. In Macomb County that capture demographics changes

If my colleagues don't have anything more to add, I'm happy to open the floor to questions now.

>> Commissioner Eid: Well thank you all for being here. I think this presentation is very helpful in terms of, you know, getting a wholistic view of what the public said so far. Having so many comments, it's hard to get a wholistic view attached to any particular one so this is very useful. Commissioners, any questions? I see Commissioner Lange has her hand raised.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes, just a quick question, I'm taking notes can you tell me again how many total public comments there were and how many commenters?

>> Sure so 174 comments. And 89 unique commenters and that is through the May 14th mapping meeting.

we also included there is some May 15th public portal comments that also made it into this batch. But it's from when the public comment portal reopened in mid-March.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, thank you.

>> Commissioner Eid: And that includes the in-person hearings and the public comment we had at the beginning of these meetings as well as what we have seen in the public comment portal. Does it also include the comments that are on the shape files of the maps on the dates? Of my Districting site?

>> It includes them as best as I can pull them. On the my Districting site right now there are more comments that will come in and actually that is a good point to add as well. We are set to have another memo to the Commission by the end of June. And the focus of that memo will be more on specific probably comments from that portal and comments specific to preferred maps, what maps are the public comments most excited about, and commentary on maps they are less excited about. As of right now I think we in the memo we did not pull as near as many comments from that portal because the maps were still being drafted. But, yes, I get those from Director Woods and in a spreadsheet and I add those to the database as well.

>> Commissioner Eid: I think going forward that would be a really good thing to make sure that that's added in. Once we as a Commission submit drafts to the Court, there is going to be a certain number of drafts and at that point it's likely that we will have more comments on the my Districting website on the drafts themselves. So that would help in that area. We are not there yet.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Just some context, Commissioner Eid. EDS did pull reports for the mapping portal and they were sent for analysis and they are included. So the mapping portal, the public comment portal, which they did on their own as well as the public comments that were done in person as well as the things that were done virtual. If there was an e-mail or snail mail that we received from MDOS that was sent as well. So just want to make sure that each avenue, where the public has a way to provide comments was done. As we know in this particular case, we were asking them to do was with regards to how people wanted the maps to be drawn. And the next reiteration of this plan it will be dealing with the maps that people would like the Commission to select. So just want to give context. So this initial phase will end tomorrow because that will be the last time as relates to public comments being received by the Commission before they vote on which maps to move forward. And then they will start the next phase which will deal with the selection.

>> Commissioner Eid: Thank you, any more questions or comments on this presentation? Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Chair I would like to acknowledge for the record that Commissioner Wagner joined us a while back at about 10:30 a.m. Commissioner Wagner can you tell us where you are joining remotely from?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Joining remotely from Eaton Township, Michigan, thank you Megan.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Thank you.

>> Commissioner Eid: Thank you. I do not see any questions. You might get some later via e-mail or something but right now it looks like we are okay.

I just want to thank you, you and your team, for putting this together and coming here.

>> Thank you so much, Chair, thank you to the Commission and Director Woods.

>> CHAIR EID: We will move forward to the next item on the agenda, items for consideration 5D, legal guidance. If there is no objection, Commissioners, I will ask Mr. Fink to address this item. Is there any objection? Hearing none, Mr. Fink, the floor is yours.

>> NATE FINK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, good morning Commissioners. I hope everyone is doing well. I will at this time ask Mr. Raile from Baker Hostetler to provide an overview of the criteria and an overall discussion of the considerations that the Commission should take into account as it goes through the next phase of the Senate remedial mapping. So, with that, Mr. Raile.

>> Thank you Mr. Fink and it's nice to see you all again. I'm going to talk about legal criteria that are going to go into judging the plan that is eventually adopted by this body, both before the three-Judge Court and potentially litigation beyond that. I believe a lot of this you will have heard before. And I'm going to try to keep it quick and clean. But I would encourage questions because I'm not sure how helpful it is just to say things that you probably heard before. But I'm going to dig into what a plan needs to accomplish legally to take effect as law to govern elections. And I'm going to go in order of priority starting with the single most important criteria that a map needs to satisfy as to remedy the violation that the District Court found in its December order. I'll refer to that as the violation.

What does it mean for a plan to remedy the violation? The violation in this case is the violation of racial gerrymandering. And what the Court found, the legal meaning of the finding is that race was the predominant purpose for the design of specific districts, six Senate districts. And that consideration of race was not justified by a compelling Government interest to satisfy the equal protection clause.

So what do you do to get rid of that? In a word, what you want are districts that are different. Quite different from the ones that were struck down. The whole concept of this theory, it's not about vote dilution, it's not about the weight of any one's votes, it's about the racial considerations infecting District lines. And so the idea is the particular shape of a given District that is struck down was predominantly due to race. To get rid of that racial intent, you need a District that is substantively different from the one that was struck down. And you can see if you go and read our briefing on Motown Sound, which was very good at this, this worked very well in this department. You can see some of the considerations, the advocacy points that we made on Motown Sound. One point we made on Motown Sound was a large number of districts over all had changed. When you have six districts in the Senate, seven in the House that gets struck down, to draw new districts you have to change more districts than just the ones that were struck down. And so we made a big point to the Court that 15 districts in Motown Sound were different as compared to Hickory. And that is a good thing. You want many districts changed because that's saying you're not just moving people from one invalidated District to another. You are making substantive changes to the map. The criteria is different. Those old criteria are getting washed away. So that is a good thing. In the case of a plan with six districts struck down as a rule of them, this is not a legal requirement, but I like to sort of think of easy rules, I like there to be twice as many districts changed. If you have 12 that is probably a good thing. If you have even more than that I think that is generally a good thing. Last time around we talked about this question of what is too much? Is there too much change? And the point that I made then and I will make that again now at some point if you went very, very, very far there may be risk of state Court action down the road trying to make some argument in the Michigan Constitution that there is a bar on the mid-decade redistricting. I think that risk

is comparatively low. I'm not going to say it's zero, but I think it's comparatively low because there would have to be some argument about an implicit bar on mid-decade redistricting. State constitutions know how to bar mid-decade redistricting. North Carolina does, Colorado does, and Michigan does not. I'm not saying they would not argue that it's implicit, but we would have defenses there. I'm not sure what the incentive would be to bring a case like that. But you want to keep that in mind that maybe at some point the change would be too much. But I would be more worried about changing too little because if you change too little this Court in this case will potentially find that you haven't remedied the violation.

Another thing you can look at, there is a measure in redistricting called core retention. Kim Brace's team knows how to do it. We presented those numbers regarding Motown Sound. You had districts where they overlap with prior districts was relatively low. We had some districts more than half of the districts had changed. Some of them 25% had changed. Those are meaningful changes. There was one District in Motown Sound, I believe it was HD1 where the difference was only 13 or 14%, something like that. So a little bit lower. We were able to defend that, the special master agreed with us and said there was a substantive change with a meaningfully different District although the number is a little bit lower. The core retention is not the all end but all but it's nice and the numbers will run as you are considering maps and looking how different are these districts. And the final point I will make is the substantive change what Dr. Gofman mentioned you can look at a District, you can try to understand its shape, what it covers, and you can compare it to a prior District and try to see is this really the same thing that is being perpetuated or is it fundamentally different? My guess is, just based on the limited information that I've seen is that you are probably doing quite well in terms of change. I'm looking at District BVAP and I'm seeing there is little reason to believe that targets of 35 to 45% are being perpetuated. You have some majority minority districts and super majority districts. To my mind that is saying that you have made quite different redistricting choices, and you are probably not carrying them forward. But you want to be cognizant of that going forward. It's probably the most important piece of the remedial puzzle. I will move down to the second goal of course is you don't want to introduce new Federal violations. It doesn't do a lot of good to cure the prior violation if new violations have been introduced. And of course the two most prominent violations we could be talking about here you almost have to consider together it's new racial gerrymandering, different racial intent that is brought in that is unjustified. And you could also have a violation of the Voting Rights Act. And of course the problem that you have as a redistricting authority is you have to choose. These compete against each other. If you don't draw based on race there is a fear of what happens if there is a voting rights violation found. If you do draw based on race, there is a risk of a racial gerrymandering claim. That is very, very difficult. My preliminary thought in this case, and it's preliminary, but based on the analysis of Mr. Braden who I would note for the

record is walled off from litigation counsel. We are not talking to each other. No role on his advice on the VRA but I have seen the PowerPoint. It seems to me that you have a fair number of maps in front of you where you're getting for opportunity districts without, I believe at least, without any consideration of race. And that is a pretty good place to be. If you can adopt a map that satisfies what Mr. Braden thinks the goal of four opportunity districts and not using race at all, not getting into predominance at all, that is a good world to be. I'm not saying it has to be that way. You couldn't pick one of the plans with three. But a plan where you get to the target, the mark without any racial considerations is an optimal world. If you choose -- if you really like a map with three, you want to choose one of those with three, you're going to have a choice. And it's going to be whether you want to defend it with three opportunity districts or whether you want to make changes to try to get to four.

And I don't think that is an easy choice. A lot of it I think would turn on how little you can do to a District to get a fourth opportunity District. One idea would be to make race blind changes based on community and send it back to VRA in the hopes that maybe those changes incidentally resulted in additional opportunity District. That might be quite efficient. I don't know. The other option of course is to make choices where race is being considered. And if it's a small number, we don't have a specific line, the case law is not clear enough at this stage to say exactly what it would be. But if it's a small change I believe Mr. Braden is calling it a tweak, on the margin, maybe a few thousand people on the House side we had maybe 5,000 people in a House District where it was potentially race conscious. And I think we persuaded the Court that was just too small to amount to racial predominance in the design of the District as a whole. You can avoid strict scrutiny. I think that would be ideal not to be playing under strict scrutiny if we can. If race does predominate then we are going to have to satisfy strict scrutiny. You have a basis for that with the work that Braden has done to support what you're doing. But the thing about strict scrutiny is the burden is on you. And it's a tough burden. And so there is this risk. One question that you might want to think about is, well, do I want to play with the burden on me or do I want to play with the burden on them. If it's a Voting Rights Act challenge that the other side is waging against us, the burden is on them. If it's a racial gerrymandering case where predominance has been shown, the burden is on us. And so those are the kind of things you want to keep in mind as you are going forward and making these choices. It's not easy. But I do feel like you're probably in a good spot. I'm very hopeful this will work out.

One last point I'm going to make on this just so you know and are not surprised by this, I am expecting the Plaintiffs to come in and make the argument that there needs to be five opportunity districts based on their map of Shaun Trendy, their expert. I think the best path here is to let us on the litigation side deal with that. I think going in and trying to get to five would be quite risky. It would require lots and lots and lots of work, lots of changing communities of interest, racial predominance, what not. I think we have

good arguments that five is too high a standard and we are really thinking and brainstorming and working on that on our end. But I just don't want you to be surprised when you see the briefing. They argued that ten majority minority House Districts were acquired in the House based on Trendy's work. The Court was not impressed with that argument, so I feel like we are in a good spot there.

I'm going to move on to the state criteria now. And I think these are important criteria. But the good news about them is that at a minimum there may be a little bit further down the road. The three-Judge panel appears to agree with our position that their role is to enforcing Federal mandates. The law is pretty good for us on that. There is a case called Covington versus North Carolina that holds that quite cleanly. Talking state criteria we are talking down the road and not talking about the three-Judge Court but that is important because ideally we would like litigation to be over. We would like the redistricting decade to be over. So next down the line we have the communities of interest criteria. Here is what I will say about this. It's less relevant in the context of say an offensive case. I don't think it would be very easy for a Plaintiff to go to state Court and claim that there is a community of interest that can be enforced against the Commission. I do think however it's very important in the context of other types of claims, communities of interest come to be quite important. And the key for you to know, the practical advice I have, is say as much as you can on the record about what you're doing with communities of interest. Where communities of interest work well for us, the Banerian case was a good example of this. We justified deviations from the ideal population in the Congressional plan based on communities of interest and we were successful because those communities of interest were supported on the record. They were genuine reasons the Commission made choices. And the Court was able to go to the record, find the choices, find the comments, and say, yes, this really is why you drew the lines. The danger, of course, is people accuse the Commission of using the subjective concept of communities of interest as a pretext. And I think you see some of that in the Agee case we put forward propositions this community, that community and the Court believed those really were not the genuine reasons the districts were drawn that way. There was either considered after the racial considerations which predominated over them, or it was a post hoc where the litigation team tried to put forward in defense after the fact. But it really needs to be the real reason District lines are drawn and it helps if it's clear in the record. I know that is tough. You have lots of maps.

Lots of Districts. But anything you can do to explain why the districts are the way they are is going to help demonstrate that these are genuine, rue elbow reasons that districts are the way they are.

Next down the line is partisan fairness.

This is an area of course where we could imagine a lawsuit. There was a partisan fairness challenge in the Michigan State Supreme Court to the Hickory plan. We were

successful in defending it. But you want to keep the numbers close to fair. Close to ideal. Well what does that mean? There are a lot of different metrics. And none of these maps, no map is ever going to be perfect on all of them. A few maps are ever going to be perfect on any of them. How should you think about partisan fairness? As a general matter I think, well, first thing I would say is the Michigan Supreme Court has told us precious little about partisan fairness, so I have to try to build off of first principals. And I think the way that the courts will view this problem is like they view the one person one vote scenario where you have an ideal measure. You have justifications for the ideal, for departures from the ideal then you have a burden. At some point you get far enough from ideal numbers the Court imposes a heavy burden to justify that departure. Smaller departures don't demand much of a burden to justify. And are harder for the Plaintiff to prove. At what point that happens I'm not sure. I think the practical advice I would give, to the extent that it's possible, is to explain why the map that you are picking is being chosen. This goes back to communities of interest. If you remember is a higher ranked criterion on partisan fairness. If you have a map that maybe has slightly higher partisan fairness metrics and you have one that is lower and you choose the one that is higher, that may be perfectly fine. You would want to be clear on the record though why that is. Maybe it's the case that the second map has four opportunity districts and the first only has three. That's a very good reason. It might be that there was an outpouring of public support by people who said, yes, this map, even though the numbers are maybe a little bit higher, it meets the communities of interest much better than the other one does. Like we saw with Motown Sound, it was incredibly popular, a lot of the other maps got very little support. That could be a form of justification, if you choose one that is a little bit higher. The numbers over all just making a very sweeping statement that I have seen, I know Handley did a report, it seems to me that we are across the board in a pretty good place with these numbers. I'm not terribly concerned but it's one thing that you want to be considering because we, you know, the incentive would be there for someone to bring a challenge to a map if they believe that it's just going beyond what is appropriate in terms of fairness. And we would have to defend it.

So that gets us down the final criteria compactness I think, you know, fairly straight forward. Draw tidy districts. I would say that that in some ways ties into the racial issue before. If you have goofy looking districts, sometimes courts might come in and say, we can infer racial intent from that. And that can be the case if that is not your intent. Draw tidy districts. Draw districts that are generally following municipal county lines. Just do all that. And I think we are in a good spot. You have got a good model with Motown Sound. I'm hopeful we can do that again. That is what I can think of to say but I'm happy to answer any questions folks might have.

>> CHAIR EID: Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Chair for the record I would like to acknowledge that Commissioner Szetela joined back at 10:42. Commissioner Szetela, can you tell us where you are joining remotely from?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry about that I'm joining remotely from Wayne County, Michigan, thank you.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Schaar. And Commissioner Szetela. Okay any questions for our legal team regarding this presentation? Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes you mentioned initially when we were drawing districts about computing core retention. So it sounds like that's a very objective measure that our mapping team can help us come up with. Is there a number we should be shooting there or a range for core retention, by District or is it over all?

>> It's done by District. It's certainly most helpful by District. And the focus of course will be on the districts that were invalidated. It would be imprudent of me to give you a specific number. But I'm going to go with Motown Sound because I think it's just a good example. There were districts and what it's really computing is the number of people and generally expressed as a percentage where there is overlap. So there were districts in Motown Sound where more than half of the districts had changed. That's very, very, very, very good. Right? There is really no argument to be had that you carried forward the prior District when half of it has changed. The 25%, 20, 25% I think were very, very solid as well. Those are dramatically different districts. The lowest one that we had in Motown Sound was I believe 14% change. Which means about 86% give or take was carried forward. And that was the one that just as a matter of comparison I think was the most vulnerable. We did defend it successfully. We were able to explain one there were community of interest choices regarding Hispanic community in the area that explained why the District looked that way. The special master agreed with us that there were substantive changes, but as a matter of comparison you are getting lower there. Where I would start to maybe raise red flags is if you have, you know, 90% of the District is the same. I would, you know, I'm not going to tell you that's going to get struck down. It's no good. But, you know, we are going to have to work really hard to defend that. And so if you are looking down the lines, you know, again Kim Brace can run these numbers. I believe John Morgan can run these numbers, that will tell you kind of where you are at with that sort of thing. So does that help inform you?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: It does it helps. My other question was you talked about another criteria is substantive change. Can you define that again for me?

>> It's tough because there are some subjectivity there. But let me -- the way I think of it is that you think about why the District was struck down. Right? So let's take the Court had a problem with districts going north to south, across 8 Mile Road. And so there is a part of the District that is north. And there is a part of it that is south. Let say

hypothetically you have a remedial District that the north part is pretty similar to the struck down District. But it stops before the county line. And the Plaintiffs come in and they say look at all of that, the north side of the District is almost exactly the same. Right? I would argue that there is a substantive change because what the Court was really bothered by wasn't the north part of the District. It was bothered by the drawing of the District down across 8 Mile Road into Detroit to capture Black voters. And once you have taken it back out of Detroit, moved it up, even though the top part is similar I think there is a very compelling case it's a substantive different District. That heavy populated area south of 8 Mile Road where the racial predominance really manifested itself is now gone. So maybe I don't know if that helps you when illustrating it in your mind. You want the communities to be just fundamentally different even if there is some overlap with the prior District.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So to me that example seems pretty clear. However, it leads to a lot of wiggle room for any other kind of example you want to talk about, right?

>> It does and that is what is nice about the core retention numbers. If I'm looking at a District and I'm telling the Court half were removed, this is a totally different District. It's just more objective and easier to win the argument. If I'm into subjective arguments, if I'm talking about substantive change it's because I have a lower core retention. And I'm trying to defend a lower core retention as being substantively different and it becomes more subjective. Part of the reason I'm not able to give you an answer is, one, that it is subjective. And, two, I'm a litigator and I need to give myself flexibility to defend what I am asked to defend.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: You can also get it some way.

>> Well, we make arguments. And some of our arguments win and some do not.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I get it. Thank you, that was helpful. Appreciate it.

>> CHAIR EID: As a follow-up to that question, I think it is related, how does that core retention relate to geographical realities that we have either regarding the geography of Michigan, whether it be a Detroit river or some of the lakes we have, but also geographic experience we have due to changing other districts that are further out from the Court mandate?

>> It's a very hard question and goes back to House District one because House District one is on the border of Canada. As much as you all might like to try redistricting experiment where you draw districts into Canada, that doesn't work. And so that was a big part of our defense of District one was it is boxed in. It's at the very bottom of the area. You can't draw it into Canada. Once you go further down you are really having to work upheaval in surrounding districts that although you are permitted to do that maybe there are good policy reasons not to. And so there can be circumstances where the core retention number doesn't tell you everything. I think it's because of the phenomenon. I think that is why I'm not putting all of the eggs in the core retention basket; I'm not saying you absolutely have to make sure that every District has you

know 50% or less core retention or 75% or less or something like that. It's because of those realities that you're faced with. There are more limited ways to draw certain districts than other districts. And so we are able to defend those type of districts. The concepts, again, I'm using Dr. Grofman's language, substantive change is a substantively different District, that plays into that. Don't try to redistrict Canada. You don't need to do that.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Well, it kind of just goes along with what was said. But just obviously taking communities of interest into account also affects the change. We don't want to break up communities of interest. So some districts are going to not change in certain areas because of large communities of interest.

>> And we prevailed in that on House District one. There was a Hispanic community that there were a lot of public comments, don't split us up. We are a cohesive community. We should be together. And what we argued successfully was, you know, this is not just carrying forward the prior District. There are real bona fide reasons it ought to look this way that have nothing to do with the advice of Mr. Adelson in 2021. It's not -- the concept of those percentages, the 35 to 45% targets the Court found were hit is totally foreign to why this District is the way that it is. The point I'm making as a general matter is it's easier to demonstrate that when we are just talking about objective number like core retention. It's clean. It's straightforward. When we are getting into those communities of interest, other things they can win. They can be winning arguments. It's just more subjective and when you have more subjectivity and advocacy, the risk of losing an argument increases, right? It does not mean that you should take core retention as to be all, end all. It's just something you want to be cognizant of when you are picking and choosing among that, so we very much appreciate that. We try to make sure that our advice provides you the flexibility to do the policy choices that you need to make as constitutional officers of the state. We are not here to tell you exactly how much has to change. But hopefully that is informing your thinking.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. Does that answer your question, Commissioner Orton? Wonderful. Ms. Schaar I see your hand.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Commissioner Lett has joined the meeting. Commissioner Lett can you please tell us where you are joining remotely from?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes, I'm joining from grant Township, Michigan.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Welcome, Commissioner Lett. Any other questions for Mr. Raile or the legal team? I do not see any. Thank you for that presentation. Very helpful and it's always good to have reminders on how we are going to be judged when we get ready to pass it. Appreciate you all. Thanks for the information.

>> Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay it's 11: 15. And next on the agenda is mapping. However I'm trying to be cognizant of the needs of my fellow Commissioners so how about we take a quick ten-minute break and come back at 11:25 and then we can get an hour of mapping in before lunch. Sound good to everybody? Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Well, we've only been at it an hour and 15 but I guess it depends on everybody else. I would say we just go into the mapping because the clock is ticking.

>> CHAIR EID: Does anyone need a break?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I could use a break.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I think a break would be nice.

>> CHAIR EID: All right let's just do a quick one, ten minutes, so we have an hour of mapping before lunch and today's meeting is going until 5 so I think we will get a lot in today. Let's reconvene at 11:25

[Recess until 11:25]

>> CHAIR EID: All right Commissioners let's get going. I will wait until I see a couple more faces. Okay welcome back everybody. We are at the point of agenda for mapping. Next on the agenda is mapping. If there is no objection, I will ask the Commission to return to its collaborative mapping process as outlined by the Commission's rules of procedure and collaborative mapping policy. Are there any objections, Commissioners? Seeing none, we will get back to mapping.

We ended on Wednesday with me and then on Thursday I used the rest of my turn to name, we gave the maps names to try to show progression and try to whittle it down a little bit and keep things organized. And then after me is Commissioner Kellom. Commissioner Kellom, the floor is yours. Feel free to proceed.

Who do we have with us today from EDS? I believe we have Mr. Stigall. Mr. Stigall, are you here with us today?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Chair, I don't see Mr. Stigall is currently on. He was on earlier. I see Mr. Taylor is on. But he is the only one from EDS I currently see.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, let's take a minute to get him back up and running.

>> Mr. Taylor at Baker works with me. Don't ask him to work the cursor.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: I'm sorry for the confusion, Mr. Braden I'm referring to Ryan Taylor from EDS not Taylor Thompson.

>> Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Taylor you're not helping us with mapping today, are you? If you are, that is fine. I was told it was Mr. Stigall.

>> I have been in contact with Ken on some files. He might be getting prepared with the software.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful. We will give him a moment.

>> I do know he is up and at 'em.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful. All right, we will give Mr. Stigall.

>> I'm here.

>> CHAIR EID: Welcome, Mr. Stigall. Commissioner Lange, I saw your hand up.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Nope, I'm good, never mind, thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, where are we at?

>> CHAIR EID: We are starting to map. And Commissioner Kellom is going to be leading that for now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, let me get to Autobound and share my screen and we will get started.

>> CHAIR EID: For the public I will just note that all of the, sorry, I will just note that all of the information you saw on Thursday was uploaded to the website. It is there. All of the maps that we have given names to have the VRA analysis and partisan fairness analysis attached to it. I hope that is helpful.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I am going to share the screen now.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay and I also had a question about that. When I was looking at the mapping comment portal, I'm going to be switching back to my computer momentarily. I had a weird power surge thing that just happened. But anyway I saw that map 371 did not have a name next to it. I don't know if that is just on my end. But I didn't see that it had a bird name. The bird name that it was given last week.

>> CHAIR EID: I don't think we added the bird names yet. The VRA analysis and the partisan fairness analysis has been. We can add the bird names to the map name somehow I'm sure. If you are asking about 371.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Because there are some names that have bird names and in parentheses next to the bird name it says complete. So I was just trying to get a good understanding of that.

>> CHAIR EID:

>> YVONNE YOUNG: My notes says 371 was named hummingbird but Megan correct me if I'm wrong.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: That is correct. Commissioner Kellom you're referring to the mapping portal though, right?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes, sorry about that, reconnect, yes, I am.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: And Chair just so you know Commissioner Szetela has her hand up along with Commissioner Orton.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 371 does have the hummingbird name on it on the portal so I'm not really clear what she is looking at because it is out there.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't know. It could be an error then. I don't see it but it's okay.

It doesn't matter.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: You may need to refresh. If you had the tab opened for a while. Because I just refreshed and got a whole different display.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Like I said it could be related to whatever just happened with my power but I'm back and I will worry about that at another time. I'm glad other people can see it. That is why I started off saying it could be just on my end. Thank you, all. Okay, Kent. So I wanted or want to pull up hummingbird. I know we have flamingo that needed some work. I will just say some work. I want to use hummingbird as the base map for that and then make the tweaks that we were.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay I do not have the bird names. I did not get in time to put them in here. I don't have them with me. I did put all the plan numbers in.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That is fine, 371, Kent. This is also for the Commissioners and the spiel for me and that was more for the members of the public who may be watching. This is hummingbird 050824SD, Cole version four. And we had some changes that we were making in Flamingo. But I'm going to use this map to do that. So as always people can join in and help. But that's the goal with this map. If you are in line with that goal then I welcome any suggestions and tweaks.

I think I'm going to start in District 2. I think I see a hand.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes, I wanted on this map in particular District 11 has not changed substantially from Linden. And it's one of the ones that we have to change.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I have not got there yet.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: There are a couple maps out there with that problem.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't know how much. I don't know if you will like the change that I was thinking about. But, yes, I did have a tweak I wanted to make to 11.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: I just want to clarify are you changing the actual hummingbird map that the analysis has already been done on? Or should a copy be made of this in order to make changes?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I should have specified. I want a copy. I don't want to change the actual hummingbird map.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 371; is that correct?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes. Sorry, I shouldn't have taken that for granted.

>> CHAIR EID: I think on this one there is a way to look at 11. And change it to really, not really so much compared to Linden but the communities more, the way that Sterling Heights on there is split, I don't think is preferable if we have to split it, I think there are better ways to do that as we make our way up there.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Yes, quickly, while Kent is getting things set can you swap Macomb up there. And I think that puts it with District 24, if I'm not mistaken. But, yeah, District 2.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: What is the name of the new map we are working on?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: I have not named it yet and thinking about naming it Sparrow which will cancel out flamingo.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: There already is a Sparrow.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is 52024 which is today's date SDCOLV1.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Okay we can just call it Flamingo because flamingo is incomplete so functionally replacing that map.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Flamingo one, Flamingo two.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Megan has her hand up.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: It is a complete map and analysis have run on it and you have done V1, V2 in the past, maybe flamingo V2 for now so the analysis doesn't get lost.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Why don't we call it hummingbird V2 so we can know what its genesis was. That keeps it really clear.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: I don't care about the naming part.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can get started with today's date, today's version, you know. We can get started mapping and then name it whatever, whenever.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: I mean, we have to name it. The name is coming up, now. If we have to name it now fine. I'm just trying to get the changes down.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: You do not have to name it now. It's today's date COLV1 and that is how we started all of the prior maps. So.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is fine.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: I think that is a good starting place and once it's complete and ready for analysis if the Commission would like to name it, I think that would be an appropriate time.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Agreed.

>> CHAIR EID: And what I'm hearing is you are kind of trying to take some of the ideas from hummingbird and some of the ideas of Flamingo and go from there.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Yes. That is what you are hearing.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, Commissioner.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Okay, I think what I want to do is there is a Section on top of Garden City, some precincts that it's labeled hinds park, Ann-Arbor trail, Edward.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Want me to bring up the names?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: I'm calling them out so go to northwestern Section of two.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, I see, yeah.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: And that will be put into five.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay and what areas are those?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: So that is, can you Zoom back out? I'm sorry, I know you were doing it for you but on my computer it looks a little crazy. Move your Cursor to the northwest Section over there, you can start over there with those precincts.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right in here?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Outside of Garden City, that little chunk and put it into five.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I see it now, I see it. Okay that is in five. You want to come down in this area here? Garden City goes around there.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That little piece by north middle belt road.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Up here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes. And then that Section that is under it where you just unassign, yeah. Okay and that Section right to the I'm sorry to the western border of two, yes, can you put that into five? What I'm trying to do with two is to avoid separating Melvindale, avoid splitting Dearborn Heights and Westland and even though it includes Inkster and Garden City I think it unifies some of the comments we heard about the MENA Dearborn Melvindale and Dearborn Heights and include a part of the Warren Dale neighborhood. Okay Anthony this is when I expect you to say, yeah or nay, that little Section in four I think is going to go into two.

>> CHAIR EID: Looks like you put the remainder of Westland back into five. You're doing Dearborn Heights into two. And I assume Melvindale into two. I certainly think that supports the community of interest.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay, two is still down some. I can pull from so Melvindale goes into two, Kent.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Melvindale.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: It's in District 1. Right there. Melvindale.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I can't hear.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Not that Section. Can you unassign it?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, this area here?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Like that?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is Melvindale into two?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes. Commissioner Eid, Commissioner Szetela has never mind.

>> CHAIR EID: It's the noise, sorry about that you all.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes, it was.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: What about Warren Dale?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: So there is a piece of Warren Dale that is in there. Again it goes back to if we put all of Warren Dale in there when in there being two, there's going to be a population issue. And then when we had just to follow-up with that, both

Commissioner Eid and myself asked members of the MENA community that did come to our meetings, I think this was Wayne State, I believe we had someone in Warren and then also in not so much in Southfield but I distinctively remember myself and Commissioner Eid engaging with commenters and asking them if they had to choose, what would be the concession. And Melvindale was the choice.

>> CHAIR EID: That is different with Lincoln Park in two and this goes a little westward. And I think both are fine. Inkster and Garden City are just west of Dearborn Heights. It's a little bit different configuration but I think this one works. It has Melvindale. It has Dearborn, Dearborn Heights and it has the parts of Warren Dale that I think are important to the community. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: But what about Allen Park as well? Because they had asked to be included with Dearborn.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I think in this one it looks like Allen Park would be in one. Different choices there. Because we are okay on two on population, right?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Would Allen Park even fit? No?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't believe so. District 2 we have 262, 655, Commissioner Eid.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, again I will just state again I don't think Inkster belongs with Dearborn and Dearborn Heights not great from a community of interest and historical perspective.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Allen Park has 26,000 people. Inkster has 26,000 people.

>> CHAIR EID: You can look at flipping Allen Park with Inkster and could be a possibility, but you have to change one quite a bit as well. So that could be an option. You could put Inkster in five, Allen Park in one, but since excuse me since it's an even change, I think we can figure it out.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah, I appreciate those considerations. Sincerely. I would like to come back to that and it's to think about the numbers. Maybe since we are at two, I mean it doesn't matter, I can go to one or five. Let's go to five. So five we created, this is a District where we had that C in Flamingo. And that's what I'm going to attempt to do. So that piece of Westland, Canton, we are going to put Romulus in with four. The entire City of Romulus.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That's that. Okay, let's go to one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You are splitting Allen and Lincoln Park at this time.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That is fine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I'm going to go north into one. Okay so I want to pull in some areas from eight, so the area I want you to pull into one is that area that is, what is that? South of 96.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is 94.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That is 96. So all that area Tireman, yep. That entire area, the area above it as well, pull into one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That or more?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: The jig saw that goes into bar ton McFarland.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All of that?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes. Can you Zoom out, please?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. This is Grand River. 96 making a loop right here. Put all of this.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Put that into one, assign that into one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay.

You can Zoom back out. That little area right there that, I'm sorry, I should have kept you zoomed in, Kent. Can you Zoom in, that little area? I think that should go into one, to the east of your cursor right next to core City and Woodbridge. No, go down, please. Under New Goldberg that little area, no, not that entire thing.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, that is the precinct.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Never mind. Yep, leave it unassigned. Leave it unassigned. And now let's go to the northeastern side of one. Okay, can you assign, go up a little, assign everything up unto 8 Mile into one so Maple ridge. Burbank and a little area under East Point I want to assign to one. Okay, that gets assigned.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So you want to go, this is 8 Mile, correct?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Right there. That area, assign that to one. Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We are right at 8 Mile.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That's fine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Did you say East Point into one?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: No, I was just using that as a marker for you. Can you Zoom in, please?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What area? This northern part.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Right where you are. Can you go south, closer to the river's edge? Yep that is Alter. Can you Zoom in again?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is Jefferson Chalmers right here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I'm not looking for neighborhoods. I'm looking for streets.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Benson.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: No, I'm looking at that dividing line between one and 12. Can you Zoom in again by Grosse Pointe park. Right there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is, this looks like copper or Casper or Connor.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay, can you go east? East is up here. Go east again. Go north. Where is it? I just lost track of it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Here is east barn avenue right here.

- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: No I'm looking for alter and I think I have overshot it.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is getting up into Grosse Pointe along here. Finney high school.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Uh-huh.
- >> CHAIR EID: Isn't alter the boundary between Grosse Pointe and Detroit?
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: It's not the boundary. Just to be careful because I don't want us to get beat up in public. It's not the boundary technically. But I refer to it as that when we are drawing.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is it on this? It's one of these roads in here. Is that what we are talking about? Alonquin, is that what you said.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: No, Alter, ALTER. Zoom out, please. That is not the right area. Go north and Zoom out a little bit more. That doesn't make sense to me.
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Alter road near the east side?
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes.
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: So, Kent, Zoom in, please.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: And it runs north and south. So there is Moross.
- >> COMMISSIONER CALLAGHAN: Is it the boundary of Morningside?
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you. I couldn't find it to save my life. Okay.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right there.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you. Okay, so everything east of Alter road I want you to put into 12.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: For right now.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Took the whole precinct and we will go to the block level if necessary. Does that make sense?
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes. We are going to keep that little area that I had you assign into one, that little finger-like area, you are going to keep that area.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: So all I have highlighted into 12?
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Can you Zoom out, please?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: We probably need to split that little piece out. But Alter is right through here.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I want you to not quite that far down. Stay close to so stay closer to Moross.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, all right, we will just start right here. So this is Alter. We probably have to come in census block level. This is 94.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You can unassign that and start there so I can make sure that I'm doing it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, I'm going to Zoom in so we can see the road names better. This is Chandler Park right through here. Chester. And these precincts run all the way to 94, so we could split those now. Here is east Outer Drive. That is East Marne Avenue right here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: So if that is Alter.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So Alter is down here. Did I lose it?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: I see it. So from Alter and below should go into one. But I'm trying to trim up that Moross-Morange area. Okay go south. That Jefferson Mac can you assign that to one?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Jefferson, yes, I see. This.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: East river side, Jefferson Chalmers put that in one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Come done down this way like this?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is the Grosse Pointe park boundary, right? This one here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Assign that into one for right now. Okay, can you go north now, please? And Zoom out a little? The area of Moross-Morange right where your cursor is, you just moved it, can you select that and assign it to 12 going along 94. No, unassign what you just were doing.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Yes, assign that to 12. The one to the left of it, can you assign that, yes. To 12. And what is to the right of it? I don't want to jump ahead. Can you assign that to 12? Assign that to 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That to 12?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Yes Yorkshire Woods, can you assign that to 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yorkshire Woods.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Right there, yep. And that little jig saw that is left out you can assign that to 12. Yes. Sorry, I'm having you do this. I want to make sure I'm getting it the way I want it. The area above Yorkshire, can you just stay in that area, above, that precinct, right there, yep. Unassign that or assign it to 12. And then can you go left, right there, can you assign that to 12? Yorkshire. Okay, so everything to the right of Outer Drive, Hayes by 94 can you assign that into 12?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Balda.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: No, above 94.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: All of that, can you assign that to 12?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All this area here?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: I think you went, that is fine, you went a little too deep on one side.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So come right up into here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yep. Looks like these two blocks are in a precinct over here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That can be assigned to 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What do we got here? This precinct? We can do blocks either way.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Wait a minute. That little jig saw that is hanging out by Maple ridge, you can assign that to 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Where is that at?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You skipped past it. That right there. Uh-huh. Okay and then wait. Not that area where it says Roxbury, Beconfield that precinct you can assign to 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Start over again. This area here?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Part of it?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That whole area that you selected, you can assign to 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: The precinct that is right above it, right there, you can assign that to 12. That area above, not there, right there, you can assign that, no, Morange Drive, that little jigsaw, yes, you can assign that to 12. Okay and then that piece that is just jutting in 12 with the duck and Memorial park, Finney high school, all that goes into 12 for right now. That piece that is against 94, that piece, yes, where it says all of that can be assigned. What is that, 123456 precincts if I'm counting correctly.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That can be assigned.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is the whole precincts but we will just do it a piece at a time.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This precinct runs all the way down to Phillips Street.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes but let's do a piece at a time, north and south, or what I'm calling. The north and south, yep, assign that to 12. The piece that's right next to it, that is still assigned to one, nope, I'm sorry, I should have been more specific. That piece that is jutting, I'm trying to cleanup that line.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay all right we can split the precinct, but the precinct goes down into this area. I'm sorry, I meant to go outward. So you want to split it. This is.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Get rid of that and the piece that is jutting out like that can go into 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Into blocks?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Zoom in, please. So I want at 94, if you put your cursor on 94 I want to come down and unassign or assign everything that is to the east of Alter Road. So I see Alter Road. You've got Wayburn, Manistique, Alter Road, right? So

everything to the right or to the east of that I want you to put into 12. Yep. And those little blocks that are kind of peeking out. You can keep doing that all the way down to the Detroit river. I know 12 is significantly over. But I will look at eight. I will fix 12 in a minute. Okay, so eight, the population that is assigned to ten, can you assign to eight?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: To this area, south of 8 Mile is that what you're saying?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Then can you Zoom into the north, what is that, northeast corner of eight. Yes that area right there. Can you Zoom all the way in. Not all the way, but....

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: More or less?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Just a tiny bit. I need to see 11 too so go north a little bit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me see.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay, that is fine. Okay do you see where it says southeast Warren in ten?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Southeast Warren in ten.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You're right in the area so you don't have to Zoom in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right there.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah but that one little square that, not that one, to the left of it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is the whole precinct.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay so you can I wanted until Stevens Drive. So you can select that for right now but that is too much I believe.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want to put that in 11?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: No. I want to put it in eight. Deselect that. Unassign, not assign but clear the selection. So can you select that little area right there where your cursor is and put that into eight? Uh-huh. And then if we can go to the block level that area that is just north of what you just assigned into eight. That area right there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is Stevens Road right here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Exactly. That is what I want to put into eight. And then that area right there where 9 mile is everything south of 9 mile. So you put your, yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will Zoom out and get the box.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay.

You can keep going east a little more.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So to three, I'm doing it by blocks though.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah. Okay, let's stop there. Is that Gratiot?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We have moved into East Point.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: You have a few blocks north of that outside of East Point. Right up to the border of East Point.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you, Commissioner Callaghan.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This area right here is in East Point.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Okay, unassign that. I think I went a little too far. I don't want to go that far. Assign the northern part where your cursor is Stewart avenue, not that piece but that precinct. Not that whole thing. Just that little sliver. Unassign that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm getting there.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Okay, no, not that piece. It's going to be a north and south piece of the precinct. So the streets are, no, you're going to leave that area blank and just kind of make like a tooth out of it. So it's Bole Avenue, Columbia. Yes, that area and then that piece. Okay, where is eight? I still need some more people.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight needs approximately 10,000. More or less.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Can you Zoom out, please? Let me see what eight looks like. Can I see the western side of eight? Okay, that is McNichols, I'm going to take and pull some pieces from six into eight. Can you Zoom into that area right there? Mary Grove. Can you go north, please? Keep going north.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is McNichols right here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Yep. Okay, I want to pull in harmony village. No, can you move the screen back to where you had it? It's right there to the left. Okay, the precincts that are above Bethune community and to the right of Hubble Puritan, can you pull into eight? They are in six right now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Oh, they are in six. I see. So this area right in here?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Can you pull that into eight?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So along there or those more or less? So there is too much selected. I don't want you to select Hubble Puritan. So that area is fine to pull into eight. And then you can go a little bit more west at the block level to pull into eight. .

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is a whole precinct.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Not that much?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: That is fine, and you can pull that into eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's only 1700 people.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: And then you can select, no, I want you to leave that. Okay, what also makes sense? Bethune community you can pull those precincts into eight?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Starting here, that is 900, assign that?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: I want to make sure is this Myers.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is ward avenue right here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Undo that selection.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Myers is right where my cursor is.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Go south a little bit more, please. So that is Grand River and down. Do I want to pull anything there? Can you go south some more, please? That little area.

- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is Plymouth road.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I'm going to pull from six but I'm just trying to figure out where I want to do that. And what is one doing?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: One needs 13,000 plus or...so that is not Grand River, I-96 is 900 people.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Can you Zoom in where your cursor is between one and six?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay, thank you. Can you assign those precincts to the left of Rose line into six?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: So left of.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That, yes.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Those into six?
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes, please.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That little bottom area as well that is next to two, yep.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Next to two like this?
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You can put that into six.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Number wise two and six are good. But one and eight are down.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah. Eight.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight doesn't need a whole lot.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Littlefield, Grand River. Can you go north on 8 slowly so I can see, stay in that same area, yep. Okay, let's just wait a minute.
- >> CHAIR EID: Midwest is in one.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Pardon me?
- >> CHAIR EID: Is Midwest in one or eight or six?
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I believe Midwest is in one.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is Tireman.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: By Tireman right where he had his cursor.
- >> CHAIR EID: Can you pull up the neighborhood overlay real quick so we can see it?
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay.
- .
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is all of Midwest there.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: If one needs more.
- >> CHAIR EID: If one needs more, we can make that C shape, right? So we actually look at the other end of it.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I could have taken a little bit more off of 10 to get to where I needed to be. I could be incorrect though.
- Just that little corner.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Ten is down 19,000.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I know, ten has to be changed, nine has to be changed. I just three is going to remain the same. Six is going to remain the same. Plus or minus of those little tweaks that I just did.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You can get population from one from four. I don't know if that is your plan or not.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Can you Zoom in at Lincoln Park?

>> CHAIR EID: I would just.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Put that into one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The whole Allen Park right now is split and so is Lincoln Park.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay just put Lincoln Park into one so it's not split.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So four is a little down. One is almost perfect.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You said what is down four?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Four is down 8,000, which is 3.9%. So you're looking at a few thousand. Let's just call it 4,000.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Take that little chunk of where Highland Park is. That southwest corner of it. We can put that into four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Where are we at? That area there?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: No, I'm sorry, I'm looking at my own cursor. So.

[Laughter]

No, where Allen Park is there is this little corner, to the left a little bit more, that little area. Try to put that into four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That will work. You can do that. So, you know, one is still .65%. Four is at 234%. So 234. 2.34. So technically that works. I mean if you wanted to do more, you know, you could.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: No, I wouldn't take that area but go where we just took from. Closer to, no, underneath, the area that you just, there is another little chunk, sliver that you can give to four. That, yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, and they are both within 2%. One and four are within 2%.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That looks weird though.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I could take some of Allen Park or that little piece that juts out, put that into four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This piece here?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 2100.

That is going to make...okay.

>> CHAIR EID: You added Romulus to four at the beginning?

- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes.
- >> CHAIR EID: Okay.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Oh, Jesus.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that one and four are still within 2%. One is at 1.99.
- >> CHAIR EID: Okay, well this might be a good place to break. It's 12:30.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: After the lunch.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do you want to take another?
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I was going to quickly recap. One it seems like it took five hours for me to do. Reunited Jefferson Chalmers. Ecorse, River Rouge, we got some comments from the APRI. They wanted that to be in southwest. It includes Lincoln Park, river front and Latino COIs. District 2 we unified some of the MENA community with Dearborn, Melvindale, Dearborn Heights, a piece of the Warren Dale neighborhood. And District 4 we did not split Romulus. We put it back into four. We removed parts of Dearborn Heights and Lincoln Park to do that. District 5 recombines Westland, creates this western Wayne District. Romulus again shifted back into four. To avoid splitting the municipalities for five. What else did I do? Ten still and 12 have to be.
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Eight was changed as well.
- >> CHAIR EID: Yeah, the eight is a similar configuration to what was in the Flamingo map we got the other day. I think in that one it was the area above 8 Mile and East Point. This is a little bit less.
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm sorry, Commissioner Eid, it was similar to which map did you reference?
- >> CHAIR EID: The Flamingo map.
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Right, that is what I'm looking at.
- >> CHAIR EID: That one kind of went into a U but it goes above 8 Mile in the Macomb portion in the same area. Then Commissioner Lange, I see you have a hand up.
- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yeah, I have to ask the question because I'm following along are you following -- is this map the same map that Mr. Hill sub submitted? Every one is identical and wondering if this is where it's coming from and if so I have concerns because he is currently a political candidate.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: It comes from the public comment we received and combines the changes we were going to make with Flamingo in terms of the wrap around districts and districts existed in Flamingo. I saw the public comment. Some of the changes I thought were good changes, others I don't but really it came from combining the work on Flamingo rather than use the map use hummingbird and make tweaks from there. If that answers your question Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: It does but the resemblance is spot on of what was submitted. So I mean carry on. It's lunchtime. I just have concerns with a candidate submitting whole maps and then being followed.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Totally. I understand. I'm glad you brought that up. That is not what I endeavored to do on this map and asked others to chime in and five is similar to what we were drawing in Flamingo. Eight, five already existed in Flamingo some of these changes were already in hummingbird. So that's what I can say to that.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay well it is lunchtime. We can come back to that conversation when we get back. Okay it is scheduled on the agenda, so I don't believe we need a motion, is that correct, Ms. Young?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Correct.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, let's break for lunch. See you after lunch everyone.

[Lunch recess until 1:30 p.m.]

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Hi Steve.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: I'm good how are you?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Looks like there is going to be a thunderstorm in Lapeer. It's getting kind of cloudy around here. I'm not in Lapeer and hoping it does not come this way.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: It's nice here but it does look like it may rain.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: You know, if I start to fall asleep somebody needs to go Janice.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I was sleepy, an hour in and I said I cannot keep my eyes open. I turned off my screen so people couldn't see me yawning.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: I turned off my screen too.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Commissioners I want to remind you we are live on YouTube so anything you say before we restart does have to be interpreted. So thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Whoops.

>> CHAIR EID: I will recall the meeting of the Michigan citizens redistricting back to order.

>> This is the interpreter speaking. I'm having a hard time hearing you.

>> CHAIR EID: One moment.

>> Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Is that better?

>> Yes, it is. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: I will call the meeting of the Michigan citizens Independent Redistricting Commission, I see some heads shaking.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Are you on the phone and computer at the same time and we are getting an echo is what we are hearing.

>> CHAIR EID: No, I just have one Zoom meeting. Give me one second. All right, how about now? Did that improve anything? Is that any better, folks?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: It's a little bit better on my end but I can't speak for everyone.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: It sounds okay to me, but I don't know about the interpreter.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Sounds okay to me too.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I can hear you too.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Sounds okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's get going and I will try to work on this.

>> It's better for me as well.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful. Okay, I'll call this meeting of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission to

Order at 1:39 p.m. Department of State if we can get a roll.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Absolutely Mr. Chair Commissioners this is a continuation from this morning's meeting so if your location has changed please share that during roll call otherwise please indicate you are in the meeting by indicating that you are present. I will start alphabetically with Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair you have a full House, you have 13 Commissioners present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair?

Mr. Chair, if you are speaking we cannot hear you.

>> CHAIR EID: There we go. Can you hear me now?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Yes, but there is some background noise.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Kellom as Vice Chair can you take over while I figure out these technical issues?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Sure. I believe we -- thank you, Ms. Young, for roll and collecting attendance first of all.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Absolutely Madam Vice Chair.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay, I think where we left off is mapping.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Yes, with you, that is correct.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: It was my turn.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Yes.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I will continue, Kent, if you are still available. Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm here and I have your plan. I have your plan up that we were looking at a little while -- which we were working on earlier. Let me just get some -- get it displayed and we left off and you were kind of giving an overview of where you were at with numbers.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah, I'm going not going to exhaust my turn but I'm going to try to fix ten which will subsequently make changes to the other out of whack districts. But, if you could, can you place the neighborhood overlay on the map including the names?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. So we have to Zoom in a bit to kind of get it uncluttered. Let me make that Black. I think that shows up better for more people. Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight is a little high, but the biggest thing is in 10, 11 and 12. But let's see. Yeah, four and five is straight. But eight is just a little over and then your 10, 11, 12.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay, can you go west so I can see districts 8 and 6, yep. Just Zoom in slightly. I know too much and then it's hard to find the sweet spot with the neighborhood overlay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right, right.

>> CHAIR EID: I would start by just making bar ton McFarland all in six.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay, are you back, Commissioner Eid? Or you need some more time? I mean it's still my turn.

>> CHAIR EID: I'm working on it, but I don't know how is my audio?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You are clear but there are sometimes that we can also clearly hear people in your background speaking. So I imagine that could be rough for not just us but strictly for ASL interpretation because then they are interpreting two conversations. But I can hear you just fine. I didn't hear background noise when you were off mute.

Okay Kent what Commissioner Eid said was that little area in bar ton McFarland that is assigned to one. Can you assign that back into six, please?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, yes, absolutely.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay.

So that census block goes over to this red so that is where you get a little bit of a -- do you want it over to this road or that road? But six it is.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah, because that is that neighborhood.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, I don't know what it is right through there but.

>> CHAIR EID: Then there is a little part of Oakman that is in one but should be in eight.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Right where you just were. It was just around 94. 94.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This little piece right here?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think those census, just some small census blocks.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: 94.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me see. This is something else.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Can you look at -- let me see six. Can you Zoom in? There was an area of north Rosedale I was looking at. In three, okay.

Okay I'll think about that. I wanted to see if it was split between three and six. And it is.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This little area right there.

>> CHAIR EID: While we are looking at this, can you while we are looking at the neighborhoods can you look at the tech town area of Detroit? It's more downtown.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Were you talking to me, Commissioner?

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, through Commissioner Kellom to you I suppose.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Please, we don't need that technicality. I don't care who talks. I don't even like we don't take turns, so it doesn't matter to me.

>> CHAIR EID: Do you see Woodbridge.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Woodbridge.

>> CHAIR EID: Down, right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I missed half of what you're saying Commissioner Eid, it kind of breaks in and out.

>> CHAIR EID: So right now you have Woodbridge split into one and eight. I think it should go in one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Oh, right here. This.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: That is the area I asked about and splits weirdly across the -- go ahead. He is saying put that into one.

>> CHAIR EID: I would look at tech town, new center and new center commons in one as well. I know it will jut it up, but I think those communities make more sense to be with one so I'm just wondering how much population is in there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay we can look at the population without moving it. That is 3100 people.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, that is not too many people. It does kind of make a weird shape, but those areas are a little bit more associated with the educational areas there and like Wayne State and medical center and midtown area. So I would think they go a little bit better in one. But if there are any other opinions.

It will take change a little bit but can go further north on eight looking at 10 and 11.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Yeah, I don't have any challenges against that. Just making sure Virginia park isn't included.

>> CHAIR EID: I agree, I would keep Virginia park in eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, let's Zoom in and see how it fits. Virginia park is such a small area. I think you may...so Virginia park, what is Virginia park and what isn't? Should that stay in eight?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Virginia park I would keep in eight.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry, could you mute yourself, please?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Would I do what?

>> CHAIR EID: If you can mute your microphone, we are getting some background noise.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Who is that speaking?

>> CHAIR EID: It's Anthony.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, well, you know, surprise you heard it. I didn't hear it, anything.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just trying to get this neater looking. Not just neater but so we don't have errors. Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Let's look at District ten. Okay, whoa whoa, whoa, not all the way over there. That little area where your cursor is, can you assign that to ten?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Can you go west a little so I can see the east and west border of 10 at the same time? Okay, that's good. So this area of ten, I want to make more like flamingo, or some commoners have called it eastie-westie.

>> CHAIR EID: So you need a little bit more on eight now too because of the change made to midtown so I think you should probably do that first. And then after getting right on eight go through and work on ten. So there is those areas that are crossing 8 Mile there, that are west of East Point. You could either just add in a couple more of those precincts to the west or go north and kind of straddle Centerline.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: What is right under Centerline right where your cursor is, Kent, yeah, that right there, ding.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That area right there is 9,000. Which is exact about what it needs. It needs to be perfect.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Let's take that and put it into eight. And this coincides with what we heard about this eastern side of 8 Mile, Warren. I don't want to go too much more north into Centerline because there is a shared community and culture in this area. I think if you go too north into those suburbs that starts to change that in my opinion. I'm just one person but I think that also has been talked about in some public comment that we received. So I'm going to leave that like that. Commissioner Curry has her hand, and I don't know if you can see.

>> CHAIR EID: Go ahead, Commissioner Curry.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY:

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry, if you are speaking we cannot hear you.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I said that with 13 of us I think we shouldn't spend more than an hour a piece at one time working on a map. I don't think it's fair for us to sit and watch one person working two or three hours on a map.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I agree. I don't want to be the only voice. There are 13 of us.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Then turn it over to someone else. You don't have to keep hogging it.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I'm not hogging it, but I appreciate your feedback, Commissioner Curry.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You're welcome.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: So if anyone else would like to chime in as I've said before, unless we are throwing the flamingo and the reason I did this is we made changes on flamingo and I was trying to incorporate that as opposed to working directly with flamingo as a Commissioner and we no longer endeavored to work on it as we did before.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I think we should keep going Commissioner Kellom. I think we are making some good progress here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: It's clear to Commissioner Curry I'm not hogging and appreciate just more than my voice.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Well, you know, it just appears that with all 13 of us we got to watch one or two people just work all day on a map and it just seems ridiculous.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I agree. I totally agree.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: If you agree just turn it over.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I pass my turn. I'm not going to argue with Commissioner Curry.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: It's not an argument dear, it's not an argument.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: If Commissioner Curry would like me to pass my turn I can pass my turn.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You had about an hour and a half before we went to lunch.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Commissioner Curry.

>> CHAIR EID: All right folks.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I can't make other people talk.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: It's not a matter of making other people talk. When Anthony said, I don't know, after you have a turn so long be courteous enough to turn it to someone else and if we all say no then you get it back again.

>> CHAIR EID: Hold on, everybody. Let's bring it back. Sometimes these changes take a little bit of time. That's okay.

Commissioner Szetela, I see you have your hand up.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah I was just going to say I agree with Commissioner Curry because we have a day and a half left to finish mapping. And you know there may be other Commissioners who want to work on different maps than this. Ment it's not just an issue well you can chime in. If other Commissioners don't think this map is valuable and they have other maps they want to work on, spending hours on one map is really interfering with that. And I think Commissioner Curry is right that after maybe an hour pass and then, you know, if everybody ends up passing it will come back to you and you can continue but I think we should give other people a chance given the lateness of the hour.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I totally agree. It was not my endeavor to be the only voice when I map that's what I was saying. When we come around because we have this turn thing I can't make other people collaborate. Usually it's -- go ahead, Commissioner Kellom. So if other Commissioners would join in, it would not seem as though. But I've made that plow more than one time we should all be drawing together and that doesn't always happen so I'm more than willing to pass my turn. I have no wish to just keep going. That's not why I took the turn. So that I could just draw. I never like to draw that way.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You always take two or three hours on a map.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, so I think this map is valuable. We received a lot of public comment about it. I can work on it more on my turn. I think I'm trying to chime in here as well on these. If we want to move on we can. If not then not. Commissioner Kellom you said you wanted to end?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: I'll bring it back up.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: The confusing thing is we heard the changes, they are changes I'm making. My turn could be anyone's turn. So Commissioner Callaghan,

Commissioner Vallette, any of the people could be chiming in and they're not. So we can skip the turn since it's causing such a ruckus.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Not a ruckus.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: It's a ruckus.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, Commissioner Szetela, I see your hand.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I was going to say it's again not a turn necessarily if other people aren't interested in working on this map. If other Commissioners don't think it's worthwhile then it's not really an open turn and taking hours working on it when other Commissioners don't think it's worthwhile again given our short time constraints may not be the fairest option.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: The other Commissioners are not saying anything at all. And they still aren't saying anything so that is what is troubling to me.

>> CHAIR EID: So what do you want to do?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I have said something I would like to see this map proceed. I have tried to chime in when I think I have something to say. I don't have a lot to say about some of the border changes that have been made. I think we need to see definitely some changes to ten and 11. And 12 we need to get back in balance so that is what I would like to see happen if we can just get through those three districts and see where we are on this map.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That is not going to happen with just my voice because it's being miss interpreted so if anyone else would like to see the map go ahead. They will have to figure out how to make tweaks to it. I'm not going to speak anymore because it's being misunderstood and I don't want to take the weight, if Commissioners want to see changes it should not be my voice. It's unfair to me as you see what is happening now.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Donna Callaghan since you jump in and interested in working on the map.

>> CHAIR EID: You know, it really is kind of unfair. And I empathize what is happening now with Commissioner Kellom. I actually think mapping is hard. It's hard for different people, different people have different objectives and different constraints on doing it, but Commissioner Kellom often tries very hard to make maps that are pretty darn good configurations if you ask me. So I appreciate you, Commissioner Kellom for taking that on. I think you should be commended for it. I do think taking a look at 10, 11 and 12 and then passing and working on the rest of it would be a good way to move forward.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Someone else can do 10, 11 and 12, it's not different when one person is responsible or because of uncomfortable is what is happening.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm just speaking that it's 13 of us and one person shouldn't take two and three, four hours to work on a map all the time.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Agreed.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay everyone said what everyone said. I think we have heard a whole bunch of different opinions, Commissioner Kellom the floor is yours what do you want to do?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't have anything else to say and I will not be silenced and disappointed from the other Commissioners are not saying anything and allowing the comments to take place. That is noted. Thank you Commissioner Callaghan for speaking up but you all are watching this happen.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: It's 13 of us. I mean do we have -- usually when people get a turn we try to stay about an hour on it.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't want a turn by myself. We are saying the same thing.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Why are you even saying anything.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Because no one else is saying anything Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Commissioner Callaghan was. She said she was enjoying what you were doing. She can pick it up if she wants and after her and let's keep it flowing.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You are contradicting yourself.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Look I don't want to argue because you accused me of what you are probably doing.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, please wait to be acknowledged by me. We are going back and forth; I don't want to see people go back and forth. Commissioner Orton I saw your hand. Do you still have something to say?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Not really, I don't want to add to the conversation, Commissioner Kellom was saying if people are interested in the map please chime in. I'm not interested in the map. That is why I'm not chiming in. So.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That is clear for me I said that at the start of my turn so I knew how to proceed but I can't, I'm not a mind reader. So as I said before imperfectly fine with moving on to the next person. If Commissioner Callaghan wants or whoever wants to see changes they can come back to the map, they can save them during my turn. I don't like the idea of having a turn. In my opinion we should all collaborative voice.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: We are not going to argue.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't have anything else to say.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, all right, so let's just move to the next person. If anyone who wants to work on this map, and I do, I will continue working on it when it circles back to me, I will take it up.

Next is Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I do not want to work on this map. What I'm wondering how many maps did we get through that had a VRA of four that were named

after birds? Because I think that is something maybe we should look at getting finalized going over those or at least one of them just to kind of progress things. Because I know you are voting tomorrow on which ones need to go to the public or the courts. So if we could look at one of the maps that had a VRA of four and kind of review it, that's what I would like to do for my turn, please. And I'm in particular about which one it is. So if somebody wants to throw out one, if there is one that somebody wanted to discuss I'm happy to take your suggestions.

>> CHAIR EID: So there were a few that had four. I mean, most of them that we advanced had four actually. All of the ones that had four were advanced. There is crane. There is Robin. There is both of the Starling. There is cardinal. Hummingbird.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Did we get through all of the four?

>> CHAIR EID: Swift V1, V2, dove.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: So you're saying.

>> CHAIR EID: Sparrow, I don't mean to interrupt, I'm trying to get them all in there. But yes to answer your question all of the ones that had four we advanced or advanced the more recent version of them.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Is there one with a three that anybody was interested in making minor tweaks to, to see if it's something we could advance?

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> CHAIR ORTON: I'm not answering that question. But thank you, Commissioner Lange. This is kind of what I was going to do on my turn so we can at least move forward on those. I wonder while we are looking at some of the ones we know had a four on VRA if we could do like an overlay of Linden or maybe the core retention to see, to make sure the Districts that have to be changed were substantially changed?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I'm good with that. Do you have a suggestion, Commissioner Orton, for a map you would like to bring forward to do that to?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Crane.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Mr. Fink, is that allowed?

>> NATE FINK: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, was I acknowledged?

>> CHAIR EID: Go ahead, Mr. Fink.

>> NATE FINK: I was going to say my understanding is we will have the actual core retention numbers hopefully tomorrow morning. Kim and his team are running that. In the interim perhaps using the Linden, we have the compare maps that are available right off the website. Or I don't know if the PDF works or how Kent could do it at least eyeball a comparison and keep the guidance that was provided by Mr. Raile this morning in mind.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Am I good to proceed?

>> CHAIR EID: Please. Yeah, I think Commissioner Orton suggested crane.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I'm good with that.

>> CHAIR EID: The three, I would say there are probably three crane, Finch and blue Jay.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, Kent, could we bring up crane, please?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Like I said I don't have the bird names. I was not sent those. But if you can give me the map number or the plan name, I can bring it up.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: 385.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, 385. And if you want to bring in the overlay, you know, we can import the overlays.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I just need to know again the I guess I need to know the plan name to add in the overlay. So if I'm going to add a shape file, I need to know which one I'm looking for.

>> CHAIR EID: Crane already has four. I see Commissioner Szetela then Commissioner Orton.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I believe Commissioner Lange was asking for maps that already had four. Is that correct, Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Last week I got booted at the end of the movie, movie, sometimes it feels like a movie at the end of the meeting. Due to a computer update so I was not sure how far everybody got on proceeding to give names to the ones that were being reviewed that had four.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I just want to clarify because neither the Blue jay nor the finch had a four. Those were not on the four list. The four list was Sparrow, Starling, Dove, Swift one and two, hummingbird, Cardinal, Starling Robin and crane. But it was not Blue jay and Finch. So if you want to look at four, it would not include Blue jay and Finch because they both had three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let's do plan.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Are we looking at crane? Crane had four.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Eid mentioned Blue jay and Finch as well but those didn't have four is my point.

>> CHAIR EID: I thought she wanted to look at the ones that had three, if that is a mistake then it's a mistake.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Commissioner Orton you mentioned doing the Linden overlay is that what you would like to see first on this particular one?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, I think at some point that would be helpful just so we make sure we aren't putting forth a map that is very similar to Linden because we know we have to change it.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay, Kent, do you have the overlay for the original Linden?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I got to find it to be honest. I thought I had it but now I'm not so sure. So let me do this, I will just go and create it, so I know that it's right. This

should be the right one I believe. No, or is it that one? Didn't I just have it? I just had it in front of me. I swore I did.

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: I think you passed it already, Kent. I just saw it up there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It should be, there, May 7th. Okay.

So 385. This should be Linden in red on top of, what is it the crane plan? Referred to as crane? Does this look right?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: That looks right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, so the old Linden, most of Taylor or half of Taylor. Most all of Taylor was in one and Melvindale was in one. And more of Detroit proper was in one.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: What about some of the northern districts too. How much did they get changed?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It doesn't look like but geographically seven looks similar, but this is a significant population that has been removed from.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: The main ones we need to make sure are changed are the enjoined districts so that with un, 3, 6, 8, 10, I mean 7 wasn't one of the enjoined districts. It's okay if it changes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Wasn't this eight in the Linden plan? I believe it is. It doesn't say. But let me label.

>> CHAIR EID: That is all right, Kent, you don't need to do that. That District was not one of the ones that needed to change. But.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight is here. So, yeah, you can see eight significantly is different. Yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, this one did not -- it did change but it did not change 7 and 9 too much. And to make up for that I believe it changed some of the other surrounding districts northwest of 13. Like in this 124 is changed and then on the other side of 13, the other districts on that side. 23. Thank you. You may need to Zoom out a little bit. But that's because I think the purpose of this one was not to change 7 and 9 that much.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: So does anybody have any comments on this? Is everybody okay with this particular one? Is there anything else you want to see on the crane?

>> CHAIR EID: I think District 1 goes too far south into South Gate. Figuring out a way to fix that without messing up the entire District might be good. That is just one thing. And then I think it does that District, one and two follow the Township boundary of Dearborn whereas it's hard to tell. I believe it does. Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: District one and the Dearborn one I'm blanking, yeah, it follows the Dearborn boundary. And, you know, even though the geographically it

doesn't look like it's a big change but that's a lot of people. You know, so you know that is a very significant amount of change.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Yeah, I was just going to say I think District one is least changed out of the others, of the districts we need to change. I think we will find that to be pretty common just because like Mr. Raile said we are right there on the border. So I think to your point, Anthony, there are probably other configurations that have the different District 1 that doesn't include south gate so I think it's helpful to look at probably all the maps that are four VRA districts so we can see our different configurations.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, are you saying you want me to close this one and open another?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: That's up to the Commission. This was my turn. We brought up this one. We got to look at it. And if somebody else wants to bring up a new one, I will go ahead and for go my turn. I'm good.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would like to see the Robin with the overlay on it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Commissioner Lange, is that what we are doing?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I think it should be the will of the Commission. If the Commission wants to bring forth another one and bring the overlay to get a better insight into the VRA districts we currently have I'm good with that. But I don't want to take up a bunch of time if someone has something they want to do.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, I'm waiting for direction in that case.

>> CHAIR EID: Go ahead, Commissioner Lange we heard a request to open up Robin.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Which one is Robin? What is the plan name?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Plan 377.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 377 is Robin. I didn't save it. Okay, so in this one, one is significantly different where it wraps around Dearborn. And goes more northeasterly.

>> CHAIR EID: How about the Oakland and Macomb County districts? Can you move it a little bit, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm sorry what did you say Commissioner?

>> CHAIR EID: Can you move it more north please, more northwest?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Anyone else want to say anything here or have any thoughts? It is pretty different from Linden. Okay but while we are doing this can we look at cardinal, which is.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: 373.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. Yes, 373. This one has that one instead of going in South Gate it goes into Wyandotte, which also might be a little too south in my opinion

but could work. It depends how you define the Down River community. But that is for District 1. And the other ones also look quite different from Linden.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Exception is 11, 11 didn't change much.

>> CHAIR EID: Well you took out a big part of East Point and instead put in Warren. I think that is a pretty big change. This one also doesn't change 12 at all. So you're kind of geographically locked in that area, if you don't want to change 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I was going to select some of these just to see a quick way of seeing how many people were moved. And that is 46,000 which is well over what 20% would have been 52000 so you are almost 20% population change. So it's really not the geography. It's the deciding factor, it's really the population. That's almost, you know, pushing 20% of the District changed.

>> CHAIR EID: Right. And I assume that area of Warren is about the same?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It has to be done. So well 47,000 or 48,000. So within your, you know, a couple percent.

>> CHAIR EID: Anywhere else? How does our team look for this one?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 13 has Farmington and most of Farmington Hills in it or all of Farmington Hills. Which is, that is over a hundred thousand people so that District it looks like not a huge geographic change it's a very significant population change. You are looking at 40% or something like that.

>> CHAIR EID: What about Birmingham?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Birmingham is right here, and Birmingham is in nine and had been previously configured and had been in, when was this, eight or three or eight, got all the way down into Detroit so now Birmingham is north. In this new plan all of Sterling heights is together. That is a big change for the 8 and 10 districts.

>> CHAIR EID: Any other maps anyone wants to do this exercise with? Hummingbird and there is another one too and I think it's 376.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want to open 376 is that the will?

>> CHAIR EID: No hummingbird, the original hummingbird.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 370 what?

>> CHAIR EID: One. Mr. Fink?

>> NATE FINK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I realize the Commission is not going through the formal deliberations process right now, but I would still encourage Commissioners to discuss beyond just the change, sort of naked eye change review you are doing right here. But other constitutional criteria as you see fit. If you see an opportunity to explain why a particular change is made. Again I understand this is not the sort of comprehensive analysis map by map through the deliberative process when you are going to decide which maps to send forward but just wanted to mention that as an overall comment.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm not clear why we would be doing that right now because we are doing a quick comparison to Linden per Commissioner Lange's request and are still in the process of mapping. I would rather us just get back to the work of mapping if we could.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Fink, do you want to respond?

>> NATE FINK: Like I said I noted this is not the formal deliberations process. But I think it's always a good idea for Commissioners to explain why there's various changes being made, again not in any sort of systematic way necessarily on a map by map basis but if you are looking at a particular map where there is some change made or discussion of that I don't see any harm in explaining to the public and putting on the record why there is some change again and not spending an extensive amount of time on that right now on any particular map but I think it's always a good idea to keep in mind. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I just want to say because of our time here if there is not anymore that anybody would like to see an overlay on I'm going to pass in case there is something that actually does want to do some more mapping on one of the maps they have that opportunity today.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, thank you Commissioner Lange. That brings us to Commissioner.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Lett how many completed maps do we have now?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't know exact count because I up loaded one or two or more but the last is 33, 34, something like that. Maybe I will get a note here from one of my wing men counting them up. But it's in that three-dozen category.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lett, are you asking the total complete or the amount that were concluded and we kind of gave names to on Thursday?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: No just completed maps whether they are named or not I really don't care. How many completed maps do we have? If anybody knows approximately obviously someone said 33, 34, I will take that. Statistically I'm sure we could draw additional maps. I doubt we can make a significant impact above 33 or 34 maps. So there for I will not try.

I will pass my turn. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Commissioner Lett. That brings us to Commissioner Muldoon.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Take a look at Starling version one, 351.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

Okay what is this going to be? What area?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Fraser.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Wait for it to get loaded up. Well, there it goes. Just a moment while I bring it back. Autobound shut down, disconnected.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 351 is that what you said? Okay so what do you want to look at?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I think it's an 11.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do you want to make a copy of it? Are you going to do edits?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes, I think I got it right, whatever Fraser is. That is not the right one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, you got another one?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I think it's version two. That ain't right either. I locked it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We have a version four from that day and a version one from that day. Is that 353?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Maybe it's 374.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Somebody made a comment about phrasing being in it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: In 12.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: In the public and I remember hearing about it before but if we move Fraser into 11.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me make a copy of it. This is 11 area. Looking at moving these right here. Okay those numbers are the precinct population, so if you want to refer to the precinct by the number.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: So all of Fraser and then the districts above it, the 1232. And 2005. Maybe make it not so chopped.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah. So all this is going to go to 11?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Correct.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

All right, so you need to move, you know, you can still make the same population from 11 to 12 now.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah, so let's take districts going up the east side there between 11 and 12, from 11 into 12, so 2289, yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm trying to get it to select. How many did we move?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I think it was about six.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 5,000, 5 or 6,000, somewhere in there. All right, I mean 20.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I was going to say I keep going up the east side there of 11.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is mount Clemens and I don't know if you want to get into that. Do you want to continue up here?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Let's go a little bit further north.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do you want to go all the way to like.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: All the way up that side where it's on the east side of 94.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, something like that? So now they are within.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: All right, I'm good with that.

>> CHAIR EID: The changes you made were confined to districts 11 and 12?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Correct, we had a comment on the public about Fraser being in with Lake front and felt it was more with Sterling Heights and stuff in 11.

>> CHAIR EID: This was based off of Starling, correct?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes, version two.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, do you want to see if that had an impact on the partisan fairness numbers?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will run the report right now. So looking at lopsided margin is right at 5%. Favoring republican. The mean median 1.4% republican. Then efficiency gap is 1.9%. Are y'all, can y'all see these numbers? Or.

>> CHAIR EID: We can see them. But can you start over?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Going too fast. Lopsided margin would be 5% republican. And mean median is 1.4% republican. And then efficiency gap is 1.9 republican. And the seats vote ratio is minus 2.5% republican. Seats are 2117.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay so based on what I'm looking at it did not change the partisan fairness to Starling V2 at all. Do you want to save this as Starling V3 then?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I can do that then I will pass on my turn. Next person.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we are going to -- so my question is when we finish today, I mean, are all these going to get new names? Bird names? Or.

>> CHAIR EID: No, just when we decide to do that.

But not all of them.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, so I'm just trying to figure out how to keep track of this name, this name and the bird name. I can't put so much on the screen. So it just gets, there is only so much I can do. Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, do you need any -- is there any way we can help you do that or anything like that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm hoping somebody on the team or with MDOS will get me the plan Nam and the bird name. And then I will have a copy of -- a list, so you know.

>> CHAIR EID: Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: I'm happy to do that but we don't give them the number names. I think EDS comes up with that, but I can make sure a note goes out that version two from today is now Starling version three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right and I think Ryan when he uploads them he puts them all in. Well, I did get it, but it was after the meeting started. So I just looked at my e-mail. So anyway I have a list and I will have it for the next, for tomorrow.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. That brings us to Commissioner Orton.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Yeah, I just have a question, first of all. Do we need to formally request that any maps that we made changes on today go get VRA analysis so we can have that tomorrow? Or.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That would be important, if y'all want VRA analysis we need to know that so we can get them bundled up and sent out this evening.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: To consider any maps we have to go through that so if you made changes to anything and wants the VRA analysis we better send those. So Commissioner Muldoon, do you want the one you just changed to go?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: All right.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Woods, do you have something to add?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I just want the Commissioners to know all the maps that are being done will go through VRA analysis and partisan fairness and will be available tomorrow. But we also got to remember that there are some other maps, individual maps that they have changed, they will also need to be updated and go through VRA analysis and partisan fairness. It is 2:48 p.m., I've been in contact with EDS aren't it and it takes them 20 minutes to run the analysis then we have to give the experts time to do the analysis. So we probably need to set a timeline knowing that we are trying to advance maps tomorrow as to when all of this can be done. So if the timeline was 5:00 today, if the timeline is going to be 12:30 tomorrow which I think would be the latest, we need to make sure that we go ahead and get that done.

>> CHAIR EID: Are you speaking about between like the individual maps now?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: The individual maps don't need to be approved by the Commission. We just want to make sure they have been updated.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I thought they had to be in last Wednesday by 3:00.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Those were all the maps, but people could make changes, narrow changes just like you are doing with the collaborative maps they could do the same for the individual maps.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Okay, I did not realize that.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: So from a Commission standpoint you know we need to kind of identify some time lines, you know, so that we can get that done and give our experts time on the collaborative maps, not the individual maps, to give them some time so they can get back to the Commission for the maps that were advanced.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: For clarification, this is a collaborative I resubmitted mine on Friday and worked with John to do very slight changes and have it resubmitted to VRA. Can you tell me if that has been done or if I need to make it a point to ask again?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I got your e-mail and it's already being processed Commissioner Lange.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay thank you.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: You're welcome. So Commissioner Eid, as the Chair of the Commission, we kind of need to make a decision so everyone is clear, because I don't know if there is any other changes to individual maps that have already been submitted, if they want to make them they would need to know a timeline as well as the collaborative maps we are working on. Because our goal is to try to finish tomorrow. So I can tell you the absolute latest would be 12:30 tomorrow.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

Well, we'll motion we make 12:30 tomorrow the latest to make any changes to go forward for analysis before sending draft maps to the board.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion that was made by me, seconded by Commissioner, I actually didn't see with that Commissioner Callaghan or Commissioner.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Vallette.

>> CHAIR EID: Oh, Commissioner Vallette. Thank you. Is there discussion on the motion? I see your hand Commissioner Szetela. I'm.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: For the Commission as a whole we have until 12:30 tomorrow to as a whole decide any additional changes to maps to send for analysis? And then are we going to be approving maps to send later that same day? I guess I'm just trying to understand the timeline.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: No, 12:30 would be the last time for individual maps, which don't have to be approved but need to have analysis so 12:30 for individual maps and if the Commission has made any modifications to any existing maps or plans to 12:30 would be the timeline for that as well.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you, Mr. Eid, Mr. Woods, sorry.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you, Commissioner Szetela.

>> CHAIR EID: So basically we are saying further changes need to be in by 12:30 and can go in for review and we can spend the afternoon debating which ones to advance, how many drafts and which ones to advance to the Court. Does that sound right to everybody?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Those who want to make adjustments to individual maps I just want to be clear, the timeline is 12:30 as well.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, is there any more discussion on the motion? Seeing none we will move to vote all those in favor of the motion please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: If there is any opposed please raise your hand and say nay. The ayes have it. The deadline is 12:30 tomorrow.

Commissioner Orton, you still have the floor there.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Okay, so for my turn I don't care if we make any changes to maps, but I did just kind of want some clarity on the maps we have. So

maybe this is for secretary of state's office. I think I count ten. But it was a little confusing with the date name and the number name and the naming bird name. So I think that we have ten that we actually gave bird names, that scored VRA four; is that correct? Or could we possibly see a document with something like that on it?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: I believe that there are 12 and that document should have been sent out by Executive Director Woods this morning. That was updated with bird names. And the last column had the VRA primary turnout number.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: That's what I was looking at and I counted ten. But it was a little hard to tell. So I see some hands, Chair.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, Commissioner Weiss then we will go to Commissioner Szetela.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes, by my count, if I'm correct, I have eight names that have four VRA districts. And five names that do not have bird names that are VRA districts with four districts. And we have some on the list that I believe that we got, that I printed out. Some of those only have three VRA districts. That's all I wanted to mention. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I have ten as well. Looking at four VRA districts and named, I'm coming up, with ten as well.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: So from the list I will say the ones that I see just real quickly and someone can double check me. So well it will have to go by number because the names are not on this document. So 385. 377. 374. 373. 371, 361, 362, 346. 350. 351. 349.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Did you have 350 and 351 or just 351?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Both.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay because I don't have 350 as being named.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Okay, sorry, I was just looking at that list. So maybe it is not named. Then we have other ones that rated four that are lower numbers that are also not named.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: So without 350 how many was that?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That is ten. So that is crane, Robin, Starling 2, Cardinal, hummingbird, swift two, swift one, dove, Starling and Sparrow two.

>> Can you just repeat that a little more slowly for the interpreter.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Crane which is 385. Robin which is 377. Starling two which is 374. Cardinal which is 373. Hummingbird, 371. Swift 2, 361. Swift 362. Dove, 364. Starling, 351 then I have Sparrow, 349.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: And Commissioners that does match my list. I'm sorry, I counted two with three districts on accident so that is correct, ten.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Then the two individual maps that have four, one is Wagner and one is Cynthia Orton that were also in that group of four.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Okay, thanks, that is really all I wanted to do is see how many organically we had four VRA districts that we did name already, so I'm done with my turn.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Commissioner Orton. That brings us to Commissioner Szetela.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm going to pass on my turn.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, that brings us to Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: I'm also going to pass.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER:

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Commissioner Wagner had to drop. I'm sorry.

>> CHAIR EID: No worries. Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I don't want to change anything. The only thing I just looked through the list I think we have enough with four VRA districts and maybe we should go like Commissioner Lange did and compare them to the Linden map to see if they are changed much. I don't have any ones in particular. Guess we can just start with ones we haven't looked at. If you would like to do that. Otherwise I don't have nothing, and I can pass.

>> CHAIR EID: Didn't we already do that just a few minutes ago? I might be confusing with what you are saying.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: We did it on a couple of them but not all of them.

>> CHAIR EID: Is there any that anybody would like to see with the overlay of Linden to try to get a visual comparison? Commissioner Orton?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Well, I think we did three or four, so why don't we just do the others.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes, that is what I was thinking. Let's do the rest.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: With that said give me a map number or a plan name and I will bring them up.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I don't think we did it with 374.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, here we go.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: What is the name of this map?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is 374, map number 374.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Commissioner Szetela, it's Starling version two.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is there anywhere we want to look?

>> CHAIR EID: You can just Zoom in. Just bring it slightly more north. .

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three is entirely in Detroit now. In this plan.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, okay.

Then the original 11 on here is part of 10, 11 and 12. That Starling V2. Any other ones, Commissioners? Commissioner Orton.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is the plan deviation correct at 6.82%?

>> CHAIR EID: I think there was one District that we kept a little over for COI reasons, but I don't know if it was that high.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's one and three.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry then Commissioner Orton.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Excuse me, is Cynthia going first or did you want me to go? I didn't hear you clearly.

>> CHAIR EID: I'm sorry, you can go ahead Commissioner Curry.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay let me look at the one I worked on. I didn't work on the top of it at all. Let me see if I can make any adjustments to the one I worked on.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What plan is that? Oh, with your initials.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't know. Yes, it's with my initial it may be 385 but I'm not sure.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: No it's not.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: My initials are with it, so I don't know where it's at.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 366 does that ring a bell?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will make a copy of it if you want to edit it, do you want to look at it?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I may try to do something to it so make a copy of it, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, ma'am. Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I will probably need your blank ink on the letters so I can see it clearly if you can.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You're talking about the neighborhood layer?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I would like to go past 8 Mile. I don't want to touch the bottom part because that came out okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is 8 Mile. Can you see my cursor right here?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I see your cursor.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 8 Mile, this is Hazel Park right here.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I like how Muldoon or Marcus, excuse me, I like how he did his part with the right side of but let me go to the left side. Let me go to the left side of 8 Mile. I mean the west side of across Southfield.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, Southfield, Farmington, Livonia.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can you bring the screen down to lower so I'm not looking at the Detroit area? I want to see how the top area looks.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

So right at the very top is Pontiac.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, okay, so let's see I think Cynthia worked on the top part.

She did a good job. I just want to see if I can play with this just for a minute. Rochester and big Beaver and I can't hardly see the words.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is Rochester Hills here and that is Rochester and to the left a little bit that is Auburn Hills.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And this is route 59 or state highway 59, cutting across.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, keep going west.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Then we have Pontiac in here.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I know Pontiac is near Flint, well, it turns off from Flint.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The bottom of the screen you see Bloomfield Hills right here. 75.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Keep going west and start from the left-hand side of west.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is Pontiac Lake. Sylvan Lake. Waterford is right here where the cursor is.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, silver Lake and Waterford and what is that Lake up there? They are so close to each other, they can probably be -- can you add in 23 silver Lake.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Sylvan Lake.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What is that Sylvan or silver.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, so we will do it by precinct into 23.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Is there a population on that Lake at all? There is a few people.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This precinct is 1700 people.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I knew it was probably very low.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We probably should take the little bitty piece.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Let's take that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Put this into 23.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Uh-huh.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We will pop down here and use blocks to get that neighborhood. Let me enlarge that, enlarge the matrix. So it's more legible.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: It will help me out because my eyes are getting bad.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right, at this point it shows some being unassigned but that is really because the geography error built into this area. We ran into it earlier. So we can get this fixed and deal with it at the end.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let's not worry about that number right this second.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: The little part of Keego Harbor what is the population there?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me get the precincts. So that precinct is 2700 people. You want to take all of it or part of it?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What would make all of it? I can't see it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The highlighted area there is 2700 people. It follows the Township boundary of whatever Township that is.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I can't see it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 2700.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Take that with it. Now that little leg that is sticking out right there, yeah, what is That Street? I can't see it. That District.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is Penna and little toe, and West Bloomfield is here and that is part of a Township boundary and that is where we are getting geography errors. But if we assign those by blocks, it should work.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What we have to do is export it and bring the shape file back in.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can we do that then?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Because this is a known issue that has shown up in a few instances.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just highlighting these census blocks to kind of let's see if we can just get all of the data right there. So 23 is starting to go over. This is just a little tricky area right here is where it's doing it. Let me change the colors. Maybe we can see the difference between 23 and 7.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: If we make the color, I'm going to make it real dark.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: As long as I can see the printed, you know, the words, letters, that is what I'm having problems with.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So now we can -- you can see 23 and 13.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: And 23 what is the population for 23?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's 3% over.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: It's 3% over.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 7 is 2% under so.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: If you take this little corner off of Keego Harbor.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: That little point. Take that off.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, I will put that back into 13.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: And the triangle to the right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to do all of this because.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Commissioner Curry, what are you trying to accomplish here? I'm just curious.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'll know when I get through. I'm trying to kind of I didn't work on the top part of my map that I did and so I'm trying to keep the C O Is together and the population straight. And kind of just changing the map a little bit at the top. That's what I'm trying to do. You want to help, you can jump in.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, thanks, I was just curious.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Now we have Sylvan link precinct, well, the Township split.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Maybe I shouldn't split the Township.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't know, you got the left side of 13 and 23 and there are a lot of options.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, let's see, just can't hardly read these letters. What is that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What is the population for 23?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 23 is 2.63% high. You know probably 500 people.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Over?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, then, what is that Dodge state park?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: The top part of the Dodge state park if you took any of that is there any population in it besides being a park?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is in 23 right now and it's kind of cutoff from 13 by waterways. This is a Bay that comes in here.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So it's less than ideal to put it with 13 unless you come all the way over to this whole area.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: See, I'm not too familiar with this part of Michigan. So I'm kind of fishing. And maybe I shouldn't waste too much time with it.

>> CHAIR EID: I think how you originally had it was good for that area.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I didn't have it. I didn't touch it at all. So.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: There is like communities up there that kind of go together though. So.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Chairman Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: What was that Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You liked what was original because I didn't touch it.

>> CHAIR EID: We are working from your individual one now, right? But you're saying you did not touch that from the original.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Right, I left it. So maybe I just better leave it alone. I know Cynthia worked on it so maybe I will just trust that everything she did. I thought

maybe I could mess with it, but I don't want to waste time and I like to take time without a lot of pressure, so I think maybe I'm not even familiar with this part. I know where it is but I'm not that familiar with it. I very seldom drive to it. I thought I might take a shot at it but I'm not too familiar with it at all so I will leave it alone, period, and pass my time to someone else. I know when you get past Bloomfield Hills and all of that I'm kind of lost. Let's leave it the way it was before I touched it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This plan basically.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: After I passed Bloomfield Hills and Pontiac and Auburn Hills I have not been through the other places that much to know that much about it. So I will leave it alone.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we are just going to do away with this map.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is that correct?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You're welcome. And thank you for your time.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's just take a moment to look at this for a minute. Commissioner Weiss, you were asking to have the overlays from win done put over some of the maps. Is there any other one that you would like to see with that overlay on it or are you good?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: You faded out a little bit but thought we could look at the ones we didn't look at out of curiosity. I don't know which ones we did. I don't remember. I don't have a list. Maybe the ones we haven't maybe the other Commissioners knows what has been looked at. I know Mr. Muldoon did. Just to see the differences in the maps compared to Linden.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: The last map we were looking at right before we switched to Commissioner Curry's map had a population discrepancy. Is that something we want to look at and see if we can correct that since we know that that's there? It's a named map. It's for four voting districts but the population discrepancy is there.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: That might be a good idea and take a shot at it and we can all jump in on it.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I don't know but we closed it and switched to Commissioner Curry's map so maybe someone knows which we were looking at.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Kent has it highlighted wasn't it 374?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes it's 374 and really it's just between you know one and three, which are touching each other.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Wasn't it Muldoon's map before mine? Because he did a good job on his numbers.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can go and probably should look on the website and make sure that, well, I don't know if it's showing up, but this is the plan y'all wanted to look at right 50924V2 because somebody.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah, I think maybe I'm not even sure where this map started do we want to adjust the border between one and three to get rid of the population discrepancy? I think so, right?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Commissioner Orton, somebody agree.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes, let's go for it.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Zoom in the border and see where we can make changes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: With that number of people it could be in there.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Can we look at the border and see a promising place?

>> CHAIR EID: I would turn on the neighborhoods and just add a neighborhood from one to three.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: See if that works.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Didn't I turn it on? Turn it on, look at that, it works so much better. Give us a little room in here, so the numbers you see the Black numbers is the population. And I think we need to get it down to about like 5500 or something is that right? 5300, 3,000 so we need to shift, take a few thousand out of three and put it in one. So this is the Midwest neighborhood in its entirety.

>> CHAIR EID: I think that is a great place to start.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So I'm going to highlight it and if it fits we will do it or if it looks right.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Chair, if I may.

>> CHAIR EID: Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Are you guys planning to make changes to the original Starling V2, if so that is fine I just want to make sure on the mapping portal the correct entry gets uploaded so that this would be a new version of that map.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I think that is exactly what we are doing. We are trying to fix the population deviation on Starling V2.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So I'm making a copy of it. Was pointing out we do not want to be.

>> CHAIR EID: We already have a V3 though.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is a V3?

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, we made it earlier today.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We will make it a V4.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: I think there is only a one and a two today according to my records.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: The one I worked on was renamed the V3.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is no V3. We haven't done a V3 today. It would have been Juanita's but that carried her initials.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: The confusion is coming from the map name was 52024V2. However, you guys named it Starling version 3.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL:

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: We have multiple version numbers for maps now so that is confusing.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, so what I was saying earlier I don't have the bird names in here. I can go through and change everything to bird names. Or I can continue with the naming methodology we got. But for right now I'm going to rename it V3 because that's what it is. Well, no, I'm not either. So there is V4. That is a copy of what y'all want to edit.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Well, I would like to ask Commissioner Muldoon if he made changes to the map as Starling V2, which known as 050924SD collaborative V2 and created a V3. Is that the map we need to be updated? I mean, this should be a progression, right? Everyone has a new endpoint. So did his, Muldoon's map supersede the Starling map, or do you want that to be a separate map entirely?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: No, it has the updates I did in it.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: This one has the updates you did.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes with Fraser in 11.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 052024 which is today's date, SD collaborative V2 and has the higher deviation. This is the plan that Commissioner Muldoon made the Fraser change and 1211 change.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay and this is the map that still has the population deviation we are trying to fix so I think we should fix it in this map unless someone objects that is the new latest version of this map and the other ones are obsolete. Would that be correct?

>> CHAIR EID: I'm not sure I would say obsolete, but I think this is the one you should fix the population deviation on this one.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So with that say we are going to make edits directly to Commissioner Muldoon map that he created.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

And I'll bring up the neighborhoods. So where we left off is taking all of Midwest and putting it in one. Is that where we were headed?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

Just got to select it. So that alone, well one and three are done and your deviation is down to 4.5.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: You have one little triangle down there at the expressway.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, I will Zoom in now. Let me get those blocks. So your deviations and each is within a half a percent of ideal.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay great.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Perfect.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: These blocks, my question here this little piece right here should it be in one or in three?

>> CHAIR EID: It looks like it should be in three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

Grab all of that. It looks like there is little slivers of stuff right here. When we do the error check, it's going to tell us. The next thing is it was done in other plans but the neighborhood line here many my cursor is there is no geography there, so the question is where do you want like these two census blocks, do we want them -- because I moved them once today I know.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I don't have a feeling either way. I don't care.

>> CHAIR EID: That could be a small one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Either way you do it it's not following the neighborhood boundary so.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, well that is good the population deviation is in place and if anyone wants to make that version two or version one they can do that as well just switch Midwest to three. But let's save this.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is going to be Sterling V3 is that what I heard?

>> CHAIR EID: That is correct.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just making a note here so I can get it right the first time. So close this. Is this done?

>> CHAIR EID: Okay Commissioner Weiss, anything else for your turn?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: No.

>> CHAIR EID: I'm hearing somebody who is very mad.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I just got muted it said.

I would like to continue on, but I will pass my turn to someone else if you want to continue this to compare the maps, thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay thank you Commissioner Weiss. That brings us back to the start of the list, Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, I just have a technical question. With the population being out, does that actually make the original 374 an invalid map?

>> CHAIR EID: Whoa would have to bring it into deviation before passing it so.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: So until we bring it into compliance population wise, 374 is not a valid map, correct?

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Fink, do you have an opinion on that?

>> NATE FINK: Thank you. Well, you certainly want to make any map, I mean, your top priority is, or the top of the list is population, population and distribution. So I would

strongly advise you it comes in compliance. Commissioner Andrade your question was whether it was valid or not. I mean, what I would say is you should certainly work to make any map that you submit come into compliance and balanced on population. So if that is a map that the Commission wants to move forward I would encourage you to make any changes necessary to balance the population.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: So we just did that with the Starling version three, we brought it into compliance with small changes to Fraser and one and three. So I guess we have done and accomplished that. Other than that I pass my turn.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Commissioner Andrade. That brings us to Commissioner Callaghan.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, are there any other maps that we have named that score a four, that we have not looked at for how the districts compare to the previous Linden map? And if so I would like to pull those maps up and see how those named maps that score a four on the VRA compare to the Linden districts.

>> CHAIR EID: I don't think...there are 362 and 360.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So we looked at Starling. Andrade you have a swift two did we look at that map? Swift version two?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: You have not.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So let's take a look at that map real quick. It's map 361 on the portal. And if we could have the Linden overlay, please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Excuse me, Autobound just shut down. Okay can everybody see the map screen? And I'll bring up the Linden overlay. Starting at the bottom. So the significant difference, you know, in the two and one in the southern part.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: 1, 3, 3 is where?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 3 right now takes up the what was the northern part of two, what was part of one, and then the districts that ran north-south. I can't remember, 7 and 8 and a piece of 6 because 6 went across this way. So three now looks almost evenly split with four Linden.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: And six, District 6.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: District 6 went from western Detroit, wrapped around and went up to Farmington. Six now is on I think just outside of Detroit, Livonia and south. No longer in Farmington. I can't remember.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There was a District right here and I think it's eight. Eight now is not even half of what it once was. Ten went from, sorry, I had a message. But any way ten at one time went to Sterling Heights down to the east corner of Detroit. Now it tops out at Warren. This, whatever this District was, I'm not sure which one it was. I think this might have been, I don't know, I can't remember.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: And 11 looks very -- 11 looks very different as well.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Here is another example and doesn't look like there is much difference, but it's a huge difference because this is a lot of people that was moved out and half of Sterling Heights too.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Half of Sterling Heights.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 20% of the District was changed.

>> CHAIR EID: And, again, I think this is another one, not much happened to 12. The map doesn't change 12 much, you know, we still have changing 11 a lot having East Point be in there and Sterling highs and you can see it was Warren in some of the other maps. I think that is still a pretty major thing for that judging by the number of people.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So I was just highlighting these, this population. I mean that right there is 50,000. So roughly 63,000 were moved and that would be 52000 would be 20% of the District. So well over, yeah, 25% population was shifted.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, if anybody else has any comments, otherwise we can close this map. And have we looked at cardinal today? With the Linden overlay?

>> CHAIR EID: I believe we did.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: We did?

>> CHAIR EID: Approximates the Motown Sound District. We put it up for a second. That is the other one I was talking about in District 11. If you want to bring it up, bring it up again to see.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah, let's just take a quick look.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Map number, please.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: 373.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You can see one is significantly changed. Now one goes the southern part, you know, Wyandotte was added in, but this was a big shift. 3, 6, so anybody have any comments here?

>> CHAIR EID: Comments about what?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm sorry, what did you say Anthony?

>> CHAIR EID: Comments about what? What kind of comments? Or just like what are we talking about? The configuration? How it compares to Linden?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm not sure if it's just me but I'm having a difficult time understanding you.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: She said comments about what, what kind of comments are you looking for.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Linden overlay and how the districts were changed compared to Linden. I'm good with this. We can close it and go to something else.

>> CHAIR EID: I think it's more than sufficiently different.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes, I agree.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Chair, Commissioner Szetela has her hand raised.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I would just say, and this has already been raised but that District 11 would concern me that it might be too similar. We swapped out population for East Point and Warren but it's close to the District map that was actually struck down so.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, thank you for that comment. I think we can close this map. And I'd like to go back to the version one map from today. And, Anthony, if you would like to help me on those districts 10, 11 and 12. Kellom if you still feel like mapping you can have your input too.

>> CHAIR EID: Well, I have a question for Commissioner Szetela. Do you feel like the one we were just looking at, and the map before that also you would have that concern about? Or is it just the cardinal?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm having a hard time, you are kind of breaking in and out. So if you could repeat that it would be helpful.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, is your concern only on that configuration for that District that is in cardinal or is that also in the one we were just looking at previously to cardinal? I think that was swift V2 and that same basic configuration.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Well are you talking as it was changed by Commissioner Muldoon or the original swift V2 that was named?

>> CHAIR EID: No, no, that is Starling that Commissioner Muldoon changed. I'm talking about the one that Commissioner Callaghan brought up first right before that.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Swift V2 is quite a bit different than what is in cardinal for District 11 because it brings Sterling Heights into 11 and it's no longer the same District anymore. The cardinal chops off East Point and that portion of Detroit and substitutes it with Warren from what I see. So it's not as much of a change and I would be worried it would not be enough to be significant because the majority of the District, 75, 85% is still the same. And I think part of that is a reflection of trying to keep 12 exactly the same because 12 forces 11 and 2 of that particular configuration in some of the maps depending on what else has been changed. And we certainly have many, many other maps that have configurations for 11 that don't raise that concern like the Robin is quite different, the swift is different, the Dove is different. There's lots of options out there. Even the Starling 2 is different than kind of sticking with the same thing.

>> CHAIR EID: Right but most of the options change 12, no?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You know, some of them do. Some of them don't. I don't believe swift 2 changes 12 at all. Robin does change a little bit. It takes off Anchor Bay but the rest of 12 is cut the same. Hummingbird is cut the same and a problem. Starling two keeps 12 the same for the most part and adds more population at one point but, yeah, there is other options out there so why stick with something that is so echoing and mirror of the original District when we have other options out there that show we can do it slightly differently. You can preserve most of 12 and make minor

changes near the top of it and adjust 11 a little bit more to have a significantly different District. So why would you kind of stick with the mirroring?

>> CHAIR EID: I think it's different enough, but we can look at it further and see what happens. Commissioner Callaghan, you were trying to do something else?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No, I'm going to pass. That is fine. I will let you do what you want to do.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, that brings it to Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY:

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry, are you with us?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: I do see that Commissioner Curry is logged in but I'm unable to hear her.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry, if you are speaking we cannot hear you.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Hello, yeah, what did you say? I stepped away.

>> CHAIR EID: It's your turn if you want it.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, I just had a turn about 15, 20 minutes ago so I'm through for right now.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay that brings it to me. And I know there is some background noise. I'm going to try to mute and unmute myself a lot to keep that to a minimum. Sorry that my video is off. I usually like to have it on, but it will be off for connection reasons. You will see me tomorrow, so that is good. Mr. Stigall, can you bring up whatever, I think we didn't name it but what Commissioner Kellom was working on earlier?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that would have been the first plan of the day if I remember correctly.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: That's correct.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We left it with 10, 11 and 12 being the primary issue.

>> CHAIR EID: Well, yeah, actually do you have the overlay for the map that we named flamingo which is.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Which is I don't know. I didn't make an overlay for every plan.

>> CHAIR EID: So then can you close this and open up map 390 which is flamingo.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And you want to make the overlay? Eid aye yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

What was the name of this?

>> CHAIR EID: 390, the name we gave it or flamingo?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Flamingo. So we can reference it as we go.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: 052024V1.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we will go back. Cut the neighborhoods off for now or?

>> CHAIR EID: We don't need the Detroit neighborhoods on now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

So.

>> CHAIR EID: I brought up the overlay for flamingo because I think what Commissioner Kellom was originally trying to do as she stated multiple times was take some of the ideas that were in hummingbird which has four VRA districts and along with cardinal has the best partisan fairness analysis. I think also 376 is in there as well. But she was trying to combine some of the ideas in both of these maps. So right now I'm not looking at anything on the portal. I'm not looking at anything else except for this hummingbird map plus the overlay of flamingo on top of it. I just want that to be clear for folks. So now let's see if we can meld some districts. I think Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I just want to clarify that the cardinal does not have the best partisan fairness numbers. I don't want that to be latched on to when it's not actually accurate. It's low on the efficiency gap but it's a little higher than other maps on the mean median. And so pretty much all these maps are comparable on the partisan fairness. So there isn't one that is significantly better than the other. And one may be better on one measure and worse on another and vice versa so we don't want that to get out there as being the truth when it's not accurate.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Hummingbird is pretty good. I think its numbers kind of stand out as being better.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: That is what Commissioner Eid meant to say. He just misspoke.

>> CHAIR EID: I think I did say hummingbird in there. But I do tend to look at efficiency gap, but it is a wholistic view. I think that in my opinion those two, and I think along with whichever one 376 is according to the spreadsheet perform a little better. That's my opinion. They are all 3.8 for lopsided margin, around .3 for mean median difference and around .8 for efficiency gap, if I'm looking at this correctly. But, thank you Commissioner Szetela. I appreciate the clarification. More so I wanted it to be clear I'm looking at combining some of the ideas that were in maps that the Commission already drew in being a hummingbird and with what we were trying to do with Flamingo. That is why I put the overlay on top of hummingbird, which is what Commissioner Kellom had started with. So we got to look at 10 and 11.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Do you want ten to go more east-west across the more southern suburbs?

>> CHAIR EID: I mean, the first thing I kind of want to do is look at that split in Sterling Heights on ten. I tend to prefer the configurations that we have that don't split Sterling Heights, but we do have to split it, splitting it along Van Dyke seems to makes sense based on what with have heard from the community. I think we should probably start by having that, you know, just splitting up that west side and east side of Sterling

Heights based on the flamingo overlay, which is what is up right now. The number doesn't matter and put the east side with 11 and the west side with 9?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, and what do you want to do, this Utica, this area right in here?

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I mean let's put it for now into eight. It may go in to seven later, that whole area that is the remainder of Sterling Heights and Utica, put that in there. We will come back to that so let's now look at 10 and 11. So ten needs quite a bit of information. Let's work on 11 first and work our way down. So that part of 11 that is in 12 of the flamingo map can you make it the overlay?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Repeat yourself again, I'm sorry.

>> CHAIR EID: The part of 12 that in the overlay are part of 11, right there, can you switch those to be part of 11?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Then you have this part that is in 11.

>> CHAIR EID: We can put that back into 12. Now 11 we are still quite a bit over and 10 we are under and 12 we are also over. So the parts in this map that are -- so basically East Point and the areas north of East Point, put those in ten.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 11 population wise is okay now.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay and now 12 has a few too many people in it. So let's look at 12. And it's because of.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Do you want to put Rose bowl with East Point?

>> CHAIR EID: That could be an okay configuration. What do you think about it, Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Well, there have been comments saying that East Point and Roseville have a lot of commonalities and have a lot of similarities here and go together well. So if you need to move population that is probably a good place to do it.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, let me think do I want to do that for a moment? Because right now 12 has a few too many people so we can either take some parts of Detroit that are currently in 12 and earlier today they were put into 12. So it's just a matter of do we want to do that or do we want to look at some of the other areas of 12 to Syphon off some folks.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So they need people. I can't read that number.

>> CHAIR EID: 11 is in compliance right now. It's close and could take in a few more and could go south with 11 into 10 and go more south and put ten into 1 then around to 12. Let's take 11 down.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Decrease population in 11?

>> CHAIR EID: We will increase it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You are talking geographically bring it down.

>> CHAIR EID: South would have been the better term.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Put that into 11?

>> CHAIR EID: Right. So you want to bring it all the way down to East Point? That is 16,000.

>> CHAIR EID: That is 16,000, yeah, that will put us way over. But go ahead. I interrupted you and you were about to say something.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right now you are in a good place. The numbers are good, but it can still take 4,000. Whether you want to cross, you know, is that 10-Mile? No 9 mile.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Where are you trying to move population too, Anthony? To 12?

>> CHAIR EID: We need to move population out of 12. That is the first thing we need to do because 12 has too many. So there is that area around the Morningside area of one. Right over there. We can do that. Or we could move population from any of the other parts of 12. Once we do that, we can then figure out the difference on ten.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just highlighting the areas if you have a rough idea of the number so that is 17,000 and it goes down in here, which you wouldn't do but.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So let's assign that to one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, and I guess you want to take that, this piece back into 12?

>> CHAIR EID: No, I don't really want to split up Morningside, East English Village, that whole area. So can you, that portion you have sticking out can you reassign that to 12?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, so now you are at about 15,000.

>> CHAIR EID: This whole area, all of this neighborhood, what are we looking at? If you just follow the right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just going to highlight it, or I can, population total. Those are just population. But which is select this group. That group is 9,000. I didn't want to go that for but that is 30,000 which is way over.

>> CHAIR EID: How about the other that is in the Morningside, East English Village area?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This area?

>> CHAIR EID: To the right of it. If you follow the overlay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, yeah, so that area is 33,000.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, assign it to one. Okay I know that makes one a little over, but we are about right on 12 now. We just have to add in a few more folks. So instead of adding that part of Detroit can you turn on the neighborhood barrier, neighborhood overlay and go to the part that is closer to the river?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Dealing with the name, I'm sorry.

>> CHAIR EID: Please.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want to look at.

>> CHAIR EID: Jefferson Chalmers, River Bend.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Jefferson Chalmers and River Bend. I will highlight them real quick. And that's just 8,000. But.

>> CHAIR EID: So we will assign that to 12.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Assign that to 12.

>> CHAIR EID: 12 is good so let's go back to around 10 and 11. All right now we have East Point, Roseville, Fraser, up until Clinton Township, let's put that into 11 so East Point. So we will start with East Point.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's put that into 11.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think we just took it out of 11.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, we were playing some musical chairs, it all right. Okay and we are low, the big thing right now is between 10 and 11.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Recreated the Linden District pretty much.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: With the exception of that piece of Sterling Heights, it's pretty much the same.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: We are not done yet. It's over now by 50,000 so we got to take some people out, move it around and what we are going to do is put some in ten and go from ten and try to follow the Flamingo map and go west. What do you think Commissioners? What do you think Commissioners? Do we want to add Fraser to ten? Roseville, East Point? Parts of Detroit? How do you want to go?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: What does ten need? Ten doesn't have anything.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: With ten I'm only saying that because then I mean I see the point with Linden you can add mount Clemens to 11 but you start to recreate things we have done in other maps. And this map at the start was trying to be a little different. If you put Detroit into ten.

>> CHAIR EID: But 11 has too much so do you think, okay, why don't we try this. East part of Sterling Heights I was going to say the east part of Sterling Heights can go into ten but then I would say 11 would be pretty close too. So.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think if you take this out of 11, I mean that is pretty much, well, this is pretty close.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Then it is Linden. But if you can put -- you are going to put Sterling Heights into 11 and make it not go as far north.

>> CHAIR EID: That is what it is now.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: 11 has too many people now. That is what we are trying to, we need to figure out what part of 11 to take off and put it into either 10 or 9. Do you see what I'm saying?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Northern part of 11.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That's what I'm looking at.

>> CHAIR EID: You could change the northern part of 11 but that goes into District 24. So where would you edit? You're talking about Macomb Township?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Uh-huh.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Would you want to consider putting this part Utica and this part of Sterling Heights in 11 and back 11 up until the numbers work? But that will be like some other plans.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Callaghan, I do appreciate that, Mr. Stigall, we might do that but what were you two saying about Macomb County? And Macomb Township?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Where is Macomb Township on this map?

>> CHAIR EID: It's the northern part.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I would say make seven shorter and take out the north part and go west into Sterling Heights and then that population, I mean, now you are back into moving things around, but you could move it, well, it would have to go through seven and down to ten. So you would have to adjust all of those.

>> CHAIR EID: So you're saying take seven eastward?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No, I'm saying if you take off the northern part of 11, then you have to get the population down to ten. You can't move it out in the water so you will circle around through seven and whether seven ends up the same and ten comes to the north eventually when you get things moved around. You have to take it from the top of 11 and put it on the top of 10 I guess is what I'm saying.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I understand what you're saying so 7 would be.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Like a pass through.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Pontiac, a little north and Waterford is into 23. I see what you're saying instead of 9 being east-west, that part that kind of elbows out.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Right.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Goes north into seven basically.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yeah, I get what you're saying.

>> CHAIR EID: So I like what you two are saying but I cannot see it. Can you direct Kent to do that?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, the best or let's take that northern portion of 11 and unassign it from 11. And I guess put it into 24.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All of Macomb County or Macomb Township?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I don't know what the populations are.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That right there is 27,000.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: And how much do we need?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Out of 11 we need to take 50,000 out roughly.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So that is not enough. So come in a little bit further down.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that is 73. So I guess that wouldn't be too much. Let's just start doing it and then so that would go to 24. So that is another 24.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay go ahead.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That would make 11, 11 right but now nine and 24 and ten is still way over so.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Ten is not over, ten is under, right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Ten is under 50%.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Ten will go to the north where nine is right now. Nine needs to move west. Instead of having that elbow there, I'm not clear why that elbow is there. But.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think that was just done to get it assigned somewhere. So would all of Sterling Heights go into ten?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Not all of it, right, we made the east-west change.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: You are right.

>> CHAIR EID: Talking the west side, Van Dyke.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, I got you.

>> CHAIR EID: For that part I kind of wanted to try to approximate the configuration we had in flamingo like through the overlay. So I'm saying put that into seven.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Put that into seven is that what I hear?

>> CHAIR EID: Right, okay, and then let's see, let's see, ten.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 24 has a large number.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Population has to come down.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Go west and take some of nine. I think Commissioner Eid and Commissioner Callaghan you were saying the same thing, but you are flopping the changes like flip-flopping. So take that portion and put that into ten. That portion that is pink of nine initially I was saying make or I was suggesting making nine into seven where that elbow piece is but now that part is seven so whatever. Let me stay focused, but yeah I was saying maybe select that part and go into ten, but I don't know Commissioner Eid or Callaghan.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I don't know what we are doing.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I put myself in map punishment.

>> CHAIR EID: I like where this is going. Let's put that in ten. And can you lock three since it's one of the VRA compliant districts I don't want to change that one much, if possible.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So is 11 generally where it should be.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Well, the population is right but.

>> CHAIR EID: The population is right. It now has east side of Sterling Heights.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's leave it for now and we can come back to it after this starts taking a little more shape. Now we are kind of having to do what Commissioner Orton

had done with changing districts like 24, so if you want to chime in and help us out with that as well, maybe those same changes could work here. I can't remember what map row did that to. But it was one of the ones that we gave a bird name to. Okay so now we have 10, 7, 9, 24 to work with. Let's follow the flamingo overlay for 7 so it would bring it down and basically make seven be similar to the old configuration that was in the Linden map of District 9 which wasn't one that was had to change. So that following the overlay and that is what we did in flamingo so let's add that to seven. And we are going to add Pontiac and Auburn Hills to nine. So essentially more or less what happened is you combined the Detroit parts of hummingbird with part of flamingo. Not exactly but more or less. But to do that we messed up 24. And 7, how is the population on 7?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 7 is good, technically good. You're missing, let's see 24, is the big number. So let's see here. I guess this is supposed to...yep, thanks to Ryan. So seven number is good. The biggest is 9, 10 and 24. But and one. One is large and I think you're going to have to. I mean it's got to end up, up here.

>> CHAIR EID: One is large with 30,000 more people.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Kind of isolated from where you have been working. But I mean eight could, you can pass it from one to eight to ten.

>> CHAIR EID: One to eight to ten.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Ten? Yeah, one to eight to ten? Eid aye one to eight to ten is what Mr. Stigall.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That's just 9,000 but.

>> CHAIR EID: Right so if we put that into eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: One has a long ways to go but I don't know.

>> CHAIR EID: We put tech town and youth center into one earlier. Okay, any thoughts, Commissioners?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: One to eight to ten.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't know, yeah.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I think you would want to take it off the east side, right, instead of going further to the river. I mean, yeah, from up in there where his cursor is at.

>> CHAIR EID: If you are going to work on that side we can, but can we turn on the overlay? The neighborhood overlay?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: We also have the little square next to two that's in one, that could be moved into eight. I'm not sure how many people are there. That Midwest right there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, and I remember Commissioner Kellom, you know, put that in there. Or maybe that was another plan. Sorry, forget it.

>> CHAIR EID: I feel the east side would be better to work on it now if we are trying to change it from 10 and 11 and 24.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: There may be parts on the with eastern part of ten that you can still pick up and put into ten. Even though I know one is large. That is the reason why I struggle with configuring it in that weird finger. Because thinking about the CO Is and the eastern part of Detroit then Grosse Pointe and trying to put Jefferson Chalmers back together so now they are currently dangling in Grosse Pointe. So I don't know. That is a hard one. But, yeah, like I said there is western area of ten, I think that is unassigned or not unassigned but can go into ten. And also agree the eastern part of eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right now one still needs 15,000 or 14,000 people.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Kellom, what exactly were you suggesting on the east side of ten?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: The western side of ten.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: What is over there? Kent can you go to the western part of ten where nine is, can that go in like Clawson, that area, because Madison Heights is in there, Royal Oak is in there, so that little part there and then the Section above Berkeley maybe or on the side of it. That way nine doesn't shoot down towards the southern part, just a thought.

>> CHAIR EID: You're suggesting assign that to ten, I just want to make sure?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Assign it to ten. We still need to figure out what to do with one.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: I know. I avoided that question.

>> CHAIR EID: That is what I'm trying to figure out first so we can work our way up. In a lot of the other maps we worked down and combining districts and working up and one has too much so let's go with Maple ridge.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me Zoom in just a little bit here.

>> CHAIR EID: Eden Gardens. Maple Ridge, Eden Gardens.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And that is going to go to eight.

>> CHAIR EID: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can clean it up by neighborhood.

>> CHAIR EID: Well, you can add in Hayes, Ravendale and Outer Drive. If we can avoid Chandler Park I would rather avoid Chandler Park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We will get blocks and get it cleaned up. That is Chandler Park mostly. So we can do it now, right?

We talking about continuing.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, Outer Drive, Hayes, but not MD and Yorkshire Woods.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: No, no, no, how does that do that? So one is good now.

>> CHAIR EID: Can you take care of that, please? All right, do we want to move up? We will leave it like that for now?

>> CHAIR EID: Okay so now one is good but now we have eight having too much and ten not having enough so let's look at eight and ten.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just highlighting different populations just to get the idea of what you're looking at. And I need to be using appropriate geography.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Do you want to take in the part from the flamingo over lay?

>> CHAIR EID: You know, we could. I would rather not at this moment. Let's see how elbow we can do it and if we have to we can. But just the part that is highlighted now, that is a pretty good chunk.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay and your eight needs to give up 10,000. Eight needs to give up 10,000.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: One can take some of it, you can split it with one and come out just fine. Yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, let's go back then to that area around midtown. That is between eight and one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: When you say midtown are you talking about here?

>> CHAIR EID: Right. Let's Zoom in there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, and we may need the neighborhoods again.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That would be great.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So you put this tech town and new center into one, so one comes up into here right now. Okay, what are we thinking? Do we want to go Mayberry or the junction.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm just highlighting and not making decisions, Eliza McCoy and Goldberg is, well, it's only 2700.

>> CHAIR EID: I do think that would be more appropriate than going as far north here as Virginia Park. So let's do that. Let's do Goldberg and Eliza McCoy.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, we will put that into...and then I guess pick up some blocks right through here. Okay, there are some little slivers there. What did you do Ned bury park?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes I would, Medbury Park. Add it into one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: These are blocks, I'm doing blocks. You're almost there.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, let's do the junction.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And I'm going to do it like this. It's not all Milwaukee junction but I will get it. Not very many people in Milwaukee junction.

>> CHAIR EID: That is fine for one. We can look at other areas of eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight is within a tenth of a percent, two tenths.

>> CHAIR EID: Now our biggest deviation is between nine and 24.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Which makes sense.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There still has to be some -- you will need more than that because that doesn't add up. You may have to move some more around to make, to get nine there you may have to take some from seven and ten.

>> CHAIR EID: Right, right, I see that. Let's go to the more northern parts of this District around like the Waterford-ish area. Yeah, a little more west.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What about putting this whole Township into seven? Is that not...okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You could put Waterford in with nine maybe. But I don't know what you were thinking, Commissioner Eid.

>> CHAIR EID: I remember 23 and 24 were edited in one of the configurations we were working on collaboratively as well. Does anyone remember what areas she moved them? Commissioner Orton, if you want to chime in?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Well, you can pull it up if you want. I think it was 385, I think.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do you remember the plan name?

>> CHAIR ORTON: Crane.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is not what I want. Try I one more time.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Are you looking for the date name?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: The crane is 051524SD collaborative version 2.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I should have them over here.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 515 version 2.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay. We will make that red. So now we have.

>> CHAIR EID: All right now we are combining three collaborative maps together. I like it. It looks like Waterford is in with nine on this one. So and then population is between 23 and 24. I do think there are some communities, similarities between Waterford and Pontiac with M59 that goes through there and turns into a highway road. So I think that could work. Let's try putting.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That new plan we brought in, this goes into 13, right? Yeah, the southern Waterford goes into 13. Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Right now we need nine so let's put it on nine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

Put this into Waterford I mean into nine is that what you're saying?

>> CHAIR EID: Right. 123 and 24 are just about equally out of balance. So let's go between those two where they meet.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is about 39,000 and that is 41,000.

>> CHAIR EID that would have to go into 23.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Technically 23 and 24 the numbers are good. And that needs a large number.

>> CHAIR EID: And where are we most over at?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Nine and eight. But that is all I'm saying I think that nine came from, well, let's run checks on this thing before we are chasing our tail with errors. If there are errors in it we have to figure it out.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Commissioner Eid maybe you take the rest of the precincts that are right above Waterford or go a little north into what is now 23 to collect for nine.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I think it actually still is Waterford.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: I agree.

>> CHAIR EID: We can take a look after the checks are done.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay we need to fix this before we continue on.

it says there is unassigned area and there isn't. So.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, well, it is 4:45. Our agenda says that we are to move on now. If you can figure out why that is happening tonight and give it a fix for when we come back tomorrow, that will be great.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep.

>> CHAIR EID: When I start back up tomorrow I will try to finish this configuration. And everyone takes a look at it and comes with good collaborative energy tomorrow. We only have until 12:30 to get the plans in so I will remind Commissioners who want to do individual maps please get them in well before that. And I will let you all know I probably will also be trying to take a look at those two maps that right now have three and making you know some edits to them but mainly based upon community before 12:30. So I think that will finish it up for mapping today. And we will move on to the next thing on the agenda.

Next on the agenda is approval of the minutes for May 14th. These draft minutes have been provided to the Commission before this meeting and are posted on the website. Is there a motion regarding the minutes, Commissioners?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: So moved.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. We have a motion by Commissioner Kellum, seconded by Commissioner Weiss to approve the minutes for May 14th. Is there any debate on the motion? Any edits or anything like that? Seeing none we will move to vote. All those in favor of the motion to approve the minutes for May 14th please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Any opposed please raise your hand and say nay. The ayes have it and the minutes are adopted.

Next on the agenda is the Executive Director's report. We do have an Executive Director's report today so if there is no objection I will ask Executive Director Woods to

provide his report. Is there any objection, Commissioners? Seeing none, please proceed, Mr. Woods.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you, Commissioner Eid. Let me share my screen. Can everyone see my screen? Great, thank you, just want to share with you some new information that I got from Election Data Services that could possibly impact our schedule for tomorrow. And so just want to highlight what we plan to cover. Scheduled to meet from 10:00 a.m. to 5 p.m., lunch break 12:30 to 1:30 partisan expert is only available tomorrow until Noon. Want you to know only they tomorrow until Noon. The last thing just want to remind the Commission according to our procedures they decide how many, excuse that typo collaborative maps, how many collaborative maps that we will need to have to move forward. Once again how many collaborative maps. And I don't think we have discussed that in terms of getting that done. So just want to make sure that we are all on the same Page with regards to that piece. In terms of collaborative maps because that is something that the Commission will need to consider.

>> CHAIR EID: For the House what we advanced ten collaborative maps and one individual map.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Well, the individual map doesn't have a cap.

>> CHAIR EID: Right, right, so that is how many we did, right?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I do believe it was I think it might have been ten total, nine collaborative maps and one individual.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I believe that is accurate, but I thought we advanced everything that was currently meeting the VRA compliance analysis review that we already had. So I didn't think we eliminated maps from the ones that were qualifying. We advanced them all.

>> CHAIR EID: I thought we got rid of a couple of them because we had some that were like from IPPSR, and we had the Promote the Vote one. We did take a vote to advance them and some of those votes, multiple or like combined to pass through. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, with respect to the collaborative maps, I don't think that is true. I thought we just advanced them all. Commissioner Weiss I thought you were the one who made that motion so if you have any recollection on it. I thought you said pretty much everything collaborative to publish it to the Court, but we can certainly go back and look.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I don't remember that. But that's possible.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: All right we can take a look and bring that back for tomorrow's consideration. Okay.

Let me go back to the rest of my presentation. The virtual public hearings -- Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: I was just going to indicate if any of the Commissioners wanted to review how that was done last time you can take a look at the January 31st meeting minutes that are on the Commission's website.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you Ms. Schaar, once again January 31 minutes. We will review it and be ready to go on tomorrow. Just want to remind about the virtual public hearings the time to present collaborative maps and alternative or individual map and answer questions, the goal is to review all maps being considered by the Commission for the Senate remedial plan before the June public hearing. So once again once again we want to review all the plans that are being presented so people can ask questions in this virtual format. We are going to start marketing next week and obviously we will try to do some things this week. In terms of the format and the overview for the public hearings we want to do a purpose. Why we are here. The map presentation and the Q and A, the presentation would be an overview of each collaborative and individual map. It would have a point of emphasis regarding the seven ranked redistricting criteria, and we are looking for five minutes per map so it's concise and comprehensive so that we could have a lot of time for Q and A. With regards to that. Just kind of want to share the outline and see if there are any concerns or things that need to be raised because we definitely want to get started with the marketing. As it relates to the alternative map or the individual map, each person that submitted that would do that. As basically the collaborative map, the Chair and the Vice Chair can work that out in terms of who is presenting what. But just kind of wanted to give an outline. Remember in the morning session goes from 10-1. And then the afternoon session goes from 5-7:30 but the bulk of the time we want to be able to have people to answer, I'm sorry answer, to provide questions so that the Commission can answer them prior to the public hearing. Is there any questions or concerns with regards to the overview or the presentation scope? Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes, so are you saying that you're going to go through the maps in the morning and then go through the same maps in the evening?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: That is correct.

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Okay, thank you.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: We know people are not always available in the morning so just trying to be flexible with people's schedules and so they would have four times to do it. The morning, the afternoon on May 29th and then again the morning and the afternoon on Thursday, June 6. Any other questions or concerns? Thank you very much. Commissioner Eid, that concludes my report.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Executive Director Woods.

That brings us to acknowledgments and announcements, are there any announcements or acknowledgments, Commissioners or staff? I just want to thank you for bearing with me today. I know the technical issues are annoying. I'm annoyed by

them too. They won't be happening tomorrow. So appreciate you all. That brings us to adjournment.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Just want to reiterate the maps that are completed today will be forwarded for VRA analysis and partisan fairness but also want to remind the Commission that the partisan fairness expert just so people are hearing me clearly will have to leave by Noon tomorrow. By Noon tomorrow, will not be available in the afternoon and then also you know with regard to any decisions the Commission plans to make on the maps forward so just want it to be clear with regards to what was shared so the Commission can adjust accordingly. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Kellom?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I think I heard Megan respond but my brain won't bring back the answer. So I remember maybe eliminating three or four maps last week. But something was said to I know she referenced what our procedure was or letting us know what our procedure was previously. I am not expecting an answer now, but I remember comparing versions and because of small changes we decided that's how we went about naming the maps in the first place so I just I guess I'm expecting tomorrow to get clarification on that. And I see Megan's hand and I see Commissioner Callaghan has her hand raised as well thank you.

>> MEGAN SCHAAR: Note in the January 31st meeting minutes as well as the February 1st meeting minutes, the Commission made motions and took votes to approve maps to move forward to public comment. Some of those motions lumped a few maps together. Some were individual maps. But it was done via a motion and a roll call vote each time. And the motion indicated the name of the maps you chose to move forward. I hope that is helpful. And again that is January 31st and February 1st.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: It is, it eliminates our need to go back in the past and we can just say the names. Thank you, Megan.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Commissioner Callaghan and Ms. Schaar. Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah, I just wanted to ask will we have core retention calculations available to us tomorrow along with our VRA analysis on the maps?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful. Anything else, Commissioners? As the items on the agenda are complete, the Commission has no further business for today and a motion to adjourn is in order.

>> So moved.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So moved.

>> CHAIR EID: A motion by Commissioner Callaghan seconded by Commissioner Muldoon. Is there any discussion on the motion? Hearing none we will go to vote. All those in favor of the motion to adjourn please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

>> CHAIR EID: If there is any opposed please raise your hand and say nay. The ayes have it and we are adjourned at 4:59 p.m. See you tomorrow everyone.