

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

MICRC

20240521-1000 am Meeting

Captioned by Q&A Reporting, Inc., www.qacaptions.com

>> KIM BRACE: Testing, testing.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: We can hear you.

>> KIM BRACE: Thank you there.

>> CHAIR EID: Good morning everyone.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: We don't have a quorum so I'm going to wait a few more minutes to start the webinar.

>> CHAIR EID: Sounds good.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Good morning Anthony and everyone else.

>> CHAIR EID:

Good morning, everybody.

As Chair of the Commission, I call the meeting of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission to order at 10:05 a.m.

This Zoom webinar is being live streamed on YouTube on The Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission YouTube channel.

For anyone in the public watching who would prefer to watch via a different platform than they are currently using, please visit our social media at Redistricting MI.

Our live stream today includes closed captioning. Closed captioning, ASL interpretation, and Spanish and Arabic and Bengali translation services will be provided for effective participation in this meeting. Please E-mail us at Redistricting@michigan.gov for additional viewing options or details for accessing language translation services for this meeting.

People with disabilities or needing other specific accommodations should also contact Redistricting at Michigan.gov.

This meeting is also being recorded and will be available on our website at www.Michigan.gov/MICRC for viewing at a later date.

This meeting also is being transcribed and those closed-captioned transcriptions will be made available and posted on the Michigan.gov/MICRC website along with written public comment submissions. There is also a public comment portal that may be accessed by visiting the website which is www.Michigan.gov/MICRC.

Members of the media who may have questions before, during or after the meeting should direct those questions to Edward Woods III, Executive Director of the Commission at WoodsE3@Michigan.gov.

I will for the public watching and the record I will turn to Department of State to take note of Commissioners present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Good morning, Commissioners. Please say present when I

DISCLAIMER: This is NOT a certified or verbatim transcript, but rather represents only the context of the class or meeting, subject to the inherent limitations of realtime captioning. The primary focus of realtime captioning is general communication access and as such this document is not suitable, acceptable, nor is it intended for use in any type of legal proceeding.

call your name. If you are attending the meeting remotely please announce during roll call you are attending the meeting remotely and unless absence is due to military duty state the county, City, Township or the village and state which you are attending the meeting remotely. I will begin roll call alphabetically with Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes. Present remotely from Imlay Township, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Present from Mexico. Commissioner Curry?

Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Good morning, present remotely attending from Detroit, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you.

Commissioner Kellom?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Present attending from Detroit, Michigan.

Commissioner Lange?

Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Present attending from Grant Township.

Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Present, Carrollton, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Present attending remotely from Battle Creek, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?

Commissioner Vallette? .

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Present attending remotely from Highland Township, Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Wagner?

>> COMMISSIONER WAGNER: Present from Charlotte, Michigan.

Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Present; attending remotely from Saginaw Township, Saginaw Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You have ten Commissioners present and you do have a quorum.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome, sir.

>> CHAIR EID: We will move to the agenda.

Okay as reminder to the public watching you can view this afternoon agenda at www.Michigan.gov/MICRC. I would now entertain a motion to approve the meeting agenda.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: So moved.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: There is a motion by Commissioner Lett and a second by Commissioner Weiss. Is there any discussion on the motion? Hearing none, those in favor please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Any opposed? The ayes have it and the agenda is adopted.

We will move on to the public comment portion of the meeting.

I will turn it to the Vice Chair Commissioner Kellom to facilitate this portion of the meeting.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Good morning, everyone.

If there is no objection, is there objection to moving on with public comment? All right, we will move on.

Individuals who have signed up and indicated they would like to provide live remote public commentary to the Commission will now be allowed to do so. I will call your name and our staff will unmute you.

If you are on a computer you will be prompted by the Zoom app to unmute your microphone and speak. If you are on the phone a voice will say the host wants you to speak and prompt you to press star six to unmute. I will call you by your name and when it is your turn. Please note if you are experiencing technical or audio issues or do not hear from you for three to five seconds we will move to the next person in line and return to you after you are done speaking. If your audio still does not work e-mail redistricting@Michigan.gov and we can help you trouble shoot to participate during the next public comment period at a later meeting.

You will have 90 seconds to address the Commission and You will hear some form of a timer at the end of 90 seconds and please conclude your remarks when you hear the timer. So first in line for our remote public comment is Mr. James Gallant. Good morning Mr. Gallant.

>> Can you hear me now?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.

>> Thank you very much, Madam Vice Chair. James Gallant, Marquette, these are my opinions. Chair Eid and Vice Chair Kellom and the rest of the MICRC members please drink our legal counsel, Mr. Fink, to Page six of the rules of procedure Section 1.1 or 1.2 definitions. So that he can explain how in his opinion this Commission is not formally deliberating at these meetings. Under that rule it says meetings, quote, unquote means convening a public body with quorum for the purpose of deliberating towards or rendering a decision on public policy, period. There is no phrase in the rules of procedure under the search bar for formal deliberation and formal deliberation and are doing this unanimous consent and approving the informal deliberation process you got going on. And in contradiction to the tenth Constitution. And of course I believe my prediction is that Megan Schaar as a licensed attorney will be disciplined by the Michigan bar for impersonating the current Secretary of State Joyce Benson for roll in

contradiction to the Constitution and the rest of you attorneys, you know you can all stand in line and unbecoming members of the bar. You can read. Mr. Fink said he didn't know when it was time to vote. He doesn't know what the definition of a meeting is and not showing his competence here to really direct you, Mr. Eid. And I will add.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Thank you, Mr. Gallant, as always for your public comment. Number two this morning we have Jamie-Roe, allow a couple of minutes for the staff to unmute you. Good morning Jamie.

>> Good morning. My name is Jamie Roe. And I live in the unconstitutional 11th Senate District. My focus is on three primary interests in Macomb at stake here in your important work. The Lake St. Clair, Watershed and Sterling Heights in Clinton Township and the Chaldean American COIs. Three maps best reflect the needs of these clear and vital COIs. First is flamingo, which protects all three; dove protects the Chaldean COI but could be made much better with slight changes to restore Lake St. Clair and connect the Clinton watershed. And, finally, cardinal, which protects the Chaldean community and gives some protection to the Clinton River Watershed COI. The maps that destroy COI are hummingbird, which makes almost 0 changes to the unconstitutional District I live in. And Robin which destroys the Chaldean COI. Those maps should be disregarded. Map 366 and Map 381 do an outstanding job in protecting all three of the vital COIs. Both should be given a bird name for careful consideration. In Macomb County we hold dear the natural treasurers and want them protected. We also greatly support Chaldean neighbors who add to our community. I hope the Commission listens to you and hears Macomb County and does its best effort to protect our vital communities of interest. I wish you much luck in your important work before you.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Thank you Jamie for your comments and being specific about the maps and areas that we need to tweak. We appreciate that, number three this morning we have Alex McGuire. Good morning. And please allow a couple of moments, Alex for our staff to unmute you.

>> Hello. Can you hear me?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLUM: Yes, we can hear you.

>> Hello, Commission. My name is Alex and I'm from Sterling Heights. Thank you for the work you have been doing. I've been watching this right along and you've drawn ten different maps that have names at this point and pass VRA. I think it's fine if the Commission decides you're going to draw an additional 11th map today. But I really believe that the public should get to provide its input at this time on the bird named maps and currently drafted just like we did with the House maps. To the Commission, please send all ten of the maps forward to the Judge now and submit additional map or maps if you decide you want to continue drawing today. Please do not replace any of the public's options. Thank you.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you, Alex, for providing comment to the Commission. Number four, we have Tom Haji. Forgive me if I mispronounce your name and correct me. Tom, allow our staff a couple moments to unmute you. Good morning.

>> Good morning, everyone. Can you hear me?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.

>> Thank you. I want to thank the Commission for allowing me to share my comments on this important Senate redistricting process. My name is Tom Haji and I'm the director at both the county and chamber and the Chaldean community foundation. And I'm advocating for the protection of the Chaldean community of interest that is well represented in the current 9th State Senate District. I will note for this Commission that the 9th District has not been ordered to be changed by the Federal courts and we believe should not be changed. Our organizations represent over 180,000 proud Chaldean American citizens in the Southeast Michigan area. And a great number of our members live in the west side of Sterling Heights, Troy, and Rochester Hills, along with two of our churches, St. George Catholic Chaldean church, residing in Shelby Township, and St. Joseph Chaldean church residing in Troy, all included in this important 9th District. Our grave fear is any changes to this well-drawn District would diminish our voice in electing a Senator who can be held accountable for failing to connect with our community. I commend this Commission for the great work you did in building the 9th District and urge you not to diminish that work and, more importantly, not diminish our voice with needless changes not ordered by the Federal courts. We urge you to forward the dove and flamingo maps to the Federal courts and leave the 9th District unchanged. Thank you for allowing me to participate today and urge to keep the needs of our clear community of interest at the forefront of your deliberations. Thank you so much.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you, Tom, for addressing the Commission and thank you again for those specific references. Number five we have a phone number. I'm not going to tell all your business, so the last four of the number 1572, area code 248, if you are present.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: That participant is not present.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you, Megan. We will be moving on to our next public comment if number five becomes present, we will hear you. But number six is Sandra H. Good morning Sandra.

>> Good morning. Can you hear me?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes.

>> My last name is Harbatuna, and a lot of people have a hard time saying that name, so I didn't say it.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Sandra, let me honor your last name.

>> Thank you for getting it right. Thank you. Ready?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes, go ahead.

>> Thank you for the Commission for allowing me to make my public comment on this vital redistricting process. You know my name. I live in Clinton Township in the current 11th State Senate District which was declared unconstitutional by the Federal courts. I'm glad this Commission now has the opportunity to correct the wrong. I'm the current president of the homeowner's association and represent the views of my neighbors. I live right down the street from the new sinkhole that came as a result of the infrastructure needs that have gone unaddressed for decades until our current public works commission, Kandis Miller, took control and focused on fixing the problem. We have a clear community of interest in the Clinton River Watershed in Clinton Township, Sterling Heights and Fraser who has borne the brunt of this problem. We can combine the communities so the people can hold our state senator accountable to address our shared needs. The swift version two map under consideration does a good job combining communities in Sterling Heights, Clinton, Fraser and Roseville. Dove is good in Central Macomb with the Lake districts and combining with the east side of Sterling Heights, Fraser and Rosedale. The hummingbird map is a disaster and separates the Clinton watershed into three districts. That map should be disregarded. I wish the Commission well on your work to fix the problem and keep the shared communities of interest of myself and neighbors in your work. Thank you for your time and thank you for allowing me to speak.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you so much, Sandra for your comments. We value them. Number seven we have Anthony Scannell. Good morning Anthony. You're going to be unmuted in a couple of moments.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Mr. Scannell, if you are present can you unmute yourself? You should be able to do that at this point. Okay why don't we move on to the next Vice Chair then we can come back to him and hopefully he will return.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Sure. Thank you, Megan. Number eight we have Chris Gilmer Hill. Good morning, Chris. When you are unmuted, you are free to speak, which is now.

>> Good morning. Can I be heard?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Yes.

>> Hi. My name is Chris Gilmer Hill, a lifelong Detroit resident. First, I would like to thank you all for all the work you have done throughout this process. And especially everybody who has been putting in work to try to reflect communities of interest. I know that can be particularly difficult. I know that there is a lot of contradictory testimony and it's always hard to figure out how to fit that together. So thank you for everybody who is putting in that work. Today I would like to encourage you to keep working on the hummingbird map that you started fixing yesterday. And specifically I'd like the point public 10186 from Will and he is proposing a few more tweaks that would really help to address some of the issues that I think just got raised. In particular the tweak he

proposes would fix some of the COI issues that Commissioner Szetela and others pointed out yesterday. It would bring the whole Clinton Watershed into a District 11 by including almost all of Clinton Township, all of Mount Clemens and half of Sterling Heights that is not in mine and keep the Chaldean together in nine. It would fix some COI issues further down into Wayne by moving Inkster into five, Huron and Sumpter and Huron park in two and cleans up 7 a bit and puts all of Farmington Hills into three. That is a pretty neutral and very effective change that would make hummingbird much better from a COI perspective and encourage you to consider it thank you.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you for your comments and again thank you for the specificity you provided. Number nine we have Chris Andrews. Good morning Chris.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: That participant is not present.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay, do we have Mr. Scannell?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Let me go back and try to unmute him and see if we can get him. Mr. Scannell if you are present you can go ahead and unmute yourself. Vice Chair, it does not appear he is available. So I think that that would conclude the public comment and I do want to acknowledge while I have a moment for the record that Commissioner Szetela has joined. Commissioner Szetela, can you let us know where you are joining remotely from?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes, I'm joining remotely from Detroit, Michigan.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Thank you.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you, Megan. Good morning, Commissioner Szetela. As our Michigan Department of State Megan stated, that concludes our public comment portion of the meeting. Again we like to remind you all members of the public as a Commission please feel free to e-mail comments to the Commission at redistricting@Michigan.gov. We always appreciate everyone who provides public comment in whatever way you choose and whatever way you are able and invite you to keep sharing your thoughts. At this time I'm going to return the facilitation of our meeting back to our Chair, Mr. Anthony Eid.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Commissioner Kellom. Next up on the agenda is mapping. Without objection I will ask the Commission to return to its collaborative mapping process as outlined by our rules of procedure and policies. Is there any objection, Commissioners? Hearing none we will move forward. We have Mr. Stigall with us from EDS today. Welcome Mr. Stigall, it's always nice to have you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Hello.

>> CHAIR EID: Hi, okay, let's get going. We don't have a ton of time. We ended with me making some changes to hummingbird to try to combine some of the ideas that were in hummingbird and in flamingo. Can we go back to that, please?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, I'm just bringing up Autobound now. I was in the middle of something else. Okay.

It's been a busy morning; I got the plans with all the bird names and got all the shape files if we want to do overlays. All right, was that it right there? No V1, wasn't it, this one. I believe that's it.

>> CHAIR EID: We can't see your screen.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, let me hit share and I think that will improve. Okay, y'all see it now?

>> CHAIR EID: There you go. So wasn't it V1?

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, yesterday, V1.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. I don't know what that is about. It's an overlay. I think I had an overlay in Linden didn't we?

>> CHAIR EID: No, we overlaid flamingo.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I do believe I moved that, but we got the neighborhoods there. I believe I had it blue. Okay, Commissioner Eid, I believe we were right here.

>> CHAIR EID: We are looking at District 9 and finished by adding Waterford to 9.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, okay.

Would it be better if I had the video on or does it matter to people?

>> CHAIR EID: The video, what do you mean?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: My video, I have it off but anyway.

>> CHAIR EID: Whatever you want, you are fine either way we can see the mapping software. So we are looking at nine, which we would flip-flop nine and seven, but we can do that later. We were talking about adding the rest of Waterford to nine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And that is still, yep. So at this point 9 is 20,000 down, 23 is 17,000 down.

>> CHAIR EID: So we are between nine and 23.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 23 is also down.

>> CHAIR EID: All right what about 24, how are we on 24?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 24 is 5,000 up, 2.16.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, so do you see where 24 and 23 meet up there right above nine? Let's normalize that a little bit. So let's put where is that? That is orient I believe, that is hard for me to see.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want to put this area into 23 or parts of it is that what we are talking about? Let me get the color on 23.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, that would be good.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah. So we are right here at Oakland. This is Oakland right here.

>> CHAIR EID: So nine needs more. So we can.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Nine and 23 both.

>> CHAIR EID: Nine and 23 so can you Zoom out a moment? Okay so on the flamingo overlay that part of Southfield Township is included in nine. Can you put that

in there? It's, yeah, just following the overlay. Okay, yeah, and now the nine population is good. But you're still.

>> CHAIR EID: Waterford, Pontiac Auburn Hills south looks okay to me. This does not change, it does change, it's close to the original 13. Someone just said there is a way to include Farmington, complete Farmington hills in that. We should probably take a look at that. But for now let's focus on just getting this population deviation right. We are between eight and 23.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: 24 could still use some population, right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, no, 24 is over.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't know where 14 is. 14 is on the high side.

>> CHAIR EID: Three is low, right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three is low, 23 is low.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, so let's work on three first. So we are looking at going from three to nine to 23 essentially is what I'm seeing?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three to 13 to 23. Three is 17,000 low and 23 is 17,000 low. They are both low.

>> CHAIR EID: So where do we have that is high right now that we can get population from?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's spread out.

>> CHAIR EID: How is 24?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight is probably carrying the most. 24 is 2%.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay let's put some of 24 into 23 and then we can work around. So let's go to 24. The area above seven, above Rochester Hills, Oakland Township, put it in 23 and see what happens.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 9700, that goes to 23. I got too much stuff.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You can try to put, I know that Kent is working on something, some of 23 into 13 and then try to split 23 and 24 evenly.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So 13 could give up some.

>> CHAIR EID: 13 could give up some and so what are we thinking? There is a lot of people in that Farmington Hills area.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't want to derail you.

>> CHAIR EID: Please, this is supposed to be collaborative, and we are combining two collaborative maps. I want all of the feedback.

[Laughter]

Could you see the population that is on 13 in Farmington Hills? Yeah, a little lower. Right, those precincts. Looking at about 15 people.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is quite a few. 35.

>> CHAIR EID: Way more than that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't know why it's 35 but 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, well that is too many. Okay, let's see, let's see.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Just that top row is 16,000.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, but I don't want to go in there unless it's absolutely necessary. Commissioner Kellom, what did you suggest a second ago?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Not a good suggestion because 13 is I was going to say give some of that Botchy part in 13 on the western part, give some of that to 23. I mean to put it into 13, assign that little area that's right next to 13, that is in 23, assign that to 13 but then it's going to make it way over but then you could also if you do that we could play with Farmington a little bit.

>> CHAIR EID: Can you try assigning the remainder of Walled Lake? There is a few more precincts there, uh-huh.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me back up. This precinct here, this one. Are we talking about that area?

>> CHAIR EID: Right and it would be that I believe it's that 3169 as well. It's a Township. So if you select by Township it should give you all of it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I can do it by that but that is where we get more geographic errors because sometimes they don't match up with precincts. But we will do it just to make sure we get it. So that is Walled Lake. That's what it shows is.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that is already in 13.

>> CHAIR EID: 30 and 13 so just the two precincts west of it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

This looks like a different entity. That area is 8,000.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay so that is 8,000. Be back in Commerce then.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And we are going to put those into 13.

>> CHAIR EID: Then can we take three north into Farmington Hills? Right, what we were talking about before.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Take this area?

>> CHAIR EID: Into three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Put this into three. Okay, so at this point three is 6.8% high. 13 is 9% low. 23 is 6% low.

>> CHAIR EID: Can you turn off the overlay now? It's messing me up a little bit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And turn the neighborhoods off too I suppose.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We are in the hummingbird Detroit configuration this had was fine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three, let me change the color of three so it's more easily identify. With nine and ten. So three is 18,000 high.

>> CHAIR EID: But the issue is really it seems to be 23 and 24. So can we go and look at that first? And get that because 23 needs a lot more.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: I think that is due to this overhang on 23 going so far eastward. So I know I had you assign the Township above Rochester into 23. Can you just assign that whole Township into 24?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. So you want all of that into 24, correct?

>> CHAIR EID: Right, so 24 is a little over but we can look at the southern area later. And now 23 is significantly under.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yep. 23 and 13 are, well, so is seven under.

>> CHAIR EID: 23, 13, 7, 4, okay.

So we need to go basically from now, okay from 23 down into 9 and into either 13 or 3. So.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 23 is still 25,000 under.

>> CHAIR EID: 23 is still under, okay, my fault. I thought it was over.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We moved this Township into 24. And 24.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, I see what you're saying. All right so here is what I want you to do, remember what we just assigned to 13, we will put that back into 23.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So.

>> CHAIR EID: Two precincts of Commerce that are west of Walled Lake.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we will put, clear, clear, so it was this one, this one and this one back to 23.

>> CHAIR EID: Uh-huh. Hit the undue button. Okay that makes it a little more manageable. So 23 still needs more folks. And we added -- we essentially took Waterford from 23. So let's go back to that area and see how much of Waterford we can add back in to make 23 even on population.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, what area? This is the Oakland international airport.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I would say the start northeast.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Northeast or northwest?

>> CHAIR EID: Northwest, sorry.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You can also go north on 23 further if you want to keep Waterford how it is.

>> CHAIR EID: Go north on 23?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yeah, and you could shave off some of 24.

>> CHAIR EID: Oh, okay because 24 is a little over and 24 is directly above 23, okay, I like that idea.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I should have been more specific. That is what I mean about evening out.

>> CHAIR EID: 23 and 24 because, yeah, they are both 17,000. That works nicely.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Then you could shave off more at the bottom of 23, whatever direction makes more sense for communities of interest and the Novi area as we are going north into 24.

>> CHAIR EID: So Township in this area do we think aligns more with 23? I mean, we have Oxford. We have, you know, a few different townships here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: So my answer is always if you're going to go north, so if we get like those three parry heights, Oxford and 5912 those three right there if we do that we should take some off the bottom because that is my rule of thumb that it shouldn't, I don't care if we are talking Metro Detroit or the suburbs there still shouldn't be these sweeping south to north directional districts. So if you take those top three, then I would try to take some of those areas right above Novi off. But I'm not from that area.

>> CHAIR EID: Right, I am, so and Commissioner Callaghan is.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: More insight.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, but how is 13 right now? 13 still needs 32000 so basically add more of Commerce into 23 for those areas above 24 which does work.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Instead of ripping up Walled Lake and Commerce, give that to 13 and then take the northern part. Not there, Kent, above it, you are going to hate me, but the same thing we had just done. I'm so sorry.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm listening.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay I see what you are saying Commissioner Kellom. That could work. Here is how we are going to do it.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Commissioner Callaghan I have a different idea.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I like where you are going. This is good.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Are we talking about taking, clear selection, taking these three townships?

>> CHAIR EID: Putting them into 23.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: That might be too many, but we can reassess in a moment.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: That is too many. Now let's look at 13 and 23, what I had you assign and unassign now twice, sorry. The same areas of Commerce. So we need to just make 23, we need to make 13 okay on population. So we are going to take 32000 away from 23 in this Commerce area.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do we want to do it right here?

>> CHAIR EID: Let's start with, yeah, we can start over there. So we can start with Novi, Wixom and working our way up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that is 10,000 going to 13. The question is do we want to go to the westward?

>> CHAIR EID: I think leave Lyon there. Because now we do have quite a bit of Commerce split so let's see if we can unsplit it a little bit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 10,000 more going into 13.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's keep going.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That goes out there.

>> CHAIR EID: You can move a little bit more north.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That would be 23,000 and that would be it, right about there.

In that vicinity of number wise.

>> CHAIR EID: What if you add the top precinct as well, the northern precinct?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This one?

>> CHAIR EID: Yep. Does that make it too much?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, I mean it's within 2%. But the 23 is low.

>> CHAIR EID: 23 is low. Nine is low. Okay, cool.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 23 is needs ten so however you want to so that is a two-part.

>> CHAIR EID: That is a two part, so the last one you assigned to the most northern one, just put that back to 23.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: You can also come south instead of going east. Instead of creating splits east, 23 could come south like edging towards the top of Commerce. So you can change the direction of the split.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I kind of prefer this way.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't know. That is why I, you know, I have gone to church in Walled Lake and have friends that live in Walled Lake, but I don't know anything more intimately than that.

>> CHAIR EID: And 23 needs pretty much just one more precinct out of Commerce.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right. Yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: Can we Zoom in and just pick one?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 3,000 right there.

>> CHAIR EID: All right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: If you want to do that.

>> CHAIR EID: I think a different one might be better though if you Zoom in.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is a two-part there. But we can do it by blocks. I just don't know like you can put.

>> CHAIR EID: See the one that is 2284 at the top? Right there. Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And move that to 23.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay 23 is good, 13 is good. All right can we look at 24? And 9?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, so your big numbers now are 24 needs 27,000. 7 is 9,000 under while three is 18,000 over. So really eight is going to have to give up some.

>> CHAIR EID: Right so we are trying to bring deviation down so we can mess with the more suburban within instead of outwards from 23 and 24. Does that make sense, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: So 24, right and 24 needs some more folks.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think you may consider taking some from 11.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, so that area right, yep, right there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think that, well I know that is not a densely populated area. So let me just Zoom in here. Well, yes, it is too, isn't it? But I'm just picking some. And that's about all 11 can give up. So it would come down to whether you want, you know, like that.

>> CHAIR EID: But then we can go from 11 into other southern districts. So let's just do all of it into 24.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, yep.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: What about that little piece that is over Utica in 7?

>> CHAIR EID: So that is another option, we could move Utica into 24 instead of it being in seven. I think originally it was in seven and I'm trying not to.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Oh, okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Not too much. Does anyone have an opinion?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLUM: I was saying, anyone else can speak, because.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is all Utica.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: An area of nine that can go into seven. Like that little pink piece maybe is ten and not nine. Never mind.

>> CHAIR EID: I kind of think seven is okay.

Not changed much in Linden and protects the communities we heard about in today's public comment.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: You are right, you are right, you are right.

>> CHAIR EID: You are not wrong. Nobody is wrong. It's just different.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Seven needs just a little bit to be, you know, in play. It's at 3.63% low.

>> CHAIR EID: Right so how is 24 now? 24 is okay wonderful.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Now we can look at these inner districts, 9, 7, 10, 3, 8 and 11.

Correct? Because 23 is okay.

24 is okay.

13 is okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Seven is low, seven is 3.63.

>> CHAIR EID: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So it needs to pick up a few thousand.

- >> CHAIR EID: Can you Zoom in on seven, between seven and ten.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is Clawson in its entirety, but you have little pieces. I don't know if that is something. A little piece of nine is sticking out.
- >> CHAIR EID: I believe that is part of Birmingham.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, it is.
- >> CHAIR EID: So let's add Clawson to seven.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: All of Clawson?
- >> CHAIR EID: Yep, all of Clawson.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Clawson is 11,000 into seven.
- >> CHAIR EID: Wonderful now seven is good. And now we are looking at 10, 3, basically 10 and 3 right now. And then we will move it over into 11. So.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: 10, 11, 3. Yep. I guess at some point you're going to reduce eight a little bit.
- >> CHAIR EID: A little bit, yeah, we will take a look at that. Kent, is there any way to quickly flip-flop the numbers for nine and seven?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.
- >> CHAIR EID: Can you please do that.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Let me save this real quick. So we want to flip-flop, switch 7 and 9?
- >> CHAIR EID: Please. So I think that is it.
- >> CHAIR EID: So now we can either turn on can you turn back on the flamingo overlay now we are working again in this area?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.
- >> CHAIR EID: Okay so we have this area of Royal Oak, right, let's Zoom in on the three and we are going to put, all right, so what we are going to do is put Huntington Woods into ten.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: So Huntington Woods is.
- >> CHAIR EID: See the areas north of the blue line? We are looking at Berkeley.
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: It's above Oak Park.
- >> CHAIR EID: Above Oak Park into Berkeley.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, there is Royal Oak right there, is it north, south, east or west of there?
- >> CHAIR EID: West.
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: West.
- >> CHAIR EID: Put the areas west Berkeley and put all of that into ten.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Into ten.
- >> CHAIR EID: Look at 10 and 11, that is the big difference now.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: So 11 needs 20,000.
- >> CHAIR EID: 11 needs 20,000 more.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes and ten can give up some, up to actually up to 14,000. Actually you can make it work.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: We also got a comment this morning about 11 and communities wanting to be kept together that were ripped apart and destroyed. No, I took that seriously. I listened. So and District 11. So Clinton Township, Roseville, that was serious to me.

>> CHAIR EID: I was actually just going to add like I was going to take the top right portion of Warren and add more of it to 11.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't know if anyone else has thoughts about that.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: One map that he referenced that was well done in terms of his comment for District 11 and communities of interest was swift 2. I believe. And in that one that corner of Warren is not in the District. If that makes a difference.

>> CHAIR EID: Uh-huh, uh-huh.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't know, I would have to see an overlay to be reminded to be completely honest. Functionally I see what you are saying but, yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: Do you guys think it would be better to add like this portion of Warren or do we want the areas west of East Point? I mean it has to be something added to 11.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Where do you want to take it from ten?

>> CHAIR EID: Ten because right now ten has too much and 11 needs some.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Then, yeah, I say take that corner of Warren.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Would that be the northeast on the southeast?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Northeast.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay that is 8,000. Does that go to 11?

>> CHAIR EID: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I was going to do it a piece at a time. So then do we want to go here?

>> CHAIR EID: So north of 13 mile and east of Van Dyke. So those three, those, right, and those two more to the west of what you just did.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So at that .10 and 11 are under 2.5 percent. So 219, 2.41.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I have a quick question why don't we ever pull mount Clemens into 11?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think that was, is that what Commissioner Muldoon did in his plan?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I'm just asking if there is a reason Commissioners because we tend to avoid that and it's right there next to Clinton Township unless someone knows something about that community why it would not go in there. It seems we avoid; I see Commissioner Andrade's hand.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I was thinking mount Clemens is a significant watershed into Lake St. Clair. If you look close you can see the river there going right through mount Clemens and all the way into Lake St. Clair. And just for the purpose of the lakeshore.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: No, that makes sense and that is good for me and maybe other people in the public that might be asking that. Literally it was just a question. Thank you, Commissioner Andrade.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay so we are almost there. Where do we got to look at to get this from 5.07 to under 5?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: .07 you are just talking about moving a handful of people. And wasn't there one, this one, that's it, eight.

>> CHAIR EID: Eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You could just move a little population out of eight and it's done. Whether you put it in, you know, wherever you put it would be fine like, well, that is a lot. So I don't know if you want to go up these neighborhoods or.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, okay let's turn on the neighborhoods.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay you want to turn off the.

>> CHAIR EID: Turn off the overlay, turn on the neighborhoods. Because one does have a little too much right now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, yeah, you could put a little from eight to six. I mean, a thousand people would do it. I mean, one precinct.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah but let's go on the northern end because one already has too many and eight has too many. What can we do around here?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is 8 Mile. So three.

>> CHAIR EID: Three needs some folks, right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three could do it. Most easily.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, any opinions over here between 8 and 3, Commissioners?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLUM: You could put that western border into three. Let me see what is happening above three though. I mean, you got Oak Park.

>> CHAIR EID: Oak Park, Royal Oak Township in there with Southfield.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: All the way into Southfield.

>> CHAIR EID: But three is one of the VRA districts so I don't want to change it too much.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Yeah, I don't know.

>> CHAIR EID: I think if you just go from one to three a little bit I don't think it will mess up anything.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: One, if I could nitpick one, we have Jefferson Chalmers with Grosse Pointe, and I will continue to reflect on that.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: I think maybe the east side of one and eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: The District that would need to be modified to get it below 5% is eight really. Or you could bring 11 up. But I think it's really eight because it's at 2.65%. So.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Is it a matter of moving one neighborhood out of eight?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay into where? Three?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, I think the idea, one it could go anywhere really.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: So I mean, you could -- we could move in eastern part of eight into one.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is what I think too.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Outer drive and Hayes and Yorkshire or Wade and Ravendale it could go back with that deep east side of Detroit.

>> CHAIR EID: Sounds good to me.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I wouldn't touch.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: If you do all of Outer Drive I think you go, you would make one entirely in two so you have to split outer drive, Hayes.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: What about Ravendale?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Ravendale is a small number. We have to do it by blocks. We are just talking about a thousand or two, not like one can only take about less than 2000 I think. Let me...so that is approximately 650 people.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Take Wade too. There are some areas in Ravendale.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You can't put all of that into one and stay under 2.5 I don't think. So that would probably take one over. I mean, we can assign it. I'm trying to remember exactly what the number is. It's going to be close but...that goes to 2.66.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's just do Ravendale.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think just Ravendale might do it. Yes that is all I took is that little bit and you are at 4.82%.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That just made eight, you know, go down two-tenths and that is what it needed.

>> CHAIR EID: So it's within deviation. Let's save. And then let's Zoom out.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Cut the neighborhood layers off?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, please. Okay, can we look at 3, 10 and 11 real quick?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Numbers or what do we want to see?

>> CHAIR EID: I kind of want to see the borders of them.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three has been locked the whole time so 11.

>> CHAIR EID: And can you go a little west? Okay so Farmington, Farmington Hills in here with Southfield. So we did make that change in three. We have to send it to be checked. 10 is Royal Oak, Madison Heights, Berkeley. Okay then can we go up to seven and nine? Nine is approximately the same as the original District. Seven

essentially swapped some of Southfield for some of Waterford. Then can we go to 13? So 13 added back in some of Commerce. And goes south into Novi and Plymouth so it goes further south into Plymouth, but I think that is all right. And then let's go to the top. And can you Zoom out so we can see all 23 and 24? Okay, so these communities changed a little bit too in order to account for the other changes we made in the other districts. But by and large they are still like Oakland County townships. So I think that works. Okay can you Zoom out like all the way so we can see the whole area? And 12 still has very close to the original Linden configuration. I think it's the same actually. There might be, yeah, because mount Clemens was originally in 12 so that is still there. Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 12 right down here. Jefferson Chalmers has changed.

>> CHAIR EID: Added two neighborhoods to it. That is a pretty small change and still along the lakeshore so that is all right for now. If we hear about it from the public I think we can make that change.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: They are going to scream. And I enjoy these changes. That is literally the only thing that I think might be a little problematic.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, I'm good here. I think we did most of, you know, so what we did was tried to combine the hummingbird and the Flamingo districts. The hummingbird in Detroit and the Flamingo outside of Detroit. And I think we approximated those here. I didn't look at race at any time when doing this. Just to be clear. And I was looking at the overlays from the maps that the Commission had already done previously.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I will also add that it heavily took into account comments we've heard over and over again about different communities. And we adjusted in real time when we heard folks from those communities talk about things that they liked in hummingbird or hated in hummingbird but liked in flamingo. There was something else I was going to add. If we give it a name, I don't care if it's a real bird or not, I want it to be called Phoenix. And I think it does some good tweaking to limit splits in neighborhoods as well. Not just in the Detroit area, but also as we go into the suburbs. I will say moderately splits neighborhoods to make concessions. Or changes that we needed to make in order for this configuration to work based upon communities of interest.

>> CHAIR EID: I think the suburbs are quite a bit better than what we had in hummingbird in my opinion. It doesn't, District seven doesn't go down quite as far. You have.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Farmington Hills is together.

>> CHAIR EID: It's together.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: District 11 is improved.

>> CHAIR EID: The original District 9 is there, which I still think Sterling Heights should be whole. But if we choose one that is not, that split at least protects.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: The community of interest.

>> CHAIR EID: Community of interest. So that works. And District 11 now includes the other part of Sterling Heights and a little of Warren and doesn't cross 8 Mile as it did previously. I like it. I do think there could be some changes here and there as far as like some of the communities right here in the middle. Like you could probably switch around a few from three into ten or switch around you know those two Detroit districts from 12 into 1 but let's hear from the public and let's hear what they have to say about it. You know, come back to it.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I agree. I'm glad we got it done.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Stigall?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, I would like to point out this plan name was uploaded yesterday. We should have made a copy of this and edited it. But we can rename it and bring back the old one. But I would tend to give it today's date and version one.

>> CHAIR EID: That is fine.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: And then we got to I know we have a limit, we said yesterday of 12:30 to send it for analysis. Both VRA and partisan fairness. So let's make sure we do that. And you said you wanted, we want to go with hummingbird V2, Phoenix, what are we doing?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I always like so really to me we don't have to name it Phoenix, that is a name I like but I think that it should have a different name so people aren't confused with like if people don't like hummingbird or don't or like it, it should be a different map.

>> CHAIR EID: Right.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I don't think we as Commissioners connect with how maps are connected, but I don't think the public connects with that concept.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Well, if that is the case we should probably rename I think it's the swift two. We have a couple other names that have number one and number two. I mean if we are going to be giving everything unique names it should apply across the board. Before we get out of this, sorry, Kent, I wanted to see the partisan fairness analysis on it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, we can run that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. I typically, well, let me just do the due diligence here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you, Kent.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I hate to get into it and find.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair while Kent is doing that Commissioner Szetela if you can share where you are joining from.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I actually said that like when I first joined. But.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: I didn't hear it.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm in Wayne County Michigan, sorry.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: My audio went in and out and missed it.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I was in Detroit when I originally called and said Detroit.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: No problem, thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay I have the spreadsheet up. Everybody see it? Just want to make sure I'm sharing it properly.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Can we Zoom it, actually let me increase what I'm looking at and that will help. So this is the Phoenix; is that right?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is the plan, this is the plan we just finished working on. Did I hear somebody say you are going to call it Phoenix?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: That is a name I threw out there because.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Sounds good.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I'm not a bird, so people should name their maps whatever they want to as long as it's a bird who cares.

>> CHAIR EID: We have 3.7.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Lopsided margin 3.7. Mean median is 1.2. Efficiency gap is .8. In favor of the democrat. And seats to vote ratio is minus 51 republican or positive 51 democrat. Count of seats is 22-16 democrat.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay, so do you have the original spreadsheet that Dr. Handley had shared with us by any chance, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't know if I have Handley's. I do have the VRA analysis for this plan.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: I have it, Commissioner Szetela, is there something you want to compare to or something?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I will share my screen if it's all right.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, go ahead.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: All right, so this is the picture of, actually I'm going to take that off. Because it shouldn't be on there. So this is the maps that Dr. Handley had analyzed already. I added in the names as we've named them. And I asked for an excel sheet to sort them and added an additional column because Dr. Handley's analysis didn't have this column here. So I added that in based on looking at the partisan fairness reports that are available on our website and I sorted it by the number of VRA districts, the seats vote and efficiency gap then the mean median to kind of come up with the ranking of the plans we currently have named. The thing I have highlighted in this, which also concerns me with this plan, is if you notice going back to the 2012 State Senate plan, which is actually the impetus behind the creation of this Commission is it had a seats vote ratio that leaned 5.5% republican which Dr. Handley

classified as being the party that wins majority of seats with less than the majority of votes. So she considered that to be a gerrymander which is the League of Women Voters lawsuit was on that very same issue. So hummingbird and cardinal have the same seat vote ratios and 22 democrats versus 16 republicans. And this map that was just created the Phoenix has the exact same ratio. So it ends up with that 22 democrats to 16 republicans with a 5.1% democratic lean. So that democrats get 5% more votes than what the election results would lead you to believe. So that was my concern with both the hummingbird and the cardinal looking at the numbers yesterday. And again with this plan as well, the Phoenix that it's basically doing the same thing. It's gerrymandered in favor of the democrats this time instead of the republicans on the same level. Really that the 2012 State Senate map was.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Kent.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I remember putting the question out there to her of these four criteria, how should we view how they play together? And I think what she said is that lopsided margin was less meaningful where we are just because of the way the voters are dispersed. The seats ratio, the main thing to make sure of is that the party with the most votes gets the most seats. But the exact number is not that important. The two most important indicators were mean median difference. And efficiency gap and we should try to strive for 0.

>> CHAIR EID: So we have Dr. Handley with us. I think while I appreciate the concern, I think we should leave the analysis of VRA to of partisan fairness to our partisan fairness person.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I would agree.

>> CHAIR EID: It seems to me that you know you are trying to pick and choose data for maps you like.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No, not at all and raising concerns because I know the seats vote ratio was a particular concern to quite a few Commissioners.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: It was a particular concern based on the party that had the minority of votes won the majority of seats. But again to Eid's point I think rather than us doing ad hoc analysis and Cherry picking we should address these questions to our expert.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So with all due respect Commissioner Callaghan you were not part of the original Commission, what I was referencing.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I was part of the presentation that Commissioner that the voting rights expert gave us. I asked the questions. And she answered them. If we need to have her back to ask those questions again, that would be what I would do. Don't call me out for not being here four years ago. It's not relevant and I'm an equal member of the Commission so don't take my comments of being less value because I

wasn't an original Commissioner. My comments on the same level with yours. Thank you very much.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm not saying yours are less relevant. My point was that for many Commissioners who were on the original Commission that seats vote ratio was something that was important that they were considering. And we had many discussions about it. That's what I'm referencing.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Let's listen to our expert and find out what her analysis is rather than Cherry picking data and picking out numbers and recalling conversations that not everyone was a part of four years ago.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: It would be more relevant to have her speak to us now.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: That is great, but I would love to be able to finish what I was saying because you're saying we are equal, but you are not treating me as equal and trying to shout me down.

>> CHAIR EID: Hold on, Commissioner Szetela finish your thought please and we will move on to Dr. Handley.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you. So what I was saying is that these districts that are being drawn concern me because I'm concerned that we are potentially unintentionally potentially creating a democratic gerrymander and we have other maps that do not have that same issue. And so I do think certainly Lisa Handley should look at it but one of the things I would like to see, and we did in the last time around, which is what I was trying to get into, is the last time around we went through with the maps and we didn't just look at the composite. We also dug into how the maps moved. Based on individual elections. So we looked at the 2020 election. We looked at for Presidential we looked at the 2016 election. We looked at the 2012 election to verify and vet the maps against those criteria to see how they were moving to see how fair the maps were. I think that is something we probably need to do particularly with these maps that are kind of hitting high on the seats votes ratio. Because that does raise some concerns about the fairness of the maps.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLUM: Mr. Brace has his hand raised.

>> CHAIR EID: I know, I would like to go to Dr. Handley if she is on with us. We had this whole partisan fairness discussion when we have a staff member who does this. So can we hear it from her, please?

>> KIM BRACE: Yes, that is what I was going to raise. She is available.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: Yes, I am here if you would like me to speak.

>> CHAIR EID: Please, hello Dr. Handley it's always nice to see you.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: Hello. Commissioner Callaghan is correct. The way to read the seats votes ratio if you remember I told you that in a single member District system a majority of the votes is likely to produce a super majority of the seat. That is inherent in the system. You are looking at the seats votes ratio what you are interested in is to

make sure the party gets the majority of the vote gets majority of the seats. So to read the 5.5 in favor of republicans and the 5.5 in favor of the democrats as equal is incorrect. The point about the 5.5 for republicans is despite the fact that the democrats got a majority of the votes, the republicans got a majority of the seats. That is how the seats votes ratio is looked at. And, again, the two more important points in terms of the seats votes you make sure the party got majority of the vote got majority of the seats. Actually the efficiency gap and the mean median measure are, in my opinion, what you should be looking at more than the seats votes ratio.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you for the education, Dr. Handley. I certainly appreciate it. I think we have a follow-up question from Commissioner Szetela.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, do you see the efficiency gap in mean median? I know we kind of have always disregarded lopsided margin. But do you see the mean median and efficiency gap as one being superior to the other?

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: No. And I would say that you would want to look at both because they are capturing different things and sometimes they point in different directions but none of these scores really are, I don't think, particularly high enough to worry about except for the fact that at the last time I looked at this there were some plans that were drawn I think a week ago in which both the efficiency gap and the mean median scores were high. And I pointed out those.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right and so kind of a follow-up on that as well. I noticed we had one plan in particular that had leaning republican for mean median and leaning democrat for efficiency gap but none of the other plans had that. Could you kind of speak to that? Because everything else kind of leans one way so maybe it's leaning republican or it's leaning democrat but both of those values lean that same way. So it was just sort of odd to see a plan that had a mean median leaning republican and efficiency gap leaning democratic.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: Essentially they are measuring slightly different things, and that's why you are looking at both of those measures. And, again, that suggests to me that I wouldn't worry about the fairness of that particular plan. I would worry about the plan which you have high scores or higher than average scores for both measures. But, again, they are measuring two different things which is why you are looking at a selection of partisan fairness scores and not just one.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yeah, excuse me, kind of a philosophical question for our experts. Gerrymandering has been spoken of today and maybe what we are doing on behalf of democrats. I guess my understanding of gerrymandering was drawing districts to specifically advantage an incumbent or a challenger in a specific District, not as we are trying to do here, which is to cover the entire state in a non-gerrymandered-ish position. So I guess I'm looking for the experts to give us a definition of gerrymandering.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: There are actually a couple of definitions. So there's the possibility that you're drawing plans that help one political party over the other. That is usually called a partisan gerrymander and there is an incumbency gerrymander where you try to help incumbents of both parties then you might have a party that is trying to protect its incumbents more than gaining more party seats. So there are a variety of approaches to gerrymandering.

I wouldn't say you are trying to gerrymander at all. Because what you're trying to do is look at the scores and get them lower rather than higher and you are actually required to do that by the state Constitution, look at partisan fairness scores. And I guess the lawyers should probably chime in here. But I can't imagine that you would be accused of partisan gerrymandering but if the lawyers could please speak up, that would be helpful. I don't know if we have any lawyers on the call. I see Commissioners actually.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Probably just four or five but go ahead.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton has a question. But Mr. Fink, would you like to respond?

>> NATE FINK: I don't disagree with what Dr. Handley said. I see no indication of any sort of gerrymandering going on here. I think you know Dr. Handley is certainly the expert on the partisan fairness issues, but I think as you heard today, the scores that you're looking at on these various maps you are considering don't give an indication or a significant concern about potential exposure or challenge on partisan gerrymandering. I mean obviously we would want to look at each map one by one as we go through the deliberative process and ultimately as you decide after hearing public comment which map you ultimately want to adopt, but again over all I agree with Dr. Handley and I don't see any, you know, significant risk here as it relates to partisan gerrymandering.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Well, Mr. Fink kind of already reiterated. I just heard Dr. Handley say that none of the scores on any of the maps that we have, you know, named so far give her any reason to worry. She said they are not high enough and we need to worry about them. They are all okay.

So I just wanted to hear that again, make sure that is accurate so that we know anything moving forward is good. We can go with it and not worry about it.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: I did suggest there were three plans that scored higher than the other plans on both the efficiency score and the mean median score. That all other things being equal you might not want to consider those. But all of the things are not equal. And there are other things that are more important. So none of the scores concern me. But some are better than others.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: And do we know which three plans those were that we would need to look at for other considerations?

>> CHAIR EID: We didn't give them names last week.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Oh, okay, thanks, all the ones that are named were not these higher ones.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: I only had, let me see here.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: If you have the dates of the plan or whatever you have as the identifiers, I believe that would be helpful.

>> CHAIR EID: I have them and correct me if I'm wrong, Dr. Handley.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: Collaborative version eight. This is on they are all 515 so they are all there and a collaborative version 6, a collaborative version 8 and then there is an EW1. I'm sorry I don't have the names. The names were not on mine when I did this.

>> CHAIR EID: I have them as 389, 390 and 391. And correspond to the full names you just said.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: 389, 390 and 391, that's correct.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Fink?

>> NATE FINK: I think Ms. Schaar had her hand up.

>> CHAIR EID: Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Just to clarify for the record one of those is named I believe map 390 version eight from the 15th is named flamingo in my records.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you for that. Okay Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So, yeah, I just wanted to point out that there are many people on this Commission, and we share many different opinions. I am concerned particularly because I've noticed the last two days an individual by the name of Christopher Gilmer Hill has submitted maps online as Commissioner Lange pointed out yesterday they appear to be copied and also on the YouTube chat giving directions and the directions seem to be being followed. The reason that is concerning is he is currently a precinct delegate for the democratic party and the same person who offered potential District maps in the House drawing, and he is now currently running as a candidate in the 8th House District for the House elections. So it does concern me a little bit about how much influence the democratic party is having on some of these maps. And I understand other Commissioners may not be concerned about it, but I think I would not be doing my duty as a Commissioner if I did not raise the concerns. You can disregard them if you like but I am still going to raise them.

>> CHAIR EID: So, again, I wasn't looking at any COI map while I was combining the districts that we had already drawn with hummingbird and flamingo. I was not, I never watched the YouTube chat. So any insinuation that what I just did or I think what any of us have done this whole time is influenced by any sort of political party, I just think that's uncalled for and I think accusations like that, you know, they were made in the, if I remember the legal arguments correctly. They were made in the, you know, in the House plan once we submitted it. And what the Court had found is that there is maybe not what the Court found but in my opinion there is a big difference between a member

of the public submitting comment based on a map we have already drawn and trying to improve it. Versus somebody just like submitting a map at the beginning of the process and the Commission adopting that map. That's not what's happening. It seems to me people are trying to improve what we've already done. But again when I was just drawing I wasn't looking at any of that. I was looking at the overlays we have already done, trying to take the communities of interest into consideration, trying to combine what I liked from the hummingbird and what I liked from the flamingo. What I liked from the other maps we created and go from there. Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I'll be quick because I've already said that but just so the record is clear, I think we've had overwhelming comments regarding the changes that were made between yesterday and today. And even you know this map started in when we were at Wayne State with Commissioner Vallette's turn. And then Commissioner Andrade made changes to the map and Commissioner Orton, though she didn't want to work on the map yesterday had collaborative efforts with this map. So it was born out of what we've heard from the MENA community, and I have copious notes for each District and the think the map we worked on reflects that. So I want to be clear for the record. I also think that we have a whole hash tag that implores people to speak up and speak out and we have listened to several members of the public that come out and we have the comment portal. None of this stuff is in Vein. And you know, just like I brought up there was the MSU map that was submitted to us. And Commissioners shared they saw the similarities, but when you look districts that that is a map that is going to be similar to the MSU map. So I think there's all sorts of references that can be made but I do think the map that was worked on yesterday, today and last week was born out of a concerted effort to listen to communities of interest.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I want to say I agree with what Commissioner Eid and Commissioner Kellom just said. I think this last map was the most collaborative map that we did. Actually pretty much everybody had a hand in it. And so I would hate for it to become tainted by some miss directed remarks. And I think it's a very good collaborative map. The other thing I did want to bring up though is that it has come to the attention of the Commission that one Commissioner, Rebecca Szetela, has solicited input from elected officials in a way that was meant to influence our map selection. And apparently tried to specifically sway their opinions towards a map drawn by her. I don't know what her agenda is or if she has an agenda. I'm just saying that this happened. There was a personal e-mail that was sent out, the rest of the Commission was not aware of.

>> CHAIR EID: Can I just interrupt you for a moment?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Sure.

>> CHAIR EID: I think we should have a discussion about that. We have a job to do today though. Let's get the job done today and, you know, if you want to add that topic to an agenda for a future meeting, you can. And let's get the job done today. And I'll let Commissioner Szetela respond quickly, please.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Only to finish my response please it's short and I will be brief. Rebecca has said what she did was completely appropriate. That is fine. I'm not a liar. I don't know. I do know recipients may not have felt it was appropriate because they contacted legal counsel and said they would decline to provide input. I'm not an attorney so I'm a little confused. However, I do want to know our legal counsel's opinion on this form of public outreach. And if they think it's inappropriate I want it to be clear on the public record me, at least myself, I don't know if other Commissioners feel the same way were not complicit in the outreach and unaware it was happening, so I want to distance myself from that, from the legal standpoint. That's all.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Thank you Commissioner Callaghan.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So to be clear, Mr. Fink has already said that contacting members of the public in writing to obtain information relevant to your job is not a violation of the Constitution. It's especially allowed by it. He had it in the e-mail he sent out. There is nothing inappropriate what I did. Entirely consistent with the outreach we have done all along with multiple Commissioners used private means including linked in, Reddit you name it, asked me things on linked in to get information from the public. I did not ask them to support a particular map. I provided them with information, letting them know members of the local community that are going to be affected by the changes that we are making that map changes are being made because in my experience no one in my community knows this is even happening so I think it's important that people do know it's happening because districts are being changed without their knowledge. And I asked members of the community including the local Township supervisors and the mayors for the different areas of my community including also the Senate representative and the House representative for my area that these changes are being made and if they wanted to provide feedback they could go to our website and provide feedback there and could also come to our public hearings and provide feedback there. Never did I ask people to contact me directly or provide feedback to me because that is not relevant. I want people to comment broadly. And you know there are multiple versions out there. And I don't particularly have any claim to one or the other. If people come from our community and say we want to be with Huron that is great and what they said and that is what I'm supposed to be soliciting as my job as a Commissioner. To bring in up and be you know throwing shade at me about something which is legal and permitted and encouraged under the Constitution is

something we have done consistently for the last four years, you know, it's just inappropriate and it's just another slam at me for raising serious concerns about a map.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes, chairman Eid, you are correct. This is a subject that should be on the agenda. I would ask that it be put on a future agenda. Certainly there are people on this Commission who have opinions that don't necessarily line up with Commissioner Szetela. This is not the day or time to have this what will probably be a rather lengthy discussion. So therefore I request that it be put on a future agenda, and we move on with today's agenda. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Very much like to move on.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Second it.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would also ask we have agenda item on that topic broadly because there have been many similar incidents where individual Commissioners reached out via various venues and if we discuss one we should discuss them all.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, this conversation is done. We are going to move on to do our job. And get these maps, you know, approved.

So we were working with this map. We have to send it out for VRA. We saw the partisan fairness. Is there anything else anybody wants to do with it?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Not on my end.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, can we get that sent out, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes, I would like to mention I named it 052124SDCOLV1, today's date V1. And I attached Phoenix as the name, if that is, you know, if y'all are going to give it a name, is that the name the Commission desires?

>> CHAIR EID: Let's go with that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Done, so it will go out by 12:30.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Shortly after we go on break.

>> CHAIR EID: Right. And wonderful. Mr. Woods?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yes, we do have Dr. Handley has to leave but there was a map done yesterday and we do have our VRA people here as well with regards to their map done yesterday and then providing feedback for the three individual maps that were analyzed. So if they could provide that analysis, maybe Mr. Stigall can send that map now so that we can get some feedback after the lunch break. Because it does take a while to send the maps over to them for analysis. I just want to remind you Dr. Handley does have to leave at Noon today.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Director Woods when you say plans yesterday, I have two, one from Commissioner Wagner and one from Commissioner Szetela. Is it one of those two plans that you're referring to?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: They should have Wagner, Szetela and Lange are the three that should be ready to talk about now. But I think Ryan took care of that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I think Lange plan we have that back.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Right and it was supposed to be sent to Kim if you can help me out, the three maps and the collaborative map done by the Commission yesterday. They should be ready to provide an analysis for that. What I'm asking you to do, Mr. Stigall if it's possible is the Phoenix map that was just finished, while they are presenting, if you can get that to Ryan so he can send it over that would be great.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Absolutely.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: All right Dr. Handley, if you want to go first because I know you have to leave then we will have the VRA.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: I don't have the names of the plans, but I did look at some plans that were drawn yesterday so I'm going to share my screen. And if somebody actually knows the names of these, if that is what you are recognizing them by, that would be helpful. So these are the three new plans. So they are on 395, 396. The other one is probably not online and of course I don't have them for today either.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: You won't have that one. The top four you have are correct. Thank you.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: Okay, all right, and so we can see that these are very similar to earlier ones. We are getting fours very similar. There is nothing concerning here. None of them stand out. So I don't see, you can see that one of these plans is closer to perfect fairness than the other plans. But, again, there are no numbers here that concern me.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I am not seeing Dr. Handley, is that the latest one from Commissioner Wagner? I'm not -- did you get that, it was done last night, I'm not seeing that.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: I'm not sure if I have that. These are the four that I have. RL would be Lange I think, right?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 391 is EW is that what you are talking about?

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: No, that I showed you before. That is back at May 11. So the last time I spoke to you was between the 15th and the 17th, so I have 517 and 3520s. But I'm not sure about the naming Commissions. Kim or Kent or somebody to assist me here.

>> CHAIR EID: Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: I just wanted to check with Executive Director Woods. I was under the impression that Commissioner Wagner finished her map this morning. So it would need to be sent over today. Is that not correct?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is correct.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Okay I was told last night, never mind.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I was going to say I had it. I have the VRA analysis now. It just came in my e-mail after the meeting started. I was looking in my e-mail. And so we have it. The VRA part of it.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Can you show Dr. Handley before she has to go the partisan fairness.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will share this real quick. I need to get over here to it. Now just a moment. All right, I'm still looking at Handley's report if she could unshare the screen so I could share. Okay.

So let me just get over here real quick. This is the map. I guess we probably really want to see the -- but this shows.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: We want to see the partisan fairness because she has to leave in ten minutes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So the partisan fairness. Yep. Okay so the partisan fairness for this plan is called 52124EW3. And the lopsided margin on this plan is 5.2%. And mean median is 3.4. The efficiency gap is 1.9. And the seats votes ratio is 2.4. In favor of the democrats. Seats 21-17. Any questions on those? Need to see any more?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Dr. Handley, do you have anything?

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: No. I just looked at those. I mean those are not concerning.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: All right, are there any other questions through the Chair for Dr. Handley? Before the VRA analysis?

>> CHAIR EID: Dr. Handley, if I may, is there any like we can just run these using, you know, our software to see if, you know, the numbers match up approximately to what we've had so far. Besides doing that, is there anything else you would recommend?

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: No. I mean, I'm just keeping the spreadsheet. You can keep the spreadsheet yourself just adding on the scores. What you are looking to do is make sure that nothing is very high on any of these scores. And so far nothing has been very high on any of the scores. You just want I mean, the Constitution is pretty clear. You will look at these partisan fairness scores. These are all acceptable partisan fairness scores and make sure you don't choose as your final plan one that is an anomaly compared to the other ones. I mean, we don't really have very much in terms of legal guidance here. We know what plans probably are gerrymandered. And these plans are nowhere near that.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Dr. Handley. We very much appreciate it and thank you for once again the education that you all provide, not only this Commission but the general public as well.

>> DR. LISA HANDLEY: Thank you. And good luck. I'm going to depart now. Okay, bye.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you, doctor.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, Mr. Braden, Mr. Thompson, do you have something to add about VRA?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: And Dr. Palmer.

>> CHAIR EID: Dr. Palmer is here as well welcome the three of you.

>> Hello, I know we are standing between the Commission and lunch, so we will try to be prompt in our presentation expeditious in our presentation.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Excuse me doctor, Mr. Braden we have until 12:30 so take your time.

>> I could talk longer than that as a lawyer it's my responsibility to fill up space and think we can do this promptly. I don't feel the need to go back over and explain again to the Commission what we have. But we do have a PowerPoint that we can go through real quickly here. Again, we have just the first, you know, we are looking at the general elections and potential Senate districts, the primary elections and potential districts. And then at the end we have the analysis of the plans that we received on yesterday, the 20th. And I don't think we may actually have also, although I don't know it's part of the PowerPoint yet, the analysis on the districts. The District plan that was sent today. So, again, we can just flip through this.

>> That plan from this morning is included on there as well.

>> It's included in the figures. We just don't have the breakdown of the data for the fourth plan but the other three at the end will have, it's sort of from the spreadsheet but those are reflected in the figures, so we have those four.

>> Yep, so why don't we move back and look at the plans that we do have the figures for. And I can walk through them real quickly. I have three, so if you will bring them up, move, one more Page. We will skip over this unless anybody wants to talk about it and then look at the analysis. Okay, this is I do not know what this is called. This is one of the plans that we received from yesterday. And as you can see this one only provides four three districts where the primary turnout is majority democratic primary turnout is majority Black. We have the democratic primary pool here is also a majority Black in three districts. If we can go to the next Page, this plan, as you can see, has four districts in which the Black primary electorate turnout was a majority. And also four districts, same four districts where the democrat primary pool of voters is majority Black. And then we can do one more. And, again, this particular plan has four districts with a majority Black turnout in the democratic primary. And the pool of voters for the democratic primary is majority Black. So I am confident of the last two plans not having any serious vulnerability, at least in my opinion, not a serious vulnerability under the Voting Rights Act. I think they clearly would provide more than a proportional number of districts to the Black community where they would control the democratic primary and

since these districts in the general election as the Court observed to us democrats, it's difficult to -- there would be scenarios where that would not necessarily happen in every case. You can have multi candid races, different events occur but the answer is these districts generally, in almost the vast majority of circumstances would elect the candidate of choice of the Black community. The last two, the one that only has three districts, I do think is potentially vulnerable to a voting rights attack because it's not as clear that the Black community would elect its candidate of choice. And it would win the democratic primary by other districts. But our records and our analysis show that there are only three in, I don't know what it's called, I have it as 0520224SDRASV1.

>> Excuse me mark if you can go back to that slide for a minute I think the slide is missing District 7, which is entitled in Oakland County and also performing for Black primary voters.

>> We missed one.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I was just going to say that District 7 is missing.

>> Okay, I did not know or see that it was missing there. It should be in there. Okay then if there is four then we would view that as compliance with the Voting Rights Act. It would be reaching more than proportionality. So it's missing 7, I don't know why but okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So what is that cutoff ratio to get to the yes? What is the percentage?

>> 50% democrat Black turnout in the democratic primary. In other words, more Blacks turn out than white, and have actually voted in the democratic primary. It's not a -- that is obviously an estimate. But what we are talking about here is on the day the primary occurred, the number of people who showed up and voted in the democratic primary was if it's yes it was majority Black. Majority of that was Black. Pool number is just the projection of the number of Black democrats versus white democrats in the particular District.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So can you go to the slide you were showing just before this one? And it showed but it was missing so one of those is marked yes and it is blocked 40% and you are saying that is not the number that we need to be looking at?

>> That is correct. The one is the primary turnout versus an estimate of potential of current total voters in the District.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay.

>> Just to have a District where you probably have plenty of districts where the Black community is the majority of the democrat electorate but not necessarily majority of the overall electorate in the District.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Okay, thank you.

>> Can I add one thing? If you go back a few slides there is a new chart I made, one more back, please, right there. This goes to Commissioner Eid's question from last

week about sort of potential correlations across different measures. And here I'm only including the plans that had four districts on the democratic primary turn out measure just because we couldn't fit them all and have it be readable on a single slide but just trying to put them all side by side so we can see that across this big set of plans and as we narrow down it will get easier that the numbers are pretty similar over all but there is some variation on the composite score and on the Biden 2020 metrics. Even on plans performing at the primary at the same level.

>> CHAIR EID: Very interesting. Thank you for that.

>> Yep, all of the measurements, you know, racial measurements and partisan fairness and all types are based upon science and analysis. But don't, you know, be careful about vetting your farm or your home or your car because the voters do, in fact, do different things in elections. So these are invidious what we believe will happen and the most likely outcomes. They certainly don't guarantee any particular District will perform in any particular way. Because you have elections and each election, and each set of candidates is a little bit different. So I don't have a crystal ball. This gives you some guidance. It's like no guaranty, every now and then in the Kentucky derby the 40-1 person wins. So some districts that look like they will perform in a particular election circumstances may not and vice versa, there is probably many districts where the candidate of choice for the Black community will win without it necessarily appearing that way in any of these analysis.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, wonderful. Is there anything else to add about the individual submissions that came in? Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Assuming they are going to send out a PowerPoint of this to all of us?

>> Absolutely. We are happy to have this available. Let us put it on District 7 on the one that we didn't have it on it. But, yes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you.

>> It should be provided.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I think we are also going to need to add through the Chair the updated one for Commissioner Wagner. And that came this morning and then the one, the Phoenix that just was completed.

>> I believe the one that came this morning is already in those slides and we didn't have a table at the very end.

>> Correct, it's in the chart. It's just not -- we didn't have the break down.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Can you put that back up, Taylor, please, just so we are on the same Page.

>> Sure, one moment.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Can I break in here. The plan they worked on this morning.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: We are talking about Commissioner Wagner's.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: EW3, okay.

>> The ones we had as of this morning all four of those are reflected here in Dr. Palmer's figure, but we just didn't have a table breakdown at the end like we did for the other three.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I'm not seeing the one for Commissioner Wagner, the new one. That is it right there, never mind. Do you see it from the bottom go up three.

>> Third from the bottom.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Okay, thank you very much.

>> Of course.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Commissioner Eid, I know we have a 12:30 deadline. Do you or the Commission want to work on collaborative maps, so I know what I need to do?

>> CHAIR EID: I did want to look at the two that have three VRA districts if there is time. I do see a core retention discussion on here. Is that now? Or can that.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: That can happen later.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, we got about 20 minutes left. I think, you know, I can try to edit these quickly. So, Mr. Stigall, can you open up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, I'm opening up which plan here? Let me hit share. Okay what plan do you want to start with?

>> CHAIR EID: 379. I believe it's called Finch. Yeah, that one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Make a copy of that?

>> CHAIR EID: Sure. It can just be Finch V2.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So where?

>> CHAIR EID: Let's look at 3, 7 and 6. But I'm going to need the neighborhoods on. I'd like to make some community-based changes around 7 and 8 I think. You know, something we missed here was some of the communities that belong or that have said at least on some of the other maps they prefer to be together. So if you can add that part of Oak Park.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Up here.

>> CHAIR EID: It's currently in 7, yeah, to the left.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Ferndale, Oak Park.

>> CHAIR EID: Ferndale, Oak Park and Royal Oak Township, those three municipalities.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Should go into three?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All of this? Hazel Park included?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, Hazel Park included.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay into three. What about this little piece here?

>> CHAIR EID: No, that can stay out.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is Pleasant Ridge.

>> CHAIR EID: Right, right.

- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.
- >> CHAIR EID: What actually can you check the population of just Oak Park and Royal Oak Township? It's about 30.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, 29. Then you have a little piece in here.
- >> CHAIR EID: Can you check the population on the two that are not highlighted right now?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Ferndale is 19190. And then the Hazel Park area is 14983.
- >> CHAIR EID: Okay.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This little piece is 17,000, clear, this little piece here is 2300.
- >> CHAIR EID: So for Oak Park and Royal Oak Township we are talking about 32-ish thousand people. And I do think Oak Park and Royal Oak Township would be better served either in three or six here. So I'm just trying to mess around with it to see if we can make the population work out. But can we Ferndale and Hazel Park? Can you put that back in seven?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay and this little piece or?
- >> CHAIR EID: No, no, just Ferndale. Just the right side.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: I thought you said Oak Park.
- >> CHAIR EID: Seven and Hazel Park to the right.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.
- >> CHAIR EID: Now in order to get that population on seven back up, we are going to move south. So we are going to move south on seven and kind of east and south on six in order to make up for that. So can you add, how many do we need for seven? About 30,000.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: South at Ferndale or over here at Greenfield Schaffer? Because seven comes across right here.
- >> CHAIR EID: That is a good question. That is a good question. Actually, okay, I messed up, I'm sorry, what I wanted was Ferndale and Hazel Park into eight. Then I wanted to take the Oak Park area down south in to seven.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: So Hazel Park to eight.
- >> CHAIR EID: Right.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, Ferndale.
- >> CHAIR EID: In eight.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, then this Oak Park area is going to be where?
- >> CHAIR EID: That is going to be seven.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, and then you got these two.
- >> CHAIR EID: Right.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, next up.
- >> CHAIR EID: So can we do that? Can we put Oak Park going south into seven?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want to put this part of Schaffer.
- >> CHAIR EID: So let's start with Oak Park, that area right there, those two areas.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is in 7 I mean 3 you want to put that in 7?

>> CHAIR EID: Put that in seven.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm sorry.

>> CHAIR EID: That is okay, same thing with Royal Oak Township.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So that little piece right there?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes then we are going to go south.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's add south into Bagley, Schultz so this whole square of neighborhoods into seven.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: No, let me get out of here. We are not going to move into precincts. So you're going to do College Park or are we going to come this way?

>> CHAIR EID: College Park, Winsly, Bagley, Schultz.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Greenwich, Pembroke, and Blackstone. Is that okay?

>> CHAIR EID: That is okay, this whole kind of square block we have here.

Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: While Kent is doing that I wanted to acknowledge for the record that Commissioner Curry joined. Can you tell us where you are joining remotely from?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Detroit, Michigan.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Welcome, Commissioner Curry.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: That can be in seven as well. That and the two neighborhoods north.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Bagley and Oak Grove and garden homes.

>> CHAIR EID: Exactly.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It just put them in three which is not what I wanted to do.

Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, why is three and eight wrong now? Oh, okay, Ferndale and Oak Park you assigned them to eight. They are supposed to be in three. Those two right there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Ferndale and Oak Park into three?

>> CHAIR EID: Ferndale and Hazel Park to the right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

I swore you said seven.

>> CHAIR EID: I might have told you the wrong thing, I'm sorry.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And it doesn't matter. That into three.

>> CHAIR EID: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Between.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Three is at 10,000 under and seven is at 12000 over. So somewhere was it these neighborhoods?

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah. And okay.

Well I don't want you to do that.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Chairman Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You know, I would have kept the University District and Bagley together and Sherwood forest and Oak Grove all of those together. They are very, very, they have a lot of things in common, huh.

>> CHAIR EID: They would be okay together in seven?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What District do you have them in now? I can't see it on my computer.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: They are in seven.

>> CHAIR EID: So I think what Commissioner Curry is saying is to have the University District and Sherwood Forest be with Bagley.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Or Bagley into three, would you want to put those into three?

>> CHAIR EID: I would rather put them into seven.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Then go and adjust the other end of three and seven.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay so put all of this region into seven, is that what I'm hearing?

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry, do you think that extends in Palmer Park or do you think it stops in Sherwood forest.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can you repeat what you are saying, my phone is ringing and trying to turn it off.

>> CHAIR EID: You were speaking about University District and Sherwood forest.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: And Palmer Woods, to the Detroit golf Palmer Park, Green Acres, State Fair they should all be together. They are very contiguous.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, let's put that into all right but then so if it goes that far out then I would say Bagley and garden homes, Oak Grove should be on the other end in three and we can go further down on seven. That way you keep that COI with Ferndale that we have spoken about before.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Put the highlighted area into seven, into three, yes.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Bagley really can go with Sherwood forest. I stay across from Bagley high school. And they are so contiguous together that to separate them would be kind of terrible.

>> CHAIR EID: I agree. We are putting it together now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We have Bagley, Oak Grove with Sherwood forest in the University District.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay and seven needs some folks. So let's go south.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, seven is actually at 1.28%. Your deviation is under five now.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah but I would like to normalize a little bit more between seven. So let's add some of the neighborhoods, let's do harmony village. Hubble. The carry those and just the top three.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

I'm in blocks and taking entirely too long to do that.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay that is far too many. Let's just try one.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, and that would be.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's try Cary Saint Mary's.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 8,000.

>> CHAIR EID: That is too much try harmony village.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is 3300.

>> CHAIR EID: Go with that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You want to put that into seven, correct?

>> CHAIR EID: Right.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What is that little corner there? Is that James cousins.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What is that?

>> CHAIR EID: It's the highway. It kind of splits up.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I know where it is, where it's at, is that a neighborhood right up under the highway?

>> CHAIR EID: I'm not sure if it's harmony village or Fitzgerald.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: It is.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's in Martin Luther King academy is right here.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No, I'm talking about down right here, I'm talking about right up in there, what is that? I can't see your letters.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is Myers road; Pine Hurst Street is right there.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay, well, you could -- can't you keep that with the number seven? Is that possible?

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, it's possible. Let's add those blocks there that are left of the highway. Let's add them to seven. And then let's Zoom out.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is actually where it belongs, that is part of harmony village neighborhood.

>> CHAIR EID: That highway splitting it got me confused.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So number wise your deviation went up a little bit but seven and three are good.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, and we are under the 5% deviation overall.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, can we Zoom out? Okay this is good for now. Again, I wanted to reunite those communities in District 3. Let's send it. Let's see if it has a difference or anything going forward and decide later if we want to move it on. We got about five minutes left. Can you go ahead?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Does this plan get a name outside of the date name?

>> CHAIR EID: We did we said Finch V2.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, yeah, let me stop share. Are we going to do another one?

>> CHAIR EID: Real quick we will see if we can do pretty much the same thing to it. 378 I believe it is Blue jay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 378. Yep, right there.

>> CHAIR EID: You're not sharing your screen anymore.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: No, I'm not.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay so do you want to make this today's date and make it Blue jay V2?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Blue jay V2. I already made the copy and everything.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay and we are going to do that, a similar thing. We are going to go a little bit north on eight and go south on seven. .

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, so Hazel Park is.

>> CHAIR EID: Going to go into eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't know if I would do that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And then what -- it looks like there is a part of Ferndale that is cutoff, but it may be a part of Royal Oak though.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, we can highlight it. It goes up in there I think.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Mr. Chair, Ferndale is kind of medium sized Township. You're going to put it with Hazel Park?

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I think that, you know, Ferndale and Hazel Park.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No.

>> CHAIR EID: Need to go together.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: They are not contiguous.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: They share a boundary right here. That's Ferndale. And then that's Hazel Park.

>> CHAIR EID: What I want is Royal Oak Township here on the left side to be with seven.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is Oak Park there.

>> CHAIR EID: Right below that Royal Oak Township.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Want that in seven.

>> CHAIR EID: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, and then let's try those name neighborhoods we were just looking at and let's add it to seven in order to makeup that population deviation. And then I'm finished.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It cuts a little bit into one and I don't know if that is something you want to deal with.

>> CHAIR EID: For one we can put back together, that neighborhood.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Put all that into one.

>> CHAIR EID: Put that into one because one needs some folks. Okay, now let's go to seven and we will go south and just grab a couple of these neighborhoods and.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We will go to Greenfield.

>> CHAIR EID: Greenfield, over right to Schaffer.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is part of green wish and that is 13,000.

>> CHAIR EID: Do green wish and Black stone.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, we will have to start splitting that up and I will put that in seven.

>> CHAIR EID: That to seven and then just between eight and seven, let's go to the other side of eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I was going to unsplit this neighborhood. Just.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Mr. Chair, are you splitting Pembroke?

>> CHAIR EID: No.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: He is unsplitting it.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Good.

>> CHAIR EID: I don't like any neighborhoods being split. Now let's go to the top part of this and the area underneath the highway, right there, let's add that into eight to make up that population.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, that is Pleasant Ridge.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Pleasant Ridge where is that.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You will put that into eight is that the deal?

>> CHAIR EID: Right, eight needs some more.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight still needs some and seven can still, well, ten can give more than eight. But.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Woods?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yes, I can wait until after he is finished.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, where are we? We are a little under on eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight is 4.38 so you need to shift roughly 6,000.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, and.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Eight needs 6,000. 10 is 2000 over.

>> CHAIR EID: 10 is 2000 over.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Possibly takes something, what is that area next to Oak Park that you have, yeah. Backwards, go back up to Hazel Park. Can you put some of Hazel Park in probably ten and then add something to Oak Park, that area that's Blackened.

>> CHAIR EID: We can dry it and add a few precincts of Hazel Park to ten.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: At this point eight needs population.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You can move some of Hazel Park.

>> CHAIR EID: You said ten could share some correct? What about three?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Ten can take some. Three is you know can give up some. It's at 900 under so if you give up almost 2000.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, what is the easiest way to get this into compliance?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I would put Hazel Park with ten. Some of Hazel Park. Some of it, whatever population amount you need.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Just a reminder we are at time. And I know our ASL interpreters like to take their breaks. So we can pick this up after lunch.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Does this have to be done by 12:30 or turned in?

>> CHAIR EID: We would need to send it off to VRA like now.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: You could take part of something like over here, not that much, put Black stone.

>> Just so you're aware.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Greenwich into eight.

>> CHAIR EID: If we go between 7 and 8 I would rather go to the northern part of the districts and include some areas that are with Ferndale. So we can go let's go a little north into Royal Oak. And let's just try to normalize between the two.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm going to precincts and see what we got there. So that is 2600.

>> CHAIR EID: If we go west.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That right there is 5200.

>> CHAIR EID: That could do it.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And that is close, relatively close. I don't know if you want to go down Lincoln avenue.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: What is the population of that?

>> KENT STIGALL: They are really there right now, and they are at 2.33 and 2.4.

>> This is the interpreter speaking I want to make sure you are aware the interpreters are okay to stay as far as it goes for sign language. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you interpreters. Appreciate y'all.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do you want to continue down Lincoln avenue?

>> CHAIR EID: Looks like we are good, and we are good and can go on break. If you want to, what do you think Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I think that looks good. I like that.

>> CHAIR EID: All right let's assign that to eight.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And it may tilt the numbers too much but, yeah, seven then but you could put like this one.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Take some of that out, yeah.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I don't know if that really does it, but it gets there. We can come down over here if, you know, this part of it. I don't know if this is something y'all want to do or not.

>> CHAIR EID: That can go in seven, it's the zoo.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: In seven.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, it's all the zoo. It's only 380 people but it gets there. So your numbers are right. Or reasonable.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, that's cool. Let's send this off. See what it does. Come back and we can debate after lunch. Thank you folks for giving me that extra time, I appreciate it. Mr. Woods?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yes, just want to note for the record that plan number 376050924SD collaborative version 4 sent an e-mail last night and there are actually four opportunities districts instead of three. There are four opportunity districts instead of three. And did not know if it would need to be renamed in terms of giving it a bird name with regards to this particular map. But the e-mail came out last night that I forwarded from Election Data Services through, so I just wanted to make sure people are aware of that. If you don't have that, I can just share it on my screen real quick so everyone knows what I'm talking about.

>> CHAIR EID: This was the other derivative of hummingbird that we thought that one of them was not a VRA District, but like hummingbird it does have four. I don't know if anybody wants to give this a name. Let's think about that. And, you know, decide after lunch. I don't think the difference is -- Commissioner Kellom, it was either you or Commissioner Szetela preferred the one that we did name to this one.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: We both and Commissioner Muldoon, we talked about last week how the one that we have given a bird name known as hummingbird that was the preferred map. We -- this was along with other maps that had those nuisanced differences and so we chose one map over the other. That speaks to the discussion we had yesterday. When we talked about submitting all maps. Some maps were already talked about as not being, they were not going to progress because the differences were so small or nuisances that made a big difference in terms of communities of interest so that became the preferred map so that was the complete discussion.

>> CHAIR EID: Got you. Okay, thank you, Mr. Woods. All right folks we have a scheduled break. We are a little over. Thank you once again to our interpreters. Both

language translation services and sign language interpreters and everybody else who makes these meetings go forward. I appreciate y'all. We will go on break, and we will come back in an hour.

[Lunch recess until 1:39 p.m.]

>> CHAIR EID: We will be starting back up in two minutes, folks. All right welcome back everybody as chairman of the Commission I call the meeting of the Michigan Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission back to order at 1:40 p.m. I hope all of you enjoyed a good lunch. Department of State if we could please get a roll call.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Absolutely Mr. Chair. Commissioners, welcome back. Happy afternoon. When I call your name please say that you're present. If your physical location has changed from this morning's meeting please share that otherwise this is a continued meeting from this morning. Sorry about that.

Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Present attending from Osceola county Michigan.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you. Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette? Commissioner Vallette if you are speaking you are on mute.

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Sorry I'm, present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you. Commissioner Wagner? Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Present.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair, you have 12 Commissioners present. You do have a quorum, thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Ms. Young. Young.

>> CHAIR EID: We will go to 6C retention. If there is no objection, I will ask Mr. Kim Brace to facilitate this item. Is there any objection? Hearing none we will move forward. Mr. Brace? Nice to see you. Thanks for joining us.

>> KIM BRACE: Hi everyone, can you hear me okay?

>> CHAIR EID: We can.

>> KIM BRACE: Good, all right, you have asked before for us to take a look at of core retention as an issue. We've done this several different ways. And we have got several different things to show you. So let me share my screen. This one. Okay, can you see on your screen? This is actually the my Districting screen for Michigan. Can everyone see that?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, we can see it.

>> KIM BRACE: Okay, I bring you to this one which is of course off of your Page for the Commission because, sorry, I've got dear here so I thought I silenced the ring. So what we have is we have a column in this list of plans that is compared to Linden map. And that allows you to, for any particular plan, do the download and see what the map looks like compared to the Linden plan.

So here is just a sample from 51524V1. And the Linden plan is in red outline. So you can see for the particular plan how it compares with the Linden plan. What we find is that visually seeing how things compare is useful when one starts looking at data that looks at how one compares. So I bring this to everyone's attention to potentially, as you look at these reports, is look at a plan map wise, graphically.

So with that as an introduction, what we have done is we've, let me grab the other thing that I have, okay, if you remember, these are what some of the plan comparisons looked like before. Where we were comparing this is plan 392 versus the Linden report. And this is a tabular listing how individual districts compare. So in this one we are looking at District 2 and what numbers of people are comparable in the new plan, this is plan 392.

So in this instance it shows that indeed Linden District 2, 71% is the same as what it was before. The difference is in some movement into District 8 and some movement into District 1. Out of what used to be District 2. So tabular wise there are these reports. And we will have, we've got every single one run tabular wise. That's a lot of paper. Certainly or it's a lot of PDFs to take a look. Because we are looking at the entire state and as a result, for example, when there's no change, then you have 100% is the same. And indeed that uses up a bunch of paper because of all the upper parts of the state that have not changed.

So keep that in mind if you attempt to take these reports and print them out, you don't really want the tail ends of them on that side.

But we have looked at another way of analyzing this in a potentially simpler way and that is looking at a summary of the data. And this is a table that is all in one table. And I will send this to everyone so that you have it. The way to use this table is that it is on

the tabs it's for the individual District. So for District 1 in Linden how does that compare with the larger pieces in the various plans. And so you have the various plans listed on the left-hand side with both plan names and plan numbers, if they have been assigned a bird, then we have that information there. And then it shows you what percent is retained in the particular plan for District 1, for example.

So this is one of those that the courts looked at needing to be changed. You can see that it's the change has gone anywhere from 25% up to 63% remains the same. So there is some plans that show a lot of change in this District. And other plans that don't show as much change.

If you look at District 3, for example, in how you have drawn some of these and most recently, 349, 351, 352, you only see 6% has been retained. Because you made your changes in District 3 on that side.

And if you go to, for example, let me see where. Well, you will end up seeing in some of these, well, here is one. In District 9, there are some plans where it is 100% the same. Obviously you did not make a change in flamingo in District 9. It's just exactly what was there from before in the Linden plan.

So this table can end up helping you see where changes have occurred and how much of the change. And so here is District 12. A lot more of the districts are of the plans, show 12 without any change.

That is the 100%. And we get up to District 23 and 24, which is where you were working before earlier today. And you see that there is almost no change because it's only a couple of plans that have affected 23 or 24.

So this is probably the easier table for you to utilize, to see where change has occurred, how much is the same, how much core retention you have in any particular District. And all of this data is mirrored on the other reports. But, as I said before, that's a lot of paper. So here is a way of kind of quickly seeing and summarizing that information on the core retention issues.

Again, looking at a map it's useful to see some of that impact if you're trying to understand what's going on in terms of the data.

I see Commissioner Szetela has a question?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah I'm assuming you will update this for the plans that just came in yesterday and today?

>> KIM BRACE: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you.

>> KIM BRACE: It takes a little bit of time to run these, and Ryan had to get his wife to the doctor's appointment this morning. So he's on his way back to Nashville.

And we will end up, we have gotten the plan from this morning also and we will have that and run and in the system also. But I will send you this spreadsheet so at least you have it right now. And then we will update it later on this afternoon. Other questions?

>> CHAIR EID: I do not see any, Mr. Brace. So you're going to get this out to us.

>> KIM BRACE: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Updated version and we will go from there.

>> KIM BRACE: And, again, take a look at the graphically compare the Linden map on your Page that is already there. And we have been updating those maps. You will see that the three most recent or four most recent ones are not quite there. But they will be there later on this afternoon, this evening. And this whole table gets updated all the time.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful. We appreciate that. You know that point of data will be a help. So we appreciate your work.

>> KIM BRACE: Good, happy to do it, come up with different ideas.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, that completes that item on the agenda. Next up is item 6D, advancing draft proposed maps. Where is my list? All right, Commissioners so now we are at the point of our meeting where we have to decide which maps to send to the Court. Mr. Woods, I assume we do not have the data just yet for the ones that we worked on earlier today?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We do have it. I have it, yes, the VRA.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful. Let's take a look at that first.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Excuse me, let me make sure we can get our attorney on. I see Dr. Palmer is here. Dr. Palmer, has the analysis been run yet?

>> Yes, the analysis is done. And has been sent over to I think Kent has it with the rest of the mapping team.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I will reach out to Mr. Braden, and I will be right back.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Fink?

>> NATE FINK: Sorry, having an issue with the video. While we have a moment here I was just going to, wanted to and you probably were going to go over this Mr. Chairman, but as you're getting ready to go through the discussion of which maps you're going to send forward I want to remind the Commission pursuant to the deliberations process, and I'm looking at the document on another screen here, pursuant to deliberations process, that the Commission adopted, Commissioners should collectively review the collaborative maps, produced by the Commission. And discuss each map as they are presented, review the constitutional ranked criteria, noting differences between the districts as drawn, COIs, partisan fairness, pros and cons of the maps and any further distinguishing factors. And ultimately then decide which of the maps to send forward for public or send to the public and to the Court.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, thank you, Mr. Fink, I got the same document up and that is what I was going to use to help facilitate this as this is what the Commission adopted. We do also need to keep in mind how many maps we are going to send to the Court. We have five individual maps this time around. Maps from Commissioners Curry, Orton, Lange, Wagner and Szetela. Those are individual maps. And then as of right

now we have quite a few collaborative maps. Mr. Woods, were you able to hear from them?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yes, Mr. Braden will join shortly.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful. So in the back of your heads I would like everyone to keep in mind how many maps you want to advance. Last time around we had nine collaborative maps and one individual map. This time around obviously we have more individual maps. So that is something to consider.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Mr. Chair, I got an e-mail here saying that the shape file sent for Friday for Commissioner Lange's plan was the wrong shape file. And the new one is attached. I don't know what the background is to that. But it will either go in as a new plan or replace what was there. And it's plan 051724SDRLV1. Under score final. So I don't know when we could get that in. I don't know what the process is.

>> CHAIR EID: Who was your e-mail from?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: John Morgan.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So it's going to VRA analysis now.

>> I just sent that back.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So we've already got it.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Brace?

>> KIM BRACE: Yes, we were made aware later on today that there was an error in a plan that was duplicated apparently. And what was submitted as we've been reviewing the various plans. So that information is now up on the website. I'm sorry, it's due to be up on the website. But we have some of the newer stuff that we will make available. But we did catch this one earlier today. And so we sent out the e-mails to staff that this was a change that we are dealing with. Just don't look at the Lange plan from Friday. It was duplicated with the Orton plan from Wednesday.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, well we are not going to do the individual Commissioner maps until the end. So we will start the collaborative that gives you folks some time to get all the ducks in a row and make sure that the map that Commissioner Lange wants to submit is the one she is submitting.

>> KIM BRACE: Right.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yeah, just making sure that my map goes through. Because I asked to make sure that everything was on point and good to go. So I'm a little shocked right now to find out that there was an issue. Because I'm finding out right now.

>> CHAIR EID: We will figure it out. Your map is going to come through. You had it in on time. So we are good there. Mr. Braden, welcome.

>> Good afternoon.

>> CHAIR EID: Good afternoon, nice to see you.

>> Good, well, Dr. Palmer has produced a report. I took a look at the report. It looks like there are four performing districts in the most recent version. Max, are you on?

>> Yes, I'm here.

>> So looked like the plan works under our analysis while four districts in which I believe there is the majority in the turnout in the democratic primary.

>> There are three different maps we just updated.

>> I just saw the one, I guess we need to bring up the chart.

>> Just briefly I think the chart, there are so many maps here it might be a little bit.

>> Maybe.

>> Overwhelming.

>> Yeah. Taylor, do you have the slides to show?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I don't see Taylor.

>> Okay.

>> Was he on the message about getting on right now?

>> If I can share, I can show.

>> Why don't you share then, yeah.

>> Let's see if it will let me.

>> I've seen the one but I'm not sure I saw the chart for the other two yet. Bingo.

>> So I have the graphics if you would like to see them. But I think more useful just to look at the tables here. So first is today's date V1. That is the map you worked on initially this morning. We have four performing districts there. Under both primary measures, primary turn out and primary pool. The second one is called V3. From after the VRA presentation today. That also has four performing districts under both measures. And then map four has three performing.

>> Has three, okay.

>> It was useful to see the figures again, I can pull them up, but we are starting to get a lot of maps all plotted against each other here.

>> CHAIR EID: No, I think that is good. So we have the one we worked on earlier that we are calling Phoenix as four. We have Finch V2 went from three to four. But Blue jay, the V2 stayed at three. So.

>> I don't have the new names for all of it but that is correct.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful.

>> Yeah, and you look at the last map and I'm guessing that it would unlikely could be easily defendable. I don't think you could make, you know, who it really does just to have three.

>> CHAIR EID: Well, if it has three it has three. We will decide whether we want to move it on or not.

Thank you for that. I appreciate it.

And let's move on to the list. So according to our mapping policies we are supposed to review each one in alphabetical order. Let's start, we just spoke about Blue jay. Let's open it up. Let's open up the latest one, V2.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, give me just a minute to get it up here. I was -- I had the replacement line plan already loaded now.

>> CHAIR EID: We will do the individual maps after. We have to go through the collaborative ones, look at them, decide how many maps we are going to advance and then vote on which maps to advance.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I got Blue jay V2 is that the one we just spoke to?

>> CHAIR EID: Right.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do you want to look at the VRA result, or did you all already see it?

>> CHAIR EID: No, let's just open up the plan. I thought this was the one he just said has three. So version one has three and version two has three. So there was no change there. But more broadly speaking can you take off the neighborhood layer?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I'm headed there now. There we go.

>> CHAIR EID: This one has three VRA districts. It changed 14 total districts. The partisan fairness is in line with what we've seen with the lopsided margins test of 4.0 on mean median difference of 2.7 and an efficiency gap of 0.8. The compactness number for the Polsby Popper, the most is 22, the least is one. Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: So this is one of the ones with only three VRA districts?

>> CHAIR EID: That's correct.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: So in my opinion, since we have quite a few that have four, we should really first consider those with four as to whether to send them forward, or not. That is what I think.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, so I'm trying to go over all of them that are named because that's what the policy document that we passed says. It says to do it in alphabetical order. So I have Blue jay V1, V2, cardinal, Crane, dove, Eagle, Finch V1, Finch V2, hawk, hummingbird, Robin, Sparrow, Starling V1, 2, 3, swift 1 and 2 and Phoenix. Not all have four are you suggesting we start with the ones that have four and then after that go through the ones that have three?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: If it were up to me that is what I would do because we were told by our VRA consultants that four is safer.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, I agree. Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: I believe Commissioner Lange's hand was up first.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I'm going to agree with Commissioner Orton, and I want to be completely transparent with the public. I'm only here until 3:00. So to look at ones that only have three when they may not hold up to the ones that have four seems

like a waste of time right now for me. So if it's possible to look at the ones with four, I really think we should do that.

>> CHAIR EID: Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: I just wanted to let you know you missed flamingo in your list.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. Can I have a motion from somebody if y'all want to do that.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I move we look at the ones that have four VRA districts first.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I second.

>> CHAIR EID: There is a motion by Commissioner Lange, seconded by Commissioner Orton to look at the ones that have four VRA districts first. All those in favor, is there any discussion? Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Were you voting?

>> CHAIR EID: No, discussion. Do you have any discussion?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I'm voting yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, seeing no discussion we will go to vote, all those in favor of the motion please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Is there any opposed? Is there any opposed? Seeing none the ayes have it.

Okay, so the first one in alphabetical order that does have four is cardinal.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, let me close this out. 373.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, 373. So this map, cardinal, 373, 050924 version 1, has four VRA districts. The partisan fairness numbers are as follows: Lopsided margin is 3.6%. Mean median difference is 2.6%. Efficiency gap is 0.8%. And the seats to votes ratio is 22 to 16. 5% for the democrats. For the compactness scores for the polls B Popper and the shorts score the most District is 22, the least is 20. Under the Reock score the most is 31, the least is 17. And it changes 12 total districts. If you recall, this is the one that we made, that approximates the Motown Sound House configurations into about three. We have three Motown Sound districts making approximately east Senate District and that's what we did with this one around Detroit. And took some of the collaborative districts from some of the other maps in the suburbs. Does anyone have anything else to say about this map? Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So while there are certainly things I don't love about this map; I don't want to be labor this process so let's move this map forward, so I make a motion to move the cardinal map to the Court.

>> CHAIR EID: Motion by Commissioner Szetela.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: And we have a second by Commissioner Weiss to move this map, cardinal, forward for publication to the Court. Is there any discussion? Seeing none, we will move to vote. All those in favor please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Any opposed?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Nay.

>> CHAIR EID: The ayes have it. And this one is moving forward.

The next map with four VRA districts is Crane, 385. This map once again has four VRA districts. The partisan fairness numbers are 5.1 for lopsided margin. 2.9 for mean median difference. 1.8 for efficiency gap and a 21-17 seats to votes ratio, 2.4% for the democrats. It changes 14 total districts. Would anyone like to say something about this map? Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I also move to present the crane map to the Court.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I second it.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion and a second. I do see a hand by Mr. Fink.

>> NATE FINK: To the extent that someone can speak to the some of the aspects of the map that you know good or bad, I think the rules contemplate that with the understanding we have limited time this afternoon. But just for the benefit of the public and the record, obviously there will be an opportunity after receiving public feedback to, you know, deliberate on which map to adopt. But to the extent that the Commission can discuss some of the configuration aspects rather than just the raw numbers I think would be beneficial, with the understanding that it's got to be done in a time-efficient manner.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, we do have a couple hours here folks so don't be shy. Let's move to discussion and during discussion we can hopefully have some of that discussion. Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I understood that during the next week and the following week we have the virtual public hearings I think they are called. That's when we will actually explain each map, go through each part of it, the collaborative and the individual maps. Is that sufficient for explaining them or do we need to do it today as well? Because I think we will be explaining them four times during those public hearings.

>> CHAIR EID: I think the more times we explain these configurations the better probably. And Mr. Fink has asked that we do do it now. So we can just all say a few words about this one and then we will take a vote on the motion. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I can give a brief description of this. I don't recall working on this map, however, I believe Commissioner Orton did start it and it was started based around the individual map drawn by Juanita Curry so districts 1, 3 and 6 were the districts for the most part drafted by Commissioner Curry and her map. And

then two is mostly the same. 7 is mostly the same as the original Linden along with 12 and 11 and 10 were changed because obviously they were districts we had to change as well as 8 and there are some changes to District 5 as well. Plymouth, Canton and Plymouth and Canton are put together in this map. So those are some of the changes that were made here.

>> CHAIR EID: I noticed that district 7 is quite similar to the original Linden District 7 going from Pontiac to Southfield, that is okay because we needed to change 7 and also note that 12 is also similar to the Lynn Linden configuration as well. Mr. Woods?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you. Just want to reiterate the reason why we are doing this is to establish a record and it's the same process we actually did with the House. Just establishing a record so it's clear. So thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: This one does change 13 a little bit. And instead of going south into Plymouth instead it goes west to include Lyon. And I think in order to accomplish that change we changed 23 and 24 a little bit as well. But not too much. But I see that District between 9 and 11 you still have the Sterling Heights split around Van Dyke. Any more discussion on this map, Commissioners? Seeing none we will move to vote, all those in favor to publish this map to the Court please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Is there any opposed?

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Nay.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Weiss, were you in favor or opposed? I saw your hand, but you were on mute.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I'm in favor.

>> CHAIR EID: The ayes have it and this map pushes forward.

Next is dove. So right now we are looking at dove. Also known as 364 or 5724 version 2. This one has four VRA districts. The lopsided margins test is 6.2. Mean median difference is 2.5. Efficiency gap is 4.6. And the seats to votes ratio is 20-18. Negative 0.2 to the democrats and I have a total of 12 districts being changed here. As far as the compactness for the polls B proper score the most is 22 and the least is 20. Does anyone have anything to say about this map, Commissioners?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Looks like District 12 was split compared to Linden.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: A change.

>> CHAIR EID: This has a different configuration for 11 and 12. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I was just going to say this is one of the maps that splits 11 and 12 a little differently. It also keeps 7 mostly intact except it puts Birmingham into 8. Then it divides up Detroit a little differently rather than having three districts that are almost entirely within Detroit, this has one in three which are within Detroit but 10 and 8 which kind of cross over a little bit into Detroit and have kind of a

Detroit northern suburbs District as well. Then I do believe 13 was reconfigured a little bit as well as five. I move to advance the, what is this, dove map to the Court.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: Is there any more discussion? We have a motion by Commissioner Szetela, seconded by Commissioner Weiss to move this one forward to the Court. Any further discussion, Commissioners? I'll just note for this one the partisan fairness seems a little I think it's still all right, but it seems a little further off compared to what some of the other ones are. But that's all I got. Any more discussion? Seeing none we will move to vote, all those in favor of advancing this map to the Court please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Those opposed?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: They.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: They.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: They.

>> CHAIR EID: Might need a roll call on this one.

>> Voting on 364 also known as the dove map when I call your name if you are in favor of the dove map moving forward, please indicate that by yes, if you are not in favor of the dove map moving forward please indicate that with a no. I'll call on Commissioners alphabetically beginning with Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: No. Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: No. Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: No. Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes. Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: No.

>> Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Yes.

>> Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry, yes.

>> Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes.

>> Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes.

>> With a vote of 7 yes to five no the yes prevail.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Ms. Young.

>> You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: The next one I have with four VRA districts is Finch V2. This is the one we did earlier today. So this has four VRA districts. Those changes we made centered around the community in 3 and 7, looks like it made 7 become a VRA District. So that's nice. We did not run partisan fairness on this yet. Can you just run it super quick?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes with a lopsided margin is 3.7%. Republican.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Mean median is 2.6% republican. Efficiency gap is .8 democrat. And seats to vote is 5.1 and it's 22-16 in favor of democrat seats.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, and this map changes a total of 13 districts. For the compactness under Polsby Popper score the most is 22 and the least is one. You can see this is one of the ones where one goes into, goes a little bit further Down River. If you go to the other side, Kent.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Because of Wyandotte.

>> CHAIR EID: Yep, it goes into Wyandotte. Then we talked about that, and we didn't have time yet to change it. But if you Zoom out I'll motion we advance this one forward.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion by myself, a second by Commissioner Callaghan to advance Finch V2 to the Court.

I can say just a few things about it. It started out collaborative, and actually I think the whole thing was collaborative. You see District 12 has not changed from the original Linden map but the 13 is similar to what we have in a few of them with Farmington and Farmington Hills being in with Novi, Walled Lake and West Bloomfield. It has that change in District 6 to include all of the parts of, yep, if you go to Northville, Kent, on the left, Northville is all included in District 6. And this is one of the ones that has Sterling Heights not being split but instead being with Troy and Madison Heights. And in Detroit you have the District 1 that curves around Dearborn. You have a District 3 that takes up kind of that Central area of Detroit including Highland Park and Hamtramck. Going up into the Ferndale area. And then District 8 that goes from the east side up to East Point, Warren and Centerline. Any further discussion? Seeing none we will move to vote.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Roll call.

>> CHAIR EID: Can we get a roll call vote on this, Ms. Young?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Absolutely Mr. Chair. We are voting on Finch V2.

>> CHAIR EID: That's correct.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: A yes vote means you are in favor of moving Finch V2 forward. And a no vote means you are not in favor of moving Finch V2 forward. I'll begin alphabetically with Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Wagner is not with us. Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair with a vote of 7 yes to 5 no, the yes prevail.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, this map moves on, thank you Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: Next is flamingo but that had three and after that we have hawk but that also had three, that brings us to hummingbird. Okay so we are looking at hummingbird. Also known as 371, 050824 version 4. Changes 12 total districts. Four VRA the lopsided margin is 3.8. Mean median is 0.3. Efficiency gap is 0.8. And seats to vote ratio is 22 and 16. 5 percent towards the democratic side.

There is a lot of background noise.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Somebody has a microphone open.

>> CHAIR EID: That fixed it. Anything anyone would like to say about this map? I will make a motion we advance hummingbird to the Court.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion by myself and a second by Commissioner Lett to advance hummingbird to the Court. Is there any discussion? So I'll say this is one of the ones that has this District 1 that goes from the Down River Areas up all the way to the east side of Detroit. It has eight kind of stacked right on top of that including Highland Park and Hamtramck. It is one of the configurations that has Livonia and Redford also coming in with Detroit in District 6. You have Dearborn and Dearborn

Heights being put together with Inkster and Garden City, thank you. A little bit of Westland is included in that as well. In District 3 you have Farmington Hills is split a little bit, but it includes half of Farmington, Farmington Hills, Southfield, and parts of Royal Oak Township, Oak Park, Berkeley is in three here where Royal Oak is in nine. But nine that includes Pontiac, along with Birmingham, down through Royal Oak into Hazel Park. Seven goes eastward and kind of stacks on top of nine with Pontiac and Rochester Hills going into Sterling heights whereas ten is a vertical District, Sterling Heights going down. And 11 also runs south. 12 here doesn't look like it's changed much from the original 12. And it doesn't look like 13 is changed much from the original 13 either. Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Can I make a suggestion? If we are going to go through these I mean you are going through saying yes, this City is with this City and et cetera, et cetera, can we hear why? I think if we are going to make a record of this then it should say why these particular ones are with. It might be better for the public to understand. I mean, I can look at the map and see what cities are with what cities. Either that or skip that part. Just a suggestion.

>> CHAIR EID: I will try to do that. Anyone else can chime in too. We are in discussion. So if anyone has anything to add please be my guest as well. But I hear you. I will try to do that. Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Excuse my background noise that is why I was keeping myself off mute. I'm at a separate appointment but I will say to Commissioner Lange's point what makes this map a bit different it provides a different configuration to the Detroit area. It does not sweep from the Detroit river to the eastern western or northwest parts of the City. I think that is most notable and it does minimal inclusion of the northwest east side of Detroit with the suburbs. So those communities have expressed some similarities.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: And it also keeps south Lincoln Park or Lincoln Park and down river and Melvindale and River Rouge with Detroit.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry, was there a motion already on this? I thought there was.

>> CHAIR EID: There is, we are in discussion.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.

Yeah, my biggest concern with this map is just how similar 11 and 6 are to the map, the districts that were struck down.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, I was going to say that 11 is very close to the Linden map. And also that this is basically the one we changed that became Phoenix was going to be very close to Phoenix. But with that 11 and 6 changed.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I was going to say the same thing about those two districts. I wonder if we could pull up that core of retention or whatever that is called for those two districts on this map and see how much of it actually is changed.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So, make sure I'm looking at the right map, that is hummingbird. So District 11 remains, it's 91% of Linden 11. And 12 is 93 or 94% Linden 12. So approximately.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Change 6. We need to see District 6.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: District 6. Is, let me get over here. Hummingbird, District 6 is 65% of the previous districts. Linden 6, actually 36% of the population, you know, it's a fair number of people.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Thank you.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is that all on the spreadsheet?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, I think so. Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes, this come up yesterday, Commissioner Szetela mentioned about a District being very similar in size. So my question is how much of an original contested District do we have to change to make it I guess acceptable? I guess I'm kind of wondering what that answer is. If somebody could weigh in on that I would like an answer, please. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, we talked a little bit about that during the presentation yesterday. Mr. Fink if you would like to answer.

>> NATE FINK: That is what I was going to say, Mr. Weiss or Commissioner Weiss yesterday when we heard from Mr. Raile from Baker Hostetler spoke a little bit about this and ultimately said that there's no clear, you know, you got to hit a certain percentage or anything like that. And he gave the example of I believe it was HD1 from the Motown Sound map, which I think was about 13% or so changed relative to the HD1 in the Hickory plan. But that was ultimately defensible because there were significant substantive differences between the Hickory version of HD1 and the Motown Sound version of HD1. So because of that, what may sound like a relatively small percentage change in the 13% example, which again I think is the number from HD1, ultimately passed muster with the Court. That said, if you had 13% across the board with each of these districts, without a real good reason for why you did that, that may not necessarily, you know, pass. Right now, of course, you are just considering which maps to put forward to the public to hear feedback on. And so, you know, if there is some support for a particular map or some interest in hearing what the public may think about it, I don't see any harm in you know sending forward a map that may have that you may have some concerns about like in this example District 11 that looks like maybe a little bit under 10% in terms of the percentage that was changed relative to Linden. You can send that forward. You can send forward other versions of this. I

think Commissioner Andrade referenced the other version modified to see what the public thinks or obviously you don't have to, but it ultimately falls to you.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Thank you Mr. Fink.

>> NATE FINK: I hope that is helpful. Yep.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So that was not entirely my understanding of the presentation tomorrow or the presentation yesterday. I thought with respect to District 1 the reason why that low percentage was justifiable was because there were concrete communities of interest we could point to specifically the Latino community to say part of the design of this District is being driven by something other than race. And that is the Latino community of interest and other communities of interest and therefore that kind of gave credence to less of a reduction. My general take away was that the more we change the better to some degree so closer to 50% then it no longer is a similar District. And my concern with 11 is it's less than 10% change. I don't know that there is any specific communities of interest in 11 that we could point to to justify. And if it's been changed in the Phoenix and doesn't have those concerns, I don't see any benefit in advancing this map, if there is another map that does it better. I would be less concerned with District 6 if it's at 65% because I think there are more significant changes there. So just my thoughts.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Is it possible to see the Phoenix map overlay on this so that we can see how different they are and maybe decide if we want to take one instead of the other or both.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, we can get to that. Let me...was one Phoenix?

>> CHAIR EID: It was one from today.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: One from today so it would be that one. Commissioner Eid I don't know if you see my hand being raised.

>> CHAIR EID: Go ahead, Commissioner Kellom.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: There are things I think are better adjusted in the Phoenix map, I think the benefit to pushing this forward to the public is it gives them another option for the configuration so if we hear feedback that folks don't like 11 and it's similar to Linden I think it gives more opportunity for interaction which is what we need and without this map being pushed to the public there is not that variation so people can reimagine their neighborhoods and kind of get into the conversation that we had with the House maps. So I think it provides an opportunity for discussion for members of the public.

>> CHAIR EID: You want to look at that overlay now, Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I'm seeing it.

>> CHAIR EID: So 11 here in Phoenix mount Clemens is back in with 12 and 11 instead takes up portions of Sterling Heights. And on the upper portion that upper part

is totally in 24. Okay any more discussion on this map, Commissioners? Seeing none we will move to vote. All those in favor of advancing hummingbird to the Court.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Could we get a roll call on this one please.

>> CHAIR EID: Sure, can we get a roll call, Ms. Young?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Absolutely, Mr. Chair. We are voting on the hummingbird map, a yes vote means that you are in favor of moving it forward and a no vote means that you are not in favor of moving it forward. I'll begin the roll call with Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT:

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you. Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair, with a vote of five yes to seven no, the map does not move forward.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, thank you, Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: We will now go to Robin. Which is 377.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Chair, I believe you are missing Phoenix if you are trying to go in alphabetical order.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. I put that at the end of my list but, yes, let's go to Phoenix. It's a good time with just going over hummingbird as well. So right now we are looking at Phoenix. It's what we did earlier. We saw it still has four VRA districts. So this changed hummingbird and tried to combine it with flamingo and see it still has a District 1 that goes from the Down River communities up through the east side of Detroit, perhaps not so much through the east side. District 8 is once again stacked on top of it. Six and three also look similar to what we were just looking at in flamingo with I believe a slight difference between three and ten in the Royal Oak, Berkeley, Huntington Woods area. Nine is the same. I don't know if it's exactly the same but it's very similar to the original Linden map, protecting that Chaldean community of interest that we heard about. 12 also is very similar to the Linden map protecting that lakeshore community of interest that we've heard about. In order to accomplish that we had Waterford to be in a District with 7, going south. 13 takes in more of Commerce Township into the District. And in order to accomplish that we moved around a few of the townships in 23 and 24, similar to in Crane. I do not have the compactness metrics for this one in front of me. Can you run that for me real quick, Kent?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Commissioner Eid, Commissioner Lange's hand is up.

>> CHAIR EID: I see it, I just want to see these real quick first.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Least compact is -- most compact is 1 and the least compact is 22.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Can you tell me how many districts were changed in this particular plan?

>> CHAIR EID: I think it changed the same amount of as crane but I'm not a hundred percent sure because of that District 9 that is similar to the original. So I don't have that answer for you.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: It seems like when we were doing the House the further out we got away from districts that had to be changed the more concern it brought in 23 to change any in 23 it seems like it's kind of far out. Just my opinion. So I was kind of curious how many total districts had been changed.

>> CHAIR EID: Could we compare this to Linden and see how many districts changed?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I haven't done it. Where is the comparison? Just by looking at it?

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah, just put on the overlay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, there was a tool for doing that. I think it would take a moment to run. You know, you got 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 3, 1, 2, 3, and 8, 12 changed, 11 changed. What do we got?

>> CHAIR EID: So 23 and 24 changed on this one. I believe they also, right, I believe those same ones also changed in crane. I don't know how it goes to the total number, but nine and seven remain mostly the same as Linden from what I'm seeing.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I counted them and looks like, well, one through 12 plus these two. So and 13, 14, 15. Okay.

Okay any motions for this map Commissioners? I motion that it moves forward.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: I have a motion by myself and a second by Commissioner Muldoon. Is there any discussion? Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm hearing and sounds like construction noise in the background, and I can barely hear you when you are talking.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There you go.

>> CHAIR EID: There we go, thank you, Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Can we assume we are going to do a roll call votes from here on out since we are doing them on everyone anyway?

>> CHAIR EID: Ms. Schaar, or Department of State, usually I believe someone has to ask for one.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: I believe that would count as asking for one. Commissioner Lett just asked for a roll call vote for the rest so we can proceed.

>> CHAIR EID: Sounds good to me. Well, I think this is a good map. I think it takes hummingbird and it improves upon it. 11 is now quite a bit different than what it had before. I think the changes we made to accomplish that make a whole lot of sense. Nine being similar to the original protecting the Chaldean community of interest. I think it does better in three and ten as well because Farmington Hills is no longer split. Three takes in more of Commerce Township so it's less split. Whereas eight has that Highland Oak Park and Hamtramck Detroit centered districts. Anything else Commissioners? Seeing nothing we will go with a vote, if we can get a roll call, please?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Yes, sir. Mr. Chair. We are voting on the Phoenix V1 map, a yes vote means you are ready for the map to move forward or would like the map to move forward and a no vote means you would not like the map to move forward. I will begin with Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?
>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: No.
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No.
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?
>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: No.
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Weiss?
>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: No.
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Andrade?
>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?
>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?
>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No.
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair, we have a tie, six yes, six no.
>> CHAIR EID:
>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lange's hand and Commissioner Szetela.
>> CHAIR EID: I see that.
>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: What does a tie mean, that means it does not proceed, correct, so the motion was to move it forward if there is a tie and if you don't get majority that means it doesn't move forward? I'm just asking if that is correct?
>> CHAIR EID: Ms. Schaar?
>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Bear with me one moment. I'm trying to see if the deliberations procedure says anything different. So give me just a second.
>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?
>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I was going to say Robert's Rules a tie means the motion fails.
>> YVONNE YOUNG: I was going to say that too but let's let Megan bring the final word but that is what I say too, Commissioner Szetela. But let's wait one second.
>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: It's not discussed in the deliberations so I would defer to Robert's Rules so, yes, a tie would fail.
>> CHAIR EID: Okay.
>> YVONNE YOUNG: With a tie vote this map fails. It does not move forward.
>> CHAIR EID: If a Commissioner voted no wants to, they had to vote no, there is an option for them to reconsider. But for now we will move to Robin. Okay Robin this has four VRA districts. We are looking at Robin, 377, 5924 version 5. Four VRA districts lopsided margin is 3.8, mean median difference is 0.3, efficiency gap is 0.8 with a seats to votes ratio of 22 to 16. I have this one changing 15 total districts. With the compactness under the Polsby Popper score having the most in five and the least in

one. Would anyone like to speak about this map? Commissioner Lange, I saw your hand first.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I just have a couple questions on this map. It looks like District 12 and 25 were changed from the Linden; is that correct? And Linden in 12 didn't it go all the way around the Lake? That is a question, if you know the answer.

>> CHAIR EID: Mine says 12 is changed. And 23 and 24 are changed. It does not say 25 is changed. But it kind of looks like it is on here.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Okay.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This was right there, is that called, this area was in 20, no, this was in 12. And now it's in 25. So I put this area into 25. And that moved Mount Clemens in a small area of this.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Harper Woods.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yeah, Harper Woods. I was going to say Morningside, but I knew it wasn't right.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Yeah, it's not specifically about this map, but can you tell me how many maps we have passed forward so far?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right now I'm looking at 1, 2, 3, 4.

>> CHAIR EID: Miss Schaar?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Thus far the Commission has voted to advance the cardinal map, the crane map, the dove map, Finch version two, so that would be four in total.

>> CHAIR EID:

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I was going to say that this map was one of the first to go back to the 7 configuration because the other maps we were working on like the swift, the Sparrow, the Starling had 7 more compact and venturing into Detroit, not connected with Pontiac. So this was because I drew that District 7. That was done after we received public comments from people in Pontiac asking us to put them back to the configuration they had in the Linden. Then I tried to keep the shoreline mostly the same. But put that mount Clemens into 11 to create a little bit of a different 11 District than what was in the Linden, so it was not copying the Linden.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. So if we Zoom in on Detroit here, so this is the one that one wraps around Dearborn but then three and eight split up Hamtramck from Highland Park. Three is a -- three is like the west, the east side of Detroit up to East Point whereas the eight includes Highland Parks takes in the west side of Detroit and goes up to Ferndale. I just wanted to point out that. And the six in here has Farmington Hills being in whole with Livonia and Redford.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Romulus also is not split in this one. A lot of our maps have Romulus split and this map does not. And I believe it also you would have

to scroll out a little bit, I believe it has Van Buren Belleville Huron and Sumpter in one District which is something else we were asked for, yep.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, what do we want to do with this, folks?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I move that we move it forward.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion by Commissioner Lange, seconded by Commissioner Szetela to move this map forward. Is there any further discussion, Commissioners? Seeing none I will move to vote.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: This vote Commissioners is to move the Robin map forward. A yes vote means you would like to move it forward and a no vote means you would not like the map to move forward. I will begin with Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair with a vote of three yes to nine no, the motion fails the vote, the map does not move forward, thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. Next up I have Sparrow. So we are looking at Sparrow, 349, 42524 version 7. It has four VRA districts. I'm seeing 13 districts were changed. It has a lopsided margin score of 5.0%. A mean median difference of 0.3%. And efficiency gap of 1.9%. And a seats to votes ratio of 21 to 17, plus 2.4% for the

democrats. For the compactness under the Polsby proper method is most is 22 and the least is 20.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Mr. Chair, what was the lopsided margin? Can you read a couple of those again? The lopsided margin and the means median?

>> CHAIR EID: Sure lopsided margin is positive 5.0. Mean median difference is 0.3%. And the efficiency gap is 1.9%. The seats to votes ratio being 21 to 17. Plus 2.4% to democrats.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You are welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: This has a similar Detroit to what we were just looking at kind of. One doesn't wrap around quite as far into Dearborn. Instead goes eastward to take up Hamtramck and go into the east side whereas District 3 includes Highland Park along with the rest of Detroit. Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: What bird name was this one again?

>> CHAIR EID: We are on Sparrow.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Does anyone have thoughts on this one Commissioners?

Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I see it now, but I didn't see it before but now I see it. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Is it possible to have the blue names taken off? I find it difficult and can you Zoom out a little bit.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I was trying to take the blue names off and I don't know why they were showing up on this map. I don't know why they are showing up on this map.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I do like this map has that different configuration for 7 where it's kind of more close like Detroit bordering kind of instead of stretching up. And we don't have a lot out there right now that has that configuration. So I like that this is kind of a different option. Instead of just having three districts in Detroit. I would actually move that we move it forward.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion by Commissioner Szetela. Does anyone have a second?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I will second.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion by Commissioner Szetela and a second by Commissioner Lange to move forward. Is there any further debate? Commissioner Orton, did I see your hand?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Just took me a minute to take it down.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Can you Zoom in because it looks like I'm looking at Detroit outside of Detroit. What am I seeing?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is Ecorse.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm looking where you got Detroit outside of Detroit Highland Park together in three is that what that is?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No, okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: And Kent can we move down the map and see District 4?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. District Four splits Romulus.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: And it splits the Van Buren Township from Sumpter.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Thanks.

>> CHAIR EID: Can you repeat that for the Van Buren area? Got you, I see it, thank you. Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: It seems to cross 8 Mile an awful lot across a lot of mainly suburban districts. I'm not sure if there is a compelling reason for that.

>> CHAIR EID: We can cross 8 Mile based on community input and we have a lot of maps that have done that. Seven is a little different in its configuration there. But I don't think just it being 8 Mile is a reason to be afraid to go over it or not just as long as it's in ways that makes sense for the COIs that we have heard from.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: This one seems extensive than any other configuration we have put forward so far. Just an observation.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry about that. I think it's actually pretty similar to the other maps that we've not necessarily same design of districts but the crossing because if you look back at the cardinal and the dove, the crane that is not true for but the cardinal and the dove have that kind of crossing over of 8 Mile and we have actually received feedback from people, we have heard two different sides some people are saying keep Detroit in Detroit and heard people say, no, cross 8 Mile because the border communities north of 8 Mile are actually connected with Detroit. So hear varying reports on that.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yeah, I have to agree on that, about the kind of opposing public comments we got. I know when I did mine I kept mine below 8 Mile and it seems like recently the public comments were not in favor of that. So I guess to have some maps that give them both options to see what the public thinks as a whole might not be a bad thing.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: And I would also just add this is one of the few maps and there is a series that we have here with like the Sparrow, the Starling the swift where those are the maps that actually put Plymouth with Canton which is something we heard a lot about with Plymouth and Canton being together and these maps actually accomplish that so Plymouth and Canton are united in this map which is again offering a different option for people out there. I do think we need to have some

consolidation across the Sparrow, swift and Starling maps, maybe just advance one out of those because they all kind of have the same 7, 10, 1, 3 configuration. So, yeah.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Well, I think it is good to pare down our options and know which one we want to choose. So if Commissioner Szetela thinks that those are similar, those maps that I think she mostly drew, then maybe we should compare them now and you know choose one or something.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I would say the swift and the Sparrow have the same configuration for 11 and 12 and the Sterling and the swift two have the District 12 kept intact from the original Linden. And Starling I keep wanting to call it Sterling. Starling two keeps Van Buren with Sumpter and Huron whereas the other ones have Van Buren pulled up with Canton. So maybe starling one would be the one to look at and consider moving forward then the other ones, swift two, swift one and Sparrow not move forward.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: We can overlay the different versions if the Commission would like.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Which one do we have open right now? What is this? I lost track at this point.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is the Sparrow.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: If you were to overlay the swift one over it I think that might be a useful comparison.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What is the.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Swift one is 0507 version 4. 0507 version 4.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 2, 8, 9, I don't have that one. I said that and that is one I don't have. We can go -- let me go open it up and create it. Do it right now what was that again 3.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: For the swift, the swift is 362. And the starling, which is I think what you had open, now I'm getting confused I'm sorry, what did you originally have open, someone tell me?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: 362.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 362 was the swift one.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Uh-huh.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It shut down.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sorry.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I will be right back as soon as I get it back up.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah I just wanted to note that I also thought 364 dove was similar to swift version one and starling version one.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: The dove has the up and down District 7 though. So it's quite different in Oakland County because of that. It is similar to the District 5 though.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So this is the swift one, swift version one?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I believe it's version four.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That is right. I was just looking at it, okay.

Now I'm going to go back to Sparrow and bring that swift one in. So, yep, there we go. So, yes, there is one, it's the same, two is the same. Three is the same, a little bit of seven. Six is a little, is different.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Swift one versus Sparrow?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay and do you have the starling two?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, what was that?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 050924.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Now that one I should have handy se Szetela because if you see for this District 5 that was just on the screen it's got Canton, Van Buren Township and Belleville and half of Romulus together. Plus Plymouth. Whereas the starling two has Plymouth and Canton together plus Romulus.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay what was the starling plan name? I don't have it on this list.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 050924 version 2.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Come on. Yep.

>> CHAIR EID: I know Commissioner Lange has to leave in a few minutes. And we do have a motion and a second that's on the table.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: To move which plan forward? What name.

>> CHAIR EID: Sparrow.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Sparrow. I would say, yeah, if you see the difference there in District 5, the Sparrow puts Van Buren Township and Romulus with Canton. The starling has a different configuration with Romulus, and I believe Commissioner Muldoon drew. Where he puts all of Romulus intact with part of Taylor into Canton and portions of Wayne Westland. All right, so yeah I'm sorry Commissioner Lange? I have nothing else on that if you want to take the vote so she can leave Lange LAN.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I had a question.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I was going to ask there was a motion and a second on this one then during discussion it was stated that starling two version two was a better version of it, which I do like that it keeps the lakeshore community. We heard so much about that even through the House plan. So I was wondering if Commissioner Szetela would be willing to withdraw her motion and make another motion for the one that she thought was the best and since you were talking about possibly eliminating others.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I can do that. I can withdraw my motion with respect to the Sparrow and instead make a motion to advance the Sterling, starling version two.

And I would just ask that Kent opens up that version so people can see it. But yes it has the original District 12 intact. And then it puts Plymouth with Canton and like I said Commissioner Muldoon was the one that put Romulus and part of Taylor up into that Canton District to reunite Van Buren Township with Huron and Sumpter.

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Is that the motion?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I don't think I did. I worked on Fraser on V2.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Does anyone know who did that? Because that's not something I did.

>> CHAIR EID: So you withdrew your original motion then you made a motion to advance starling V2 did that get a second?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: I will second that.

>> CHAIR EID: Can you pull up the actual starling V2?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> CHAIR EID: We kind of went through this already but just for the record four VRA districts. Lopsided margin is 5.0, mean median is 1.4, efficiency gap is 1.9% with a seats to votes ratio of 21 to 17. 2.4% for the democrats, changes 13 total districts. And the most compact District is 22, the least is 20. Any discussion on the motion? Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would just add that the District 1 is pretty similar to what Commissioner Kellom had drawn in the Phoenix. And the hummingbird before it. And then this like I said combines Plymouth with Canton and keeps Farmington Hills whole, keeps Livonia whole. And you know also puts Romulus whole but with five and then Van Buren, Sumpter, those communities that it asked to be together are still together.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, any more discussion? If not we will move to vote. So if we can get a roll call, please?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Yes, sir, Mr. Chair. We are voting on map starling version two. A yes vote means that you are in favor of moving the map forward, a no vote means you are not in favor of moving the map forward. I will begin with Commissioner Lange?

>> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT:

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett? You have to come off mute, sir.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you. Marcus Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?

- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?
- >> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Weiss?
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Andrade?
- >> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't even know. Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?
- >> CHAIR EID: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?
- >> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair we have a tie vote. Motion fails.
- >> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lange?
- >> COMMISSIONER LANGE: Yes, for the record I have to leave now. Best of luck to everybody finishing this and we will see you the next time.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you Commissioner Lange.
- >> CHAIR EID: Once again if anyone would want to reconsider the vote as long as it's in the meeting today we can. Mr. Fink?
- >> NATE FINK: Just to follow on what you said, Mr. Chairman, during the House remedial mapping process you sent forward 10 or 11 I think collaborative maps it was. And I know that you're cutting a number of maps here. I encourage you to provide as many options as possible to the public. I don't have a vote on this obviously but as Mr. Chairman you indicated if anybody voted no on any of the maps you have already considered you could move to reconsider. I just would encourage you to put forward as many options as you can to the public, to the extent that you think they are reasonably configured maps. Just to make sure that you provide and present enough options to the public, thanks.
- >> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Mr. Fink. I think I saw Commissioner Vallette's hand?
- >> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yeah, do we have to revote because I remember when Juanita wanted to change her vote we wouldn't let her.
- >> CHAIR EID: So anybody, if they are in the losing side of a vote can do a motion to reconsider either on the same day or at the start of the next day. That's always.
- >> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: I do understand now. I see the difference. Thank you.
- >> CHAIR EID: Yeah, but you have to be on the losing side of it and change your mind in order to put forth the motion to reconsider. Is that right, Ms. Schaar?

- >> MEGHAN SCHAAR: That is and a motion to reconsider requires a two thirds vote.
- >> CHAIR EID: Okay, Commissioner Kellom?
- >> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: So my question, you said the losing side of the vote. The person that has been on the losing side of the vote, they can be the only person to make a motion for reconsideration?
- >> CHAIR EID: I think.
- >> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Let me clarify. So if you voted against the motion, so I think that might be what Chair Eid was referring to as the losing side. I guess it's not very clear.
- >> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Okay, thank you for that clarification.
- >> CHAIR EID: Okay, so starling V2 had a tie. Phoenix had a tie. We are back to is there any motions regarding Sparrow? Okay, let's go to starling V1.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Excuse me Chair, what are we going to do with Sparrow? It has neither been passed, it's going to sit.
- >> CHAIR EID: I'm not doing anything with it right now.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, next.
- >> CHAIR EID: Starling V1.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair Megan has her hand up and Commissioner Kellom, sir.
- >> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Mine was not intentional. My apologies.
- >> CHAIR EID:
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you.
- >> CHAIR EID: Thanks. Okay so this is starling V1. We have four VRA districts. The lopsided margins test here is 5.0%, mean median difference is 1.4%. Efficiency gap is 1.9%. For the seats votes ratio of 21 to 17. I have changes 13 total districts and the most compact District is 22. The least compact being 20. We kind of talked about this, it's similar to what we were looking at with Sparrow and starling V2. Yeah, does anyone want to speak on this map?
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: How is this different from the one we just voted on?
- >> CHAIR EID: Do we want to overlay the two, can you overlay starling V2 on to here?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: What is that plan name?
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 0509 version 2, and the difference is that Canton configuration is Romulus is split in this map. Van Buren Township I believe is with Canton and not with Huron and Sumpter.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: 0509 version 2, correct?
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay.
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: That is the only difference?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: There is a difference in three too. If you look at District 3.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Looks like the line in one is a little different too.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is that Lincoln Park, Lincoln Park. A little bit right here.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, thank you for that, Kent. Any other discussion about this map? Any motions? All right I do not see any and that brings us to starling V3. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I think we should at least have a vote on this. Since this is a named map so I will make a motion to advance it to the Court.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion by Commissioner Szetela. Is there a second?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I can second it.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Commissioner Orton. We have a motion by Commissioner Szetela and a second by Commissioner Orton to advance this map. Starling V1. Any more discussion on this map, Commissioners? Seeing none we will move forward to vote.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Yes, sir we are voting on map starling V1. A yes vote means you are in favor of moving the map forward, a no vote means you are not in favor of moving the map forward. We will begin with Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lange? I think we lost Commissioner Lange. Mr. Chair with a vote of five yes to six no this vote and this map will not move forward.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Ms. Young. That was six to five?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: That was six no to five yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Got you, thank you.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, let's try starling V3. Okay.

So this was the same as V2 except for the Fraser area that Commissioner Muldoon worked on; is that correct?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Correct, we had a public comment about it being with Lake side and not really belonging to the Lake side.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay but everything else seems, everything else looks the same.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: We tweaked D1 and D3 for population balancing, barely.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay with the Midwest neighborhood, right.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes, yes.

>> CHAIR EID: Any other differences anyone would like to point out here? I believe this has the same metrics as starling V2. But we can double check that super quick if you want to run the partisan fairness report.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Lopsided margin is 5.0. The mean median is 1.4. The efficiency gap is 1.9 republican. Seats-votes ratio is 2.5 proportionality bias is 2.5 count of seats is 21-17 democrat.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, can you run the compactness analysis as well?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Sure. This is the Polsby Popper and the most compact is 22, the least compact was 20. Shoresburg I think is how you say it, most compact 22. And least compact is 20. Reock, most compact is 31, least compact is 1. And then the next is most compact is District 16. Least compact is 32. And finally the convex hull 21, and last compact is District 1. Any questions?

>> CHAIR EID: Does not look like we have any.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Right.

>> CHAIR EID: Are there any motions, Commissioners?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I motion we move it forward.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: We have a motion by Commissioner Muldoon, seconded by Commissioner Szetela to move starling V3 forward. If we could get a roll call vote, please.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Absolutely, Mr. Chair. We are voting on that entitled starling V3. A yes vote means you are in favor of moving the map forward. A no map means you are not in Fayette of moving the map forward. We will begin the vote with Commissioner Orton.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Yes.

- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?
- >> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Weiss?
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Andrade?
- >> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?
- >> CHAIR EID: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?
- >> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?
- >> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?
- >> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: With a vote, Mr. Chair of ten yes to one no, the motion does carry. This map will move forward.
- >> CHAIR EID: Okay, two more left in this batch. Swift V1 and swift V2. We will start with V1. Commissioner Orton?
- >> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Yeah, I wonder if I think Commissioner Szetela did these so I just wonder if she could just tell us quickly the difference between V1 and V2? Or if we can overlay? Whatever. They must be similar since they both have the same name.
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So the difference would be the District 12 and District 11. So one has the original Linden lakeshore. One has the excuse me, I'm having problems with my voice, I'm sorry, Rosedale portion of Sterling hills. Fraser connection that the one man asked us to put together.
- >> CHAIR EID: And, yes, well, right. We are looking at swift V1 now. Map 362, 050724 version 4. Four VRA districts, lopsided margin is 5.0, mean median difference is 0.9, efficiency gap is 1.9. And the seats to votes is 21 to 17. 2.4% for the democrats. This changed 13 districts whereas swift version two changes 12. Commissioner Szetela?
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, I would also add that this configuration is very, very similar to the starling three so unless you have some particular distinction you

think that this map has, I personally think we should just pass on the swift one and swift two particularly since we already advanced the starling three.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Fink?

>> NATE FINK: I apologize. I did not intend to put my hand up.

>> CHAIR EID: All good. All good. So I see this does look similar. You have the one and three where Hamtramck and Highland Park are a little different. They are in different districts. One goes from Down River up through the east side of Detroit. 13 looks the same as what's in a few of the maps. Ten has Warren, Centerline, as well as parts of northeast Detroit. We look at four and five Romulus is split. I don't think this has Sumpter being in with Van Buren, although I might not be looking at it correctly.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: They are not, Sumpter and Van Buren.

>> CHAIR EID: Metrically swift one and swift two are very similar. They are also it's also similar to starling so I don't think there is any issues there.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I can open up swift two and overlay this plan if that.

>> CHAIR EID: That might be helpful.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, we will do that because this one already is here.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 50724V4. I believe, yeah, the difference is just right there 11 and 12 and 10.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, thank you for bringing that up. You can probably leave this on or go to it next. Are there any motions regarding swift V1? Okay we will move on to swift V2 which is the map we are looking at. Could you overlay starling V3 the one that we did move forward to see the differences between starling V3 and swift V2?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: What is the plan name? Is that a 509? Can somebody tell me what starling V3, wait, you want a lay over starling V3?

>> CHAIR EID: Right on top, I want to see how similar or different it is.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Starling V2, okay.

528. This one off, okay.

>> CHAIR EID: That all went in as starling V3, correct?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: That was my intention. So this is 52024V2. And 52024V2.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 11 and 12, ten is the exact same, slight difference between ten and eight. But one and three and four and five are a little bit and 13 and 7.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah. I mean it looks to me like starling V three is the improved version of 02 from a community standpoint but metrically all three of these are the same as what was just said. V3 did advance so does anyone have anything to add about this map? Commissioner Szetela? Sed Szetela could you restate the name again which map we are looking at please.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes, this is swift V2 which is also 361, 050724 version 5. Want to turn off the overlay? Thank you. Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: I just want to clear up the record because I misspoke earlier. For a motion to reconsider if it's in the same meeting or the immediately following meeting it is just a majority vote. Not a two thirds vote as I indicated earlier. And I did verify that your rules of procedure don't have anything contrary to that. So just a majority vote.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. All right Commissioners, any motions on this? All right, I do not see any. Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Let's give the interpreters as well as us a break.

>> CHAIR EID: I was about to mention the same thing. Let's take ten minutes, come back and we can look at the configurations with three VRA districts.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There is one more plan, but it doesn't have a bird name. It's the plan that came back, it was fixed.

>> CHAIR EID: The other version of hummingbird. We can take a look at that too when we get back if anybody wants to. Well let's go on break for now. We will come back at 4:10.

[Recess until 4:10 p.m.]

>> CHAIR EID: All right, everyone, welcome back. Okay, so we have maps now that have three VRA districts. And if we go in alphabetical order it starts with Blue jay V1, which is 378. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So it's still displaying break on the screen.

>> CHAIR EID: Oh, thank you.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: And then also in general I'm not in favor of us considering passing on any of the maps that have three VRA districts. I think we have plenty of maps we put out that has four and there is just no reason to go with one that is more likely to be challenged so I would actually make a motion we not advance any of the maps that have only three VRA districts.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: I'll second that.

>> CHAIR EID: Well we have a motion by Commissioner Szetela and a second by Commissioner Weiss to not advance any maps that have three VRA districts. Is there any discussion? Well, I think there is still some configurations in there that have positives for the different areas that we would like to see. So I don't really have anything against, at this phase of the process, submitting some that have three. It doesn't mean we have to vote on them in the end. But just to hear about how folks feel about, you know, different configurations that we have especially around Detroit. I think it could be preferable. Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yeah, I would be against not considering a three VRA District. It's kind of coming in the backdoor on race if we are only considering VRA districts of four. So I think it gives us the questions of what we have been doing somewhat. And obviously the decision was that we did it all based on race. So not against it.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: That is really interesting. I have not thought of it that way. So I would say if we do consider ones with three listening or thinking back to what Baker Hostetler said we would have to show on the record clear reasons why we think it's justified with communities of interest and other higher or not higher but other criteria.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, there is a motion on the floor. Is there any further discussion on the motion?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I disagree.

>> CHAIR EID: Go ahead, Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I agree with what Commissioner Lett said. And even Commissioner Orton. And so, yeah, we can give it a try. It wouldn't hurt anything if they didn't choose it.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, so we are going to vote on this. A yes vote would mean that we are not looking at any at advancing any of our plans that have three. A no vote would mean we will move forward and continue to go through them and vote on the maps as we have been doing. Go ahead, Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Are you saying you're not going to put any threes up or you are going to continue on with just the VRAs four?

>> CHAIR EID: Well, we have to vote. That is what we are voting on now.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Which way are you voting, how are you voting I didn't catch it.

>> CHAIR EID: Which way am I voting.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I'm not talking about you per se excuse me, but can you explain yourself a little bit clearer, so I know which way I'm voting.

>> CHAIR EID: So if you are to vote, yes, that would mean that we will not look at any of the maps that have three VRA districts. If you vote, no, it means that we will look at some of the maps that have three VRA districts.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay I got you, thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: You're very welcome. Okay, all those in five of the motion please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: I see so I saw, can we just do a roll call vote here.

>> That is what I was going to say.

>> CHAIR EID: All right Ms. Young if we can get another roll call.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: I was already ready. Do you want to restate the motion, Mr. Chair?

>> CHAIR EID: The motion on the table is for the Commission not to move forward any of the maps with three VRA districts. A vote of yes would mean you are in favor of not adopting any maps that will three VRA districts. A vote of no would mean we will continue to look at the maps that have three VRA districts.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: You have messed that up real bad.

>> CHAIR EID: It's hard when you have motions in the negative. Let's go ahead and vote, please.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: I will begin with vote with Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON:

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Are you clear on how we are voting?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I was.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Okay.

The motion is to not advance any maps with only three VRA districts. So if you vote yes, I agree with that motion, you get it? And if you vote, no, then.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: I vote no.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: It's kind of backwards but it's right.

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Okay I vote no.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA:

>> YVONNE YOUNG: I'm sorry, say that one more time.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you. Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Even though I agree with Mr. Commissioner Lett I will still follow through with a yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No, I want to keep looking at I mean, no, on the motion, yes. No on the motion.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: I don't know if that is right or wrong.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Don't beat me I have to say it in a sentence no because I want to consider maps that have three VRA districts.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: This is great, love it. Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair with a vote of three yes to eight no, we will.

>> CHAIR EID: We will continue wonderful.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Continue to look at the directions that have three VRAs.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: Motions to not do something are always difficult to manage so I appreciate everyone's clarification there.

Okay, Mr. Stigall?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, where are we starting?

>> CHAIR EID: Blue jay V1.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Just Blue jay.

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL:

>> CHAIR EID: So this map, Blue jay, map 379, 050929 version 6 has three VRA districts for partisan fairness lopsided margin score was 4%, mean Median was 2.7, efficiency gap was 0.8%. And it changed the seats to votes ratio was 22 to 16. By a percent for the democrats. And a change in total of 15 or 14 districts. The most compact District is 22. And the least is 1. It has kind of a similar configuration to Finch V1 and V2 only instead of that District 3, instead of District 8 going further south, and touching the river, it goes a little bit more north and three curves around that mid portion of Detroit, up to a little bit more of an east side, west side divide. It's a little different than Detroit from other maps we have seen. I'll note that District 2 also includes South Gate in 2. And that is not something that we have in some of the other ones. And then four and five are similar to what our other maps. Taylor is whole but Romulus is split. Troy, Sterling Heights and Warren are here together. And 11 includes Warren. Any thoughts on this, Commissioners? Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So can we overlay the cardinal on top of that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And what is that plan number? Plan, yeah.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 373.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Well, okay.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm sorry, 59 version 1.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: There you go.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 5, 6, 1 and 13 is mostly the same. 9 is mostly the same. 10 instead of dropping down into I believe that is Madison Heights drops down into part of Warren and splits Warren. Warren gets split three times it looks like. 11 is the same. 12 is the same. 7 is largely the same except it now includes Madison Heights. And really the big changes are around kind of one and eight it looks like. That is kind of the biggest change there.

>> CHAIR EID: I think that is accurate. I think the changes are in the Detroit area for 1, 3 and 8.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Could we also see the crane on top of that as well? You can take off the cardinal and put the crane on.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Is that a 509 plan?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: 51524 version 2.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 51524 version 2.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yep.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: So similar concept with the District 1 but it does not wrap around thus far is what I wanted to see and how they fit over each other. And this map also splits Romulus, and it looks like crane does not. So my general thought is we have the cardinal with the same elements but does it better. And then ends up with the four VRA districts instead. So like why bring in a map that is more difficult if there is a challenge than one that is better on those metrics, that would be my view.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I wonder if we could see the Phoenix overlay on this?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes. You want that the same time as.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No, just one overlay at a time otherwise I go crazy.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And the Phoenix was done today, correct?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes, sir.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: And that was version one?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes, sir.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: All right, this helps. I want to make sure I get it right. So.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: So I know we voted on this map already but I just wanted to point out from all the maps we looked at today can this one and every other map we have voted to move forward none of them have a downtown configuration that even comes close to what Phoenix has laid out where you've got one really running along the river front. And eight being stacked on top of it, across the top of Detroit to get the Detroit neighborhoods a District. I don't think we have that anywhere else. We have an east-west divide. We have lots where one wraps around Dearborn. We have some where one goes on the river front but then eight is pushed off. We don't have anything that is remotely like a 1-8 stack in Detroit. For that reason, if nothing else, and from what Mr. Fink said if we need to give the public options, I really, really wish we could reconsider this map just so we can hear what the public has to say about this type of a Detroit configuration.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: You're wanting this configuration? Where.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: The Phoenix configuration where the Phoenix I'm looking at this map and all the other this maps we voted to move forward. We voted down a lot of maps. We've cut off a lot of options. I'm just making the point that as someone who

voted for the Phoenix map that this is one of the -- one reason if no other reason to push it forward is the downtown region is quite unique from any other option right now that we have offered up. And it is a four VRA map. So I would -- I guess this is my plea to perhaps someone who voted no would be willing to reconsider just so that we can have more varied options available for the public to comment on and us to learn from.

>> CHAIR EID:

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So you're not commenting on this map though you are talking about the Phoenix; is that right?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Correct, yes, you are right, I'm commenting on the Phoenix, the overlay that is on this map.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay.

All right are there any motions regarding this map or anything about it?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I have one more actual comment Eid aye Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Can we see the Finch on top of this as well? So the Finch is 050924 version 8. Then I'm going to ask you for one more after that if you want to go ahead and load it first. Are you waiting on me?

>> CHAIR EID: Right now you have Finch V1 overlaid?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Do you know what I gave you the wrong map. What is Finch V2, I'm sorry, I don't know that it matters for the Detroit area, I don't think there were any changes there so.

>> CHAIR EID: Finch V2 was one of the ones from today. It was version three from today.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Stigall, you are on mute if you are speaking.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I said okay anyway.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, so again District 1 is pretty similar. District 8 is pretty similar. There are a few line differences between 3 and 8 but they are pretty similar. Can we also look at the starling as well? And that would be starling three which is the 0520 version 2.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 0520 version 2. I'm glad I loaded these all in this morning. I figure this is where we were headed. We have turned on 020 version 2 which is the starling three.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yes and I just wanted to show that District 1 because it does have that kind of flat, elongated District 1 configuration similar to the Phoenix. It does not have you know that whole east side north of one in a single District. It splits it but it does kind of have that one District, so I wanted to show that because we were also talking about the Phoenix.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Just quickly, I echo what Commissioner Callaghan said, while I appreciate the overlaying, yes, District 1 does have what continues to be unique and I just want to be clear on the record. I'm not a contrarium and I'm voting know because they don't have imaginative configurations for the Detroit area. So I think we are doing the public a huge disservice by taking away options. Before they even see them. Even if they said you know what I don't like hummingbird or Phoenix but I like that and a narrowly tailored could work but it's troubling for what it does for Detroit and the suburbs and it's a cleaner map and also scored very, very high, if it were up to me we could vote for all maps to be pushed forward. I have a problem with some Commissioners I talked to individually and wanting to hear the public, now we are not doing than a that is sad to me so I'm going to continue to vote no because these maps that we are going through is disenfranchise, how much, how little. We heard from suburbs and specifically heard from people who expressed what certain shifts from certain parts of Detroit do with northern suburbs. And we've remixed that. But typically what I'm hearing that we like is affecting areas that otherwise will have the resources to come out. So it's unfortunate we have not had the public comment or physical bodies to sway this Commission, but I want to be clear on the record I don't approve any of the maps and what they are doing to the Metro Detroit area.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE:

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: I agree and voted no on hummingbird because it had issues in District 11 where I thought it was out of compliance with the charge from the courts. And I thought Phoenix fixed it which is why I voted yes on Phoenix. But if neither one of those maps are going forward then I would like to reconsider my vote for hummingbird. And vote yes on it unless someone else is willing to vote yes on Phoenix.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Curry and then Ms. Schaar.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes, well mine, the map that I kind of drew up did not have a bird name yet. But I would like to submit it and if it gets turned down that is fine. But it was I think 366JCV1. And it was mostly designed to, it was mostly designed to change the -- that 1368, 10 and 11 that got thrown out in the courts and want to change it the way I wanted to do it so that it would be acceptable, plus the fact it would be contiguous and it was -- I'm very familiar with the Detroit area. So I wanted to do it like that because I know which cities and which townships are contiguous and I kind of know who hang with who and who goes into each area, whatever. So I think that map, if it has enough on it to be turned in we could turn it in even if they turn it down.

>> CHAIR EID: So Commissioner Curry your map is an individual map that is going through, we don't need to vote on that.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay well that is fine. I know some people that have did go off of it. But I mean that is fine. As long as it kind of went through but I thought it was a V3, or a 3.

>> CHAIR EID: It doesn't matter.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: It doesn't matter.

>> CHAIR EID: Individual map, it goes through, and we can deal with it later.

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Okay sounds great. So that is done. We had a Ms. Schaar you had your hand up. Do you still have something to say?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: I was just going to indicate that Commissioner Andrade, what you would need to do, if you wanted that vote reconsidered was to make a motion to reconsider. And then if that gets a majority we could go back and take a new vote on hummingbird. So that would be the approach to do that. But I also want to remind the Commission that you do currently have a motion pending so we need to deal with that first.

>> CHAIR EID: I don't think anyone made that motion on this map yet. Did someone make a motion?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Not yet.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: My mistake. Okay so then it could be dealt with at any time.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So I have a point of clarification then I have a motion. So individual maps that were submitted unless the individual tells otherwise are automatically being submitted, so I just want to confirm Commissioner Curry's individual map is going to be posted and published to the Court; is that correct?

>> CHAIR EID: That's my understanding. Mr. Woods?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yes, all individual maps will be published to the Court.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay, and then.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Five just so we can get it on the record. Commissioner Curry's, Commissioner Szetela's, Commissioner Orton, Commissioner Wagner and Commissioner Lange.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Okay, all right, that is helpful.

>> CHAIR EID: Before you make your motion I did hear Elaine say she wanted to make a motion and she did verbalize that and there was not a motion on the table when she said that. So is that a motion Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes, I would like to make a motion to reconsider hummingbird.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, is there a second?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I second it.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, there is a motion and a second to reconsider the vote on humming birth since Commissioner Andrade voted no on hummingbird she is allowed to make the motion. The motion first needs to get majority, then we can go back and revisit taking the vote on hummingbird; is that correct, Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: That's correct.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, I'll open the floor to discussion. Commissioner Szetela, do you have something to say relating to this motion?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, so since we are now looking at a different map can we close this map down and reopen hummingbird so we can actually see what we are voting on?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I don't believe there is any discussion on a motion to reconsider. You can check with Megan.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you Commissioner Lett. Can you check that, Ms. Schaar, for me, please?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: It depends on whether the original motion was debatable. And there was debate on the original motion. It is not amendable though.

>> CHAIR EID: Debatable but not amendable. Okay, we have hummingbird open. Commissioner Curry, I see your hand up?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Oh, I'm sorry, it's not up. Let me take it off.

>> CHAIR EID: So, you know, I would prefer Phoenix. I think that is it takes this and, you know, improves it, makes it better, we spent a couple days working on it now. If you go back from the Wayne State meetings to today, we spent quite a lot of time trying to reconfigure this into that. And I think we did a good job at it, so I was a little disappointed that, you know, people voted no for it. Because even if we don't go with it in the end, I do want to hear what people say about it. But if we -- if nobody wants that, then I would vote yes on this because it was the precursor to it. And it, you know, metrically it scores quite well. But I do prefer Phoenix to this one. The question is, is anybody willing to change their vote for Phoenix, or not? But Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: Just a quick question. If I'm correct we have five maps that we pushed forward to send to the Court. And then we have out of the maps with VRA of three, there is five of those; is that correct? So a total of ten?

>> CHAIR EID: I have can you repeat the last part of your question, Commissioner?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: We have five of the VRA maps that are only three districts which those are all from Commissioners. We have five of those correct. So we have ten total is that correct altogether that is going to the Court?

>> CHAIR EID: We have, I know we have five individual, Ms. Schaar can you clarify how many collaborative we have so far?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: You voted to advance five collaborative, no, six collaborative maps. Hang on a second. I'm sorry I think I transferred one, yes, five collaborative maps thus far. Those all had four VRA performing districts. There are eight collaborative maps that have not been voted on, that have three VRA performing districts. If those were to advance to the Court, you would need to move those forward via a motion. But that has not been done at this point. So five are advanced thus far. Those are dove, cardinal, crane, starling version three and Finch version two. They all have four VRA performing districts. And then you will have the five individual maps.

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: So we actually have kind of done what Commissioner Lett said that we actually have some that have three VRA districts. I guess I'm for it if we want to look at some of the other ones, that is fine. I was just curious how many we had total. Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Yeah, so I would just say I'm not in favor of reconsidering this. We had 7 people vote against moving it forward. Mostly because of concerns about District 11 is 91.1 I can't remember 91.2% identical to the District 11 that was struck down and I just don't see the point of putting something in front of people to potentially have them look at and consider if we are ultimately not going to be able to put it forward to begin with. And with the Livonia District is pretty similar too. It's less identical than the other one. I think it was 65%. But still there is significant concerns there and enough of a concern that the majority of the Commissioners here, if there were seven to five vote voted not to advance it so I think we should not reconsider at this point so we can actually spend time talking about these three District maps that we wanted to talk about. Because right now we are rehashing an old map instead of actually talking about the three District maps that people just voted that they wanted to consider, and we are going to run out of time on those.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yeah, I was going to say if Commissioner Szetela wanted to reconsider her vote on Phoenix, I could withdraw mine because of my motion on hummingbird.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Being from the Canton area I cannot in good conscious advance Phoenix because it's absolutely terrible for the communities of interest in Canton. It puts Canton with Huron and Sumpter. This does not have the same configuration. I was okay with this configuration, but the Phoenix takes Canton and does this C shape and wraps around Romulus and sends it down into very rural areas and also splits it off from Plymouth which is really where their community of interest is because they share a school District. So I cannot reverse my vote on Phoenix. As a member of the community I cannot vote for that map.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I call the question.

>> CHAIR EID: The question has been called.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: In order to end discussion it requires a two-thirds vote.

>> CHAIR EID: Does anyone else have -- nobody -- I don't see any hands. Maybe we can -- does anyone else have anything to say? All right I don't see anyone so Commissioner Lett, could you withdraw that, and we can just move to vote?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Sure, I will withdraw it.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you. Okay, we are voting to reconsider the hummingbird map. Voting yes would mean we are going to reconsider the hummingbird map. Voting no

will mean we will not reconsider the hummingbird map. Can we get a roll call vote, please?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Absolutely, Mr. Chair. The vote is before you Commissioners on hummingbird. A yes vote is to reconsider hummingbird, a no vote is to not. I will begin with Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Wagner? I'm sorry, she is absent, my fault. Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lange is absent. Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON:

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: Sorry, I thought you could hear me. I said no.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you. Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair with a vote five yes to six no, the motion does not pass, it fails.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, thank you Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, Ms. Schaar, could you read off the District maps that have the three VRA, that have three Districts that perform under the VRA? I have Blue jay V1. Blue jay V2. Eagle. Finch V1. Hawk. And flamingo.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: You are correct. I had a duplicate in there. I'm sorry for -- I'm not doing so great with numbers today, you guys.

>> CHAIR EID: We are not making it easy on you. Okay, I wasn't going to make a motion for the Blue jay maps. Would anyone like to?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I'll make a motion to move it forward.

>> CHAIR EID: That is Blue jay V1 the one we were looking at before the reconsideration.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: The one we were looking at before the reconsideration.

>> CHAIR EID: Wonderful, Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: He is pulling it up. I just wanted to see it again.

>> CHAIR EID: I'll second that, Commissioner Lett. So we have a motion on the table to adopt Blue jay to forward Blue jay V1 to the Court. Is there any further discussion on the motion? Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Since we had a lot happen between now and then I would just reiterate I feel like this duplicates a lot of what we have in other maps. And doesn't do it as well as those other maps do so we already have these districts open for consideration for other people and they have higher number of VRA districts so I would just be inclined to vote no on this one and stick with what we have.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Commissioner. Any other discussion? All right we will move to vote. Can we get a roll call, please?

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Absolutely, Mr. Chair we are voting on map Blue jay V1. To move forward. Yes vote means we are -- you would like it to move forward, and a no vote means you would not like this map to move forward and I'm going to begin with Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I'm going to vote no but just to let you know we are getting weird feedback at least I am getting weird feedback when you are talking Yvonne.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: I'm sorry, Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Wagner? Is not here. Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair, with a vote of three yes to eight no, this map will not move forward.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome.

>> CHAIR EID: We are still hearing feedback just so you know.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Really?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: I was actually pretty quiet let me find out.

>> CHAIR EID: We have Blue jay V2 I worked on earlier. I think we should keep going,000 to some of the other ones. Does anyone want to see it?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I've already brought it up but there it is. Blue jay V2.

>> CHAIR EID: Yeah. I'm not seeing anything regarding this map, Commissioners so let's move to Eagle. Does anyone have any thoughts on this map, Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: For both this and the hawk, which we will talk about in a minute these were based off of Commissioner Curry's original map and configuration of 1, 3 and 6 to will these three compact Detroit districts. I feel like because it's a three I just am not in favor that only have three VRA districts particularly when the crane which we did advance has that same configuration with four VRA districts. And then has a different configuration on 5, 8, 7 and 9 more of a wrapping that a couple of the commenters spoke about where you have kind of a rim going around a tire. But again I feel like it's a three so I'm not in favor of advancing it particularly when we already agreed to advance that has four and has that same Detroit configuration that Commissioner Curry worked on.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, well I'm not going to be making a motion for this. Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I was just about to take my hand down but, no, for the same reasons I've expressed. I think it does a disservice to the Detroit area. I think it was broken up differently and only has three districts and mimics the same thing we weren't doing but now it seems to be that our maps are slamming Detroit together instead of appreciating their differences.

>> CHAIR EID: I see nothing else on this so we can go ahead and close it. That brings us to Finch V1. We did forward Finch V2 to the courts after making some community-based changes to this earlier today. I would prefer the V2 that we already advanced. My question is: Would it be good to also advance this original one to show the progression? Or is that not necessary? Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I don't think the public needs to necessarily see progression and I think it creates confusion. What specifically was changed in this? Can we put Finch V2 over the top of this to look?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Do we have a plan name we can look up here?

>> CHAIR EID: It was version three from this morning.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I should know that since we have done this exercise a few times. Finch one and two. It's predominately right here.

>> CHAIR EID: It puts Ferndale back into three reuniting the COI that crosses 8 Mile.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: So Finch one splits the COI and Finch two reunites it.

>> CHAIR EID: Well, looking at different COIs. But, yes, but for that specific COI that we are talking about, the LBGT COI, it is Finch version two that has that in it. Any more thoughts on this one, Commissioners? Okay I don't see any so let's close it. That brings us to flamingo. So now we are looking at flamingo, 390. This has three VRA districts and this was I believe, yes, so this one has a lopsided margin score of 6.3, mean median difference of 3.4 and efficiency gap of 4.5, seats to vote 20 to 18. We had started working on it and we then put a lot of the things from this into Phoenix. But that District 8 in here is rather unique. I don't know of any other districts where it goes and goes around kind of in and out of Detroit like that. So it's a unique configuration. But it does only have three VRA districts so any thoughts on this one? Any motions? I don't see any. So that brings us to the last three districts configuration. And that is Commissioner Szetela had spoken about it a little bit already. But that is hawk. Mr. Woods?

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Yes, just want to check with our ASL and closed caption and translators to make sure they can still continue. We had an ending time of 5:00.

>> CHAIR EID: I think we are almost done hopefully in the next ten or so minutes.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: We heard from ASL. How about closed caption? Annette are we good? Thanks.

>> CHAIR EID: We are running a little long today. Okay this is hawk. Commissioner Szetela said it was based off of Commissioner Curry's map and there were changes made to it. Does anyone have anything to say about this one? I do not see any. So go ahead and close it. And then there was one other map, the one that was mislabeled that had four. Which map was that?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 050924, 376. This one.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay let's open it up.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This has four performing districts. When it was initially, shoot, when it was initially shown, the report showed it as having three.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Can he repeat the map number again?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's 500924, map 376.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Map 376.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: Yes.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: So this was the one that was similar to hummingbird. But or, yeah, this is the one that was similar to hummingbird but had a little bit of a -- are we sure this is the right one?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is what I was given. Because I don't know where those three districts are coming from.

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I think it's the wrong map.

>> CHAIR EID: That does not look right to me. One moment. This is the last one we have to go through luckily.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: 376.

>> CHAIR EID: On the website it looks different.

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: I may have loaded it in wrong. But.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: This is something separate, and I don't know if Nate can weigh in on this, but I wanted to submit an individual map. And I wanted that map to be Phoenix.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Fink?

>> NATE FINK: Well, the Commission adopted a deadline for the plans, the individual plans to be submitted. And if the Commission wants to modify its rules, I suppose it could do so by some kind of motion. But the rule in place right now that was adopted by the Commission was that there was a deadline for the submission of any individual maps, that has past. I understand this is a map that has already gone through VRA. And, you know, the required analyses. Because assuming Commissioner Kellom indicates she would be sending forward the Phoenix map so it's not like a new map she has drafted and would need to go back through analysis. But if it's being put forward as an individual map the current rule would be it had to be submitted by the deadline so if the Commission wants to modify its rule by motion I suppose that would be appropriate. But I think under the current rule it would be past the deadline.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Can I make the motion?

>> CHAIR EID: You can make any motion.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Megan has a hand.

>> CHAIR EID: Ms. Schaar?

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: You would not be able to do this as a motion to reconsider because you voted for the deadline. Oh, wait, you would be able to do this as a motion to reconsider so it was at yesterday's meeting so first you need a motion to reconsider. And then you would have to -- that would need a majority vote and then you would need to make a motion to change the deadline.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: Well I make a motion to reconsider the deadline for individual maps and making that deadline be tomorrow.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Did she vote no on the motion to set the deadline yesterday? I thought we would need someone who voted no.

>> CHAIR EID: That is a good point.

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Don't we usually vote to change a rule? We changed rules before by a simple motion for someone to make a motion to change the rule or change the vote when we made the rule.

>> CHAIR EID: A new motion can be made. That's an option. But I will defer to Ms. Schaar for that.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: We could make a new motion to -- it would not be to change the deadline, but it would be maybe to make an exception. I don't want to give wording for a motion. I'm not a Commissioner. You could make a motion for something that's not reconsidering the vote that already took place. But it would have to be a different motion.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: I mean that makes sense. That is what we did the first time around with extensions and considerations made. So I retract my first motion and make a motion for consideration of an extension of a deadline. Is that better?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I would like, well.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay well there is a motion. Is there a second?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Commissioner Lett. Now we can move to discussion. Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I just want to make a point. I think if I'm not mistaken the purpose of the original deadline was to make sure we didn't have people coming in at the last-minute with maps that hadn't been looked at, hadn't been reviewed, had not been through analysis, had not been discussed. And just trying to throw stuff at our team and expecting them to get everything together which is a little bit unreasonable. But in this particular case this is a map that has been looked at by everyone multiple times. It's been through analysis. It's all ready. If the Commission does not like it that is completely acceptable. They vote how they vote but we don't vote on anyone else's map and if this is all wrapped up and tied with a bow I don't see why there would be a reason to block it and not allow this to be an exception. If Commissioner Kellom wants to represent it as her map going through review.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: I was just going to make a motion that a Commissioner could move forward a map that's already past VRA that's already done.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I just think rules are rules and we always have been pretty strict on individual maps and when they need to be submitted by. And you know, I appreciate Commissioner Kellom thought the Commissioner would want to advance her Phoenix map and that didn't happen. But, you know, we have these deadlines in place for a reason. We have always followed them, and I just don't feel we should make exceptions because the vote didn't go the way someone wanted to go and want to do a hail Mary and bring it in a different way. I think nothing is stopping her from putting this as an individual map a week ago or yesterday and chose not to and should be stuck with it at this point.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: My, I'm raising a hand.

>> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: It's not a hail Mary to correct the record and it's my words and not another Commissioner's words. This is an effort to have the public have something available to them, so this is not about a personal choice, there were six hands that touched this map. If I wanted to do an individual map then I would have done that a long time ago. This is not about the vote today. This is about the citizens and them having choice. So my motion stand. Thank you for those that are supporting it and we also I had we extended things because of computer deadlines, illnesses, it's not the first time that we have considered extenuating circumstances. The Commission is an autonomous body.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay, there is a motion and a second. Is there further discussion? Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: I call the question or else we are going to be here all night.

>> CHAIR EID: I don't see any further discussion. So we can just go ahead and vote. Can you withdraw that call, Commissioner Lett? You always do it at the right time.

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Sure so long as somebody doesn't sneak one in.

>> NATE FINK: Ms. Schaar's hand is up Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR EID: Go ahead.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: I want to make sure it's clear for the motion to extend the deadline for submitting an alternate map to be advanced and published to the Court for public comment. Are you setting a new deadline for that to be done? Because all you have said thus far is extending it, extending it to when would be my question for clarity?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes, the time. I'm setting a new deadline by 8:00 p.m. on May 21st, Tuesday.

>> CHAIR EID: Mr. Fink do you have a comment before we vote?

>> NATE FINK: I do it's another clarifying question. And would that also apply only to maps that have already gone through full VRA and partisan fairness analysis? Because, if not, I don't think our consultants reequipped right now to process any additional maps in time for us to turn these around to the Court. I'm assuming this

would be to the extent a Commissioner wants to put forward an individual map that's already gone through VRA, et cetera. As their individual map.

>> VICE CHAIR KELLOM: So to clarify the motion is to extend the individual map deadline, an individual map that has already been analyzed by our team for VRA, partisan fairness, whatever else I'm missing, and that time of the deadline would be today, May 21st at 8:00 p.m. So for individual maps that have already gone the rigor of analysis process and by 8:00 p.m.

>> CHAIR EID: All right, Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I just want to make sure for Mr. Fink's perspective that is adequate time for them to do what they need to do on the Court side. Because I believe they are due tomorrow.

>> NATE FINK: Yes, Commissioner Szetela, again, based on the motion that's now been made, if this is a map that it's just like any of the other maps so pushing it through and providing it. Last time the information was all posted. I think by the EDS team and some other folks to the website and we filed a notice to the Court with a link to the web Page essentially so there wouldn't be an issue with that from a technical perspective, assuming you know such a submission is made, consistent with the motion that was just made. And also I would defer to Mr. Woods if I misspoke there because he sort of facilitates some of the coordination of the technical aspects of it. But I don't think that would be an issue.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I think you did fine. I guess I'm complementing what you said earlier Mr. Fink if someone is going to advance an individual map it's actually one of the maps that are currently has been analyzed. It's not someone bringing in a new map. But it's actually taking the maps that are -- have not moved forward if they would like to make one of those an individual map, they can do that. But it's not bringing in a new map that would require a VRA analysis, partisan map, so it wouldn't matter.

>> CHAIR EID: Let's go to vote and have a roll call, please, Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Yes, sir. We are voting for reconsideration for an extension of the maps submitting deadline to today, Tuesday, May 21st, 2024, by 8:00 a.m. and applies to maps that have already been through the VRA, partisan fairness and analysis process.

>> CHAIR EID: It's not a reconsideration though. It's a new motion.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: It's a consideration? Say that again Mr. Chair.

>> CHAIR EID: Thereof.

>> MEGHAN SCHAAR: The motion before the Commission is to extend the deadline for submitting an alternate or individual map to be advanced and published to the Court for public comment until May 21, 2024, at 8:00 p.m. so long as the map has already received VRA and partisan fairness analysis, moved by Commissioner Kellom, supported by Commissioner Lett.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Okay thank you I took the reconsideration part out, everything else was the same. I will begin with Commissioner Andrade?

>> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Callaghan?

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?

>> COMMISSIONER CURRY: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?

>> CHAIR EID: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?

>> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Orton?

>> COMMISSIONER ORTON: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?

>> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Weiss?

>> COMMISSIONER WEISS: No.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair, with a vote of seven yes to four no, the motion does carry.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Ms. Young.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: You're welcome and do I sound better, Mr. Chair?

>> CHAIR EID: You do, thank you.

>> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: We have one more map to look at and then I think we are done.

Mr. Stigall, do you have that up?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: It's on its way. I can -- I would like to quickly show you just the, you know, just so everybody is seeing what this is 50924V4 from, yes, so districts 8, 3, 1 and 6 are performing districts. Okay?

>> CHAIR EID: Okay this was the other version of hummingbird we spoke about. For some reason it was first to have three VRA Districts, but we know that was an error and it has four. Does anyone have anything for this map?

>> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is 1, 8, 3 and 6, yes.

>> CHAIR EID:

>> DONNA CALLAGHAN: I'm sorry what map is this?

- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This is map 376 on the portal and 050924 version 4.
- >> CHAIR EID:
- >> MARCUS MULDOON: I motion we move it forward.
- >> CHAIR EID: Is there a second?
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Second.
- >> CHAIR EID: Okay we have a motion and a second to move this map forward. I do see some hands for discussion. Let's start it with Ms. Schaar.
- >> MEGHAN SCHAAR: This plan does not have a bird name. Will we give it a bird name for consistency sake, please?
- >> CHAIR EID: What birds do we have left? Anyone got one?
- >> ELAINE ANDRADE: Heron.
- >> CHAIR EID: Did you get that.
- >> MEGHAN SCHAAR: Heron sounds great they.
- >> CHAIR EID: Can we put the overlay of the hummingbird on top of this, please?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Okay, and hummingbird is, you can help me with the plan names again, is 515 or something like that?
- >> CHAIR EID: One moment.
- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Hummingbird is 371 on 58 version 4.
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: 58 version 4. Thank you.
- >> CHAIR EID: I believe it was built from each other, correct? In District 1 I think?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: This looks just a little bit between one and four. Looks like some blocks weren't assigned. In this overlay.
- >> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: This is functionally hummingbird with the District with 91.2% intact the prohibited District 11 and five and six and Lincoln Park was moved, and water blocks assigned so we have the same objections to it as before.
- >> CHAIR EID: Is there any discussion?
- >> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: I think there should be one map that provides an option for the public. Even if they don't choose the map maybe what other Commissioners are voting for you will get feedback from other configurations and how to implement them.
- >> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Now the deadline for individual maps has been extended this is certainly one Commissioner Kellom could submit or could submit the Phoenix. So the public will have the ability to see it but as an individual map.
- >> CHAIR EID: All right, I don't see any further discussion. Can we get a roll call, please?
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Absolutely, Mr. Chair. This is to move the Heron map forward. Okay, a yes vote means you are for moving the map forward and a no vote means that you are not. We will begin with Commissioner Callaghan?

- >> DONNA CALLAGHAN: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Curry?
- >> COMMISSIONER CURRY: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Eid?
- >> CHAIR EID: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Kellom?
- >> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Lett?
- >> COMMISSIONER LETT: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?
- >> MARCUS MULDOON:
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Muldoon?
- >> MARCUS MULDOON: Sorry I was on mute, yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Thank you. Commissioner Orton?
- >> COMMISSIONER ORTON: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Szetela?
- >> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Vallette?
- >> COMMISSIONER VALLETTE: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Weiss?
- >> COMMISSIONER WEISS: No.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Commissioner Andrade?
- >> ELAINE ANDRADE: Yes.
- >> YVONNE YOUNG: Mr. Chair, with a vote of eight yes to three no, this map will move forward.
- >> CHAIR EID: Okay, all right, okay, all right, interesting decisions, Commissioners, thank you all forbearing with us for that time. We will -- that completes all of the drafts, all of the advancing draft proposed maps. Just to make sure is there any other map any Commissioner would like to take into consideration? Okay I do not see any. That task is complete.
- >> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Commissioner Eid just for the record are any Commissioners planning to submit an individual map by 8:00 p.m., if they would please e-mail myself and Megan Schaar as well as Kim Brace. If you don't have those e-mails let me know and I can take care of that.
- >> CHAIR EID: Commissioner Szetela?
- >> MR. KENT STIGALL: Excuse me, Chair and I should be included in that e-mail.
- >> MR. EDWARD WOODS: We will take care of it; I want to make sure I get it and I will forward it to you.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: I would just request Mr. Woods you reach out to Commissioner Lange and Wagner since they are not here and unaware of the extension of the deadline and they may wish to update their maps based on it.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: When you say update their maps what do you mean by that Commissioner Szetela?

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Maybe they want to substitute a different map, they should be made aware of the deadline because it has changed.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Sure with regards to one of the maps that have not gone forward just so we are saying the same thing.

>> COMMISSIONER SZETELA: Right.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Getting that opportunity. More than happy to do so thank you.

>> CHAIR EID: Want everyone to have the same opportunity.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: I will just e-mail everyone.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay Commissioners, could I get a motion to table the minutes until the next meeting, please?

>> COMMISSIONER KELLOM: So moved.

>> MARCUS MULDOON: Second.

>> CHAIR EID: Okay we have a motion to table the option of the minutes by Commissioner Kellom, seconded by Commissioner Muldoon. Is there any discussion on this? Seeing none we will move to vote. All those in favor of the motion please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Is there any opposed please raise your hand and say nay. The motion is adopted.

We will table the minutes until the next meeting. Next on the agenda is the Executive Director's report. If there is no objection, Commissioners, I will turn it over to Executive Director Woods for his report. Is there any objection? Seeing none please proceed, Mr. Woods.

>> MR. EDWARD WOODS: Thank you, Commissioner Eid and thank you Commissioners. Just want to let you know I think I call it a virtual public hearing. It's really going to be a virtual town hall, a virtual town hall meeting just so we have the correct lingo for terms of how we are promoting it. At 10:00 a.m. on the 29th really wanted to share this with you because this is the last time we are meeting before we have a virtual town hall that takes place a week from tomorrow. At 10:00 a.m. The collaborative maps will go first then the individual maps then at 5:00 people the individual maps will go first then the collaborative maps. Then on June 6, so you see the individual the individual maps will go first then the collaborative maps then June 6th:00 at 5 the collaborative maps will go first then the individual maps. That way we have equal time. Yesterday we shared about the five minutes per map in terms of a

presentation. And open it for Q and A but just want to reiterate these virtual town halls recap is informal and conversational. You can sign up for public comment or raise your hand in the meeting to speak to a map or maps. The Commission will not conduct any business at that time. We will have translators, closed caption, ASL will be available at that time. And we were trying to make it convenient for work and lunch schedules as well as an after 5:00 p.m. that is why we are meeting 10-1 and then 5-7:30 p.m. Obviously with the individual maps we will have the people that submitted the individual maps presenting those. But if there is anyone that wants to volunteer on a collaborative map, if you could please let me know, I would greatly appreciate it so we can have as many people from the Commission participating as possible. So if there is anyone you can do it by yourself or if you want to partner with someone but if you want to present a collaborative map, please let me know because we are trying to get a program together and a run of show so we can make this as smooth as possible and engage the public so by the time the public hearings take place they can share which map they would like or if there is in I map they do like any tweaks they would like to make. Thank you, Commissioner Eid, that was hopefully brief and to the point.

>> CHAIR EID: Thank you, Mr. Woods. I know that is going to be a hit. Look forward to those meetings.

Are there any announcements or acknowledgments. I will acknowledge everyone for staying a half hour late today. It went a little long but it's important and we have some good maps out there, some good collaborative options and a lot of the individual options are pretty good too. So hopefully we hear from the public and thanks in advance to all of the staff for getting that out to the Court tomorrow. Are there any other announcements? Seeing isn't Commissioners a motion to adjourn is in order.

>> So moved.

>> CHAIR EID: I saw a motion by Commissioner Lett, seconded by Commissioner Callaghan. Is there any debate? Seeing none we will vote. All those in favor of the motion to adjourn please raise your hand and say aye.

>> Aye.

>> CHAIR EID: Any opposed please raise your hand and say nay. The ayes have it and we are adjourned at 5:34 p.m. Thank you, everyone.