



## **DISSENTING REPORT: 2021 [x map x] REDISTRICTING MAP**

**Authored by: Commissioner [x Name x]**

Commissioners are welcome to structure their dissenting report as they see fit. This document is intended to provide only a suggested structure to facilitate Commissioners' drafting of their own dissenting report.

### **Summary**

Succinct introduction and high-level explanation of the reason(s) the Commissioner did not vote to support the adopted map.

Section Structure Recommendations: <300 words, with reasons cited in a bulleted list for easy reading.

### **Rationale**

Section detailing the Commissioner's objections to the adopted map based on the seven ranked criteria outlined in the Michigan Constitution used to evaluate map options.

Section Structure Recommendations:

- Use the relevant ranked criteria as section headers to allow readers to easily distinguish each objection.
- If needed, utilize subheads within each criteria to detail specific issues.

For example:

#### **OBJECTION | CRITERIA #3 COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST**

##### **Community of Interest A**

Explanation, maps, data and/or public comment related to this community of interest issue

##### **Community of Interest B**

Explanation, maps, data and/or public comment related to this community of interest issue

#### **CRITERIA #7 REASONABLY COMPACT | XX LOCATION**

Explanation, maps, data and or public comment related to compactness issue

### **Conclusion**

Brief summary of dissent

Section Structure Recommendations: <300 words